# **CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH**

# APPLICATION NUMBER: ANDA 78-069

# **BIOEQUIVALENCE REVIEWS**

A NIDA NI-	79.060				
ANDA NO.	/8-009				
Drug Product Name	Oxcarbazepine Tablets				
Strength	600 mg, 300 mg, 150 mg				
Applicant Name	Breckenridge Pharmaceuticals, Inc.				
Applicant Address	15 Massirio Drive, Suite 201, Berlin, CT 06037				
Clinical Site Vimta Labs Ltd					
	142, IDA, Phase II, Cherlapally, Hyderabad, INDA 500 051				
Analytical Site	Vimta Labs Ltd.				
· •	(same as above)				
Submission Date(s)	22 December 2005				
First Generic No					
Reviewer	Kristopher J. Bough, PhD				
File Location	V:\firmsam\breckenridge\ltrs&rev\78069d1205.doc				

#### **DIVISION OF BIOEQUIVALENCE DISSOLUTION REVIEW**

#### **1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

103

This is a review of the dissolution testing data only.

There is no USP method for this product, but there are FDA-recommended methods (one for each unit strength). Dissolution testing for the 600 mg strength product is acceptable at the S1 level; however, the firm conducted dissolution testing using non-FDA-recommended methods for the 150 and 300 mg tablets. The firm should conduct additional dissolution testing using the FDA-recommended method for the 150 mg and 300 mg strength products.

The firm should also submit electronic bio-summary tables for both in vivo BE fasted (13318) and fed (13319) studies in the attached CTD format.

The DBE will review in vivo BE studies and waiver requests at a later date.

### 2. DISSOLUTION METHOD

	Reco	mmended M	ethod	Method Used by the Firm
Source of Method		FDA	· ·	NDA
Tablet Strength	150	300	600	150 300 600
Media	0.3% SDS in water	0.6% SDS in water	1.0% SDS In water	1.0% SDS in water
Volume		900 mL		900 mL
Temperature		37 <u>+</u> 0.5 °C		37 <u>+</u> 0.5 °C
Apparatus		USP 2 (paddle)		USP 2 (paddle)
<b>Rotational Speed</b>		60		60
Sampling Times	10, 20, 30, 45, 60, and 90			10, 20, 30, 45, and 60 min
Specification(s)	NLT (4)% (Q) in 30 min NLT % (Q) in 60 min			NLT $(4)^{(b)}$ (Q) in 45 min

#### **Source of Method:**

- 1. DBE Dissolution Database
- 2. Chemistry Reviews, Trileptal® (NDA 21-014, RLD for oxcarbazepine tabs), review dates: 12/14/99 & 2/17/04
  - NDA Specifications:  $NLT^{(b)}(4)$ % (Q) in 30 min

NLT % (Q) in 60 min

3. Control #05-0381, Oxcarbazepine Tablets, 600 mg, 300 mg, & 150 mg,

(b) (4)

#### **3. DISSOLUTION DATA**

TIME (min)	TEST Oxcarbazepine Tablets 150 mg Lot No. 315022				REFERENC Trileptal® Tab 150 mg Lot No. 204H11	E lets 503
	Mean	%CV	Min-Max	Mean	%CV	Min-Max
10	57.7	6.76	(b) (4)	68.4	4.74	(b) (4)
20	71.9	4.10		78.0	2.93	
30	77.0	3.46		80.5	2.52	
45	79.6	6.04		82.2	2.44	
60	81.5	1.84		83.1	2.43	
f <sub>2</sub> m	netric	N/A				Turner and Turner

#### TABLE 1. Dissolution data for Oxcarbazepine Tablets, 150 mg

<u>Reviewer's Comments:</u> The dissolution testing method used above is the non-FDArecommended method for 150 mg tablets. Additional dissolution testing should be used using the FDA-recommended method of 900 mL of 0.3% SDS (in lieu of 1% SDS) in water, USP apparatus 2 at 60 rpm, 37°C. Sampling times should include 10, 20, 30, 45, 60, and 90 min.

#### TABLE 2. Dissolution data for Oxcarbazepine Tablets, 300 mg

TIME (min)	TEST Oxcarbazepine Tablets 300 mg Lot No. 315021			<b> </b>	REFERENCI Trileptal® Tabl 300 mg Lot No. 589J30	E lets 96
	Mean	%CV	Min-Max	Mean	%CV	Min-Max
10	70.4	3.2	(b) (4)	70.9	7.0	(b) (4)
20	81.1	1.3		78.7	3.7	
30	85.4	1.5		80.8	2.2	
45	87.5	1.6		81.8	1.9	
60	88.8	1.6		82.4	1.7	
f <sub>2</sub> m	etric		N/A			

**<u>Reviewer's Comments:</u>** The dissolution testing method used above is the non-FDArecommended method for 300 mg tablets. Additional dissolution testing should be used using the FDA-recommended method of 900 mL of **0.6% SDS** (in lieu of 1% SDS) in water, USP apparatus 2 at 60 rpm, 37°C. Sampling times should include 10, 20, 30, 45, 60, and 90 min.

TIME (min)	TEST Oxcarbazepine Tablets 600 mg Lot No. 314714				REFERENCE Trileptal® Table 600 mg Lot No. 006J390	E ets 53
	Mean	%CV	Min-Max	Mean	%CV	Min-Max
10	68.4	4.9	(b) (4)	69.6	11.9	(b) (4)
20	81.8	2.8		84.6	4.0	
30	86.0	2.2		86.7	2.5	
45	87.8	2.2		87.1	2.4	
60	88.7	2.5		87.3	2.6	
<b>f</b> <sub>2</sub> m	netric		N/A			

#### TABLE 3. Dissolution data for Oxcarbazepine Tablets, 600 mg

**<u>Reviewer's Comments:</u>** The dissolution method used above is the FDA-recommended method. The test product meets the FDA-recommended specifications of NLT  $^{(b)(4)}_{0}(Q)$  in 30 min and NLT  $^{(b)(4)}_{0}(Q)$  in 60 min, both at the S1 level. The firm's proposed specification of NLT  $^{(b)(4)}_{0}$  in 45 min is not acceptable. Dissolution testing for the 600 mg tablets (lot no. 314714) is acceptable.

TIME (min)	TEST Oxcarbazepine Tablets 600 mg Lot No: 316803				REFERENC Trileptal® Tab 600 mg Lot No. F408	E lets 6
	Mean	%CV	Min-Max	Mean	%CV	Min-Max
10	67.7	6.5	(b) (4)	83.8	3.4	(b) (4)
20	81.9	5.7		88.9	2.5	
30	85.9	2.8		89.1	2.1	
45	87.0	1.9		88.4	2.4	
60	87.3	1.9		86.3	2.1	
f <sub>2</sub> m	etric	N/A				

#### TABLE 4. Dissolution data for Oxcarbazepine Tablets, 600 mg

**<u>Reviewer's Comments:</u>** The dissolution testing method used above is the FDA-recommended method. The test product meets the FDA-recommended specifications of NLT  $\stackrel{(b)}{(4)}$  % (Q) in 30 min and NLT  $\stackrel{(b)}{(4)}$ % (Q) in 60 min, both at the S1 level. The firm's proposed specification of NLT  $\stackrel{(b)}{(4)}$ % in 45 min is not acceptable. Dissolution testing for the 600 mg tablets (lot no. 316803) is acceptable.

DISSOLUTION RESULTS						
TABLET STRENGTH	150 mg	300 mg	600 mg (lot 1)	600 mg (lot 2)		
Is dissolution method acceptable?	No .	No	Yes	Yes		
If not, why?	Non-FDA method	Non-FDA method				
f2 similarity metric calculated?	No	No	No	No		
If not, why?	N/A	N/A	rapidly dissolving	rapidly dissolving		
If so, were results acceptable?						
Are dissolution results acceptable?	NO	NO	YES	YES		
If not, why?	Non-FDA method	Non-FDA method		·		

#### 4. IN VIVO BIOEQUIVALENCE DATA

Are the SAS files located in the EDR? (Ye	s/No)
Fasting BE Study	
(Study No. 13318/04-05)	
Plasma Data	Yes
PK data	Yes
Fed BE Study	
(Study No. 13319/04-05)	
Plasma Data	Yes
PK Data	Yes
CTD Bio-Summary Tables	
Are the CTD Bio-Summary Tables in the EDR?	NO
If so, are they complete?	

**<u>Reviewer's Comments:</u>** The firm should submit electronic bio-summary tables for both in vivo BE fasted (13318) and fed (13319) studies in the attached CTD format.

#### **5. DEFICIENCY COMMENTS**

- 1. Although dissolution testing for the 600 mg tablets was acceptable, the firm conducted dissolution testing using a non-FDA-recommended method for the 150 mg and 300 mg oxcarbazepine tablets.
- 2. The firm did not submit electronic bio-summary tables for both in vivo BE fasted (13318) and fed (13319) studies in CTD format.

#### 6. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Dissolution testing is incomplete for the reasons cited above. The firm should conduct comparative dissolution testing on 12 dosage units of the 150 mg and 300 mg oxcarbazepine tablets using the following FDA-recommended method:

Media:	0.3% SDS in water (150 mg)
	0.6% SDS in water (300 mg)
Volume:	900 mL
Temperature:	37 <u>+</u> 0.5°С
Apparatus:	USP 2 (paddle) at 60 rpm
Sampling times:	10, 20, 30, 45, 60, and 90 min

2. The firm should also submit electronic bio-summary tables for both in vivo BE fasted (13318) and fed (13319) studies in the attached CTD format.

Kristopher J. Bough, PhD Reviewer, Branch I

Mohole H. Maka

Moheb H. Makary, PhD Team Leader, Branch I

Dale P. Conner, PharmD Director, Division of Bioequivalence Office of Generic Drugs

24/06

Date

05/24106

Date

5/26/06 Date

CC: ANDA 78-069 ANDA DUPLICATE DIVISION FILE HFD-651/ Bio Drug File HFD-650/ Reviewer: K. Bough HFD/658/ TL: M. Makary

V:\firmsam\breckenridge\ltrs&rev\78069d1205.doc Printed Final On:  $\partial 5/24/66$ 

Endorsements: (Final with Dates) HFD-650/K. Bough HFD-658/M. Makary HFD-658/D. Conner

5/26/06

DISSOLUTION – **DEFICIENCIES** Submission date: 22 December 2005

1. DISSOLUTION (DIS)

Strengths: Outcome: 600 mg, 300 mg, & 150 mg IC

NOTE: The BE studies and waiver requests will be reviewed at a later date.

