## 510(k) SUBSTANTIAL EQUIVALENCE DETERMINATION DECISION SUMMARY

# A. 510(k) Number:

K110764

# **B.** Purpose for Submission:

To provide additional clinical evaluation data to supplement the previous FilmArray Respiratory Panel (RP) System 510k submission (k103175), and to support the unmasking of Parainfluenza Virus 1, Parainfluenza Virus 2 and Parainfluenza Virus 4, which were masked in the FDA cleared version of the FilmArray RP System under k103175 due to lack of sufficient performance data.

# C. Measurand:

Adenovirus, Coronavirus HKU1, Coronavirus NL63, Human Metapneumovirus, Influenza A subtype H1, Influenza A subtype H3, Influenza A subtype 2009 H1, Influenza B, Parainfluenza Virus 1, Parainfluenza Virus 2, Parainfluenza Virus 3, Parainfluenza Virus 4, Human Rhinovirus, Enterovirus, Respiratory Syncytial Virus nucleic acids target sequences.

# **D.** Type of Test:

A multiplexed nucleic acid test intended for use with the FilmArray instrument for the qualitative *in vitro* detection and identification of multiple respiratory pathogen nucleic acids in nasopharyngeal swabs (NPS) obtained from individuals suspected of respiratory tract infections.

# E. Applicant:

Idaho Technology Inc.

# F. Proprietary and Established Names:

FilmArray Respiratory Panel (RP) System Common Name: FilmArray Respiratory Panel (RP) System

# **G. Regulatory Information:**

<b>Product Code</b>	Classification	Regulation Section	Panel
OCC		21 CFR 866.3980 Respiratory Viral Panel Multiplex Nucleic Acid Assay	Microbiology (83)
OEM	Class II	21 CFR 866.3980 Respiratory Viral Panel Multiplex Nucleic Acid Assay	Microbiology (83)
OOU	Class II	21 CFR 866.3980 Respiratory Viral Panel Multiplex Nucleic Acid Assay	Microbiology (83)

OEP	Class II	21 CFR 866.3980 Respiratory Viral Panel Multiplex Nucleic Acid Assay	Microbiology (83)
OTG	Class II	21 CFR 866.3980 Respiratory Viral Panel Multiplex Nucleic Acid Assay	Microbiology (83)
NXD	Class II	21 CFR 866.3332 Reagents for Detection of Specific Novel Influenza A Viruses	Microbiology (83)
OOI	Class II	21 CFR 862.2570 Instrumentation for Clinical Multiplex Test Systems	Clinical Chemistry (75)

# H. Intended Use:

1. Intended use:

FilmArray Respiratory Panel (RP) is a multiplexed nucleic acid test intended for use with the FilmArray instrument for the simultaneous qualitative detection and identification of multiple respiratory viral nucleic acids in nasopharyngeal swabs (NPS) obtained from individuals suspected of respiratory tract infections. The following virus types and subtypes are identified using the FilmArray RP: Adenovirus, Coronavirus HKU1, Coronavirus NL63, Human Metapneumovirus, Influenza A, Influenza A subtype H1, Influenza A subtype H3, Influenza A subtype 2009 H1, Influenza B, Parainfluenza Virus 1, Parainfluenza Virus 2, Parainfluenza Virus 3, Parainfluenza Virus 4, Rhinovirus/Enterovirus, and Respiratory Syncytial Virus. The detection and identification of specific viral nucleic acids from individuals exhibiting signs and symptoms of a respiratory infection aids in the diagnosis of respiratory viral infection if used in conjunction with other clinical and epidemiological information. Negative results do not preclude respiratory viral infection and should not be used as the sole basis for diagnosis, treatment or other management decisions. Positive results do not rule out bacterial infection or co-infection with other organisms. The agent detected may not be the definite cause of disease. The use of additional laboratory testing (e.g., bacterial and viral culture, immunofluorescence, and radiography) and clinical presentation must be taken into consideration in order to obtain the final diagnosis of respiratory infection.

# Due to seasonal low prevalence, performance characteristics for Influenza A/H1, Influenza A/H3, Influenza A/2009 H1, Influenza B, Parainfluenza Virus 1, Parainfluenza Virus 2, and Parainfluenza Virus 4 were established primarily with retrospective clinical specimens.

Due to the genetic similarity between human Rhinovirus and Enterovirus, the FilmArray RP cannot reliably differentiate them. A positive FilmArray RP

Rhinovirus/Enterovirus result should be followed-up using an alternate method (e.g. cell culture or sequence analysis).

The FilmArray RP detects Adenovirus species C serotype 2 and serotype 6 with reduced sensitivity. It is recommended that specimens found to be negative for Adenovirus after examination using FilmArray RP be confirmed by an alternate method (e.g., FDA cleared molecular test or cell culture).

Performance characteristics for influenza A were established when influenza A/2009 H1N1, A/H1, and A/H3 were the predominant influenza A viruses in circulation. When other influenza A viruses are emerging, performance characteristics may vary. If infection with a novel influenza A virus is suspected based on current clinical and epidemiological screening criteria recommended by public health authorities, specimens should be collected with appropriate infection control precautions for novel virulent influenza viruses and sent to state or local health departments for testing. Viral culture should not be attempted in these cases unless a BSL 3+ facility is available to receive and culture specimens.

- 2. Indication for Use: Same as Intended Use
- 3. <u>Special conditions for use statement(s)</u>: For prescription use only
- 4. Special instrument requirements: FilmArray instrument

# I. Device Description:

The FilmArray RP pouch contains primers for the following respiratory pathogens: Adenovirus, Coronavirus (HKU1 and NL63), Influenza A (with subtyping for hemagglutinin genes H1, 2009 H1 and H3), Influenza B, human Metapneumovirus, Parainfluenza Virus (serotypes 1, 2, 3, 4), Respiratory Syncytial virus, and Rhinovirus/Enterovirus. The FilmArray RP pouch is a closed system disposable that houses all the chemistry required to isolate, amplify and detect nucleic acid from multiple respiratory pathogens within a single NPS specimen. The rigid plastic component (fitment) of the FilmArray RP pouch contains reagents in freezedried form. The flexible plastic portion of the pouch is divided into discrete segments (blisters) which, through interactions with actuators and sensors in the FilmArray instrument, are where the required chemical processes are carried out. The user of the FilmArray RP system loads the sample into the FilmArray RP pouch, places the pouch into the FilmArray instrument, and starts the run. All other operations are automated. A summary of the FilmArray assays and targets is presented in the following table:

Organism	Assay Type	Number of PCR2 Assays	Gene Target
Adenovirus	DNA	1	Hexon
Coronavirus HKU1	RNA	1	Nucleoprotein
Coronavirus NL63	RNA	1	Nucleoprotein

Influenza A	RNA	2	Matrix (Pan 1 assay) Non structural Protein (Pan 2 assay)
Influenza A H1	RNA	1	Hemagglutinin
Influenza A H1 2009	RNA	1	Hemagglutinin
Influenza A H3	RNA	1	Hemagglutinin
Influenza B	RNA	1	Hemagglutinin
Human Metapneumovirus	RNA	1	Nucleocapsid
Rhinovirus/Enterovirus	RNA	6	5'UTR
Parainfluenza Virus1	RNA	1	Hemagglutinin - Neuraminidase
Parainfluenza Virus 2	RNA	1	Fusion
Parainfluenza Virus 3	RNA	1	Fusion
Parainfluenza Virus 4	RNA	1	Fusion
Respiratory Syncytial virus (RSV)	RNA	1	Matrix
RNA Process Control	RNA	1	Schizosaccharomyces pombe
PCR2 Control	DNA	1	Arabidposis/Modified Synthetic

# The FilmArray RP Reagent Kit

The FilmArray RP Regent Kit contains all materials required to complete 30 tests. The contents of the test kit, with a brief description of each component, are described below:

• 30 FilmArray RP pouches

The RP pouches are used to test the patient samples. Each reagent pouch is packaged in a metal canister under vacuum. To maintain the vacuum, the metal canisters are packed in an outer foil wrapper.

- 30 transfer pipettes
   The transfer pipettes are used to transfer approximately 250 μL of patient
   NPS in viral transport media (VTM) to the single use Sample Buffer vials.
- 30 vials Sample Buffer (Red lid) Each single use vial contains 500 µL of Sample Buffer. Patient sample is added to the sample buffer before it is injected into the FilmArray RP pouch. The Sample Buffer is a guanidine hydrochloride solution that serves to inactivate RNases in the sample and promote binding of nucleic acids to the magnetic beads for extraction.
- 30 vials Hydration Solution (Blue lid) Each single use vial contains 1.5 mL of Hydration Solution (molecular grade water). The Hydration Solution is used to rehydrate the freeze-dried reagents contained in the FilmArray RP pouch.
- 30 individually packaged Sample Loading Syringes with attached cannula (denoted with a red cap)

Used for adding the patient sample/ buffer mixture to the pouch.

• 30 individually packaged Pouch Hydration Syringes with attached cannula (denoted with a blue cap)

Used for adding Hydration Solution to the pouch prior to testing.

# The FilmArray RP Pouch

The FilmArray RP pouch is a closed system disposable that houses all the chemistry required to isolate, amplify and detect nucleic acid from an NPS specimen. The pouch is divided into discrete segments (blisters) where specific steps are carried out. Reagents are arranged in the pouch such that sample is moved through the disposable sequentially as each biochemical event is performed.

# **The FilmArray Instrument**

The FilmArray instrument interacts with the FilmArray pouch mechanically, thermally, and optically to drive a multi-step chemical process designed to detect specific nucleic acid targets using multiplex nested PCR followed by DNA melting analysis. The instrument follows a protocol that is defined by a set of codes that are downloaded from the host computer at runtime. The instrument protocol defines the specific timing and sequence parameters as the instrument performs the following key functions:

- Perform cell disruption using the bead beater
- Extract nucleic acid from the disrupted sample
- Perform stage 1 PCR thermocycling of multiplexed PCR reaction
- Perform stage 2 PCR thermocycling of the array
- Execute a DNA melt and detect fluorescent signals generated
- Monitor system performance in real time and communicates out of specification conditions to the user via the software

# Materials Required But Not Provided

- FilmArray Instrument
- FilmArray Pouch Loading Station (provided with FilmArray Instrument)

# J. Substantial Equivalence Information:

1. <u>Predicate device name(s)</u>:

Luminex<sup>®</sup> xTAG<sup>™</sup> Respiratory Viral Panel (RVP).

2. Predicate K number(s):

K063765 K081483 K091677

3. <u>Comparison with predicate(s):</u>

	Similarities					
Element	FilmArray Respiratory Panel System	Luminex <sup>®</sup> хТАС <sup>тм</sup> RVР				
Organisms Detected	Influenza A, Influenza A subtype H1, Influenza A subtype H3, Influenza B, Respiratory Syncytial Virus, Human Metapneumovirus, Adenovirus, Parainfluenza Virus 1, Parainfluenza Virus 2 Parainfluenza Virus 3, and Rhinovirus.	Same except the differences listed below				
Analyte	RNA/DNA	Same				
Technological Principles	Multiplex nucleic acid	Same except the differences listed below				
Specimen Types	Nasopharyngeal swabs	Same				

Differences						
Element	FilmArray Respiratory Panel Test System	Luminex <sup>®</sup> xTAG <sup>™</sup> RVP				
Organisms Detected	Can distinguish Influenza A subtype 2009 H1 from Influenza A subtype H1. Also detects Coronavirus NL63, Coronavirus HKU1, Parainfluenza Virus 4 and Enterovirus.	Can distinguish Respiratory Syncytial Virus Type A from Respiratory Syncytial Virus Type B. Detects Parainfluenza Virus 1 and Parainfluenza Virus 2.				
Technological Principles	Nested multiplex RT-PCR followed by high resolution melting analysis to confirm identity of amplified product.	Multiplex RT-PCR and multiplex TSPE followed by Fluorescence-activated sorting of labeled beads coupled to streptavidin-conjugated biotinylated products				
Instrumentation	FilmArray Instrument	PCR Thermocycler Luminex <sup>®</sup> 100 IS or 200 system				
Time to result	Less than 1 hour	Approximately 8 hours				
Test Interpretation	Automated test interpretation and report generation. User cannot access raw data.	Semi-automated test interpretation. User must review all "no call" results to determine cause and retesting strategy.				
Sample Preparation Method	Sample Processing is automated in the FilmArray instrument.	Up front sample processing is required to extract nucleic acid.				
Reagent Storage	Reagents are stored at room temperature.	Reagents stored at 4°C and -20°C.				
Controls	Two controls are included in each reagent pouch to control for sample processing and both stages of PCR and melt analysis.	Internal control added to each sample. External control processed with each batch of samples.				

# K. Standard/Guidance Documents Referenced (if applicable):

- User Protocol for Evaluation of Qualitative Test Performance, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Approved Guideline, EP12-A (August 2002)
- Molecular Diagnostic Methods for Infectious Diseases, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Approved Guideline, MM3-A (December 1995)
- Interference Testing in Clinical Chemistry, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Approved Guideline EP7-A (December 2002)

- Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submissions for Software Contained in Medical Devices, FDA Guidance Document (May 11, 2005)
- Establishing Performance Characteristics of In Vitro Diagnostic Devices for Detection or Detection and Differentiation of Influenza Viruses (February 15, 2008)
- Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff Class II Special Controls Guidance Document: Testing for Detection and Differentiation of Influenza A Virus Subtypes Using Multiplex Assays (October 9, 2009)
- Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff Class II Special Controls Guidance Document: Respiratory Viral Panel Multiplex Nucleic Acid Assay (October 9, 2009)
- Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff Class II Special Controls Guidance Document: Testing for Human Metapneumovirus (hMPV) Using Nucleic Acid Assays (October 9, 2009)
- Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff Class II Special Controls Guidance Document: Instrumentation for Clinical Multiplex Test Systems (March 10, 2005)
- Guidance for Industry, FDA Reviewers and Compliance on Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices (September 9, 1999)

# L. Test Principle:

The FilmArray RP System is multiplex nucleic acid test system composed of the FilmArray instrument, the FilmArray software (preinstalled on a laptop computer) and the FilmArray RP pouch. The FilmArray RP pouch contains freeze-dried reagents to perform nucleic acid purification, reverse transcription, and nested, multiplex PCR with DNA melt analysis. The Respiratory Panel (RP) pouch identifies the following 15 respiratory pathogens: Adenovirus, Coronavirus HKU1, Coronavirus NL63, Human Metapneumovirus, Influenza A subtype H1, Influenza A subtype H3, Influenza A subtype 2009 H1, Influenza B, Parainfluenza Virus 1, Parainfluenza Virus 2, Parainfluenza Virus 3, Parainfluenza Virus 4, Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus and Respiratory Syncytial Virus.

A test is initiated by loading Hydration Solution and an unprocessed patient nasopharyngeal swab (NPS) specimen (i.e. specimen mixed with Sample Buffer) into the FilmArray RP pouch. The pouch contains all of the reagents required for specimen testing and analysis in a freeze-dried format. The addition of Hydration Solution and Sample/Buffer Mix rehydrates the reagents. After the pouch is prepared, the FilmArray software guides the user though the steps of placing the pouch into the instrument, scanning the pouch barcode, entering the sample identification and initiating the run. The FilmArray instrument contains a coordinated system of inflatable bladders and seal points, which act on the pouch to control the movement of liquid between the pouch blisters. Two Peltier devices control heating and cooling of the pouch to drive the reverse transcription reactions, the PCR reactions, and the melting curve analysis. Nucleic acid extraction occurs within the FilmArray pouch using mechanical lysis and standard magnetic bead technology. After extracting and purifying nucleic acids from the unprocessed sample, the FilmArray performs a nested multiplex PCR that is executed in two stages. During the first stage, the FilmArray performs a single highly multiplexed reverse transcription PCR reaction. The products from first stage PCR are then diluted and combined with a fresh, primer-free master mix and a fluorescent double stranded DNA binding dye (LC Green<sup>®</sup>Plus, Idaho Technology Inc.). This second master mix solution, is then distributed to each well of the array. Array wells contain sets of primers designed specifically to amplify sequences internal to the PCR products generated during the first stage PCR reaction. The second stage PCR, or nested PCR, is performed in singleplex fashion in each well of the array. At the conclusion of the second stage PCR, the array is interrogated by melting curve analysis for the detection of signature amplicons denoting the presence of specific viral or bacterial targets. A digital camera placed in front of the second stage PCR captures fluorescent images of the PCR reactions in real time. The FilmArray software automatically interprets the results of each DNA melting curve analysis and combines the data with the results of the internal pouch controls to provide a test result for each organism on the panel.

The melt detector module of the FilmArray Analysis software assigns positive and negative results to melt curves generated in each well of the PCR2 array. Each assay is present in triplicate wells distributed across the array. If at least 2 out of 3 wells have positive melt curves with similar profiles, a positive result is assigned to that assay. If less than 2 of the replicate wells have positive melt curves in the Tm window, or if the curves are dissimilar, a negative result is assigned to the assay. A meta-analysis determines the final result (Detected (positive), Not Detected (negative), or Equivocal) for each RP organism. For organisms represented by a single PCR2 assay, the assay result and organism result are the same. Results for Influenza A, and Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus are determined by meta-analysis of multiple assay results. Meta-analysis for Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus incorporates the results of 6 different assays (Entero 1, Entero 2, HRV1, HRV2, HRV3, and HRV4). The HRV assays recognize both Rhinoviruses and Enteroviruses, while the Entero assays target an unique sequence that is not found in Rhinoviruses. Any positive assay result will produce a combined Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus organism result. Organism results for Influenza A are determined by meta-analysis of multiple pan-influenza A and subtype targeted assay results. The FilmArray RP System is designed to both detect Influenza A and to differentiate commonly occurring hemagglutinin subtypes. To accomplish this, the FilmArray RP uses two Influenza A assays, (FluA-pan1and FluA-pan2) and three subtyping assays directed at the hemagglutinin gene (H1-pan, H1-2009 and H3). The H1-pan assay is designed to detect Influenza A/H1, including the Influenza A/2009 H1 variant. The H1-2009 assay specifically detects the pandemic 2009 H1N1 variant. Meta-analysis for Influenza A is outlined in the following table:

Assay Final Result	FluA Assays (n=2)	H1	2009 H1	Н3	Required Follow-up
Influenza A Not Detected	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	None
Influenza A H1	$\geq 1$ positive	Positive	Negative	Negative	
Influenza A H3	≥1 positive	Negative	Negative	Positive	

Influenza A H1/2009	$\geq 1$ positive	Any result	Positive	Negative	
Influenza A H1 and Influenza A H3	≥1 positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Multiple infections are
Influenza A H1/2009 and Influenza A H3	≥1 positive	Any result	Positive	Positive	possible but rare, retest to confirm result
Influenza A (no subtype detected)	2 positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	See below*
Influenza A equivocal	1 positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	
Influenza A H1 equivocal	Negative	Positive	Negative	Negative	Retest
Influenza A H3 equivocal	Negative	Negative	Negative	Positive	Ketest
Influenza A H1/2009 equivocal	Negative	Any result	Positive	Negative	

\*If both of the influenza A assays are positive, but none of the hemagglutinin subtyping assays are positive, then the interpretation is 'Influenza A (no subtype detected)'. This result could occur when the titer of the virus in the specimen is low and not detected by the subtyping assays. This result could also indicate the presence of a novel Influenza A strain. In both cases, the sample in question should be retested. If the retest provides a different result, test the sample a third time to ensure the accuracy of the result. If the retest provides the same result, then the function of the RP pouches should be verified by testing with appropriate external control materials (known positive samples for Influenza A H1, Influenza A H3 and Influenza A 2009 H1) and a negative control reaction should be run to test for PCR-product contamination. If the FilmArray RP accurately identifies the external controls, contact local or state public health authorities for confirmatory testing.

In general, Influenza A is determined to be detected if at least one of the two FluA pan assays is positive and the corresponding subtyping assay is also positive. If neither of the pan assays is positive, but a subtyping assay is positive, then the result is considered equivocal for that specific subtype and the sample should be retested. If one of the pan assays is positive and no subtyping assay is positive, the result is Influenza A Equivocal and the specimen should be retested. If both FluA pan assays are positive and no subtyping assay is positive the result is reported as 'Influenza A (no subtype detected). The product literature instructs the operator to retest the specimen. If the 'no subtype detected' result is repeated, the product literature directs the operator concerning appropriate follow-up testing and, if needed, notification of public health authorities.

If either the RNA Process Control or the PCR2 Control fails (negative assay result), all organisms are assigned an 'Invalid' test result. Invalid results are also assigned to all assays if the FilmArray instrument protocol or analysis does not complete, an Instrument Error is reported, or a Software Error is reported. All test specimens that receive 'Invalid' results should be retested.

## M. Performance Characteristics (if/when applicable):

1. Analytical performance:

## a. Precision/Reproducibility:

A precision/reproducibility study panel of twelve specimens (refer to the table below) was created by spiking simulated NPS sample matrix with known quantities of live RP organisms. The specimens in the panel were designed so that all 15 RP organisms would be tested at three concentrations (3 x LoD, 1x LoD, and 0.1 x LoD). Several analytes were spiked into each specimen to simulate the

possibility of co-infections with multiple pathogens at various levels. Human Rhinovirus (HRV) and Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) were included in more specimens than the other analytes because they were the most common panel members detected in co-infected clinical NPS specimens during the prospective clinical evaluation performed by ITI.

Sample #	1	2	3
Influenza A H1N1	0.1 x LoD	3 x LoD	1 x LoD
Human metapneumovirus	3 x LoD	1 x LoD	0.1 x LoD
Parainfluenza Virus 4	0.1 x LoD	3 x LoD	1 x LoD
Sample #	4	5	6
Influenza A 2009 H1N1	3 x LoD	1 x LoD	0.1 x LoD
Parainfluenza Virus 1	1 x LoD	0.1 x LoD	3 x LoD
Enterovirus	0.1 x LoD	3 x LoD	1 x LoD
Respiratory Syncytial	3 x LoD	1 x LoD	0.1 x LoD
Virus			
Coronavirus HKU1	0.1 x LoD	3 x LoD	1 x LoD
Sample #	7	8	9
Coronavirus NL63	3 x LoD	1 x LoD	0.1 x LoD
Influenza B	1 x LoD	0.1 x LoD	3 x LoD
Parainfluenza Virus 3	0.1 x LoD	3 x LoD	1 x LoD
Influenza A H3N2	1 x LoD	0.1 x LoD	3 x LoD
Human rhinovirus	0.1 x LoD	3 x LoD	1 x LoD
Sample #	10	11	12
Parainfluenza Virus 2	1 x LoD	0.1 x LoD	3 x LoD
Respiratory Syncytial	3 x LoD	1 x LoD	3 x LoD
Virus			
Human rhinovirus	3 x LoD	3 x LoD	0.1 x LoD

Panel of Specimens Evaluated for Precision/Reproducibility Testing with the FilmArray RP System

Following spiking, the composition of each specimen pool was verified by testing with the FilmArray RP system. Pools that did not perform as expected were remade. Pools that performed as expected were aliquoted into several individual use vials, and frozen until the scheduled day of testing. Specimen aliquots were hand-delivered (Site A) or shipped (Site B) to external testing sites on dry ice and stored frozen (-70°C) at each testing site until the day of testing. All specimen aliquots were tested on the same day they were removed from the freezer. The test operators at test sites were blinded to the organisms and test levels in each specimen.

Reproducibility testing was performed at three (3) test sites (Site A, Site B, and Site C) by multiple operators (4-5 per site) using multiple (26) lots of reagents and multiple (20) FilmArray instruments. Each specimen was tested 4 times per day (2 operators and 2 instruments per specimen) for 5 days at each site, giving a total of 20 test results from each test site (a minimum of 60 total test results for each analyte at each concentration). Negative analyte results were derived from any specimen that was not spiked with the analyte of interest. Every analyte was absent from at least six specimens, providing a minimum of 360 expected negative results for each analyte all sites combined.

Between the three test sites, 20 different instruments and 26 different lots of FilmArray RP pouches were utilized. A total of 1,056 specimens were tested over the course of the study, and 92.7% (979/1056) of these specimens yielded valid

results on the first attempt (i.e., first loaded pouch). Invalid results or no results were obtained for the remaining 77 (7.3%) specimens (no results for 31 specimens due to incomplete runs; 46 specimens were "invalid" due to Pouch Controls failures). The 31 incomplete runs consisted of Instrument Errors (14), Software Errors (9), and 8 runs that were either aborted by the operator or failed to complete. All samples for which the first pouch runs did not complete were retested using a new pouch/sample to obtain valid results. All samples for which the pouch controls failed were also retested using a new pouch/sample to obtain valid results.

Software and Instrument Performance	e - Reproducibility Study
-------------------------------------	---------------------------

	Total	Total					<b>Instrument Error</b>	
Total Specimens Tested	Completed Tests on First Pouch	Tests Not Completed on First Pouch	Aborted Runs by User	Incomplete Runs	Software Error	Valve Controller Error <sup>a</sup>	Camera Communication Error <sup>,b,c</sup>	Instrument Error Total
1056	1025	31	1	7	9	7	7	14
1050	97.1%	2.9%	0.1%	0.7%	0.9%	0.7%	0.7%	1.3%

<sup>a</sup> Known failure mode in the instrument bladder system. A new bladder material has been implemented to reduce this error mode.

<sup>b</sup> An additional 8 errors occurred; however, valid results were obtained by restarting the test using the same pouch.

<sup>c</sup> Known failure mode caused by a communication timing error between the FilmArray software and the driver of the instrument camera. The underlying cause of the errors was resolved with an update to the FilmArray software. This error mode was eliminated in software version 1.1. (Validation study of a total of 872 runs using software version 1.1 demonstrated 0% error rate caused by this particular error mode.)

Analysis of Pouch Controls - Reproducibility Study

Total Runs Total Runs			<b>Runs with RNA Processing Controls Failed</b>			<b>Runs with PCR2 Controls Failed</b>			
Total Completed Tests on First Pouch	with Pouch Controls Passed	with Pouch Controls Failed <sup>a</sup>	Total Runs with RNA Process Controls Failed	Both RNA Process and PCR2 Controls Failed	Only RNA Process Controls Failed <sup>b</sup>	Total Runs with PCR2 Controls Failed	Both RNA Process and PCR2 Controls Failed	Only PCR2 Controls Failed	
1025	979	46	46	6	40	6	6	0	
1025	95.5%	4.5%	4.5%	0.6%	3.9%	0.6%	0.6%	0%	

<sup>a</sup> Includes 1 run that failed because the wrong protocol was selected.

<sup>b</sup> Investigation into the higher than expected rate of RNA process controls revealed that the QC process used to control the amount of control template was inadequate. The QC process has been revised to better control the concentration of control template.

At each test site between 4 and 5 operators participated in the study, with at least 2 different operators testing samples each day. The number of errors experienced by each test site was similar, though the percentage was lower for Site C due to a higher number of runs performed. The distribution of errors between operators at test sites A and B was somewhat variable, but there did not appear to be a strong correlation between system errors and particular operators.

