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# CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH 

APPLICATION NUMBER: ANDA 77-419

## APPROVAL LETTER

Andrx Pharmaceuticals, LLC
Attention: Janet Vaughn
Director, Regulatory Affairs
2945 W. Corporate Lakes Blvd.
Weston, FL 33331

Dear Madam:
This is in reference to your abbreviated new drug application (ANDA) dated December 1, 2004, submitted pursuant to section $505(j)$ of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the Act), for Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq .

Reference is also made to your amendments dated May 20, and August 16, 2005; February 16, 2006; and April 29, 2008.

We have completed the review of this ANDA and have concluded that adequate information has been presented to demonstrate that the drug is safe and effective for use as recommended in the submitted labeling. Accordingly, the ANDA is approved effective on the date of this letter. The Division of Bioequivalence has determined your Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq , to be bioequivalent and, therefore, therapeutically equivalent to the reference listed drug, Micro-K ExtenCaps, 8 mEq and 10 mEq , respectively, of KV Pharmaceutical Company. Your dissolution testing should be conducted as specified in the USP, and should be incorporated into the stability and quality control program.

Under section 506A of the Act, certain changes in the conditions described in this ANDA require an approved supplemental application before the change may be made.

Postmarketing reporting requirements for this ANDA are set forth in 21 CFR 314.80-81 and 314.98. The Office of Generic Drugs should be advised of any change in the marketing status of this drug.

Promotional materials may be submitted to FDA for comment prior to publication or dissemination. Please note that these submissions are voluntary. If you desire comments on proposed launch promotional materials with respect to compliance with applicable regulatory requirements, we recommend you submit, in draft or mock-up form, two copies of both the promotional materials and package insert directly to:

Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Division of Drug Marketing, Advertising, and Communications 5901-B Ammendale Road
Beltsville, MD 20705
We call your attention to 21 CFR $314.81(b)(3)$ which requires that all promotional materials be submitted to the Division of Drug Marketing, Advertising, and Communications with a completed Form FDA 2253 at the time of their initial use.

Sincerely yours,
(See appended electronic signature page)
Gary Buehler
Director
Office of Generic Drugs
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

## /s/

Robert L. West
6/2/2008 09:27:49 AM
Deputy Director, for Gary Buehler
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# CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH 

APPLICATION NUMBER: ANDA 77-419

LABELING REVIEWS

# APPROVAL SUMMARY <br> REVIEW OF PROFESSIONAL LABELING DIVISION OF LABELING AND PROGRAM SUPPORT LABELING REVIEW BRANCH 

ANDA Number: 77-419

Date of Submission: May 20, 2005
Applicant's Name: Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Established Name: Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq

## Approval Summary:

1. Do you have 12 Final Printed Labels and Labeling? Yes
2. CONTAINER - Bottles of 100 ( 600 mg strength capsule)

Satisfactory in final print as of the May 20, 2005 submission
IICDSESUBOGD1N77419IN_00012005-05-20\Label $600 \mathrm{mg}-100 . p d f$
3. CONTAINER - Bottles of 500 ( 600 mg strength capsule)

Satisfactory in final print as of the May 20, 2005 submission
IICDSESUBOGD1NT77419IN_000\2005-05-201Label $600 \mathrm{mg}-500 . p d f$
4. CONTAINER - Bottles of $100(750 \mathrm{mg}$ strength capsule)

Satisfactory in final print as of the May 20, 2005 submission
lICDSESUBOGD1 7 77419\N_00012005-05-20\Label $750 \mathrm{mg}-100 . p d f$
5. CONTAINER - Bottles of 500 ( 750 mg strength capsule)

Satisfactory in final print as of the May 20, 2005 submission
IICDSESUBOGD1N774191N_00012005-05-201Label $750 \mathrm{mg}-500 . p d f$
6. PACKAGE INSERT

Satisfactory in final print as of the May 20, 2005 submission
IICDSESUBOGD1IN774191N_00012005-05-20\Package Outsert.pdf
7. Revisions needed post-approval: None
8. Patent/Exclusivities:

Patent Data - NDA 18-238

| Patent No. | Patent Expiration | Use Code | Description | How Filed | Labeling Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | N/A | N/A | No unexpired patents | N/A | N/A |

Exclusivity Data- NDA 18-238

| Code | Reference | Expiration | Labeling Impact |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N/A | No exclusivities at this time | N/A |  |

BASIS OF APPROVAL:
Was this approval based upon a petition? No
What is the RLD on the $356(\mathrm{~h})$ form: Micro $K ®$ ExtenTabs
NDA Number: N 18-238SL-031
NDA Drug Name: Micro K® ExtenTabs
NDA Firm: ; N 18-238/SL-031; Approved August 20, 2003
Date of Approval of NDA Insert and supplement: August 20, 2003; NDA 18-238/S-031
Has this been verified by the MIS system for the NDA? Yes
Was this approval based upon an OGD labeling guidance? No
Basis of Approval for the Container Labels: Most recently approved labeling of the reference listed drug,
Micro K® ExtenTabs.

1. The labeling submitted by the firm was based on the most recently approved labeling for this drug product. Labeling was recently approved for NDA 18-238/SL-031 on August 20, 2003 for the RLD, Micro K ® Extentabs.
2. Storage/Dispensing Conditions:

NDA: Store at controlled room temperature, between $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$ and $\left.77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$. Dispense in a tight container as defined in the USP.
ANDA: Store at controlled room temperature, between $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$ and $\left.77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$. Dispense in a tight container as defined in USP.
USP: Preserve in tight containers at a temperature not exceeding $30^{\circ}$.
3. Product Line:

The innovator markets their product as follows -
Both strength capsules in bottles of 100 and 500 tablets (Unit-dose cartons of 100)
The applicant proposes to market their product as follows -
Both strengths available in bottles of 100 and 500 capsules.
4. The tablet imprinting have been accurately described in the HOW SUPPLIED section as required by 21 CFR 206, et al. (Imprinting of Solid Oral Dosage Form Products for Human Use; Final Rule, effective $9 / 13 / 95$. (See pg. 6126 and 6130 in volume B. 1.3)
5. Inactive Ingredients:

The listing of inactive ingredients in the DESCRIPTION section of the package insert appears to be consistent with the listing of inactive ingredients found in the statement of components appearing in, (Vol B. 1.2 and page 0556)
6. Container/Closure (See page 0444 and 0445 in Vol B. 1.2)

Containers: HDPE
Closure: CRC closures for the bottles of 100 and non-CRC closures for bottles of 500 tablets.
7. All manufacturing will be done by Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (page 0151 in volume B 1.1)

| Date of Review: 5/26/05 |
| :--- |
| Primary Reviewer: Jim Barlow of Submission: 5/20/05 |
| Teate: 6 Leader: John Grace 26 |

ANDA: 77-419
DUP/DIVISION FILE
HFD-613/JBarlow/JGrace (no cc)
V:IFIRMSAMMANDRXILTRS\&REV77419ap.s.doc
Review

```
ANDA Number: 77-419
Date of Submission: December 1,2004
Applicant's Name: Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Established Name: Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq
```


## Labeling Deficiencies:

1. CONTAINER - Bottles of 100 and 500 tablets Satisfactory in draft as of the December 1, 2004 submission

## 2. PACKAGE INSERT

Satisfactory in draft as of the December 1, 2004 submission
Please revise your labeling as requested above and submit in final print if you prefer.
The electronic labeling rule published December 11, 2003, ( 68 FR 69009) requires submission of labeling content in electronic format effective June 8, 2004. For additional information, consult the following guidance for industry regarding electronic submissions: Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format - ANDAs (Issued 6/2002)
(http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/5004fnl.htm)
The guidance specifies labeling to be submitted in pdf format. To assist in our review, we request that labeling also be submitted in MS Word format.

Prior to approval, it may be necessary to revise your labeling subsequent to approved changes for the reference listed drug. We suggest that you routinely monitor the following website for any approved changes- http://www.fda.gov/cder/cdernew/listserv.html or http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfdalindex.cfm

To facilitate review of your next submission, and in accordance with 21CFR 314.94(a)(8)(iv), please provide a side-by-side comparison of your proposed labeling with your last submission with all differences annotated and explained.


1. The labeling submitted by the firm was based on the most recently approved labeling for this drug product. Labeling was recently approved for NDA 18-238/SL-031 on August 20, 2003 for the RLD, Micro $\mathrm{K} ®$ Extentabs.