BIOEQUIVALENCE DEFICIENCIES

ANDA: 78-069 APPLICANT: Breckenridge Pharmaceuticals, Inc

DRUG PRODUCT: Oxcarbazepine Tablets, 600 mg, 300 mg, & 150 mg

The Division of Bioequivalence (DBE) has completed its review of the dissolution testing portion of your submission(s) acknowledged on the cover sheet. The review of the bioequivalence studies will be conducted later. The following deficiencies have been identified:

 Please conduct comparative dissolution testing on 12 dosage units of the 150 mg and 300 mg oxcarbazepine tablets using the following FDA-recommended method: Media: 0.3% SDS in water (150 mg

> Volume: Temperature: Apparatus: Sampling times:

0.3% SDS in water (150 mg) 0.6% SDS in water (300 mg) 900 mL 37 <u>+</u> 0.5°C USP 2 (paddle) at 60 rpm 10, 20, 30, 45, 60, & 90 min

Please refer to the DBE's dissolution database for additional information at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/dissolution/.

2. Please also submit electronic CTD bio-summary tables for both in vivo BE fasted (13318) and fed (13319) studies. This will facilitate the BE review process. Templates for these eight tables are attached.

Sincerely yours, Dale P. Conner, Pharm.D.

Director, Division of Bioequivalence Office of Generic Drugs Center for Drug Evaluation & Research

# Table 1. Summary of Bioavailability Studies

			Treatments	Mean Parameters (+/-SD)							
Study Ref. No.	Study Objective	Study Design	(Dose, Dosage Form, Route) [Product ID]	(No. (M/F) Type Age: mean (Range)	C <sub>max</sub> (units/mL)	T <sub>max</sub> (hr)	AUC <sub>o-t</sub> (units)	AUC∞ (units)	T½ (hr)	K₀∣ (hr¹)	Study Report Location
Study #	Fasting study title	Randomized, single-dose, crossover	Test product, strength, Tab./Cap./Susp., p.o. [Batch #] Ref. product, strength, Tab./Cap./Susp., p.o. [Batch #]	# completing (#M/#F) Healthy subjects or patients mean age (range)	M <u>+</u> S.D. M <u>+</u> S.D.	Mn or Md No SD	M <u>+</u> S.D. M <u>+</u> S.D.	M <u>+</u> S.D. M <u>+</u> S.D.	Mean No SD	Mean No SD	Vol. # p. #
Study #	Fed study title	Randomized, single-dose, crossover	Test product, strength, Tab./Cap./Susp., p.o. [Batch #] Ref. product, strength, Tab./Cap./Susp., p.o. [Batch #]	# completing (#M/#F) Healthy subjects or patients mean y (range)	M <u>+</u> S.D. M <u>+</u> S.D.	Mn or Md No SD	M <u>+</u> S.D. M <u>+</u> S.D.	M <u>+</u> S.D. M <u>+</u> S.D.	Mean No SD	Mean No SD	Vol. # p. #

Geoi	<u>metric Means, Rat</u> East	Drug Dose (# x mg) io of Means, and 90°	6 Confidence Inter	vals
Parameter	Test	Reference	Batio	90% C I
AUCo.t			Tatio	<u> </u>
AUC∞				
C <sub>max</sub>				
	Fee	d Bioequivalence Stu	Jdv	
Parameter	Test	Reference	100*Ratio	90% C.I.
AUC <sub>0-t</sub>				
AUC∞				·····
C <sub>max</sub>				

Table 2. Statistical Summary of the Comparative Bioavailability Data

# Table 3. Bioanalytical Method Validation

Information Requested	Data
Bioanalytical method validation report location	Provide the volume(s) and page(s)
Analyte	Provide the name(s) of the analyte(s)
Internal standard (IS)	Identify the internal standard used
Method description	Brief description of extraction method; analytical method
Limit of quantitation	LOQ, units
Average recovery of drug (%)	%
Average recovery of IS (%)	%
Standard curve concentrations (units/mL)	Standard curve range and appropriate concentration units
QC concentrations (units/mL)	List all the concentrations used
QC Intraday precision range (%)	Range or per QC
QC Intraday accuracy range (%)	Range or per QC
QC Interday precision range (%)	Range or per QC
QC Interday accuracy range (%)	Range or per QC
Bench-top stability (hrs)	hours @ room temperature
Stock stability (days)	days @ 4°C
Processed stability (hrs)	hours @ room temperature; hours @ 4°C
Freeze-thaw stability (cycles)	# cycles
Long-term storage stability (days)	17 days @ -20°C (or other)
Dilution integrity	Concentration diluted X-fold
Selectivity	No interfering peaks noted in blank plasma samples

Study Ref. No.	Product ID/Batch No.	Dosage Form	Conditions No. of Dosage		Collection Times Mean %Dissolved (Range)			Study Report	
Diss. study	Test prod name/	mg Tab /Cap /Susp	Dissolution: Apparatus	Units 12	min	min	min	min	Location
Diss. study report #	Ref prod name/ #	mg Tab./Cap/Susp.	Volume: mL Temperature: °C	12					-

# Table 4. Summary of In Vitro Dissolution Studies

# Table 5. Formulation Data

Ingredient	Amount (mg	g) / Tablet	Amount (%) Tablet		
ligicaliti	Lower strength	Higher strength	Lower strength	Higher strength	
Cores					
		·			
	· · ·	······································			
Canting					
coating		1			
Total		, ,	400.00		
TUIAI			100.00	100.0	

Study No.						
	Treatment Groups					
	Test Product Reference Produ					
	- N =	N =				
Age (years)		· ·				
Mean <u>+</u> SD	50 <u>+</u> 15					
Range	20-85					
Groups						
< 18	N(%)	N(%)				
18 – 40	N(%)	N(%)				
40 – 64	N(%)	N(%)				
65 – 75	N(%)	N(%)				
> 75	N(%)	N(%)				
Sex		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Female	N(%)	N(%)				
Male	N(%)	N(%)				
Race		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Asian	N(%)	N(%)				
Black	N(%)	N(%)				
Caucasian	N(%)	N(%)				
Hispanic	N(%)	N(%)				
Other	N(%)	N(%)				
Other Factors		······································				

Table 6. Demographic Profile of Subjects Completing the Bioequivalence Study

Body	Reported Incidence by Treatment Groups								
System/Adverse Event	Fasted Bioequivalence Study Study No.		Fed Bioequi	valence Study dy No.	Other Bioequivalence Study Study No.				
	Test	Reference	Test	Reference	Test	Reference			
Body as a whole									
Dizziness	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)			
Etc.	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)			
Cardiovascular			· · · ·		,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Hypotension									
Etc.									
Gastrointestinal									
Constipation						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Etc.						····			
Other organ sys.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	······································				··	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
				-					
Total	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)			

# Table 7. Incidence of Adverse Events in Individual Studies

# Table 8. Reanalysis of Study Samples

	Additional	S informa	tudy No. tion in Volu	Ime(s), Pag	ie(s)			
Beason why assay was repeated	Number of samples reanalyzed			Number of recalculated values used after reanalysis				
Theason with assay was repeated	Actual number		% of total assays		Actual number		% of total assays	
	T	R	Т	R	Т	R	Т	R
Pharmacokinetic <sup>1</sup>								
Reason A (e.g. below LOQ)								· · · · ·
Reason B								•
Reason C								
Etc.	·							
Total								

<sup>1</sup> If no repeats were performed for pharmacokinetic reasons, insert "0.0" throughout table

#### **DIVISION OF BIOEQUIVALENCE REVIEW**

ANDA No. Drug Product Name Strength Applicant Name	78-069 Oxcarbazepine Tablets 150 mg, 300 mg, and 600 mg Breckenridge Pharmaceutical Inc
Address	1141 South Rogers Circle, Suite 3, Boca Raton, FL 33487
<b>Contact Information</b>	Judy-Lynn Reidinger, Manager of Technical Services,
	15 Massirio Drive, Suite 201, Berlin, CT 06037
Phone Number	(860) 828-8140, ext 231
Fax Number	(860) 828-8142
Submission Date(s)	December 22, 2005
Amendment Date(s)	June 12, 2006 (Dissolution Amendment), September 12, 2006 (Dissolution Acknowledgement), November 15, 2006 (Telephone Amendment), December 18, 2006 (Telephone Amendment - new SAS data), January 8, 2007 (Telephone Amendment)
Reviewer	Parthapratim Chandaroy, Ph.D.
First Generic	No

#### I. Executive Summary

In the original submission dated December 22. 2005, the firm submitted fasting and fed bioequivalence (BE) studies comparing its test product, Oxcarbazepine Tablets, 600 mg to the reference listed drug (RLD), Novartis's Trileptal<sup>®</sup> (oxcarbazepine) Tablets, 600 mg. The firm also submitted comparative *in vitro* dissolution data for 150 mg, 300 mg, and 600 mg strengths of the test and reference product and requested for waiver of *in vivo* bioequivalence requirements for the lower two strengths of the test product.

The study design for each of the BE studies is a two-way, crossover in normal healthy subjects (n=60 for fasting, n=100 for fed). Statistical analyses of the plasma concentration data for oxcarbazepine demonstrate bioequivalence for both the studies. For the fasting BE study, oxcarbazepine results (point estimate, 90% CI) are:  $lnAUC_{0-t}$  of 1.02, 98.0-105.8;  $lnAUC_{0-\infty}$  of 1.02, 98.5-106.0; and  $lnC_{max}$  of 1.02, 93.7-111.4. For the fed BE study, oxcarbazepine results (point estimate, 90% CI) are:  $lnAUC_{0-t}$  of 0.99, 96.7-101.5;  $lnAUC_{0-\infty}$  of 0.99, 97.1-101.7; and  $lnC_{max}$  of 0.92, 86.4-97.6. Metabolite plasma concentrations were comparable for the test and reference products in both the studies.

There is no USP method for this product but there is an FDA-recommended method. The firm conducted comparative dissolution testing using the FDA-recommended method. The firm's dissolution data met the FDA-recommended dissolution specifications at the S1 level. In an amendment dated September 12, 2006, the firm accepted the FDA-recommended method and specifications. The dissolution testing study is **acceptable**.

The application is **acceptable** with no deficiencies. The waivers of *in vivo* bioequivalence study requirements for the 150 mg and 300 mg strengths are **granted**.

# II. Table of Contents

I.	Executive Summary						
II.	Table	Table of Contents					
III.	Subm	Submission Summary					
A		Drug Product Information	3				
B		PK/PD Information	3				
C.		Contents of Submission	5				
D		Pre-Study Bioanalytical Method Validation	6				
E.		In Vivo Studies	8				
	1.	Single-dose Fasting Bioequivalence Study	8				
	2.	Single-dose Fed Bioequivalence Study	9				
F.		Formulation	11				
G	•	In Vitro Dissolution	11				
Н	•	Waiver Request(s)	12				
I.		Deficiency Comment	12				
J.		Recommendations	12				
IV.	Appen	ndix	14				
A	•	Individual Study Reviews	14				
	1.	Single-dose Fasting Bioequivalence Study	14				
	a)	Study Design	14				
	b)	Clinical Results	16				
	c)	Bioanalytical Results	18				
	d)	Pharmacokinetic Results	19				
	2.	Single-dose Fed Bioequivalence Study	24				
	a)	Study Design	24				
	b)	Clinical Results	27				
	c)	Bioanalytical Results					
	d)	Pharmacokinetic Results					
Β.		Formulation Data					
C.		Dissolution Data					
D		Consult Reviews					
E.		SAS Output					
	1.	Fasting Study (#13318/04-05)					
	2.	Non-Fasting Study (#13319/04-05)					
F.		Additional Attachments	135				

#### III. **Submission Summary**

# A. Drug Product Information<sup>1</sup>

Test Product	Oxcarbazepine Tablets, 150 mg, 300 mg, and 600 mg
<b>Reference Product</b>	Trileptal <sup>®</sup> (Oxcarbazepine) Tablets, 600 mg
<b>RLD Manufacturer</b>	Novartis
NDA No.	21-014
<b>RLD Approval Date</b>	January 14, 2000
Indication	Indicated for use as monotherapy or adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial seizures in adults and children ages
	4-16 with epilepsy.