Summary of reproducibility study results for each analyte are provided below:

· ·				%				
Adenovirus				Agreement with				
Species C Seroty	pe 1	# Positive	# Negative	Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Mean Tm	%CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
Medium Positive	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	83.74	0.24	83.33 - 84.26
(3x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	84.23	0.21	83.95 - 84.60
900 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	83.76	0.28	83.03 - 84.13
500 TCH550 III	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	83.93	0.36	83.03 - 84.60
Low Positive	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	83.42	0.28	83.01 - 83.98
(1x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	83.90	0.26	83.54 - 84.30
300 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	83.62	0.38	83.05 - 84.64
500 101050 1112	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	83.64	0.39	83.01 - 84.64
High Negative <sup>b</sup>	Site A	18/20	2/20	90.0%	68.3% - 98.8%	83.33	0.26	83.02 - 83.76
(0.1 x LoD)	Site B	16/20	4/20	80.0%	56.3% - 94.3%	83.71	0.30	82.93 - 84.29
30 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	10/20	10/20	50.0%	27.2% - 72.8%	83.26	0.31	82.61 - 83.86
50 TOID 50 HIL	All Sites	44/60	16/60	73.3%	60.3% - 83.9%	83.43	0.38	82.61 - 84.29
	Site A	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%		-	
Negative	Site B	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	Site C	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	All Sites	0/540	540/540	100.0%	99.3% - 100%			

# Summary of Positive Agreement, Negative Agreement, and Tm Results from Reproducibility Testing of Single Assay Analytes

<b>Coronavirus</b> Type B Clinical Specin		# Positive	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Mean Tm	%CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
Medium Positive	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	75.61	0.23	75.37 - 76.02
(3x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	76.02	0.26	75.58 - 76.33
5.7 x 10 <sup>6</sup> RNA	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	75.49	0.32	74.96 - 75.90
copies/mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	75.69	0.41	74.96 - 76.33
Low Positive	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	75.47	0.22	74.96 - 75.79
(1x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	75.89	0.20	75.59 - 76.12
1.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup> RNA	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	75.35	0.30	74.83 - 75.81
copies/mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	75.55	0.40	74.83 - 76.12
High Negative <sup>b</sup>	Site A	15/20	5/20	75.0%	50.9% - 91.3%	75.51	0.28	75.06 - 75.90
(0.1 x LoD)	Site B	17/20	3/20	85.0%	62.1% - 96.8%	75.85	0.22	75.26 - 76.23
1.9 x 10 <sup>5</sup> RNA copies/mL	Site C	19/20	1/20	95.0%	75.1% - 99.9%	75.33	0.22	74.98 - 75.59
	All Sites	51/60	9/60	85.0%	73.4% - 92.9%	75.55	0.38	74.98 - 76.23
Negative	Site A	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%		-	

Туре Е	Coronavirus HKU1 Type B Clinical Specimen 6123		# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Mean Tm	%CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	Site B	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	Site C	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	All Sites	0/540	540/540	100.0%	99.3% - 100%			

	<b>Coronavirus NL63</b> BEI Resources NR-470		# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Mean Tm	%CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	80.21	0.31	79.64 - 80.90
Medium Positive (3x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	80.55	0.33	79.99 - 81.03
	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	80.04	0.25	79.67 - 80.62
15 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	80.29	0.40	79.64 - 81.03
	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	80.08	0.25	79.57 - 80.42
Low Positive (1x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	80.40	0.24	79.98 - 80.89
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	79.88	0.27	79.36 - 80.40
5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	80.12	0.38	79.14 - 80.89
1	Site A	13/20	7/20	65.0%	40.8% - 84.6%	80.08	0.34	79.21 - 80.82
High Negative <sup>b</sup> (0.1 x LoD)	Site B	14/20	6/20	70.0%	45.7% - 88.1%	80.36	0.30	79.98 - 80.91
. , ,	Site C	10/20	10/20	50.0%	27.2% - 72.8%	79.91	0.26	79.24 - 80.30
0.5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	37/60	23/60	61.7%	48.2% - 73.9%	80.11	0.39	79.21 - 80.91
	Site A	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%		•	
	Site B	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
Negative	Site C	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	All Sites	0/540	540/540	100.0%	99.3% - 100%			

Human Metapneumovirus hMPV-16 (A1)		# Positive	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Mean Tm	%CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	77.61	0.23	77.06 - 77.90
Medium Positive (3x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	78.07	0.28	77.67 - 78.61
, ,	Site C	19/20	1/20	95.0%	75.1% - 99.9%	77.73	0.21	77.45 - 78.11
6 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	59/60	1/60	98.3%	91.1% - 100%	77.82	0.36	77.06 - 78.61

	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	77.39	0.21	77.04 - 77.79
Low Positive (1x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	77.88	0.22	77.37 - 78.11
	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	77.60	0.24	77.16 - 77.99
2 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	77.62	0.35	77.04 - 78.11
L	Site A	17/20	2/20	85.0%	62.1% - 96.8%	77.34	0.20	77.05 - 77.59
High Negative <sup>b</sup> (0.1 x LoD)	Site B	19/20	6/20	95.0%	75.1% - 99.9%	77.76	0.22	77.06 - 78.11
. ,	Site C	12/20	4/20	60.0%	36.1% - 80.9%	77.37	0.29	76.74 - 77.79
0.2 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	48/60	12/60	80.0%	67.7% - 89.2%	77.50	0.35	76.74 - 78.11
	Site A	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%		<u>.</u>	•
	Site B	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
Negative	Site C	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	All Sites	0/540	540/540	100.0%	99.3% - 100%			

<b>Influenza</b> B/FL/04/0		# Positive	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Mean Tm	%CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	80.47	0.26	79.88 - 80.93
Medium Positive (3x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	80.88	0.31	80.30 - 81.30
, ,	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	80.36	0.32	79.78 - 80.80
180 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	80.56	0.40	79.78 - 81.30
	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	80.44	0.27	80.00 - 80.92
Low Positive (1x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	80.79	0.29	80.40 - 81.33
, ,	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	80.34	0.22	79.77 - 80.81
60 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	80.51	0.37	79.77 - 81.33
	Site A	12/20	8/20	60.0%	36.1% - 80.9%	80.42	0.32	79.84 - 80.90
High Negative <sup>b</sup> (0.1 x LoD)	Site B	10/20	10/20	50.0%	27.2% - 72.8%	80.78	0.25	80.40 - 81.17
· · · · ·	Site C	8/20	12/20	40.0%	19.1% - 64.0%	80.30	0.21	79.79 - 80.69
6 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	30/60	30/60	50.0%	36.8% - 63.2%	80.50	0.36	79.79 - 81.17
	Site A	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	Site B	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
Negative	Site C	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	All Sites	0/540	540/540	100.0%	99.3% - 100%			

<b>Parainfluenza</b> Zeptometrix # Z081		# Positive	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Mean Tm	%CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	78.86	0.26	78.42 - 79.25
Medium Positive (3x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	79.32	0.28	78.83 - 79.78
( )	Site C	19/20	1/20	95.0%	75.1% - 99.9%	78.50	0.28	78.02 - 78.87
1,500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	59/60	1/60	98.3%	91.1% - 100%	78.91	0.50	78.02 - 79.78
	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	78.60	0.31	77.99 - 79.05
Low Positive (1x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	78.93	0.26	78.31 - 79.36
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	78.50	0.38	77.90 - 79.16
500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	78.67	0.40	77.90 - 79.36
	Site A	15/20	5/20	75.0%	50.1% - 91.3%	78.54	0.25	78.10 - 78.94
High Negative <sup>b</sup> (0.1 x LoD)	Site B	15/20	5/20	75.0%	50.1% - 91.3%	78.94	0.25	78.52 - 79.36
· · · · ·	Site C	13/20	7/20	65.0%	41.0% - 84.6%	78.41	0.35	77.87 - 79.02
50 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	43/60	17/60	71.7%	58.6% - 82.6%	78.61	0.42	77.79 - 79.36
	Site A	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	Site B	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
Negative	Site C	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	All Sites	0/540	540/540	100.0%	99.3% - 100%			

	<b>Parainfluenza Virus 2</b> Zeptometrix #0810015CF		# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Mean Tm	%CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	83.63	0.36	83.01 - 84.39
Medium Positive (3x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	84.06	0.40	83.44 - 84.79
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	83.88	0.32	83.13 - 84.28
30 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	83.85	0.42	83.01 - 84.79
	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	83.56	0.28	82.94 - 84.08
Low Positive (1x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	84.00	0.31	83.52 - 84.63
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	83.79	0.32	82.92 - 84.25
10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	83.78	0.37	82.92 - 84.63
	Site A	12/20	8/20	60.0%	36.1% - 80.9%	83.43	0.34	82.71 - 83.96
High Negative <sup>b</sup> (0.1 x LoD) 1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site B	12/20	8/20	60.0%	36.1% - 80.9%	83.91	0.31	83.43 - 84.56
	Site C	11/20	9/20	55.0%	31.5% - 76.9%	83.71	0.36	82.91 - 84.30
	All Sites	35/60	25/60	58.3%	44.9% - 70.9%	83.69	0.41	82.71 - 84.56

	<b>Parainfluenza Virus 2</b> Zeptometrix #0810015CF		# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Mean Tm	%CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	Site A	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	Site B	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
Negative	Site C	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	All Sites	0/540	540/540	100.0%	99.3% - 100%			

<b>Parainfluenza V</b> Zeptometrix #081		# Positive	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Mean Tm	%CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	81.17	0.36	80.71 - 81.86
Medium Positive (3x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	81.48	0.35	81.03 - 81.89
, <i>,</i> ,	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	80.94	0.28	80.63 - 81.37
30 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	81.22	0.41	80.63 - 81.89
	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	80.97	0.36	80.36 - 81.52
Low Positive (1x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	81.35	0.26	80.93 - 81.79
, ,	Site C	17/20	3/20	85.0%	62.1% - 96.8%	80.86	0.28	80.10 - 81.21
10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	57/60	3/60	95.0%	86.1% - 99.0%	81.08	0.40	80.10 - 81.79
1	Site A	10/20	10/20	50.0%	27.2% - 72.8%	80.99	0.26	80.30 - 81.34
High Negative <sup>b</sup> (0.1 x LoD)	Site B	7/20	13/20	35.0%	15.4% - 59.2%	81.29	0.28	80.61 - 81.77
. , ,	Site C	5/20	15/20	25.0%	8.7% - 49.1%	80.84	0.24	80.41 - 81.24
1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	22/60	38/60	36.7%	24.6% - 50.1%	81.05	0.34	80.30 - 81.77
	Site A	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	Site B	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
Negative	Site C	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	All Sites	0/540	540/540	100.0%	99.3% - 100%			

<b>Parainfluenza V</b> Zeptometrix #081		# Positive	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Mean Tm	%CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
Medium Positive (3x LoD)	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	77.70	0.30	77.36 - 78.10
(3x L0D)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	78.09	0.56	77.48 - 78.74
15,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	77.73	0.40	77.05 - 78.21

<b>Parainfluenza Virus 4a</b> Zeptometrix #0810060CF		# Positive	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Mean Tm	%CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	77.82	0.47	77.05 - 78.74
	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	77.11	0.28	76.64 - 77.68
Low Positive (1x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	77.65	0.41	76.73 - 78.71
、 <i>,</i> ,	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%	77.23	0.38	76.81 - 78.20
5,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	77.33	0.46	76.64 - 78.71
h	Site A	4/20	16/20	20.0%	5.7% - 43.7%	77.07	0.26	76.63 - 77.58
High Negative <sup>b</sup> (0.1 x LoD)	Site B	5/20	15/20	25.0%	8.7% - 49.1%	77.59	0.27	77.05 - 78.00
	Site C	11/20	9/20	55.0%	31.5% - 76.9%	77.24	0.30	76.62 - 77.84
500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	20/60	40/60	33.3%	21.7% - 46.7%	77.29	0.40	76.62 - 78.00
	Site A	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%		<u>.</u>	
	Site B	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
Negative	Site C	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%			
	All Sites	0/540	540/540	100.0%	99.3% - 100%			

Respiratory Syncy Type A	tial Virus	# Positive	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Mean Tm	%CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	Site A	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	80.44	0.35	79.46 - 80.83
Medium Positive (3x LoD)	Site B	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	80.86	0.25	80.41 - 81.56
	Site C	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%	80.39	0.33	80.08 - 80.91
6 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	180/180	0/180	100%	97.8% - 100%	80.58	0.40	79.46 - 81.56
	Site A	40/40	0/40	100%	91.2% - 100%	79.82	0.50	78.93 - 80.62
Low Positive (1x LoD)	Site B	40/40	0/40	100%	91.2% - 100%	80.40	0.46	79.47 - 81.03
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Site C	40/40	0/40	100%	91.2% - 100%	80.13	0.47	79.13 - 80.79
2 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	120/120	0/120	100%	97.0% - 100%	80.10	0.57	78.93 - 81.03
	Site A	18/20	2/20	90.0%	68.3% - 98.8%	79.63	0.50	78.72 - 80.72
High Negative <sup>b</sup> (0.1 x LoD)	Site B	17/20	3/20	85.0%	62.1% - 96.8%	80.12	0.50	79.26 - 80.89
	Site C	11/20	9/20	55.0%	31.5% - 76.9%	79.97	0.57	78.83 - 80.84
0.2 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	46/60	14/60	76.7%	64.0% - 86.6%	79.90	0.58	78.72 - 80.89
Negative	Site A	0/120	120/120	100.0%	97.0% - 100%			
	Site B	0/120	120/120	100.0%	97.0% - 100%			
	Site C	0/120	120/120	100.0%	97.0% - 100%			

Respiratory Syncy Type A	tial Virus	# Positive	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	Mean Tm	%CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	All Sites	0/360	360/360	100.0%	99.0% - 100%		-	

# Summary of Positive Agreement, Negative Agreement, and Tm Results from Reproducibility Testing of Multi- Assay Analytes

	×		, (11uman Kinn	ovirus/Enterovirus) %	
Enterovirus Echovirus 6 (Spec	Echovirus 6 (Species B)		#	Agreement with	95%
(opt			Negative	Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	CI
	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
Medium Positive (3x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
90,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%
	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
Low Positive (1x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
30,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	60/60	100%	94.0% - 100%
L.	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
High Negative <sup>b</sup> (0.1 x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
3,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%
	Site A	0/60	60/60	100.0%	94.0% - 100%
	Site B	0/60	60/60	100.0%	94.0% - 100%
Negative	Site C	0/60	60/60	100.0%	94.0% - 100%
	All Sites	0/180	180/180	100.0%	97.8% - 100%

Reproducibility Agreement Summary for Enterovirus (Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus)

<b>Reproducibility Tm</b>	Summary (by assay	) for Enterovirus
---------------------------	-------------------	-------------------

Assay	<b>Enterovirus</b> Echovirus 6 (Species B)		Mean Tm	% CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
Entero 1	Medium Positive	Site A	87.12	0.24	86.79 - 87.73
	3x LoD	Site B	87.51	0.30	86.99 - 88.05
	90,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	86.98	0.33	86.37 - 87.64
	90,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	87.18	0.39	86.37 - 88.05
	Low Positive	Site A	87.00	0.33	86.17 - 87.64
	1x LoD	Site B	87.36	0.29	86.80 - 87.85

Assay	<b>Enterovir</b> Echovirus 6 (Sp		Mean Tm	% CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	30,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	86.81	0.35	86.15 - 87.41
	50,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	87.05	0.42	86.15 - 87.85
	High Negative 0.1x LoD 3,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site A	86.89	0.29	86.06 - 87.40
		Site B	87.34	0.31	86.67 - 87.86
		Site C	86.67	0.29	85.97 - 87.30
	5,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	86.96	0.44	85.97 - 87.86
	Medium Positive	Site A	87.09	0.28	86.68 - 87.73
	3x LoD	Site B	87.47	0.30	86.82 - 88.00
	90,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	86.93	0.36	86.16 - 87.64
	90,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	87.14	0.41	86.16 - 88.00
	Low Desitive	Site A	86.98	0.30	86.28 - 87.53
Entero 2	Low Positive 1x LoD	Site B	87.34	0.28	86.89 - 87.82
Entero 2	30,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	86.77	0.35	86.05 - 87.52
	50,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	87.02	0.41	86.05 - 87.82
	Lligh Magative	Site A	86.86	0.29	86.17 - 87.54
	High Negative 0.1x LoD	Site B	87.26	0.35	86.59 - 87.94
	3,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	86.65	0.27	86.27 - 87.20
	5,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	86.92	0.42	86.17 - 87.94
	Medium Positive	Site A	85.70	0.31	85.21 - 86.18
	3x LoD	Site B	86.19	0.30	85.35 - 86.77
	90,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	85.59	0.34	84.91 - 86.19
	50,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> IIIL	All Sites	85.81	0.44	84.91 - 86.77
	Low Positive	Site A	85.45	0.26	84.81 - 86.06
HRV4	1x LoD	Site B	85.87	0.24	85.44 - 86.36
IIIX V 4	30,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	85.32	0.40	84.69 - 86.26
	,	All Sites	85.54	0.40	84.69 - 86.36
	High Negative	Site A	85.37	0.26	84.80 - 86.04
	0.1x LoD	Site B	85.82	0.23	85.43 - 86.23
	3,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	85.22	0.22	84.82 - 85.58
	3,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	85.46	0.39	84.80 - 86.23

#### Reproducibility Agreement Summary for Rhinovirus (Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus)

Human Rhinov A1	irus	# Positive	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI
	Site A	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%
Medium Positive (3x LoD)	Site B	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%
, <i>,</i> ,	Site C	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%
3 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	180/180	0/180	100%	97.8% - 100%
Low Positive (1x LoD)	Site A	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
(IX LOD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%

Human Rhinov A1	virus	# Positive	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI
1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	20/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
	All Sites	60/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%
	Site A	40/40	0/40	100%	91.2% - 100%
High Negative <sup>b</sup> (0.1 x LoD)	Site B	40/40	0/40	100%	91.2% - 100%
	Site C	32/40	8/40	80.0%	64.4% - 91.0%
0.1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	112/120	8/120	93.3%	87.3% - 97.1%
	Site A	0/60	60/60	100.0%	94.0% - 100%
	Site B	0/60	60/60	100.0%	94.0% - 100%
Negative	Site C	0/60	60/60	100.0%	94.0% - 100%
	All Sites	0/180	180/180	100.0%	97.8% - 100%

<b>Reproducibility Tm</b>	Summary (1	by assay)	for Rhinovirus
iteproducionity im	Summary	oj ussujj	ioi iumovnuus

Assay	Human Rhinovirus A1		Mean Tm	% CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	Medium Positive	Site A	83.79	0.44	83.25 - 85.09
	3x LoD	Site B	84.06	0.31	83.43 - 84.56
	3 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	83.68	0.23	83.22 - 84.09
	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	83.84	0.38	83.22 - 85.09
	Low Positive	Site A	83.71	0.29	83.07 - 84.35
HRV1	1x LoD	Site B	84.07	0.34	83.44 - 84.66
	1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	83.93	0.34	83.24 - 84.71
	$1 \text{ ICID}_{50}/\text{IIIL}$	All Sites	83.90	0.37	83.07 - 84.71
	High Negative	Site A	83.56	0.28	83.02 - 84.26
	0.1x LoD	Site B	83.91	0.34	83.22 - 84.52
	0.1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	83.76	0.31	83.04 - 84.48
	0.1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	83.74	0.36	83.02 - 84.52
	Medium Positive	Site A	83.33	0.48	82.55 - 84.67
	3x LoD	Site B	83.65	0.29	83.11 - 84.17
	3 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	83.30	0.28	82.70 - 83.77
	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	83.42	0.41	82.55 - 84.67
	Low Positive	Site A	83.28	0.31	82.57 - 83.86
HRV2	1x LoD	Site B	83.66	0.36	83.02 - 84.37
11K V 2	1 TCID /ml	Site C	83.52	0.39	82.82 - 84.29
	1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	83.48	0.40	82.57 - 84.37
	High Negative	Site A	83.17	0.34	82.42 - 83.88
	0.1x LoD	Site B	83.58	0.36	82.80 - 84.31
	0.1 TCID /mI	Site C	83.37	0.33	82.51 - 83.92
	0.1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	83.37	0.40	82.42 - 84.31

Assay	Human Rhinovirus A1		Mean Tm	% CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	Medium Positive	Site A	82.73	0.53	81.99 - 83.94
	3x LoD	Site B	83.25	0.36	82.49 - 83.88
	3 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	82.89	0.43	82.10 - 83.88
	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	82.96	0.51	81.99 - 83.94
	Low Positive	Site A	82.67	0.49	81.76 - 83.65
HRV3	1x LoD	Site B	83.24	0.44	82.40 - 84.08
IIKV5	1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	83.07	0.41	82.13 - 83.76
	1 1CID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	82.98	0.54	81.76 - 84.08
	High Negative	Site A	82.68	0.50	81.78 - 83.67
	0.1x LoD	Site B	83.21	0.46	82.18 - 84.37
	0.1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	82.97	0.42	81.85 - 83.64
		All Sites	82.96	0.52	81.78 - 84.37
	Medium Positive	Site A	83.81	0.38	83.28 - 84.98
	3x LoD	Site B	84.14	0.34	83.43 - 84.83
	3 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	83.80	0.25	83.44 - 84.20
	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	83.90	0.37	83.28 - 84.98
	Low Positive	Site A	83.79	0.31	83.18 - 84.45
HRV4	1x LoD	Site B	84.08	0.31	83.55 - 84.61
11K V 4	1 TCID /ml	Site C	84.04	0.38	83.35 - 84.93
	1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	83.94	0.37	83.18 - 84.93
	High Negative	Site A	83.58	0.26	83.11 - 84.05
	0.1x LoD	Site B	83.88	0.31	83.34 - 84.49
	0.1 TCID /ml	Site C	83.86	0.27	83.24 - 84.58
	0.1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	83.76	0.33	83.11 - 84.58

# Reproducibility Agreement Summary for Influenza A/H1

	Influenza A H1N1 A/Brisbane/59/07		# Equivocal	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI
Medium	Site A	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
Positive (3x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
	Site C	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
600 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%
Low Positive	Site A	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
(1x LoD)	Site B	19/20	1/20	0/20	95.0%	75.1% - 99.9%
200	Site C	17/20	2/20	1/20	85.0%	62.1% - 96.8%
TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	56/60	3/60	1/60	93%	83.8% - 98.2%
High	Site A	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
Negative $(0.1 \text{ x LoD})^{b}$	Site B	17/20	2/20	1/20	85.0%	62.1% - 96.8%
	Site C	14/20	5/20	1/20	70.0%	45.7% - 88.1%
20 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	51/60	7/60	2/60	85.0%	73.4% - 92.9%

Influenza A H1N1 A/Brisbane/59/07		# Positive	# Equivocal	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI
	Site A	0/180	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%
	Site B	0/180	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%
Negative	Site C	0/180	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%
	All Sites	0/540	0/540	540/540	100.0%	99.3% - 100%

Assay	Influenza A A/Brisbane/	H1N1	Mean Tm	% CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	Moderate Positive	Site A	84.67	0.24	84.27 - 85.12
	3x LoD	Site B	85.10	0.24	84.78 - 85.56
	(00 TCID /ml	Site C	84.64	0.34	83.76 - 85.23
	600 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	84.80	0.37	83.76 - 85.56
	Low Positive	Site A	84.57	0.27	84.17 - 85.31
FluA	1x LoD	Site B	85.01	0.28	84.59 - 85.75
pan1	200 TCID /mI	Site C	84.68	0.26	84.16 - 85.18
	200 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	84.75	0.34	84.16 - 85.75
	High Negative	Site A	84.27	0.26	83.85 - 84.81
	0.1x LoD	Site B	84.75	0.23	84.29 - 85.32
	20 TOD /ml	Site C	84.46	0.35	83.89 - 85.48
	20 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	84.48	0.37	83.85 - 85.48
	Moderate Positive	Site A	80.42	0.25	79.78 - 80.63
	3x LoD	Site B	80.85	0.27	80.39 - 81.26
	600 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	80.31	0.28	79.89 - 80.72
	000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	80.52	0.39	79.78 - 81.26
	Low Positive 1x LoD	Site A	80.36	0.23	79.99 - 80.73
FluA		Site B	80.80	0.21	80.42 - 81.15
pan2	200 TCID /I	Site C	80.52	0.22	80.19 - 80.89
	200 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	80.57	0.32	79.99 - 81.15
	High Negative	Site A	79.91	0.37	79.15 - 80.41
	0.1x LoD	Site B	80.49	0.30	79.67 - 80.83
		Site C	80.10	0.35	79.56 - 80.73
	20 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	80.17	0.45	79.15 - 80.83
FluA	Moderate Positive	Site A	78.79	0.25	78.31 - 79.25
H1-pan	3x LoD	Site B	79.20	0.31	78.30 - 79.57
	(00 TCID /ml	Site C	78.76	0.39	77.79 - 79.25
	600 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	78.91	0.42	77.67 - 79.57
	Low Positive	Site A	78.77	0.25	78.42 - 79.34
	1x LoD	Site B	79.20	0.27	78.72 - 79.67
	200 TCID /1	Site C	78.80	0.25	78.30 - 79.26
	200 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	78.93	0.36	78.30 - 79.67

Assay	Influenza A H1N1 A/Brisbane/59/07		Mean Tm	% CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	High Negative 0.1x LoD 20 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site A	77.65	0.33	77.15 - 78.21
		Site B	78.18	0.45	77.47 - 79.26
		Site C	77.93	0.49	77.43 - 79.04
		All Sites	77.92	0.51	77.15 - 79.26

#### Reproducibility Agreement Summary for Influenza A/2009 H1

	<b>Influenza A 2009 H1N1</b> A/Swine NY/03/2009		# Equivocal	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI
	Site A	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
Medium Positive (3x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
	Site C	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
300 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%
	Site A	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
Low Positive (1x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
	Site C	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
100 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%
L	Site A	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
High Negative <sup>b</sup> (0.1 x LoD)	Site B	19/20	1/20	0/20	95.0%	75.1% - 99.9%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Site C	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	59/60	1/60	0/60	98.3%	91.1% - 100%
	Site A	0/180	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%
	Site B	0/180	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%
Negative	Site C	0/180	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%
	All Sites	0/540	0/540	540/540	100.0%	99.3% - 100%

Reproducibility Tm Summary (by assay) for Influenza A/2009 H1

Assay	Influenza A 20 A/Swine NY/		Mean Tm	% CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
FluA	Moderate Positive	Site A	84.67	0.24	84.27 - 85.12
pan1	3x LoD	Site B	85.10	0.24	84.78 - 85.56
	300 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	84.64	0.34	83.76 - 85.23
	$300 \text{ ICID}_{50}/\text{mL}$	All Sites	84.80	0.37	83.76 - 85.56
	Low Positive	Site A	84.57	0.27	84.17 - 85.31
	1x LoD	Site B	85.01	0.28	84.59 - 85.75
	100 TCID /ml	Site C	84.68	0.26	84.16 - 85.18
	100 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	84.75	0.34	84.16 - 85.75
	High Negative	Site A	84.27	0.26	83.85 - 84.81
	0.1x LoD	Site B	84.75	0.23	84.29 - 85.32

Assay	Influenza A 20 A/Swine NY/		Mean Tm	% CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
		Site C	84.46	0.35	83.89 - 85.48
	10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	84.48	0.37	83.85 - 85.48
	Moderate Positive	Site A	80.62	0.20	80.31 - 80.83
	3x LoD	Site B	81.01	0.17	80.70 - 81.36
		Site C	80.69	0.23	80.19 - 81.02
	300 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	80.81	0.32	80.19 - 81.36
	Low Positive	Site A	80.39	0.29	79.87 - 80.73
FluA	1x LoD	Site B	80.91	0.27	80.20 - 81.24
pan2		Site C	80.30	0.17	80.05 - 80.61
	100 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	80.62	0.44	79.87 - 81.24
	High Negative	Site A	80.43	0.27	80.08 - 81.03
	0.1x LoD	Site B	80.72	0.27	80.11 - 81.14
		Site C	80.41	0.34	79.86 - 80.82
	10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	80.54	0.34	79.86 - 81.14
	Moderate Positive 3x LoD	Site A	78.87	0.40	78.20 - 79.56
		Site B	79.44	0.35	78.94 - 79.99
	300 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	78.62	0.43	77.92 - 79.44
		All Sites	78.97	0.58	77.92 - 79.99
	Low Positive 1x LoD 100 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site A	78.37	0.30	77.89 - 79.24
FluA		Site B	78.90	0.37	78.21 - 79.76
H1-pan		Site C	78.16	0.25	77.83 - 78.69
		All Sites	78.47	0.51	77.78 - 79.76
	High Negative	Site A	78.34	0.37	77.90 - 79.37
	0.1x LoD	Site B	78.83	0.37	77.99 - 79.68
		Site C	78.08	0.27	77.68 - 78.51
	10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	78.40	0.53	77.68 - 79.68
	Moderate Positive	Site A	78.73	0.24	78.31 - 79.14
	3x LoD	Site B	79.20	0.24	78.84 - 79.67
	300 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	78.54	0.30	77.89 - 78.92
	500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	78.81	0.44	77.89 - 79.67
	Low Positive	Site A	78.64	0.26	78.10 - 79.14
FluA	1x LoD	Site B	79.03	0.25	78.53 - 79.48
H1-2009	100 TCID /mI	Site C	78.49	0.24	78.12 - 78.82
	100 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	78.71	0.39	78.10 - 79.48
	High Negative	Site A	78.60	0.28	77.90 - 79.04
	0.1x LoD	Site B	79.00	0.23	78.52 - 79.36
	10 TCID /I	Site C	78.52	0.28	78.10 - 78.93
	10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	78.70	0.38	77.90 - 79.36

	Influenza A H3N2 A/Wisconsin/67/2005		# Equivocal	# Negative	% Agreement with Expected Result <sup>a</sup>	95% CI
	Site A	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
Medium Positive (3x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
	Site C	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
15 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%
	Site A	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
Low Positive (1x LoD)	Site B	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
· · · ·	Site C	20/20	0/20	0/20	100%	83.2% - 100%
5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	60/60	0/60	0/60	100%	94.0% - 100%
Ŀ	Site A	3/20	11/20	6/20	15.0%	3.2% - 37.9%
High Negative <sup>b</sup> (0.1 x LoD)	Site B	4/20	12/20	4/20	20.0%	5.7% - 43.7%
	Site C	3/20	8/20	9/20	15.0%	3.2% - 37.9%
0.5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	10/60	31/60	19/60	16.7%	8.3% - 28.5%
	Site A	0/180	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%
	Site B	0/180	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%
Negative	Site C	0/180	0/180	180/180	100.0%	98.0% - 100%
	All Sites	0/540	0/540	540/540	100.0%	99.3% - 100%

Reproducibility Agreement Summary for Influenza A/H3

<b>Reproducibility</b> Tm	Summary	(hy accay)	for Influenze	A/H3
Reproducibility 1 m	Summary	(Dy assay)	101 Innuenza	А/ПЭ

Assay	Influenza A H3N2 A/Wisconsin/67/2005		Mean Tm	% CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	Moderate Positive	Site A	85.33	0.26	84.79 - 85.73
	3x LoD	Site B	85.48	0.42	84.91 - 86.27
	15 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	85.06	0.38	84.50 - 85.40
	13 TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL	All Sites	85.36	0.38	84.50 - 86.27
	Low Positive	Site A	84.95	0.41	84.19 - 86.03
FluA	1x LoD	Site B	85.31	0.31	84.79 - 86.02
pan1	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	84.83	0.26	84.37 - 85.25
		All Sites	85.03	0.41	84.19 - 86.03
	High Negative 0.1x LoD	Site A	84.91	0.39	84.22 - 85.60
		Site B	85.24	0.30	84.78 - 85.77
	0.5 TOID /I	Site C	84.86	0.27	84.48 - 85.33
	0.5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	85.01	0.37	84.22 - 85.77
FluA	Madamata Desiting	Site A	79.75	0.25	79.51 - 79.99
pan2	Moderate Positive 3x LoD	Site B	79.94	0.30	79.40 - 80.37
	15 TOID / J	Site C	79.60	0.24	79.14 - 79.86
	15 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	79.81	0.33	79.14 - 80.37

Assay	Influenza A I A/Wisconsin/6		Mean Tm	% CV Tm	Observed Tm Range
	Low Positive	Site A	79.42	0.31	79.00 - 80.30
	1x LoD	Site B	79.69	0.35	79.14 - 80.29
	5 TCID /mI	Site C	79.25	0.20	78.82 - 79.59
	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	79.45	0.37	78.82 - 80.30
	High Nagating	Site A	79.22	0.35	78.64 - 79.75
	High Negative 0.1x LoD	Site B	79.59	0.29	79.05 - 79.99
	0.5 TCID /ml	Site C	79.24	0.20	78.84 - 79.54
	0.5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	79.35	0.36	78.64 - 79.99
	Moderate Positive	Site A	82.58	0.35	81.87 - 83.01
	3x LoD	Site B	82.89	0.19	82.60 - 83.11
	15 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Site C	82.44	0.33	81.98 - 82.81
		All Sites	82.62	0.39	81.87 - 83.11
	Low Positive	Site A	82.32	0.29	81.88 - 82.69
FluA	1x LoD	Site B	82.69	0.34	82.16 - 83.32
Н3	5 TOID /I	Site C	82.21	0.25	81.76 - 82.73
	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	82.39	0.41	81.67 - 83.32
	High Negative	Site A	82.28	0.36	81.71 - 82.91
	0.1x LoD	Site B	82.61	0.29	82.17 - 83.05
	0.5 TCID /ml	Site C	82.20	0.23	81.87 - 82.57
	0.5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Sites	82.37	0.36	81.71 - 83.05

Precision (Repeatability)

The repeatability of the FilmArray RP System results was evaluated by repeated testing of the same 12 specimens. The in-house precision testing was performed at ITI (Site C) over the course of 12 testing days for a total of 48 test results per specimen. On each day, all 12 specimens were tested 4 times by two operators on two FilmArray instruments. Results from the first 5 days of testing are included as the Reproducibility results for Site C. All operators were instructed to retest any samples that produced Invalid test results (failed controls or incomplete runs). Valid retest results were recorded as the final result.

