

K051271

JAN 10 2006

tyco

Healthcare

4280 Hacienda Drive
Pleasanton, CA 94588

Tele: 925 463-4000
Fax: 925 463-4020

Nellcor

510(k) Summary

Submitted by: Nellcor Puritan Bennett, Inc.
4280 Hacienda Drive
Pleasanton, CA 94588

Company Contact: James Patrick Garvey II, RRT
Regulatory Affairs Manager
(925) 463-4479
(925) 463-4020 – FAX

Date Summary Prepared: May 4, 2005

Trade Name: OxiMax NeoMAX Adhesive Forehead Reflectance Oximetry Sensor

Common/Usual Name: Oxygen Sensor

Classification Name: Oximeter (DQA) per 21 CFR §870.2700

Substantially Equivalent Devices:

- Nellcor Puritan Bennett, Inc., OxiMax Pulse Oximetry System with N-595 Pulse Oximeter and OxiMax Sensors, K012891
- Nellcor Puritan Bennett, Inc., OxiMax MAX-FAST Adhesive Forehead Sensor, K021089

DEVICE DESCRIPTION

The OxiMax NeoMAX is a sterile, latex-free, single patient use forehead sensor, sized appropriately to fit the forehead of a neonatal or pediatric patient.

The OxiMax NeoMAX sensor contains a memory chip carrying information about the sensor which the oximeter needs for correct operation, including in-sensor data, Advanced Signal Evaluation, lot code and data set revision, and sensor model. The OxiMax NeoMAX sensor is compatible with OxiMAX monitors.

INTENDED USE

The Nellcor® OxiMax® adhesive forehead reflectance sensor, model NeoMAX, is indicated for single patient use when continuous noninvasive arterial oxygen saturation and pulse rate monitoring are required for neonatal and pediatric patients (≤ 40 kg).

00012

SUMMARY OF TECHNOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE DEVICE COMPARED TO THE PREDICATE DEVICE

The OxiMax NeoMAX sensor has the same technological characteristics as the OxiMax MAX-FAST. The differences relate to dimensions, lighter adhesive on the patient contact surface, and labeling.

TESTS PERFORMED TO SUPPORT DETERMINATION OF SUBSTANTIAL EQUIVALENCE

Human and bench tests were performed to support the determination of substantial equivalence. Human oxygenation evaluations were conducted to confirm conformance to accuracy and precision specifications.

CONCLUSIONS

The technological characteristics of the OxiMax NeoMAX sensor and the results of testing do not raise new questions of safety or effectiveness when compared to the legally marketed predicate device.



Food and Drug Administration
9200 Corporate Boulevard
Rockville MD 20850

JAN 10 2006

Mr. James P. Garvey
Regulatory Affairs Manager
Nellcor Puritan Bennett, Incorporated
4280 Hacienda Drive
Pleasanton, California 94588

Re: K051271
Trade/Device Name: OxiMax NeoMax Adhesive Forehead Reflectance
Regulation Number: 21 CFR 870.2700
Regulation Name: Oximeter
Regulatory Class: II
Product Code: DQA
Dated: December 22, 2005
Received: December 23, 2005

Dear Mr. Garvey:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to such additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the Federal Register.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820); and if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

This letter will allow you to begin marketing your device as described in your Section 510(k) premarket notification. The FDA finding of substantial equivalence of your device to a legally marketed predicate device results in a classification for your device and thus, permits your device to proceed to the market.

If you desire specific advice for your device on our labeling regulation (21 CFR Part 801), please contact the Office of Compliance at (240) 276-0120. Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21CFR Part 807.97). You may obtain other general information on your responsibilities under the Act from the Division of Small Manufacturers, International and Consumer Assistance at its toll-free number (800) 638-2041 or (301) 443-6597 or at its Internet address <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/industry/support/index.html>.

Sincerely yours,



Chiu Lin, Ph.D.

Director

Division of Anesthesiology, General Hospital,

Infection Control and Dental Devices

Office of Device Evaluation

Center for Devices and

Radiological Health

Enclosure

Indications for Use

510(k) Number (if known): _____

Device Name: Nellcor® OxiMAX® NeoMAX Forehead Sensor

Indications for Use:

The Nellcor® OxiMax® NeoMAX adhesive forehead reflectance sensor is indicated for single patient use when continuous noninvasive arterial oxygen saturation and pulse rate monitoring are required for neonatal and pediatric patients (≤ 40 kg).

Prescription Use
(Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)

AND/OR

Over-The-Counter Use _____
(21 CFR 801 Subpart C)

(PLEASE DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE-CONTINUE ON ANOTHER PAGE IF NEEDED)

Concurrence of CDRH, Office of Device Evaluation (ODE)

Janette Y. Michalek Omd

Director, Office of Device Evaluation, General Hospital,
Medical Devices

K051271 Page ___ of ___