Bioavailability	Following oral administration of Trilental <sup>®</sup> tablets oxcarbazenine is					
Diouvanability	completely absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract.					
Food Effect	Food has no effect on the rate and extent of absorption.					
T <sub>max</sub>	4.5 hours (range 3-13 hours)					
Metabolism	Oxcarbazepine is extensively metabolized in the liver to the 10- monohydroxy metabolite (MHD), which is primarily responsible for the pharmacological effect of Trileptal <sup>®</sup> . MHD is metabolized further by conjugation with glucuronic acid. Minor amounts (4% of the dose) are oxidized to the pharmacologically inactive 10,11- dihydroxy metabolite (DHD).					
Excretion	Oxcarbazepine is cleared from the body mostly in the form of metabolites which are predominantly excreted by the kidneys. More than 95% of the dose appears in the urine, with less than 1% as unchanged oxcarbazepine. Fecal excretion accounts for less than 4% of the administered dose. Approximately 80% of the dose is excreted in the urine either as glucuronides of MHD (49%) or as unchanged MHD (27%).					
Half-life	oxcarbazepine: 2 hours; MHD: 9 hours					
<b>Relevant OGD or</b>	The following bioequivalence documents were reviewed (or					
<b>DBE History</b>	currently under review/in queue for ANDAs) by the Division of					
	Bioequivalence (DBE) for Oxcarbazepine Tablets: $\begin{array}{c} \hline \textbf{Control:} \\ \hline 03-154 ( \ ^{(b)(4)}; \ 03-179 \ ^{(b)(4)}; \ 03-191 ( \ ^{(b)(4)}; \ 03-214 ( \ ^{(b)(4)}; \ 03-214$					

# **B.** PK/PD Information<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Entry for oxcarbazepine in the Orange Book, 2006 <sup>2</sup> PDR<sup>®</sup> (Physician's Desk Reference) 2006 entry for Trileptal<sup>®</sup>

<u>Pr</u> 02	otocol: -034 (Roxane) and (	(b) (4)
AN 77 (Tr	NDAs:         -747 (Apotex);         (b) (4);         (b) (4);         (b) (4);         (b) (4);         (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c);         (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c);         (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c);         (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c);	<sup>(b) (4)</sup> ; 77-794 (Sun); 77-795 (Roxane); 77-801 (Taro); 77-802 (Glenmark); 77-805 <sup>(4)</sup> ; <sup>(b) (4)</sup> ; 78-069 (Breckenridge– d <sup>(b) (4)</sup>
Th Ta	e DBE currently rec blets, 150 mg, 300 r	ommends the following for Oxcarbazepine ng, and 600 mg <sup>3</sup> :
1.	A single-dose, two study comparing O reference listed dru 600 mg.	-way crossover fasting <i>in-vivo</i> bioequivalence excarbazepine Tablets, 600 mg, to the ag (RLD), Trileptal <sup>®</sup> (oxcarbazepine) Tablets,
2.	A single-dose, two study comparing O	-way crossover fed <i>in-vivo</i> bioequivalence xcarbazepine Tablets, 600 mg, to the RLD.
3.	Measurement of bo its 10-hydroxy met	oth the parent compound, oxcarbazepine, and abolite, in plasma <sup>4</sup> .
4.	Oxcarbazepine Tab for a waiver of <i>in-v</i> acceptable bioequir acceptable dissolut mg strengths, and ( of the 150 mg, 300	blets, 150 mg and 300 mg, may be considered <i>vivo</i> bioequivalence testing based on (1) valence studies on the 600 mg strength, (2) ion testing of the 150 mg, 300 mg, and 600 3) proportional similarity in the formulations mg, and 600 mg strengths.
5.	Comparative disso strengths of the tes FDA-recommende	lution testing on 12 dosage units of all t and reference products using the following d method:
	Apparatus: Speed: Medium:	USP apparatus II (paddle) 60 rpm Aqueous sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) solution
	Volume: Sampling times:	900 mL at $37^{\circ}$ C 10, 20, 30, 45, 60, 75, and 90 minutes or until at least <sup>(b) (4)</sup> / <sub>6</sub> of the label content is dissolved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Control correspondence #04-1173 to <sup>(b) (4)</sup> \\cdsnas\ogds11\firmsnz\ <sup>(b) (4)</sup> controls\04-1173) <sup>4</sup> In case of measurement of both parent and metabolite in plasma, the DBE recommends the firm to subject only the parent drug data to the 90% confidence interval criteria. For the metabolite, the firm should tabulate the data and provide descriptive statistics.

	The firm is also suggested to vary the amount of SDS in the dissolution medium as follows:				
	Strength	Strength <u>% SDS</u>			
	600 mg	1.0%			
	300 mg	0.6%			
	150 mg	0.3%			
	Dissolution specification review. Since oxcarbaz be necessary to set a tw	ons are set at the time of the AN repine has low aqueous solubili ro-point specification.	NDA ty, it may		
Agency Guidance	None				
Drug Specific Issues (if any)	None				

# C. Contents of Submission

Study Types	Yes/No?	How many?
Single-dose fasting	Yes	1
Single-dose fed	Yes	1
Steady-state	No	
In vitro dissolution	Yes	6
Waiver requests	Yes	2
BCS Waivers	No	
Vasoconstrictor Studies	No	
<b>Clinical Endpoints</b>	No	
Failed Studies	No	
Amendments	Yes	2

# D. Pre-Study Bioanalytical Method Validation

Information Requested	Data					
Bioanalytical method validation report	Volume 1/12: Pages	1080 - 1264				
location	(fasted data presente	(fasted data presented below, fed data presented on following page)				
Analyte(s)	Oxcarbazepine and	its metabolite MH	D (10-monohydroxy-carbamazepine)			
Internal standard (IS)	(b) (4	4)				
Method description	LC-MS/MS method	; The samples wer	e prepared by extracting the drug substance from plasma			
	samples using an		(b) (4)			
Limit of quantitation (ng/mL)	Oxcarbazepine:	20.300;	MHD: 98.900			
Average recovery (%)	Oxcarbazepine:	89.71%;	MHD: 89.17%			
Average recovery of IS (%)	92.34					
Standard curve concentrations (ng/mL)	Oxcarbazepine:	20.200, 40.400,	80.800, 151.500, 303.000, 606.000, 1211.950 and 2423.900			
	MHD:	98.200, 196.400	), 392.750, 736.400, 1472.850, 2945.650, 5891.300 and			
		11782.600				
QC concentrations (ng/mL)	Oxcarbazepine:	60.900 (LQC),	964.200 (MQC), 1826.900 (HQC)			
	MHD:	296.700 (LQC)	, 4697.500 (MQC), 8900.550 (HQC)			
QC Intraday precision range (%)	Oxcarbazepine:	3.55 - 8.36 (LQ	C), 1.94 - 5.48 (MQC) and 3.87 - 3.93 (HQC)			
	MHD:	4.56 - 9.67 (LQ	C), 2.86 - 4.11 (MQC) and 2.57 - 3.59 (HQC)			
QC Intraday accuracy range (%)	Oxcarbazepine:	93.79 - 93.82 (I	QC), 89.32 - 93.34 (MQC) and 93.97 - 95.02 (HQC)			
	MHD:	100.09 - 101.94	(LQC), 88.93 - 97.80 (MQC) and 92.51 - 96.65 (HQC)			
QC Interday precision range (%)	Oxcarbazepine:	6.12 (LQC), 4.6	0 (MQC) and 4.11 (HQC)			
	MHD:	7.23 (LQC), 5.9	7 (MQC) and 3.74 (HQC)			
QC Interday accuracy range (%)	Oxcarbazepine:	93.80 (LQC), 9	1.33 (MQC) and 93.94 (HQC)			
	MHD:	101.02 (LQC),	93.37 (MQC) and 94.58 (HQC)			
Bench top stability (hr)	12 hr. @ ambient ter	mperature (Oxcarl	pazepine and MHD)			
Stock stability (days)	23 days @ 2-8° C (C	Oxcarbazepine and	MHD)			
Processed stability (hr)	31 hours @ ambient	t temperature (Oxo	arbazepine and MHD)			
Freeze-thaw stability (cycles)	3 cycles (Oxcarbaze	pine and MHD)				
Long-term storage stability (days)	102 days @ -20° C (	(Oxcarbazepine an	d MHD)			
Dilution integrity	Oxcarbazepine:	2 times CC9 co	ncentration (5029.800 ng/mL) diluted in a 1:4 ratio			
	MHD:	2 times CC9 co	ncentration (25048.800 ng/mL) diluted in a 1:4 ratio			
Selectivity	No interfering peaks	s noted in blank pl	asma samples			