Summary of Repeatability Result	Summary	of Repeatability	Results
---------------------------------	---------	------------------	---------

		ate Positive x LoD)	Low P (1 x l		High Negative (0.1 x LoD)		
Result / Spiked Organism	# Positive / Total	% Positive Results	# Positive / Total	% Positive Results	# Positive / Total	% Positive Results	
Adenovirus	48/48	100.0%	48/48	100.0%	34/48	70.8%	
Coronavirus HKU1	48/48	100.0%	48/48	100.0%	44/48	91.7%	
Coronavirus NL63	48/48	100.0%	48/48	100.0%	27/48	56.3%	
Human Metapneumovirus	47/48	97.9%	48/48	100.0%	32/48	66.7%	

Enterovirus	48/48	100.0%	48/48	100.0%	48/48	100%
Human Rhinovirus	144/144	100.0%	47/48	97.9%	83/96	86.5%
Influenza A/H1	48/48	100.0%	43/48 <sup>a</sup>	89.6% <sup>b</sup>	39/48 <sup>b</sup>	81.3% <sup>b</sup>
Influenza A/2009 H1N1	a A/2009 H1N1 48/48		48/48	100.0%	47/48 <sup>c</sup>	97.9% <sup>c</sup>
Influenza A/H3	influenza A/H3 48/48		48/48 100.0%		7/48 <sup>d</sup>	14.6% <sup>d</sup>
Influenza B	48/48	100.0%	48/48	100.0%	25/48	52.1%
Parainfluenza Virus 1	47/48	97.9%	48/48	100.0%	32/48	66.7%
Parainfluenza Virus 2	48/48	100.0%	47/48	97.9%	27/48	56.3%
Parainfluenza Virus 3	48/48	100.0%	41/48	85.4%	14/48	29.2%
Parainfluenza Virus 4	48/48	100.0%	48/48	100.0%	26/48	54.2%
Respiratory Syncytial Virus	144/144	100.0%	96/96	100.0%	32/48	66.7%

a. The five (5) non-positive results for Influenza A/H1 at LoD include: (1) Negative, (1) Influenza A/H1 equivocal and (3) Influenza A equivocal results.

b. The nine (9) non-positive results for Influenza A/H1 at 0.1 x LoD include: (1) Negative, (3) Influenza A (no subtype detected), (1) Influenza A/H1 equivocal, and (4) Influenza A equivocal results.

c. One (1) equivocal Influenza A/2009 H1N1 result at the 0.1 x LoD test level.

d. The 42 non-positive results for Influenza A/H3 at 0.1 x LoD include: (18) Negative, (2) Influenza A (no subtype detected) (15) Influenza A H3 equivocal, and (7) Influenza A equivocal results.

b. Linearity/assay reportable range: Not applicable, qualitative assay

*c. Traceability, Stability, Expected values (controls, calibrators, or methods):* 

#### **Assay Controls**

The FilmArray Respiratory Panel (RP) pouch contains two internal control assays:

- 1. The RNA Process Control targets an mRNA of the yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. During FilmArray RP pouch manufacture, whole yeast are freeze-dried into the sample injection port of each pouch. When the test specimen is loaded into the pouch, S. pombe is rehydrated and enters the pouch with the specimen. The yeast nucleic acid is extracted, purified and tested simultaneously with nucleic acids from the patient specimen. A positive result for the processing control indicates that all steps in the process (nucleic acid extraction, reverse transcription, PCR, melt, detection, and analysis) are functioning properly.
- 2. The second stage PCR (PCR2) control assay detects a synthetic DNA template that is dried into triplicate wells of the array along with the corresponding primers. A positive result indicates that PCR2 was successful.

The RNA Process Control and the PCR2 Control assays are used to Pass or Fail each FilmArray RP pouch run. This combination of control assays monitors each of the critical mechanical and chemical processes that occur in a pouch run, while limiting the possibility of random control assay failures that could contribute to unnecessary pouch failures.

External controls are not provided with the FilmArray RP System. However, four (4) frozen (-70°C) external control mixes were provided to the clinical study sites for daily testing during the first phase of the prospective clinical trial (2009/2010 respiratory season). Three control mixes contained pooled NPS specimens spiked with whole organisms with some plasmid DNA for hard to acquire organisms. Combined, the 3 mixes covered all panel analytes. A fourth mix was negative for all panel members and only contained pooled NPS:

Control Mix 1	Control Mix 2	Control Mix 3	Control Mix 4	
Coronavirus HKU1	Adenovirus	Influenza A/2009 H1N1		
Enterovirus	Coronavirus NL63	Respiratory Syncytial Virus	Nagativa far all	
Influenza B	Rhinovirus	Parainfluenza Virus 2	<ul> <li>Negative for all organisms</li> </ul>	
Parainfluenza Virus 1	Human Metapneumovirus	Parainfluenza Virus 4	organisiis	
	Influenza A/H3			
	Parainfluenza Virus 3			

The operators were required to complete a valid control mix run (correct results obtained) prior to beginning patient sample testing on each testing day. A total of 300 control mix runs were attempted. Seventeen (17) runs did not complete and 6 runs had failed pouch control(s). Of the remaining 277 runs (site 1, 99 runs; site 2, 70 runs; and site 3, 108 runs), 7 (site 1, 1 run; site 2, 2 runs; and site 3, 4 runs) (7/277; 2.5%) did not return the correct organism results either due to the detection of an extra analyte (4/7) and/or the failure to detect one or more spiked analytes (4/7). These failures may be due to low level virus from the NPS donors, introduction of contamination during the preparation or testing of the samples, or improper handling of the frozen aliquots.

Four external controls were used by study sites for daily testing during the second phase of the prospective clinical study (2010/2011 respiratory season). Three of the controls were freeze-dried, room-temperature stable, synthetic RNA mixes that were rehydrated with Hydration Solution prior to being tested. Synthetic RNA mixes were used in the second phase of the clinical study due to several more organisms becoming difficult to obtain in the concentrations necessary for high volume external control testing. Instead of including more plasmids in the control mixes, validated synthetic RNA mixes were used. The RNA mixes are designed to test all assays in the pouch for each of the analytes. Combined, these 3 mixes cover all analyte assays in the pouch. The fourth control consisted of Hydration Solution alone (Negative):

Control Mix Alpha	Control Mix Beta	Control Mix Gamma	Negative
Adenovirus	Adenovirus	Adenovirus	
Coronavirus HKU1	Coronavirus NL63	Human Metapneumovirus	
Rhinovirus/Enterovirus	hinovirus/Enterovirus Human Metapneumovirus		Negative for all organisms (Hydration
Influenza B	fluenza B Human Rhinovirus		
Parainfluenza Virus 1	Parainfluenza Virus 3	Respiratory Syncytial Virus	Solution alone)
	Influenza A H1-2009		
Parainfluenza Virus 2	(Hemagglutinin sequence only)		
Influenza A H1	Influenza A H3		
(Hemagglutinin sequence only)	(Hemagglutinin sequence only)		

The operators were required to complete a valid control mix run (correct results obtained) prior to beginning patient sample testing on each testing day. A total of 137 control mix runs were attempted during the second phase of the prospective clinical study (2010/2011 respiratory season). Seventeen (17) runs did not complete and 3 had failed pouch control(s). Of the remaining 117 runs (site 2, 61 runs; and site 3,56 runs), 14 (site 2, 6 runs; and site 3, 8 runs) (14/117; 12.0%) did not return the correct organism results either due to the detection of an extra analyte (1/14) and/or the failure to detect one or more spiked analytes (13/14). These failures may be due to introduction of contamination during the preparation or testing of the samples, improper/incomplete rehydration of the control mixes, or introduction of RNases into the synthetic RNA control mixes during rehydration.

The sponsor is also recommending the following in the product package insert: "Good laboratory practice recommends running external positive and negative controls regularly. Use viral transport medium as the external Negative Control, and previously characterized positive samples or negative sample spiked with well characterized target organisms as external Positive Controls. External controls should be used in accordance with local, state, federal accrediting organizations, as applicable."

## **Specimen Stability**

An analytical study was performed to establish the recommended transport and storage conditions for nasopharyngeal swab (NPS) specimens that will be tested using the Film Array Respiratory Panel System.

For the specimen transport and storage evaluation, both positive and negative specimens were assessed. Positive specimens consisted of simulated NPS (cultured human epithelial cells in VTM) spiked with mixes of targeted organisms at 5 x the limit of detection (LoD) Negative data were collected from specimens that had been spiked with a different organism mix, such that results for the organism(s) of interest were expected to be negative. Ten independent specimens were prepared for each organism mix and tested "fresh" or without storage (0 Hour). Additional aliquots were made and two aliquots of 10 specimens per mix were stored at each of the conditions described below. Duplicate aliquots were stored for each specimen to allow for retesting if needed. For each RP organism,

10 positive and 30 negative specimens were evaluated at each storage condition. At least 9/10 positive results were required for each organism at each storage condition. In addition to positive and negative results, the average crossing point (Cp) of amplification curves and melting temperature (Tm) of melt curves were reviewed for each assay at each storage condition. A shift in Tm or Cp from one storage condition to another could indicate that the integrity of the specimen was affected by storage.

luy	
LoD	5 x LoD
300 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1,500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
200 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
2 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
LoD	5 x LoD
100 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
5,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	25,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
30,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	150,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
4,000 DNA copies/mL	20,000 DNA copies/mL
LoD	5 x LoD
5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	25 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
60 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	300 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	50 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	25 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
LoD	5 x LoD
500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	2,500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	50 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
	LoD           300 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           200 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           2 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           LoD           100 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           5,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           30,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           30,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           4,000 DNA copies/mL           LoD           5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           60 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL           1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL

Composition of Organism Mixes Spiked into Simulated NPS Specimens for Specimen Transport and Storage Study

#### Storage Conditions Evaluated for Simulated NPS Specimens

Storage Conditions						
0 h 0 Hour, no storage						
4 h	4 Hours, room temperature (18-30 <sup>o</sup> C)					
3 d	3 Days, refrigerated $(2-8^{\circ}C)$					
30 d	30 Days, frozen ( $< -15^{\circ}$ C)					

False negative results by organism and storage condition are presented in the table below:

Organism	False Negative Results								
Organism	0 Hour	4 Hours (18-30 <sup>0</sup> C)	3 Days (2-8 <sup>0</sup> C)	30 Days (< -15 <sup>0</sup> C)					
Adenovirus	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10					
Influenza A/H1	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10					
Human Metapneumovirus	0/10	0/10	1/10	0/10					
Influenza A /2009 H1N1	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10					
Parainfluenza Virus 4	1/10	1/10	1/10	1/10					
Enterovirus	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10					
Respiratory Syncytial Virus	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10					
Coronavirus NL63	0/10	0/10	1/10	0/10					

Influenza B	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10
Parainfluenza Virus 3	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10
Influenza A/H3	0/10	0/10	0/10	1/10
Parainfluenza Virus 1	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10
Human Rhinovirus	0/10	0/10	0/10	1/10
Parainfluenza Virus 2	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10

In addition, the average crossing point (Cp) of amplification curves and melting temperature (Tm) of melt curves were also reviewed for each assay at each storage condition. The difference in average Cp ( $\Delta$  Cp) and average Tm ( $\Delta$  Tm) between the test storage condition and the initial (0 Hour) test result was calculated for each assay. When  $\Delta$  Cp for all assays was reviewed, no general trend toward later Cps (decreased sensitivity or fewer templates) was observed for any one particular storage condition.

The results of this study support the claim that NPS specimens in Viral Transport Medium (VTM) can be stored for up to 4 hours at room temperature (18-30°C), 3 days in the refrigerator (2-8°C) or 30 days in the freezer (<-15°C) without affecting the accuracy of FilmArray RP test results.

# Preservation of Vacuum for Pouch Hydration and Sample Loading and Stability of Reagents and Control Material after Hydration and Loading of a Pouch

To maintain pouch integrity and reagent stability, pouches are packaged in an aluminum canister, which is then sealed under vacuum in opaque mylar outer packaging. Ideally, a pouch is hydrated and sample loaded immediately after the pouch packaging is opened, and the loaded pouch is immediately placed in the FilmArray instrument for testing. However, laboratory workflow and instrument availability may result in a pouch being opened before a sample can be loaded, or a pouch to be loaded before an instrument is available for testing.

The FilmArray product literature recommends the loading and testing of pouches immediately or within a limited timeframe (30 minutes for pouch loading and 60 minutes for testing a loaded pouch).

Analytical testing was performed to discover whether the integrity and performance of an opened pouch is affected by longer times outside of the vacuum-sealed packaging prior to loading and testing.

Pouches were removed from outer packaging and exposed to air at ambient temperature for various times up to 24 hours. The preservation of vacuum for pouch hydration and sample loading was evaluated by loading blank simulated NPS (sNPS) samples (no target analytes present) according to standard procedure. The volume of each solution (hydration solution and sample/sample buffer mix) drawn into the pouch was recorded and the loaded pouches were then tested to observe the performance of the internal controls assays. The control results from these same pouches were used to assess reagent stability. Overall, the measures of performance for these tests included the efficiency of pouch hydration and sample loading, visual inspection of pouches, and the results (pass or fail) of internal control assays (RNA Process Control and PCR2 Control).

The stability of reagents and control material after hydration and loading of a pouch was also examined. Pouches were hydrated and loaded with blank sNPS sample (no analytes present) according to standard protocol directly after removal from outer packaging. The loaded pouches were then stored under ambient conditions for various times up to 24 hours. The results of internal control reactions (pass or fail) were assessed to determine whether system performance was affected by storage of a loaded pouch prior to testing. In addition, four (4) replicate pouches were loaded with contrived sample containing live respiratory pathogens (Influenza A H1N1, human Metapneumovirus, Parainfluenza Virus 3 and Respiratory Syncytial Virus) at concentrations both above and below the estimated system LoD. The loaded pouches were stored at ambient temperature for 8 hours prior to testing. The results of both internal control and analyte assays from these 4 pouches were compared to 8 control pouches that were loaded with the same contrived sample but tested without storage (immediately after loading).

Each tested handling condition was considered successful if the variables (including mean Cp for the relevant assay) evaluated were equivalent (within 3 cycles for Cp) to that of the control sample (test at time 0 after pouch opening or loading). Any handling condition that resulted in improper pouch hydration, sample loading, failed control results, or unexpected negative analyte results was repeated to confirm the result. If the result was repeatable, then the integrity and/or stability of the pouch were considered diminished under the handling condition that caused the failure.

The data presented in the table below demonstrated that pouch vacuum was preserved for up to 24 hours after the removal of a pouch from its outer packaging, allowing for proper pouch hydration and sample uptake.

		Pouch hydrated properly?		Sample loaded properly?		Internal controls pass?		Internal control Cp	
Test Condition	Time	yes	no	Yes	no	yes	no	RNA PC	PCR2
Remove pouch from packaging at various times prior to loading	0 (control)	Х		Х		х		20.77	21.43
	30 minutes	Х		Х		Х		21.73	19.93
	60 minutes	х		Х		Х		22.53	21.53
	4 hours	х		Х		Х		21.50	20.33
	8 hours	х		Х		Х		21.97	20.90
	24 hours	Х		Х		Х		21.40	20.65

The data presented in the table below indicated that the stability of the control material and assay reagents is not significantly affected up to 24 hours after pouch hydration and sample loading.

		Internal controls pass?		Internal control Cp		
Test Condition	Time	yes	No	RNA PC	PCR2	
Store a loaded pouch for various	0 (control)	x		20.77	21.43	
	30 minutes	Х		22.90	21.57	
times prior to testing	60 minutes	Х		22.67	20.20	
times prior to testing	4 hours	Х		23.47	20.63	
	8 hours	Х		22.80	21.23	
	24 hours	х		23.40	21.07	

The following table lists the number of positive and negative results, an overall % positive, and the mean Cp for each analyte or assay at each of the two test conditions.

	Multiple	· ·	Control (tested immediately) (n = 8 pouches)				Test (tested after 8 hours @ room temperature) (n = 4 pouches)			
Organism / Assay	of LoD Tested	Positive	Negative	% Positive	Mean Cp	Positive	Negative	% Positive	Mean Cp	
Influenza A H1		6/8	2/8ab	75%	n/a	3/4	1/4	75%	n/a	
Flu A – pan1	0.2-	8/8	0/8	100%	26.9	3/4	1/4	75%	27.1	
Flu A – pan2	~0.2x	6/8	2/8	75%	27.1	2/4	2/4	50%	27.1	
Flu A – H1	1	6/8	2/8	75%	27.1	3/4	1/4	75%	27.1	
Human Metapneumovirus	~8x	8/8	0/8	100%	22.1	4/4	0/4	100%	23.1	
Parainfluenza Virus 3	~2x	7/8	1/8	88%	23.1	4/4	0/4	100%	23.6	
Respiratory Syncytial Virus	~7x	8/8	0/8	100%	18.0	4/4	0/4	100%	18.0	
RNA Process Control	n/a	8/8	0/8	100%	21.2	4/4	0/4	100%	20.6	
PCR2 Control	n/a	8/8	0/8	100%	19.8	4/4	0/4	100%	19.6	

<sup>a</sup> One Influenza A (no subtype detected)

<sup>b</sup> One (1) Equivocal Influenza A

In conclusion, the data supports the conservative recommendations in the FilmArray product package insert for the loading and testing of pouches within a limited timeframe (30 minutes for pouch loading and 60 minutes for testing a loaded pouch).

## Fresh vs. Frozen Study

In order to utilize frozen banked clinical samples in the evaluation of FilmArray Respiratory Panel System to supplement the prospective clinical study data, an analytical study was conducted to demonstrate that preservation of samples (by freezing at  $\leq$ -70°C does not affect the accuracy of test results compared to freshly collected or freshly prepared samples.

The "fresh vs. frozen" analytical study was carried out as part of a larger reproducibility study using samples that had been stored at  $\leq$ -70°C for as long as 30 days. The test samples were prepared in bulk and tested immediately after preparation (fresh) prior to storage. The fresh sample tests provided a baseline of performance to which the results from subsequent testing of the frozen samples was compared.

A panel of twelve specimens was created by spiking simulated NPS sample matrix with known quantities of live RP analytes. The specimens in the panel were designed so that all RP analytes would be tested at different concentrations. Multiple analytes were spiked into each specimen to simulate the possibility of co-infections with multiple pathogens. Human Rhinovirus (HRV) and Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) were included in more specimens than the other analytes because they were the most common panel members detected in co-infected clinical samples during the clinical evaluation performed by ITI. Immediately after sample preparation (spiking), each fresh specimen pool was screened with the FilmArray RP system to establish 'baseline' or expected results. The remaining specimen volume was then aliquoted into several individual use vials, and frozen until the scheduled day of testing (up 30 days frozen at  $\leq$ -70°C). Specimen aliquots were hand-delivered or shipped to external testing sites on dry ice and stored frozen until the day of testing. All specimen aliquots were tested on the same day they were removed from the freezer.

In total, at least 120 samples were tested for each analyte at (1x) or near (3x) the LoD determined in the LoD studies. All contrived samples consisted of live organism spiked into negative simulated nasopharyngeal swab sample matrix. (Note: The simulated sample matrix consisted of human cells in viral transport media (VTM) and was validated in "Validation of a Simulated NPS Sample Matrix for Use with the FilmArray Respiratory Panel System". The validation study demonstrated that the sensitivity of the FilmArray RP system was comparable between samples prepared in nasopharyngeal swab (NPS) and simulated NPS sample matrices.) Since the natural NPS samples are required to be diluted in VTM before being tested by the FilmArray RP System, the simulated NPS matrix consisting of VTM and epithelial cells is considered to be similar enough to the natural matrix (i.e., NPS in VTM) for the purpose of this study.

	Medium Positive (3 x LoD)		Low Positive (1 x LoD)		All Test Levels Combined	
Organism	# detected/ total	% Positive [95% CI]	# detected/ total	% Positive [95% CI]	# detected/ total	% Positive [95% CI]
Adenovirus	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	120/120	100% [96.97% - 100%]
Coronavirus HKU1	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	120/120	100% [96.97% - 100%]
Coronavirus NL63	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	120/120	100% [96.97% - 100%]
Enterovirus	60/60	100% [94.04% - 100%]	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	120/120	100% [96.97% - 100%]
Human Metapneumovirus	59/60	98.3% [91.06% - 99.96%]	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	119/120	99.2% [95.44% - 99.98%]
Human Rhinovirus	180/180	100% [97.97% – 100%]	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	240/240	100% [98.47% - 100%]
Influenza A H1	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	56/60 <sup>a</sup>	93.3% <sup>a</sup> [83.80% - 98.15%]	116/120	96.7% [91.69% - 99.08%]
Influenza A 2009 H1N1	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	120/120	100% [96.97% - 100%]

The summary results of the study are presented in the table below:

Influenza A H3	60/60	100% [94.04% - 100%]	60/60	100% [94.04% - 100%]	120/120	100% [96.97% - 100%]
Influenza B	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	120/120	100% [96.97% - 100%]
Parainfluenza Virus 1	59/60	98.3% [91.06% - 99.96%]	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	119/120	99.2% [95.44% - 99.98%]
Parainfluenza Virus 2	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	120/120	100% [96.97% - 100%]
Parainfluenza Virus 3	60/60	100% [94.04% – 100%]	57/60	95.0% [86.08% - 98.96%]	117/120	97.5% [92.87% - 99.48%]
Parainfluenza Virus 4	60/60	100% [94.04% - 100%]	60/60	100% [94.04% - 100%]	120/120	100% [96.97% - 100%]
Respiratory Syncytial Virus	180/180	100% [97.97% – 100%]	120/120	100% [96.97% – 100%]	300/300	100% [98.78% - 100%]

<sup>a</sup> Three (3) Equivocal - not included as a positive result. Samples with Equivocal results were not retested in this study.

The results of this study demonstrated that freezing at  $\leq$ -70°C for up to 30 days does not alter the performance of the FilmArray RP System in comparison to testing of fresh specimens.

#### d. Detection limit:

Analytical studies were carried out to determine the LoD for each FilmArray RP targeted organism.

A preliminary estimate of sensitivity for many RP analytes was determined as part of the "FilmArray RP Melt Detector Tuning" study using limiting dilutions of single organisms spiked into negative NPS specimens. Based on these preliminary results, initial estimates of LoD were set by spiking negative NPS specimens with serial 10- fold dilutions of quantified live virus. Where possible, each stock organism was re-grown, verified, and quantified (TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL, CFU/mL, copies/mL, etc.). Coronavirus HKU1 could not be re-grown for verification despite multiple attempts. Instead, clinical specimen containing a high viral load of the virus of interest were quantified by non-FilmArray real-time PCR against a standard curve of synthetic RNA transcript (Coronavirus HKU1) to obtain quantification of the stock material in RNA copies/mL, respectively. The identity of the virus contained in the clinical specimen was verified by bi-directional sequencing. The single-spiked specimens were then tested with the FilmArray RP System. The lowest concentration of organism for which all or most replicates were assigned a positive (detected) result by the FilmArray software was selected for additional testing in multi-spiked specimens.

Multiple organisms were combined and tested as a mix (multi-spike; see the table below) in replicate NPS specimens at a single concentration or as a dilution series centered on the estimated LoD. Results from single-spiked and multi-spiked specimens were compared to determine whether the presence of multiple organisms in a specimen would affect the system LoD.

Composition of Crgamsin Wixes							
Mix 1	Mix 2	Mix 3	Mix 4				
Adenovirus	Influenza A /2009 H1N1	Coronavirus NL63	Parainfluenza Virus 1				
Influenza A/H1	Parainfluenza Virus 4	Influenza B	Human Rhinovirus				
Human Metapneumovirus	Enterovirus	Parainfluenza Virus 3	Parainfluenza Virus 2				
	Respiratory Syncytial Virus	Influenza A/H3					

#### **Composition of Organism Mixes**

Both percent detection (% positive) and Cp values were used to compare results for single-spiked and multi- spiked specimen data sets. It was concluded that multi-spiking and single-spiking results were substantially equivalent, since the % detection was within 25% for the two data sets and/or the mean Cp values were within 3 cycles. (Note: Based on an observed Cp standard deviation for replicate samples of about 1-2 cycles, a 3 cycle difference is a conservative estimate of the expected variation when testing near LoD and does not indicate a significant difference in sensitivity.)

Additional LoD testing and confirmation of LoD for primary strains was then performed with multi-spiked specimens. Coronavirus HKU1 was not included in a multi- spiked mix for this study. Coronavirus HKU1 LoD was confirmed as a single-spiked sample but was shown in subsequent studies to be detected at single-spiked LoD levels when tested in multi-spiked specimens.

As needed, adjustments to the concentration of individual organisms within a multi-spiked mix were made prior to confirmation of final LoD concentrations. Confirmation of the system LoD for each organism included testing of 20 independent multi-spiked specimens at LoD and an additional 20 specimens spiked 10- fold below LoD ( $0.1 \times LoD$ ). For confirmation, at least 19/20 positive results were required for each organism at the LoD concentration and fewer than 95% positive results were required at concentrations below LoD.

Additional sensitivity testing was performed on one or more alternate strains of several RP organisms. These alternate strains represented different major subgroups of common respiratory viruses (Adenovirus, Human Metapneumovirus, Human Rhinovirus and Respiratory Syncytial Virus) and isolates of Influenza A and B from different decades and geographic locations. Each alternate strain was tested as 10-fold dilutions around the previously determined LoD.

Note: Although this testing was performed in part to demonstrate that the FilmArray RP system can detect various species and strains of an organism with similar sensitivity, it is difficult to make comparative sensitivity evaluations between different organisms and different strains that have been quantified by TCID<sub>50</sub>. This quantification method measures the infectivity and cytotoxicity or lethality of an organism in tissue culture and is therefore subject to many influences (strain-to-strain differences in infectivity, viability of the original material, culturing conditions, etc.). Quantification by infectivity assay will not be equivalent between strains or organisms. Also, since the measurand for the FilmArray system is nucleic acid, LoD concentrations established in TCID<sub>50</sub> can vary dramatically between targets, but may not actually reflect significant differences in sensitivity of detection as measured by target nucleic acid concentration.

During the early stages of LoD testing, it was discovered that some NPS specimens collected from apparently healthy individuals did in fact contain respiratory pathogens (mostly Rhinovirus). As a result, a simulated NPS (sNPS) sample matrix, consisting of cultured human epithelial cells (HeLa) in Viral Transport Medium, was evaluated for use in LoD confirmation testing and subsequent analytical studies. The sensitivity of the FilmArray RP system with this simulated sample matrix was found to be comparable to a sample matrix comprised of pooled NPS collected from healthy individuals. The simulated NPS sample matrix was used for final LoD confirmations and all subsequent analytical studies. (Note: Refer to "Validation of Simulated NPS Sample Matrix for the FilmArray Respiratory Panel System" study for the results of a side-by-side comparison of multi-spiked specimens prepared in a collected NPS sample matrix versus a simulated NPS sample matrix.)

