

Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Avenue Document Control Center – WO66-G609 Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002

August 19, 2015

ScandiDos AB % Mr. Thomas Matzen Product Manager Dag Hammarskjölds väg 52A SE-75237 Uppsala SWEDEN

Re: K151180

Trade/Device Name: Delta⁴ Phantom+ Regulation Number: 21 CFR 892.5050 Regulation Name: Medical charged-particle radiation therapy system Regulatory Class: II Product Code: IYE Dated: July 22, 2015 Received: July 22, 2015

Dear Mr. Matzen:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the Federal Register.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820); and if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

If you desire specific advice for your device on our labeling regulation (21 CFR Part 801), please contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education at its toll-free number (800) 638 2041 or (301) 796-7100 or at its Internet address

http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ResourcesforYou/Industry/default.htm. Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to

<u>http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/ReportaProblem/default.htm</u> for the CDRH's Office of Surveillance and Biometrics/Division of Postmarket Surveillance.

You may obtain other general information on your responsibilities under the Act from the Division of Industry and Consumer Education at its toll-free number (800) 638-2041 or (301) 796-7100 or at its Internet address

http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ResourcesforYou/Industry/default.htm.

Sincerely yours,

For

Robert Ochs, Ph.D. Acting Director Division of Radiological Health Office of In Vitro Diagnostics and Radiological Health Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

DO17 04 008 02

a-001_06_1

Form Approved: OMB No. 0910-0120

Expiration Date: January 31, 2017

See PRA Statement below.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Food and Drug Administration

Indications for Use

510(k) Number *(if known)* K151180

Device Name Delta4 Phantom+

Indications for Use (Describe)

The intended use of the device is:

• quality assurance of patient specific treatment delivery prior to the treatment in IMRT (including VMAT) and 4DRT (e.g. respiratory gating and tumour tracking).

• quality assurance of the radiation delivery system.

Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)

Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)

Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)

CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff *PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov*

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."

ScandiDos This document may not be c	© ScandiDos AB opied without the written consent of ScandiDos AB.		Confidential
Document Title: FDA 510(k)	- Summary - Delta4	Document ID:	Page
Phantom+		D017.04.010.02	a-007_01_1
Subject: Delta4 Phantom+		D017 04 010 02	(a-007_01_6)
Author: Thomas Matzen	Signature	Date	

D017 04 010 02 FDA 510(k) - Summary - Delta4 Phantom+.docx

Version	Comment	Author	Description
01.01	2015-04-27	Thomas Matzen	First Draft
01	Released	Thomas Matzen	No changes
02	Released	Thomas Matzen	Corrected Spelling mistake in IEC standard
			Added non-clinical test comparison

1 APPLICANT

510(k) owner's Name	ScandiDos AB
Street address	Dag Hammarskjölds väg 52A
Postal Code, City	SE-75237 Uppsala
Country	Sweden
Phone Number	+46-18-472 30 30
Fax Number	+46-18-10 74 02
Name of official correspondent	Thomas Matzen
Date the summary	2015-07-17
was prepared (yyyy-mm-dd)	

2 DEVICE

Trade Name	Delta ⁴ Phantom+	
Common Name	Pre-treatment Verification System (for Quality Assurance)	
Classification Name	Medical charged-particle radiation therapy system, §892.5050, Product code: IYE	

3 PREDICATE DEVICE

Predicate Device	Delta4
Listing Number	D002954
510(k) Premarket submission	K052920
number	

Document Title:	Document ID:	Page
	D017 04 010 02	a- 007_01_2
FDA 510(K) - Summary - Dena4 I mantom+	D017 04 010 02	$(a-007 \ 01 \ 6)$

4 **DESCRIPTION**

The device consists out of matrices of semiconductors embedded in a phantom. These matrices are inserted into the radiation field of a medical linear accelerator. If radiation (from a radiotherapy treatment field) hits the semiconductors a signal is created and transferred to a computer where it is analysed and among others compared with the intended dose distribution.

5 COMPARISON

5.1 General

The Delta⁴ Phantom+ dosimetry system is an improved version of its predicate device Delta⁴.

The most obvious improvement is that the Delta⁴ Phantom+ dosimetry system is wireless: no power cables, no trig cable and no data cables.

Otherwise the principal design is left unchanged.

Area	Delta ⁴ (predicate	Delta ⁴ Phantom+	Comment
Intended Use	device) The intended use of Delta ⁴ is quality assurance of patient specific treatment delivery prior to the treatment in IMRT and 4DRT (respiratory gating and tumor tracking).	 The intended use of the device is quality assurance of patient specific treatment delivery prior to the treatment in IMRT (including VMAT) and 4DRT (e.g. respiratory gating and tumour tracking). quality assurance of the radiation delivery system. 	 The intended use definitions are almost identical. However, the text had been changed slightly to ease understanding. VMAT is just a special form of intensity modulated treatment and is by definition included in "IMRT". However, sometimes "VMAT" is used as if it was something different. For clarification VMAT is named in the intended use of Phantom+ explicitly. pre treatment delivery quality assurance includes quality assurance of machine parameters. For clarification QA of the radiation delivery system is named explicitly in the intended use of Phantom+.

5.2 Use context

6	Sca	ndi	Dos
\sim	Jua	i icai	005

Document Title:	
FDA 510(k) - Summary - Delta4 Phantom+	

Document ID: D017 04 010 02	Page a- 007_01_3 (a-007_01_6)	
	(a-00/_01_6)	

Area	Delta ⁴ (predicate	Delta ⁴ Phantom+	Comment
	device)		
Intended	The intended user is a	Same as predicate	<i>N/A</i>
User	health care professional	device	
	in the field of		
	radiotherapy like a		
	medical physicist or a		
	dosimetrist who have		
	been educated in the safe		
	use of the device.		