## 2. Patent/ Exclusivities:

## Patent Data - NDA 18-238

| Patent No. | Patent Expiration | Use Code | Description | How Filed | Labeling Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | N/A | N/A | No unexpired patents | N/A | N/A |

Exclusivity Data- NDA 18-238

| Code |  | Reference | Expiration | Labeling Impact |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N/A | No exclusivities at this time | N/A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

3. Storage/Dispensing Conditions:

NDA: Store at controlled room temperature, between $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$ and $\left.77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$. Dispense in a tight container as defined in the USP.
ANDA: Store at controlled room temperature, between $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$ and $\left.77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$. Dispense in a tight container.
USP: Preserve in tight containers at a temperature not exceeding $30^{\circ}$.
4. Product Line:

The innovator markets their product as follows -
Both strength capsules in bottles of 100 and 500 tablets (Unit-dose cartons of 100)
The applicant proposes to market their product as follows -
Both strengths available in bottles of 100 and 500 capsules.
5. The tablet imprinting have been accurately described in the HOW SUPPLIED section as required by 21 CFR 206, et al. (Imprinting of Solid Oral Dosage Form Products for Human Use; Final Rule, effective $9 / 13 / 95$. (See pg. 6126 and 6130 in volume B. 1.3)
6. Inactive Ingredients:

The listing of inactive ingredients in the DESCRIPTION section of the package insert appears to be consistent with the listing of inactive ingredients found in the statement of components appearing in, (Vol B. 1.2 and page 0556)
7. Container/Closure (See page 0444 and 0445 in Vol B. 1.2) Containers: HDPE
Closure: CRC closures for the bottles of 100 and non-CRC closures for bottles of 500 tablets.
8. All manufacturing will be done by Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (page 0151 in volume B 1.1)
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## Chemistry Review Data Sheet

1. ANDA $77-419$
2. REVIEW \#: 3
3. REVIEW DATE: 16-MAY-2008
4. REVIEWER: Yusuf Amin
5. PREVIOUS DOCUMENTS:

| Previous Documents | Document Date |
| :--- | :--- |
| Original Submission | December 01, 2004 <br> Amendment |

6. SUBMISSION(S) BEING REVIEWED:

| Submission(s) Reviewed | Document Date |
| :--- | :--- |
| Amendment | 13-MAY-2005 |
| Amendment (Labeling and Bio) | $20-$ MAY-2005 |
| Amendment (Bio) | $16-$-AUG-2005 |
| Amendment (Bio) | $16-$ FEB-2006 |
| Amendment (Final Approval) | 29-APR-2008 |

7. NAME \& ADDRESS OF APPLICANT:

| Name: | Andrx Pharmaceuticals, LLC. |
| ---: | :--- |
| Address: | 4955 Orange Drive <br> Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33314 |
| Representative: | Janet Vaughn |
| Telephone: | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { (954) 358-6125 } \\ \text { FAX: }\end{array}\right.$ |
| $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { (954) } 358-6350\end{array}\right.$ |  |

8. DRUG PRODUCT NAME/CODE/TYPE:
a) Proprietary Name: N/A
b) Non-Proprietary Name (USAN): Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP
9. LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBMISSION:

Listed Drug Product: Micro K® approved for KV Pharma approved in NDA 18-238. There are no unexpired Patents for this drug product.
There are no unexpired exclusivities for this product.
10. PHARMACOL. CATEGORY: Used for treatment of Hypokalemia
CHEMISTRY REVIEW
Chemistry Review Data Sheet
11. DOSAGE FORM: Extended Release Capsule
12. STRENGTH/POTENCY: 8 mEq and 10 mEq
13. ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION: Oral
14. Rx/OTC DISPENSED: ..... X Rx ..... OTC
15. SPOTS (SPECIAL PRODUCTS ON-LINE TRACKING SYSTEM):SPOTS product - Form Completed
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Not a SPOTS product
16. CHEMICAL NAME, STRUCTURAL FORMULA, MOLECULAR FORMULA, MOLECULAR WEIGHT:
NAME: Potassium Chloride Molecular weight : 74.55
STRUCTURE: KCl

Chemistry Review Data Sheet

## 17. RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:


${ }^{1}$ Action codes for DMF Table:
1 - DMF Reviewed.
Other codes indicate why the DMF was not reviewed, as follows:
2 -Type 1 DMF
3 - Reviewed previously and no revision since last review
4 - Sufficient information in application
5 - Authority to reference not granted
6 -DMF not available
7 - Other (explain under "Comments")
${ }^{2}$ Adequate, Inadequate, or N/A (There is enough data in the application, therefore the DMF did not need to be reviewed)

## B. Other Documents:

| DOCUMENT | APPLICATION NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| NDA for Micro-K® Extended <br> Release Capsule. | NDA 18-238 | Reference Listed Drug |

Chemistry Review Data Sheet
18. STATUS:

| CONSULTS/ CMC <br> RELATED <br> REVIEWS | RECOMMENDATION | DATE |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Microbiology | N/A |  | REVIEWER |

## 19. ORDER OF REVIEW

The application submission(s) covered by this review was taken in the date order of receipt. $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No If no, explain reason(s) below:

# The Chemistry Review for ANDA 77-419 

The Executive Summary

## I. Recommendations

A. Recommendation and Conclusion on Approvability

CMC is approvable.
B. Recommendation on Phase 4 (Post-Marketing) Commitments, Agreements, and/or Risk Management Steps, if Approvable
N/A
II. Summary of Chemistry Assessments
A. Description of the Drug Product(s) and Drug Substance(s)

Potassium Chloride is colorless, elongated, prismatic, or cubical Crystals, or White, granular powder.
The drug product is capsules filled with off-white round pellets.
B. Description of How the Drug Product is Intended to be Used

Potassium chloride capsules are used for treatment of Hypokalemia.
The recommended maximum daily dose is 40 to 100 mEq ( 3.0 to 7.5 g ).
C. Basis for Approvability or Not-Approval Recommendation

CMC, Bio, Labeling and EER are acceptable.

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

```
    /s/
---------------------
Yusuf A. Amin
5/30/2008 02:47:46 PM
CHEMIST
```

Rosario DCosta
6/2/2008 11:53:14 AM
CHEMIST

Dat Doan
6/2/2008 11:58:32 AM
CSO
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## Chemistry Review Data Sheet

## 1. ANDA $77-419$

## 2. REVIEW \#: 2

3. REVIEW DATE: 16-JUN-2005
4. REVIEWER: Yusuf Amin
5. PREVIOUS DOCUMENTS:

| Previous Documents | Bocument Date $:$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Original Submission <br> Amendment | December 01, 2004 <br> January 11, 2005 |

6. SUBMISSION(S) BEING REVIEWED:

| Submission(S) Reviewed | Documentoate |
| :--- | :--- |
| Amendment | $13-\mathrm{MAY}-2005$ |
| Amendment (Labeling and Bio) | $20-\mathrm{MAY}-2005$ |
| Amendment (Bio) | $16-\mathrm{AUG-2005}$ |
| Amendment (Bio) | $16-\mathrm{FEB}-2006$ |

7. NAME \& ADDRESS OF APPLICANT:

| Name: | Andrx Pharmaceuticals, LLC. |
| ---: | :--- |
| Address: | 4955 Orange Drive <br> Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33314 |
| Representative: | Janet Vaughn |
| Telephone: | (954) 358-6125 <br> FAX: <br> (954) 358-6350 |

## 8. DRUG PRODUCT NAME/CODE/TYPE:

a) Proprietary Name: N/A
b) Non-Proprietary Name (USAN): Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP
9. LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBMISSION:

Listed Drug Product: Micro K® approved for KV Pharma approved in NDA 18-238. There are no unexpired Patents for this drug product. There are no unexpired exclusivities for this product.
10. PHARMACOL. CATEGORY: Used for treatment of Hypokalemia

## CHEMISTRY REVIEW

## Chemistry Review Data Sheet

11. DOSAGE FORM: Extended Release Capsule
12. STRENGTH/POTENCY: 8 mEq and 10 mEq
13. ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION: Oral
14. Rx/OTC DISPENSED: X_Rx ..... OTC
15. SPOTS (SPECIAL PRODUCTS ON-LINE TRACKING SYSTEM): SPOTS product - Form Completed
X Not a SPOTS product
16. CHEMICAL NAME, STRUCTURAL FORMULA, MOLECULARFORMULA, MOLECULAR WEIGHT:
NAME: Potassium Chloride Molecular weight : 74.55
STRUCTURE: KCl