Summary results for the LoD confirmation studies using primary strains and additional sensitivity testing of alternate strains are presented below by organism:

#### Adenovirus

LoD Confirmation Resu Adenovirus C Serotype 1	<u>ılts for Adenovi</u>	<u>rus C Serot</u>	ype 1 (Prin	<u>nary Strain for</u>	the L
		# of Sa	mples		
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive	
Final LoD Confirmation	300	20	0	100.0%	
(Multiple Spike Mix 1)	30 (0.1 x LoD)	15	5	75%	

#### . .... oD Study)

#### LoD Testing Results for Adenovirus B Serotype 3 (Alternate Strain for the LoD Study) Adenovirus B Serotype 3

	Spiked Conc.	# of Samples		
	(TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive
	3000	4	0	100%
Single Spike	300	3	1	75%
Single Spike Dilution Series	30	4	0	100%
Dilution Series	3	1	3	25%

#### LoD Testing Results for Adenovirus E Serotype 4a (Alternate Strain for the LoD Study)

Adenovirus E – Serotype 4a						
	Spiked Conc.	# of Sa	mples			
	(TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive		
	3000	4	0	100%		
Single Sniles	300	4	0	100%		
Single Spike Dilution Series	30	1	3	25%		
Diración Series	3	0	4	0%		

#### **Coronavirus HKU1**

for the LoD Study)				
Coronavirus HKU1 Clinical Specimen				
Chinear Specifici				
		# of Sa	mples	
	Spiked Conc. (RNA	Pos	Neg	% Positive
Final LoD Confirmation (Single Spike )	1.90 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	20	0	100%
	1.90 x 10 <sup>5</sup> (0.1 x LoD)	14	6	70%

LoD Confirmation Results for Coronavirus HKU1 Clinical Specimen (Primary Strain for the LoD Study)

#### **Coronavirus NL63**

LoD Confirmation Results for Coronavirus NL63 NR-470 (Primary Strain for the LoD Study)

Study)				
Coronavirus NL63 NR	2-470			
		# of Sa	mples	
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive
Final LoD Confirmation (Multiple	5	20	0	100%
Spike Mix 3)	0.5 (0.1 x LoD)	16	4	80%

#### Human Metapneumovirus

LoD Confirmation Results for Human Metapneumovirus (Type A1) (Primary Strain for the LoD Study)

Human metapneumovirus (Type A1)					
		# of Sa	mples		
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive	
Final LoD Confirmation (Multiple	2	20	0	100%	
Spike Mix 1)	0.2 (0.1 x LoD)	9	11	45%	

# LoD Testing Results for Human Metapneumovirus (Type A2) (Alternate Strain for the LoD Study)

Human metapneumovirus (Type A2)						
	Spiked Conc.	# of Sa	mples			
	(TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive		
	20	4	0	100%		
Single Spike	2	3	1	75%		
Dilution Series	0.2	1	3	25%		

### LoD Testing Results for Human Metapneumovirus (Type B1) (Alternate Strain for the LoD Study)

Human metapneumovirus (Type B1)					
	Spiked Conc.				
	(TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive	
	20	3	0	100%	
Single Spike	2	4	0	100%	
Dilution Series	0.2	1	3	25%	

### LoD Testing Results for Human Metapneumovirus (Type B2) (Alternate Strain for the LoD Study)

Human metapneumovirus (Type B2)					
	(TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive	
	20	4	0	100%	
Single Spike	2	4	0	100%	
Dilution Series	0.2	0	3	0%	

#### Enterovirus

LoD Confirmation Results for Enterovirus (Echovirus 6) (Primary Strain for the LoD Study)

Enterovirus Echovirus 6				
		# of Samples		
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive
Final LoD Confirmation	30000	20	0	100%
(Multiple Spike Mix 2)	3000 (0.1 x LoD)	18	2	90%

### LoD Confirmation Results for Human Rhinovirus Type 1A (Primary Strain for the LoD Study)

Human Rhinovirus - Type 1A						
	# of Samples					
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive		
Final LoD Confirmation	1	20	0	100%		
(Multiple Spike Mix 2)	0.1 (0.1 x LoD)	3	17	15%		

#### Influenza A/H1N1

# LoD Confirmation Results for Human Influenza A/H1N1 (A/Brisbane/59/07) (Primary Strain for the LoD Study)

Human Influenza A/H1N1 (A/Brisbane/59/07)					
	# of Samples				
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Equ	Neg	% Positive
Final LoD Confirmation (Multiple Spike Mix 1)	200	19	0	1*	95%
(Multiple Spike Mix 1)	20 (0.1 x LoD)	12	0	8	60%

\* Influenza A (no subtype detected) result

# LoD Confirmation Results for Human Influenza A/H1N1 (A/New Caledonia/20/99) (Alternate Strain for the LoD Study)

(Alternate Strain I							
Human Influenza A/H1N1 (A/New Caledonia/20/99)							
	# of Samples						
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Equ	Neg	% Positive		
	2000	4	0	0	100%		
Single Spike Dilution Series	200	4	0	0	100%		
	20	1	0	3	25%		

LoD Confirmation	2000	20	0	0	100%
------------------	------	----	---	---	------

#### Influenza A/2009 H1N1

### LoD Confirmation Results for Influenza A/2009 H1N1 (A/Swine/NY/2009/03) (Primary Strain for the LoD Study)

	Timary Strain for the LoD Study)							
Influenza A/2009 H1N1 (A/Swine/NY/2009/03)								
		#	≠ of Samp					
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Equ	Neg	% Positive			
Final LoD Confirmation (Multiple Spike Mix 2)	100	20	0	0	100%			
	10 (0.1 x LoD)	17	2*	1	85%			

\* Equivocal Influenza A result

#### Influenza A/H3N2

### LoD Confirmation Results for Human Influenza A/H3N2 (A/Wisconsin/67/2005) (Primary Strain for the LoD Study)

I I IIIIai y Sti alli 101	the LOD Stud	y)					
Human Influenza A/H3N2 (A/Wisconsin/67/2005)							
		#	of Samp				
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Equ	Neg	% Positive		
Final LoD Confirmation	5	20	0	0	100%		
(Multiple Spike Mix 3)	0.5 (LoD/10)	8	8*	4	40%		

\* 2 Equivocal Influenza A/H3 results, 6 Influenza A Equivocal results

# LoD Confirmation Results for Human Influenza A/H3N2 (A/Port Chalmers/1/73) (Alternate Strain for the LoD Study)

Human Influenza A/H3N2 (A/Port Chalmers/1/73)							
			# of Sample	:S			
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Equ	Neg	% Positive		
Single Spike Dilution Series	50	4	0	0	100%		

	5	3	1*	0	75%
	0.5	0	2**	2	0%
LoD Confirmation	50	20	0	0	100%

\* Influenza A Equivocal \*\* 1 Influenza A Equivocal and 1 Influenza A/H3 Equivocal

#### Influenza B

#### LoD Confirmation Results for Influenza B (B/FL/04/06) (Primary Strain for the LoD Study)

Influenza B (B/FL/04/06)							
	0.1.10	# of Sa	mples				
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive			
Final LoD Confirmation (Multiple	60	20	0	100%			
Spike Mix 3)	6 (0.1 x LoD)	13	7	65%			

#### LoD Testing Results for Influenza B (B/Taiwan/2/62) (Alternate Strain for the LoD Study) Influenza B (B/Taiwan/2/62)

~	,			
	Spiked Conc.	# of Sa	mples	
	$(TCID_{50}/mL)$	Pos	Neg	% Positive
	600	4	0	100%
Single Spike	60	4	0	100%
Dilution Series	6	3	1	75%
LoD Confirmation	60	20	0	100%

#### Parainfluenza Virus 1

#### LoD Confirmation Results for Parainfluenza Virus 1 (Primary Strain for the LoD Study)

Study							
Parainfluenza Virus 1							
	0.1.10	# of Sa	mples				
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive			
Final LoD Confirmation (Multiple	500	20	0	100%			
Spike Mix 4)	50 (0.1 x LoD)	17	11	61%			

#### Parainfluenza Virus 2

LoD Confirmation Results for Parainfluenza Virus 2 (Primary Strain for the Lo	DC
Study)	

Parainfluenza Virus 2						
	0.1.10	# of Sa	mples			
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive		
Final LoD Confirmation (Multiple	10	20	0	100%		
Spike Mix 4)	1 (0.1 x LoD)	6	14	30%		

#### Parainfluenza Virus 3

### LoD Confirmation Results for Parainfluenza Virus 3 (Primary Strain for the LoD Study)

Parainfluenza Virus 3						
	0.1.10	# of Sa	mples			
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive		
Final LoD Confirmation (Multiple	10	20	0	100%		
Spike Mix 3)	1 (0.1 x LoD)	1	9	10%		

#### Parainfluenza Virus 4

LoD Confirmation Results for Parainfluenza Virus 4a (Primary Strain for the LoD Study)

Parainfluenza Virus 4a						
	0.1.10	# of Sa	mples			
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive		
Final LoD Confirmation (Multiple	5000	20	0	100%		
Spike Mix 2)	500 (0.1 x LoD)	13	7	65%		

#### **Respiratory Syncytial Virus A**

LoD Confirmation Results for Respiratory Syncytial Virus A (Primary Strain for the Lo	D
Study)	

Respiratory Syncytial Virus A						
	0-1-10	# of Sa	mples			
	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive		
Final LoD Confirmation (Multiple	2	20	0	100%		
Spike Mix 2)	0.2 (0.1 x LoD)	7	13	35%		

#### LoD Testing Results for Respiratory Syncytial Virus B (Alternate Strain for the LoD Study) Respiratory Syncytial Virus B

	Spiked Conc. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	# of Samples		
	Spiked Colle. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /IIIL)	Pos	Neg	% Positive
	200	4	0	100%
	20	6	2	75%
Single Spike	2	1	3	25%
Dilution Series	0.2	0	4	0%

# In conclusion, confirmed LoD concentrations for each of the verified and quantified organisms listed are presented below. Two strains are listed for Influenza A/H1N1, Influenza A/H3N2, and Influenza B; the strain in bold is the primary strain:

Organism	Strain	LoD Concentration
Adenovirus	Serotype 1 (Species C)	300 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Coronavirus HKU1	Clinical Specimen #6213	1.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup> RNA copies/mL
Coronavirus NL63	NR-470	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Enterovirus	Echovirus 6	30,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Human Metapneumovirus	hMPV-16, IA10-2003 (Type A1)	2 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Human Rhinovirus	Type 1A	1 TCID50/mL
	A/Brisbane/59/07	200 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Influenza A H1N1	A/New Caledonia/20/99	2,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Influenza A 2009 H1N1	A/SwineNY/03/2009	100 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
	A/Wisconsin/67/2005	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Influenza A H3N2	A/Port Chalmers/1/73	50 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
	B/FL/04/06	60 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Influenza B	B/Taiwan/2/62	60 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL

Parainfluenza Virus 1	Type 1	500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Parainfluenza Virus 2	Type 2	10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Parainfluenza Virus 3	Type 3	10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Parainfluenza Virus 4	Type 4a	5,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Respiratory Syncytial Virus	Type A	2 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL

#### e. Analytical Reactivity:

The analytical inclusivity study was performed to determine whether the FilmArray RP System is able to detect a variety of strains (inclusivity panel) that represent the temporal and geographic diversity of each of RP target organism. This study expanded upon the limit of detection studies by determining whether different strains of the same organism can be detected at similar concentrations.

This study involves testing panels of various inclusivity strains organisms spiked into simulated NPS specimens using the FilmArray Respiratory Panel (RP) System. Inclusivity organisms were initially tested near the limit of detection (LoD) for each organism as determined in the LoD study. If a specimen containing a particular strain was positive (detected) at the LoD level, no further testing of that strain was required. If a strain was not detected at the LoD concentration, the strain was retested at the LoD level and 10-fold higher. If necessary, the same approach was followed until a positive result was obtained or the maximum concentration possible for that strain stock had been tested.

The inclusivity panel represents the evolutionary, temporal, and geographical diversity of the RP analytes. For example, the inclusivity panel includes 17 different Adenovirus serotypes representing species A, B, C, D, E and F, over 20 Influenza A strains (subtypes H3, H1 and 2009 H1) isolated from around the world as many as 77 years ago (1933) and as recently as 2009, and 25 strains of the group that comprised of Human Rhinovirus A and B, Enterovirus, Echovirus, and Coxsackievirus. However, for some analytes, the inclusivity panel is restricted to only 1 or 2 strains because of limited strain diversity and availability.

For inclusivity (reactivity) testing, a total of 108 individual RP target organism strains were tested and accurately identified with the FilmArray RP system. Each of the 108 strains tested in this study were reactive with the FilmArray RP system. The sensitivity of detection for Adenovirus C serotype 6 was 10,000-fold less than the system LoD due to sequence variation (mismatches) within the primers of the second stage PCR reaction. The sensitivity of detection for Adenovirus C serotype 2 was 100-fold less than the system LoD due to sequence variation (mismatches) within the region targeted by the FilmArray RP Adenovirus assay. The limited detection capability of the FilmArray RP system for these Adenovirus serotypes was described in the FilmArray RP intended use as "*The FilmArray RP detects Adenovirus species C serotype 2 and serotype 6 with reduced sensitivity. It is recommended that specimens found to be negative for Adenovirus after* 

# examination using FilmArray RP be confirmed by an alternate method (e.g. cell culture)."

	Species	Serotype	Concentration Detected (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Multiple of LoD Detected
	А	31	300	1x
		3	300	1x
		7a	300	1x
		7d2 (Iowa/2001)	300	1x
		7h (Iowa/1999)	300	1x
	В	11 (Wisconsin/2005)	3,000	10x
		14 (Missouri/2005)	300	1x
		21 (Missouri/2005)	300	1x
		34 (Texas/2005)	300	1x
Adenovirus		1	300	1x
		2 (New York/2004) <sup>a</sup>	30,000	100x
	С	5	3,000	10x
		6 (Colorado/2005) <sup>a</sup>	3,000,000	10,000x
	D	8	3,000	10x
		4a (S Carolina/2004)	300	1x
	Е	4p3 (New Jersey/2005)	300	1x
3.1.	F	41 (Indiana/2004)	300	1x

Summary tables of Analytical Reactivity (Inclusivity) Testing with the FilmArray RP System are presented below:

<sup>a</sup>Alignment of the FilmArray RP primers with Adenovirus species C serotype 2 and serotype 6 sequences demonstrated that there are a number of mismatches between the serotype 2 and serotype 6 sequence of the Hexon gene and both outer and inner primers contained in the FilmArray RP pouch.

	Туре	Strain / Isolate	Concentration Detected	Multiple of LoD Detected
	HKU1	Clinical Sample #1120	2.08 x 10 <sup>6</sup> RNA copies/mL	1.1x
		Clinical Sample # 6123 (Lot #304842)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.41 \text{ x } 10^4 \text{ TCID}_{50} \text{ /mL} \\ (\sim 1.9 \text{ x } 10^6 \text{ copies/mL}) \end{array}$	1x
Coronavirus	NL63	Clinical Sample #6213 Type B	1.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup> RNA copies/mL	1x
		NR-470 (BEI)	50 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1x

	Subtype	Strain	Concentration Detected (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Multiple of LoD Detected
Human	A1	9 (IA3-2002)	2	1x

		16 (IA10-2003)	2	1x
	A2	20 (IA14-2003)	2	1x
	A2	27 (IA27-2004)	2	1x
	B1	3 (Peru2-2002)	2	1x
		5 (Peru3-2003)	2	1x
		13 (IA7-2003)	2	1x
		18 (IA18-2003)	2	1x
		8 (Peru6-2003)	2	1x
	B2	22 (IA16-2003)	2	1x

	Species	Strain	Concentration Detected (TCID <sub>50</sub> /ml)	Multiple of LoD Detected
		Coxsackievirus A10 ATCC VR-168	30,000	1x
	А	Enterovirus 71 ATCC VR-1432	1:30,000 dilution of stock	n/a
		Enterovirus 71	9,400	<1x
		Coxsackievirus A9	9,400	<1x
		Coxsackievirus B3	30,000	1x
	D	Coxsackievirus B4	30,000	1x
Enterovirus	В	Echovirus 6	30,000	1x
		Echovirus 9	9,400	<1x
		Echovirus 11	300,000	10x
	С	Coxsackievirus A21 /Kuykendall ATCC VR-850	30,000	1x
	C	Coxsackievirus A24 DN-19 ATCC VR-583	30,000	1x
	D <sup>b</sup>	Enterovirus 68 (F02-3607 corn) ATCC VR-1197	30,000	1x
		A1	1	1x
Human Rhinovirus		A2 (HGP) ATCC VR-482	10	10x
		A7 (68-CV11) ATCC VR-1601	1	1x
		A16 (11757) ATCC VR-283	10	10x
	A	A34 (137-3) ATCC VR-507	1	1x
		A57 (Ch47) ATCC VR-1600 <sup>a</sup>	100	100x
		A77 (130-63) ATCC VR-1187	1	1x
		A85 (50-525-CV54) ATCC VR-1195	10	10x
	В	B3 (FEB) ATCC VR-483	1	1x
		B14 (1059) ATCC VR-284	1	1x

B17 (33342) ATCC-282 <sup>a</sup>	100	100x
B27 (5870) ATCC VR-1137	1	1x
B42 (56822) ATCC VR-338	10	10x
B83 (Baylor 7) ATCC VR-1193	1	1x

<sup>a</sup> The 5'UTR sequences of these strains were aligned with the outer and inner PCR primers for the HRV assays to determine whether sequence mis-matches may be contributing to the somewhat reduced sensitivity of the FilmArray RP system for these strains. Primers for at least one of the 4 HRV assays (inner primers) aligned very well with published sequence for each strain (0 - 1 mismatches in the primer region), suggesting that the observed differences in sensitivity are likely attributable to inconsistencies in quantification of the viral stock rather than the sensitivity of the assay(s) themselves. <sup>b</sup> Enterovirus species D is also referred to as Human Rhinovirus species C.

	Strain	Concentration Detected (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Multiple of LoD Detected
	A/Brisbane/59/07	200	1x
	A/Solomon Islands/3/2006	200	1x
	A/Hawaii/15/01 CDC#2001701117	1:300 dilution of stock	n/a
	A/New Caledonia/20/99	200	1x
	A1/Denver/1/57 ATCC VR-546	200	1x
Influenza A (H1N1)	A/Mal/302/54 ATCC VR-98	200	1x
	A1/FM/1/47 ATCC VR-97	200	1x
	A/Weiss/43 ATCC VR-96	200	1x
	A/PR/8/34 ATCC VR-95	2000	10x
	A/NWS/33 ATCC VR-219	200	1x
	Swine NY/01/2009	100	1x
Influenza A (2009 H1N1)	Swine NY/02/2009	100	1x
	Swine NY/03/2009	100	1x
Influenza A (H3N2)	A/Brisbane/10/07	5	1x
	A/Wisconsin/67/2005	5	1x
	A/NewYork/55/2005 CDC#2005705561	1:300,000 dilution of stock	n/a
	A/Victoria/3/75 ATCC VR-822	5	1x
	A/Port Chalmers/1/73 ATCC VR-810	5	1x
	A/Aichi/2/68 ATCC VR-547	50	10x
	A/Hong Kong/8/68 ATCC VR-544	5	1x
	Alice (vaccine) A/England/42/72 ATCC VR-776	5	1x

MRC-2 Recombinant strain		
ATCC VR-777	5	1x

	Strain	Concentration Detected (TCID <sub>50</sub> /ml)	Multiple of LoD Detected
	B/FL/04/06 Z-0810037CF	60	1x
	B/Ohio/01/2005 CDC#2005743348	1:3,000,000 dilution of stock	n/a
	B/Florida/07/04	60	1x
	B/Malaysia/2506/04	600	10x
	B/Hong Kong/5/72 ATCC VR-823	60	1x
Influenza B	B/Taiwan/2/62 ATCC VR-295	60	1x
	B/Maryland/1/59 ATCC VR-296	600	10x
	B/GL/1739/54 ATCC VR-103	60	1x
	B/Allen/45 ATCC VR-102	6,000 EID <sub>50</sub> /mL	n/a
	B/Lee/40 ATCC VR-101	60	1x
	B/Brigit Recombinant ATCC VR-786	60	1x

	Ty	ре	Strain	Concentration Detected (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Multiple of LoD		
			Zeptometrix #0810014CF	500	1x		
			C-35 ATCC VR-94	500	1x		
	PIN	/1	C39 BEI NR-3226	500	1x		
			Zeptometrix #0810015CF	10	1x		
	PIV2		Greer ATCC VR-92	10	1x		
	PIV3		Zeptometrix #0810016CF	10	1x		
Parainfluenza Virus					C-243 ATCC VR-93	500	50x
			NIH 47885 BEI NR-3233	100	10x		
	PIV4 —	4A	M25 ATCC VR-1378	5,000	1x		
			Zeptometrix #0810060CF	5,000	1x		
		4B -	CH-19503 ATCC VR-1377	5,000	1x		
		40	Zeptometrix #08010060BCF	5,000	1x		

Туре	Strain	Concentration Detected (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Multiple of LoD Detected
------	--------	----------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------

Respiratory Syncytial Virus		Zeptometrix #0810040ACF	2	1x
	А	A/A2 ATCC VR-1540	2	1x
		A/Long ATCC VR-26	2	1x
	В	B/9320 ATCC VR-955	2	1x
		B/Wash18537/62 ATCC VR-1580	2	1x
		B/WV/14617/85 ATCC VR-1400	2	1x

# Supplemental Adenovirus Reactivity Information (clinical study data and *in silico* analyses):

A subset of prospective and retrospective archived clinical specimens that were FilmArray positive for Adenovirus was subjected to PCR and bi-directional sequence analysis. BLAST analysis of the sequence data obtained for a region of the Adenovirus polymerase gene identified adenovirus species B (serotypes 3, 3+11p, 7, 16 and 21), species C (serotypes 1, 2, and 5), and species E (serotype 4) in these clinical specimens. Species B, C and E are the most common Adenovirus species associated with respiratory illness. Species A, D, and F (often associated with conjunctivitis and gastroenteritis) were not identified in clinical specimens,

In addition to laboratory testing, bioinformatics resources were also used to predict reactivity of additional Adenovirus species and serotypes with the FilmArray RP System. Simulated reactivity was based on the number and location of mismatches between the target sequence and the assay primer(s). Table below lists the Adenovirus types that were not tested by the FilmArray system either in analytical or clinical testing. Bioinformatics analysis of these Adenovirus sequences indicates that the FilmArray RP system will react with all Adenovirus species and serotypes.

Organism	Species	Serotype	GenBank ID	Simulated FilmArray Adenovirus Result
Human Adenovirus	А	12	AB330093	Positive
	A	18	DQ149610	Positive
		16	AB330097	Positive
	В	35	AB052912	Positive
		50	DQ149643	Positive
	D	9	AB330090	Positive
		10	DQ149615	Positive
		13	DQ149616	Positive
		15	DQ149617	Positive
		17	AB330098	Positive
		19	DQ149618	Positive
		20	DQ149619	Positive
		22	DQ149620	Positive
		23	DQ149621	Positive
		24	DQ149622	Positive
		25	DQ149623	Positive
		26	DQ149624	Positive
		27	DQ149625	Positive

Simulated FilmArray RP Reactivity with Untested Adenovirus Serotypes

Organism	Species	Serotype	GenBank ID	Simulated FilmArray Adenovirus Result
		28	DQ149626	Positive
		29	DQ149627	Positive
		30	DQ149628	Positive
		32	DQ149629	Positive
		33	DQ149630	Positive
		36	DQ149631	Positive
		37	DQ149632	Positive
		38	DQ149633	Positive
		39	DQ149634	Positive
		42	DQ149635	Positive
		43	DQ149636	Positive
		44	DQ149637	Positive
		45	DQ149638	Positive
		46	DQ149639	Positive
		47	DQ149640	Positive
		48	AB330129	Positive
		49	DQ149641	Positive
		51	DQ149642	Positive
		53	FJ169625	Positive
	F	40	AB330121	Positive
	G	52	DQ923122	Positive

# Supplemental Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus Reactivity Information (clinical study data and *in silico* analyses):

In addition to the analytical inclusivity testing, BLAST analysis was performed on sequence data (5' UTR) obtained from prospective and retrospective archived clinical specimens that were FilmArray positive for Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus. The following species and subtypes were identified in clinical specimens:

Enterovirus serotype 71
Coxsackievirus A2 and A6
Echovirus serotypes 3, 6, 7, 11, 15, 21 and 30
Coxsackievirus B1, B3 and A9
Enterovirus serotypes 81 and 88
Human Rhinovirus serotypes 1B, 8, 9, 10, 13, 19, 21,
22, 23, 28, 30, 32, 34, 38, 39, 40, 46, 47, 49, 51, 54,
56, 58, 59, 61, 62, 66, 68, 75, 77, 78, 80, 82, 98 and
100
Human Rhinovirus serotypes 27, 69, 83 and 91
At least 3 individual strains and 12 distinct isolates*

\* Human Rhinovirus species C (also known as Enterovirus species D) has not been classified into serotypes.

Simulated reactivity of the FilmArray RP Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus assays with Enterovirus Species C Poliovirus strains was generated using a bioinformatics approach. Alignment of assay primer sequences with the GenBank sequences indicates the FilmArray RP assay will react with Poliovirus types 1, 2 and 3, giving a Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus result.

(Enterovnius Species C)						
Strain	GenBank ID	Simulated FilmArray Result				
Human poliovirus 1 strain CHN8264c/GZ/CHN/2004	FJ769385	Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus				
Human poliovirus 2, complete genome	AY177685	Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus				
Human poliovirus 3 strain IRA10853, complete genome	EU684056	Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus				

# Simulated Reactivity of the FilmArray RP HRV and Entero Assays with Poliovirus Sequences (Enterovirus Species C)

# Supplemental Reactivity Information for Influenza Strains of Human, Swine and Avian Origin (analytical testing data and *in silico* analyses):

Additional analytical reactivity testing was carried out with three swine and avian isolates of Influenza A. The results are presented in the following table:

Host	Subtype	Isolate / Strain	Test Concentration	FilmArray Result
Swine	H1N1	Influenza A/Swine/1976/31	$\sim 1 \ x \ 10^{6} \ EID_{50}/mL$	Influenza A H1 <sup>a</sup>
Swille	H1N1	Influenza A/Swine/Iowa/15/30	$\sim 1 \ x \ 10^7 \ EID_{50}/mL$	Influenza A H1 <sup>a</sup>
Avian	H1N2	Kilbourne F63 A/NWS/34 (HA) x A/Rockefeller Institute/5/57 (NA)	14.8 ng RNA <sup>b</sup>	Influenza A H1

<sup>a</sup>No reactivity was observed with the 2009 H1 subtyping assay.

<sup>b</sup> Purified and quantified RNA from avian influenza culture was obtained from BEI Resources.

Simulated reactivity of the FilmArray RP Influenza assays with additional influenza strains of human, swine and avian origin was generated using a bioinformatics approach. Assay primer sequences were aligned with GenBank sequences corresponding to the appropriate gene targets and reactivity were predicated based on the number and location of mismatches in the targeted region. For each strain, multiple (3) GenBank IDs were evaluated, corresponding to the gene segments targeted by the FilmArray RP assays (matrix (MA), non-structural (NS), and hemagglutinin (HA)). The strains listed in the following table are predicted to react with the pan-influenza A and H1, H3 or 2009 H1 subtyping assays as indicated:

#### Simulated Reactivity of FilmArray Influenza A Assays with Human, Swine, and Avian Influenza Strains

Host	Subtype	Strain	GenBankID	Simulated FilmArray Reactivity
Human			CY026540	
	H1N1	A/California/UR06-0393/2007(H1N1)	CY026543	Influenza A H1
			CY026539	
			CY063606	
	H1N1-2009	A/Aalborg/INS133/2009(H1N1)	CY063610	Influenza A 2009 H1
			CY063607	
	H1N2		CY002668	
		A/New York/297/2003(H1N2)	CY002665	Influenza A H1
			CY002664	
			CY022013	Luffronza A
	H2N2	A/Albany/20/1957(H2N2)	CY022014	<ul> <li>Influenza A</li> <li>(no subtype detected)</li> </ul>
			CY022017	(no subtype detected)
	H3N2	A/Boston/38/2008(H3N2)	CY044581	Influenza A H3
			CY044584	

Host	Subtype	Strain	GenBankID	Simulated FilmArray Reactivity
			CY044580	FiniArray Reactivity
		-	HQ200572	
		A/Cambodia/R0405050/2007(H5N1)	HQ200573	-
			FJ225472	Influenza A
	H5N1		AF084281	(no subtype detected)
		$\Lambda/\text{Hong} V_{\text{ong}}/496/07(\text{H5N1})$		(no subtype detected)
		A/Hong Kong/486/97(H5N1)	AF255368	_
			AF115289	
			EU587368	Influenza A
	H7N2	A/New York/107/2003(H7N2)	EU587373	(no subtype detected)
			EU587374	(no succept accorda)
			CY015006	Influenza A
	H7N3	A/Canada/rv504/2004(H7N3)	CY015007	
			CY015010	(no subtype detected)
			AY340089	
	H7N7	A/Netherlands/219/03(H7N7)	AY342422	— Influenza A
	11/11/	11/1(culorialias/21)/05(11/1(/))	AY338459	(no subtype detected)
	110112	$A/(1) = \pi \pi V_{cm} \pi / (1072/00/(100)/2)$	AJ404626	Influenza A
	H9N2	A/Hong Kong/1073/99(H9N2)	AJ278647	(no subtype detected)
			AJ278649	
			CY022414	
	H1N1	A/swine/Wisconsin/1/1971(H1N1)	CY022417	Influenza A H1
			CY022413	
			GQ229348	
		A/swine/Hong Kong/NS857/2001(H1N2)	GQ229350	Influenza A H1
			GQ229347	
Swine	H1N2	A/swine/Sweden/1021/2009(H1N2)		
			GQ495135	Influenza A
			GQ495136	(no subtype detected)
			GQ495132	× 51
	H5N1		HM440124	Influenza A
		A/swine/East Java/UT6010/2007(H5N1)	HM440111	(no subtype detected)
			HM440123	(no subtype detected)
Avian			CY014822	
		A/chicken/New York/13828-3/1995(H2N2)	CY014825	— Influenza A
			CY014821	— (no subtype detected)
			CY045804	
	H2N2	A/Japan/305/1957(H2N2)	CY014977	Influenza A
	HZINZ	A/Japan/303/1937(112112)		(no subtype detected)
			CY014980	
			CY031595	Influenza A
		A/Korea/426/1968(H2N2)	CY031596	(no subtype detected)
			CY031599	(no succype accenta)
			CY004635	
	H3N1	A/blue-winged teal/ALB/452/1983(H3N1)	CY004638	Influenza A H3
			CY005940	
			GU051135	
	H3N2	A/American black duck/North Carolina/675-	GU051136	— Influenza A
	115112	075/2004(H3N2)		(no subtype detected)
			GU051137	
	110)15		CY060261	
	H3N5	A/mallard/Netherlands/2/1999(H3N5)	CY060264	Influenza A H3
			CY060265	
		A/American black duck/New	CY047696	Influenza A
	H3N6		CY047697	
		Brunswick/25182/2007(H3N6)	CY047700	(no subtype detected)
	<b></b>		CY033372	
	H3N7	A/northern	CY033375	Influenza A H3
	11311/	shoveler/California/HKWF1367/2007(H3N7)		
	110110		CY033376	
	H3N8	A/American black duck/Washington/699/1978(H3N8)	GU052299	Influenza A H3
			GU052302	

Host	Subtype	Strain	GenBankID	Simulated FilmArray Reactivity
			GU052300	
		A/blue-winged teal/Minnesota/Sg-	CY063977	– Influenza A
	H4N6	00043/2007(H4N6)	CY063978	(no subtype detected)
		000+3/2007(11+10)	CY063981	(no subtype detected)
			EU814503	Influenza A
		A/rook/Rostov-on-Don/26/2007(H5N1)	EU814504	(no subtype detected)
			EU814507	(no subtype detected)
			GU186509	Influenza A
	H5N1	A/turkey/VA/505477-18/2007(H5N1)	GU186510	(no subtype detected)
			GU186513	(no subtype detected)
			HQ156765	
		A/chicken/Bangladesh/1151-10/2010(H5N1)	HQ156766	Influenza A (no subtype detected)
			HQ156764	(no subtype detected)
			AB295603	
	H5N2	A/duck/Pennsylvania/10218/1984(H5N2)	AB286120	- Influenza A
			AB286652	(no subtype detected)
		5N3 A/duck/Singapore/F119/3/1997(H5N3)	GU052802	
	H5N3		GU052803	- Influenza A
			GU052805	(no subtype detected)
			EU743286	
	H6N1	A/duck/PA/486/1969(H6N1)	EU743287	- Influenza A
			EU743289	(no subtype detected)
			HQ244430	
	H6N2	A/mallard/Czech Republic/15902-	HQ244433	- Influenza A
		17K/2009(H6N2)	HQ244434	(no subtype detected)
			FJ750872	
	H7N7	A/mallard/Korea/GH171/2007(H7N7)	FJ959087	Influenza A
			FJ959090	(no subtype detected)
			CY014663	
	H9N2	A/turkey/Wisconsin/1/1966(H9N2)	CY014664	Influenza A
			CY014667	(no subtype detected)
	<u> </u>		GQ176136	
	H10N7	A/chicken/Germany/N/1949(H10N7)	GQ176135	Influenza A
	111011/		GQ176132	(no subtype detected)
			CY014691	
	H11N9	A/duck/Memphis/546/1974(H11N9)	GQ257441	Influenza A
		19 duck (Memphis/ 540/17/4(111109)	CY014687	(no subtype detected)

#### f. Analytical Specificity/Cross-reactivity Evaluation:

An analytical exclusivity study was carried out to assess the potential for false positive results due to cross-reactivity between RP assays and other RP or non-RP organisms. RP organisms and non-RP organisms were tested at high concentrations and the non-RP organism exclusivity panel consisted of organisms that are related to, but distinct from, RP target organisms, or that could be present in specimens collected from the intended test population.

