

SUMMARY OF ANIMAL DEVELOPMENTAL TOX. STUDIES (Prenatal Component)

CHEMICAL: FLUOXETINE

Table 4.3

REFERENCE: A Preliminary Teratology Study on Fluoxetine (Lilly Compound 110140) in the Rat. Lilly Research Laboratories Study No R-77, IND Toxicology Report No7 / 1979 by J S Wold and J.K. Markham

Species, strain	Rat, Fischer 344			
Exposure – compound and doses	Fluoxetine Hydrochloride, purity 96% (aqueous solution), 0,10,20,40 mg/kg/d			
Exposure timing and duration	Gest. days 6-15 (Plug day=day 0)			
Route of administration	Oral (gavage)			
Gestation day of sacrifice	Gest. day 20			
Dose – Response (yes/no)	yes			
Dose Levels (mg/kg/day)→	0 (Control)	10	20	40
N animals per group	5	5	5	5
N pregnant dams/litters per group	5/5	1/1	4/3	4/1
NOAEL and LOAEL prenatal dvlpm.				
Pre-implantation lethality ² per dam	15%	•	•	15.6%(-)
N implants/ N Corpora lutea per dam (% change vs control)	10/11.8	• (1 dam only)	9 / • C.L. unknown (C.L. 2 dams only)	9.7/11.5
Post-implantation lethality ³ per litter	4%	0 (1 litter only)	25% (↑5-fold)	93%(↑23-fold)
- Dead (mean per litter)	0.2	0	0 (-)	0 (-)
- Resorbed (aborted) - mean per litter	0.2	0	2.3 (↑100%)	9.0 (↑50-fold)
- N litters completely resorbed/ N total	0/5	0/1	1/3 (30%)	3/4 (75%)
N live fetuses per litter	9.6	12 (1 litter only)	5.3 (↓45%)	0.75 (↓92%)
Sex ratio (proportion males, %)	•	•	•	•
Fetal weight per litter % change vs control	•	•	•	•
Sex-differentiated fetal weight	•	•	•	•
Incidence of malformations per litter (if elevated, describe malformations below)	0% (gross external only)	0%(-) (gross external only)	0%(-) (gross external only)	0%(-)(gross external only)
Malformations by type ¹ (rate and description)				
- External [†]	0%	0%(-)	0%(-)	0%(-)
- Visceral [†]	•	•	•	•
- Skeletal [†]	•	•	•	•
Incidence of variations per litter	•	•	•	•
Maternal toxicity				
NOAEL & LOAEL maternal toxicity				
Body weight, initial-final, g (%vs cntrl):	177-241	•	185-170 (↓30%)	188 - • (all dead)
- prior to dosing	182 (g.day 7)	•	182 (-)	178.3 (-)
- during dosing:	199 (g.day 14) 241 (g.day 20)	• •	168 (↓15%) 170 (↓30%)	142.3 (↓28.5%) • (all dead)
Weight gain, % (% change vs cntrl)	36.3	•	18.2 (↓50%)	• (all dead)
Pregnancy-adjusted weight (yes/no)	no	no	no	no
Food consumption, g/day (% vs cntrl)				
- prior to dosing	8.9 (g.d.0-6)	•	10.1	9.0
- during dosing:	9.9 (g.d.7-13) 12.8 (g.d.14-19)	• •	2.9 (↓71%+) 5.3 (↓60%+)	1.0 (↓90%+) • (all dead)
Clinical signs	(-)	•	Anorexia + marked wt loss	Anorexia + marked wt loss
Endpoints above attributable / non-attributable to pharmacological effect? (yes/no)			no	no
Maternal mortality	0	0	50% (2/4)	100% (5/5)
Necropsy findings			Acute upper resp. tract infection	Acute upper resp. tract infection

¹ Effect to be presented as relative to control values; ² Pre-implantation lethality (%) = [(n C.L.-n impl.) / n C.L.]x100;

³ Post-implantation lethality (%) = (n Dead+Resorbed (aborted)/n implants)x100; ⁴ N live / N total fetuses per litter;

[†] Describe specific malformations which are increased over their control rates

Key: (-) no change; • no observation; (+) statistically significant change or trend(p<0.05); (±) statistically non-significant change; ↑ increase; ↓ decrease;

Table 4.3 (continued)

FLUOXETINE	REFERENCE: A Preliminary Teratology Study on Fluoxetine (Lilly Compound 10140) in the Rat. INC 10140 Lilly Research Laboratories, Toxicology Report No7, Study No R-77, 1979 by J.S Wold and J.K. Markham.				
Summary	NOAEL		LOAEL		Most sensitive endpoint (Limiting parameter)
	Female	Male	Female	Male	
General Toxicity	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
Reproductive Toxicity incl:					
- Fertility	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
- Prenatal Developmental Toxicity	Not determinable		Not determinable		Excessive ↑ in postimplantation embryofetal loss & proportion of dams that had resorptions at 20 and 40 mg/kg/day
- Postnatal Development Toxicity	N.A.		N.A.		
- Maternal toxicity during gestation	Not determinable		Not determinable		100% maternal mortality at 40, and 50% - at 20 mg/kg/day

N.A. = not applicable

Conclusion	Fluoxetine hydrochloride at oral doses of 20 and 40 mg/kg/day on gest.days 6 through 15 in Fischer 344 rat results in dose-dependent acute maternal toxicity (anorexia, marked wt.loss, mortality) and in embryoletality (early resorptions) involving 25% to over 90% of conceptuses at 20 and 40 mg/kg/day respectively. No stillbirths, no gross external malformations (even at these extreme exposures).
Confounding factors and other comments	Insufficient N pregnant animals to allow determining nature and magnitude of effect at the lowest dose level (10 mg/kg/day): only 1 pregnant animal in the lowest dose group. Intermediate & high doses cause overt maternal toxicity/mortality which confound the assessment of developmental effects per se. Only gross external malformations recorded (real malformation incidence unknown)
Evaluation*	Limitations: a preliminary, dose-finding study, limited to acute effects at maternally toxic doses. LOAEL can not be determined because of insufficient N pregnant dams (1 only) at the lowest dose level (10mg/kg/day).
Criteria:	
Adequacy of experimental model	Yes
Adequacy of dose and route of adm.	Doses too high; route adequate
Adequacy of timing & duration of exposure	Yes
Sufficient n animals per group	No
Presence of dose/effect or dose/response relationship	Yes
Appropriate statistical analysis	No
Concordance with pharmacokinetic/ pharmacodynamic properties of agent	Not applicable at these high doses
Data consistent with other studies	No data
Study reliable (yes/no)	Reliability limited


*(with regard to reliability of extrapolating study data to humans)

Note for the database: 1. The lowest dose level group (10 mg/kg/day) contained only 1 pregnant female and should not be included in the database at all. 2. For the remaining dose groups, no mean (only individual) values of reproduction data are provided in the original report. In this summary, the mean group data are calculated on the basis of those individual data. 3. Although N animals insufficient, this study should be entered in the database since it would complement the information about dose/effect relationship in combination with the next (lower doses) teratogenicity study in the same rat strain.

SUMMARY OF ANIMAL DEVELOPMENTAL TOX. STUDIES (Prenatal Component)

CHEMICAL: **FLUOXETINE**

Table 4.4

REFERENCE: A Teratology Study on Fluoxetine (Lilly Compound 110140) in the Rat. Lilly Research Laboratories Study R-207, IND  Toxicology Report No. 8 /1979 by J. S. Wold & J. K. Markham

Species, strain	Rat, Fischer 344			
Exposure – compound and doses	Fluoxetine Hydrochloride, purity 96% (aqueous solution); 0, 2, 5, 12.5mg/kg/d			
Exposure timing and duration	Gest. days 6-15 (plug day=day 0)			
Route of administration	Oral (gavage)			
Gestation day of sacrifice	Gest. day 20			
Dose – Response (yes/no)	yes			
<i>Dose Levels (mg/kg/day) →</i>	0 (Control)	2	5	12.5
N dams/litters per group	25/25	19/19	22/21	18/17
NOAEL and LOAEL prenatal dvlpm.				NOAEL
Pre-implantation lethality² per dam	27%	19.6% (-)	21% (-)	32% ↑(±)
N implants/ N Corpora lutea (% change vs control)	8.6/11.8	9.4/11.7 (-)	9.5/12 (-)	7.8/11.4 n impl. ↓10% (±)
Post-implantation lethality³ per litter	9.3%	6.4% (-)	7.4% (-)	9% (-)
- Dead (mean per litter)	0	0 (-)	0 (-)	0 (-)
- Resorbed (aborted) - mean per litter	0.8	0.6 (-)	0.7 (-)	0.7 (-)
Early:Late resorptions ratio	18:1	11:0	14:1	13:0
Females affected of total, %	52%(13/25)	44% (8/18)	57% (12/21)	39% (7/18)
- N litters completely resorbed/ N total	0/25	0/19 (-)	0/22 (-)	1/18 (-)
N live fetuses per litter	7.9	8.8 (-)	8.8 (-)	7.1 ↓10% (±)
Fetal viability (gestat. survival index)⁴	100%	100%(-)	100%(-)	100%(-)
Sex ratio (proportion males)	48%	53% (-)	52%(-)	60% (↑25%)
Fetal weight per litter, g % change vs control	3.20	3.20 (-)	3.10 (-)	3.29 (-)
Sex-differentiated fetal weight	•	•	•	•
Incidence of malformations per litter (if elevated, describe malformations below)	0.5%	0%(-)	0%(-)	0%(-)
Malformations by type¹ (rate and description)				
- External ¹				
- Visceral ¹	0.5% (1/197)			
- Skeletal ¹				
Incidence of variations per litter	1.5% (3/197)	1% (2/162)	1.6% (3/184)	0.8% (1/127)
Maternal toxicity				
NOAEL & LOAEL maternal toxicity			NOAEL	LOAEL
Body weight, initial-final, g (%vs cntrl):	189-246	191-256 (-)	191-254 (-)	188 -236 (-)
- prior to dosing	197 (g.day 7)	197 (-)	196 (-)	188 (-)
- during dosing:	210 (g.day 14)	212 (-)	209 (-)	191 ↓9%(±)
	246 (g.day 20)	256 (-)	254 (-)	236 (-)
Weight gain, % (% change vs cntrl)	30	33 (-)	33 (-)	25 ↓5%(±)
Pregnancy-adjusted weight (yes/no)	no	no	no	no
Food consumption, g/day (% vs cntrl)				
- prior to dosing:	7.2 (g.d. 0-6)	7.6 (-)	7.2 (-)	6.9 (-)
- during dosing:	9.6 (g.d. 7-13)	9.2 (-)	8.2 (↓15%)	4.8 (↓50%)
	11.3 (g.d. 14-19)	11.5 (-)	12 (-)	10.8 (-)
Clinical signs	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)
Endpoints above attributable to pharmacological effect of the compound?			yes	yes
Maternal mortality	0	0	0	0
Necropsy findings	•	•	•	•

¹ Effect to be presented as relative to control values; ² Pre-implantation lethality (%) = [(n C.L.-n impl.) / n C.L.]x100;

³ Post-implantation lethality (%) = (n Dead+Resorbed (aborted))/n implants)x100; ⁴ N live / N total fetuses per litter;

¹ Describe specific malformations which are increased over their control rates

Key: (-) no change; • no observation; (+) statistically significant change or trend(p<0.05); (±) statistically non-significant change; ↑ increase; ↓ decrease;

Table 4.4 (continued)