## CHEMISTRY REVIEW

Chemistry Review Data Sheet

## 17. RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

A. DMFs:

| DMF \# | TYPE | HOLDER | ITEM REFERENCED | CODE | STATUS | BATE REVIEW, COMPLETED | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (b) (4) | 1 | Adequate | 16-JUN-2005 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Action codes for DMF Table:
1 - DMF Reviewed.
Other codes indicate why the DMF was not reviewed, as follows:
2 -Type 1 DMF
3 - Reviewed previously and no revision since last review
4 - Sufficient information in application
5 - Authority to reference not granted
6 - DMF not available
7 - Other (explain under "Comments")
${ }^{2}$ Adequate, Inadequate, or N/A (There is enough data in the application, therefore the DMF did not need to be reviewed)

## B. Other Documents:

| 8xivashoocument | WPRPICATON NUMBR ${ }^{\text {a }}$ / DESCRIPION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NDA for Micro-K® Extended Release Capsule. | NDA 18-238 | Reference Listed Drug |

## CHEMISTRY REVIEW

Chemistry Review Data Sheet
18. STATUS:

| CONSULTS/ CMC REAATED REVIEWS. | RECOMMENDATION | DATE | REVIEWER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Microbiology | N/A |  |  |
| EES | Unsatisfactory |  | cGMP violations |
| Methods Validation | N/A |  |  |
| Labeling | Acceptable | 26-MAY-2005 | J. Barlow |
| Bioequivalence | Acceptable | 16-MAR-2006 | P. Nwakama |
| EA | Acceptable | 02-MAR-2005 | Y. Amin |
| Radiopharmaceutical | N/A |  |  |

## 19. ORDER OF REVIEW

The application submission(s) covered by this review was taken in the date order of receipt. $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No If no, explain reason(s) below:

Executive Summary
The Chemistry Review for ANDA 77-419
The Executive Summary

## I. Recommendations

A. Recommendation and Conclusion on Approvability

CMC is not approvable due to multiple cGMP violations
B. Recommendation on Phase 4 (Post-Marketing) Commitments, Agreements, and/or Risk Management Steps, if Approvable
N/A

## II. Summary of Chemistry Assessments

A. Description of the Drug Product(s) and Drug Substance(s)

Potassium Chloride is colorless, elongated, prismatic, or cubical Crystals, or White, granular powder.
The drug product is capsules filled with off-white round pellets.
B. Description of How the Drug Product is Intended to be Used

Potassium chloride capsules are used for treatment of Hypokalemia.
The recommended maximum daily dose is 40 to 100 mEq ( 3.0 to 7.5 g ).
C. Basis for Approvability or Not-Approval Recommendation

CMC is not approvable due to multiple cGMP violations

## III. Administrative

## A. Reviewer's Signature

## Yusuf Amin

B. Endorsements:

HFD-623 Yusuf Amin/Chemist/
HFD-623 A. Mueller/Team Leader
HFD-617 Simon Eng/Project Manager/
C. CC :

ANDA: 77-419

DIV FILE

V:IFIRMSAM\ANDRXLLTRS\&REV\77419.REV2.doc
cc: ANDA 77-419
ANDA DUP DIV FILE
Field Copy

Endorsements:
HFD-623/Y.Amin/S. Han for
HFD-623/A. Mueller, Ph.D./ Ofrquelf 7-28-06.
HFD-617/S. Eng, PM /

F/T by :
-7/26/06

V:|FIRMSAM\ANDRXLLTRS\&REV\77419.REV2.doc

TYPE OF LETTER: Not Approvable, CMC minor due to cGMP violations
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## Chemistry Review Data Sheet

1. ANDA $77-419$
2. REVIEW \#: 1
3. REVIEW DATE: March 01, 2005
4. REVIEWER: Yusuf Amin
5. PREVIOUS DOCUMENTS:

| Previous Documents. | Document Date |
| :--- | :---: |
| None |  |

6. SUBMISSION(S) BEING REVIEWED:

| Submission(s) Reviewed | Document Date |
| :--- | :--- |
| Original Submission | December 01, 2004 |
| Amendment | January 11, 2005 |

7. NAME \& ADDRESS OF APPLICANT:

| Name: | Andrx Pharmaceuticals, LLC. |
| ---: | :--- |
| Address: | 4955 Orange Drive <br> Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33314 |
| Representative: | Janet Vaughn |
| Telephone: | (954) 358-6125 <br> FAX: <br> (954) 358-6350 |

## 8. DRUG PRODUCT NAME/CODE/TYPE:

a) Proprietary Name: N/A
b) Non-Proprietary Name (USAN): Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP
9. LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBMISSION:

Listed Drug Product: Micro K® approved for KV Pharma approved in NDA 18-238.
There are no unexpired Patents for this drug product.
There are no unexpired exclusivities for this product.
10. PHARMACOL. CATEGORY: Used for treatment of Hypokalemia
11. DOSAGE FORM: Extended Release Capsule
12. STRENGTH/POTENCY: 8 mEq and 10 mEq
13. ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION: Oral
14. Rx/OTC DISPENSED: _X_Rx __OTC
15. SPOTS (SPECIAL PRODUCTS ON-LINE TRACKING SYSTEM): SPOTS product - Form Completed
$\underline{\mathrm{X} \quad \text { Not a SPOTS product }}$
16. CHEMICAL NAME, STRUCTURAL FORMULA, MOLECULAR FORMULA, MOLECULAR WEIGHT:
NAME: Potassium Chloride Molecular weight : 74.55 STRUCTURE: KCl

Chemistry Review Data Sheet

## 17. RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

A. DMFs:

${ }^{1}$ Action codes for DMF Table:
1 - DMF Reviewed.
Other codes indicate why the DMF was not reviewed, as follows:
2-Type 1 DMF
3 - Reviewed previously and no revision since last review
4 - Sufficient information in application
5 - Authority to reference not granted
6 - DMF not available
7 - Other (explain under "Comments")
${ }^{2}$ Adequate, Inadequate, or N/A (There is enough data in the application, therefore the DMF did not need to be reviewed)

## B. Other Documents:

| DOCUMENT | APPLICATION NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| NDA for Micro-K® Extended <br> Release Capsule. | NDA 18-238 | Reference Listed Drug |

## 18. STATUS:

| CONSULTS/ CMC RBEATIED REVIEWS | RECOMMENDAMION | DATE | REVIEWER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Microbiology | N/A |  |  |
| EES | Acceptable | 24-JAN-2005 | J. D'Ambrogio |
| Methods Validation | N/A |  |  |
| Labeling | Deficient | 3/28/05 | J. Barlow |
| Bioequivalence | Deficient | 4/19/05 | S. Lu |
| EA | Acceptable | 02-MAR-2005 | Y. Amin |
| Radiopharmaceutical | N/A |  |  |

## 19. ORDER OF REVIEW

The application submission(s) covered by this review was taken in the date order of receipt. _X__ Yes ___ No If no, explain reason(s) below:

Executive Summary

# The Chemistry Review for ANDA 77-419 

## The Executive Summary

## I. Recommendations

A. Recommendation and Conclusion on Approvability

This application is not approvable at this stage. See text for deficiency comments. There are some minor deficiencies and the firm should address them. Bio and Labeling reviews are also deficient.
B. Recommendation on Phase 4 (Post-Marketing) Commitments, Agreements, and/or Risk Management Steps, if Approvable N/A

## II. Summary of Chemistry Assessments

A. Description of the Drug Products) and Drug Substances)

Potassium Chloride is colorless, elongated, prismatic, or cubical Crystals, or White, granular powder.
The drug product is capsules filled with off-white round pellets.
B. Description of How the Drug Product is Intended to be Used

Potassium chloride capsules are used for treatment of Hypokalemia.
The recommended maximum daily dose is 40 to 100 mEq ( 3.0 to 7.5 g ).
C. Basis for Approvability or Not-Approval Recommendation

Upon review of this ANDA, some minor deficiencies were identified and the firm should address these deficiencies.