# Reported in the Fasting Study section:

# Reported in the Fed Study section:

Information Requested	Data				
Bioanalytical method validation report	Volume 4/18: pages 1602-1664				
location	(fed data presented below, fasted data presented on previous page)				
Analyte(s)	Oxcarbazepine and	its metabolite MHD (10-monohydroxy-carbamazepine)			
Internal standard (IS)	(b) (4	4)			
Method description	LC-MS/MS method	; The samples were prepared by extracting the drug substance from plasma			
-	samples using an	(b) (4)			
Limit of quantitation (ng/mL)	Oxcarbazepine:	20.300; MHD: 99.700			
Average recovery (%)	Oxcarbazepine:	89.71; MHD: 89.17			
Average recovery of IS (%)	92.34				
Standard curve concentrations (ng/mL)	Oxcarbazepine:	20.100, 40.250, 100.600, 251.500, 503.000, 1005.950, 2011.900, 3017.900 and			
		5029.800			
	MHD:	99.300, 198.600, 496.450, 1241.100, 2482.200, 4964.350, 9928.750 and			
		24821.850			
QC concentrations (ng/mL)	Oxcarbazepine:	60.950 (LQC), 2539.800 (MQC), 4063.700 (HQC)			
	MHD:	299.050 (LQC), 12459.950 (MQC), 19935.950 (HQC)			
QC Intraday precision range (%)	Oxcarbazepine:	2.35 - 6.46 (LQC), 1.33 - 2.64 (MQC) and 1.75 - 2.06 (HQC)			
	MHD:	3.12 - 9.80 (LQC), 3.19 - 5.25 (MQC) and 1.17 - 2.95 (HQC)			
QC Intraday accuracy range (%)	Oxcarbazepine:	100.72 - 103.59 (LQC), 106.55 - 109.13 (MQC) and 98.09 - 104.12 (HQC)			
	MHD:	105.83 - 107.36 (LQC), 102.85 - 107.01 (MQC) and 98.68 - 102.51 (HQC)			
QC Interday precision range (%)	Oxcarbazepine:	4.81 (LQC), 2.34 (MQC) and 3.61 (HQC)			
	MHD:	6.93 (LQC), 4.60 (MQC) and 2.94 (HQC)			
QC Interday accuracy range (%)	Oxcarbazepine:	102.16 (LQC), 107.84 (MQC) and 101.11 (HQC)			
	MHD:	106.59 (LQC), 104.93 (MQC) and 100.59 (HQC)			
Bench top stability (hr)	12 hr. @ ambient te	mperature (Oxcarbazepine and MHD)			
Stock stability (days)	23 days @ 2-8° C (C	Oxcarbazepine and MHD)			
Processed stability (hr)	31 hours @ ambient temperature (Oxcarbazepine and MHD)				
Freeze-thaw stability (cycles)	3 cycles (Oxcarbazepine and MHD)				
Long-term storage stability (days)	102 days @ -20° C (Oxcarbazepine and MHD)				
Dilution integrity	Oxcarbazepine:	2 times CC9 concentration (5029.800 ng/mL) diluted in a 1:4 ratio			
	MHD:	2 times CC9 concentration (25048.800 ng/mL) diluted in a 1:4 ratio			
Selectivity	No interfering peaks	s noted in blank plasma samples			

#### Reviewer's note:

- The "QC Intraday accuracy range" for HQC, submitted in the pre-study method validation data reported in the fasting study section, is from pp. 1257-1258, unlike the corresponding LQC and MQC values (pp1249-1250).
- > For the pre-study Bioanalytical Method Validation report (fed study):
  - Long-term (freezer) storage stability of samples established for a period of 102 days at -20°C was reported in the fasting study section.
  - The page reference for the report should be pp. 1524 to 1664 (including all addendums).

#### **Comments on Pre-Study Bioanalytical Method Validation:**

The pre-study bioanalytical method validation is **acceptable**.

# E. In Vivo Studies

Study Summary, Fasting Bioequivalence Study				
Study No.	13318/04-05			
Study Design	Randomized, single-dose, 2-treatment, 2-period, 2-			
	sequence crossover bioavailability study under fasting			
	conditions.			
No. of subjects enrolled	60 + 4 (standby)			
No. of subjects completing	59 + 4 (standby)			
No. of subjects analyzed	60, as per protocol			
Subjects (Healthy or Patients?)	Healthy			
Sex(es) included (how many?)	Male: 60 Female: 0			
Test product	Oxcarbazepine Tablets			
Reference product	Trileptal <sup>®</sup> (oxcarbazepine) Tablets			
Strength tested	600 mg			
Dose	1 x 600 mg tablet with 240 mL water under fasting			
	condition.			

1. Single-dose Fasting Bioequivalence Study

### **Fasting Study Statistical Summary (**n=60)**:**

Oxcarbazepine 600 mg Tablets single tablet dose Geometric Means, Batio of Means, and 90% Confidence Intervals								
		Fasted Bioequivalence St	udy					
Parameter	Test	Reference	100*Ratio	90% C.I.				
		Oxcarbazepine						
AUC <sub>0-t</sub>	7201.854 ± 27.23	7073.346 ± 25.02	101.82	98.98; 105.81				
AUC.	7635.084 ± 26.68	7470.973 ± 24.91	102.20	98.51; 106.02				
Cmax	1878.968 ± 36.39	1839.427 ± 33.32	102.15	93.69; 111.37				
	10-mono	hydroxy derivative of oxcarl	oazepine (MHD)					
AUC <sub>0-t</sub>	182269.190 ± 18.49	178772.380 ± 17.57	101.96	98.45; 105.64				
AUC.	AUC. 207601.920 ± 21.05 201432.140 ± 18.42 103.06 99.84; 106.38							
Cmax	6986.271 ± 18.99	6817.680 ± 19.36	102.47	98.35; 106.76				

**Reviewer's note**: The values presented in the above table were calculated by the firm (n=60). The lower limit of  $AUC_{0-t}$  C.I. should be 97.98, instead of 98.98. In an amendment, submitted on November 15, 2006, the firm corrected this error.

#### Fasting Study Sample Reanalysis:

Study No. 13318/04-05 (Fasted) Additional Information in Volume 3/12 , Pages 1045 ~ 1050								
P	Number of samples reanalyzed			Number of recalculated values used after reanalysis				
Reason why assay was repeated	Actual	Number	% of total assays		Actual Number		% of total assays	
1	T*	R**	Т	R	Т	R	Т	R
	Oxearba	azepine						
QC results were not within acceptance range	19	21	1.51	1.67	19	21	1.51	1.67
ISTD was not added	21	21	1.68	1.67	21	21	1.67	1.67
Concentration of subject sample is higher than CC point	31	22	2.48	1.75	31	22	2.47	1.75
Reconfirmation of earlier results	1	2	0.08	0.16	1	2	0.08	0.16
Sample not analyzed due to acquisition error	1	-	0.08		1	-	0.08	-
Total	73	66	5.83	5.25	73	66	5.82	5.26
MHD (10-m	onohydro	oxy-carba	mazepin	e)				
QC results were not within acceptance range	40	42	3.2	3.35	40	42	3.20	3.35
ISTD was not added	21	21	1.68	1.67	21	21	1.67	1.67
Reconfirmation of earlier results	1	1	0.08	0.08	1	1	0.08	0.08
Sample not analyzed due to acquisition error	1	-	0.08	-	1	-	0.08	-
Total	63	64	5.04	5.10	63	64	5.02	5.10

\* Test Drug

\*\* Reference Drug

Total number of samples assayed = 2506

**Did use of recalculated plasma concentration data change study outcome?** No. There were a total of 266 sample repeat analyses (parent: 139, metabolite: 127). None of them was classified as pharmacokinetic repeat. According to the reviewer, there were a total of five (parent: 3, metabolite: 2) pharmacokinetic repeats (termed by the firm as "reconfirmation of earlier results). All repeat analyses were conducted following the SOP for sample reanalysis.

2.	Single-dose	Fed Bioequ	ivalence Study
----	-------------	------------	----------------

Study Summary, Fed Bioequivalence Study				
Study No.	13319/04-05			
Study Design	Randomized, single-dose, 2-treatment, 2-period, 2-			
	sequence crossover bioavailability study under fed			
	conditions.			
No. of subjects enrolled	100 + 6 (standby)			
No. of subjects completing	98 + 6 (standby)			
No. of subjects analyzed	100, as per protocol			
Subjects (Healthy or Patients?)	Healthy			
Sex(es) included (how many?)	Male: 100 Female: 0			
Test product	Oxcarbazepine Tablets			
Reference product	Trileptal <sup>®</sup> (oxcarbazepine) Tablets			
Strength tested	600 mg			
Dose	1 x 600 mg tablet with 240 mL water under fed			
	condition.			

#### Fed Study Statistical Summary:

Oxcarbazepine 600 mg Tablets single tablet dose Geometric Means, Ratio of Means, and 90% Confidence Intervals								
		Fed Bioequivalence Stu	dy					
Parameter	Test	Reference	100*Ratio	90% C.I.				
		Oxcarbazepine						
AUC <sub>0-t</sub>	$10273.377 \pm 23.33$	$10370.091 \pm 23.82$	99.07	96.68; 101.51				
AUC.	$10875.309 \pm 22.61$	10947.678 ± 23.36	99.34	97.06; 101.67				
Cmax	3813.174 ± 37.11	4151.302 ± 33.60	91.86	86.42; 97.63				
	10-monohydroxy derivative of oxcarbazepine (MHD)							
AUC <sub>0-t</sub>	193441.386 ± 16.05	190781.390 ± 15.94	101.39	99.64; 103.18				
AUC	AUC. 214730.079 ± 17.78 213343.106 ± 18.29 100.65 98.86; 102.47							
Cmax	8779.222 ± 14.25	8732.652 ± 13.70	100.53	99.16; 101.92				

**Reviewer's note**: The values presented in above table were calculated by the firm (n=100).

#### Fed Study Sample Reanalysis:

Study No. 13319/04-05 (Fed)								
Additional Information in Volume 3/18, Pages 1485 ~ 1491								
P	N	h	1		Num	ber of recalc	ulated va	lues used
Reason why assay was repeated	INUIN	oer of said	ipies rea	naiyzed		after re	analysis	
	Actual	Number	% of t	otal assay	s Actu	Actual Number % of total assays		
1	T*	R**	Т	R	T	R	Т	R
	Oxearba	zepine						
QC results were not within acceptance range	41	42	1.96	2.01	40	42	1.91	2.01
ISTD was not added	1	-	0.05	-	1	-	0.05	-
Concentration of subject sample is higher than CC point	31	36	1.48	1.72	31	36	1.48	1.72
ISTD area changes due to sample processing error	1	1	0.05	0.05	1	1	0.05	0.05
Reconfirmation of earlier results	2	9	0.10	0.43	2	8	0.10	0.38
Poor chromatography	2	5	0.10	0.24	2	5	0.10	0.24
Total	78	93	3.73	4.45	77	92	3.68	4.40
MHD (10-m	onohydr	oxy-carba	mazepin	e)				
QC results were not within acceptance range	104	105	4.97	4.02	104	105	4.97	5.02
ISTD was not added	1	-	0.05	-	1	-	0.05	-
ISTD area changes due to sample processing error	1	1	0.05	0.05	1	1	0.05	0.05
Reconfirmation of earlier results	2	9	0.10	0.43	1	8	0.05	0.38
Total	108	115	5.16	5.50	107	114	5.11	5.45

\* Test Drug

\*\* Reference Drug

Total number of samples assayed = 4184

**Did use of recalculated plasma concentration data change study outcome?** No. A total of 171 oxcarbazepine samples (test: 78, reference: 93) and 223 MHD samples (test: 108, reference: 115) were reanalyzed. According to the reviewer, there were a total of eleven (both parent and metabolite) pharmacokinetic repeats (termed by the firm as "reconfirmation of earlier results). The remaining repeats were all analytical repeats. All repeat analyses were conducted following the SOP for sample reanalysis.