FLUOXETINE	REFERENCE: A Teratology Study on Fluoxetine (Lilly Compound 110140) in the Rat. Lilly Research Laboratories Study R-207, IND — Toxicology Report No.8 /1979 by J. S. Wold & J. K. Markham				
Summary	NOAEL		LOAEL		Most sensitive endpoint (Limiting parameter)
	Female	Male	Female	Male	
General Toxicity	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
Reproductive Toxicity incl:					
- Fertility	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
- Prenatal Developmental Toxicity	12.5 mg/kg/day		Not determined		No effect
- Postnatal Development Toxicity	N.A.		N.A.		
- Maternal toxicity during gestation	5 mg/kg/day		12.5 mg/kg/day		Decreased food consumption

N.A.= not applicable

Conclusion	Fluoxetine hydrochloride at oral doses of 2, 5 and 12.5 mg/kg/day on gest.days 6 through 15 in Fischer 344 rat results in dose-dependent depression of maternal food intake, significant at the highest dose and in non-significant but dose-dependent transient decrease in maternal wt gain during 2 nd wk of treatm. at 12.5 mg/kg/day. These effects are most probably due to the pharmacologic action of the agent. No signs of developmental toxicity even at the maternally effective dose. Increased proportion of male fetuses at the highest dose (probably a chance finding). No selective embryo/fetotoxicity. NOEL: 12.5 mg/kg/day
Confounding factors and other comments	Decreased litter size at the highest dose group (12.5 mg/kg/day) is due to the higher pre-implantation embryonic lethality and is not treatment-related since dosing was started after implantation. The higher pre-implantation lethality is due to 2 dams which had a single implant. site each. The somewhat higher fetal wt in the same group is explained by confounding factors: smaller litter size and higher proportion of males. Evaluation on true effect on fetal wt. hindered by the lack of sex-differentiated weight measurement.
Evaluation*	Study reliable. Altered sex ratio at the highest exposure non-concordant with other studies at similar and higher dose levels (most probably due to chance). Limitation: Conclusion about effect on fetal weight can not be made (see confounding factors).
<i>Criteria:</i>	
<i>Adequacy of experimental model</i>	Yes
<i>Adequacy of dose and route of adm.</i>	Yes
<i>Adequacy of timing & duration of exposure</i>	Yes
<i>Sufficient n animals per group</i>	Yes
<i>Presence of dose/effect or dose/response relationship</i>	Yes
<i>Appropriate statistical analysis</i>	Yes
<i>Concordance with pharmacokinetic/ pharmacodynamic properties of agent</i>	Yes
<i>Data consistent with other studies</i>	Yes (with exception of the change in the sex ratio of progeny)
Study reliable (yes/no)	Yes

*(with regard to reliability of extrapolating study data to humans)

Note for the database: Please, see the **Confounding factors and other comments** above

SUMMARY OF ANIMAL DEVELOPMENTAL TOX. STUDIES (Prenatal Component)

CHEMICAL: FLUOXETINE

Table 4.5

REFERENCE: A Preliminary Teratology Study on Fluoxetine (Lilly Compound 110140) in the Rabbit. Lilly Research Laboratories Study B-7017, IND _____ Toxicology Report No 9/1979 by J. S. Wold & J. K. Markham

Species, strain	Rabbit, Dutch Belted			
Exposure – compound and doses	Fluoxetine Hydrochloride, purity 96% (aqueous solution); 0, 2.5, 7.5, 15 mg/kg/d			
Exposure timing and duration	Gest. days 6-18			
Route of administration	Oral (gavage)			
Gestation day of sacrifice	Gest. day 28			
Dose – Response (yes/no)	yes			
<i>Dose Levels (mg/kg/day) →</i>	0 (Control)	2.5	7.5	15
N animals per group	5	5	5	5
N pregnant dams/litters per group	5/5	5/5	4/4	5/4
NOAEL and LOAEL prenatal dvlpm.				
Pre-implantation lethality² per dam	20%	26%	3%	30% ↑(±)
N implants/ N Corpora lutea	4.8/ 6	5.6/ 7.6	5.8/ 6	5.2/ 7.4
Post-implantation lethality³ per litter	0 %	21%(↑)	17% (↑)	27% (↑)
- Dead (mean per litter)	0	0 (-)	0 (-)	0 (-)
- Resorbed - mean per litter	0	0.6 (↑)	1.0 (↑)	0.6 (↑)
- Aborted - mean per litter	0	0.6 (↑)	0	0.8 (↑)
Early:Late resorptions ratio	•	•	•	•
Females affected of total, %	0/5	3/5	4/4	3/5
N litters completely resorbed(aborted)/total	0/5	1/5	0/4	2/5
N live fetuses per litter	4.8	4.0	4.8 (-)	2.8 ↓40% (±)
Fetal viability (gestat.survival index)⁴	•	•	•	•
Sex ratio (proportion males)	•	•	•	•
Fetal weight per litter, g (%vs control)	•	•	•	•
Sex-differentiated fetal weight	•	•	•	•
Incidence of malformations per litter (if elevated, describe malformations below)	0% gross extern. only	0%(-) gross extern. only	0%(-) gross extern. only	0%(-) gross extern. only
Malformations by type¹				
- External [†]	0	0	0	0
- Visceral [†]	•	•	•	•
- Skeletal [†]	•	•	•	•
Incidence of variations per litter	•	•	•	•
Maternal toxicity				
NOAEL & LOAEL maternal toxicity	•	•	•	•
Body weight, initial-final, g (%vs cntrl):	2000-2050	1990-2140 (-)	2060-1960 (↓5%	2000-1860 (↓10%
- prior to dosing	2020 (g.day 6)	2010 (-)	2030 (-)	2010 (-)
- during dosing:	2010 (g.day 18)	1980 (-)	1900 (↓5%	1690 (↓15%
- post-dosing	2050 (g.day 27)	2140 (-)	1960 (↓5%	1860 (↓10%
Weight gain, % (% change vs cntrl)	2.2%	-0.2% (↓)	-4.8% (↓)	-7.8% (↓)
Pregnancy-adjusted weight (yes/no)	no	no	no	no
Food consumption, g/day (% vs cntrl)				
- prior to dosing:	85 (g.d. 0-5)	82 (-)	70 (-)	90 (-)
- during dosing:	64 (g.d. 6-18)	69 (-)	31 (↓50%)	7 (↓90%)
- post-dosing	47 (g.d. 19-27)	69 (-)	53 (-)	49 (-)
Clinical signs	(-)	(-)	(-)	anorexia
Endpoints above attributable to the pharmacological effect of compound?			yes	yes
Maternal mortality	0	0	0	0
Necropsy findings	1/5	1/5 (unrelated to treatment)	0	3/5 (unrelated to treatment)

¹ Effect to be presented as relative to control values; ² Pre-implantation lethality (%) = [(n C.L.-n impl.) / n C.L.]x100;

³ Post-implantation lethality (%) = (n Dead+Resorbed (aborted)/n implants)x100; ⁴ N live / N total fetuses per litter;

[†] Describe specific malformations which are increased over their control rates

Key: (-) no change; • no observation; (+) statistically significant change or trend(p<0.05); (±) statistically non-significant change; ↑ increase; ↓ decrease;

Table 4.5 (continued)

FLUOXETINE	REFERENCE. A Preliminary Teratology Study on Fluoxetine (Lilly Compound 110140) in the Rabbit. Lilly Research Laboratories Study B-7017, IND Toxicology Report No. 9 /1979 by J. S. Wold & J. K. Markham				
Summary	NOAEL		LOAEL		Most sensitive endpoint (Limiting parameter)
	Female	Male	Female	Male	
General Toxicity	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
Reproductive Toxicity incl:					
- Fertility	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
- Prenatal Developmental Toxicity	x		x		↑ <i>postimplantation lethality</i> (abortions and resorptions);
- Postnatal Development Toxicity	N.A.		N.A.		
- Maternal toxicity during gestation	x		x		<i>Depressed food consumption,</i> ↓ <i>body weight & wt gain</i>

N.A.= not applicable; x = not known (N animals per group is insufficient to determine LOAEL and NOAEL)

Conclusion	Fluoxetine hydrochloride oral treatment of pregnant Dutch Belted rabbits during organogenesis (g.day 6 through 18) by 2.5, 7.5 and 15 mg/kg/day causes a marked dose-dependent depression in maternal food consumption and body wt loss during the dosing period at the intermediate and high dose levels. Increased embryonic and fetal loss (resorptions and late abortions) found in all exposed groups, including that not showing maternal effects (2.5 mg/kg/day) in a dose-dependent manner. No malformations (at gross examination). N animals per group is insufficient to determine LOAEL and NOAEL
Confounding factors and other comments	Insufficient number of animals to determine LOAEL and NOAEL Embryofetal loss in the control group "uncommonly low" for the species (authors' comment)
Evaluation*	A preliminary, dose-finding study to assess developmental toxicity of fluoxetine in a second (non-rodent) species. Reliability limited by the small number of animals per group.
<i>Criteria:</i>	
<i>Adequacy of experimental model</i>	Yes
<i>Adequacy of dose and route of adm.</i>	Yes
<i>Adequacy of timing & duration of exposure</i>	Yes
<i>Sufficient n animals per group</i>	No (this is a preliminary study)
<i>Presence of dose/effect or dose/response relationship</i>	Yes
<i>Appropriate statistical analysis</i>	No (Insufficient n of animals)
<i>Concordance with pharmacokinetic/ pharmacodynamic properties of agent</i>	yes
<i>Data consistent with other studies</i>	yes
Study reliable (yes/no)	Yes, with limitations

*(with regard to reliability of extrapolating study data to humans)

Note for the database:	Mean group values for the reproduction data are not given in the original report. In this summary, they are calculated on the basis of the individual data provided in the report. For the database, the mean group values are preferable.
-------------------------------	--

SUMMARY OF ANIMAL DEVELOPMENTAL TOX. STUDIES (Prenatal Component)