## III. Administrative

A. Reviewer's Signature

Yusuf Amin
B. Endorsements:

HFD-623 Yusuf Amin/Chemist/03/08/05
HFD-623 R. Bykadi, Ph.D./Acting Team Leader/ s.fyegead 5-3-05
HFD-617 Simon Eng/Project Manager/

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Vitim } s \text { s/3105 } \\
& \text { aden s. receadi } 5-3-05
\end{aligned}
$$



Executive Summary

## C. CC :

ANDA: 77-419

## DIV FILE

V:|FIRMSAM\ANDRX\LTRS\&REV\77419.REV1.doc
cc: ANDA 77-419 ANDA DUP
DIV FILE Field Copy

Endorsements:

HFD-623 /Y.Amin/03/23/2005
HFD-617 /S. Eng, PM /5/2/05

F/T by : $\operatorname{ard} / 5 / 2 / 05$
V:\FIRMSAM\ANDRX\LTRS\&REV\77419.REV1.doc
TYPE OF LETTER: NOT APPROVABLE - MINOR

# CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH 

APPLICATION NUMBER: ANDA 77-419

## BIOEOUIVALENCE REVIEWS

## OFFICE OF GENERIC DRUGS DIVISION OF BIOEQUIVALENCE

## ANDA \#: 77-419

DRUG AND DOSAGE FORM:
STRENGTH (S):
TYPES OF STUDIES:
CLINICAL STUDY SITE:
ANALYTICAL SITE:
STUDY SUMMARY:
DISSOLUTION:

SPONSOR: Andrx Pharmaceuticals
Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules, USP
8 mEq and 10 mEq
Fasting Bioequivalence Study

## Algorithms Pharma Inc. Montreal, Canada

Fasting BE Study is acceptable
Acceptable (USP Method), waiver is granted for the 8 mEg strength.

## DSI INSPECTION STATUS

| Inspection needed: No | Inspection status: | Inspection results: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| First Generic __No_ | Inspection requested: (date) |  |
| New facility _-_ | Inspection completed: (date) |  |
| For cause $\quad —$ |  |  |
| Other $\quad —$ |  |  |

Proposed Dissolution Method and Spec from Original Submission Acceptable: Yes _X_

INITIAL: $\qquad$ DATE: $3 / 13 / 06$
INITIAL: $\qquad$ DATE: 3/14/06

## BIOEQUIVALENCE COMMENTS TO BE PROVIDED TO THE APPLICANT

ANNA: 77419
APPLICANT: Andre Pharmaceuticals
DRUG PRODUCT: Potassium Chloride ER Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq

The Division of Bioequivalence has completed its review and has no further questions at this time.

We acknowledge that you have accepted to conduct dissolution testing as specified in USP 29 .

Please note that the bioequivalence comments provided in this communication are preliminary. These Comments are subject to revision after review of the entire application, upon consideration of the chemistry, manufacturing and controls, microbiology, labeling, or other scientific or regulatory issues. Please be advised that these reviews may result in the need for additional bioequivalence information and/or studies, or may result in a conclusion that the proposed formulation is not approvable.

In future applications, please include the address of the laboratories conducting the dissolution testing in the bioequivalence section of the ANDA.

> Sincerely yours,


Director, Division of Bioequivalence Office of Generic Drugs Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
CC: ANDA 77419ANDA DUPLICATE
DIVISION FILE
HFD-650/ Bio Drug File
HFD-650/P. Nwakama
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Endorsements: (Draft and Final with Dates) } \\ & \text { HFD-658/ P. Nwakama piche } \\ & \text { HFD-658/M. Makary }\end{aligned} 113 / 06$
HFD-650/ S. Mazzella
HFD-650/D. Conner N/2 3/14/06
Final: 3/13/2006
V:\firmsam\Andrx\ltrs\&rev\77419a0206
BIOEQUIVALENCE - Acceptable
Submission Date: February 16, 2006

1. STUDY AMENDMENT (STA)Strengths: $8 \mathrm{mEq} \& 10 \mathrm{mEq}$Outcome: AC

## DIVISION OF BIOEQUIVALENCE REVIEW

| ANDA No. | $77-419$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Drug Product Name | Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules, USP |
| Strength | 8 mEq and 10 mEq |
| Applicant Name | Andrx Pharmaceuticals |
| Address | 4955 Orange Drive, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33314 |
| Submission Date(s) | February 16, 2006 |
| Amendment Date(s) | N/A |
| Reviewer | Patrick Nwakama |
| First Generic | No |
| File Location | V:\firmsam\Andrx\ltrs\&rev\77419a0206.doc |

## I. Executive Summary

This is a review of a study amendment. The firm has submitted its responses to the DBE's deficiency letter of January 27, 2006. The firm has satisfactorily responded to the following DBE deficiency comments:

1) Please explain how feasible it was to use a single dilution factor of 6493.5 for all the study samples.
2) In the statistical report (page 724) of your submission, you stated that the values of $\mathrm{Ae} 0-24$ and $\mathrm{Ae} 0-48$ were "obtained by inspection". Please explain how these values could be obtained by inspection.
3) As stated in the clinical report (page 1042) of your submission, the urine volume was recorded via weight at the end of each collection interval. In Tables 5-8 (pages 738741), the urine volume was listed in liters. Please explain, with example, how the urine volume was converted from "grams" to "liters" for each collected study sample.

The fasting bioequivalence study on the 10 mEq tablet is acceptable. The waiver request for the 8 mEq is granted. The application is now acceptable with no deficiencies.

## II. Table of Contents

I. Executive Summary ..... 1
II. Table of Contents ..... 2
III. Submission Summary ..... 3
A. Drug Product Information ..... 3
B. PK/PD Information ..... 3
C. In Vivo Study ..... 4
D. Formulation ..... 4
E. In Vitro Dissolution ..... 4
F. Waiver Request (s) ..... 4
G. Deficiency Comments ..... 4
H. Recommendations ..... 4

## III. Submission Summary

## A. Drug Product Information

| Test Product | Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules, USP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reference Product | Micro K® 10 EXTENCAPS® Capsules (also available as 8 mEq capsules) |
| RLD Manufacturer | KV Pharmaceutical |
| NDA No. | 018238 |
| RLD Approval Date | $05 / 14 / 84$ |
| Indication | Prevention and treatment of Hypokalemia |

## B. PK/PD Information

See the original review (V:\firmsam\Andrx\lits\&rev\77419n1204.doc)

## The current submission provides the firm's responses to DBE deficiency comments (1/27/2006):

Deficiency Comment \#1: Please explain how feasible it was to use a single dilution factor of 6493.5 for all the study samples.

Firm's Response: Andrx indicated that it demonstrated the "ability to dilute" up to a factor of 6493.5 during the method validation for the analysis of potassium chloride in human urine by atomic absorption. The firm concurred with DBE that had dilution factor of 6493.5 been used for all study samples, final concentrations of some urine samples would have fell outside the low limit of the standard curve. However, during the actual analysis of the subject samples, a lesser dilution factor ( $<6493.5$ ) was used to ensure that final concentrations were within the validated range. The actual dilution factor used in the individual run reports is provided in Appendix 2 of this submission. To ensure that the dilution of subject samples was executed correctly, QC samples were also diluted.

In summary, the dilution factor of 6493.5 was the highest value test and established as acceptable in the 'ability to dilute' in the validation study. It was not the dilution factor used in the subject sample analysis. Rather, the study samples were diluted with dilution factor ranging from 472.6 to 3086.4 and the same dilution factor selected for the study sample was also performed on the QC samples.

DBE'S Comment: The firm response is acceptable.
Deficiency Comment \#2: In the statistical report (page 724) of your submission, you stated that the values of Ae0-24 and Ae0-48 were "obtained by inspection". Please explain how these values could be obtained by inspection.

Firm's Response: The firm stated that the intended meaning of "obtained by inspection" was "obtained by inspection of the data". However, a more definitive description would be:

Ae0-24 and Ae0-48 values were "calculated by summation" of the urinary excretion amount from 0-24 hours and 0-48 hours, respectively.

DBE'S Comment: The firm response is acceptable.
Deficiency Comment \#3: As stated in the clinical report (page 1042) of your submission, the urine volume was recorded via weight at the end of each collection interval. In Tables 5-8 (pages 738-741), the urine volume was listed in liters. Please explain, with example, how the urine volume was converted from "grams" to "liters" for each collected study sample.

Firm's Response: The firm used ' $1 \mathrm{~g}=1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ' as conversion factor for the specific gravity of urine since individual subject fluid intake was constant ( 500 mL water consumed at 0800 hour daily and $\geq 200 \mathrm{~mL} /$ hour for 12 hours). The firm believed that the $1: 1$ conversion factor was valid since the amount of total fluid consumed should result in very dilute urine for a low specific gravity. The weight of urine (g) per collection interval is obtained by subtracting the container weight (g) and expressed as volume ( mL ). The obtained weight of urine ( mL ) was converted to liters (L) by dividing by 1000.