#### F. Formulation

Location in appendix	Section IV.B, page 34		
Are inactive ingredients within IIG limits?	Yes		
If no, list ingredients outside of limits	N/A		
If a tablet, is the product scored?	Yes		
If yes, which strengths are scored?	150 mg, 300 mg, and 600 mg		
Is scoring of RLD the same as test?	Yes		
Is the formulation acceptable?	Yes		
If not acceptable, why?	N/A		

# G. In Vitro Dissolution<sup>5</sup>

Source of Method (USP, FDA or Firm)	FDA				
Medium	Water (at 37°C) with:				
	1.0% SDS for the 600 mg strength				
	0.6% SDS for the 300 mg strength				
	0.3% SDS for the 150 mg strength				
Volume (mL)	900 mL				
USP Apparatus type	II (paddle)				
Rotation (rpm)	60				
Firm's proposed specification(s)	NLT $^{(6)}$ (Q) in 45 min				
FDA-recommended specification(s)	NLT (Q) in 30 min, and				
	NLT (Q) in 60 min				
F2 metric calculated?	Yes (see below)				
Is method acceptable?	Yes				
If not then why?					

F2 metric, lower strengths compared to highest strength						
Low strength	Highest strength	F2 metric for test	F2 metric for RLD			
150 mg	600 mg	57.94	48.62			
300 mg	600 mg	63.81	48.97			

F2 metric, test compared to reference				
Strength	F2 metric			
150 mg	63.26			
300 mg	55.71			
600 mg	58.14			

**Reviewer's note**: There is no USP method for this product but there is an FDArecommended method. The firm initially conducted comparative dissolution testing for all the strengths using the FDA-recommended method for the 600 mg strength. The firm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Original dissolution review (\\cdsnas\ogds11\firmsam\breckenridge\ltrs&rev\78069d1205.doc)

was asked to repeat the comparative dissolution testing for the 150 mg and 300 mg strengths. In an amendment submitted on June 12, 2006, the firm provided new comparative dissolution testing data for the 150 mg and 300 mg strengths, obtained using the FDA-recommended method for those strengths<sup>6</sup>. The firm's dissolution data for all three strengths met the FDA-recommended dissolution specifications at the S1 level. The firm was asked to indicate if it accepts the FDA-recommended method and specifications. In an amendment dated September 12, 2006, the firm acknowledged acceptance of the FDA-recommended method and specifications. The dissolution testing study is **acceptable**.

The reviewer calculated F2 metric values for all the three strengths of both test and reference products, presented in the two tables above. All the test products have F2 values greater than 50 (both test vs. test and test vs. reference). The F2 values calculated for the reference products (reference vs. reference) are very close to 50, as seen in the tables above.

# H. Waiver Request(s)

Strengths for which waivers are requested	150 mg and 300 mg
Regulation cited	21 CFR 320.22(d)(2)
Proportional to strength tested in vivo?	Yes
Is dissolution acceptable?	Yes
Waivers granted?	Yes
If not then why?	N/A

# I. Deficiency Comment

None

# J. Recommendations

- The single-dose, fasting bioequivalence study (#13318/04-05) conducted by Breckenridge Pharmaceutical, Inc. on its Oxcarbazepine Tablets, 600 mg (Lot #316803; manufactured by Anabolic Laboratories), comparing it to Novartis' Trileptal<sup>®</sup> Tablets, 600 mg (Lot #F4086), is acceptable.
- The single-dose, non-fasting bioequivalence study (#13319/04-05) conducted by Breckenridge Pharmaceutical, Inc. on its Oxcarbazepine Tablets, 600 mg (Lot #316803; manufactured by Anabolic Laboratories), comparing it to Novartis' Trileptal<sup>®</sup> Tablets, 600 mg (Lot #F4086), is acceptable.
- 3. The *in vitro* dissolution testing conducted by Breckenridge Pharmaceutical, Inc. on its Oxcarbazepine Tablets, 150 mg (Lot #316805), 300 mg (Lot #316804), 600 mg (Lot

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Dissolution Amendment review (\\cdsnas\ogds11\firmsam\breckenridge\ltrs&rev\78069a0606.doc)

#316803), comparing it to Novartis' Trileptal<sup>®</sup> Tablets, 150 mg (Lot #204H1503), 300 mg (Lot #589J3096), 600 mg (Lot #F4086), is **acceptable**.

The dissolution testing should be conducted in 900 mL water (with 0.3% SDS in water for the 150 mg strength; 0.6% SDS in water for the 300 mg strength; and 1% SDS in water for the 600 mg strength) at 37°C using USP apparatus II (paddle) at 60 rpm. The test products should meet the following specifications:

Not less than  $(0)^{(b)}(4)_{0}(Q)$  of the labeled amount of oxcarbazepine in the dosage form is dissolved in 30 minutes;

Not less than  $^{(b)(4)}$  % (Q) of the labeled amount of oxcarbazepine in the dosage form is dissolved in 60 minutes.

4. The 150 mg and 300 mg strengths are proportionally formulated to the 600 mg strength which underwent acceptable bioequivalence studies. The waivers of *in vivo* bioequivalence study requirements for the 150 mg and 300 mg strengths of the test product are **granted**.

The firm should be informed of the above recommendations.

# IV. Appendix

# A. Individual Study Reviews

1. Single-dose Fasting Bioequivalence Study

a)	Study	Design
u)	Study	Design

Study Information					
Study Number	13318/04-05				
Study Title	An open label, randomized, two-treatment, two-				
	period, two-sequence, single dose, crossover				
	bioequivalence study to compare Oxcarbazepine 600				
	mg tablets (Breckenridge Pharmaceutical Inc., USA)				
	with Trileptal <sup>®</sup> (containing Oxcarbazepine 600 mg)				
	film-coated tablets (Novartis Pharmaceuticals				
	Corporation, USA) in 60+4 (standby) healthy, adult,				
	human subjects under fasting conditions				
Clinical Site	Vimta Labs Ltd., 142, IDA, Phase II, Cherlapally,				
	Hyderabad 500 051, India				
Principal Investigator	Manoj K. Bose, M.D.				
Study/Dosing Dates	Period I: September 12, 2005				
	Period II: September 19, 2005				
Analytical Site	Room No. 331, Clinical Research Division, Central				
	Laboratory, Vimta Labs Ltd., 142, IDA, Phase II,				
	Cherlapally, Hyderabad 500 051, India				
Analytical Director (Group Leader)	$^{(b)}{}^{(6)}M.Sc.$				
Analysis Dates	September 23, 2005 – October 5, 2005				
Storage Period (no. of days from the					
first day of sample collection to the	1e 24 days <sup>7</sup>				
last day of sample analysis)					

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{7}}$  The firm submitted long-term (freezer) storage stability data for 102 days (at -20°C)

Treatment ID	Α	В
Test or Reference	Test	Reference
Product Name	Oxcarbazepine Tablets	Trileptal <sup>®</sup> (oxcarbazepine) Tablets
Manufacturer	Anabolic Laboratories for	Novartis
	Breckenridge	
	Pharmaceutical, Inc.	
Batch/Lot No.	316803	F4086
Manufacture Date	4/2005	N/A
Expiration Date	N/A	3/2008
Strength	600 mg	600 mg
Dosage Form	Tablets	Tablets
Batch Size	(b) (4)	N/A
<b>Production Batch Size</b>		N/A
Potency	97%	98.7%
Content Uniformity	100.29/ 0.8	N/A
(mean, %CV)	100.270, 0.8	IN/A
Formulation	See Table 23	N/A
<b>Dose Administered</b>	1 x 600 mg	1 x 600 mg
<b>Route of Administration</b>	Oral	Oral

No. of Sequences	2
No. of Periods	2
No. of Treatments	2
No. of Groups	1
Washout Period	7 days
<b>Randomization Scheme</b>	AB: 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 17, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 30, 31, 32, 34, 37,
	39, 41, 44, 46, 48, 49, 50, 51, 55, 57, 60, 61, 62
	BA: 1, 3, 5, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 26, 28, 29, 33, 35, 36,
	38, 40, 42, 43, 45, 47, 52, 53, 54, 56, 58, 59, 63, 64
<b>Blood Sampling Times</b>	0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.25, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5, 5.0, 6.0,
	8.0, 12.0, 18.0, 24.0, 36.0, and 48.0 hours post-dose
Blood Volume	5 mL collected in K. EDTA containing Vacutainer <sup>®</sup> tubes
Collected/Sample	5 mE conceted in K3 EDTA containing vacutamer tubes
Blood Sample	After collection, blood samples were centrifuged within 30 minutes at
<b>Processing/Storage</b>	approximately 3800 rpm (at 10°±2°C) for 10-12 minutes. The
	resulting plasma was separated from centrifuged samples and
	transferred to two labeled tubes for freezing. The vials were stored
	between -18.4°C to -24.1°C.
IRB Approval	Yes
Informed Consent	Yes
Subjects Demographics	See Table 1
Length of Fasting	At least 10 hours pre-dose until 4.0 hours post-dose
Length of Confinement	At least 12 hours pre-dose until 24 hours post-dose. Subjects returned
	for the 36 and 48 hour blood sample collection.
Safety Monitoring	Vital signs (blood pressure and pulse rate) were measured at

screening, at the time of admission, 1 hour prior to dosing, and at 2, 4,
6, 12, and 24 hours post-dose in each period. Temperature was
recorded at screening, at the time of admission, prior to dosing, and at
12 and 24 hours post-dose in each period. Adverse events were
monitored throughout the study.

Comments on Study Design: The study design is acceptable.

# b) Clinical Results

#### **Table 1: Demographics of Study Subjects**

	Study No. 13318/04-05(Fasted)		Study No. 133	19/04-05 (Fed)		
	Ireatu	ient Groups	Treatmen	at Groups		
	Test Product N = 63	Ref. Product N = 63	Test Product N = 104	Ref. Product N = 104		
Age (years)						
$Mean \pm SD$	25.38 ± 5.19 yrs	25.38 ± 5.19 yrs	26.2 ± 4.99 yrs	26.2 ± 4.99 yrs		
Range	19 to 39	19 to 39	19 to 44yrs	19 to 44yrs		
Groups						
< 18	0	0	0	0		
18 - 40	63	63	102	102		
40 - 64	0	0	2	2		
65 - 75	0	0	0	0		
>75	0	0	0	0		
Sex						
Female	0	0	0	0		
Male	63	63	104	104		
Race						
Asian	63	63	104	104		
Black	0	0	0	0		
Caucasian	0	0	0	0		
Hispanic	0	0	0	0		
Other	0	0	0	0		
Height						
Mean	166.37 cm	166.37 cm	167.27 cm	167.27 cm		
Range	149-184	149-184	152-187	152-187		
Weight						
Mean	59.25 kg	59.25 kg	60.65 kg	60.65 kg		
Range	50 - 75	50 - 75	51 - 78	51 - 78		
-						
Notes	Subject #07 (Sequence)	BA) was found to have	Subject #38 (Sequence AB) ha	d a positive urine drug screen		
A=Test	developed swelling on l	his left cheek on the day	for drugs of abuse at the time of admission in Period II			
B=Reference	prior to admission and was withdrawn from the Subject #82 (Sequence AB) was dropped		Subject #82 (Sequence AB) was dropped from the study			
	study		prior to Period II dosing due to	dizziness		

**Reviewer's note:** The firm also submitted following summary demographic data for the subjects included in the statistical analysis (n=60): Age:  $25.38\pm5.19$  (s.d.); BMI:  $21.41\pm1.85$  (s.d.); Groups: 18-40 years (100%); Sex: Male (100%); Race: Asian (100%).