CHEMICAL: FLUOXETINE		Table 4.6			
REFERENCE: A Teratology Study on Fluoxetine (Lilly Compound 110140) in the Rabbit. Lilly Research Laboratories Study B-7087, IND _____ Toxicology Report No. 10/1979 by J. S. Wold & J. K. Markham					
Species, strain	Rabbit, Dutch Belted				
Exposure – compound and doses	Fluoxetine Hydrochloride, purity 96% (aqueous solution); 0, 2.5, 7.5, 15 mg/kg/d				
Exposure timing and duration	Gest. days 6-18				
Route of administration	Oral (gavage)				
Gestation day of sacrifice	Gest. day 28				
Dose – Response (yes/no)	yes				
<i>Dose Levels (mg/kg/day) →</i>	0 (Control)	2.5	7.5	15	
N animals per group	15	15	15	15	
N pregnant dams/litters per group	15/15	14/14	14/14	13/8	
NOAEL and LOAEL prenatal dvlpm.			NOAEL	LOAEL	
Pre-implantation lethality² per dam	12.3%	15.9% (-)	9.7% (-)	12% (-)	
N implants/ N Corp. lutea, % change vs cnt	7.8/ 8.9	7.4/ 8.8 (-)	8.4/9.3 (-)	8/9.1 (-)	
Post-implantation lethality³ per litter	9.3%	8.1% (-)	7.1% (-)	51% (↑)	
- Dead + aborted (mean per litter)	0	0 (-)	0 (-)	2.9 (↑)	
- Resorbed (mean per litter)	0.8	0.6 (-)	0.6 (-)	1.2 (↑)	
Early:Late Resorptions ratio	12:1	8:1	4:5	13:11 (incl.abortions)	
Females affected of total, %	33% (5/15)	29% (4/14)	43% (6/14)	54% (7/13)	
N litters completely resorbed(aborted)/total	0/15	0/14	0/14	3/11	
N live fetuses per litter	7.0	8.8 (-)	8.8 (-)	4.7 (↓ 33%)	
Fetal viability (gestat.survival index)⁴	100%	100%(-)	100%(-)	84% (↓) (52/62)	
Sex ratio (proportion males)	58%	53% (-)	61% (-)	38% (↓)(+)	
Fetal weight per litter, g	33.1	32.3	31.1(↓ 6%)	30.0 (↓ 9%)	
% change vs control		(-)	(±)	(±)	
Sex-differentiated fetal weight	•	•	•	•	
Incidence of malformations per litter	0%	1% (1/94)	0%	0%	
Malformations by type¹ (rate & descript.)					
- External ¹	0	0	0	0	
- Visceral ¹	0	1% omphalocele	0	0	
- Skeletal ¹	0	1% bipartite stern	0	0	
Incidence of variations per litter	4.8% (5/105)	13% (12/94)	13% (14/108)	17.3% (9/52) (↑)	
Type of variations	13 ribs	13 ribs	13 ribs	13 ribs; wavy ribs	
Maternal toxicity					
NOAEL & LOAEL maternal toxicity			NOAEL	LOAEL	
Body weight, initial-final, g (%vs cntrl):	3180 - 3270	3180 - 3170 (-)	3170 - 3210 (-)	3210-3040 (↓7%)	
- prior to dosing	3170 (g.d. 6)	3180 (-)	3170 (-)	3230 (-)	
- during dosing	3200 (g.d. 18)	3120 (-)	3030 (↓5%)	2840 (↓11%)	
- post dosing	3270 (g.d. 27)	3170 (-)	3210 (-)	3040 (↓7%)	
Weight gain, % (% change vs cntrl)	+2.8 %	-0.4% ↓(±)	+1.2% ↓(±)	-8.1% ↓ (+)	
Pregnancy-adjusted weight (yes/no)	no	no	no	no	
Food consumption, g/day (% vs cntrl)					
- prior to dosing:	126 (g.d. 0-5)	117 (-)	114 (-)	120 (-)	
- during dosing.	117 (g.d. 6-18)	87 (↓25%)	50 (↓57%)	14 (↓88%)	
- post dosing	94 (g.d. 19-27)	94 (-)	112 (-)	62 (↓34%)	
Clinical signs	(-)	(-)	(-)	Anorexia, diarrhea	
Endpoints above attributable to pharmacological effect of the compound?		yes	yes	Partially	
Maternal mortality	0	0	0	15% (2/13)	
Necropsy findings				Acute pneumonia, fatty liver	

¹ Effect to be presented as relative to control values; ² Pre-implantation lethality (%) = [(n C.L-n impl.)/ n C.L.]x100;

³ Post-implantation lethality (%) = (n Dead+Resorbed (aborted)/n implants)x100; ⁴ N live / N total fetuses per litter;

¹ Describe specific malformations which are increased over their control rates

Key: (-) no change, • no observation; (+) statistically significant change or trend(p<0.05); (±) statistically non-significant change; ↑ increase; ↓ decrease;

Table 4.6 (continued)

FLUOXETINE	REFERENCE: A Teratology Study on Fluoxetine (Lilly Compound 110140) in the Rabbit. Lilly Research Laboratories Study B-7087, INL — Toxicology Report No. 10/1979 by J. S. Wold & J. K. Markham				
Summary	NOAEL		LOAEL		Most sensitive endpoint (Limiting parameter)
	Female	Male	Female	Male	
General Toxicity	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
Reproductive Toxicity incl:					
- Fertility	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
- Prenatal Developmental Toxicity	7.5 mg/kg/day		12.5 mg/kg/day		↑ <i>postimplantation lethality</i> (abortions and late resorptions); ↓ <i>litter size, live fetuses</i> ↑ <i>incidence of skeletal variations (n.s)</i> ↓ <i>fetal weight (n.s)</i>
- Postnatal Development Toxicity	N.A.		N.A.		
- Maternal toxicity during gestation	7.5 mg/kg/day		12.5 mg/kg/day		↓ <i>food consumption</i> ↓ <i>body wt and body wt gain during the dosing period</i>

N.A.= not applicable; n.s. = non-significant

Conclusion	F hydrochloride oral(gavage) treatment of rabbits during g.days 6-18 at 2.5, 7.5 & 15 mg/kg/day induces maternal & embryofetal toxicity at the highest dose level: ↑maternal mortality, ↑abortions & late resorptions, ↑rate of skeletal variations(n.s.), ↓fetal weight (n.s.). Adverse embryofetal effects are present only at maternally toxic dose level (<i>no selective embryo/fetotoxicity</i>). LOAEL prenatal and maternal toxicity: 15 mg/kg/day (the considerable reduction of maternal food intake at 7.5 mg/kg/day is not a sign of toxicity since it is not accompanied by wt loss and is related to the pharmacological action of the agent). NOAEL 7.5 mg/kg/day.
Confounding factors and other comments	A. Over 20% of the pregnant females (3 of 13) at the highest dose (15 mg/kg/day) aborted (and were sacrificed shortly before term), but were not taken into account by the authors in determining the average group values of the prenatal endpoints. No abortions were induced by the lower dose levels. Thus, the embryofetal loss at the highest dose level was underestimated and the dose-effect relationship with respect to this endpoint was confounded. The dead fetuses were not taken into account in determining the gestation survival index at the same dose level. In the present summary however, the females that aborted and the aborted fetuses were included in determining the average group values for the endpoints at the highest dose level. B. There was one case of maternal mortality at the intermediate dose (7.5 mg/kg/day) due to improper handling (injection of fluid into trachea) and not to a treatment-related effect (not included in this summary). C. The significantly lower proportion of male fetuses at the highest dose is probably a random no-drug-related effect (no dose-dependence) but it may be the reason for the lower mean fetal wt in this dose group.
Evaluation*	Study reliable after taking into account the confounding factors (see above) and the Note for database (below)
<i>Criteria:</i>	
<i>Adequacy of experimental model</i>	Yes
<i>Adequacy of dose and route of adm.</i>	Yes
<i>Adequacy of timing & duration of exposure</i>	Yes
<i>Sufficient n animals per group</i>	Yes
<i>Presence of dose/effect or dose/response relationship</i>	Yes
<i>Appropriate statistical analysis</i>	Yes, but the spontaneous abortions at the highest dose gr are not taken into acct.
<i>Concordance with pharmacokinetic/ pharmacodynamic properties of agent</i>	Yes
<i>Data consistent with other studies</i>	Yes
Study reliable (yes/no)	Yes

*(with regard to reliability of extrapolating study data to humans)

Table 4.6 (continued)

FLUOXETINE	REFERENCE: A Teratology Study on Fluoxetine (Lilly Compound 110140) in the Rabbit. Lilly Research Laboratories Study B-7087, IND Toxicology Report No. 10/1979 by J. S. Wold & J. K. Markham
Note for the database:	<p>(a). The authors' original summary tables should not be used when entering embryofetal data pertaining to the <u>highest</u> dose level (15 mg/kg/day) – for explanation, see “confounding factors” above. The present summary can be used as a source of data for this dose group.</p> <p>(b). At the <u>intermediate</u> dose level (7.5 mg/kg/day), there was 1 maternal death only (due to improper handling) which is not included in this summary – it should not be entered in the database (as maternal mortality) because this maternal death had no relation to the exposure.</p>

FLUOXETINE

TABLES

Table 1.	EVALUATION OF HUMAN STUDIES (surveys and epidemiological studies)	<i>page 2</i>
Table 1-a.	EVALUATION OF HUMAN STUDIES (case reports)	<i>page 6</i>
Table 2.	EVALUATION OF HUMAN STUDIES: SUMMARY	<i>page 8</i>
Table 3.	EVALUATION OF ADVERSE OUTCOMES IN HUMANS	<i>page 9</i>
Table 4.	EXTENDED SUMMARIES AND EVALUATION OF ANIMAL STUDIES* (for table 4 (4.1. – 4.6), see Annex II of Fluoxetine manuscript)	
Table 5.	COMPARATIVE SUMMARIES AND EVALUATION OF ANIMAL STUDIES	<i>page 10</i>
	Table 5.1. Fertility	<i>page 10</i>
	Table 5.2. Prenatal developmental toxicity	<i>page 12</i>
	Table 5.3. Postnatal Developmental Toxicity	<i>page 15</i>
Table 6.	EVALUATION OF ADVERSE OUTCOMES IN EXPERIMENTAL ANIMALS	<i>page 18</i>
Table 7.	FLUOXETINE PHARMACOKINETICS AND PHARMACODYNAMICS: ANIMAL TO HUMAN COMPARISONS	<i>page 20</i>
Table 8.	FLUOXETINE DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY: ANIMAL TO HUMAN COMPARISONS	<i>page 21</i>

Table 1. EVALUATION OF HUMAN STUDIES (surveys and epidemiological studies)

A. Abstracted data	<i>Goldstein et al, 1995</i>	<i>Goldstein, Marvel, 1993</i>	<i>Chambers et al, 1996 (as cited by Johnson, 1997)</i>	<i>Pastuszak et al, 1993 Koren et al, 94 (same st)</i>
Type of study	Descriptive prospective case survey (uncontrolled)	Descriptive prospective case survey (uncontrolled)	Analytical epidemiological prospective cohort study	Analytical epidemiological prospective cohort study
N subjects studied	112 deliveries (115 babies)	544 deliveries	228 vs 254 controls	128 vs 2 controls per case
Dose	Range: 10 - 80 mg/day	N.D.	Mean: 25 or 28 mg/day	Mean: 25.8 mg/day
Time of gest. exposure	3rd trimester to delivery	N.D.	Before 25 gest.wk ("early")	1st trimester
Duration of dosing	As above	N.D.	or contin.after 25 wk ("late")	during 1st trimester only
Effects on offspring:				
- Abortions	N.D.			
Spontaneous	N.D.	15.9% (?)	10.5% vs 9.1% in contrl (-)	↑ 14.8 vs 7.8% in ctrl (±)
Therapeutic & elective	N.D.	16.7% (?)	12 or 15% (?)	8.6 vs 6.2% in ctrl (-)
- Perinatal death	N.D.	0.4% (-)	N.D.	Not increased (-)
- Altered birthweight	N.D.	N.D.	↓ after "late" exposure (+)	↑ rate of wt>4 kg, ns (±)
- Prematurity	2.7% (-)	N.D.	↑ after "late" exposure (+)	7% vs 8% in ctrl (-)
- Congenital malformations				
Major	3.5% (-)	3.4% (-)	5.5% vs 4% in ctrl (-)	2% vs 1.8% (-)
Minor	N.D.	N.D.	↑ in early exp. 15 vs 6% (+)	↑ (6% vs 1%, ns) (±)
- Postnatal complications	13% (?) (irritability, sleepiness, hyperbilirubinemia)	N.D.	↑ poor neonat. adaptation: (jitteriness, respiratory difficulties) (+)	↑ neonat. complic. 5 vs 1%: (resp.problems, sepsis & seizures, jaundice), ns (±)
- Developmental delays	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
- Neurobehavioral deviations	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
- Effects on mother	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
B. Evaluation of study:				
-Reliability of study design	Limited	Limited	Good	Good
-Adequate control group	No (historical control)	No (historical control)	Yes (nonerat. drug users)	Yes, age-matched, treated with either nonerat.drugs or other antidepressants
-Sufficient N of subjects	No	Yes	Yes	No (limited power)
-Adequate assessment of:				
Exposure (dose, timing)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Outcome	Incomplete	Incomplete	Sufficient	Sufficient

-Dose/effect (response) rel. -Stat. analysis of relation exposure / outcome -Control of confounders Maternal age Gravidity, parity Previous advers.outcom. Concurrent disease Socioeconomic Concomitant medication Smoking, alcohol use Occupation Other	N.D. No Incomplete Yes Yes N.D. Yes No Yes No No	N.D. No Unknown (no information)	N.D. Yes (regression analysis) Yes Yes Yes Yes N.D. Yes Yes N.D.	N.D. Yes, appropriate Yes Yes Yes Yes No No Yes No
CONCLUSION	Reliability limited: Data based on voluntary reports (incomplete, biased); no control group; insufficient sample size for a descriptive study; incomplete control of potential confounders	Reliability limited: All comments to <i>Goldstein '95</i> apply to this study as well, except for n subjects. Incomplete source (a letter to the editor);	Reliability good, but: -Role of depressive illness as a confounder can not be excluded; -Maternal age is higher in F-exposed group; -Possible multiple drug confounding (30% of F-treated women were parallelly taking other psychoactive drugs)	Reliability good: Study design appropriate, "positive" control allows controlling for effect of depression; potential confounders taken into account. Criticism: statistical power insufficient, e.g. the sample size would have the power to detect a 4-fold increase in risk of major malform and a limited power to show that the elevated risk of miscarriage (RR=1.9) is significant.