DBE'S Comment: The firm's response is acceptable.

## C. In Vivo Study

See reviews (V:\firmsam\Andrx\litrs\&rev\77419n1204.doc and 77419a0805.doc)

## D. Formulation

See the original review (V:\firmsam\Andrx\ltrs\&rev\77419n1204.doc)

## E. In Vitro Dissolution

See the original review (V:\firmsam\Andrx\ltrs\&rev177419n1204.doc)

## F. Waiver Request (s)

See the original review (V:\firmsam\Andrx\ltrs\&rev\77419n1204.doc)

## G. Deficiency Comments

None

## H. Recommendations

1. The bioequivalence study conducted under fasting conditions by Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. on its test product, Potassium Chloride ER capsules, USP 10 mEq , lot \#560R020, comparing it to Micro $\mathrm{K}^{\circledR} 10 \mathrm{mEq}$ capsules, lot \#50167, manufactured by KV Pharmaceuticals is acceptable.
2. The dissolution testing conducted by the firm on its Potassium Chloride ER 8 mEq and 10 mEq capsules is complete. The formulation for the 8 mEq is proportionally similar to the 10 mEq strength test product which underwent bioequivalence testing. The waiver request for the 8 mEq capsules of the test product is granted.
3. The dissolution testing should be conducted as specified in USP 29.

From the bioequivalence standpoint, the application is complete.

mohur H. maker 3/13/06

Moheb H. Makary, Ph.D.
Review Team I
Date
Team Leader


Date
Director, Division of Bioequivalence
Office of Generic Drugs

## BIOEQUIVALENCE COMMENTS TO BE PROVIDED TO THE APPLICANT

ANDA: 77419
APPLICANT: Andrx Pharmaceuticals
DRUG PRODUCT: Potassium Chloride ER Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq

The Division of Bioequivalence has completed its review and has no further questions at this time.

We acknowledge that you have accepted to conduct dissolution testing as specified in USP 29.

Please note that the bioequivalence comments provided in this communication are preliminary. These Comments are subject to revision after review of the entire application, upon consideration of the chemistry, manufacturing and controls, microbiology, labeling, or other scientific or regulatory issues. Please be advised that these reviews may result in the need for additional bioequivalence information and/or studies, or may result in a conclusion that the proposed formulation is not approvable.

In future applications, please include the address of the laboratories conducting the dissolution testing in the bioequivalence section of the ANDA.

Sincerely yours,


Director, Division of Bioequivalence Office of Generic Drugs
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research

# DIVISION OF BIOEQUIVALENCE REVIEW 

ANDA No.<br>77-419<br>Drug Product Name Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules, USP<br>Strength<br>Applicant Name<br>Address<br>8 mEq and 10 mEq<br>Andrx Pharmaceuticals<br>4955 Orange Drive, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33314<br>Submission Date(s) August 16, 2005<br>Amendment Date(s)<br>Reviewer<br>First Generic<br>File Location<br>N/A<br>Patrick Nwakama<br>No<br>V:\firmsam\Andrx\ltrs\&rev177419a0805.doc

## I. Executive Summary

This is a review of a study amendment. The firm previously submitted (12/1/2004) an in vivo bioequivalence fasting study on the 10 mEq , a biowaiver request for the 8 mEq strength and dissolution data on both strengths. The application was found incomplete because the firm did not submit adequate urinary potassium concentration and excretion data for full statistical analysis (see deficiency comments).

The formulation of the 8 mEq capsule is proportionally similar to the 10 mEq capsule which underwent in vivo bioequivalence testing. The dissolution testing using the USP method was acceptable. The DBE concurred with the firm's use of the USP method and specification ( 900 mL , Water, Basket, 100 rpm ; NMT $35 \%(\mathrm{Q})$ in 2 hours] in its dissolution testing. However, the waiver cannot be granted at that time because of the deficiency comments in the fasting study.

In this amendment, the firm has submitted the baseline-corrected data based on the average of individual time intervals from two baseline days. In the original submission, baseline adjustment was based on the average of $\mathrm{Ae} 0-24 \mathrm{~h}$ from two baseline days according to newly issued (October 2005) Guidance on Potassium Chloride Modified-Release Tablets and Capsules. Therefore, the firm's originally submitted data was acceptable for bioequivalence review. Statistical analysis of the urinary pharmacokinetic data for potassium chloride demonstrates bioequivalence. The results of urinary pharmacokinetic data (point estimate, $90 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ) are: baseline-uncorrected LAe0-24h of 1.01, 96.89 - 104.98\%, LAe0-48h of 1.00, 97.25-102.69\% and LRmax of 0.95, $91.20-99.88 \%$; baseline-corrected LAe0-24h of $0.97,81.23-116.31 \%$, LAe0-48h of 0.98, $91.68-104.49 \%$ and LRmax of 0.92, $82.50-103.33 \%$.

However, the fasting BE study is incomplete. The application is incomplete. Therefore, the waiver request for the 8 mEq can not be granted at this time.

## II. Table of Contents
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## Current Submission:

## DBE DEFICIENCY COMMENTS (07/26/2005):

Deficiency Comment \#1:<br>Please provide complete sets of individual data for the baseline-corrected: a) Urinary Potassium Excretion ( $m$ Eq) per Collection Interval; b) Urinary Potassium Excretion Rate ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) per Collection Interval; and c) Urinary Potassium Concentration ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ ) per Collection Interval in SAS transport format.

The bioequivalence data to be submitted should be provided in a diskette or CD in SAS Transport format in two separate files as described below:
a. SUBJ SEQ PER TRT AE 24 AE48 RMAX TMAX LAE 24 LAE48 LRMAX
b. SUBJ SEQ PER TRT Cl C2 C3 $\qquad$ . Cn

Where ' $C$ ' is urinary potassium concentration.
Please separate each field with a blank space and indicate missing values with a period (.).

## FIRM'S RESPONSE:

The firm has calculated and submitted the FDA requested baseline-corrected (per collection interval) data for urinary potassium 1) amount excretion ( mEq ), 2) concentration ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ ); 3) excretion rate ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{h}$ ) in SAS transport format. The calculations involved subtracting the predose baseline intervals from respective corresponding post-dose intervals for the excretion amounts, concentrations, and rates. For instance, the -48 h to -47 h value was subtracted for the post-dose $0-1 \mathrm{~h}$ value, the -47 h to -46 h value was subtracted for the post-dose $1-2 \mathrm{~h}$ value, and so on. The firm added that the method recommended by the DBE is different from the one presented at the March 2003 FDA Advisory Committee. Citing slide \#9 of the FDA presentation, the firm claimed that baseline-correction (based on the average of the two baseline days) using intervals should be applied to only excretion rate (Rmax) and not to the excretion amount (Ae) and excretion concentration. Therefore, as reported in the original submission the firm subtracted the average $\operatorname{Ae}(0-24)$ values of the two baseline days $(-48 \mathrm{~h}$ to -24 h and -24 h to $0 \mathrm{~h})$ from the respective post-dose $\mathrm{Ae}(0-24)$ values for baseline-corrected calculations of $\mathrm{Ae}(0-$ 24). For Rmax, the baseline correction calculation was based on the average of the respective collection intervals for the two baseline days to subtract from the post-dose concentrations, for example, the mean excretion rate of -48 h to -47 h and -24 h to -23 h was subtracted for the postdose 0-1 h.

## DBE'S COMMENTS:

The firm's baseline correction procedure in the original submission is same as the one contained in the October 2005 CDER Guidance on Potassium Chloride Modified Release Tablets and Capsules. Therefore, the procedure used in the original ANDA is acceptable.

## Deficiency Comment \#2:

The observed urine concentrations, as you reported, ranged from $7.64 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ to $125 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ (approximately $298-4875 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) for the test product and from $9.44 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ to $153 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ (approximately $368-5969 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) for the reference product and the standard curve was validated over the concentration range from 0.5 to $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. You also reported that the quality control samples were prepared at $45.7 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}, 120 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$, and $240 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ and these quality control samples were diluted using the same dilution factor as that applied to the study samples. You should report the dilution factor used for the study samples. If the dilution factor is different from the 6493.5-fold used in your "ability to dilute" study, you should provide data to support that the dilution procedure is validated.

## FIRM'S RESPONSE:

The study samples in each analytical batch and one QC level (QC E) in the analytical run were diluted using the same dilution factor. For the study sample data to be accepted ${ }^{(0)(4)}$ of the QCs per dilution ratio must be with the acceptable range $\left( \pm \begin{array}{|c}(\mathrm{b}) \\ (4) \\ \hline\end{array}\right)$. The firm has provided individual run report in this submission.