# **Table 2: Dropout Information**

Subject #	Reason	Period	<b>Replaced</b> ?
7	Withdrawn from the study because of swollen left cheek	Before check-in for period II	#63

**Reviewer's note:** Subject #7 was replaced by standby subject #63 with same treatment sequence.

Body System/ Adverse Event	Reported Incidence by Treatment Groups					
	Fasted Bioequivalen	ce Study Number:	Fed Bioequivalence Study Number:			
	13318/04-05		13319/04-05			
	Test Product N = 63	Ref. Product N = 64	Test Product N = 106	Ref. Product N = 104		
Body as a whole						
Fever			1 (0.94%)			
Swelling	1 (1.59	9%)*				
Cardiovascular						
Gastrointestinal						
Abdominal pain				1 (0.96%)		
Diamhea				1 (0.96%)		
Hematological						
Inc. eosinophil ct.	3 (4.76	%) **	3 (2.8	38%)*		
Inc. monocyte ct.			1 (0.96%)*			
Neurological						
Dizziness	1 (1.59%)		1 (0.94%)	2 (1.92%)		
Metabolic						
Elev. Bilirubin	2 (3.17	%) **	2 (1.9	92%)*		
Elev. AST	1 (1.59	%) **	1 (0.9	96%)*		
Elev. ALT	1 (1.59	%) **				
Dec. Glucose	3 (4.76	%) **	2 (1.9	92%)*		
Elev. GGT	1 (1.59	%) **				
Elev. Serum Potassium	2 (3.179	%) **	1 (0.9	96%)*		
Elev. Serum Creatinine			1 (0.96%)*			
Total	1 (1.59%)		2 (1.89%)	3 (2.88%)		
Notes	* Subject #07 was found	to have developed	* Post clinical laboratory	assessment performed		
	swelling on the left cheek	d II.				
	pain on the day prior to admission and was					
	withdrawn from the study. The event was					
	declared as mild and unre	lated to study drug				
	** Post clinical laboratory	y assessment				
	performed after completio					

### Table 3: Study Adverse Events

**Reviewer's note:** Subject #12 could not comply with the post-dosing posture due to "discomfort" in period II (see in protocol deviation), but that adverse event was not included in the above table and also not mentioned in the clinical study report.

### **Table 4: Protocol Deviations**

Туре	Subject #s (Test)	Subject #s (Ref.)
Blood sampling time deviations (samples drawn late)	Several	Several
Subject could not comply with the post-dosing posture due to dizziness (period I) and <b>discomfort</b> (period II)	#12	#12
Blood samples were not collected as subjects did not show up during the ambulatory visit*	5, 12, 34, 50, 57	31, 41, 42, 45, 57

\*A deviation in blood draw was considered if: blood was drawn beyond 2 minutes of actual time till the 24-hour time point (housed), or beyond 1 hour of actual time for the 36 and 48-hour time points (ambulatory).

### **Comments on Dropouts/Adverse Events/Protocol Deviations:**

• There were a total of 15 post-dose adverse events, including 13 post-clinical events, reported by 11 subjects. All events were "mild" in severity. Subject #7 had swollen left cheek (pre-check-in, period II) and was removed from the study. Seven of the adverse

events (hypoglycemia, swelling left cheek, increased eosinophil count) were considered "unrelated" or "remotely related" to the study treatments. The remaining eight adverse events (dizziness, increased bilirubin/potassium/AST/ALT/GGT level) were considered "remotely" or "possibly" related to the study treatments.

- There were several blood draw deviations in the study (samples drawn late) with a maximum deviation of 190 minutes (48 hour time point). All pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated using the actual sampling time.
- The adverse events and protocol deviations did not compromise the integrity of the study.

c) Bioanalytical Results

	Parent (Oxcarbazepine)								
QC Conc. (ng/mL)	182	1826.900 964.200 60.900							
Inter day Precision (%CV)	10.17			9.96		10.01			
Inter day Accuracy (%)	9	8.84		96.42			95.00		
Cal. Standards Conc.	20.20	40 40	80 80	151 50	30	03.0	606.0	1211 95	2423 90
(ng/mL)	20.20	10.10	00.00	101.00	50		000.0	1211.90	2.23.90
Inter day Precision (%CV)	2.61	5.33	2.87	2.74	3.	.75	4.24	4.12	4.77
Inter day Accuracy (%)	97.80	102.17	103.8	103.13	99	0.84	97.43	96.89	99.12
Linearity Range	0 9962-0 9998								
(range of r values)	0.7702=0.7770								

### Table 5: Assay Quality Control – Within Study

Comments on Study Assay Quality Control: None

Any interfering peaks in chromatograms?	No
Were 20% of chromatograms included?	Yes
Were chromatograms serially or randomly selected?	Serially

Comments on Chromatograms: None

 Table 6: SOPs dealing with analytical repeats of study samples

SOP No.	Date of SOP	SOP Title
23/13, version 7.0	September 7, 2005	Repeat Analysis of Samples & Reintegration of Chromatograms

Were all SOPs followed?	Yes
Did recalculation of plasma concentrations change the study outcome?	No
Does the reviewer agree with the outcome of the repeat assays?	Yes
If no, reason for disagreement	N/A

#### Table 7: Additional Comments on Repeat Assays

#### Summary/Conclusions, Study Assays:

- There were a total of 2506 study samples analyzed. 139 oxcarbazepine samples (test: 73, reference: 66) and 127 MHD samples (test: 63, reference: 64) were reanalyzed, including both parent and metabolite sample reanalysis for all the available samples from subject #40 and #50 (82 samples). The sample reanalysis represents 5.31% of the total study assays. According to the reviewer, there were a total of five (parent: 3, metabolite: 2) pharmacokinetic repeats (termed by the firm as "reconfirmation of earlier results). The remaining repeats were all analytical repeats. All repeat analyses were done following the SOP for sample reanalysis.
- The analytical method and data are **acceptable**.

d) Pharmacokinetic Results

### Table 8: Arithmetic Mean Pharmacokinetic Parameters (n=60)

Mean plasma concentrations are presented in Table 11 and Figure 1.

#### **Oxcarbazepine:**

Parameter	Units	Test		Reference		T/D
		Mean	%CV	Mean	% CV	I/K
AUC <sub>0-t</sub>	ng/mL x hr	7449.95	27.23	7287.48	25.02	1.02
$\mathrm{AUC}_\infty$	ng/mL x hr	7889.07	26.68	7695.00	24.91	1.03
C <sub>max</sub>	ng /mL	1995.09	36.39	1945.26	33.32	1.03
T <sub>max</sub>	hr	1.53	43.72	1.86	56.43	0.82
T <sub>1/2</sub>	$hr^{-1}$	9.77	38.05	9.06	37.10	1.08
Ke	hr	0.08	31.18	0.09	40.56	0.89

Parameter	Units	Test		Reference		T/D
		Mean	%CV	Mean	% CV	1/K
AUC <sub>0-t</sub>	ng/mL x hr	185310.98	18.49	181512.38	17.57	1.02
$AUC_{\infty}$	ng/mL x hr	211963.99	21.05	204576.42	18.42	1.04
C <sub>max</sub>	ng /mL	7110.16	18.99	6942.70	19.36	1.02
T <sub>max</sub>	hr	6.33	36.61	7.08	40.08	0.89
Ke	hr <sup>-1</sup>	0.05	20.43	0.05	16.76	0.99
T <sub>1/2</sub>	hr	13.39	22.01	12.99	16.69	1.03

MHD:

# Table 9: Geometric Means and 90% Confidence Intervals for Oxcarbazepine (n=60)

Parameter	Test	Reference	T/R	90% CI
AUC <sub>0-t</sub>	7201.85	7073.35	1.02	97.98-105.81
$AUC_{\infty}$	7635.08	7470.97	1.02	98.51-106.02
C <sub>max</sub>	1878.97	1839.43	1.02	93.69-111.37

Reviewer's note: The values in the above tables were calculated by the reviewer.

#### Table 10: Additional Study Information (n=60)

	Oxcarbazepine
Root mean square error, lnAUC <sub>0-t</sub>	0.125924
Root mean square error, $lnAUC_{0-\infty}$	0.120430
Root mean square error, lnC <sub>max</sub>	0.283220
$K_{el}$ and $AUC_{0-\infty}$ determined for how many subjects?	60
Do you agree or disagree with firm's decision?	Agree
Indicate the number of subjects with the following:	
-measurable drug concentrations at 0 hr	None
-first measurable drug concentration as C <sub>max</sub>	None
Were the subjects dosed as more than one group?	No

### **Comments on Pharmacokinetic and Statistical Analysis:**

• The pharmacokinetic parameters and 90% confidence intervals calculated by the reviewer agree with the firm's calculations.

- The 90% confidence intervals for  $lnAUC_{0-t}$ ,  $lnAUC_{0-\infty}$ , and  $lnC_{max}$  are within the acceptable limits of 80-125%.
- According to the reviewer, there were five sample repeats which can be termed as pharmacokinetic repeats (termed by the firm as "reconfirmation of original results"). The three parent (oxcarbazepine) repeat values remained unchanged after the repeat. The reviewer replaced the two metabolite (MHD) repeat values with the original values and repeated the pharmacokinetic analysis. The 90% confidence intervals for lnAUC<sub>0-t</sub>, lnAUC<sub>0-∞</sub>, and lnC<sub>max</sub> were still within the acceptable limits of 80-125%.
- For the fasting study, there was discrepancy between the firm's electronic copy and paper copy of the parent (oxcarbazepine) data. Firm's submission of an amendment on November 15, 2006 was inadequate to correct the discrepancy. On December 18, 2006, the firm submitted new electronic data which matches, excluding few exceptions, the paper copy of the above-mentioned data provided in the original submission. The reviewer ran the pharmacokinetic analysis using both datasets (without using firm's pharmacokinetic parameters). For both datasets, the 90% confidence intervals for lnAUC<sub>0-t</sub>, lnAUC<sub>0-∞</sub>, and lnC<sub>max</sub> were still within the acceptable limits of 80-125%.