*Reliability of design: Good = controlled epidemiological study; Limited = uncontrolled survey; Poor = case report

Symbols used: (-) = no change in comparison to background, reference value or control
 (+) = statistically significant change
 (±) = trend or statistically non-significant change
 (?) = unable to assess N.D. = no data

Table 1. (continued)

A. Abstracted data	<i>Nulman et al., 1997</i>	<i>McElhatton et al., 1996</i>	<i>Shick-Boschetto et al, 1992</i>	<i>Brunel et al, 1994</i>
Type of study	Analytical epidemiological prospective cohort study	Teratol.inf.database(prospective case survey, uncontrolled)	Teratol.inf.database (prospective case surveys, uncontrolled)	
N subjects studied	55 vs 2 contr.grps:(a)80 exp.to other antidepressants(b)84 to non-teratogens	96 (30% of those had multidrug exposure besides fluoxetine)	81 (for 21 of these, outcomes not available)	17
Dose	N.D.(presumably within the therapeutic range - 20-80 mg/day)	N.D. (presumably within the therapeut.range - 20-80 mg/day)	N.D. (therapeutic range?)	N.D.
Time of gest. exposure	1st trimester (37 subjects), or throughout gest. (18 subjects)	"mostly" 1st trimester	1st trimester	1st trim.
Duration of dosing	As above	As above	As above	
Effects on offspring:	Stress on postnat.behavioral assesm.			
- Abortions				
Spontaneous	↑13.6% vs 9% in (a), ns (±)	13% (?)	15.8% (?)	0
Therapeutic & elective	↑ 7.9% vs 1.5% in (a),ns (±)	15% (?)	3.5% (?)	4 of 17
- Perinatal death	(-)	1% (-)	0 (-)	0
- Altered birthweight	(-)	(-)	N.D.	N.D.
- Prematurity	(-)	6% (-)	3.5% (-)	0
- Congenital malformations	(-)			
Major	3.6%vs 3.7 in(a)& 2.4 in(b)	2% (-)	0 (-)	0
Minor	(-)	2% (-)	3.5% (-)	0
- Postnatal complications	(-)	3% (?) withdrawal symptoms, bradycardia, respirat. problems, periventricular bleeding	N.D.	0
- Developmental delays	(-),assessed at 6 to 9 mnth of age	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
- Neurobehavioral deviations	(-)up to 7yrs of age (IQ, language dvlpmt,temperament,mood,arousability, distractability, activity) of offspring exposed either during 1st trimester or troughout gestation (after adjustment for confounders)	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
- Effects on mother	N.D.	N.D.	5% complications of pregn.	N.D.
B.Evaluation of study:				
-Reliability of study design	Good	Limited	Limited	Poor
-Adequate control group	Yes, 2 types of ctrl	No (no control group)	No (no control group)	No

-Sufficient N of subjects	No (particularly of those treated throughout gestation)	No (not sufficient for a descriptive uncontrolled survey)	No	No
-Adequate assessment of: Exposure (dose,timing) Outcome	Dose not shown Yes	No (Dose not reported) Yes	No (Dose not reported) (?) (only abstract available)	N.D. (?)
-Dose/effect (response) rel.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
-Stat.analysis of relation exposure / outcome	Yes (multiple regression)	No	No	No
-Control of confounders	Yes, comprehensive	Some confounders registered but not taken into account	No	No
Maternal age	Yes	Yes		
Gravidity, parity	Yes	Yes		
Previous advers.outcom.	Yes	Yes		
Concurrent disease	Yes	Yes		
Socioeconomic	Yes	N.D.		
Concomitant medication	Yes	Yes		
Smoking, alcohol use	Yes	N.D.		
Occupation	N.D.	N.D.		
Other	Yes, several additional	No		
CONCLUSION	Reliability good: Appropriate study design;wide spectrum of potential confounders taken into acct. Comprehensive assessment of neurobehavioral devlpmnt with controlling for appropriate confounders, incl.maternal IQ,depression level,maternal/infant interactions &time of exposure. Adequate stat. analysis. Criticism: Dose not indicated; The small number of subjects (particularly of those treated throughout gestation) does not allow definite conclusion about effect of "late" gestational exp.	Reliability limited: No control; Multidrug exposure in about 30% of cases; Dose, time and duration of exposure not well specified; confounding variables not taken into account: No stat. analysis of relation between exposure and effect.	Reliability limited: (see comments on McElhatton et al, 96); incomplete source of information (abstract only)	Poor: N of subjects absolutely insuff. for meaningful conclusions

*Reliability of design: Good = controlled epidemiological study; Limited = uncontrolled survey; Poor = case report

Symbols used: (-) = no change in comparison to background, reference value or control; (+) = statistically significant change; (±) = trend or statistically non-significant change; (?) = unable to assess; N.D.= no data

Table 1-a. EVALUATION OF HUMAN STUDIES (case reports)

A. Abstracted data	<i>Mhanna et al, 1997 (a letter to the editor)</i>	<i>Spencer, 1993</i>	<i>Venditelli et al, 1995</i>
Type of study N subjects studied Dose Time of gest. exposure Duration of dosing Effects on offspring: - Spontaneous abortion - Perinatal death - Altered birthweight - Prematurity - Congenital malformations Major Minor - Postnatal complications - Developmental delays - Neurobehav. deviations - Effects on mother	Case report 1 60 mg/day N.D. N.D. No No No No No No No No No Yes: jitteriness, hypertonia, scattered petechiae (skin of face and trunk), cephalohematoma. Improvement in 2 weeks post partum N.D. N.D. N.D.	Case report 1 20 mg/day 1st trimester to birth 'most of pregnancy' no no no no no no no Yes: jitteriness, hypertonia, impending seizures, acrocyanosis, irritability, tremor. Improvement in 4 days post partum. N.D. N.D. N.D.	Case report 1 'one tablet' (20 mg?) a day, simultan. with other drugs (benzodiazepine, vitamins B1, B6 and heptaminol) First 2 months 2 months no no no no Yes, meningocele no N.D. N.D. N.D. No
B. Evaluation of study: -Reliability of study design -Adequate control group -Sufficient N of subjects -Adequate assessment of: Exposure (dose, timing)	Poor N.A. N.A. Yes (in addition, maternal and baby serum levels of fluoxetine and its major metabolite are measured)	Poor N.A. N.A. Yes ((in addition, maternal and baby cord blood levels of fluoxetine and its major metabolite are measured and screen for other psychoactive drugs performed in maternal & infant urine)	Poor N.A. N.A. No: Confounding by multiple exposure to other drugs in doses higher than F

Table 1-a. (continued)

Outcome	Yes	Yes	Yes
-Dose/effect (response) rel.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
-Stat.analysis	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
-Control of confounders	Partial	Yes, but incomplete	Yes, but incomplete
Maternal age	yes	yes	yes
Gravidity, parity	no	yes	yes
Previous advers.outcom.	no	yes	yes
Concurrent disease	no	yes	no
Socioeconomic	no	no	no
Concomitant medication	yes	yes	yes
Smoking, alcohol use	no	no	yes
Occupation	no	no	no
Other			
-Consistency of results with those from other studies:			
Human	Spencer, '93; Chambers et al, '96)	Yes	No
Animal	Stanford&Patton '93	Yes	No
-Plausibility of results in view of pharmacokinetics & pharmacodynamics	Yes: in agreement with fluoxetine (F) pharmacodynamics (excitability, eff.on muscle tone, eff. on platelets and vessels) and kinetics (time of improvement consistent with F and its major metabolite's half-life)	Yes	No
CONCLUSION	Data reliable: Good assessment of exposure and outcome; consistency with lit.data of postnatal clinical manifestations of F overdose and with pharmacokinetic and -dynamic properties of F	Data reliable: Good assessment of exposure and outcome, relevant lab.analysis for excluding exposure to other drugs; symptoms consistent with most common side effects of F in adults, and their disappearance concordant with F decrease in cord blood.	Data unreliable: A confounding multidrug exposure during pregnancy simultaneously with F; F dose not specified; data inconsistent with other human or animal studies

*Reliability of design: Good = controlled epidemiological study; Limited = uncontrolled survey; Poor = case report

Symbols used: (-) = no change in comparison to background, reference value or control; (+) = statistically significant change; (±) = trend or statistically non-significant change; (?) = unable to assess; N.D.= no data; N.A.= not applicable; F= fluoxetine

Table 2. EVALUATION OF HUMAN STUDIES: SUMMARY

	Surveys and epidemiological studies								Case reports		
	Goldstein, Marvel, '93	Goldstein et al, '95	Chambers et al, '96	Pastuszak et al, '93	Nulman et al, '97	McElhatton et al, '96	Shick-Boschetto, '92	Brunel et al, '94	Spencer, '93	Venditelli et al, '95	Mhanna et al, '97
Dose (mg/day)	N.D.	10-80	25 (mean)	25 (mean)	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	20	'1 tablet' (?)	60
Time of exposure	N.D.	3rd trimester to delivery	'Early' : < 25 wk gest. 'Late': > 25 wk gest	1st trimester only	67% - 1st trimester 33%- entire gestation	1st trimester 'most'	1st trimester	1st trimester	Entire gestation	first 2 months of gestation	N.D.
Spontaneous abortions	15.9% (?)	N.D.	10.5% (-)	15% ↑ (±)	14% ↑ (±)	13% (?)	15.8% (?)	(-)	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Perinat. death	(-)	N.D.	N.D.	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Altered birth weight	N.D.	N.D.	↓ (+) 'late' exp.	↑ (±)	(-)	(-)	N.D.	N.D.	(-)	(-)	(-)
Prematurity	N.D.	(-)	↑ (+) 'late' exp.	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)
Malformations :											
- major	3.4% (-)	3.5% (-)	5.5% (-)	2% (-)	3.6% (-)	2% (-)	0 (-)	0 (-)	(-)	(+)	(-)
- minor	N.D.	N.D.	15% ↑ (+) 'early' exp.	6% ↑ (±)	(-)	2% (-)	3.5% (-)	0 (-)	(-)	meningocel (-)	(-)
Postnatal complications	N.D.	13% (?) irritability, sleepiness, jaundice	↑ (+) jitteriness, respiratory problems	5% ↑ (±) resp. probl, seizures, jaundice	(-)	3% (?) withdrawal sympt, resp. probl, periventricular bleeding	N.D.	N.D.	jitteriness, hypertonia, tremor, impending seizures, cyanosis	N.D.	jitteriness, hypertonia, petechiae, cephal-hematoma
Development. delays	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	(-)	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Neurobehav. deviations	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	(-)	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Reliability of study	Limited (no control)	Limited (no control)	Good	Good	Good	Limited (no control)	Limited (no control)	Poor (sample size small)	Good	Poor (multidrug exposure)	Good