## DBE's COMMENTS

The firm's response is incomplete. Based on the final concentrations of the urine samples reported, one would conclude that many of study samples would have been outside the lower limit of the standard curve. The firm needs to clarify how it is feasible to use a single dilution factor of 6493.5 for all the study samples.

## Deficiency Comment \#3:

Please provide justification for using 500 mL Water for administration of the study drugs instead of the 240 mL recommended by the Agency.

## FIRM'S RESPONSE:

Per the 2002 FDA Guidance on Potassium Chloride modified-release tablets and capsules, 500 mL water is recommended for administration of the dose. Since the administered dose comprise 8 large capsules, the standard 240 mL of water would not be sufficient for administration. Moreover, since urine was the biological matrix, fluid intake was maintained at 3-5 L/day to ensure adequate rate of urine flow throughout the study period as recommended by the guidance.

## DBE's COMMENTS

The firm has satisfactorily responded to the deficiency comment.

## III. Submission Summary

## A. Drug Product Information

Test Product
Reference Product
RLD Manufacturer
NDA No.
RLD Approval Date
Indication

Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules, USP
Micro K® 10 EXTENCAPS® Capsules (also available as 8 mEq capsules)
KV Pharmaceutical
018238
05/14/84
Prevention and treatment of Hypokalemia

## B. PK/PD Information

See the original review (V:\firmsam\Andrx\trs\&rev $\backslash 77419 n 1204 . d o c$ )

Relevant OGD or There are four approved ANDAs (\#070980, KV; \#18238, KV; \#73532, DBE History Teva and \#073531, Teva). KV Pharmaceuticals has two 10 mEq strengths currently available commercially and NDA 18-238 is the RLD.

There are several controlled documents on the drug product potassium.
The current DBE recommendations to establish BE of Potassium Chloride ER Capsules, USP:

- Conduct single-dose in vivo fasting BE study on the 10 mEq strength;
- Measure urine concentrations of potassium;
- The 8 mEq strength may be eligible for a biowaiver provided its formulation is proportionally similar and dissolution profile is comparable to the 10 mEq that underwent an acceptable in vivo bioequivalence testing;
- Use the current USP dissolution method and specification:

| Medium: | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Volume: | 900 mL |
| Apparatus: | I (Basket) |
| Rotational Speed: | 100 rpm |
| Sampling Times: | $10,15,30$, and 45 minutes |
| Specifications: | NMT 35\% (Q) is dissolved in 2 hours |

Agency Guidance 2005 CDER Guidance for Industry: Potassium Chloride Modified Release Tablets and Capsules: In-Vivo Bioequivalence and In-Vitro Dissolution Testing".
Drug Specific In response to OGD\# 03-328 (Algorithme), the DBE provided the Issues (if any) following comments:

1) If the baseline-corrected rate of excretion or amount excreted at a particular time interval is negative, the value should be set to zero.
2) It is recommended that baseline excretion of potassium (obtained during the baseline days) be subtracted from the amount obtained on the drug dosing day to yield the net effect of drug administration. The baseline data used should be the average of the two readings obtained on the two baseline days and be subject specific and period specific.

The following information on urine potassium concentration data is to be recorded for each subject:

> Amount excreted in each collection interval (Ae)
> Cumulative urinary excretion from 0-24 hours (AeO-24h)
> Cumulative urinary excretion from 0-48 hours (AeO-48h) Maximal rate of urinary excretion (Rmax) Time of Maximal urinary excretion (Tmax) Excretion rate in each collection interval (R) Midpoint of each collection interval (t)

It is recommended that all data are calculated using baseline adjusted and non-baseline adjusted data. Statistical analysis ( $\mathrm{p}=0.05$ ) would then be done by ANOVA for baseline adjusted parameters, and the 90 percent confidence intervals generated for natural log-transformed cumulative urinary excretion from $0-24 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $\mathrm{Ae} 0-24 \mathrm{~h}$ ) and maximal rate of urinary excretion data (Rmax). The two one-sided tests procedure can be used to determine 90 percent confidence intervals.

## C. Contents of Submission

| Study Types | Yes/No? | How many? |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Single-dose fasting | No |  |
| Single-dose fed | No |  |
| In vitro dissolution | No |  |
| Waiver requests | No | 1 |
| Amendments | Yes | . |

## D. In Vivo Study

## 1. Single-dose Fasting Bioequivalence Study

See the original review (V:\firmsam\Andrx\ltrs\&rev\77419n1204.doc)

Submitted in the Original ANDA

| Baseline-uncorrected Results |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of Statistical Analysis, Fasting Bioequivalence Study |  |  |
| Parameter | Point Estimate | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ Confidence Interval |
| $A e 0-24 \mathrm{~h}$ | 1.01 | $96.89-104.98$ |
| $\mathrm{Ae} 0-48 \mathrm{~h}$ | 1.00 | $97.25-102.69$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\max }$ | 0.95 | $91.20-99.88$ |


| Baseline-corrected Results |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of Statistical Analysis, Fasting Bioequivalence Study |  |  |
| Parameter | Point Estimate | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ Confidence Interval |
| $\mathrm{LAe} 0-24 \mathrm{~h}$ | 0.97 | $81.23-116.3$ |
| $\mathrm{LAe} 0-48 \mathrm{~h}$ | 0.98 | $91.68-104.49$ |
| $\mathrm{LR}_{\max }$ | 0.92 | $82.5-103.3$ |

## Submitted in the Amendment

| Baseline-corrected Results |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of Statistical Analysis, Fasting Bioequivalence Study |  |  |
| Parameter | Point Estimate | 90\% Confidence Interval |
| $\mathrm{Ae} 0-24 \mathrm{~h}$ | 0.99 | $76.66-128.08$ |
| $\mathrm{Ae} 0-48 \mathrm{~h}$ | 0.93 | $64.84-134.43$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\max }$ | 0.93 | $85.78-100.75$ |

Reviewer's Note: The procedure for adjusting baseline potassium concentrations in the original submission was based on the average of Ae0-24h from two baseline days (vs. the average of individual time intervals from two baseline days as was applied in the Amendment). This method is the same as that described in the October 2005 CDER Guidance for modified-release Potassium Chloride. Therefore, only the results from the data contained in the original submission were used to assess bioequivalence of this test product. The baseline correction performed and submitted in the amendment is not necessary.

## E. Formulation

See the original review (V:\firmsam\Andrx\ltrs\&rev\77419n1204.doc)

## F. In Vitro Dissolution

See the original review (V:\firmsam\Andrx\ltrs\&rev\77419n1204.doc)

## G. Waiver Request (s)

See the original review (V:\firmsam\Andrx\ltrs\&rev\77419n1204.doc)

## H. Deficiency Comments

1. In the statistical report (page 724), the firm stated that the values of $\mathrm{Ae} 0-24$ and $\mathrm{Ae} 0-48$ were "obtained by inspection". The firm should clarify how these values can be obtained by inspection.
2. As stated in the clinical report (page 1042), the urine volume was recorded via weight at the end of each collection interval. In Tables 5-8 (pages 738-741), the urine volume was listed in liters. The firm should clarify, with example, how to convert the urine volume in "grams" to "liters" for each study sample collected.

## I. Recommendations

1. The bioequivalence study conducted under fasting conditions by Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. on its test product, Potassium Chloride ER capsules, USP 10 mEq , lot \#560R020 comparing it to Micro $\mathrm{K} ® 10 \mathrm{mEq}$ capsules, lot \#50167 manufactured by KV Pharmaceuticals is incomplete.
2. The formulation for the 8 mEq is proportionally similar to the 10 mEq strength test product which underwent bioequivalence testing. The waiver request for the 8 mEq capsules of the test product can not granted at this time due to the deficiency in the fasting BE study on the 10 mEq capsules.
3. The dissolution testing conducted by the firm on its Potassium Chloride ER 8 mEq capsules is complete. The dissolution testing should be conducted in 900 ml of water at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using USP apparatus I (Basket) at 100 rpm . The test product should meet the following specification:

Not more than $35 \%(\mathrm{Q})$ of the labeled amount of the drug in the dosage form is dissolved in 2 hours.

From the bioequivalence standpoint, the application is incomplete.