Exclusivity (cross-reactivity) testing was performed at Idaho Technology, Inc. and an external testing site. Samples were prepared by spiking RP and non-RP target organisms into NPS (or simulated NPS) sample matrix at a high concentration ( $10^5$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL for viral targets and  $10^6$  CFU/mL for bacterial targets, or the highest concentration possible based on the organism stock). The RP target exclusivity panel included all of the primary strains used to

establish LoD levels for the system plus additional strains of some common organisms such as Influenza A and B. RP target organisms tested at high concentrations were positive only for the appropriate RP assay(s). No cross-reactivity between RP assays was observed.

The following tables list all of the RP organisms tested at high concentration. The test concentration is listed followed by the multiple of the LoD concentration the test concentration represents.

Virus	Type / Strain / ID	Test Concentration	Multiple of LoD Tested
Adenovirus	Serotype 1 (Species C)	1.00E+05 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	333 x
	HKU1 Clinical specimen	2.78E+09 copies/mL	1,463 x
	NL63 NR-470	5.67E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1,134 x
Human Metapneumovirus	hMPV-16 IA10-2003 A1	8.17E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	4,085 x
Human Rhinovirus /	Echovirus 6	3.40E+06 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	113 x
Enterovirus	Rhinovirus 1A	5.67E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	5,670 x
	A/Brisbane/59/07	1.00E+05 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	500 x
	A/New Caledonia/20/99	1.00E+05 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	500 x
	A/PR/8/34	1.00E+06 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	5,000 x
	A1/FM/1/47	4.70E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	24 x
	A/NWS/33	4.70E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	24 x
	A1/Denver/1/57	4.70E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	24 x
Influenza A H1N1	A/Solomon Islands/3/2006	1.39E+04 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	70 x
	A/Weiss/43	4.70E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	24 x
	A/Mal/302/54	1.39E+04 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	70 x
Influenza A 2009 H1N1	A/SwineNY/03/2009	4.00E+05 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	4,000 x
	A/Wisconsin/67/2005	8.17E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1634 x
	A/Victoria/3/75	4.70E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	940 x
	A/Port Chalmers/1/73	5.67E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1,134 x
	A/Aichi/2/68	1.00E+05 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20,000 x
	A/Hong Kong/8/68	1.00E+05 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20,000 x
Influenza A H3N2	A/Alice	4.70E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	940 x
	A/MRC 2	8.17E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1,634 x
	A/Brisbane/10/07	8.17E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1,634 x
Influenza B	B/FL/04/06	1.67E+04 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	278 x
	B/Lee/40	8.17E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	136 x

Summary of Cross-Reactivity Testing with FilmArray RP Target Organisms

	B/Taiwan/2/62	5.03E+04 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	838 x
	B/GL/1739/54	8.17E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	136 x
	B/Maryland/1/59	8.17E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	136 x
	B/Florida/07/04	1.00E+05 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1,667 x
	B/Malaysia/2506/04	5.67E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	95 x
	B/Allen/45	1.00E+05 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1,667 x
	B/HongKong/5/72	8.17E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	136 x
	B/Brigit	3.50E+04 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	583 x
	Type 1	1.39E+04 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	28 x
Parainfluenza	Type 2	1.67E+04 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1,670 x
Virus	Type 3	1.00E+05 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	10,000 x
	Type 4a	5.67E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1.13 x
Respiratory Syncytial	А	1.39E+04 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	6,950 x
Virus	В	2.14E+04 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	10,700 x

The non-RP target exclusivity panel consisted of 45 bacteria, 9 viruses, and 1 fungus (*Candida albicans*). The organisms included in the non-RP exclusivity panel were selected either because they are related to RP target organisms, are clinically relevant (colonize the upper respiratory tract or cause respiratory symptoms), are common skin flora or laboratory contaminants, or are microorganisms for which much of the population may have been infected (Herpes Simplex Virus, etc.). None of the organisms in the non-RP target exclusivity panel were tested positive by the FilmArray RP System assays except for one measles virus strain. The false positive was found to be caused by Adenovirus contamination of the viral stock and not due to cross-reactivity between the Adenovirus assay and Measles virus.

The following table lists all of the non-RP organisms tested at high concentration. The test concentration is listed:

Bacteria	Strain	Test Concentration
Bordetella bronchiseptica	clinical isolate	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Bordetella holmesii	F061	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Bordetella parapertussis	A747	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Bordetella pertussis	E431	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Bordetella pertussis	A639	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Bordetella pertussis	ATCC 8467	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Bordetella pertussis	ATCC 9797	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Bordetella pertussis	ATCC 51445	1.00E+06 CFU/mL

Bordetella pertussis	ATCC BAA-589	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Bordetella pertussis	ATCC 9340	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Bordetella pertussis	ATCC 10380	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Bordetella pertussis	ATCC BAA-1335	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Candida albicans	Zeptometrix # 0801504	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Chlamydophila pneumoniae	TW183	2.42E+05 copies/mL
Chlamydia trachomatis	D-UW3	1.00E+06 IFU/mL
Corynebacterium diptheriae	ATCC 14779 CDC [NCTC 10681]	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Escherichia coli	O157:H7	1.00+06 CFU/mL
Haemophilus influenzae	MinnA	8.67E+04 CFU/mL
Lactobacillus acidophilus	Type strain	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Lactobacillus plantarum	17-5	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Legionella longbeacheae	Long Beach 4	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Legionella micdadei	Tatlock	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Legionella pneumophilia	Philadelphia Strain	1.00E+06 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mI
Moraxella catarrhalis	Ne 11- type strain	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Mycobacterium tuberculosis	H37Ra-1	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Mycoplasma hominis	ATCC 23114 PG21	1.00E+06 CCU/mL
Mycoplasma genitalium	ATCC 33530	1.00E+06 copies/mL
Mycoplasma pneumoniae	M129	1.88E+05 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mI
Mycoplasma pneumoniae	ATCC 15531	4.27E+05 CFU/mL
Mycoplasma pneumoniae	ATCC 15293	1.00E+06 CCU/mL
Mycoplasma pneumoniae	ATCC 15377	1.00E+06 CCU/mL
Mycoplasma pneumoniae	ATCC 15492	1.00E+06 CCU/mL
Mycoplasma pneumoniae	ATCC 29085	1.00E+06 CCU/mL
Mycoplasma pneumoniae	ATCC 29342	1.00E+06 CCU/mL
Mycoplasma pneumoniae	ATCC 39505	1.00E+06 CCU/mL
Mycoplasma pneumoniae	ATCC 49894	1.00E+06 CCU/mL
Neisseria elongata	type strain	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Neisseria gonorrhoeae	ATCC 700825	1.05E+06 CFU/mL
Neisseria meningitidis	M1027-type strain	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	Clinical isolate	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Staphylococcus aureus	COL	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Staphylococcus epidermidis	RP62A	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Streptococcus pneumoniae	type 59	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Streptococcus pyogenes	Zeptometrix # 0801512	1.00E+06 CFU/mL
Streptococcus salivarius	ATCC 13419	8.43E+05 CFU/mL
Ureaplasma urealyticum	ATCC 27618 T-strain 960	5.23E+05 copies/mL
	Type 1	
Bocavirus	Clinical Specimen	3.00E+06 copies/mL

		4.67E+07 copies/mL
Coronovirus	229E ATCC VR-740	5.67E+03 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Coronavirus	OC43 ATCC VR-759	7.30E+04 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Cytomegalovirus (CMV)	AD-169 ATCC VR-538	1.67E+04 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV)	B95-8	1.00E+05 copies/mL
Herpes Virus	Simplex Type 1	1:40 dilution of stock
Measles Virus	Zeptometrix # 0810025CF <sup>a</sup>	4.20E+04 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
(Rubeola)	Edmonston	1.00E+05 PFU/mL
Mumps	Zeptometrix # 0810079CF	5.03E+04 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL

<sup>a</sup> This stock produced one false positive Adenovirus result. The false positive was found to be caused by Adenovirus contamination of the viral stock and not due to cross-reactivity between the Adenovirus assay and Measles virus.

Additional analytical exclusivity testing was carried out with either live isolates or purified genomic RNA of avian host influenza A strains with the following results:

Host	Subtype	Isolate / Strain	Test Concentration	FilmArray Result
	H2N2	A/Japan/305/57	3.3 ng RNA <sup>a</sup>	Influenza A (no subtype detected)
	nzinz	Kilbourne F38 A/Korea/426/68 (HA, NA) x A/Puerto Rico/8/34	6.3 ng RNA <sup>a</sup>	Influenza A (no subtype detected)
	H5N1	A/Vietnam/1203/2004 R-H5	N/A <sup>b</sup>	Influenza A (no subtype detected)
Avian	H5N2	A/duck/Pennsylvania/10218/84	2.5 ng RNA <sup>a</sup>	Influenza A (no subtype detected)
Avian	H5N3	Kilbourne F181 A/duck/Singapore/645/97	247 ng RNA <sup>a</sup>	Influenza A (no subtype detected)
	H7N3	A/Mallard/Netherlands/12/2000	N/A <sup>b</sup>	Influenza A (no subtype detected)
	H7N2	A/NewYork/107/2003	N/A <sup>b</sup>	Influenza A (no subtype detected)
	H10N7	A/chicken/Germany/N/49	68 ng RNA <sup>a</sup>	Influenza A (no subtype detected)

<sup>a</sup> Purified and quantified RNA from avian influenza cultures was obtained from BEI Resources

<sup>b</sup> Stock virus HA titer from CDC = 128. Twenty microliters of virus stock tested.

Additional analytical testing was also performed using a SARS coronavirus nucleic acid sample obtained from Zeptometrix, Corp. (Buffalo, NY) with the following result:

Strain / Test Sample	Concentration	FilmArray RP Result
SARS Coronavirus (VIBE prep from Zeptometrix)	unknown	Negative for all assays

Supplemental Human, Swine, and Avian Host Influenza A Virus Strains Exclusivity Information (*in silico* analyses):

Laboratory testing of influenza strains was supplemented with *in silico* predictions of exclusivity using bioinformatics and sequence alignments between FilmArray RP assay primers and GenBank sequences for the strains shown in the table below. Exclusivity was predicated based on the number of and location of mismatches between assay primers and available strain sequences. For each strain, multiple GenBank IDs were evaluated, corresponding to the genes targeted by the FilmArray RP pan-influenza A and HA subtyping assays. All listed strains are predicted to produce an Influenza A (no subtype detected) FilmArray RP result.

Host	Subtype	GenBankID	Strain	Predicated FilmArray RP Results
		CY022013		
	H2N2	CY022014	A/Albany/20/1957(H2N2)	
		CY022017		
		HQ200572		
		HQ200573	A/Cambodia/R0405050/2007(H5N1)	
	H5N1	FJ225472		
	113111	AF084281		
		AF255368	A/Hong Kong/486/97(H5N1)	
		AF115289		
		EU587368		Influenza A
Human	H7N2	EU587373	A/New York/107/2003(H7N2)	(no subtype
		EU587374		detected)
		CY015006		
	H7N3	CY015007	A/Canada/rv504/2004(H7N3)	
		CY015010		
		AY340089		
	H7N7	AY342422		
		AY338459		
		AJ404626		
	H9N2	AJ278647	A/Hong Kong/1073/99(H9N2)	
		AJ278649		
		GQ495135		
	H1N2	GQ495136	A/swine/Sweden/1021/2009(H1N2)	Influenze A
Swine		GQ495132		Influenza A (no subtype
Swille		HM440124		detected)
	H5N1	HM440111	A/swine/East Java/UT6010/2007(H5N1)	detected)
		HM440123		
Avian		CY014822		Influenza A
		CY014825	A/chicken/New York/13828-3/1995(H2N2)	(no subtype
		CY014821		detected)
		CY045804		
	H2N2	CY014977	A/Japan/305/1957(H2N2)	
		CY014980		
		CY031595		
		CY031596	A/Korea/426/1968(H2N2)	
		CY031599		
		GU051135	A/American black duck/North Carolina/675-	
	H3N2	GU051136	A/American black duck/North Carolina/6/5- 075/2004(H3N2)	
		GU051137	075/2004(H5IN2)	
		CY047696	A/American black duck/New	
	H3N6	CY047697	A/American black duck/New Brunswick/25182/2007(H3N6)	
		CY047700	D1011SWICK/23182/2007(II31N0)	

Simulated Exclusivity of FilmArray Influenza A Assays with Human, Swine, and Avian Influenza Strains

	CY063977		
H4N6	CY063978	A/blue-winged teal/Minnesota/Sg-	
H4N0	CY063978 CY063981	00043/2007(H4N6)	
	EU814503		
	EU814504	A/rook/Rostov-on-Don/26/2007(H5N1)	
	EU814507		
LICN1	GU186509	A / 1 - NIA (505 477 19/2007/115N11)	
H5N1	GU186510	A/turkey/VA/505477-18/2007(H5N1)	
	GU186513		
	HQ156765		
	HQ156766	A/chicken/Bangladesh/1151-10/2010(H5N1)	
	HQ156764		
	AB295603		
H5N2	AB286120	A/duck/Pennsylvania/10218/1984(H5N2)	
	AB286652		
	GU052802		
H5N3	GU052803	A/duck/Singapore/F119/3/1997(H5N3)	
	GU052805		
	EU743286		
H6N1	EU743287	A/duck/PA/486/1969(H6N1)	
	EU743289		
	HQ244430		
H6N2	HQ244433	A/mallard/Czech Republic/15902-17K/2009(H6N2)	
	HQ244434		
	FJ750872		
H7N7	FJ959087	A/mallard/Korea/GH171/2007(H7N7)	
	FJ959090		
	CY014663		
H9N2	CY014664	A/turkey/Wisconsin/1/1966(H9N2)	
	CY014667	-	
	GQ176136		
H10N7	GQ176135	A/chicken/Germany/N/1949(H10N7)	
	GQ176132		
	CY014691		
H11N9	GQ257441	A/duck/Memphis/546/1974(H11N9)	
	CY014687	1	

# Supplemental SARS Coronavirus Exclusivity Information (*in silico* analyses):

*In silico* analysis of the SARS virus sequence (GenBank ID NC\_004718.3) against primers for each of the two non-SARS coronavirus assays (CoV HKU1 and CoV NL63) was conducted. When non-SARS coronavirus assay primers were aligned with the corresponding target sequence from SARS virus, each primer contained at least 6 mismatches. Based on these alignments, no reactivity was predicted between SARS virus and the 2 FilmArray RP non-SARS coronavirus assays.

#### g. Assay cut-off:

#### **Melt Windows Determination:**

Sequence diversity results in a range of melting temperatures (Tms) of the PCR2 melting curves for each of the target organism amplicons generated by the FilmArray Respiratory Panel (RP).

A mathematical model was used to predict the melting temperatures of all known organism variants (within NCBI databases) for each assay. The distribution of predicted Tms was used to establish an initial melt range for each assay. In addition, the overall system variability, including for instrument, chemistry, pouch lot and other sources of variability, was estimated using a synthetic template melting data set generated on various FilmArray instruments using multiple pouch lots. Historical RP Panel melting data were also considered to establish appropriate melt ranges. In particular, the Analytical Inclusivity data and all available patient specimen data from the clinical evaluation sites were considered. These data sets included overall system variability and potentially included additional organism sequence variants not accounted for in the NCBI databases. Furthermore, data collected at the beta sites were used as an overall check and validation for the proposed melting ranges.

For each of the pathogen assays, the lower and upper limits of the melting window was calculated based on the minimum of the model prediction based on published sequence variation, the clinical evaluation data, and the inclusivity data:

Assay	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
Adeno	77.7	88.5
HKU1	73.1	78.3
NL63	78.4	82.8
FluA – Pan1	81.2	89.0
FluA – Pan2	75.8	83.4
FluA – H1 Pan	74.0	81.4
FluA – 2009 H1	77.0	81.6
FluA – H3	78.7	85.7
FluB	78.6	83.7
HRV - 1	80.4	89.8
HRV – 2	79.9	89.6
HRV – 3	79.4	89.1
HRV-4	79.9	90.6
Entero – 1	84.5	91.2
Entero – 2	84.4	91.1
hMPV	73.4	79.7
PIV 1	76.3	81.0
PIV 2	80.3	86.3
PIV 3	78.7	83.4
PIV 4	74.9	79.9
RSV	77.2	83.3

For each of the control assays, the melting windows specified were presented in the following table:

Control Assay	Lower Limit	Upper Limit		
PCR2	74.5	78.5		
Yeast RNA	80.6	84.6		

#### **Melt Windows Validation**

The validation of the melting windows was done in two steps. First, the Tms for the data collected at the beta sites were compared to the melting windows proposed. This comparison did not identify any Tm outside of the proposed ranges. Second, as part of the FilmArray RP Melt Detector Tuning, multiple data sets collected by the IVD and R&D groups and data collected at the clinical evaluation sites were re-analyzed using the proposed melting ranges. This validation did not identify any missed calls as a direct result of the window location.

#### h. Interfering Substances:

An interference study was carried out to evaluate the influence of potential interfering substances on the accuracy of test results obtained with the FilmArray RP System. Four different organism mixes (refer to the tables below) containing FilmArray RP analytes were spiked into a simulated NPS (sNPS) sample matrix (human epithelial cells in VTM) at 5 x their respective LoDs. The 5 x LoD organism concentration was chosen to be near the analyte LoD but also to provide consistent results for sample-to-sample comparison.

Mix 1	LoD	5 x LoD		
Adenovirus	300 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1,500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		
Influenza A/H1	200 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	1,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		
Human Metapneumovirus	2 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		
Mix 2	LoD	5 x LoD		
Influenza A /2009 H1N1	100 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		
Parainfluenza Virus 4	5,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	25,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		
Enterovirus	30,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	150,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		
Respiratory Syncytial Virus	4,000 DNA copies/mL	20,000 DNA copies/mL		
Mix 3	LoD	5 x LoD		
Coronavirus NL63	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	25 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		
Influenza B	60 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	300 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		
Parainfluenza Virus 3	10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	50 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		
Influenza A/H3	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	25 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		
Mix 4	LoD	5 x LoD		
Parainfluenza Virus 1	500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	2,500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		
Parainfluenza Virus 1 Human Rhinovirus	500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL 1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	2,500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL 5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		

The potentially interfering substances tested in this study included substances that are normally found in the nasopharynx or may be introduced into NPS specimens during specimen collection. Endogenous substances like blood, mucin, human genomic DNA and various infectious microorganisms were evaluated. Exogenous

substances that may be introduced into NPS specimens before or during sample collection, such as medication, treatments, or topical applications for treating symptoms associated with respiratory infections, were also included in this study.) In addition, materials used to collect (swabs) or store (viral transport medium) NPS specimens or substances that could be introduced from the clinical laboratory environment (i.e. bleach, etc.) were tested as potential technique-specific interfering substances. Potentially interfering test substances were spiked at a level predicted to be above the concentration of the substance likely to be found in an authentic NPS specimen.

The following tables list the test substances and final test concentrations used in this study:

#### **Endogenous Substances**

	IS #	Test Substance	<b>Test Concentration</b>	Solvent
	EN1	Blood (with Na Citrate)	1% v/v	none
ĺ	EN2	Mucin (bovine submaxillary gland, type I-S)	1% v/v	Reagent Grade Water

#### Endogenous Substances – Human Genomic DNA

IS #	Test Substance	Dilution	<b>Test Concentration</b>	Solvent
DNA1		None	20 ng/ul	none
DNA2	Human genomic DNA 200 ng/ul	20 ng/ul	2 ng/ul	Reagent Grade Water
DNA3		2 ng/ul	0.2 ng/ul	Reagent Grade Water

#### **Endogenous Substances – Potentially Interfering Microorganisms**

IS #	Test Organism Strain Lot Number		Test Concentration		
MO1	Respiratory Syncytial Virus	Zeptometrix #0810040ACF	304756	$2.8 \ge 10^4 \text{TCID}_{50}/\text{mL}$	
MO2	Human Rhinovirus	1A	305067	1.1 x 10 <sup>4</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	
MO3	Influenza A /2009 H1N1	A/Swine/NY/03/2009	305849	1.0 x 10 <sup>5</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	
MO4	Staphylococcus aureus	COL	304535	1.0 x 10 <sup>6</sup> CFU/mL	
MO5	Neisseria meningitidis	M1027	304320	1.0 x 10 <sup>6</sup> CFU/mL	
MO6	Corynebacterium diptheriae	ATCC14779	305297	1.0 x 10 <sup>6</sup> CFU/mL	

#### **Exogenous Substances**

IS #	Test Substance	Test Concentration	Solvent
EX1	Tobramycin (systemic antibiotic)	0.6 mg/mL	Reagent Grade Water
EX2	Mupirocin (active ingredient in anti-bacterial ointment)	2% w/v	Reagent Grade Water
EX4	Saline Nasal Spray with Preservatives (0.65% NaCl Phenylcarbinol Benzalkonium Chloride)	1% v/v	N/A
EX5	Nasal Decongestant Spray Oxymetazoline HCl 0.05% (also contains Benzalkonium chloride, menthol, eucalyptol, camphor, benzyl alcohol and phosphate buffers)	1% v/v	N/A
EX6	Analgesic ointment (Vicks <sup>®</sup> VapoRub <sup>®</sup> )	1% w/v	N/A
EX7	Petroleum Jelly (Vaseline <sup>®</sup> )	1% w/v	N/A
EX8	Snuff (Nasal Tobacco)	1% w/v	N/A

IS #		Test Substance	Test Concentration											
701		Bleach							$\frac{1\% v}{2\% v}$ Bleach					
TS1		Bleach												
TS2		Disinfecting wipes	$\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} in^2$											
TS3		Ethanol DNAZap <sup>TM</sup>	7% v/v											
TS4		1% v/v												
TS5		1% v/v												
		Copan 168C (rayon/twisted aluminum shaft)	1 swab											
		Copan FloQ (Flocked nylon/plastic shaft)	1 swab											
TS6	Swab	Copan 175KS01 (polyester/aluminum shaft)	1 swab											
		Millipore 519CS01M (flocked nylon/plastic shaft)	1 swab											
TS7		Remel M4	100%											
	Viral	Remel M4-RT	100%											
	Transport	Remel M5	100%											
	Media	Remel M6	100%											
	]	Copan UTM	100%											

**Technique Specific Substances** 

On each day of testing, one specimen per organism mix was not spiked with any test substance, to serve as a positive control. For biological test substances that may contain genetic material (such as blood, mucin, DNA, microorganisms), one negative specimen (blank sNPS sample matrix with no organism mix) was spiked with only the test substance (negative control) to evaluate the potential for false positive results due to the test substance, one specimen per organism mix was spiked with the appropriate amount of test substance. All specimens were tested with the FilmArray RP System on the day they were prepared.

None of the potentially interfering endogenous, exogenous, or technique-specific substances tested in this study were found to affect the accuracy of respiratory pathogen detection in simulated NPS specimens using the FilmArray RP System.

In addition to the analytical interference substances study, the first phase prospective clinical dataset was analyzed for evidence of the effects of potentially interfering medications (prescription and over-the-counter; OTC) administered to or taken by the study population. If clinically significant interference from medications had occurred, it would be expected that the analyte detection rate in the medicated population would be lower than that in the non-medicated population. This effect was not observed in the clinical dataset. One or more analytes was detected by the reference/comparator methods in 110 of 256 (43%) non-medicated individuals. The FilmArray RP system correctly identified all analytes in 104 (94.5%) of these individuals. One or more analytes was detected by the reference/comparator methods in 313 of 597 (52%) medicated individuals. The FilmArray RP system correctly identified all analytes in 302 (96.5%) of these individuals. The FilmArray RP system correct to or taken by the enrolled study population (self-reported and/or recorded from patient charts).

Acetaminophen	Clindamycin	Lansoprazole	Phenobarbital	
Albuterol	Co-trimoxazole	Levalbuterol	Phenylephrine	
Alendronate	Cough drops/syrups (various OTC)	Levothyroxine	Pilocarpine	
Alprazolam	Cyproheptadine	Lisinopril	Pravachol (Pravastatin)	
Amoxicillin	Deferasirox	Loperamide	Prednisolone	
Amoxicillin Clavulanate	Dextromethorphan	Loratadine	Prednisone	
Azithromycin	Dextromethorphan hydrobromide	Lorazepam	Propranolol	
Baclofen	Diphenhydramine	Metoclopramide	Pseudoephedrine	
Benzonatate	Doxylamine succinate	Metolazone	Ranitidine	
Betamethasone	Electrolyte solutions (rehydration salts)	Metoprolol	Saline Nasal Drops/Sprays (various OTC)	
Brimonidine	Enoxaparin	Midazolam	Salmeterol	
Budesonide	Eszopiclone	Montelukast	Simethicone	
Budesonide/Formoterol Fumarate Dihydrate	Fentanyl	Naproxen	Sirolimus	
Bupropion hydrochloride	Fluticasone	Nizatidine	Spironolactone	
Carvedilol	Furosemide	Omeprazole	Temazepam	
Cefdinir	Gabapentin	Oseltamivir	Tiotropium	
Cefepime	Gemfibrozil	Oxybutynin	Ursodiol	
Ceftriaxone	Guaifenesin	Pancrelipase	Valacyclovir	
Cephrazole	Hydrocodone	Pantoprazole	Vancomycin	
Cetirizine	Cetirizine Ibuprofen		Vitamins/Multivitamins/ Minerals (various OTC)	
Chlorpheniramine maleate	Inderal (Propranolol)	Pentamidine	Voriconazole	
Ciprofloxacin	Isosorbide			

#### FluMist<sup>®</sup> Nasal Influenza Vaccine (MedImmune, 2009-2010 version)

Simulated nasopharyngeal swab (sNPS) specimens were spiked with dilutions of FluMist nasal influenza vaccine (MedImmune, 2009-2010 version), resulting in final test concentrations of 10%, 1% and 0.1% (v/v) FluMist. Each specimen was tested with the FilmArray RP system to determine if the vaccine material would react with any of the FilmArray RP assays. If reactivity was observed at any or all dilutions, additional dilutions were tested until no reactivity was observed.

FluMist® Influenza Vaccine Live, Intranasal; Intranasal Spray, 2009-2010 Formula was provided by the manufacturer (MedImmune). The material was provided as individual sprayers containing a single 0.2mL dose. Each dose contains approximately 10<sup>6.5-7.5</sup> FFU (fluorescence focus units) each of three live, attenuated influenza virus reassortants including: A/SouthDakota/6/2007 (H1N1) (A/Brisbane/59/2007-like), A/Uraguay/716/2007 (H3N2) (A/Brisbane/10/2007like), and B/Brisbane/60/2008. Non-viral components of the vaccine listed in the provided materials include: monosodium glutamate, gelatin, arginine, sucrose, gentamicin sulfate, monobasic potassium phosphate and dibasic potassium phosphate. (Note: For the 2009-2010 respiratory season, a separate 2009 H1N1 variant vaccine was prepared and administered. The 2009 H1N1 variant vaccine material was not provided by the manufacturer for testing with the FilmArray RP system.)

Positive results were obtained for Influenza A/H1, Influenza A/H3, and Influenza B in each of the samples tested at 10%, 1% and 0.1% (v/v) FluMist. Additional dilutions were made and tested until each of the Influenza viruses could no longer be detected by the FilmArray RP system (0.0001% for Influenza A H1, 0.00001% for Influenza A H3 and 0.000001% for Influenza B). There was no reactivity with the Influenza A/2009 H1N1 subtyping assay, which specifically detects the pandemic 2009 A/H1 variant and was not included in the 2009-2010 FluMist formulation.

This reactivity is documented in the FilmArray RP System product package insert as a "limitation".

#### *i. Carry-Over Contamination:*

An analytical carry-over study was carried out to demonstrate that when recommended laboratory practices are followed, there is little risk of false positive results caused by carryover or cross-contamination in the FilmArray Respiratory Panel (RP) System. The data for this study were generated as part the exclusivity testing examining the potential for cross-reactivity between Respiratory Panel targets and assays. The majority of testing was performed by new users at a contracted laboratory as part of an early performance evaluation of the FilmArray RP system. Multiple spiked NPS (or simulated NPS) samples containing high levels of organism (approximately  $10^5$  for viruses) were tested over several days using 2 FilmArray instruments. Each sample was prepared and loaded into a RP pouch one at a time in a work area (biosafety cabinet or hood) that was separated from the location of the FilmArray instrument(s), and regular cleaning of the work area and pouch loading station were carried out according to the recommended testing procedures. Consecutive testing of the same organism was discouraged in order to maximize the potential for detecting false positive results due to carryover from a previously tested sample. Over 60 RP target organism spiked samples were tested in a total of 63 valid runs, and at least half of the samples were tested subsequently to a sample containing a different target organism at high concentration. No false positive results were reported (100% negative agreement). The consecutive set-up and testing of samples containing high concentrations of different target organisms demonstrated that these practices are effective in preventing false positive results due to carryover between samples.

Because the PCR2 array in each FilmArray pouch contains over 100 individual PCR reaction wells in close proximity, the possibility of well-to-well carryover was also examined. Individual false positive melt curves could be generated if (1) reaction material (template and rehydrated assay specific primers) carried over into an adjacent well early in second stage PCR cycling, or (2) amplicon from one

well entered a neighboring well prior to melt analysis. The 63 valid test results described above (over 6000 reaction wells with no false positive organism or assay results) were examined well-by-well and 4 false positive melt curves were observed as a result of carryover between neighboring wells. Each carryover event produced a single positive reaction well per assay and had no effect on the overall accuracy of the FilmArray RP results. This well-to-well carryover analysis resulted in an overall % negative agreement of 99.9% with the 95%CI of 99.9% to 100.0% (5342/5346 expected negative wells).

Combined, these results indicated that when proper laboratory practices are followed, the risk of false positive FilmArray RP test results due to sample cross-contamination or well-to-well carryover is insignificant.

#### j. Simulated NPS Sample Matrix Validation Study:

NPS is the intended sample type to be used with the FilmArray RP system. A NPS is collected from an individual by inserting a flexible, fine-shafted synthetic swab into the nostril and back to the nasopharynx. After a few seconds, the swab is slowly withdrawn with a rotating motion and the swab is placed into a vial containing approximately 3 mL of viral transport medium (VTM). Once in VTM, vortexing helps to release the material collected by the swab (human cells, viral particles, bacteria, secretions, etc.) into the medium, which is then used as the test sample.