Symbols used: (-) = no change in comparison to background, reference value or control; (+) = statistically significant change; (±) = trend or statistically non-significant change; (?) = unable to assess; N.D.= no data; N.A.= not applicable; F= fluoxetine

Table 3. EVALUATION OF ADVERSE OUTCOMES IN HUMANS: LIKELIHOOD OF CAUSAL RELATION TO FLUOXETINE GESTATIONAL EXPOSURE

Outcomes	Observed effect	Criteria for causation* (according to Hill, 1965)							
		Reliability of the source(s) of data	Strength of evidence	Consistency of evidence	Specificity of effect	Temporality of effect	Dose-response	Plausibility of effect (or lack of effect)	Coherence with existing knowledge
Spontaneous abortion	Rate: 13-16% Increase (?)	(+)	(-)	(+) 5 of 6 studies	(-)	(+)	N.D.	(?)	N.D.
Perinatal death	No effect	(+)	(+)	(+) 5 of 5 studies	(-)	(+)	N.D.	(+)	(+)
Altered birthweight:	a. ↓ by F exp. after 25 g.wk	(+)	(+)	(-) 1 of 7 studies	(+)	(+)	N.D.	(+)	(+)
	b. ↑ by F exp. in 1st trimest.	(+)	(-)	(-) 1 of 7 studies	(-)	(+)	N.D.	(-)	(-)
Prematurity	↑ by F exp. after 25 g.wk	(+)	(+)	(-) 1 of 9 studies	(-)	(+)	N.D.	(-)	(-)
Congenital malformations:	- Major	(+)	(+)	(+) 7 of 7 studies	(-)	(+)	N.D.	(+)	(+)
	- Minor	(+)	(-)	(-) 2 of 5 studies	(-) (No pattern)	(+)	N.D.	(?)	(-)
Postnatal complications	Increased	(+)	(+)	(+) 6 of 7 studies	(+)	(+)	N.D.	(+)	(+)
Developmental delays	No effect (?)	(+)	(+)	N.A. 1 study only	N.A.	(+)	N.D.	(+)	(+)
Neurobehavioral effects	No effect (?)	(+)	(+)	N.A. 1 study only	N.A.	(+)	N.D.	(-)	(±)

***Description of criteria:**

-Reliability of data sources: (+)= good (at least one controlled epidemiological study); (±)=limited (lack of controlled epidemiological studies); (-)= poor (data source unreliable)

-Strength of evidence: (+)= statistically significant; (-)= statistically non-significant

-Consistency of evidence: N studies confirming a particular effect /N available studies on that effect. (+)= data consistent; (-) = inconsistent

-Specificity of effect: (+)= the observed effect is specific for fluoxetine; (-)= the observed effect is not specific for the agent

-Temporality of effect: (+)= the study design ensures that the exposure has taken place prior to outcome

-Plausibility of effect: (+)= the effect is plausible having in mind the structure, pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of the agent

-Coherence with existing knowledge: (+)= the effect is in accordance with existing knowledge

Symbols used: (+)= criterion met; (-)= criterion unmet; (±)= criterion partially met; (?)= unable to assess; N.D.=no data; N.A.= not applicable; F= fluoxeti

Table 5. COMPARATIVE SUMMARIES AND EVALUATION OF ANIMAL STUDIES

5.1. Fertility

Drug name: FLUOXETINE				
FERTILITY COMPONENT	A Fertility Study on Fluoxetine Hydrochloride in the Female Rat.. Lilly Research Laboratories Study No RO 7179 /1980 by J. Wold , N. Owen & E. Adams		A Fertility Study, Incl. Behav. & Reprod. Assessm of F, Generation, in the Wistar Rat Given Fluox.Hydrochloride in the Diet. Lilly Res. Labs Study R10280 & RO4781/1982, G.Brophy, N Owen & J.Hoyt	
Species, strain	Rat, Wistar		Rat, Wistar	
Exposure doses, timing & duration	Fluoxetine Hydrochloride, purity 96% (aqueous solution)		Fluoxetine Hydrochloride, purity 96% (aqueous solution)	
- Males	0		0; 1.5; 3.9; 9.7mg/kg/day, 10 wks before mating + breeding	
- Females	0; 2; 5; 12.5 mg/kg/day, 2 wks before mating+gest.+lactation		0; 1.3; 3.1; 7.4 mg/kg/d, 3 wks before mating+gest.+lactation	
Route of administration	Oral (gavage)		Oral (diet)	
Dose – Response (yes/no)	yes		yes	
Effects ¹ (relative to control values):				
F₀ Generation	M	F	M	F
N animals per group	0	30	40	40
General Toxicity	N.A.			
NOAEL / LOAEL	5 / 12.5 mg/kg/day		3.9 / 9.7 mg/kg/day	3.1 / 7.4 mg/kg/day
Body weight (% change, period)	↓10%(±) at 12.5 mg/kg/d		↓5%(+) at 9.7 mg/kg/d	↓8%(+) at 7.4 mg/kg/d
Weight gain (%change, period)	↓66%(+) at 12.5 mg/kg/d		↓5%(+) at 9.7 mg/kg/d	↓60%(+) at 7.4 mg/kg/d
Organ weight*	•		•	•
Food consumption (period)	↓12-25%(±) at 12.5 mg/kg/d		(-)	↓19%(+) at 7.4 mg/kg/d
Clinical signs	(-)		(-)	(-)
Histopathology/gross necropsy findngs	(-)		(-)	(-)
Effects listed above attributable to pharmacological effect of compound?	Yes		Yes	Yes
Mortality	(-)		(-)	(-)
Fertility Parameters	N.A.			
NOAEL / LOAEL	12.5 / >12.5 mg/kg/day		9.7 / >9.7 mg/kg/day	7.4 / >7.4 mg/kg/day
Reproductive organs (wt*, morphology)	•		•	•
Effect on gametes (+description)	•		•	•
Hormonal effects (&estrous cycle length)	•		•	•
Fertility index (% pregnant of mated)	(-)		↓ ~10% (±) at the intermediate & highest dose	
Pre-implantation lethality ² per dam	(-)		↑ 2-fold at all dose levels	
N implants/ N Corpora lutea	Corpora Lutea ↓10% at 5 mg/kg/d & ↓20%(±) at 12.5mg/kg/d		Implantations ↓ 14% (±) at the lowest & highest dose	
Post-implantation lethality ³ per litter	↑ by 33% (±) at 12.5mg/kg/d		(-)	
Litter size (N live fetuses per litter)	↓16% (±) at 12.5 mg/kg/d		↓10%(±) at the lowest & highest dose	

¹ Effect presented as relative to control values. Key: (-) no change; • no observation; (+) statistically significant change or trend(p<0.05); (±) statistically non-significant change; ↑ increase; ↓ decrease; M male; F female; * adjusted for body weight; N.A.=not applicable

²Pre-implantation lethality (%) = [(n C.L-n impl.) / n C.L.]x100; ³ Post-implantation lethality (%) = (n Dead+Resorbed (aborted)/n implants)x100

5.1. Fertility (continued)

FLUOXETINE		
FERTILITY COMPONENT	<i>A Fertility Study on Fluoxetine Hydrochloride in the Female Rat.. Lilly Research Laboratories Study No RO 7179 /1980 by J. Wold , N. Owen & E. Adams</i>	<i>A Fertility Study, Incl. Behav.& Reprod. Assessm.of F₁ Generation, in the Wistar Rat Given Fluox.Hydrochloride in the Diet. Lilly Res. Labs Study R10280 & RO4781/1982, G.Brophy, N.Owen & J.Hoyt</i>
Conclusion & affected endpoints	<p>Fluoxetine hydrochloride oral dosing (gavage) of female Wistar rats 2 wks prior to mating and during gestation and lactation, at 2, 5, and 12.5 mg/kg/day results in no significant effect on female fertility, even at doses that produce significant general effects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •<i>General tox. endpoints</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Reduction of female <i>food consumption</i> (n.s) -Decrease in female weight gain (st.significant)during 2nd week of dosing in the premating period. LOAEL: 12.5 mg/kg/day; NOAEL: 5 mg/kg/day •<i>Fertility endpoints</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NOAEL: 12.5mg/kg/day -↓<i>litter size</i> and <i>n corpora lutea</i> per dam at 12.5mg/kg/d (n.s* but dose-dependent) 	<p>Fluoxetine hydrochloride oral treatment (diet) of Wistar rats, at 1.5, 3.9 and 9.7mg/ kg/day for 10 wks (males) and 1.3, 3.1 and 7.4 mg/kg/day for 4 wks (females) prior to mating and during gestation and lactation, results in no stat.significant effect on fertility in a two-generation study, even at doses that produce significant general effects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •<i>General tox. endpoints</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Reduced food consumption, body wt & wt gain in both sexes (st.significant) during first weeks of treatment (more expressed in the females) Females: LOAEL. 7.4 mg/kg/d; NOAEL: 3.1 mg/kg/day Males : LOAEL: 9.7 mg/kg/d; NOAEL: 3.9 mg/kg/day •<i>Fertility endpoints</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NOAEL: 7.4 mg/kg/d (Females); 9.7 mg/kg/d (Males) -↑ <i>pre-implantation embryoletality</i> at NOAEL (ns* but dose-dependent) -↓ <i>fertility index</i> at NOAEL (ns* but dose-dependent)
Confounding factors & comments	The general effects may be due to the well known appetite-suppressing pharmacologic action of fluoxetine and are not necessarily a sign of maternal toxicity.	<p>-<i>Exposure</i>: Dose levels are approximates (time-weighted estimates of F intake through diet)</p> <p>-<i>General toxicity measures</i>: a) Initial wt of F₀ males in highest dose group is significantly lower than control at the start (confounds exposure-induced weight decrease) b) weight loss and food consumption decrease may not be a sign of toxicity, as they are characteristic of the pharmacological action of this drug.</p> <p>-<i>Fertility</i>: The dose-dependent increase in pre-implantation lethality paralleled by a dose-dependent although non-significant decrease in fertility index are not taken into acct. in determining NOAEL for fertility.</p>
Evaluation	Conclusions reliable and can be used for comparison with human studies	In general, study reliable but confounded mainly with regard to exposure quantitation due to dosing through diet. <i>Limitations</i> : Information on endpoints affected should be used for qualitative rather than quantitative comparisons. LOAEL and NOAEL levels determined in the study may not be sufficiently accurate.

*n.s.= non-significant

5.2. Prenatal Developmental Toxicity

Effect presented as relative to control values.