Banbardin davit i/18/fo
Dale P. Conner, Pharm. D.
Date
Director, Division of Bioequivalence
Office of Generic Drugs

## IV. Appendix

a) Pharmacokinetic Results

Table 1 Arithmetic Mean Pharmacokinetic Parameters, n=36

## Data Submitted in the Original ANDA

| Baseline Uncorrected |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MEAN1 | CV1. | MEAN2 | CV2 | RMEAN12 |
| PARAMETER |  |  |  |  |  |
| AE01024 : | 146.25 | 12.80 | 144.86 | 12.41 | 1.01 |
| AEOTO48 | 281.57 | 12.41 | 281.38 | 11.52 | 1.00 |
| RMAX | 12.43 | 12.36 | 13.10 | 17.29 | 0.95 |
| MMAX | 16.94 | 70.13 | 19.06 | 69.71 | 0.89 |
| L.AROTM $24{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 144.97 | 0.10 | 143.74 | 0.09 | 1.01 |
| LAE0TO48 ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | 279.25 | 0.05 | 279.44 | 0.04 | 1.00 |
| LRMAAX: ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 12.34 | 0.98 | 12.93 | 1.27 | 0.95 |


| W. Baseline Corrected |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MEAN1 | CVI | MEAN2 | cv2 | RMEAN12 |
| PARAMIEIUR |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\text { ACOTOO } 4$ | 42.93 | 40.05 | 43.79 | 32.40 | 0.98 |
| AE0TO48 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 178.24 | 17.05 | 181.34 | 15.64 | 0.98 |
| RMAX | 6.75 | 29.87 | 7.46 | 36.92 | 0.90 |
| LALOTO24: | 40.78 | 1.21 | 41.60 | 0.79 | 0.98 |
| LAEOTO48 ${ }^{\text {明紋 }}$ | 175.24 | 0.11 | 179.04 | 0.09 | 0.98 |
| LRMAX: | 6.50 | 4.24 | 7.04 | 4.84 | 0.92 |

## Data Submitted in the Amendment

| Baseline Uncorrected |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | MEAN1 | CV1 | MEAN2 | CVV | RMEAN12 |
| PARAMETER |  |  |  |  |  |
| AE0TO24 | 146.25 | 12.80 | 144.86 | 12.41 | 1.01 |
| AE0TO48 | 281.57 | 12.41 | 281.38 | 11.52 | 1.00 |
| RMAX | 12.43 | 12.36 | 13.10 | 17.29 | 0.95 |
| TMAX | 16.94 | 70.13 | 19.06 | 69.71 | 0.89 |
| LAEOTO24: | 144.97 | 0.10 | 143.74 | 0.09 | 1.01 |
| LAEOTO48: | 279.25 | 0.05 | 279.44 | 0.04 | 1.00 |
| LRMAX | 12.34 | 0.98 | 12.93 | 1.27 | 0.95 |


|  | Baseline Comrected |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Test |  |  |  | $\sqrt{2 \times 2 \times 2}$ |
| PARAMIETIER | Mean | $\% \mathrm{CV}$ | Vean | \% CV | I/R |
|  | 84.46 | 53.2 | 91.30 | 50.41 | 0.92 |
| $\text { AE0TO } 48$ | 127.24 | 56.8 | 143.27 | 53.2 | 0.89 |
| RMAX | 7.28 | 26.3 | 8.00 | 35.2 | 0.91 |

UNIT: Ae0-24h and Ae0-48h (cumulative urinary excretion) $=\mathrm{mEq}$; Rmax (maximal rate of urinary excretion) $=\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{hr}$

Table 2 Least Square Geometric Means and 90\% Confidence Intervals
Data Submitted in the Original ANDA:

| Baseline Uncorrected |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LSM | LSM2 | RLSM1 2 | LowCl12 | UPPCl12 |
| PARAMETIER |  |  |  |  |  |
| AE01O24 | 146.25 | 144.86 | 1.01 | 97.04 | 104.88 |
| AE0T048 | 281.57 | 281.38 | 1.00 | 97.46 | 102.67 |
| RMAX | 12.43 | 13.10 | 0.95 | 89.98 | 99.70 |
| LALOTO24 | 144.97 | 143.74 | 1.01 | 96.89 | 104.98 |
| LAEOTO48 | 279.25 | 279.44 | 1.00 | 97.25 | 102.69 |
| LRMAX: | 12.34 | 12.93 | 0.95 | 91.20 | 99.88 |


| 5. Baseline Corrected |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LSM1 | ISM2 | RISM12 | EOWCI12 | UPPCI12 |
| PARAMETYER |  |  |  |  |  |
| AEOTO24 | 42.93 | 43.79 | 0.98 | 83.57 | 112.52 |
| ALOTO48: | 178.24 | 181.34 | 0.98 | 92.23 | 104.36 |
| RMAX | 6.75 | 7.46 | 0.90 | 78.31 | 102.63 |
| LAE0IO24: | 40.44 | 41.60 | 0.97 | 81.23 | 116.31 |
| LAE0TO48: | 175.24 | 179.04 | 0.98 | 91.68 | 104.49 |
| IRRMAX | 6.50 | 7.04 | 0.92 | 82.50 | 103.33 |

## Data Submitted in the Amendment:

| 53: Baseline Uncorrected |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LSMI | LSME | RLSM12 | LOWCI 2 | UPRC112 |
| PARAMETIER |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALOTO24 | 146.25 | 144.86 | 1.01 | 97.04 | 104.88 |
| ALOIO48: | 281.57 | 281.38 | 1.00 | 97.46 | 102.67 |
| RMAX | 12.43 | 13.10 | 0.95 | 89.98 | 99.70 |
| IAE01024 | 144.97 | 143.74 | 1.01 | 96.89 | 104.98 |
| EAC0T048 | 279.25 | 279.44 | 1.00 | 97.25 | 102.69 |
| LRM1AX: | 12.34 | 12.93 | 0.95 | 91.20 | 99.88 |


|  | Ba |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Test | Ref. | T/R | IVWCI | UPIE1 |
| LAE0TO24 | 76.17 | 76.86 | 0.99 | 76.66 | 128.08 |
| L. AEOTO48: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (\% | 111.83 | 119.78 | 0.93 | 64.84 | 134.43 |
| LRMAX | 7.05 | 7.58 | 0.93 | 85.78 | 100.75 |

UNIT: Ae0-24h and Ae0-48h (cumulative urinary excretion) $=\mathrm{mEq}$; $\mathbf{R m a x}$ (maximal rate of urinary excretion) $=\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{hr}$

Table 3 Additional Study Information

|  | Baseline- <br> uncorrected | Baseline- <br> corrected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Root mean square error, Ae0-24h | 0.1007 | 0.4499 |
| Root mean square error, Ae0-48h | 0.0684 | 0.1641 |
| Root mean square error, $\mathrm{R}_{\max }$ | 0.1140 | 0.2824 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}$ and Ae0-24h determined for how many subjects? | All |  |
| Do you agree or disagree with firm's decision? | Yes |  |
| Indicate the number of subjects with the following: |  |  |
| -measurable drug concentrations at 0 hr | All (baseline correction) |  |
| Were the subjects dosed as more than one group? | No |  |

Table 4: Arithmetic Mean Amount of Urinary Potassium Excretion (mEq)

