

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

Application Number 21-077

CHEMISTRY REVIEW(S)

1

CHEMICAL NAME, STRUCTURAL FORMULA, MOLECULAR FORMULA, MOLECULAR WEIGHT:

See USAN

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

DMFs and Other Referenced Information

DMF No.	Holder Name	Subject	Status	Date Reviewed	Reference in Reviews
			Adequate		[]
			Adequate		.
			Adequate		.
			Adequate		.
			Adequate		.
			Adequate		.
N20-236,N 20692	Glaxo Wellcome	Salmeterol xinafoate drug substance	Adequate		See this review, Question 1.
N 20-121	Glaxo Wellcome	fluticasone propionate drug substance	Adequate		See CR#1, page 9
			Adequate	24-JAN-00	See CR#1, page 42

RELATED DOCUMENTS (if applicable)

Type	Number	Owner	Subject	Status	Date
NDA	20-692	Glaxo	Serevent Diskus	Approved	19-SEP-97
NDA	20-833	Glaxo	Flovent Diskus	Approvable	28-NOV-99

CONSULTS:

CONSULT	DATE FORWARDED	STATUS	COMMENTS
EER	05-MAY-00	AC	
Microbiology, HFD-160	Not applicable		
Biometrics, HFD-710	08-DEC-99	Stability consult review dated 24-JAN-00	the biometrics comments concerning statistical analysis of the aerodynamic fine particle size data on stability were forwarded to the applicant, see comments from CR#1. For review of the firms responses, see review of Questions 14c and 14d in CR#2.
Environmental Assessment	N/A		
Pharm/Tox HFD-570	20-AUG-99	Consult review dated 12/17/99	the consult review recommended that levels proposed for _____ in salmeterol xinafoate drug substance and for _____ in the drug product be considered acceptable. The pharm/tox

			comment that the specification for most abundant other impurity in salmeterol xiaafoate drug substance be tightened to — — was forwarded to the applicant; see comments for CR#1. The final specifications provided by the applicant have incorporated these recommendations (see attachments I and II to this review).
Pharm/Tox HFD-570	3/3/00	Consult review dated 3/8/00	the pharm/tox review found the potential exposure to the colorant in the mouthpiece to be acceptable.
Labeling & Nomenclature Committee	24-MAY-99	Acceptable*	

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

From a chemistry, manufacturing, and controls perspective, it is recommended that the NDA be approved. The approval letter should include the **COMMENTS** at the end of this review.

cc:

Orig. NDA 21-077
 HFD-570/Division File
 HFD-570/DKoble
 HFD-570/PJani
 HFD-570/GPoochikian
 HFD-570/SJohnson
 HFD-570/BElashoff

R/D Init. by: *IS/ GP 8/24/00*
 filename: NDA21-077.cr4

IS/
8/24/00

 Dale L. Koble, Ph.D., Review Chemist

REMARKS

1. **Methods Validation Package:** The applicant provided an updated methods validation package in the submission dated 23-AUG-00. This submission was not reviewed in detail, but will be reviewed for completeness before it is sent to the FDA laboratories.
2. **Master Batch Records:** The applicant provided updated master batch records in the submission dated 23-AUG-00. This submission was not reviewed in detail. The firm provided a list of updates in the introduction to the section on the master batch records and a spot check of the master batch records indicates that they were appropriately updated.

The applicant had previously indicated that a series of master batch records would be established that describe the products by specific strength, pack size, and filling overage. The — will not be specified in the MBR. An internal production scheduling system will take into account specific — for product strengths and pack size based upon validation data. The applicant indicated in the

15-MAR-00 submission that appropriate master batch records will be provided once the expiration dating periods are agreed upon (see evaluation of Question 2 in CR#2).

The applicant had previously provided a commitment (response to Question 5 below) to provide an updated master batch record once agreement has been reached with the agency on a number of issues

[See responses to Questions 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 below)]

- 3. The information concerning the _____ is provided in the NDA rather than referenced to a DMF.
- 4. The following agreements should be included in the final approval letter.

┌

- 5. The project manager should incorporate the standard methods validation paragraph in the approval letter.

└

COMMENTS:

See at end of review.

**APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL**

WITHHOLD 39 PAGE (S)

CHEMICAL NAME, STRUCTURAL FORMULA, MOLECULAR FORMULA, MOLECULAR WEIGHT:

See USAN

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

DMFs and Other Referenced Information

DMF No.	Holder Name	Subject	Status	Date Reviewed	Reference in Reviews
			Adequate		
N20-236.N 20692	Glaxo Wellcome	Salmeterol xinafoate drug substance	Adequate		See this review, Question 1.
N 20-121	Glaxo Wellcome	fluticasone propionate drug substance	Adequate		See CR#1, page 9
			Adequate	24-JAN-00	See CR#1, page 42

RELATED DOCUMENTS (if applicable)

Type	Number	Owner	Subject	Status	Date
NDA	20-692	Glaxo	Serevent Diskus	Approved	19-SEP-97
NDA	20-833	Glaxo	Flovent Diskus	Approvable	28-NOV-99

CONSULTS:

CONSULT	DATE FORWARDED	STATUS	COMMENTS
EER	05-MAY-00	AC	
Microbiology, HFD-160	Not applicable		
Biometrics, HFD-710	08-DEC-99	Stability consult review dated 24-JAN-00	the biometrics comments concerning statistical analysis of the aerodynamic fine particle size data on stability were forwarded to the applicant, see comments from CR#1.
Environmental Assessment	N/A		
Pharm/Tox HFD-570	20-AUG-99	Consult review dated 12/17/99	the consult review recommended that levels proposed for _____ in salmeterol xinafoate drug substance and for _____ in the drug product be considered acceptable. The pharm/tox comment that the specification for most abundant other impurity in salmeterol xinafoate drug substance be tightened to _____

			_____ was forwarded to the applicant; see comments for CR#1.
Pharm/Tox HFD-570	3/3/00	Consult review dated 3/8/00	the pharm/tox review found the potential exposure to the colorant in the mouthpiece to be acceptable.
Labeling & Nomenclature Committee	24-MAY-99	Acceptable*	

* Advair Diskus was found acceptable. The LNC commented that the _____ is misleading and that the sponsor should re-do expression of potency to include both ingredients. A consult dated 1/11/00 has been sent to OPDRA concerning this and other labeling issues by CSO Parinda Jani.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

From a chemistry, manufacturing, and controls perspective, it is recommended that the NDA be considered approvable. See comments under **REMARKS** and **COMMENTS** below.

cc:

Orig. NDA 21-077
 HFD-570/Division File
 HFD-570/DKoble
 HFD-570/PJani
 HFD-570/GPoochikian
 HFD-570/SJohnson
 HFD-570/BElashoff
 R/D Init. by: ISI GP * 8/23/00
 filename: NDA21-077.cr3

ISI

8/21/00

Dale L. Koble, Ph.D., Review Chemist

REMARKS

* Note that this was previously reviewed by Dr. Poochikian.

1. The applicant has provided a commitment to provide an **updated methods validation package** once agreement has been obtained with the agency on tests and specifications.
2. In the 15-MAR-00 submission, the applicant indicates that a series of master batch records will be established that describe the products by specific strength, pack size, and filling overage. The _____ will not be specified in the MBR. An internal production scheduling system will take into account specific _____ for product strengths and pack size based upon validation data. The applicant indicates in the 15-MAR-00 submission that appropriate **master batch records** will be provided either pre-approval or post-approval as required, once the expiration dating periods are agreed upon (see evaluation of Question 2 in CR#2).
3. The applicant has provided a commitment (response to Question 5 below) to provide an **updated master batch record** once agreement has been reached with the agency on a number of issues [_____]
 [_____] See responses to Questions 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 below).
4. The following agreements should be included in the final approval letter.

[~~_____~~]

5. The information concerning the ~~_____~~ is provided in the NDA rather than referenced to a DMF.

COMMENTS:

See at end of review.

**APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL**

WITHHOLD 39 PAGE (S)

JANI

JUL 24 2000

**DIVISION OF PULMONARY DRUG PRODUCTS
Review of Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls**

NDA #: 21-077

CHEM. REVIEW #: 2

REVIEW DATE: 18-APR-00

RECOMMEND ACTION:

SUBMISSION TYPE DOCUMENT DATE CDER DATE

Amendment 25-FEB-00
Amendment 18-APR-00

NAME & ADDRESS OF APPLICANT:

Glaxo Wellcome Inc.
Five Moore Drive
PO Box 13398
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 27709-3398

DRUG PRODUCT NAME:

Proprietary: Advair Diskus

Nonproprietary/USAN: salmeterol
xinafoate and fluticasone propionate
inhalation powder

Chem. Type/Ther. Class: 4S

PHARMACOL.

CATEGORY/INDICATION: asthma

DOSAGE FORM: powder

**STRENGTHS: 50/100, 50/250, 50/500
mcg (salmeterol and fluticasone
propionate respectively). The
salmeterol is present as salmeterol
xinafoate (72.5 mcg).**

The trade pack contains 60 doses/device. The
institutional and sample packs contain 28 doses/device.

**ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION: oral
inhalation**

DISPENSED:

Rx OTC

SPECIAL PRODUCTS:

YES NO

(If yes, fill out the form for special products and deliver to
the TIA through the team leader for data entry)

**APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL**

CHEMICAL NAME, STRUCTURAL FORMULA, MOLECULAR FORMULA, MOLECULAR WEIGHT:

See USAN

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

DMFs and Other Referenced Information

DMF No.	Holder Name	Subject	Status	Date Reviewed	Reference in Reviews
			Adequate		[]
			Adequate		.
			Adequate		.
			Adequate		.
			Adequate		.
			Adequate		.
N20-236,N 20692	Glaxo Wellcome	Salmeterol xinafoate drug substance	Inadequate		See this review, Question 1.a.
N 20-121	Glaxo Wellcome	fluticasone propionate drug substance	Adequate		See CR#1, page 9
			Adequate	24-JAN-00	See CR#1, page 42

RELATED DOCUMENTS (if applicable)

Type	Number	Owner	Subject	Status	Date
NDA	20-692	Glaxo	Serevent Diskus	Approved	19-SEP-97
NDA	20-833	Glaxo	Flovent Diskus	Approvable	28-NOV-99

CONSULTS:

CONSULT	DATE FORWARDED	STATUS	COMMENTS
EER	05-MAY-00	AC	
Microbiology, HFD-160	Not applicable		
Biometrics, HFD-710	08-DEC-99	Stability consult review dated 24-JAN-00	to provide statistical analysis of expiration dating period. Aerodynamic fine particle size distribution was analyzed (this is the
Environmental Assessment	N/A		
Pharm/Tox HFD-570	20-AUG-99	Consult review dated 12/17/99	to provide a recommendation for the safety of salmeterol drug substance impurity
Pharm/Tox HFD-570	15-DEC-99	Consult review dated 1/18/00	safety qualification of colorants used in mouthpiece of Diskus device
Labeling & Nomenclature Committee	24-MAY-99	Acceptable*	

* Advair Diskus was found acceptable. The LNC commented that the _____ is misleading and that the sponsor should re-do expression of potency to include both ingredients. A consult dated 1/11/00 has been sent to OPDRA concerning this and other labeling issues by CSO Parinda Jani.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

From a chemistry, manufacturing, and controls perspective, it is recommended that the NDA be considered approvable. See comments under **REMARKS** and **COMMENTS** below.

cc:

Orig. NDA 21-077
HFD-570/Division File
HFD-570/DKoble
HFD-570/PJani
HFD-570/GPoochikian
HFD-570/SJohnson
HFD-570/BEIashoff

R/D Init. by: 7/24/00

filename: NDA21-077.cr2

ISI

7/18/00

Dale L. Koble, Ph.D., Review Chemist

REMARKS

1. Labeling comments have been obtained from OPDRA.
2. The applicant has provided a commitment to provide an updated methods validation package and master batch record once agreement has been obtained with the agency on tests and specifications.
3. The information concerning the _____ is provided in the NDA rather than referenced to a DMF.
4. A request is included in the draft letter for annotation of a portion of the Description section of the updated package insert. A complete review of this section will not be performed until the annotated labeling is received.

COMMENTS:

See at end of review.

APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL

WITHHOLD 104 PAGE (S)

DIVISION OF PULMONARY DRUG PRODUCTS
Review of Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls

NDA #: 21-077 **CHEM. REVIEW #:** 1 **REVIEW DATE:**

RECOMMEND ACTION: Not approvable 1/25/00

<u>SUBMISSION TYPE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT DATE</u>	<u>CDER DATE</u>
ORIGINAL	24-MAR-99	25-MAR-99
AMENDMENT	30-JUN-99	02-JUL-99
AMENDMENT	30-AUG-99	31-AUG-99
AMENDMENT	29-SEP-99	30-SEP-99

NAME & ADDRESS OF APPLICANT: Glaxo Wellcome Inc.
Five Moore Drive
PO Box 13398
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 27709-3398

DRUG PRODUCT NAME:
Proprietary: Advair Diskus

Nonproprietary/USAN: salmeterol
xinafoate and fluticasone propionate
inhalation powder

Chem. Type/Ther. Class: 4S

PHARMACOL.

CATEGORY/INDICATION: asthma

DOSAGE FORM: powder

STRENGTHS: 50/100, 50/250, 50/500
mcg (salmeterol and fluticasone
propionate respectively). The
salmeterol is present as salmeterol
xinafoate (72.5 mcg).

The trade pack contains 60 doses/device. The
institutional and sample packs contain 28 doses/device.

ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION: oral
inhalation

DISPENSED:

Rx OTC
 YES NO

SPECIAL PRODUCTS:

(If yes, fill out the form for special products and deliver to
the TIA through the team leader for data entry)

**APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL**

CHEMICAL NAME, STRUCTURAL FORMULA, MOLECULAR FORMULA, MOLECULAR WEIGHT:

See USAN

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

DMFs and Other Referenced Information

DMF No.	Holder Name	Subject	Status	Date Reviewed	Reference in Reviews
			Adequate		[]
			Adequate		.
			Adequate		.
			Adequate		.
			Adequate		.
			Adequate		.
N20-236,N 20692	Glaxo Wellcome	Salmeterol xinafoate drug substance	Inadequate		See this review, page 6
N 20-121	Glaxo Wellcome	fluticasone propionate drug substance	Adequate		See this review, page 9
			Adequate	24-JAN-00	See this review, page 42

RELATED DOCUMENTS (if applicable)

Type	Number	Owner	Subject	Status	Date
NDA	20-692	Glaxo	Serevent Diskus	Approved	19-SEP-97
NDA	20-833	Glaxo	Flovent Diskus	Approvable	28-NOV-99

CONSULTS:

CONSULT	DATE FORWARDED	STATUS	COMMENTS
EER	25-MAR-99	Pending	
Microbiology, HFD-160	N/A		
Biometrics, HFD-710	08-DEC-99	Stability consult review dated 24-JAN-00	to provide statistical analysis of expiration dating period. Aerodynamic fine particle size distribution was analyzed (this is the
Environmental Assessment	N/A		
Pharm/Tox HFD-570	20-AUG-99	Consult review dated 12/17/99	to provide a recommendation for the safety of salmeterol drug substance impurity
Pharm/Tox HFD-570	15-DEC-99	Consult review dated 1/18/00	safety qualification of colorants used in mouthpiece of Diskus device
Labeling & Nomenclature Committee	24-MAY-99	Acceptable*	

* Advair Diskus was found acceptable. The LNC commented that the _____ is misleading and that the sponsor should re-do expression of potency to include both ingredients. A consult dated 1/11/00 has been sent to OPDRA concerning this and other labeling issues by CSO Parinda Jani.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

From a chemistry, manufacturing, and controls perspective, it is recommended that the NDA be considered approvable. See comments under **REMARKS** and **COMMENTS** below.

cc:

Orig. NDA 21-077
HFD-570/Division File
HFD-570/DKoble
HFD-570/PJani
HFD-570/GPoochikian
HFD-570/Sjohnson
HFD-570/Belashoff

R/D Init. by: JS 1/25/00
filename: NDA21-077.cr1

JS

1/25/00

Dale L. Koble, Ph.D., Review Chemist

REMARKS

1. Note that labeling comments have been requested from OPDRA and are pending.
2. Note that the applicant has provided a commitment to provided an updated methods validation once agreement has been obtained with the agency on tests and specifications.
3. The project manager should check on the EIR before taking an action.
4. Note that the information concerning the _____ is provided in the NDA rather than referenced to a DMF.
5. The acceptability of _____ and the _____ depends upon the comparison of the stability of the "commercial" stability batches in which these were used and the primary stability batches. A comment on this comparison has been included in the draft comments to the applicant.

COMMENTS:

See at end of review.

**APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL**

WITHHOLD 196 PAGE (S)

FROM: Dale Koble
Review Chemist 8/23/00

TO: NDA 21-077 Division File

DATE: 23-AUG-00

RE: Diskus mechanical reliability

Glaxo submitted an assessment of the durability of device in actual use (based upon customer complaints from European marketing of the fluticasone/salmeterol drug product) on page 54 of Vol.13.1 of the 25-FEB-00 submission to the NDA.

Complaints were classified as unsubstantiated, inconclusive, and substantiated. Out of _____ manufactured devices there were the following substantiated complaints:

5 cases of device jamming were substantiated. The firm indicates that this was identified as an assembly issue and currently 100% inspection is in place to monitor this problem

6 substantiated complaints of multi-clicking when the device lever was actuated (the device should click only once per actuation). The firm indicates that this has been addressed with the supplier of the device component.

EVALUATION:

The information provided indicates satisfactory mechanical reliability (3.5 substantiated complaints per _____ devices) of the Diskus device for Advair Diskus (NDA 21-077).

The issue of device reliability should be reconsidered for

DEVICE JAMMING

The Diskus device is mechanically identical (varying only in color) for Advair, Serevent, Flovent, _____ Diskus pending or approved NDAs. While no specific information was provided in the information under review, the issue of device jamming was reviewed in detail for NDA 20-833 (CR#1, pages 43-47 and CR#2, pages 35-37). Problems with blister strip jamming were addressed by _____ (second refinement of the device), change to a _____ design (third refinement of the device), and _____

MULTIPLE CLICKING

This problem was not previously addressed in chemistry reviews of Diskus drug products. However, due to the low criticality, the low incidence is acceptable for Advair.

CC:

Original NDA 21-077

HFD-570: Divfile

HFD-570: Dkoble

HFD-570: Gpoochikian

HFD-570: Sjohnson

HFD-570: Pjani

APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL

WITHHOLD 8 PAGE (S)