Key: (-) no change; • no observation; (+) statistically significant change or trend (p<0.05); (±) statistically non-significant change; ↑ increase; ↓ decrease

Drug Name: **FLUOXETINE**

DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY- PRENATAL COMPONENT	<i>A Fertility Study on Fluox Hydrochloride in the Female Rat. Lilly Res Labs Study No RO 7179 /1980 by J Wold , N Owen & E. Adams</i>	<i>A Fertility Study Incl Behav & Reprod Asses of F1 Gener. in Wistar Rat Given Fluox Hydrochloride in the Diet Lilly Res Labs Study R10280 &RO4781/82</i>	<i>A Preliminary Teratol Study on Fluoxetine in Rat Lilly Res Labs. Study No R-77, IND Toxicol Report No7 / 1979 by J S Wold and J K Markham</i>	<i>A Teratology Study on Fluoxetine in the Rat Lilly Res Labs Study R-207, IND Toxicol Report No 8 /1979 by J S Wold & J K. Markham</i>	<i>A Preliminary Teratol Study on Fluoxetine in the Rabbit. Lilly Res. Labs Study B7017, IND Toxicol Report No 9 /1979. Wold & Markham</i>	<i>A Teratology Study on Fluoxetine in the Rabbit Lilly Res Labs Study B7087, IND Toxicol Report No 10 /1979 by J S Wold & J K Markham</i>
Species, strain	Rat, Wistar	Rat, Wistar	Rat, Fischer 344	Rat, Fischer 344	Rabbit, Dutch Belt.	Rabbit, Dutch Belt.
Prenat.exposure (mg/kg/day)	Maternal: 0, 2, 5, 12.5	Maternal: 1.3, 3.1, 7.4 Paternal: 1.5, 3.9, 9.7	Maternal: 0, 10, 20, 40	Maternal: 0, 2, 5, 12.5	Maternal: 0, 2.5, 7.5, 15	Maternal: 0, 2.5, 7.5, 15
Prenat. exposure- timing & duration	2 wks before mating + G.days 0-20	Maternal: 3 wks before mating + G.d. 0-20	G.days 6 - 15	G.days 6 - 15	G.days 6 - 18	G.days 6 - 18
Route of administration	Oral (gavage)	Oral (diet)	Oral (gavage)	Oral (gavage)	Oral (gavage)	Oral (gavage)
Gestation day of sacrifice	G.Day 20	G.Day 20	G.Day 20	G.Day 20	G.Day 28	G.Day 28
Dose-response (yes/no)	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
N dams/litters per group	8÷10 / 8÷10	14÷17 / 14÷17	1÷5 / 1÷5	18÷25 / 17÷25	4÷5 / 4÷5	13÷15 / 8÷15
NOAEL prenatal development	12.5 mg/kg/day	7.4 mg/kg/day	•	12.5 mg/kg/day	•	7.5 mg/kg/day
LOAEL prenatal development	>12.5 mg/kg/day	>7.4 mg/kg/day	•	>12.5 mg/kg/day	•	15 mg/kg/day
Pre-implantation lethality² per dam	(-)	↑ 2-fold at all doses	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
N implants/ N Corpora lutea per dam	CL,I ↓20%(±) at12.5	I ↓~10%(±) all doses	(-)	I ↓10%(±) at 12.5	(-)	(-)
Post-implantation lethality¹ /litter	↑by 33%(±) at 12.5	(-)	↑5-23 fold at20& 40	(-)	↑17-27%,all doses	↑ 5-fold at 15 mg/kg
- Dead (mean n per litter)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)
- Resorbed (aborted), mean n / litter	(-)	(-)	↑10-50 fold at20& 40	(-)	↑, all doses	↑ 5-fold at 15 mg/kg
Early:Late resorptions ratio	(-)	(-)	•	(-)	•	Late:Early ↑ 10-15 - fold at 15 and 7.5mg
Females affected of total, %	(-)	(-)	•	(-)	↑, all doses	↑ 10-21%at 7.5 & 15
N litters completely resorbed/ N total	(-)	(-)	↑30-75% at 20 & 40	(-)	↑40% at 15	↑27% at 15mg
Litter size (N live fetuses per litter)	↓ by 16%(±) at12.5	↓ by 10%(±) at 7.4	↓ 45-92% at 20 & 40	↓ by 10%(±) at 12.5	↓ by 40% at 15	↓ by 33% at 15mg
Sex ratio (proportion of males, %)	(-)	(-)	•	↑ by 25% (±) at 12.5	•	↓ by 20% at15mg(+)
Fetal weight per litter	(-)	(-)	•	(-)	•	↓ 6-9% at 7.5 & 15
Sex-differentiated fetal weight	•	•	•	•	•	•
Incidence of malformations / litter	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)
Malformations by type¹ (rate& descr)						
- External ¹	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)
- Visceral ¹	•	(-)	•	(-)	•	(-)
- Skeletal ¹	•	(-)	•	(-)	•	(-)

Incidence of variations/ litter	•	(-)	•	(-)	•	↑ 3-4-fold , all doses
- Visceral†	•	(-)	•	(-)	•	(-)
- Skeletal†	•	(-)	•	(-)	•	↑ 3-4-fold , all doses
Maternal toxicity during gestation						
NOAEL maternal toxicity	12.5 mg/kg/day	7.4 mg/kg/day		5 mg/kg/day	•	7.5 mg/kg/day
LOAEL maternal toxicity	>12.5 mg/kg/day	>7.4 mg/kg/day	•	12.5 mg/kg/day	•	15 mg/kg/day
Body weight (%vs control): (period)	↓10%(±) at 12.5 G.day 0-20	↓8-10%(+) at 7.4 G.day 0-20	↓15-30% at 20 & 40 G.day 6-14	↓9%(±) at 12.5 G.day 6-14	↓5 -15% at 7.5 & 15 G.day 18	↓5 -11% at 7.5 & 15 G.day 18
%Weight gain during gestation (% change vs control)	(-)	(-)	↓50% at 20 • at 40	↓5%(±) at 12.5	↓7-10% at 7.5 & 15	↓10% at 15 mg (+)
Pregnancy-adjusted weight (yes/no)	no	no	no	no	no	no
Food consumption (indicate period)	↓8-15%(±) at 5, 12.5 G.day 1-20	↓9%(±) at 7.4 G.day 20	↓71-90% at 20 & 40 G.day 7-13	↓50% (+) at 12.5 G.day 7-13	↓50-90% at 7.5&15 G.day 6-18	↓25-88% at 2.5 -15 mg, G.day 6-18
Clinical signs	(-)	(-)	Yes, at 20 & 40	(-)	Yes, at 15mg/kg/d	Yes, at 15mg/kg/d
Necropsy findings	•	(-)	Yes, at 20 & 40	•	(-)	Yes, at 15mg/kg/d
Endpoints above attributable to pharmacological effect of the compound?	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	partially
Maternal mortality	(-)	(-)	50-100% at 20 & 40	(-)	(-)	15% at 15 mg/kg/d

Key: (-) no change; • no observation; (+) statistically significant change or trend(p<0.05); (±) statistically non-significant change; ↑ increase; ↓ decrease

² Pre-implantation lethality (%) = [(n C.L-n impl.)/ n C.L.]x100; ³ Post-implantation lethality (%) = (n Dead+Resorbed (aborted)/n implants)x100; ⁴ N live / N total fetuses per litter;

† Abnormalities which are increased over their control rates; NA=non-applicable (treatment started after implantation)

Conclusion & affected endpoints	No significant developmental or maternal effects at maternal oral doses of up to 12.5mg/kg/d (NOAEL) during pre-mating + gestation •Maternal endpoints: (12.5 mg/kg/day) -↓ b.wt (n.s) -↓ food cons. (n.s) •Developmental: (12.5 mg/kg/day) -↓ c.l., implants, litter size (n.s) -↑embryo lethality (resorption rate) (n.s)	No parental toxicity or signif. developm. effects at maternal & paternal oral doses of up to respectively 7.4 & 9.7 mg/kg/day during pre-mating + gestation (NOAEL). •Maternal endpoints: (7.4 mg/kg/day) -↓ b.wt (sign) -↓ food cons. (n.s) •Developmental: (7.4 mg/kg/day) -↓ implants (n.s) -↓litter size (n.s) -↑ embryo lethality (pre-implantation) (ns.but dose-depdnt)	Acute maternal toxicity and embryo-lethality (but no gross teratogenicity) at maternal oral doses of 20 & 40 mg/kg/d during organogenesis (g.d 6-15). •Maternal endpoints: (20 & 40 mg/kg/d) -↓ b.wt, b.wt gain -↓ food cons. -↑ mortality •Developmental: (20 & 40 mg/kg/d) Excessive + dose-dependent -↑ embryo lethality (resorption rate) -↓ litter size	No signs of developmental toxicity at maternally effective oral doses of up to 12.5 mg/kg/d during organogenesis (g.d. 6-15) NOAEL: 12.5 mg/kg/d •Maternal endpoints: (12.5 mg/kg/day) -↓ food cons. (st.sign) -↓ b.wt (n.s) •Developmental: None at up to and incl. 12.5 mg/kg/day	Rabbit embryo-lethality (resorptions & abortions) but no gross malform. at matern. oral doses of 2.5 to 15mg/kg/d during organogenesis Matern. eff. at ≥7.5 mg •Maternal endpoints: (7.5 & 15 mg/kg/d) -↓ b.wt, b.wt gain -↓ food cons. •Developmental: -↑embryofetal loss (resorption & abortion rates) at all dose levels	Prenatal developm. toxicity in the rabbit only at matern. toxic dose of 15 mg/kg/d during organogenesis NOAEL: 7.5mg/kg/d •Maternal endpoints: (15 mg/kg/day): -↓ b.wt, b.wt gain -↓ food cons. •Developmental: (15 mg/kg/day): -↑embryofetal loss (abortions, resorpt.) -↓ litter size -↑rate of skeletal variations (n.s) -↓ fetal weight (n.s)
--	---	---	--	--	--	--

5.2. Prenatal Developmental Toxicity (Continued)

FLUOXETINE						
DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY- PRENATAL COMPONENT	<i>A Fertility Study on Fluox Hydrochloride in the Female Rat Lilly Res Labs Study No RO 7179 /1980 by J Wold , N Owen & E. Adams</i>	<i>A Fertility Study Incl. Behav & Reprod Asses of F1 Gener., in Wistar Rat Given Fluox Hydrochloride in the Diet. Lilly Res Labs Study R10280 &RO4781/82</i>	<i>A Preliminary Teratol Study on Fluoxetine in Rat. Lilly Res Labs Study No R-77. IND : ———— Toxicol Report No7 / 1979 by J S Wold and J K Markham</i>	<i>A Teratology Study on Fluoxetine in the Rat Lilly Res Labs Study R-207, IND ———— Toxicol Report No 8 /1979 by J S Wold & J K Markham</i>	<i>A Preliminary Teratol Study on Fluoxetine in the Rabbit. Lilly Res. Labs Study B7017, IND ———— Toxicol Report No 9 /1979, Wold & Markham</i>	<i>A Teratology Study on Fluoxetine in the Rabbit Lilly Res Labs Study B7087, IND ———— Toxicol Report No 10 /1979 by J S Wold & J K. Markham</i>
Confounding factors & comments	- Maternal b.wt. not adjusted for wt of uterine content (this confounds inter-group comparisons due to the smaller litter size at the highest dose). -Fetal wt comparison confounded by imprecise timing of pregnancy & group diff. in litter size - Only gross external malformations are examined (actual malformation incidence unknown)	- Dose levels are approximate due to dosing through diet. - Maternal b.wt. not adjusted for wt of uterine content (this confounds inter-group comparisons due to the smaller litter size at the highest dose). -Fetal wt comparison confounded by imprecise timing of pregnancy & inter-group differences in litter size.	-Insufficient N pregn. animals in all dose groups, particularly at the lowest dose level (10mg/kg/day)-n=1. Effect at this dose can't be determined. - Intermediate & high doses cause overt maternal toxicity and mortality which may be the reason for developm. toxicity. -Only gross external malformations recorded (actual malformation incidence unknown).	-Lower litter size in the highest dose gr. is unrelated to exposure, due to lower n implants in same group before start of exposure. -↑ proportion of males same gr. probably random effect – not found in other studies. -Mean fetal wt same group slightly over cntrl (confounded by smaller litter size & higher proportion of males at this dose)	-N animals / group insufficient to determine NOAEL and LOAEL; -N animals/group insufficient for stat. evaluation.of results	- The embryofetal loss at the highest dose level was underestimated: over 20% of pregn. females in this group (3 of 13) aborted, but were not taken into account in determining the mean embryofetal loss group values. - The lower mean fetal wt in this dose group might be due to the significantly lower proportion of male fetuses.
Evaluation	Study reliable but assessm.of following endpoints may be imprecise: malform. incidence (applies to gross extern.defects only); maternal wt & fetal wt. changes relative to control	Study reliable but confounded mainly with regard to dose quantitation due to dosing through diet. Following endpoints are imprecisely assessed due to confounding: effect on maternal & fetal wt, values of NOAEL & LOAEL.	A preliminary, dose-finding study, limited to acute effects at maternally toxic doses. LOAEL can not be determined because of insuff. N animals in the lowest dose group. Use for human comparisons only qualitatively with regard to cases of poisoning.	Study reliable. Altered sex ratio (↑ males) at the highest exposure non-concordant with other studies at similar and higher dose levels (probably due to chance). Limitation: Conclusions about effect on fetal weight limited by the above-stated confounding factors.	A preliminary, dose-finding study to assess developmental toxicity of fluoxetine in a second (non-rodent) species. Reliability limited by the small number of animals per group.	Study reliable. Altered sex ratio (decreased males) at highest exposure is non-concordant with other studies and is probably due to chance. Effect on fetal wt (n.s.) at this dose confounded by the altered sex ratio and should not be considered.