5.3 Energy

Area	Delta ⁴ (predicate	Delta ⁴ Phantom+	Comment
	device)		
Power supply	AC power socket	Battery powered. (Rechargeable) Battery is embedded in device.	While the predicate device must be connected to the a socket-outlet is the Delta ⁴ Phantom+ dosimetry system battery powered. This makes it much more convenient to set up the device.
Energy	No energy is delivered to	Same as predicate	N/A
delivered	user or patient	device	

5.4 Performance, technology, materials

Area	Delta ⁴ (predicate	Delta ⁴ Phantom+	Comment
	device)		
Operating	The device consists out	Same as predicate device	N/A
principal	of matrixes of		IV/A
	semiconductors		
	embedded in a phantom.		
	These matrixes are		
	inserted into the		
	radiation field of a		
	medical linear		
	accelerator. If radiation		
	(from a radiotherapy		
	treatment field) hits the		
	semiconductors a signal		
	is created and transferred		
	to a computer where it is		
	analysed and among		
	others compared with the		
	intended dose		
	distribution.		
Detector	Semiconductors	Same as predicate device	<i>N/A</i>
technology			

े ScandiDos

Document Title:	
FDA 510(k) ·	Summary - Delta4 Phantom+

	Page
Document II).
D017 04 0	$a - 007_01_4$
D017040	$(a-007 \ 01 \ 6)$

a- 007_	_01_4
(a-007_	_01_6)

Area	Delta ⁴ (predicate device)	Delta ⁴ Phantom+	Comment
Detector placement	Two 2D arrays; the arrays are mounted in a 3D phantom	Same as predicate device	N/A
Shape: Dimensions Phantom	Cylinder, 22x40cm	Same as predicate device	N/A
Dimension phantom including electronics housings	 Length: About 72cm Total height when in lowest position: about 36cm Center height when in lowest position: about 18cm 	 Length: About 71cm Total height when in lowest position: about 28cm Center height when in lowest position: about 15.5cm 	 Practically and from the safety and effectiveness point of view there is no difference between the devices regarding overall length. The new device has lower phantom supports. The new phantom supports do not block the longitudinal alignment laser at all. Therefore the set up and the alignment of the new device is more convenient, safer and more effective compared to the predicate device. The center height has been lowered by some centimeters in the new device. This increases the clearance between the linac and the treatment couch for beams from beneath the couch with reduced risk for collision between linac and couch - the set up of the new device is therefore safer, more effective compared to the predicate device for the set up of the new device of the set ween the linac and the treatment couch for beams from beneath the couch with reduced risk for collision between linac and couch - the set up of the new device is therefore safer, more convenient and more effective compared to the predicate device.
Weight	About 27kg	Same as predicate device	<i>the predicate device. N/A</i>
Main Analysis parameters	 Dose Difference Distance to agreement Gamma index 	Same as predicate device	N/A N/A

6	ScandiDos
\sim	Sca 1010 05

Document Title:	
FDA 510(k) - Summary - Delta4 Phantom+	

Document ID:	Page
D017 04 010	a- 007_01_5
D017 04 010	(a-007 01 6)

5.5 Communication

Area	Delta ⁴ (predicate	Delta ⁴ Phantom+	Comment
	device)		
Communication	Via an ethernet cable	Same as predicate device	<i>N/A</i>
software $\leftarrow \rightarrow$	connected the PC is		
access point/	connected directly with		
router	the access point/router.		
Communication	Via Ethernet cables.	Wi-Fi 802.11n	By eliminating the
Access point/			ethernet cable to the
router $\leftarrow \rightarrow$			hardware the risk for
measuring			entangling (rotating
hardware			gantry) has been
			eliminated. This makes
			the usage both safer and
			also more convenient
			and effective (set up is
			much faster).
			The communication
			protocol used is a widely
			spread standard protocol
			(used in hospitals,
			homes, offices)
			guaranteeing a safe and
			effective use of the new
			device.

5.6 (Safety) Standards met

Area	Delta ⁴ (predicate device)	Delta ⁴ Phantom+	Comment
Standards met	• IEC 61010-1 • IEC 60601-1-2	Same as predicate device	N/A

5.7 Biocompatibility

Area	Delta ⁴ (predicate device)	Delta ⁴ Phantom+	Comment
General	Device is never in contact with patients	Same as predicate device	N/A
Compatibility of Phantom material	Good, see 21CFR886.1385 (PMMA)	Same as predicate device	N/A

<u>്</u> ര Sca	andiDos	© ScandiDos AB		
	ument Title: A 510(k) - Summary - Delta	4 Phantom+	Document ID: D017 04 010 02	Page a- 007_01_6 (a-007_01_6)

5.8 Compatibility with the environment and other devices, safety (mechanical, chemical, physical, thermal, radiation)

Area	Delta ⁴ (predicate	Delta ⁴ Phantom+	Comment
	device)		
General	Device fulfils the	Same as predicate	N/A
	essential requirements as	device	1V/A
	defined in the "Council		
	Directive 93/42/EEC of		
	14 June 1993 concerning		
	medical devices" (MDD)		

5.9 Sterility

Area	Delta ⁴ (predicate device)	Delta ⁴ Phantom+	Comment
General	Device is not intended to be sterile	Same as predicate device	N/A

5.10 Comparison with predicate

Comparison tests have been performed with the predicate device in clinical and non-clinical situations.

Among others pre-treatment verification measurements of numerous treatment plans have been performed with both the new device and the predicate device. The results were compared with each other and it was determined that the results had very good correlation.

6 SUMMARY

The new device is superior or at least equivalent, in many cases identical with the predicate devices regarding safety, effectiveness, design and performance.