A series of studies was required to demonstrate the analytical performance characteristics of the FilmArray RP system. For these types of studies, the ideal sample matrix is NPS collected from healthy individuals that does not contain nucleic acid from any of the pathogens detected by the panel. Initially, sample matrix for contrived samples was obtained by collecting NPS from donors at Idaho Technology, Inc (ITI). Each donor claimed to be healthy and free of symptoms associated with respiratory infection on the day the NPS was collected. Individual NPS were screened with the FilmArray RP system prior to use in the studies, to confirm that the sample matrix was negative for RP organisms.

This process began in the early fall of 2009, at the onset of the typical respiratory season, and it was found during screening that respiratory pathogens could be detected in some percentage of healthy donor NPS. For example, over 2 months of collection (November – December 2009), about 9% of the screened NPS were positive for one or more FilmArray RP analytes. Some weeks, the rate was as high as 26%. This pattern continued through the respiratory season with a positivity rate of nearly 12% from January to March 2010. Furthermore, even after the initial screening for negativity, false positive results were occasionally detected in contrived samples and the false positive organism could often be traced to the sample matrix.

	#Pos	#Total	%Pos	Rhino	FluA/2009 H1N1	CoV HKU1	RSV	PIV 1	hMPV	Adeno
Nov-Dec 2009	18	234	7.7%	11 <sup>a</sup>	4	0	0	2	0	1
Jan-Mar 2010	17	159	10.7%	10 <sup>b</sup>	0	4 <sup>b</sup>	2	0	1	0
Total (% of total)	35	393	8.9%	21	4	4	2	2	1	1

**Respiratory Panel Organisms Detected in Healthy Donor NPS Screening** 

<sup>a</sup> 1 sample represents co-infection of Rhino / CoV-OC43

<sup>b</sup> 1 sample represents co-infection of Rhino / CoV-HKU1

The NPS being screened were collected from donors at ITI, following an IRBapproved protocol. Under the terms of the protocol, the NPS specimens were deidentified. Donations were incentivized by monetary compensation and a donor's healthy status was determined on the honor system. No personal information was collected from the donors and no test results could be traced back to the donor. As a result, if a NPS was positive for one or more RP organisms during screening, it was not possible to follow-up with the donor to inquire about signs of infection prior to or in the days following the date of donation.

Given the very large number of contrived samples needed for analytical studies, it became apparent that use of a sample matrix of NPS collected from donors would be problematic. Most importantly, the true negativity of the matrix could not be adequately confirmed, which placed the accuracy and integrity of analytical studies at risk. Consequently, the use of a simulated NPS matrix was investigated. The intent was for the simulated NPS to resemble the content of an actual NPS as closely as possible, while ensuring that the simulated NPS was free of respiratory pathogens and did not alter the performance of the system.

Ten-fold dilutions of HeLa cells in VTM were prepared (from a starting concentration of  $2 \times 10^5$  cells/mL) and tested with the FilmArray system both fresh and after being stored frozen (-20°C) for one week. Results were compared to NPS collected from healthy donors and an appropriate cell concentration for the simulated NPS was chosen by comparison of Cp values for the hRNA assay (targets mRNA of the human beta-catenin gene) between the true and artificial sample matrices. Once a human cell concentration was selected, contrived samples containing multiple live respiratory pathogens at or near the estimated system LoD were prepared in both NPS and simulated NPS sample matrices. The organism mixes included the following:

Mix 1	LoD
Adenovirus	300 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Influenza A/H1	200 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Human Metapneumovirus	2 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Mix 3	LoD
Coronavirus NL63	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Influenza B	60 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Parainfluenza Virus 3	100 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL <sup>a</sup>
Influenza A/H3	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Mix 4	LoD

Parainfluenza Virus 1	500 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Human Rhinovirus	1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL
Parainfluenza Virus 2	10 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL

Crossing point (Cp) values of amplification curves generated for all positive assays were also used to compare results from samples prepared in NPS and simulated NPS sample matrices. (Note: Though the use of Cp values in this study was helpful in making comparisons, since the FilmArray RP is a qualitative rather than quantitative system, and the FilmArray RP uses two-stage nested PCR, therefore, Cps are inherently more variable than Cps in traditional real-time PCR systems, the significance of these numbers can not be over-interpreted.). A difference of 3 cycles or less was considered equivalent when comparing mean Cp values between NPS and simulated NPS for the hRNA and organism assays.

All samples tested (NPS and simulated NPS) were negative for all panel organisms and positive amplification and melt curves were recorded for the hRNA assay. Average Cp values for the hRNA assay were calculated for each sample type and plotted for comparison. The Cp values observed for the NPS (20.5 - 27.1) were consistent with historical data from NPS screening. The average Cp of the hRNA assay was similar for fresh and frozen simulated NPS dilutions. The average Cp for NPS was most similar to the Cp values obtained with simulated NPS prepared at a concentration of  $2 \times 10^3$  cells/mL. Based on this analysis, a concentration of  $2 \times 10^3$  HeLa cells/mL in VTM was selected as the composition of simulated NPS.

Comparison of results for FilmArray RP assays between NPS and simulated NPS sample matrices is presented below:

	Adeno	CoV- NL63	Flu B	hMPV	Rhino	PIV1	PIV2	PIV3	FluA/H3	FluA/H1
NPS Control	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5
Simulated NPS Test	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5
Δ Mean Cp (Simulated NPS- NPS)	0.47	-0.51	0.70	1.21	0.09- 0.53 <sup>a</sup>	-0.28	-0.05	1.42	1.50 <sup>b</sup>	2.27 <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Range of delta mean Cp for the HRV1, HRV2, HRV3, and HRV4 assays.

<sup>b</sup> The delta mean Cp shown is for the FluA/H1 and FluA/H3 subtyping assays only. Delta mean Cp was 1.48 for the FluA-pan1 assay and 1.07 for the FluA-pan2 assay.

The study generated no false positive results, and the results demonstrated that the sensitivity of the FilmArray RP system was comparable between samples prepared in nasopharyngeal swab (NPS) and simulated NPS sample matrices. This sample matrix was then used in analytical performance studies of the FilmArray RP system in place of NPS collected from donors. Prior to use, all freshly prepared simulated NPS were screened with the FilmArray RP system to confirm that the hRNA assay provides the expected Cp values and that the sample matrix was negative for all RP organisms.

#### k. Comparator Assays Analytical Validation Studies

In the prospective clinical trial for the FilmArray RP System, the FilmArray results were compared to the results from standard viral culture and fluorescent antibody testing performed by the clinical sites for several of the common viruses (Adenovirus, Influenza A, Influenza B, Parainfluenza Virus 3, and Respiratory Syncytial Virus). The majority of the remaining pathogens required 2 PCR comparator assays which were tested directly from the NPS specimens. The exception to this was Influenza A subtyping, which was tested from viral culture material with 1 assay per virus:

Organism/Virus	Comparator PCR Tests
Coronavirus NL63	2 PCR tests on direct sample
Coronavirus HKU1	2 PCR tests on direct sample
Human Metapneumovirus	2 PCR tests on direct sample
Human Rhinovirus	2 PCR tests on direct sample
Enterovirus	2 PCR tests on direct sample
FluA - H1 subtyping	1 PCR test on culture
FluA - H3 subtyping	1 PCR test on culture
FluA – 2009 H1N1 subtyping	1 PCR test on culture
Parainfluenza Virus 4	1 PCR test on culture

Comparator	PCR	Testing	Scheme
------------	-----	---------	--------

Analytically validated PCR followed by bi-directional sequencing assays that target different sequences from those used in the FilmArray pouch were used by ITI as part of a pre-defined composite comparator method for assays in the FilmArray RP system.

ITI relied on its own Research & Development and Regulated Products groups to develop and validate all of the comparator PCR tests used in this study. All assays were designed to provide adequate amplicon length for sequencing while still being able to specifically detect the organisms of interest. Some viruses possess few regions to which a specific PCR assay can be targeted. This limited amplicon length and gene target choice in some instances. However, all comparator assays were designed to amplify a different sequence from that amplified by the FilmArray assay(s).

Organism	Subtype	FilmArray Target	Comparator Assay Target 1	Target 1 Chemistry	Comparator Assay Target 2	Target 2 Chemistry
Human Metapneumovirus	N/A	nucleocapsid gene	matrix gene (HMPV M)	Taqman	nucleocapsid gene* (HMPV N)	LC green (nested)
Rhinoviruses	N/A	5'UTR	5'UTR* (Rhinovirus TQ)	Taqman (nested)	5'UTR* (Rhinovirus LC)	LC green (nested)
Enteroviruses	N/A	5'UTR	5'UTR* (Entero TQ)	Taqman	5'UTR* ( Entero LC)	LC green (nested)
Coronaviruses	HKU1	nucleocapsid gene	Spike gene (CoV HKU1 Sp)	Taqman (nested)	polymerase gene ( CoV HKU1 P2)	Taqman (nested)

FilmArray and Comparator Assay Gene Targets

Coronaviruses	NL63	nucleoprotein gene	matrix gene ( CoV NL63 M )	Taqman	polymerase gene ( CoV NL63 P)	LC green (nested)
Influenza A	H1	hemagglutinin gene	hemagglutinin gene* (FluA - H1)	Taqman		
Influenza A	H1 2009	hemagglutinin gene	hemagglutinin gene* (FluA - H1_2009)	Taqman		
Influenza A	НЗ	hemagglutinin gene	hemagglutinin gene* (FluA - H3)	Taqman		
Parainfluenza Viruses	PIV4	fusion gene	matrix gene (PIV 4)	Taqman		

\*These comparator assays target a different region of the same gene targeted by the FilmArray Respiratory Panel. The assays amplify different amplicon sequences and have no overlap with the FilmArray targets.

Validation studies included analytical sensitivity (LoD) study, analytical reactivity study, and analytical exclusivity study.

#### The confirmed LoD for each comparator assay is presented in the table below:

Assay	Organism LoD Concentration		# Pos / Total	% Positive
CoV HKU1 Pol2 Assay	Coronavirus HKU1	1.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup> RNA copies/mL	19/20	95%
CoV HKU1 Spike Assay	Coronavirus HKU1	1.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup> RNA copies/mL	19/20	95%
CoV NL63 M Assay	Coronavirus NL63	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	100%
CoV NL63 Pol Assay	Coronavirus NL63	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	100%
HMPV M Assay	Human Metapneumovirus	2 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	100%
HMPV N Assay	Human Metapneumovirus	2 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	100%
Rhinovirus TQ Assay	Human Rhinovirus	1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	100%
Rhinovirus LC Assay	Human Rhinovirus	1 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	100%
	Enterovirus		20/20	100%
Enterovirus TQ Assay	Enterovirus	30,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	100%
Enterovirus LC Assay	Enterovirus	30,000 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	19/20	95%
Influenza H1 Assay	Influenza A	200 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	19/20	95%
Influenza H1N1 2009 Assay	Influenza A	100 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	100%

Influenza H3N2 Assay	Influenza A	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	100%
PIV4 Assay	Parainfluenza Virus 4	500 TCID50/mL	20/20	100%

# Analytical reactivity study results are summarized in the table below. All Coronavirus types did not have inclusivity strains available to test

Organism	LoD (TCID <sub>50</sub> /ml)	Strain	Assay 1	Assay 2
		Coxsackievirus A10	Positive	Positive
		Coxsackievirus A24	Positive	Positive
		Coxsackievirus A9	Positive	Positive
		Coxsackievirus B3	Positive	Positive
		Coxsackievirus B4	Positive	Positive
Enterovirus	30,000	Coxsackievirus A21/Kuykendall	Positive	Positive
		Echovirus 6	Positive	Positive
		Echovirus 9	Positive	Positive
		Echovirus 11	Positive	Positive
		Enterovirus 68 (F02-3607 corn)	Positive	Positive
		A/New Caledonia/20/99	Positive	
		A/PR/8/34	Positive	
		A/NWS/33	Positive	
Influenza A (H1N1)	200	A/Solomon Islands/3/2006	Positive	
		A/Weiss/43	Positive	
		Swine NY/01/2009	Positive	
Influenza A (2009 H1N1)	100	Swine NY/03/2009	Positive	
		A/Victoria/3/75	Positive	
		A/Port Chalmers/1/73	Positive	
	Γ	A/Aichi/2/68	Positive	
Influenza A (H3N2)	5	A/Hong Kong/8/68	Positive	
		A/Alice	Positive	
		MRC-2	Positive	
		A/Brisbane/10/07	Positive	
Iuman Metapneumovirus	2	3 (Peru2-2002 B1)	Positive	Positive
		5 (Peru3-2003 B1)	Positive	Positive
		8 (Peru6-2003 B2)	Positive	Positive
		9 (IA3-2002 A1)	Positive	Positive
	F	13	Positive	Positive
		18 (IA18-2003 B2)	Positive	Positive
	F	20 (IA14-2003 A2)	Positive	Positive

		22	Positive	Positive	
		27	Positive	Positive	
		A16 (11757)	Positive	Not tested	
		A2 (HGP)	Positive	Positive	
		A34 (137-3)	Positive	Not tested	
		A57 (Ch47)	Positive	Positive	
Human Rhinovirus	1	1	A7 (68-CV11)	Positive	Positive
		A77 (130-63)	Positive	Not tested	
			A85 (50-525-CV54)	Positive	Not tested
		B14 (1059)	Positive	Positive	
		B27 (5870)	Positive	Positive	
		Type 4A (M-25)	Positive		
Parainfluenza Virus 4	500	Type 4B (Zeptometrix #08010060BCF)	Positive		
		Type 4B (CH-19503)	Positive		

Analytical exclusivity study testing organisms and concentrations tested are presented in the table below. No cross-reactivity was observed for all tested organisms at the tested concentrations.

Organism	Strain	Concentration (TCID <sub>50</sub> /ml)
Bordetella bronchiseptica	clinical isolate	1.30E+09
Bordetella holmesii	F061	7.40E+09
Bordetella parapertussis	A747	9.80E+09
Candida albicans		1.00E+08
Escherichia coli	O157:H7	2.34E+10
Chlamydia trachomatis	D-UW3	3,50E+09
Corynebacterium diptheriae	ATCC14779	4.20E+09
Lactobacillus acidophilus	Type strain	2.12E+09
Haemophilus influenzae	MinnA	2.60E+06
Legionella micdadei	Tatlock	8.30E+09
Moraxella catarrhalis	Ne 11 (type strain)	6.83E+08
Mycobacterium tuberculosis	H37Ra-1	2.20E+08
Mycoplasma hominis	ATCC 23114	3.16E+08
Neisseria elongata	type strain	1.99E+09
Neisseria meningitides	M1027 (type strain)	1.63E+09
Pseudomonas aeruginosa		1.05E+10
Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)	COL	8.40E+09
Staphylococcus epidermidis	RP62A	6.20E+08
Streptococcus pneumoniae	type 59	5.54E+08

Streptococcus pyogenes		7.57E+08
Streptococcus salivarius	ATCC 13419	2.53E+07
Mycoplasma genitalium	ATCC 33530	1.93E+08
Cytomegalovirus (CMV)	AD-169 (VR-538)	5.01E+05
Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV)	B95-8	6.04E+09
Measles Virus		1.26E+06
Mumps		1.51E+06
Adenovirus Typer 1	KB	1.02E+08
Bocavirus	K340	1.40E+09
Coronavirus 229E	ATCC VR-740	1.70E+05
Coronavirus OC43	ATCC VR-759	2.19E+06
Coronavirus HKU1	6123	1.41E+05
Coronavirus NL63	NR-470	1.70E+05
Echovirus	6	1.02E+08
hMPV-16	IA10-2003, A1	2.45E+05
Influenza A (H1N1)	A/Brisbane/59/07	3.80E+06
Influenza A (H1N1) var 2009	A/SwineNY/03/2009	1.26E+06
Influenza A (H3N2)	A/Wisconsin/67/2005	2.45E+05
Influenza B	B/FL/04/06	5.01E+05
Parainfluenza Virus	Type 1	4.17E+05
Parainfluenza Virus	Type 2	5.01E+05
Parainfluenza Virus	Type 3	6.61E+06
Parainfluenza Virus	Type 4a	1.70E+05
Rhinovirus	1A	1.70E+05
RSV	А	4.17E+05
Bordetella pertussis	A639	3.50E+09
Chlamydophila pneumoniae	TW183	7.26E+06
Mycoplasma pneumoniae	M129	5.63E+06
Human DNA	Promega (G3041)	182ng/ul

A retrospective clinical evaluation of the FilmArray RP system was performed at ITI to supplement the prospective evaluation data. In this study, retrospective preselected archived specimens were further validated/confirmed by PCR assays followed by sequencing analysis, and then tested with the FilmArray RP system.

Because it is possible that the provided samples had been misidentified, had degraded during storage or contained additional pathogens (such as Rhinovirus)

not identified by the source laboratory, the presence of the expected analyte or the absence of the analytes was confirmed using analytically validated "validation" PCR assays for the analytes in the specific panel. These "validation" PCR assays are summarized in the table below:

Organism	FilmArray Target(s) (assay names)	Validation Assay Gene Target 1 (assay name)	Target 1 Chemistry <sup>a</sup>	Validation Assay Gene Target 2 (assay name)
Adenovirus	hexon gene (Adeno)	polymerase gene (Adpl)	LC Green	
Enteroviruses	5'UTR (Entero1, Entero2, HRV1, HRV2, HRV3, HRV4)	5'UTR Enteroviruses (EnteroLC) <sup>b</sup>	LC Green	5'UTR Rhinoviruses (RhinoTq) <sup>b</sup>
	matrix gene (FluA-pan1)			
Influenza A/H1	NS gene (FluA-pan2) hemagglutinin gene	matrix gene (FluA Matrix) <sup>b</sup>	LC Green	hemagglutinin gene (FluA H1) <sup>b</sup>
	(FluA-H1-pan)			
	matrix gene (FluA-pan1)			
Influenza A/H1	NS gene (FluA-pan2)	matrix geneb		hemagglutinin geneb
2009	hemagglutinin gene (FluA-H1-2009)	(FluA Matrix)	LC Green	(FluA H1 09)
	matrix gene (FluA-pan1)			
	NS gene (FluA-pan2)	matrix gene		hemagglutinin gene
Influenza A/H3	hemagglutinin gene (FluA-H3)	(FluA Matrix) <sup>b</sup>	LC Green	(FluA H3) <sup>b</sup>
Influenza B	hemagglutinin gene (FluB)	matrix gene (FluB) <sup>b</sup>	LC Green	
Parainfluenza virus	hemagglutinin gene	hemaglutinin gene (PIV1)b	LC Green	
Parainfluenza Virus 2	fusion gene (PIV2)	fusion gene (PIV2)b	LC Green	
Parainfluenza Virus 3	fusion gene (PIV3)	fusion gene (PIV3)b	LC Green	
Parainfluenza Virus 4	fusion gene (PIV4)	matrix gene (PIV4)	Taqman	

<sup>a</sup> All LC Green assays are nested assays

<sup>b</sup>These validation assays target a different region of the same gene targeted by the FilmArray RP. The assays amplify different amplicon sequences.

Validation studies for these additional "validation" PCR assays also included analytical sensitivity (LoD) study, analytical reactivity study, and analytical exclusivity study.

The confirmed LoD for each "validation" PCR assay is presented in the table below:

Assay	Organism	LoD Concentration	# Pos / Total	% Positive
Adeno Polymerase Assay	Adenovirus	300 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	100%
	Influenza A H1N1-Seasonal	200 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		
Influenza A Matrix	Influenza A 2009 H1N1	100 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	19/20	95%
	Influenza A H3N2	5 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL		
Influenza B Pan Assay	Influenza B	60 TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	100%
Parainfluenza 1 Alt Assay	Parainfluenza Virus 1	500 TCID50/mL	20/20	100%
Parainfluenza 2 Alt Assay	Parainfluenza Virus 2	10 TCID50/mL	20/20	100%
Parainfluenza 3 Alt Assay	Parainfluenza Virus 3	10 TCID50/mL	20/20	100%

Analytical reactivity study results are summarized in the table below:

Organism	LOD (TCID <sub>50</sub> /ml)	Strain	Assay Results
		Adeno KB 3	Positive
		Adeno A-549 2-1	Positive
		Adeno A-549 4a	Positive
		Adeno A-549 4p3	Positive
		Adeno KB 5	Positive
		Adeno A-549 6	Positive
		Adeno KB 7A	Positive
Adenovirus	300	Adeno A-549 7d/d2	Positive
Adenovirus	300	Adeno A-549 7h	Positive
		Adeno KB 8	Positive
		Adeno A-549 11	Positive
		Adeno A-549 14	Positive
		Adeno A-549 21a	Positive
		Adeno KB 31	Positive
		Adeno A-549 34	Positive
		Adeno HEK293A 41-1	Positive
Influenza A	Flu A H1N1 = 200 Flu A 2009 H1N1 = 100	A/New Caledonia/20/9 (H1)	Positive
	Flu A 2009 HINT = 100 $Flu A H3N2 = 5$	A/PR/8/34 (H1)	Positive
		A1/FM/1/47 (H1)	Positive
		A/NWS/33 (H1)	Positive

		A1/Denver/1/57 (H1)	Positive
		A/Solomon Islands/3/2006 (H1)	Positive
		A/Weiss/43 (H1)	Positive
		A/Mal/302/54 (H1)	Positive
		Swine NY/01/2009	Positive
		Swine NY/03/2009	Positive
		A/Victoria/3/75 (H3)	Positive
		A/Port Chalmers/1/73 (H3)	Positive
		A/Aichi/2/68 (H3)	Positive
		A/Hong Kong/8/68 (H3)	Positive
		A/Alice (H3)	Positive
		MRC-2 (H3 recombinant)	Positive
		A/Brisbane/10/07 (H3)	Positive
		B/Allen/45	Positive
		B/Brigit	Positive
		B/FL/07/04	Positive
		B/GL/1739/54	Positive
Influenza B	60	B/Hong Kong/5/72	Positive
		B/Lee/40	Positive
		B/Malaysia/2506/04	Positive
		B/Maryland/1/59	Positive
		B/Taiwan/2/62	Positive
Parainfluenza Virus 1	500	C-35	Positive
	500	C-39	Positive
Parainfluenza Virus 2	10	PIV2 Greer	Positive
Deminfluonza Vinus 2	10	C-243	Positive
Parainfluenza Virus 3	10	47885 NIH	Positive

Analytical exclusivity study results are summarized in the table below:

Organism	Strain	Concen. (TCID <sub>50</sub> /ml)	Adeno	flu A Matrix	flu B Pan	PIV 3
Bordetella bronchiseptica	clinical isolate	1.30E+09	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Bordetella holmesii	F061	7.40E+09	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Bordetella parapertussis	A747	9.80E+09	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Candida albicans		1.00E+08	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Escherichia coli	O157:H7	2.34E+10	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Chlamydia trachomatis	D-UW3	3,50E+09	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Corynebacterium diptheriae	ATCC14779	4.20E+09	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg

Lactobacillus acidophilus	Type strain	2.12E+09	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Haemophilus influenzae	MinnA	2.60E+06	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Legionella micdadei	Tatlock	8.30E+09	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Moraxella catarrhalis	Ne 11 (type strain)	6.83E+08	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Mycobacterium tuberculosis	H37Ra-1	2.20E+08	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Mycoplasma hominis	ATCC 23114	3.16E+08	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Neisseria elongata	type strain	1.99E+09	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Neisseria meningitides	M1027 (type strain)	1.63E+09	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Pseudomonas aeruginosa		1.05E+10	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)	COL	8.40E+09	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Staphylococcus epidermidis	RP62A	6.20E+08	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Streptococcus pneumoniae	type 59	5.54E+08	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Streptococcus pyogenes		7.57E+08	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Streptococcus salivarius	ATCC 13419	2.53E+07	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Mycoplasma genitalium	ATCC 33530	1.93E+08	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Cytomegalovirus (CMV)	AD-169 (VR-538)	5.01E+05	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV)	B95-8	6.04E+09	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Measles Virus		1.26E+06	Pos*	Neg	Neg	Neg
Mumps		1.51E+06	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Adenovirus Type 1	KB	1.02E+08	Pos	Neg	Neg	Neg
Boca Virus	K340	1.40E+09	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Coronavirus	229E (ATCC VR-740 ?)	1.70E+05	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Coronavirus	OC43 (ATCC VR- 759)	2.19E+06	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Coronavirus	HKU1 (6123)	1.41E+05	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Coronavirus	NL63 (NR-470)	1.70E+05	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Echovirus	6	1.02E+08	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
hMPV-16	IA10-2003, A1	2.45E+05	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Influenza A (H1N1)	A/Brisbane/59/07	3.80E+06	Neg	Pos	Neg	Neg
Influenza A (H1N1) var 2009	A/SwineNY/03/2009	1.26E+06	Neg	Pos	Neg	Neg
Influenza A (H3N2)	A/Wisconsin/67/2005	2.45E+05	Neg	Pos	Neg	Neg
Influenza B	B/FL/04/06	5.01E+05	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg
Parainfluenza Virus	Type 1	4.17E+05	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Parainfluenza Virus	Type 2	5.01E+05	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Parainfluenza Virus	Type 3	6.61E+06	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos
Parainfluenza Virus	Type 4a	1.70E+05	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Rhinovirus	1A	1.70E+05	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
RSV	А	4.17E+05	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Bordetella pertussis	A639	3.50E+09	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg

Chlamydophila pneumoniae	TW183	7.26E+06	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Mycoplasma pneumoniae	M129	5.63E+06	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
Human DNA	Promega (G3041)	182ng/ul	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg

<sup>a</sup> This stock produced false positive Adenovirus result. The false positive was found to be caused by Adenovirus contamination of the viral stock and not due to cross-reactivity between the Adenovirus assay and Measles virus.

#### 2. Comparison studies:

#### a. Method comparison with predicate device:

Not applicable. Refer to the Clinical Studies Section of this document.

#### b. Matrix comparison:

Not applicable

3. <u>Clinical studies</u>:

#### **Prospective Clinical Study**

The clinical performance of the FilmArray RP system was evaluated during prospective studies at 3 U.S. clinical laboratories. NP swab samples were collected and tested at 3 U.S. clinical laboratories (Sites 1, 2, and 3) during December 2009 thru May 2010 (2009/2010 respiratory season, the first phase of the prospective clinical study). Additional patient enrollment and NP swab samples collection and testing were carried out at 2 of the 3 sites (Sites 2 and 3) for an additional 5 month time period (September 2010 thru January 2011, 2010/2011 respiratory season, the second phase of the prospective clinical study) for attempting to achieve increased detection of several low prevalence analytes (i.e. PIV1, PIV2, and PIV4) during the anticipated peak season for PIV1 and PIV2. Clinical study sites were chosen based upon the expertise of the principal investigators, the quality of their personnel and laboratory resources, and the desired geographic/demographic variation of the potential subject populations.

Three geographically separated clinical study sites participated in the clinical evaluation of the FilmArray RP system. The study sites were located in the Southeast (Charleston, SC), the Southwest (Dallas, TX), and the Midwest (Detroit, MI). The size of the population in these locations ranges from approximately 107,000 in Charleston, SC to approximately 1.2 million in Dallas TX (source: U.S. Census Bureau). Each study location was representative of the intended use setting (clinical laboratories) and testing was performed by trained clinical laboratory personnel.

The study sites enrolled subjects from diverse demographic groups. Site 1 enrolled subjects from an adult emergency department. Site 2 enrolled primarily children from the emergency department, but also enrolled immunocompromised adults from an outpatient bone marrow transplant clinic (approximately 10% of the enrolled subjects at this site). Site 3 enrolled subjects from a pediatric emergency department and associated urgent care clinic.

A total of 1144 subjects were initially enrolled in the prospective study. Eight hundred fifty seven (857) subjects were initially enrolled in the 2009/2010 respiratory season and 4 (3 at Site 1 and 1 at Site 3) were withdrawn. Another 287 subjects were enrolled in the 2010/2011 respiratory season and 20 specimens from Site 2 were excluded from data analysis due to improper specimen storage prior to testing. Three (3) specimens from Site 3 were without valid external control mix results acquired (a clinical protocol deviation), and therefore excluded from data analysis.

The following table provides a summary of demographic information for the 1117 subjects that participated in the combined prospective study (2009/2010 respiratory season and 2010/2011 respiratory season), and were included in the data analysis:

Sex	Number of Subjects
Male	600 (54%)
Female	517 (46%)
Age	Number of Subjects
≤5	724 (65%)
6-21	119 (11%)
22-49	190 (17%)
≥50	84 (8%)

Demographic Summary for FilmArray RP Prospective Clinical Study

The following table provides a summary of demographic information for the 853 subjects that participated in the first phase of the prospective study (2009/2010 respiratory season), and were included in the data analysis:

Sex	Number of Subjects
Male	449 (53%)
Female	404 (47%)
Age	Number of Subjects
≤5	484 (57%)
6-21	95 (11%)
22-49	190 (22%)
≥50	84 (10%)

The following table provides a summary of demographic information for the 264 subjects that participated in the second phase of the prospective study (2010/2011 respiratory season), and were included in the data analysis:

Sex	Number of Subjects
Male	151 (57%)
Female	113 (43%)
Age	Number of Subjects
≤5	240 (91%)

6-21	24 (9%)
22-49	0 (0%)
≥50	0 (0%)

All specimens used in the study were prospectively collected from subjects have signs/symptoms of respiratory infection including but not limited to fever, cough, sore throat, runny/stuffy nose, myalgia, earache, headache, chills, or fatigue. The subject may or may not have had respiratory infection testing ordered by a physician. Written informed consent was acquired from each subject and/or their parent/guardian (if under 18) at the time of enrollment into the prospective study. After informed consent was acquired, the subject was assigned a Volunteer Identification Number (VIN). The VIN was used to de-identify the specimen used for FilmArray testing and to provide data to the sponsor. A key that connected the subject's identity to their assigned VIN was maintained in a secure location at each site in order to facilitate the tracking of standard of care testing and for monitoring purposes. Access to this key was limited to the study coordinator or laboratory supervisor at each site. At the time of enrollment the following information was recorded on the Case Report Form (CRF): 1) Age and sex; 2) Information about their suspected respiratory infection, i.e. signs and symptoms, date of onset; and 3) Current medications (self-reported and/or collected from medical records).

Two respiratory specimens were collected from each enrolled subject. One specimen (NPS or other standard specimen type, such as nasal aspirate/wash, used by the study site) was used for standard of care testing and for viral culture to detect seven common respiratory viruses (Adenovirus, Flu A, Flu B, PIV1, 2, and 3, and RSV). A frozen aliquot of viral culture material was prepared for comparator PCR/sequencing testing. The second specimen, a NPS in 3 mL of viral transport media (VTM) was used for FilmArray testing according to the Instructions For Use and was aliquoted and frozen for further comparator PCR/sequencing testing. Individual specimens were delinked from all patient identifiers and given a study sample code.