5.3. Postnatal Developmental Toxicity

Effect presented as relative to control values.

Key: (-) no change; • no observation; (+) statistically significant change or trend (p<0.05); (±) statistically non-significant change; ↑ increase; ↓ decrease

Drug Name: **FLUOXETINE**

DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY- POSTNATAL COMPONENT	<i>A Fertility Study on Fluoxetine Hydrochloride in the Female Rat.. Lilly Research Laboratories Study No RO 7179 /1980 by J. Wold , N. Owen & E. Adams</i>	<i>A Fertility Study, Incl. Behav. & Reprod. Assessm of F₁ Generation, in the Wistar Rat Given Fluox.Hydrochloride in the Diet. Lilly Res. Labs Study R10280 & RO4781/1982, G.Brophy, N Owen & J.Hoyt</i>
Species, strain	Rat, Wistar	Rat, Wistar
F₁ Postnatal exposure: (check) - Maternal dosing continued thr. lactation - Other modes of postnatal exposure (if yes, describe mode, timing & duration) - Maternal dosing discontinued at birth - Treated pups fostered to untreated dams - Control pups fostered to treated dams	✓ <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	✓ <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Dose Levels: - Prenatal: maternal→ paternal→ - Postnatal →	0; 2; 5; 12.5 mg/kg/day , 2 wks before mating+gestation 0 0; 2; 5; 12.5 mg/kg/day (through lactation)	0; 1.3; 3.1; 7.4 mg/kg/day , 3 wks before mating+gestation 0; 1.5; 3.9; 9.7 mg/kg/day , 10 wks before mating + breeding 0; 1.3; 3.1; 7.4 mg/kg/day (through lactation)
Route of administration	Oral (maternal gavage)	Oral (maternal diet)
Dose-response (yes/no)	yes	yes
N dams/litters per group	16÷19	14÷18
NOAEL postnatal development	5 mg/kg/day	1.3 mg/kg/day (maternal) + 1.5 mg/kg/day (paternal)
LOAEL postnatal development	12.5 mg/kg/day	3.1 mg/kg/day (maternal) + 3.9 mg/kg/day (paternal)
Gestation length	(-)	(-)
Liveborn/ total litter size per dam	↓10%(±) at 12.5 mg/kg/d	(-)
Stillbirths per litter	↑50%(±) at 12.5 mg/kg/d	(-)
Sex ratio prior to culling (% males)	(-)	(-)
Birth weight per litter(%change vs cntrl)	↓ 9% (+) at 12.5 mg/kg/d	↓12%(±) at 7.4 mg/kg/d
Sex-adjusted birth weight (yes/no)	no	no
Progeny culled to (number per litter) On postnatal day	no	10 1
Postnatal wt (% change vs cntrl) at: -Prewaning* (day 7) (day 14) -Weaning* (day 21) -Maturity*	↓ 11% (+) at 12.5 mg/kg/d (-) (-) •	↓ 17% (+) at 7.4 mg/kg/d (-) ↓ 6%(±) at 7.4 mg/kg/d ↓ 17% (+) at 3.1 and 7.4 mg/kg/d (males, p.n.day 58)

5.3. Postnatal Developmental Toxicity (continued)

% wt. gain change vs control by sex: - males (indicate period) - females (indicate period)	•	(-) p.day 58-120 (-) p.day 58-120
Survival (%of liveborn pups viable) at: - Prewaning* (day 7) (day 14) - Weaning* (day 21) - Maturity*	↓ 31% (+) at 12.5 mg/kg/d ↓ 34% (+) at 12.5 mg/kg/d ↓ 35% (+) at 12.5 mg/kg/d	↓ 14% (±) at 7.4 mg/kg/d ↓ 16% (±) at 7.4 mg/kg/d ↓ 12% (±) at 7.4 mg/kg/d
Malformation rates vs control Age of obtaining malform. data	(-) •	(-) weaning
Malformations type (description if elevated over control)	N.A.	N.A.
Deviation/Variation rates Age of obtaining data	•	(-) weaning
Growth & development (developm. milestones vs control)	•	•
Food consumption (period)	•	(-) p.n. day 58-120
Efficiency of food utilization (period)	•	(-) p.n. day 58-120
Neurobehavioral development: Tests&Timing Abnormal effects→	•	(-)
	•	Auditory startle (-)
	•	Visual placing (-)
	•	Rotarod (-)
	•	Poke hole (-)
Reproductive performance F₁	•	
Fertility index (% pregnant of total mated)	•	(-)
N live F ₂ fetuses(pups) per litter (mean)	•	(-)
Pre-implantation lethality (N implants/Corpora lutea per dam)	•	•
Post-implantation lethality (N dead+resorbed(aborted)/ N implants)	•	•
F ₂ Birth weight per litter (p.d.1)	•	(-)
Maternal (F ₁) wt gain (% vs control)	•	(-)
Other organ system effects	•	•
Histopathology and/or Gross necropsy	•	•

* Effects presented as relative to control values; * Periods of preweaning, weaning, postweaning and maturity specific for the species under study (for rat: preweaning=PND 1-21, weaning=PND 21, and maturity ≈ 3 months of age).

Key: (-) no change; • no observation; (+) statistically significant change or trend(p<0.05); (±) statistically non-significant change, ↑ increase; ↓ decrease; N.A.= not applicable

5.3. Postnatal Developmental Toxicity (continued)

FLUOXETINE:		
POSTNATAL COMPONENT	<p><i>A Fertility Study on Fluoxetine Hydrochloride in the Female Rat. Lilly Research Laboratories Study No RO 7179 /1980 by J. Wold , N. Owen & E. Adams</i></p>	<p><i>A Fertility Study, Incl. Behav & Reprod Assessm. of F₁ Generation, in the Wistar Rat Given Fluox.Hydrochloride in the Diet. Lilly Res. Labs Study R10280 & RO4781/1982, G.Brophy, N.Owen & J.Hoyt</i></p>
Conclusion & affected endpoints	<p>Fluoxetine hydrochloride oral dosing (gavage) of female Wistar rats 2 wks prior to mating and during gestation and lactation by 2, 5, and 12.5 mg/kg/day results in significant effect on postnatal development of F₁ progeny at the highest dose. No significant maternal effects or prenatal toxicity are induced by this dose level. Postnatal manifestations are a more sensitive index of Fluox. developmental toxicity in comparison to prenatal. LOAEL: 12.5 mg/kg/day; NOAEL. 5 mg/kg/day</p> <p>•<i>Postnatal developm. endpoints:</i> - ↑ stillbirths (st.significant), 12.5 mg/kg/day - ↓ birthweight (st.significant), 12.5 mg/kg/day - ↓ survival and wt.gain of progeny in 1st postnatal week (st.significant), 12.5 mg/kg/day</p>	<p>Fluoxetine hydrochloride oral treatment (diet) of Wistar rats at approximate doses of 1.5, 3.9 and 9.7mg/ kg/day for 10 wks (males), and 1.3, 3.1 and 7.4 mg/kg/day for 4 wks (females) prior to mating and during gestation and lactation, results in in significant effect on postnatal wt and survival of F₁ progeny at the maternal doses of 3.1 and 7.4 mg/kg/day. No significant maternal effects or prenatal toxicity are induced by these dose levels. Postnatal manifestations are a more sensitive index of Fluox. developmental toxicity in comparison to prenatal. No effect on neurobehavioral development. LOAEL: 3.1 mg/kg/day (maternal) + 3.9 mg/kg/day (paternal) NOAEL: 1.3 mg/kg/day (maternal) + 1.5 mg/kg/day (paternal)</p> <p>•<i>Postnatal developm endpoints:</i> - ↓ birthweight (n.s), 7.4 mg/kg/day - ↓ postnatal weight (st.significant) in 1st postnatal week (7.4 mg/kg/d) and at maturity(3.1 and 7.4 mg/kg/day) - ↓ survival of progeny in 1st postnatal week (n.s. but dose-dependent (3.1 and 7.4 mg/kg/d)</p>
Confounding factors & comments	<p>-Progeny not culled; postnatal weight not sex-differentiated -Decrease in progeny wt appears not dose-dependent (result of confounding by litter size which is biggest at the lowest dose level)</p>	<p>-The apparent "selective" effect of fluoxetine on postnatal parameters (progeny survival and weight) at doses much lower than those affecting the prenatal endpoints, might actually be due to higher than prenatal maternal and pup exposures (a two-fold increase of maternal food consumption during lactation was reported in this study; also the pups could have been additionally exposed to fluoxetine through the maternal chow). - Postnatal behavior first assessed at the age of 2 to 3 months (eventual earlier behavioral deviations might have been omitted).</p>
Evaluation	<p>The conclusions of the study <i>reliable</i> despite of confounding factors: the effect on the most sensitive endpoints (↓ birth wt, early postnatal survival & wt gain) is clearly present at the highest dose although the litter size is the smallest. <i>Limitations:</i> Postnatal evaluations are limited to progeny weight & survival and based on observations during the preweaning period only.</p>	<p>In general, study reliable but confounded mainly with regard to exposure quantitation due to dosing through diet. <i>Limitations:</i> Information on endpoints affected should be used for qualitative rather than quantitative comparisons. LOAEL and NOAEL levels determined in the study may not be sufficiently accurate.</p>

Table 6. EVALUATION of ADVERSE OUTCOMES IN EXPERIMENTAL ANIMALS: ENDPOINTS (SUMMARY)