**Summary and Conclusions, Single-Dose Fasting Bioequivalence Study:** The single-dose fasting study is **acceptable**.

Time (Hr)	Test (	n=60)	Reference	T/P	
	Mean Conc.	%CV	Mean Conc.	%CV	1/K
0	0.00	N/A	0.00	N/A	N/A
0.25	170.93	170.84	213.98	143.60	0.80
0.5	731.94	101.27	655.03	98.37	1.12
0.75	1141.43	82.37	959.50	83.65	1.19
1	1316.64	74.20	1059.69	74.87	1.24
1.25	1328.44	58.00	1091.94	68.74	1.22
1.5	1386.29	46.60	1169.50	58.90	1.19
2	1335.68	38.17	1170.64	60.46	1.14
2.5	1190.69	42.41	1101.43	62.07	1.08
3	939.48	46.96	928.63	59.27	1.01
3.5	741.98	52.47	827.28	70.00	0.90
4	579.18	59.05	688.22	84.73	0.84
4.5	538.05	54.65	649.89	82.95	0.83
5	428.98	52.51	509.31	78.47	0.84
6	328.28	50.84	370.86	77.66	0.89
8	207.72	50.66	233.66	73.94	0.89
12	168.43	43.27	168.24	51.61	1.00
18	87.35	42.95	89.28	60.44	0.98
24	50.09	56.82	48.12	51.11	1.04
36	23.24	79.72	22.74	82.10	1.02
48	2.97	378.64	1.42	444.42	2.08

Table 11: Mean Plasma Concentrations for Oxcarbazepine (ng/mL), Single-Dose Fasting Bioequivalence Study

Figure 1 Mean Plasma Concentrations for Oxcarbazepine, Single-Dose Fasting Bioequivalence Study (n=60)



1=TEST(BRECKENRIDGE) 2=REF(NOVARTIS)

# 2. Single-dose Fed Bioequivalence Study

a) Study Design

Study Information	
Study Number	13319/04-05
Study Title	An open label, randomized, two-treatment, two-
	period, two-sequence, single dose, crossover
	bioequivalence study to compare Oxcarbazepine 600
	mg tablets (Breckenridge Pharmaceutical Inc., USA)
	with Trileptal <sup>®</sup> (containing Oxcarbazepine 600 mg)
	film-coated tablets (Novartis Pharmaceuticals
	Corporation, USA) in 100 (+6 standby) healthy,
	adult, human subjects under fed conditions
Clinical Site	Vimta Labs Ltd., 142, IDA, Phase II, Cherlapally,
	Hyderabad 500 051, India
Principal Investigator	Manoj K. Bose, M.D.
Study/Dosing Dates	Period I: July 23, 2005
	Period II: July 30, 2005
Analytical Site	Room No. 331, Clinical Research Division, Central
	Laboratory, Vimta Labs Ltd., 142, IDA, Phase II,
	Cherlapally, Hyderabad 500 051, India
Analytical Director (Group Leader)	<sup>(b) (6)</sup> M.Sc.
Analysis Dates	August 2, 2005 – August 20, 2005
Storage Period (no. of days from the	
first day of sample collection to the	$29 \text{ days}^8$
last day of sample analysis)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The firm submitted long-term (freezer) storage stability data for 102 days (at -20°C)

Treatment ID	Α	В
Test or Reference	Test	Reference
Product Name	Oxcarbazepine Tablets	Trileptal <sup>®</sup> (oxcarbazepine)
		Tablets
Manufacturer	Anabolic Laboratories for	Novartis
	Breckenridge Pharmaceutical,	
	Inc.	
Batch/Lot No.	316803	F4086
Manufacture Date	4/2005	N/A
Expiration Date	N/A	3/2008
Strength	600 mg	600 mg
Dosage Form	Tablets	Tablets
Batch Size	(b) (4)	N/A
Production Batch Size		N/A
Potency	97%	98.7%
Content Uniformity	100.2% 0.8	NI/A
(mean, %CV)	100.270, 0.8	$\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$
Formulation	See Table 23	N/A
<b>Dose Administered</b>	1 x 600 mg	1 x 600 mg
<b>Route of Administration</b>	Oral	Oral

No. of Sequences	2
No. of Periods	2
No. of Treatments	2
No. of Groups	1
Washout Period	7 days
Randomization Scheme	AB: 2, 3, 5, 8, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 23, 24, 27, 28, 30, 32,
	33, 36, 37, 38, 42, 44, 46, 48, 51, 52, 53, 55, 58, 59, 62, 63,
	66, 67, 70, 71, 73, 74, 77, 78, 81, 82, 85, 86, 90, 92, 94, 95,
	98, 100, 103, 104, 106
	BA: 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 13, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, 26, 29, 31,
	34, 35, 39, 40, 41, 43, 45, 47, 49, 50, 54, 56, 57, 60, 61, 64,
	65, 68, 69, 72, 75, 76, 79, 80, 83, 84, 87, 88, 89, 91, 93, 96,
	97, 99, 101, 102, 105
<b>Blood Sampling Times</b>	0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.25, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5,
	5.0, 6.0, 8.0, 12.0, 18.0, 24.0, 36.0, and 48.0 hours post-dose
<b>Blood Volume Collected/Sample</b>	Same as Fasting study
Blood Sample	Same as Fasting study
Processing/Storage	
IRB Approval	Yes
Informed Consent	Yes
Subjects Demographics	See Table 12
Length of Fasting before Meal	After an overnight fast of at least 10 hours, subjects received
	a high-fat, high-calorie breakfast 30 minutes prior to drug
	administration. Subjects fasted for 4 hours post-dose.
Length of Confinement	Same as Fasting study
Safety Monitoring	Same as Fasting study
Standard FDA Meal Used?	No
If no, then meal is listed in table below	See below

# High Fat Breakfast Menu<sup>9</sup>:

Name	Weight of	Fat	Protein	Carbohydrates	Total
	the sample	(gm)	(gm)	(gm)	(Kcal)
Bread/ butter	43.40 gm	15.20	3.25	19.70	
Egg Omelet	20.30 grams	6.20	4.15	1.00	
Hash brown potatoes	85 grams	3.07	2.00	19.44	
Chicken Tikka	75 grams	22.61	31.88	0.69	001 26
Tonned milk	246 ml	5.22	6.53	19.00	901.20
Total		52.3	47.81	59.83	
Energy * (Kcal)		470.70	191.24	239.32	
% Total (energy)		52.23	21.22	26.55	

\* 1 gm of fat = 9 Kcal; 1 gm of protein = 4 Kcal; and 1 gm of carbohydrate = 4 Kcal

# Comments on Study Design: The study design is acceptable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Based on information provided by the firm in an amendment submitted on January 8, 2007

# b) Clinical Results

# Table 12: Demographics of Study Subjects

# Reviewer's note:

- Demographics data from both the studies (fasting and fed) were provided together by the firm (see **Table 1**).
- The firm also submitted following summary demographic data for the subjects included in the statistical analysis (n=100): Age: 26.20±5.00 (s.d.); BMI: 21.67±2.00 (s.d.); Groups: 18-40 years (98%) and 41-64 (2%); Sex: Male (100%); Race: Asian (100%).

## Table 13: Dropout Information

Subject #	Reason	leason Period	
38	Removed from the study because of positive urine drug screen test	Before check-in for period II	#103
82	Withdrawn from the study because of adverse event (dizziness)	Before dosing in period II	#104

**Reviewer's note:** Subject #38 and 82 were replaced by standby subjects #103 and #104, respectively, with same treatment sequence.

# Table 14: Study Adverse Events

**Reviewer's note**: Adverse event data from both the studies (fasting and fed) were provided together by the firm (see **Table 3**).

Туре	Subject #s (Test)	Subject #s (Ref.)	
Blood sampling time deviations (samples drawn	Several	Several	
late			
There was a deviation in serving 240 mL of			
drinking water, instead of the protocol-specified			
100 mL, to all subjects at 4 hours post-dose in	All	All	
both periods, as 100 mL of drinking water was			
not deemed sufficient for lunch.			
Blood samples were not collected as subjects did	13, 37, 42, 56, 70,	13, 41, 42, 51,	
not show up during the ambulatory visit*	75, 78	56, 58, 76	

\*\*A deviation in blood draw was considered if: blood was drawn beyond 2 minutes of actual time till the 24-hour time point (housed), or beyond 1 hour of actual time for the 36 and 48-hour time points (ambulatory).

#### **Comments on Dropouts/Adverse Events/Protocol Deviations:**

- There were a total of 17 post-dose adverse events, including 11 post-clinical events, reported by 15 subjects. All events were "mild" in severity. Subject #82 was removed from the study before dosing due to adverse event (dizziness). Ten of the adverse events (dizziness, diarrhea, hypoglycemia, increased eosinophil/monocyte count, increased serum creatinine/potassium level) were considered "unrelated" or "remotely related" to the study treatments. The remaining seven adverse events (dizziness, fever, abdominal pain, increased bilirubin/AST level) were considered "probably" related to the study treatments.
- There were several blood draw deviations in the study (samples drawn late) with a maximum deviation of 159 minutes (48 hour time point). All pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated using the actual sampling time.
- The adverse events and protocol deviations did not compromise the integrity of the study.

#### c) Bioanalytical Results

		Parent (Oxcarbazepine)							
QC Conc. (ng/mL)		4099.550		2562.200		61.500			
Inter day Precision (%CV	V)	7.11		6.46		10.46			
Inter day Accuracy (%)		101.4	.5	103	3.68	99	.45		
Cal. Standards Conc. (ng/mL)	20.30	40.550	101.40	253.50	506.95	1013.95	2027.85	3041.80	5069.65
Inter day Precision (%CV)	2.76	6.37	4.70	4.58	3.15	2.86	3.92	2.88	4.66
Inter day Accuracy (%)	100.86	98.75	97.33	99.03	99.62	99.61	102.73	101.70	99.30
Linearity Range (range of r values)	0.9967-0.9998								

#### Table 16: Assay Quality Control – Within Study

#### Comments on Study Assay Quality Control: None

Any interfering peaks in chromatograms?	No
Were 20% of chromatograms included?	No
Were chromatograms serially or randomly selected?	Serially

**Comments on Chromatograms:** The firm did not include 20% of the chromatograms in the fed study report, because only chromatograms from subjects #1-18 and #20 (both

oxcarbazepine and MHD) were provided amounting to approximately 19% of the total chromatograms. Chromatograms from subject #16 were provided twice.

Table 17:	SOPs	dealing	with	analytical	repeats	of study	samples
-----------	------	---------	------	------------	---------	----------	---------

SOP No.	Date of SOP	SOP Title
23/13, version 6.0	March 3, 2005	Repeat Analysis of Samples & Reintegration of Chromatograms

## Table 18: Additional Comments on Repeat Assays

Were all SOPs followed?	Yes
Did recalculation of plasma concentrations change the study outcome?	No
Does the reviewer agree with the outcome of the repeat assays?	Yes
If no, reason for disagreement	N/A

## Summary/Conclusions, Study Assays:

- There were a total of 4184 study samples analyzed. 171 oxcarbazepine samples (test: 78, reference: 93) and 223 MHD samples (test: 108, reference: 115) were reanalyzed, including both parent and metabolite sample reanalysis for all the available samples from subject #44 and #78 (82 samples). The sample reanalysis represents 9.42% of the total study assays. According to the reviewer, there were a total of eleven (both parent and metabolite) pharmacokinetic repeats (termed by the firm as "reconfirmation of earlier results). The remaining repeats were all analytical repeats. All repeat analyses were done following the SOP for sample reanalysis.
- The analytical method and data are **acceptable**.

d) Pharmacokinetic Results

## Table 19: Arithmetic Mean Pharmacokinetic Parameters (n=100)

Mean plasma concentrations are presented in Table 22 and Figure 2.