Reference and comparator methods used to assess the performance of the FilmArray RP system include viral culture followed by fluorescent antibody identification for common viruses (Adenovirus, Flu A, Flu B, PIV1, PIV2, PIV3, and RSV), viral culture followed by 1 analytically validated PCR assay with bi-directional sequence confirmation (Flu A subtyping and PIV4), and a composite comparator method of 2 analytically validated PCR assays with bi-directional sequence analytically validated PCR assays with bi-directional sequence confirmation (all other panel analytes):

Organism	Reference/Comparator Method(s)
Adenovirus	Culture followed by DFA
Influenza A	Culture followed by DFA
Influenza B	Culture followed by DFA
Parainfluenza Virus 1	Culture followed by DFA
Parainfluenza Virus 2	Culture followed by DFA
Parainfluenza Virus 3	Culture followed by DFA
Respiratory Syncytial Virus	Culture followed by DFA
FluA/H1 subtyping	Culture + 1 PCR/sequencing test on culture
FluA/H3 subtyping	Culture + 1 PCR/sequencing test on culture

FluA/2009 H1N1 subtyping	Culture + 1 PCR/sequencing test on culture
Parainfluenza Virus 4	Culture + 1 PCR/sequencing test on culture
Human Rhinovirus	2 PCR/sequencing tests on direct sample
Enterovirus	2 PCR/sequencing tests on direct sample
Coronavirus NL63	2 PCR/sequencing tests on direct sample
Coronavirus HKU1	2 PCR/sequencing tests on direct sample
Human Metapneumovirus	2 PCR/sequencing tests on direct sample

Viral culture was performed with fresh specimens using standard methods. Sites 1 and 3 used R-Mix shell vial culture format (mixed monolayer of human adenocarcinoma A549 cells and mink lung Mv1Lu cells) incubated for up to 72 hours. Site 2 used traditional cell culture format, employing three separately inoculated cell lines (primary rhesus monkey kidney pRhMK cells, human epidermoid carcinoma Hep-2 cells, and human lung fibroblast MRC5 cells) incubated for up to 14 days. Each site confirmed the presence or absence of the viruses (Adenovirus, Flu A, Flu B, PIV1, PIV2, PIV3, and RSV) using a FDA-cleared direct fluorescent antibody method (D3 Ultra Viral Respiratory Screening and ID Kit; Diagnostic Hybrids, Inc.).

Frozen aliquots of viral culture material and NPS specimens were shipped to ITI on a weekly basis for comparator PCR/sequencing testing. PCR testing was performed by ITI research associates in a blinded manner; specimens were labeled only with the subject's VIN and the FilmArray results were not available to them. A bi-directional sequencing result for each comparator PCR positive result was required to demonstrate sequence identity with the target organism. All comparator PCR assays were designed at ITI to provide adequate amplicon length for sequencing while still being able to detect the organisms of interest. The assays were also designed to amplify a different sequence from that amplified by the FilmArray assay(s). None of the comparator PCR assays overlapped any FilmArray amplicon sequence even if the same gene was targeted. PCR plates containing potentially positive amplicons were not opened at ITI; they were sent directly to the contract sequencing laboratory, for ExoSAP clean up and bi-directional sequencing. Sequencing results that met acceptance criteria (i.e. a minimum of 99% accuracy for at least 90% of the sequence, Phred quality score of 20 or higher) were recorded as positive for the specific analyte.

Performance of the FilmArray RP system detecting Adenovirus, Flu A, Flu B, PIV1, PIV2, PIV3, or RSV, respectively, was compared to viral culture followed by fluorescent antibody identification. "True" Adenovirus, Influenza A, Influenza B, Parainfluenza Virus 1, Parainfluenza Virus 2, Parainfluenza Virus 3, or RSV positives, respectively, were considered as any sample that was tested positive for Adenovirus, Influenza A, Influenza B, Parainfluenza Virus 1, Parainfluenza Virus 2, Parainfluenza Virus 2, Parainfluenza Virus 3, or RSV, respectively, by viral culture followed by DFA testing. "True" Adenovirus, Influenza A, Influenza B, Parainfluenza B, Parainfluenza Virus 1, Parainfluenza Virus 2, Parainfluenza Virus 3, or RSV negatives, respectively, were considered as any sample that was tested negative for Adenovirus, Influenza A, Influenza B, Parainfluenza Virus 1, Parainfluenza Virus 2, Parainfluenza Virus 3, or RSV negatives, respectively, were considered as any sample that was tested negative for Adenovirus, Influenza A, Influenza A, Influenza B, Parainfluenza Virus 3, or RSV negatives, respectively, were considered as any sample that was tested negative for Adenovirus, Influenza A, Influenza B, Parainfluenza Virus 1, Parainfluenza Virus 1, Parainfluenza Virus 2, Parainfluenza Virus 2, Parainfluenza Virus 3, or RSV, respectively, by viral culture followed by DFA testing.

Performance of the FilmArray RP system detecting Influenza A/H1, A/H3, A/2009 H1N1, or Parainfluenza Virus 4, respectively, was compared to viral culture followed

by 1 analytically validated PCR assay with bi-directional sequence confirmation. The comparator assays were designed to amplify a different sequence from that amplified by the FilmArray assay(s). None of the comparator PCR assays overlapped any FilmArray amplicon sequence even if the same gene was targeted. "True" Influenza A/H1, A/H3, A/2009 H1N1 positives, respectively, were considered as any sample that was tested positive for Influenza A by viral culture, and had bi-directional sequencing data meeting pre-defined quality acceptance criteria that matched Influenza A/H1, A/H3, or A/2009 H1N1 sequences deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), respectively, with acceptable E-values. "True" Influenza A/H1, A/H3, or A/2009 H1N1 negatives, respectively, were considered as any sample that was tested negative for Influenza A by viral culture, or any sample that was tested positive for Influenza A virus by viral culture, but was tested negative by the respective Influenza A subtype specific PCR assay. "True" Parainfluenza Virus 4 positives were considered as any sample for which bi-directional sequencing data meeting pre-defined quality acceptance criteria that matched Parainfluenza Virus 4 sequences deposited in the NCBI Genbank database with acceptable E-values was obtained from testing of viral culture material. "True" Parainfluenza Virus 4 negatives were considered as any sample where testing of viral culture material with a Parainfluenza Virus 4 specific PCR assay was negative.

The E-value ranges generated from the clinical trial per organism were presented in the following table:

Organism	E-value Low	E-value High
FluA/H1 subtyping	NA	NA
FluA/H3 subtyping	NA	NA
FluA/2009 H1N1 subtyping	8.0E-122	1.4E-78
Parainfluenza Virus 4	4.7E-158	1.9E-150

Performance of the FilmArray RP system detecting Human Rhinovirus, Enterovirus, Coronavirus NL63, Coronavirus HKU1, or Human Metapneumovirus, respectively, was compared to a predetermined algorithm that used composite comparator methods. The composite reference methods consist of 2 analytically validated PCR assays followed by bi-directional genetic sequencing. The comparator assays were designed to amplify a different sequence from that amplified by the FilmArray assay(s). None of the comparator PCR assays overlapped any FilmArray amplicon sequence even if the same gene was targeted. "True" Human Rhinovirus, Enterovirus, Coronavirus NL63, Coronavirus HKU1, or Human Metapneumovirus positives, respectively, were considered as any sample that had bi-directional sequencing data meeting pre-defined quality acceptance criteria that matched Human Rhinovirus, Enterovirus, Coronavirus NL63, Coronavirus HKU1, or Human Metapneumovirus sequences deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), respectively, with acceptable E-values. "True" Human Rhinovirus, Enterovirus, Coronavirus NL63, Coronavirus HKU1, or Human Metapneumovirus negatives, respectively, were considered as any sample that was tested negative by both of the comparator PCR assays. The E-value ranges generated from the clinical trial per organism were presented in the following table:

Organism	E-value Low	E-value High
Human Rhinovirus	4.51E-72	1.15E-08
Enterovirus	9.23E-42	2.72E-12
Coronavirus NL63	1.9E-83	8.0E-16
Coronavirus HKU1	8.8E-148	6.5E-70
Human Metapneumovirus	5.8E-77	2.3E-15

The E-Value from NCBI BLAST Alignment indicates the statistical significance of a given pair-wise alignment and reflects the size of the database and the scoring system used. The lower is the E-Value, the more significant is the match. A sequence alignment that has an E-Value of 1e-3 means that this similarity has a 1 in 1000 chance of occurring by chance alone.

(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/bv.fcgi?rid=handbook.section.614).

Note: Performance of the FilmArray RP system detecting Adenovirus, Influenza A, Influenza B, RSV, Influenza A/H1, Influenza A/H3, Influenza A/2009 H1N1, Human Rhinovirus, Enterovirus, Coronavirus NL63, Coronavirus HKU1, Human Metapneumovirus, or Parainfluenza Virus 3 were evaluated and established during the first phase of the prospective clinical study (data from a total of 853 subjects from the 2009/2010 respiratory season). Performance of the FilmArray RP system detecting Parainfluenza Virus 1, Parainfluenza Virus 2, or Parainfluenza Virus 4 were evaluated during the first and the second phases of the prospective clinical study (combined data of a total of 1117 subjects - a total of 853 subjects from the 2009/2010 respiratory season and a total of 264 subjects from the 2010/2011 respiratory season), only the reference/comparator methods for Parainfluenza Virus 1, Parainfluenza Virus 2, and Parainfluenza Virus 4 were performance.

The prospective performance data (all sites combined) are presented in the following tables by analyte:

Adenovirus			
FilmArray	Reference		
Results	Positive	Negative	Total
Destit	24	1.48	20
Positive	24	14 <sup>a</sup>	38
Negative	3 <sup>b</sup>	812	815
Total	27	826	853
Sensitivity: 24/27 88.9% (95%CI: 70.8%-97.7%)			7.7%)
Specificity: 8	812/826 98.3% (95%CI: 97.2%-99.1%)		

Adenovirus

a. Adenoviruses were identified in 13/14 of these false positive specimens by bi-directional sequence analysis. Ten were identified as Adenovirus group C, two as Adenovirus group B, and one as Adenovirus group E. b. One of three specimens re-tested positive with the FilmArray RP System. Bi-directional sequence analysis identified two false negative specimens as Adenovirus group C and one as Adenovirus group B.

Coronavirus HKUI
Defenses

FilmArray	Reference		
Results	Positive	Negative	Total
Positive	23	<b>2</b> <sup>a</sup>	25
Negative	1	827	828
Total	24	829	853
<b>Positive Perc</b>	ent Agreement: 23/2	24 95.8%	(95%CI: 78.9%-99.9%)
Negative Per	cent Agreement: 827	//829 99.8%	(95%CI: 99.1%-100.0%)

a. CoV-HKU1 was detected in both false positive specimens using an alternate bi-directional sequence analysis assay.

#### **Coronavirus NL63**

FilmArray	Reference		
Results	Positive	Negative	Total
Positive	23	0	23
Negative	1	829	830
Total	24	829	853
Positive Perce	nt Agreement: 23/	/24 95.8% (	95%CI: 78.9%-99.9%)
Negative Perce	ent Agreement: 829	0//829 100.0%	(95%CI: 99.6%-100.0%)

#### Human Metapneumovirus

FilmArray	Reference		
Results	Positive	Negative	Total
Positive	88	6	94
Negative	5	754	759
Total	93	760	853
Positive Percent Agreement: 88/93 94.6% (95%CI: 87.9%-98.2%)			
Negative Percent Agreement: 754//760 99.2% (95%CI: 98.3%-99.7%)			

#### Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus

FilmArray	Reference		
Results All Sites	Positive	Negative	Total
Positive	190	35	225
Negative	15	613	628
Total	205	648	853
Positive Percent Agreement: 190/205 92.7% (95%CI: 88.2%-95.8%)			
Negative Percent Agreement: 613/648         94.6% (95%CI: 92.6%-96.2%)			

#### Influenza A

FilmArray		Reference				
Results	Positive	Negative	Total			
		-				
Positive	9	2 <sup>a</sup>	11			
Negative	1	841	842			
Total	10	843	853			
Sensitivity:	9/10 90.0%	(95%CI: 55.5%-9	99.8%)			
Specificity:	841//843 99.8%	(95%CI: 99.2%	-100.0%)			

a. Influenza A virus (2009 H1N1 subtype) was detected in 2/2 False positive samples using bi-directional sequence analysis.

#### Influenza A/H1

FilmArray		Reference				
Results	Positive	Negative	Total			
Positive	0	0	0			
Negative	0	853	853			
Total	0	853	853			
Sensitivity: (	)/0 n/a					
Specificity: 8	853//853 100.0%	6 (95%CI: 99.6%	<b>%-100.0%</b> )			

#### Influenza A/H3

FilmArray	Reference				
Results	Positive	Negative	Total		
Positive	0	0	0		
Negative	0	853	853		
Total	0	853	853		
Sensitivity: 0/0	n/a				
Specificity: 853	//853 100.0%	(95%CI: 99.6%	-100.0%)		

#### Influenza A/2009 H1N1

FilmArray	Reference						
Results	Positive	Negative	Total				
Positive	8	3 <sup>a,b</sup>	11				
Negative	1	841	842				
Total	9	844	853				
Sensitivity: 8	/9 88.9%	(95%CI: 51.8%-9	99.7%)				
Specificity: 8	41//844 99.6%	(95%CI: 99.0%	-99.9%)				

a. For one of the Influenza A positive culture samples, Influenza A virus (2009 H1N1 subtype) was not detected by the PCR comparator assay followed by bi-directional sequence analysis. However, Influenza A virus (2009 H1N1 subtype) was detected from the direct sample and confirmed by bi-directional sequence analysis using an alternate assay.

b. Influenza A virus (2009 H1N1 subtype) was detected in 2/2 False Positive samples using bi-directional sequence analysis.

	IIIII	iciiza D				
FilmArray	Reference					
Results	Positive	Negative	Total			
Positive	0	0	0			
Negative	0	853	853			
Total	0	853	853			
Sensitivity:	0/0 n/a					
Specificity:	853//853 100.0%	6 (95%CI: 99.6%	6-100.0%)			

#### Influenza B

#### Parainfluenza 1

FilmArray		Reference			
Results	Positive	Negative	Total		
Positive	1	1 <sup>a</sup>	2		
Negative	0	1115	1115		
Total	1	1116	1117		
Sensitivity: 1	1/1 100.0%	∕₀ n/a			
Specificity: 1	115/1116 99.9%	(95%CI: 99.5%	6-100.0%)		

a. Parainfluenza Virus 1 was identified in this specimen using bi-directional sequence analysis.

I al anniuciiza 2						
FilmArray		Reference				
Results	Positive	Negative	Total			
Positive	7	2 <sup>a,c</sup>	9			
Negative	1 <sup>b,c</sup>	1107	1108			
Total	8	1109	1117			
Sensitivity: 7/8	87.4%	(95%CI: 47.4%-9	9.7%)			
Specificity: 1107	7/1109 99.8%	(95%CI: 99.4%-1	00.0%)			

#### Parainfluenza 2

a. Parainfluenza Virus 2 was detected in both False Positive specimens using bi-directional sequence analysis.

b. Parainfluenza Virus 2 was not detected in this False Negative specimen using PCR/sequencing analysis.

c. Two adjacent specimens (one False Positive and one False Negative) may have been switched during the viral culture reference method testing as is evidenced by bi-directional sequence analysis of these specimens

#### Parainfluenza 3

FilmArray		Reference				
Results	Positive	Negative	Total			
Positive	23	10 <sup>a</sup>	33			
Negative	1 <sup>b</sup>	819	820			
Total	24	829	853			
Sensitivity:	23/24 95.8%	(95%CI: 78.9%-9	99.9%)			
Specificity:	819//829 98.8%	(95%CI: 97.8%-9	99.4%)			

a. Parainfluenza Virus 3 were identified in 10/10 False Positive specimens using bi-directional sequence analysis.

b. Parainfluenza 3Virus was detected in this False Negative specimen when re-tested using the FilmArray RP system.

	1 41 4	iiiiiuciiza +						
FilmArray		Reference						
Results	Positive	Negative	Total					
Positive	9	1 <sup>a</sup>	10					
Negative	0	1107	1107					
Total	9	1108	1117					
Sensitivity:	9/9 100.0%	% (95%CI: 66.4%	<b>5-100.0%</b> )					
Specificity:	1107/1108 99.9%	(95%CI: 99.5%	<b>5-100.0%</b> )					

#### Parainfluenza 4

a. Parainfluenza Virus 4 was identified in this False Positive specimen using bi-directional sequence analysis although it was not detected from viral culture.

		RSV	
FilmArray		Reference	
Results	Positive	Negative	Total
Positive	52	87 <sup>a</sup>	139
Negative	0	714	714
Total	52	801	853
Sensitivity:	52/52 100	.0% (95%CI: 93.2	2%-100.0%)
Specificity:	714/801 89.1	% (95%CI: 86.8	8%-91.2%)

a. RSV was detected in 83/87 False Positive specimens using bi-directional sequence analysis.

The prospective performance data (all sites combined) are presented in the following table by organism:

Organism	Sensi	tivity	95% CI	Specif	icity	95% CI
Adenovirus	24/27	88.9%	70.8% - 97.7%	812/826	98.3%	97.2% - 99.1%
Influenza A (vs. culture alone)	9/10	90.0%	55.5% - 99.8%	841/843	99.8%	99.2% - 100.0%
Flu A/H1	0/0	n/a	n/a	853/853	100.0%	99.6% - 100.0%
Flu A/H3	0/0	n/a	n/a	853/853	100.0%	99.6% - 100.0%
Flu A/2009 H1N1	8/9	88.9%	51.8% - 99.7%	841/844	99.6%	99.0% - 99.9%
Influenza B	0/0	n/a	n/a	853/853	100.0%	99.6% - 100.0%
Parainfluenza Virus 1	1/1	100.0%	n/a	1115/1116	99.9%	99.5% - 100.0%
Parainfluenza Virus 2	7/8	87.4%	47.4% - 97.7%	1107/1109	99.8%	99.4% - 100.0%
Parainfluenza Virus 3	23/24	95.8%	78.9% - 99.9%	819/829	<b>98.8%</b>	97.8% - 99.4%
Parainfluenza Virus 4	9/9	100.0%	66.4% - 100.0%	1107/1108	99.9%	99.5% - 100.0%
<b>Respiratory Syncytial Virus</b>	52/52	100.0%	93.2% - 100.0%	714/801	89.1%	86.8% - 91.2%
Organism	PI	PA	95% CI	NP	A	95% CI
Coronavirus NL63	23/24	95.8%	78.9% - 99.9%	829/829	100.0%	99.6% - 100.0%
Coronavirus HKU1	23/24	95.8%	78.9% - 99.9%	827/829	99.8%	99.1% - 100.0%
Human Metapneumovirus	88/93	94.6%	87.9% - 98.2%	754/760	99.2%	98.3% - 99.7%
Human	190/205	92.7%	88.2% - 95.8%	613/648	94.6%	92.6% - 96.2%
Rhinovirus/Enterovirus						

#### Prospective Clinical Study FilmArray Software and Instrument, and Pouch Controls Performance Analysis

A total of 1117 prospective clinical specimens were tested and analyzed during the first phase and the second phase of the prospective clinical evaluation (2009/2010 respiratory season and 2010/2011 respiratory season). Of the 1117 analyzed prospective clinical specimens, 94.6% (1057/1117) of these specimens yielded valid results on the first attempt (i.e. first loaded pouch). Invalid results or no results were obtained for the remaining 60 (5.4%) specimens (no results for 24 specimens due to incomplete runs; 36 specimens were "invalid" due to Pouch Control failures). Of the 24 incomplete runs, 3 were aborted by users (0.3%); 6 were due to software-related errors (0.6%) and 15 were due to instrument errors (1.3%). Fifty-seven (57) of the 60 initially failed (no results or invalid) specimens yielded valid results after a single retest using a new pouch/sample. The remaining three (3) specimens failed on the second attempt (2 due to failed Pouch Controls, 1 due to an instrument error), but yielded valid results following a second retest using another pouch/sample.

Software and Instrument Performance – Prospective Clinical Study (First Phase and Second Phase Combined)

	Total	Total				Instrument Error			
Total	Completed	Tests Not	Aborted	Software	Incomplete	Valve	Incorrect	Camera	Instrument
Specimens	Tests on	Completed	Runs by	Error	(Software	Controller	Installation of a	Communi-	Error Total
Tested	First	on First	User	LIIOI	Related)	Errors <sup>a</sup>	Filmware/software	cation	
	Pouch	Pouch					Update	Error <sup>b,c</sup>	
1117	1093	24	3	3	3	11	1	3	15
1117	97.9%	2.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	1.3%

<sup>a</sup> Known failure mode in the instrument bladder system. A new bladder material has been implemented to reduce this error mode. <sup>b</sup> An additional 18 errors occurred; however, valid results were obtained by restarting the test using the same pouch.

<sup>c</sup> Known failure mode caused by a communication timing error between the FilmArray software and the driver of the instrument camera. This error mode was eliminated in software version 1.1. (Validation study of a total of 872 runs using software version 1.1 demonstrated 0% error rate caused by this particular error mode.)

#### Analysis of Pouch Controls - Prospective Clinical Study (First Phase and Second Phase Combined)

	Total Runs	Total Runs	Runs with RN.	NA Processing Controls Failed		<b>Runs with PCR2 Controls Failed</b>		
Total Completed Tests on First Pouch	with Pouch Controls	with Pouch Controls Failed	Total Runs with RNA Process Controls Failed	Both RNA Process and PCR2 Controls Failed	Only RNA Process Controls Failed <sup>a</sup>	Total Runs with PCR2 Controls Failed	Both RNA Process and PCR2 Controls Failed	Only PCR2 Controls Failed
1093	1057	36	30	13	17	19	13	6
1095	96.7%	3.3%	2.7%	1.2%	1.6%	1.7%	1.2%	0.5%

<sup>a</sup> Investigation into the higher than expected rate of RNA process controls revealed that the QC processes used to control the amount of control template was inadequate. The QC process has been revised to better control the concentration of control template.

#### Prospective Clinical Study FilmArray Pouch Performance Analysis

The FilmArray RP pouch is manufactured to contain a vacuum that draws in the required amount of Hydration Solution and Sample/Buffer Mix when the seals are broken by the cannula-tipped syringes in each of the pouch ports. If either the Hydration Solution or the Sample/Buffer Mix is not drawn into the pouch, the operator is instructed to discard the faulty pouch and obtain a new pouch to test the specimen. Out of 1281 pouches used in the first phase of the prospective clinical evaluation (2009/2010 respiratory season), thirty-seven (37) (37/1281; 2.9%) failed to draw Hydration Solution or Sample/Buffer Mix. However, the majority (31/37) of these failures occurred early in the evaluation (December 2009 through early February 2010).

Out of 521 pouches used in the second phase of the prospective clinical study (2010/2011 respiratory season), ten (10) (10/521; 1.9%) failed to draw Hydration Solution or Sample/Buffer Mix. It has been observed that an operator can partially break a port seal, leading to loss of vacuum and failure to draw the solution. It may also be possible for a pouch to be manufactured with a faulty port seal or reduced vacuum. A decrease in the rate of this failure type over time suggested that either pouch manufacturing improved or the operators became more adept at reliably puncturing the port seals.

During the first phase of the prospective clinical evaluation, one operator observed a leak in a pouch when it was removed from the instrument after testing (1/1244 loaded pouches = 0.08% failure rate). The pouches are manufactured from durable materials able to withstand the manipulations performed by the instrument; however, an occasional small rupture or tear may occur. Following discovery of the pouch leak, the operator followed recommended decontamination procedures and performed contamination surveillance swab testing of the area surrounding the instrument. No contamination was detected. No pouch leaks were observed in the second phase of the prospective clinical study.

#### Prospective Clinical Study External Controls Performance Analysis

Four (4) frozen (-70°C) control mixes were provided to the study sites for daily testing during the first phase of the prospective clinical study (2009/2010 respiratory season). Three control mixes contained pooled NPS specimens spiked with whole virus with some plasmid DNA for hard to acquire organisms. Combined, the 3 mixes covered all panel analytes. A fourth mix was negative for all panel members and only contained pooled NPS:

Control Mix 1	Control Mix 2	Control Mix 3	Control Mix 4
Coronavirus HKU1	Adenovirus	Influenza A/2009 H1N1	
Enterovirus	Coronavirus NL63	Respiratory Syncytial Virus	Nanatina fan all
Influenza B	Rhinovirus	Parainfluenza Virus 2	Negative for all organisms
Parainfluenza Virus 1	Human Metapneumovirus	Parainfluenza Virus 4	organishis
	Influenza A/H3		
	Parainfluenza Virus 3		

The operators were required to complete a valid control mix run (correct results obtained) prior to beginning patient sample testing on each testing day. A total of 300 control mix runs were attempted during the first phase of the prospective clinical study (2009/2010 respiratory season). Seventeen (17) runs did not complete and 6 runs had failed pouch control(s). Of the remaining 277 runs (site 1, 99 runs; site 2, 70 runs; and site 3,108 runs), 7 (site 1, 1 run; site 2, 2 runs; and site 3, 4 runs) (7/277; 2.5%) did not return the correct organism results either due to the detection of an extra analyte (4/7) and/or the failure to detect one or more spiked analytes (4/7). These failures may be due to low level virus from the NPS donors, introduction of contamination during the preparation or testing of the samples, or improper handling of the frozen aliquots.

Four external controls were used by study sites for daily testing during the second phase of the prospective clinical study (2010/2011 respiratory season). Three of the controls were freeze-dried, room-temperature stable, synthetic RNA mixes that were rehydrated

with Hydration Solution prior to being tested. Synthetic RNA mixes were used in the second phase of the clinical study due to several more organisms becoming difficult to obtain in the concentrations necessary for high volume external control testing. Instead of including more plasmids in the control mixes, validated synthetic RNA mixes were used. The RNA mixes are designed to test all assays in the pouch for each of the analytes. Combined, these 3 mixes cover all analyte assays in the pouch. The fourth control consisted of Hydration Solution alone (Negative):

Control Mix Alpha	Control Mix Beta	Control Mix Gamma	Negative
Adenovirus	Adenovirus	Adenovirus	
Coronavirus HKU1	Coronavirus NL63	Human Metapneumovirus	
Rhinovirus/Enterovirus	Human Metapneumovirus	Influenza A (no subtype)	Negative for all
Influenza B	Human Rhinovirus	Parainfluenza Virus 4	organisms (Hydration
Parainfluenza Virus 1	Parainfluenza Virus 3	Respiratory Syncytial Virus	Solution alone)
	Influenza A H1-2009		
Parainfluenza Virus 2	(Hemagglutinin sequence only)		
Influenza A H1	Influenza A H3		
(Hemagglutinin sequence only)	(Hemagglutinin sequence only)		

The operators were required to complete a valid control mix run (correct results obtained) prior to beginning patient sample testing on each testing day. A total of 137 control mix runs were attempted during the second phase of the prospective clinical study (2010/2011 respiratory season). Seventeen (17) runs did not complete and 3 had failed pouch control(s). Of the remaining 117 runs (site 2, 61 runs; and site 3,56 runs), 14 (site 2, 6 runs; and site 3, 8 runs) (14/117; 12.0%) did not return the correct organism results either due to the detection of an extra analyte (1/14) and/or the failure to detect one or more spiked analytes (13/14). These failures may be due to introduction of contamination during the preparation or testing of the samples, improper/incomplete rehydration of the control mixes, or introduction of RNases into the synthetic RNA control mixes during rehydration.

#### Prospective Clinical Study Environmental Contamination Surveillance Analysis

The FilmArray RP system is a closed system; all steps require no user manipulation. This dramatically reduces the possibility of contamination from environmental material or amplicon during the testing process. However, it is still possible for contaminants to be introduced during pouch loading. To determine if environmental contamination of workstations might interfere with the accuracy of test results, during the first phase of the prospective clinical study, FilmArray operators were instructed to perform contamination surveillance swab testing at least weekly and following any pouch leaks. Forty (40) total swab test runs were attempted; 35 of the runs completed and the controls passed. No contamination was detected in any of the swab tests indicating that the routine cleaning procedures recommended in the clinical trial protocol are sufficient to prevent false positive test results caused by environmental contamination of workstations used for preparing pouches. These routine cleaning procedures were recommended in the package insert.

#### **Prospective Clinical Study Mixed Infection Analysis**

The FilmArray RP system detected a total of 86 mixed infections in the first phase of the prospective clinical evaluation perform from December 2009 to May 2010 (853 tested and analyzed specimens). This represents 17.0% of the total positive specimens (86/506). Eighty-one (81/86; 94.2%) were double infections, and 5 (5/86; 5.8%) were triple infections. The total number of test results comprising these co-infections was 177. The single most common co-infection (21/86; 24.4%) was Human Rhinovirus/Enterovirus with Respiratory Syncytial Virus. These viruses were the most prevalent in the tested population. Out of the 86 co-infections, 55 contained one or more analytes that had not been detected with the reference/comparator methods, i.e. discrepant co-infection.

Distinct Co-infection Combinations Detected by the FilmArray RP System in the First Phase of the Prospective Clinical Trial (December 2009 to May 2010)

	Co-infection Combina cted by FilmArray Rl		ions			
Analyte 1	Analyte 2	Analyte 3	Total Co-infections	Number of Discrepant Co-infections <sup>a</sup>	Discrepant Analyte(s) <sup>a,b</sup>	
Adenovirus	HRV/Entero		9	3	Adenovirus (2); HRV/Entero (1)	
Adenovirus	RSV		2	2	Adenovirus (1); RSV (2)	
Adenovirus	CoVNL63		1	1	Adenovirus (1)	
Adenovirus	hMPV		1	1	Adenovirus (1); hMPV (1)	
Adenovirus	PIV 3		1	1	PIV 3 (1)	
hMPV	RSV		4	4	hMPV (1); RSV (3)	
hMPV	HRV/Entero		7	3	hMPV (2); HRV/Entero (1)	
hMPV	PIV 3		3	1	PIV 3 (1)	
hMPV	PIV 4		1	1	hMPV (1)	
CoVHKU1	hMPV		3	0		
CoVHKU1	HRV/Entero		3	0		
CoVHKU1	RSV		3	1	RSV (1)	
CoVNL63	hMPV		3	0		
CoVNL63	HRV/Entero		4	1	HRV/Entero (1)	
CoVNL63	RSV		3	2	RSV (2)	
HRV/Entero	PIV 1		1	0		
HRV/Entero	PIV 3		8	6	HRV/Entero (3); PIV 3 (3)	
HRV/Entero	PIV 4		2	0		
HRV/Entero	RSV		21	16	HRV/Entero (8); RSV (13)	
PIV 4	RSV		1	0		
CoVHKU1	HRV/Entero	RSV	1	1	RSV (1)	
CoVNL63	HRV/Entero	RSV	1	1	RSV (1)	
CoVNL63	hMPV	RSV	1	1	RSV (1)	
Adenovirus	HRV/Entero	PIV 3	2	2	Adenovirus (2)	
Т	otal Co-infections		86	48	55/177 <sup>b</sup>	
Tot	al Double Infections		81	43	50/162	

Total Triple Infections555/15	<b>Total Triple Infections</b>	5	5	5/15
-------------------------------	--------------------------------	---	---	------

<sup>a</sup>A discrepant co-infection or discrepant analyte was defined as one that was detected by FilmArray RP but not detected by the reference/comparator methods.