Outcomes	Observed Effect (compared to control)	N studies reporting this finding Vs N studies designed to look for it	Species (and N studies per species)	NOEL ¹ mg/kg/day	Exposure (N studies)			Effect Statist. Signif. (proportion stud's)	Effect Dose-dependent (proportion stud's)	Confounding factors	Plausibility ² of finding	Consistency ³ of finding
					Maternal only / Matrn+ Paternl	Timing						
						Organo genesis only	Premating +gestation +lactation					
Maternal												
-Weight loss*	↓ 5-15%	5/5*	Rat 3/3* Rabbit 2/2	3.1 to 5 2.5	2/1 2/0	1 2	2 0	(+) 1/3 (+) 1/2	(+) 3/3 (+) 2/2	-Gravid uterine weight not subtracted (effect overestimated) -Wt loss not a sign of toxicity (pharmacodynamic effect of F)	(+)	(+)
-Decreased food consumption*	↓ 8-88%	5/5*	Rat 3/3* Rabbit 2/2	3.1 to 5 2.5	2/1 2/0	1 2	2 0	(+) 1/3 ND	(+) 3/3 (+) 2/2	May not be a toxic eff. (F suppresses appetite)	(+)	(+)
-Altered gestational length	No effect	2/2	Rat 2/2	7.4 to 12.5	1/1	0/2	2/2	NA	NA	No	(?)	(+)
-Mortality	↑ at toxic doses only	2/6	Rat 1/4 Rabbit 1/2	>12.5 <20 7.5	1/0 1/0	1 1	0 0	NSD NSD	(+) 1/1 (+) 1/1	Insufficient number of observations	(+)	NSD
Prenatal												
-Embryofetal loss*:												
Pre-implantation**	↑ by 50%	1 / 2**	Rat 1/2**	<1.3	0/1	0	1	ND	(±) 1/1	Both parents dosed	(?)	NSD
Post-implantation*	↑ by 33% to 5-fold	3/5*	Rat 1/3* Rabbit 2/2	12.5 7.5	1/0 2/0	0 2	1 0	(-) ND	(±) 1/1 (+) 2/2	Effect in rabbit underestimated (abortions not incl. in dat analysis)	(?)	(±)
-Litter size*	↓ 10-40%	5/5*	Rat 3/3* Rabbit 2/2	5 7.5	2/1 2/0	1 2	2 0	(-) ND	(+) 3/3 (+) 2/2	No	(?)	(+)
-Fetal weight	↓ 9%	1/4	Rat 0/3 Rabbit 1/1	12.5 7.5	1/0	1	0	(-)	(+) 1/1	Effect underestimated (smaller litter sizes at higher doses) & confounded by altered sex ratio at high doses	(+)	(-)
-Sex ratio altered	↑M by 20% ↓M by 25%	1 / 4 1 / 4	Rat 1/3 Rabbit 1/1	5 7.5	1/0 1/0	1 1	0 0	(-) (+) 1/1	(-)	Most likely random variability	(-)	(-)
-Birth defects:												
Malformations	No effect	6/6	Rat 0/4 Rabbit 0/2	40 15	3/1 2/0	2 2	2 0	NA NA	NA NA	In 3 of 6 st only gross external m examined	(?)	(+)
Variations & deviations	↑ skeletal, 3-fold	1/3	Rat 0/2 Rabbit 1/1	12.5 7.5	1/1 1/0	1 1	1 0	NA (-)	NA (±) 1/1	No	(?)	(-)

Shaded areas highlight the most reliable outcomes according to the following criteria. prevalence, consistent across studies and species, significant, dose-dependent, plausible & coherent with existing information

Table 6. EVALUATION of ADVERSE OUTCOMES IN EXPERIMENTAL ANIMALS: ENDPOINTS SUMMARY (continued)

Outcomes	Observed Effect (compared to control)	N studies reporting this finding Vs N studies designed to look for it	Species (and N studies per species)	NOEL ¹ mg/kg/day	Exposure (N studies)			Effect Statist. Signif. (proportion stud's)	Effect Dose-dependent (proportion stud's)	Confounding factors	Plausibility ² of finding	Consistency ³ of finding
					Maternal only / Matrn+ Paternal	Timing						
						Organo genesis only	Premating +gestation +lactation					
Postnatal												
-Liveborn litter size	↓ 10%	1/ 2	Rat 1/ 2	5	1/ 0	0	1	(-)	(+)	no	(+) ^{***}	NSD
-Stillbirths	↑ 2-fold (14 vs 7%)	1/ 2	Rat 1/ 2	5	1/ 0	0	1	(+)	(+)	no	(+) ^{***}	NSD
-Birth weight	↓ 9-12%	2/ 2	Rat 2/ 2	3.1 to 5	1/1	0	2	(+) 1/2	(+)	Effect underestimated (litter size biggest at the lowest dose), NOEL at 3.1 uncertain (dosing through diet)	(+)	(+)
-Sex ratio at birth	No effect	0/ 2	Rat 0/ 2	7.4 to 12.5	1/1	0	2	NA	NA	no	(+)	(+) ^U
-Postnatal Survival	↓ 14-30% (1 st p.n. wk)	2/ 2	Rat 2/ 2	3.1 to 5	1/1	0	2	(+) 1/2	(+)	NOEL at 1.3 and 3.1 may be underestimate (dosing through diet & ↑ 2-fold in matr. food intake during lactation)	(+)	(+)
-Postnatal Weight & wt. gain	↓ 11-17% (1 st p.n. wk & maturity)	2/ 2	Rat 2/ 2	1.3 to 5	1/1	0	2	(+) 1/2	(+)		(+)	(+)
-Developmental landmarks	ND											
-Neurobehavioral developm.	No effect (F ₁ sensory-motor funct. tested at adolescenc. & maturity)	1/ 1	Rat 1/ 1	7.4	0/1	0	1	NA	NA	Postnatal behavior first assessed at age of 2 to 3 months (earlier behavioral deviations might have been omitted)	(?)	NSD
-Other organ system effects	ND											

* Acute maternal toxicity studies (one preliminary dose-finding study in the rat) not taken into account for these outcomes to avoid confounding by maternal poisoning (*A Preliminary Teratol Study on Fluoxetine in Rat. Lilly Res. Labs. Study No R-77, IND Toxicol. Report No 7 / 1979 by J.S Wold and J.K. Markham*)

** Studies with no pre-implantation exposures are not taken into account for the preimplantation lethality outcome;

*** These outcomes plausible having in mind the tendency to increased prenatal embryofetal loss;

^U Consistent with the majority of the prenatal studies.

Abbreviations and symbols used: F= fluoxetine; ND=no data; NSD=no sufficient data (n observations insufficient); NA=not applicable; (?)=unknown; (±)=uncertain, inconclusive.

Terminology used: ¹NOEL (instead of NOAEL) in order to accommodate different types of effects (incl. those that may not be adverse but due to pharmacological effect of compound);

²Plausibility= the effect (or lack of it) is plausible with regard to pharmacokinetics & pharmacodynamics of compound. ³Consistency= the effect (or lack of it) is consistent across studies.

Table 7. FLUOXETINE PHARMACOKINETICS & PHARMACODYNAMICS : ANIMAL to HUMAN COMPARISONS

<p style="text-align: center;">SIMILARITIES <i>between lab. animals and humans</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">DIFFERENCES <i>between lab. animals and humans</i></p>
<p>Pharmacodynamics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Common mechanism of action (Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor) • Common sites of action (Presynaptic terminals, platelets) • Similar manifestations of adverse effect, overdose, toxicity (anorexia, ↓ food consumption, weight loss, hyperreactivity, tremors, asthenia) • Similar toxicometric parameters: low general toxicity in lab. animals and man - LD₅₀=250 mg/kg (mouse); 450mg/kg (rat); Humans: no lethality at overdoses up to 3000 mg (about 50 mg/kg) single dose (Sommi et al, 1987; Gram, 1994) • Increases prolactin release in both rat and human (Benfield, 1986) 	<p>Pharmacodynamics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Different neuroendocrine effects on some hypothalamic releasing-factors (Benfield et al, 1986; Sommi et al, 1987) : - Rat: ↑ hypothalamic secretion of corticotropic-releasing factor & vasopressin leading to increased ACTH and vasopressin in plasma - Man: No change in serum cortisol, ACTH, or growth hormone (meaning higher specificity & selectivity, less side effects → less toxicity?) • No dose-effect relationship between dose and therapeutic effect in the human (Gram, 1994)
<p>Pharmacokinetics*</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Absorption: - well absorbed by both man and lab. animals; similar recovery (Sommi et al, 1987) - similar time (4-8 h) to peak plasma & tissue levels (Sommi, 1987; Pohland et al, 1989) - extensive tissue distribution and binding (Benfield et al, 1986) • Metabolism: - similar metabolic system (demethylated hepatically to Nor-fluoxetine) - identical active metabolite (Nor-fluoxetine) Note: More than half the metabolic end products are unknown (Bergstrom et al, 1988 as cited by Gram, 1994) 	<p>Pharmacokinetics*</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Differences in elimination and clearance: Humans eliminate and clear F more slowly than rodents (Half-life in human=70h. compared to 7-8 h. in the rat) (Rickels, '90; Caccia et al, '90, cited by Vorhees&al, '94) • Very large inter-individual variations in elimination, clearance and steady-state plasma level in humans (reasons: genetic polymorphism of a liver cytochrome P-450 that may be responsible for F metabolism; different proportions of the active enantiomers of F and nor-F) (Gram, 1994) This may have consequences for clinical effects, tolerability & interactions with other drugs.

* In non-pregnant organism (pharmacokinetics in pregnancy has not been studied in the human)

Table 8. FLUOXETINE DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY : ANIMAL to HUMAN COMPARISONS

Endpoints	Animal studies					Human studies			
	Adverse Outcomes	Observed effect	N studies reporting effect / N performed	Species	NOEL (mg/kg/day)	Adverse Outcomes	Observed effect	N studies reporting effect / N performed	Dose (mg/kg/day)
Maternal effects	Weight loss	(+) (+)	3/3 2/2	Rat Rabbit	3.1 2.5	Weight loss	(+)	Non-pregnant studies only	< 1 (20-80 mg/d)
	Decreased food consumption	(+) (+)	3/3 2/2	Rat Rabbit	3.1 2.5	Loss of appetite (anorexia)	(+)	Non-pregnant studies only	< 1 (20-80 mg/d)
	Reduced gestational length	(-)	0/2	Rat	12.5	Premature birth	(?)	1/7	< 1 (25 mg/day)
	Maternal mortality (up to the indicated dose levels)	(-) (-)	0/4 0/2	Rat Rabbit	12.5 7.5	Maternal mortality	(-)	0/7	< 1 (20-80 mg/d)
Prenatal effects	Increased embryo/fetal loss (resorptions, abortions)	(±) (+)	1/3 2/2	Rat Rabbit	5 7.5	Spontaneous abortion	(±)	5/6	< 1 (25 mg/day)
	Decreased fetal weight	(-) (±)	0/3 1/1	Rat Rabbit	5 7.5	Decreased birthweight	(-)	1/7	< 1 (25 mg/day)
	Birth Defects - Congenital malformations	(-) (-)	0/4 0/2	Rat Rabbit	Up to 40 Up to 15	Birth Defects: - Major malformations	(-)	0/7	<1 (25-28 mg/day)
	- Variations	(-) (±)	0/2 1/1	Rat Rabbit	12.5 7.5	- Minor	(±)	2/5	<1 (25-28 mg/day)

Symbols used: (+)= presence of effect; (-)= absence of effect; (±) = effect uncertain; (?) = evidence insufficient to draw conclusions; N.D.= no data

Table 8. ANIMAL to HUMAN COMPARISONS (continued)

Endpoints	Animal studies					Human studies			
	Adverse Outcomes	Observed effect	N studies reporting effect / N performed	Species	NOEL (mg/kg/day)	Adverse Outcomes	Observed effect	N studies reporting effect / N performed	Dose (mg/kg/day)
Postnatal effects	Stillbirths	(±)	1/2	Rat	5	Perinatal death	(-)	0/5	< 1 (25 mg/day)
	Decreased postnatal survival	(+)	2/2	Rat	3.1 to 5				
	Decreased birthweight (entire gestation exposure)	(+)	2/2	Rat	3.1 to 5	Decreased birthweight (entire gestation exposure)	(+) ?	1/2	< 1 (25 mg/day)
	Decreased postnatal weight gain	(+)	2/2	Rat	3.1 to 5	Postnatal weight gain	N.D.		
	Neurobehavioral effects, sensory-motor (at adolescence, age 2-3 mnths)	(-)?	0/1	Rat	7.4	Neurobehavioral effects, motor, learning & memory (age 4-6 years)	(-)	0/2	< 1 (10 to 80 mg/day)
	Developmental delays	N.D.				Developmental delays	N.D.		

Symbols used: (+)= presence of effect; (-)= absence of effect; (±) = effect uncertain; (?) = evidence insufficient to draw conclusions; N.D.= no data