# Oxcarbazepine:

Danamatan	TTu:4a	Т	est	Reference		T/D
Parameter	Units	Mean	%CV	Mean	% CV	I/K
AUC <sub>0-t</sub>	ng/mL x hr	10535.42	23.33	10657.61	23.82	0.99
$\mathrm{AUC}_{\infty}$	ng/mL x hr	11132.15	22.61	11236.42	23.36	0.99
C <sub>max</sub>	ng /mL	4053.79	37.11	4410.52	33.60	0.92
T <sub>max</sub>	hr	2.10	48.11	1.99	45.06	1.06
Ke	hr <sup>-1</sup>	0.06	56.92	0.06	41.67	1.02
T <sub>1/2</sub>	hr	13.84	29.44	14.03	34.04	0.99

#### MHD:

Parameter	I Jan 34 m	Te	est	Reference		т/ <b>D</b>
	Units	Mean	%CV	Mean	% CV	1/K
AUC <sub>0-t</sub>	ng/mL x hr	195973.48	16.05	193117.21	15.94	1.01
$AUC_{\infty}$	ng/mL x hr	218188.93	17.78	216785.52	18.29	1.01
C <sub>max</sub>	ng /mL	8867.11	14.25	8813.53	13.70	1.01
T <sub>max</sub>	hr	4.61	24.79	4.59	28.26	1.00
Ke	$hr^{-1}$	0.06	22.65	0.06	22.92	1.02
T <sub>1/2</sub>	hr	12.80	21.64	13.17	28.67	0.97

 Table 20:
 Geometric Means and 90% Confidence Intervals for Oxcarbazepine (n=100)

Parameter	Test	Reference	T/R	90% CI
AUC <sub>0-t</sub>	10273.38	10370.09	0.99	96.68-101.51
$AUC_{\infty}$	10875.31	10947.68	0.99	97.06-101.67
C <sub>max</sub>	3813.17	4151.30	0.92	86.42-97.43

Reviewer's note: The values in the above table were calculated by the reviewer.

	Oxcarbazepine
Root mean square error, lnAUC <sub>0-t</sub>	0.103874
Root mean square error, $lnAUC_{0-\infty}$	0.098861
Root mean square error, lnC <sub>max</sub>	0.259500
$K_{el}$ and $AUC_{0-\infty}$ determined for how many subjects?	100
Do you agree or disagree with firm's decision?	Agree
Indicate the number of subjects with the following:	
-measurable drug concentrations at 0 hr	None
-first measurable drug concentration as C <sub>max</sub>	None
Were the subjects dosed as more than one group?	No

# Table 21: Additional Study Information for (n=100)

## **Comments on Pharmacokinetic and Statistical Analysis:**

- The pharmacokinetic parameters and 90% confidence intervals for oxcarbazepine, as calculated by the reviewer, agree with the firm's calculations.
- The 90% confidence intervals for  $lnAUC_{0-\infty}$ ,  $lnAUC_{0-\infty}$ , and  $lnC_{max}$  for oxcarbazepine are within the acceptable limits of 80-125%.
- The pharmacokinetic analysis for both oxcarbazepine and its metabolite (MHD) were repeated after replacing the eleven PK repeat values (termed by the firm as "reconfirmation of earlier results) with the original values, for both the analytes. For oxcarbazepine, the 90% confidence intervals for lnAUC<sub>0-t</sub>, lnAUC<sub>0-∞</sub>, and lnC<sub>max</sub> were still within the acceptable limits of 80-125%. For the metabolite (MHD), the mean plasma concentrations and pharmacokinetic parameters were comparable for the test and reference products.

# Summary/Conclusions, Single-Dose Fed Bioequivalence Study:

The single-dose fed study is **acceptable**.

Time (Hr)	Test (n=100)		Reference (n=100)		T/R
	Mean Conc.	%CV	Mean Conc.	%CV	1/1
0	0.00		0.00		N/A
0.25	101.35	302.50	99.32	273.30	1.02
0.5	561.53	143.56	529.10	129.49	1.06
0.75	1134.72	120.32	1214.39	103.34	0.93
1	1850.26	96.03	2011.37	85.97	0.92
1.25	2501.41	74.53	2781.93	72.53	0.90
1.5	2940.76	61.04	3179.07	63.03	0.93
2	3067.98	43.69	3135.17	45.64	0.98
2.5	2508.25	40.39	2572.00	42.49	0.98
3	1895.69	36.66	1950.08	45.80	0.97
3.5	1458.43	43.74	1415.29	55.05	1.03
4	1103.15	69.41	1050.17	73.35	1.05
4.5	934.68	105.14	833.18	101.88	1.12
5	635.05	114.90	562.44	122.21	1.13
6	299.08	132.82	264.70	120.17	1.13
8	118.18	85.81	114.03	60.60	1.04
12	75.70	23.91	74.58	21.91	1.02
18	59.40	22.01	60.98	29.76	0.97
24	35.08	31.92	35.26	27.23	0.99
36	22.51	70.26	23.08	57.77	0.98
48	1.55	396.96	1.95	365.63	0.80

Table 22: Mean Plasma Concentrations for Oxcarbazepine (ng/mL), Single-Dose Fed Bioequivalence Study

Figure 2: Mean Plasma Concentrations for Oxcarbazepine, Single-Dose Fed Bioequivalence Study (n=100)



1=TEST(BRECKENRIDGE) 2=REF(NOVARTIS)

33

# **B.** Formulation Data

#### **Table 23 Formulation of Oxcarbazepine Tablets**

Tablet Ingredients	Amount (mg) / Tablet	Amount (%) Tablet	Amount (mg) / Tablet	Amount (%) Tablet	Amount (mg) / Tablet	Amount (%) Tablet
Oxcarbazepine	600		300		150	
Hypromellose, USP		-		-		(b) (4)
Microcrystalline Cellulose, NF						
Magnesium Stearate, NF						
Crospovidone, NF	T					
Colloidal Silicon Dioxide, NF	T					
Tale, USP	T					
Coating Ingredients	-					
(b) (4) Beige (b) (4) consisting of: Polyvinyl Alcohol, USP Talc, USP Titanium Dioxide, USP Polyethylene Glycol, USP FD&C Yellow #6 FD&C Blue #2 FD&C Yellow #5 Lecithin, NF (b) (4)	-					
Total	828	100%	414	100%	207	100%
(b) (4)	•	•	•		•	•

Co	mposition of <sup>(b) (4)</sup> Beige	e (b) (4)10		
	Ingredients	Amount (mg)	Amount (mg)	Amount (mg)
		150 mg Tablets	300 mg Tablets	600 mg Tablets
	Polyvinyl Alcohol, USP			(b) (4)
	Talc, USP			
	Titanium Dioxide, USP			
	Polyethylene Glycol <sup>(b) (4)</sup> USP			
	FD&C Yellow #6			
	FD&C Blue #2			
	FD&C Yellow #5			
	Lecithin, NF			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Composition of <sup>(b) (4)</sup>Beige <sup>(b) (4)</sup>was obtained from page 12 of the chemistry review of ANDA #78069 (\\cdsnas\ogds11\firmsam\breckenridge\ltrs&rev\78069.cr1.doc).

# C. Dissolution Data

## FDA-recommended method and specification

Medium	Water (at 37°C) with:
	1.0% SDS for the 600 mg strength
	0.6% SDS for the 300 mg strength
	0.3% SDS for the 150 mg strength
Volume (mL)	900 mL
USP Apparatus type	II (paddle)
Rotation (rpm)	60
FDA-recommended specifications	NLT $^{(b)}(4)$ (Q) in 30 min, and
	NLT (Q) in 60 min

#### The dissolution review is stored at:

\\cdsnas\ogds11\ firmsam\breckenridge\ltrs&rev\78069d1205.doc

#### The dissolution amendment review is stored at:

\\cdsnas\ogds11\ firmsam\breckenridge\ltrs&rev\78069a0606.doc

#### **D.** Consult Reviews

None

Following this page, 100 pages withheld in full- (b)(4) SAS output

BIOEQUIVALENCE COMMENTS TO BE PROVIDED TO THE APPLICANT

ANDA: 78-069 APPLICANT: Breckenridge Pharmaceutical, Inc.

DRUG PRODUCT: Oxcarbazepine Tablets, 150 mg, 300 mg, and 600 mg

The Division of Bioequivalence (DBE) has completed its review and has no further questions at this time.

We acknowledge that you have accepted the following dissolution method and specification:

The dissolution testing should be conducted in 900 mL water (with 0.3% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) in water for the 150 mg strength; 0.6% SDS in water for the 300 mg strength; and 1% SDS in water for the 600 mg strength) at 37°C using USP apparatus II (paddle) at 60 rpm. The test products should meet the following specifications:

Not less than (0) (Q) of the labeled amount of oxcarbazepine in the dosage form is dissolved in 30 minutes;

Not less than  $^{(b)(4)}$  (Q) of the labeled amount of oxcarbazepine in the dosage form is dissolved in 60 minutes.

Please note that the bioequivalence comments provided in this communication are preliminary. These comments are subject to revision after review of the entire application, upon consideration of the chemistry, manufacturing and controls, microbiology, labeling, or other scientific or regulatory issues. Please be advised that these reviews may result in the need for additional bioequivalence information and/or studies, or may result in a conclusion that the proposed formulation is not approvable.

Sincerely yours,

Dale P. Conner, Pharm.D. Director, Division of Bioequivalence Office of Generic Drugs Center for Drug Evaluation and Research

#### ANDA#: 78-069

BIOEQUIVALENCE – ACCEPTABLE

1. FASTING STUDY (STF) Strength: 600 mg Outcome: AC Clinical: Vimta Labs Ltd., 142, IDA, Phase II, Cherlapally, Hyderabad 500 051, India Analytical: Room No. 331, Clinical Research Division, Central Laboratory, Vimta Labs Ltd., 142, IDA, Phase II, Cherlapally, Hyderabad 500 051, India 2. FOOD STUDY (STP) Strength: 600 mg **Outcome:** AC **Clinical:** Same as fasting study **Analytical:** Same as fasting study 3. **Dissolution Waiver** (DIW) Strength: 150 mg Outcome: AC **Dissolution Waiver** (DIW) 4. Strength: 300 mg **Outcome: AC** 5. **Study Amendment** (STA) Strength: 150 mg and 300 mg Submitted on November 15, 2006 **Outcome: WC Study Amendment (STA)** Strength: 150 mg and 300 mg 6. New SAS data submitted on December 18, 2006 **Outcome: WC** 

Submission Date: December 22, 2005

**Outcome Decisions: AC - Acceptable** 

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

\_\_\_\_\_

/s/ Parthapratim Chandaroy 1/9/2007 04:14:02 PM BIOPHARMACEUTICS

Kuldeep R. Dhariwal 1/9/2007 04:17:43 PM BIOPHARMACEUTICS

Barbara Davit 1/10/2007 11:43:42 AM BIOPHARMACEUTICS