<sup>b</sup> 36/55 discrepant analytes were investigated using an alternate assay; bi-directional sequence analysis identified the analyte in question in 32/36 cases.

Additional Distinct Co-infection Combinations Detected by Reference/Comparator Methods, But Not Detected by the FilmArray RP System in the First Phase of the Prospective Clinical Trial (December 2009 to May 2010)

Distinct Co-inf	ection Combinations <sup>a</sup>	ions		
Analyte 1	Analyte 2	Total Co-infections	Number of Discrepant Co-infections	Discrepant Analyte(s)
HRV/Entero	hMPV	3	3	hMPV (2); HRV/Entero (1)
HRV/Entero	RSV	1	1	HRV/Entero (1)

<sup>a</sup>This table includes only distinct co-infections that were detected by the reference/comparator method but not by FilmArray RP; the remaining co-infections detected by the reference/comparator methods are already represented in the table above.

# Mixed Infections Detected by FilmArray RP in the First Phase (December 2009 to May 2010) and the Second Phase (September 2010 to January 2011) of the Prospective Clinical Trial and Prevalence of Individual Analytes in Mixed Infection

Organism Combinations	Number of Positive Specimens	Percentage of Total Specimens Tested (n = 1117)	Organism	Number of Mixed Infections	Prevalence in Mixed Infections (n = 103)
Adenovirus + HRV/Entero	15	1.34%	HRV/Entero	74	71.84%
Adenovirus + HRV/Entero + PIV3	2	0.18%	RSV	45	43.69%
Adenovirus + CoVNL63	1	0.09%	hMPV	24	23.30%
Adenovirus + hMPV	1	0.09%	Adenovirus	22	21.36%
Adenovirus + PIV3	1	0.09%	PIV3	15	14.56%
Adenovirus + RSV	2	0.18%	CoVNL63	13	12.62%
CoVNL63 + HRV/Entero + RSV	1	0.09%	CoVHKU1	10	9.70%
CoVHKU1 + HRV/Entero + RSV	1	0.09%	PIV4	5	4.85%
CoVHKU1 + hMPV	3	0.27%	PIV1	1	0.97%
CoVHKU1 + HRV/Entero	3	0.27%	PIV2	1	0.97%
CoVHKU1 + RSV	3	0.27%	FluB	1	0.97%
CoVNL63 + hMPV + RSV	1	0.09%	FluA/H1	0	0.00%
CoVNL63 + hMPV	3	0.27%	FluA/H3	0	0.00%
CoVNL63 + HRV/Entero	4	0.36%	FluA/H1-2009	0	0.00%
CoVNL63 + RSV	3	0.27%			
hMPV + HRV/Entero	7	0.63%			
hMPV + PIV3	3	0.27%			
hMPV + PIV4	1	0.09%			
hMPV + RSV	5	0.45%			
HRV/Entero + PIV1	1	0.09%			
HRV/Entero + PIV2	1	0.09%			

HRV/Entero + PIV3	9	0.81%
HRV/Entero + PIV4	3	0.27%
HRV/Entero + RSV	27	2.42%
Influenza B + RSV	1	0.09%
PIV 4 + RSV	1	0.09%
Total Mixed Infections	103	9.22%

#### **Retrospective Clinical Study**

A retrospective clinical evaluation of the FilmArray RP system was performed at ITI to supplement the prospective evaluation data. In this study, retrospective pre-selected archived specimens were further validated/confirmed by PCR/sequencing analysis, and then tested with the FilmArray RP system. Samples for this study included 420 retrospective pre-selected archived specimens. Most specimens had previous positive test results for selected organisms of interest from the source sites. Several negative specimens (as determined at the source sites) were also included in the retrospective sample set.

These retrospective archived samples were characterized previously at the source sites using a variety of methods including DSFA, viral culture followed by DFA, Luminex X-TAG RVP, and LDT PCR assays. Upon arrival at ITI, a 4-digit study number (VIN) was assigned to each sample and a key was created in order to group samples together into panels by organism. The organism panel was randomized such that the users testing the samples with the FilmArray RP were blinded as to the expected test result. Specimens were organized into "test panels" such that specimens positive for a particular analyte could serve as a negative for another analyte, allowing for calculations of negative percent agreement (NPA). Additional negative specimens were also included in each panel. There were 4 different panels in total, labeled 4 through 7, as indicated in the following table:

Panel #	Organisms	Number of Samples
4	Influenza A/H1, A/H3, A/2009 H1N1, Influenza B, and Negatives	178
5	Parainfluenza Viruses 1, 2, 3 Enterovirus, and Negatives	148
6	Adenovirus and Negatives	74
7	Parainfluenza Virus 4 and Negatives	20

Because it is possible that the provided samples had been misidentified, had degraded during storage or contained additional pathogens (such as Rhinovirus) not identified by the source laboratory, the presence of the expected analyte or the absence of the analytes was confirmed using analytically validated "validation" PCR assays for the analytes in the specific panel. (Note that while some validation assays share the same gene target as the FilmArray RP panel, they targeted different regions of the gene). Positive PCR reactions were then subjected to bi-directional sequencing for definitive confirmation. "True" organism positives were considered as any sample that had bi-directional sequencing data meeting pre-defined quality acceptance criteria that matched the particular organism sequences deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), with

Organism	E-value Low	E-Value High
Adenovirus	6.4E-57	1.4E-28
Enterovirus	9.3E-50	3.4E-30
Flu A/H1	4.1E-99	5.1E-35
Flu A/H3	4.9E-118	9.0E-61
Flu A/2009 H1N1	9.0E-88	3.4E-73
Flu B	1.0E-180	4.9E-50
PIV-1	3.7E-59	4.2E-52
PIV-2	1.0E-180	9.7E-81
PIV-3	2.7E-69	1.6E-33
PIV-4	1.7E-157	2.7E-148

acceptable E-values. The E-value ranges generated from the retrospective clinical trial per organism were presented in the following table:

If this "validation" testing did not confirm the presence of the expected organism in the sample, it was not analyzed further as this result may have reflected that the sample had been improperly labeled or handled. If additional pathogens were identified in the sample (those not identified by the source laboratory), the samples was also omitted from the analysis for that specific analyte. The expected result (positive for reported organism, or negative for presumed negative specimens) was confirmed for 367 of 420 specimens using "validation" PCR assays and sequencing (the identity of 53 specimens could not be confirmed). Data collected from "validation" PCR assays showed that specimens contained a broad range of analyte concentrations for all organisms tested (based on Cp value distribution).

Validated/confirmed specimens were then analyzed on the FilmArray RP. The identity of the specimens was unknown to the FilmArray operators. If a FilmArray error was encountered or if a pouch failed, residual NPS specimen (when available) was used to retest the sample. Out of 367 analyzed specimens, 2 specimens (VIN 6-052 and VIN 6-068) failed due to instrument and software errors, and 3 specimens (VIN 4-037, VIN 4-058 and VIN 7-018) failed due to pouch sample control failures. They were excluded from data analysis due to insufficient volumes to retest these specimens.

The following table provides a summary of demographic information for the 362 subjects with confirmed samples included in the data analysis of the retrospective study:

Demographic Summary for FilmArray RP Retrospective Clinical Study					
Т	otal Specimens	362			
	Female (%)	91 (25.1%)			
	Male (%)	86 (23.7%)			
Sex	Unknown	185 (51.1%)			
	Avg	15.4			
	Median	4.0			
Age	Min	0.5			
-	Max	81.0			
	≤5	98 (27.0%)			
	6-21	36 (9.9%)			
Age Range	22-49	23 (6.3%)			

<b>Demographic Summary</b>	for FilmArrav R	P Retrospective	Clinical Study

≥50	20 (5.5%)		
Unknown <sup>a</sup>	185 (51.1%)		

<sup>a</sup> Demographic information was not provided for specimens from one source. Because the specimens

were provided by a pediatric hospital, it is understood that the age range of specimens was from <1 yrs to 21 yrs.

The retrospective performance data from testing panel #4 samples (a total of 161 samples: 32 Flu A/H1, 54 A/H3, 35 A/2009 H1, 31 Flu B and 9 Negative) are presented in the following tables by analyte:

Seasonal Innuenza 14/111						
FilmArray		Reference				
Results	Positive Negative Total					
		-				
Positive	32	0	32			
Negative	0	127	127			
Total	32	127	159*			
<b>Positive Percent Ag</b>	greement: 32/32	100.0% (95%C	I: 89.1%-100.0%)			
<b>Negative Percent A</b>	greement: 127/127	100.0% (95%C	CI: 97.1%-100.0%)			

#### Seasonal Influenza A/H1

\* The initial analysis of samples VIN 4-037 and VIN 4-058 resulted in pouch sample control failures. There was insufficient volume to retest the specimens; therefore these two specimens were excluded from further analysis.

#### Seasonal Influenza A/H3

FilmArray	Reference				
Results	Positive	Negative	Total		
Positive	54	0	54		
Negative	0	105	105		
Total	54	105	159*		
Positive Percent	Agreement: 54/54	4 100.0% (95	%CI: 93.4%-100.0%)		
Negative Percent	Agreement: 105/1	.05 100.0% (95	%CI: 96.5%-100.0%)		

\* The initial analysis of samples VIN 4-037 and VIN 4-058 resulted in pouch sample control failures. There was insufficient volume to retest the specimens; therefore these two specimens were excluded from further analysis.

FilmArray	Reference				
Results	Positive	Negative	Total		
<b>D</b> 111	2.11	-			
Positive	34*	0	34		
Negative	0	125	125		
Total	34	125	159*		
<b>Positive Percent A</b>	greement: 34/34	100.0% (95	5%CI: 89.7%-100.0%)		
Negative Percent A	greement: 125/125	100.0% (95	5%CI: 97.1%-100.0%)		

#### 2009 H1N1 Influenza Virus

\* The initial analysis of samples VIN 4-037 and VIN 4-058 resulted in pouch sample control failures. There was insufficient volume to retest the specimens; therefore these two specimens were excluded from further analysis.

Influenza D V fi us					
FilmArray		Reference			
Results	Positive	Negative	Total		
Positive	30*	0	30		
Negative	0	129	129		
Total	30	129	159*		
<b>Positive Percent</b> A	Positive Percent Agreement: 30/30 100.0% (95%CI: 88.4%-100.0%)				

#### Influenza B Virus

Negative Percent Agreement: 129/129 100.0% (95%CI: 97.2%-100.0%)

\* The initial analysis of samples VIN 4-037 and VIN 4-058 resulted in pouch sample control failures. There was insufficient volume to retest the specimens; therefore these two specimens were excluded from further analysis.

The retrospective performance data from testing panel #5 samples (a total of 129 samples: 23 Enterovirus, 35 PIV1, 28 PIV2, 36 PIV3 and 7 Negative) are presented in the following tables by analyte:

Enterovirus						
FilmArray		Reference				
Results	Positive	Negative	Total			
Positive	22	0	22			
Negative	1	90	91			
Total	23	90	113*			
Positive Percent	Agreement: 22/23	95.7% (95%	CI: 78.0%-99.9%)			
Negative Percent Agreement: 90/90 100.0% (95%CI: 96.0%-100.						

Enterovirus

\* It was observed that 16 Parainfluenza Virus positive specimens were unexpectedly positive when tested with the Rhinovirus "validation" PCR. Because there was no previous test for Rhinovirus or Enterovirus for these particular specimens, the Rhinovirus PCR result was not investigated by sequencing and the Rhinovirus or Enterovirus status of these specimens were not included in the FilmArray RP percent agreement analysis for Enterovirus.

PIV-1

FilmArray		Reference			
Results	Positive	Negative	Total		
Positive	34	0	34		
Negative	1	94	95		
Total	35	94	129		
Positive Percent Agreement: 34/35		97.1% (	95%CI: 85.1%-99.9%)		
Negative Percent	t Agreement: 94/94	100.0%	(95%CI: 96.2%-100.0%)		

PIV-2

FilmArray		Reference						
Results	Positive		Positive Negative		e		Total	
Positive	28		0			28		
Negative	0		101			101		
Total	28		101			129		
<b>Positive Percent</b> A	greement: 2	28/28	100.0%	(95	%CI:	87.6%-100.0%)		
Negative Percent	Agreement: 1	01/101	100.0%	(95	5%CI:	96.4%-100.0%)	)	

PI	V.	-3
----	----	----

FilmArray	Reference				
Results	Positive	Negative	Total		
Positive	36	0	36		
Negative	0	93	93		
Total	36	93	129		
<b>Positive Percent A</b>	greement: 36/36	100.0% (9	5%CI: 90.3%-100.0%)		
Negative Percent A	Agreement: 93/93	100.0% (9	5%CI: 96.1%-100.0%)		

The retrospective performance data from testing panel #6 samples (a total of 59 samples: 27 Adenovirus and 32 Negative) are presented in the following tables by analyte:

Adenovirus						
FilmArray		Reference				
Results	Positive	Negative	Total			
Positive	27	0	27			
Negative	0	28	28			
Total	27	28	55*			
<b>Positive Percent Ag</b>	reement: 27/27	100.0% (95%C	I: 87.2%-100.0%)			
Negative Percent Agreement: 28/28 100.0% (95%CI: 87.7%-100.0%)						

\* It was found that two negative specimens were positive for Adenovirus (VINs 6-025 & 6-032). Because there was no previous test for Adenovirus on these particular specimens, the Adenovirus PCR result was not investigated by sequencing and the Adenovirus status of these specimens was not included in the FilmArray RP percent agreement analysis for Adenovirus. Two specimens were lost due to incomplete FilmArray runs resulting from instrument and software errors (VINs 6-052 and 6-068), and there was insufficient volume of either sample to repeat the test, therefore it was omitted from further analysis.

The retrospective performance data from testing panel #7 samples (a total of 18 samples: 11 PIV4 and 7 Negative) are presented in the following tables by analyte:

PIV-4						
FilmArray		Reference				
Results	Positive	tive Negative Total				
Positive	11	0	11			
Negative	0	6	6			
Total	11	6	17*			
Positive Percent Agreement: 11/11 100.0% (95%CI: 71.5%-100.0%)						
Negative Percent	Agreement: 6/6	100.0% (95%	5CI: 54.1%-100.0%)			

DIX7 4

\* Two independent tests of sample VIN 7-018 both resulted in pouch sample control failures. There was insufficient volume to retest the specimen again; therefore it was excluded from further analysis.

The retrospective performance data combined are presented in the following table by analyte:

Organism	PI	PA	95% CI	N	PA	95% CI
Adenovirus	27/27	100.0%	87.2% - 100.0%	28/28	100.0%	87.7% - 100.0%
Enterovirus	22/23	95.7%	78.0% - 99.9%	90/90	100.0%	96.0% - 100.0%
Flu A/H1	32/32	100.0%	89.1% - 100.0%	127/127	100.0%	97.1% - 100.0%
Flu A/H3	54/54	100.0%	93.4% - 100.0%	105/105	100.0%	96.5% - 100.0%
Flu A/2009 H1N1	34/34	100.0%	89.7% - 100.0%	125/125	100.0%	97.1% - 100.0%
Flu B	30/30	100.0%	88.4% - 100.0%	129/129	100.0%	97.2% - 100.0%
PIV-1	34/35	97.1%	85.1% - 99.9%	94/94	100.0%	96.2% - 100.0%
PIV-2	28/28	100.0%	87.6% - 100.0%	101/101	100.0%	96.4% - 100.0%
PIV-3	36/36	100.0%	90.3% - 100.0%	93/93	100.0%	96.1% - 100.0%
PIV-4	11/11	100.0%	71.5% - 100.0%	6/6	100.0%	54.1% - 100.0%

## *Retrospective Clinical Study Software and Instrument, and Pouch Controls Performance Analysis*

A total of 367 retrospective clinical specimens were tested and analyzed during the retrospective clinical evaluation. Of the 367 analyzed retrospective clinical specimens, 92.9% (341/367) of these specimens yielded valid results on the first attempt (i.e., first loaded pouch). Invalid results or no results were obtained for the remaining 26 (7.1%) specimens on the first attempt (no results for 7 specimens due to incomplete runs; 19 specimens were "invalid" due to Pouch Controls failures). Of the 7 incomplete runs, 6 were due to software-related errors (1.6%) and 1 was due to an instrument error (0.3%). Eighteen (18) of the 26 initially failed (no results or invalid) specimens yielded valid results after a single retest using a new pouch/sample. Two (2) specimens required two retests and one (1) specimen required three retests using new pouches/samples to obtain valid results. Five (5) could not be re-tested due to insufficient specimen volume and were excluded from further data analysis.

	Total	Total			Instrument Error				
Total	Completed	Tests Not	Aborted	Software	Valve	Incorrect	Camera	Instrument	Instrument
Specimens Tested	First	Completed on First	Runs by User <sup>a</sup>	Error	Controller Errors <sup>b,c</sup>	Installation of a Filmware/software	Communi- cation	Communi- cation	Error Total
	Pouch	Pouch				Update	Error <sup>d,e</sup>	Error	
367	360	7	0	6	1	0	0	0	1
307	98.1%	1.9%	0.0%	1.6%	0.3%	0%	0%	0%	0.3%

<sup>a</sup> One run was aborted by the user, but the run was re-started using the same pouch.

<sup>b</sup> Three (3) additional valve controller errors were encountered, but the user was able to re-start the run.

<sup>c</sup> Known failure mode in the instrument bladder system. A new bladder material has been implemented to reduce this error mode.

<sup>d</sup> Twenty-three (23) camera communications errors occurred; however, valid results were obtained by restarting the test using the same pouch.

<sup>e</sup> Known failure mode caused by a communication timing error between the FilmArray software and the driver of the instrument camera. This error mode was eliminated in software version 1.1. (Validation study of a total of 872 runs using software version 1.1 demonstrated 0% error rate caused by this particular error mode.)

<sup>f</sup> Two (2) additional instrument errors occurred (instrument communication error); however, valid results were obtained by restarting the test using the same pouch.

Analysis of Pouch Controls - Retrospective Clinical Study

	Total Runs Total Runs			Runs with RNA Processing Controls Failed			<b>Runs with PCR2 Controls Failed</b>		
Total Completed Tests on First Pouch	with Pouch Controls Passed	with Pouch Controls Failed	Total Runs with RNA Process Controls Failed	Both RNA Process and PCR2 Controls Failed	Only RNA Process Controls Failed <sup>a</sup>	Total Runs with PCR2 Controls Failed	Both RNA Process and PCR2 Controls Failed	Only PCR2 Controls Failed	
360	341	19	18	1	17	2	1	1	
300	94.7%	5.3%	5.0%	0.3%	4.7%	0.6%	0.3%	0.3%	

<sup>a</sup> Investigation into the higher than expected rate of RNA process controls revealed that the QC process used to control the amount of control template was inadequate. The QC process has been revised to better control the concentration of control template.

#### 4. Clinical cut-off:

Not applicable

#### 5. Expected values/Reference range:

	Overall (n=853)		Site 1	(n=275)	Site 2	(n=333)	Site 3	(n=245)
	Number	Expected Value	Number	Expected Value	Number	Expected Value	Number	Expected Value
Adenovirus	38	4.5%	5	1.8%	11	3.3%	22	9.0%
Influenza A	11	1.3%	10	3.6%	1	0.3%	0	0%
Flu A/H1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Flu A/H3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Flu A/2009 H1N1	11	1.3%	10	3.6%	1	0.3%	0	0%
Influenza B	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Parainfluenza Virus 1	1	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0.4%
Parainfluenza Virus 2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Parainfluenza Virus 3	33	3.9%	1	0.4%	1	0.3%	31	12.7%
Parainfluenza Virus 4	8	0.9%	0	0%	4	1.2%	4	1.6%
Respiratory Syncytial Virus	139	16.3%	4	1.5%	86	25.8%	49	20.0%
Coronavirus NL63	23	2.7%	4	1.5%	9	2.7%	10	4.1%
Coronavirus HKU1	25	2.9%	9	3.3%	13	3.9%	3	1.2%
Human Metapneumovirus	94	11.0%	12	4.4%	41	12.3%	41	16.7%
Human Rhinovirus/Entero	225	26.4%	36	13.1%	92	27.6%	97	39.6%

### Expected Value (As Determined by the FilmArray RP) Summary by Site for the FilmArray RP First Phase Prospective Clinical Evaluation (December 2009 – May 2010)

Expected Value (As Determined by the FilmArray RP) Summary by Site for the FilmArray RP Second Phase Prospective Clinical Evaluation (September 2010 – January 2011)

	Overall	(n=264)	Site 2	(n=180)	Site 3	6 (n=84)
	Number	Expected Value	Number	Expected Value	Number	Expected Value
Adenovirus	15	5.6%	11	6.1%	4	4.6%
Influenza A	5	1.9%	0	0%	5	6.0%
Flu A/H1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Flu A/H3	5	1.9%	0	0%	5	6.0%
Flu A/2009 H1N1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Influenza B	1	0.4%	1	0.6%	0	0%
Parainfluenza Virus 1	1	0.4%	0	0%	1	1.1%
Parainfluenza Virus 2	9	3.4%	4	2.2%	5	5.7%
Parainfluenza Virus 3	5	1.9%	0	0%	5	5.7%
Parainfluenza Virus 4	2	0.7%	1	0.6%	1	1.1%
Respiratory Syncytial Virus	31	11.7%	11	6.1%	20	23.8%
Coronavirus NL63	1	0.4%	1	0.6%	0	0%
Coronavirus HKU1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Human Metapneumovirus	4	1.5%	0	0%	4	4.6%
Human Rhinovirus/Entero	125	46.8%	91	50.6%	34	39.1%

### Expected Value (As Determined by FilmArray RP) Summary by Age Group for FilmArray RP First Phase Prospective Clinical Evaluation (December 2009 – May 2010)

Analyte	Total (Expected Value)	$\leq$ 5 years	6-21 years	22-49 years	≥50 years
Adenovirus	38 (4.5%)	32	2	3	1
CoV-HKU1	25 (2.9%)	12	1	8	4
CoV-NL63	23 (2.7%)	17	2	2	2
hMPV	94 (11.0%)	76	4	10	4
HRV/Entero	225 (26.4%)	161	24	29	11
FluA (all subtypes)	11 (1.3%)	1	1	7	2
FluA/H1	0 (0%)	0	0	0	0

FluA/2009 H1N1	11 (1.3%)	1	1	7	2
FluA/H3	0 (0%)	0	0	0	0
FluB	0 (0%)	0	0	0	0
PIV1	1 (0.1%)	0	1	0	0
PIV2	0 (0%)	0	0	0	0
PIV3	33 (3.9%)	31	1	0	1
PIV4	8 (0.9%)	7	1	0	0
RSV	139 (16.3%)	127	3	4	5

Expected Value (As Determined by FilmArray RP) Summary by Age Group for FilmArray RP Second
Phase Prospective Clinical Evaluation (September 2010 – January 2011)

Analyte	Total (Expected Value)	≤5 years	6-21 years	22-49 years	≥50 years
Adenovirus	15 (5.7%)	15	0	0	0
CoV-HKU1	0 (0%)	0	0	0	0
CoV-NL63	1 (0.4%)	1	0	0	0
hMPV	4 (1.5%)	4	0	0	0
HRV/Entero	125 (47.3%)	118	7	0	0
FluA (all subtypes)	5 (1.9%)	4	1	0	0
FluA/H1	0 (0%)	0	0	0	0
FluA/2009 H1N1	0 (0%)	0	0	0	0
FluA/H3	5 (1.9%)	4	1	0	0
FluB	1 (0.4%)	1	0	0	0
PIV1	1 (0.4%)	1	0	0	0
PIV2	9 (3.4%)	9	0	0	0
PIV3	5 (1.9%)	5	0	0	0
PIV4	2 (0.7%)	2	0	0	0
RSV	31 (11.7%)	30	1	0	0

Expected Value (Co-infections as Determined by FilmArray RP) Summary by Age Group for FilmArray RP First Phase Prospective Clinical Evaluation (December 2009 – May 2010)

Co-Infection	Total (Expected Value)	≤5 Years	6-21 Years	22-49 Years	≥ 50 Years
HRV/Entero + RSV	21 (2.46%)	20	0	1	0
HRV/Entero + Adenovirus	9 (1.06%)	9	0	0	0
HRV/Entero + PIV1	1 (0.09%)	0	1	0	0
HRV/Entero + PIV3	8 (0.94%)	7	1	0	0
HRV/Entero + PIV4	2 (0.23%)	2	0	0	0
HRV/Entero + hMPV	7 (0.82%)	7	0	0	0
hMPV + PIV4	1 (0.09%)	1	0	0	0
hMPV + RSV	4 (0.47%)	4	0	0	0
PIV4 + RSV	1 (0.09%)	1	0	0	0
HRV/Entero + CoV NL63	4 (0.47%)	3	0	1	0
CoV HKU1 + hMPV	3 (0.35%)	3	0	0	0

CoV HKU1 + HRV/Entero	3 (0.35%)	1	0	2	0
CoV HKU1 + RSV	3 (0.35%)	3	0	0	0
CoV NL63 + hMPV	3 (0.35%)	3	0	0	0
CoV NL63 + RSV	3 (0.35%)	3	0	0	0
Adenovirus + RSV	2 (0.23%)	2	0	0	0
hMPV + PIV3	3 (0.35%)	3	0	0	0
Adenovirus + HRV/Entero + PIV3	2 (0.23%)	2	0	0	0
Adenovirus + CoV NL63	1 (0.12%)	1	0	0	0
Adenovirus + hMPV	1 (0.12%)	1	0	0	0
Adenovirus + PIV3	1 (0.12%)	1	0	0	0
CoV HKU1 + HRV/Entero + RSV	1 (0.12%)	1	0	0	0
CoV NL63 + HRV/Entero + RSV	1 (0.12%)	1	0	0	0
CoV NL63 + hMPV + RSV	1 (0.12%)	1	0	0	0

Expected Value (Co-infections as Determined by FilmArray RP) Summary by Age Group for FilmArray RP Second Phase Prospective Clinical Evaluation (September 2010 – January 2011)

Co-Infection	Total (Expected Value)	≤5 Years	6-21 Years	22-49 Years	≥ 50 Years
HRV/Entero + RSV	6 (2.2%)	6	0	0	0
HRV/Entero + Adenovirus	6 (2.2%)	6	0	0	0
HRV/Entero + PIV2	1 (0.4%)	1	0	0	0
HRV/Entero + PIV3	1 (0.4%)	1	0	0	0
HRV/Entero + PIV4	1 (0.4%)	1	0	0	0
hMPV + RSV	1 (0.4%)	1	0	0	0
Flu B + RSV	1 (0.4%)	1	0	0	0

#### N. Instrument Name:

FilmArray Instrument.

#### **O.** System Descriptions:

#### 1. Modes of Operation:

The FilmArray instrument interacts with the FilmArray pouch mechanically, thermally, and optically to drive a multi-step chemical process designed to detect specific nucleic acid targets using multiplex nested PCR followed by DNA melting analysis. The instrument follows a protocol that is defined by a set of codes that are downloaded from the host computer at runtime. The instrument protocol defines the specific timing and sequence parameters as the instrument performs the following key functions:

- Perform cell disruption using the bead beater
- Extract nucleic acid from the disrupted sample
- Perform stage 1 PCR thermocycling of multiplexed PCR reaction
- Perform stage 2 PCR thermocycling of the array
- Execute a DNA melt and detect fluorescent signals generated

- Monitor system performance in real time and communicates out of specification conditions to the user via the software
- 2. <u>Software</u>:

FDA has reviewed applicant's Hazard Analysis and software development processes for this line of product types:

Yes \_\_\_\_\_X\_\_\_ or No \_\_\_\_\_\_

3. Specimen Identification:

User enters Patient ID/Sample ID by typing it in.

4. Specimen Sampling and Handling:

Not applicable

- 5. <u>Calibration</u>: Not applicable
- 6. Quality Control:

The FilmArray Respiratory Panel (RP) pouch contains two internal control assays:

- 1. The RNA Process Control targets an mRNA of the yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. During FilmArray RP pouch manufacture, whole yeast are freeze-dried into the sample injection port of each pouch. When the test specimen is loaded into the pouch, S. pombe is rehydrated and enters the pouch with the specimen. The yeast nucleic acid is extracted, purified and tested simultaneously with nucleic acids from the patient specimen. A positive result for the processing control indicates that all steps in the process (nucleic acid extraction, reverse transcription, PCR, melt, detection, and analysis) are functioning properly.
- 2. The second stage PCR (PCR2) control assay detects a synthetic DNA template that is dried into triplicate wells of the array along with the corresponding primers. A positive result indicates that PCR2 was successful.

The RNA Process Control and the PCR2 Control assays are used to Pass or Fail each FilmArray RP pouch run. This combination of control assays monitors each of the critical mechanical and chemical processes that occur in a pouch run, while limiting the possibility of random control assay failures that could contribute to unnecessary pouch failures.

Good laboratory practice recommends running external positive and negative controls regularly. Use viral transport medium as the external Negative Control, and previously characterized positive samples or negative sample spiked with well characterized target organisms as external Positive Controls. External controls should be used in accordance with local, state, federal accrediting organizations, as applicable.

# P. Other Supportive Instrument Performance Characteristics Data Not Covered In the "Performance Characteristics" Section above:

Not applicable

#### Q. Proposed Labeling:

The labeling is sufficient and it satisfies the requirements of 21 CFR Part 809.10.

#### **R.** Conclusion:

The submitted information in this premarket notification is complete and supports a substantial equivalence decision