## Baseline Uncorrected

| Time | Midpoint | Test $=\mathbf{T}$ |  |  | Reference= $\mathbf{R}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amount } \\ T / R \end{gathered}$ | Cum <br> Amt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (hr) | $(\mathbf{h r})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amount } \\ & (\mathbf{m E q}) \end{aligned}$ | CV | Cum. <br> Amt-T | $\underset{(\mathbf{m E q})}{\text { Amount }}$ | CV | Cum. <br> Amt-R |  | T/R <br> Ratio |
| 0-1 | 0.5 | 3.96 | 38.24 | 3.96 | 3.98 | 49.48 | 3.98 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| 1-2 | 1.5 | 8.46 | 24.64 | 12.42 | 8.51 | 31.29 | 12.49 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| 2-4 | 3.0 | 21.36 | 20.90 | 33.78 | 21.31 | 20.96 | 33.80 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 4-6 | 5.0 | 18.65 | 18.20 | 52.43 | 19.01 | 22.4 | 52.81 | 0.98 | 0.99 |
| 6-8 | 7.0 | 15.47 | 22.97 | 67.90 | 14.19 | 30.93 | 67.00 | 1.09 | 1.01 |
| 8-12 | 10.0 | 22.47 | 24.24 | 90.36 | 23.3 | 31.28 | 90.30 | 0.96 | 1.00 |
| 12-16 | 14.0 | 34.79 | 31.64 | 125.15 | 33.18 | 28.2 | 123.48 | 1.05 | 1.01 |
| 16-24 | 20.0 | 22.07 | 30.20 | 147.22 | 21.77 | 27.47 | 145.25 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| 24-25 | 24.5 | 5.90 | 37.58 | 153.12 | 6.14 | 46.43 | 151.39 | 0.96 | 1.01 |
| 25-26 | 25.5 | 6.14 | 39.82 | 159.25 | 6.38 | 26.33 | 157.77 | 0.96 | 1.01 |
| 26-28 | 27.0 | 17.62 | 31.56 | 172.92 | 17.62 | 33.66 | 171.41 | 1.00 | 1.01 |
| 28-30 | 29.0 | 19.89 | 28.71 | 184.35 | 19.33 | 27.92 | 182.23 | 1.03 | 1.01 |
| 30-32 | 31.0 | 18.79 | 28.77 | 181.79 | 20.21 | 36.73 | 181.13 | 0.93 | 1.00 |
| 32-36 | 34.0 | 28.04 | 25.66 | 191.18 | 24.28 | 38.87 | 186.40 | 1.15 | 1.03 |
| 36-40 | 38.0 | 22.88 | 34.24 | 198.58 | 27.88 | 36.35 | 200.09 | 0.82 | 0.99 |
| 40-48 | 44.0 | 16.05 | 38.43 | 192.17 | 14.69 | 52.84 | 191.48 | 1.09 | 1.00 |

Table 5: Arithmetic Mean Rate of Urinary Potassium Excretion (mEq/hr)

## Baseline Uncorrected

Mid-

| Time | point | Test =T |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Reference=R } \\ \text { Rate- }\end{array}$ |  | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Rate-R, <br>


(mEq/hr)\end{array}\right) ~ \mathbf{C V} \quad\)| T/R |
| :---: |
| Ratio |

Figure 1: Mean Cumulative Amount of Urinary Potassium Excretion per Interval (mEq),

## Baseline-uncorrected



Figure 3: Mean Urinary Potassium Excretion Rate (mEq/hr)

Baseline-uncorrected


## B. Formulation Data

See the original review (V:\firmsam\Andrx\ltrs\&rev177419n1204.doc)

## C. Dissolution Data

See the original review (V:\firmsam\Andrx\trs\&rev177419n1204.doc)

## D. Consult Reviews

None

## E. SAS Output

| Fasting Study | SAS Data | SAS Program | SAS Output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline Uncorrected |  | 77419POTAS_fas t.xxt |  |
| Baseline Corrected (original submission) |  |  |  |
| Baseline Corrected (Amendment) |  |  |  |

## BIOEQUIVALENCE DEFICIENCIES TO BE PROVIDED TO THE APPLICANT

ADA: 77419
APPLICANT: Andrx Pharmaceuticals

DRUG PRODUCT: Potassium Chloride ER Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq

The Division of Bioequivalence has completed its review of your submission acknowledged on the cover sheet and the following deficiencies have been identified:

1. You stated that you used the same dilution factor of 6493.5 for all study samples. However, based on the final concentrations of the urine samples reported, one would conclude that many of study samples would have been outside the lower limit of the standard curve. Please clarify whether you really used a dilution factor of 6493.5 for all the study samples.
2. In the statistical report (page 724) of your submission, you stated that the values of Ae0-24 and Ae0-48 were "obtained by inspection". Please explain how these values were obtained by inspection.
3. As stated in the clinical report (page 1042) of your submission, the urine volume was recorded via weight at the end of each collection interval. In Tables 5-8 (pages 738741), the urine volume was listed in liters. Please explain, with examples, how the urine volume was converted from "grams" to "liters" for each collected study sample.

CC: ANDA 77419
ANDA DUPLICATE
DIVISION FILE
HFD-650/ Bio Drug File
HFD-650/ P. Nwakama

Endorsements: (Draft and Final with Dates)
HFD-658/P. Nwakama pres Dates)
HFD-650/S. Mazzella
HFD-650/D. Conner $\phi$ 2 60 , $1 / 8 / 06$
Final: 1/18/2006

V:\firmsam\Andrx\trs\&rev\77419A0805

BIOEQUIVALENCE - Deficiencies

1. STUDY AMENDMENT (STA)

Submission Date: August 16, 2005
Strengths: $8 \mathrm{mEq} \& 10 \mathrm{mEq}$ Outcome: IC

Outcome Decisions:
IC - Incomplete

## DIVISION OF BIOEQUIVALENCE REVIEW

| ANDA No. | $77-419$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Drug Product Name | Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules, USP |
| Strength | 8 mEq and 10 mEq |
| Applicant Name | Andrx Pharmaceuticals |
| Address | 4955 Orange Drive, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33314 |
| Submission Date(s) | December 1, 2004 |
| Amendment Date(s) | N/A |
| Reviewer | Patrick Nwakama |
| First Generic | No |
| File Location | V:lfirmsam\Andrxlltrs\&revl77419N1204.doc |

## I. Executive Summary

This is a review of an in vivo bioequivalence (BE) study and waiver request only. The dissolution testing had been reviewed separately. This submission contains a fasting BE study on the 10 mEq capsules, a biowaiver request for the 8 mEq capsules and dissolution data on both strengths of the test (Potassium Chloride ER capsules) and the reference listed drug (KV Pharmaceutical's Micro K® / Micro K® 10 EXTENCAPS®) products. This is a two-way, crossover BE study conducted in healthy adult males and females $(n=36)$. The review of the BE study cannot be completed at this time because the firm did not submit some data (see deficiency comments) necessary for a complete statistical analysis.

The firm requests a waiver of in vivo BE study requirements for the 8 mEq Capsules. The formulation of the 8 mEq capsule is proportionally similar to the 10 mEq capsule which underwent in vivo testing.

The dissolution testing using the USP method was acceptable. The DBE concurred with the firm's use of the USP method and specification ( 900 mL , Water, Basket, 100 rpm ; NMT $35 \%$ (Q) in 2 hours] in its dissolution testing. However, the waiver cannot be granted at this time because of the deficiency comments in the fasting study.

The application is incomplete.
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## III. Submission Summary

## A. Drug Product Information

| Test Product | Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules, USP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reference Product | Micro K® 10 EXTENCAPS® Capsules (also available as 8 mEq capsules) |
| RLD Manufacturer | KV Pharmaceutical |
| NDA No. | 018238 |
| RLD Approval Date | $05 / 14 / 84$ |
| Indication | Prevention and treatment of Hypokalemia |

## B. PK/PD Information

(Sources: Electronic Clinical Pharmacology, 2005 PDR and Micromedex)

| Bioavailability | Well absorbed |
| :--- | :--- |
| Food Effect | None |
| Tmax | Not Available |
| Metabolism | None |
| Excretion | With normal daily intake from a diet containing about 100 mEq of potassium <br> approximately $85 \%$ to $90 \%$ is excreted in the urine; $10-15 \% \mathrm{mEq}$ daily excreted. <br> Half-life |
| Not applicable |  |
| Relevant OGD or | There are four approved ANDAs (\#070980, KV; \#18238, KV; \#73532, Teva and |
| DBE History | \#073531, Teva). KV Pharmaceuticals has two 10 mEq strengths currently <br> available commercially and NDA 18-238 is the RLD. |

There are several controlled documents on the drug product potassium.
The current DBE recommendations to establish BE of Potassium Chloride ER Capsules, USP:

- Conduct single-dose in vivo fasting BE study on the 10 mEq strength;
- Measure urine concentrations of potassium;
- The 8 mEq strength may be eligible for a biowaiver provided its formulation is proportionally similar and dissolution profile is comparable to the 10 mEq that underwent an acceptable in vivo bioequivalence testing;
- Use the current USP dissolution method and specification:
Medium: Water

Volume: $\quad 900 \mathrm{~mL}$
Apparatus: I (Basket)
Rotational Speed: 100 rpm
Sampling Times: 10, 15, 30, and 45 minutes
Specifications: NMT $35 \%(Q)$ is dissolved in 2 hours
Agency Guidance Guidance for Industry: Potassium Chloride Modified Release Tablets and Capsules: In-Vivo Bioequivalence and In-Vitro Dissolution Testing".

Drug Specific In response to OGD\# 03-328 (Algorithme), the DBE provided the following Issues (if any) comments:

1) If the baseline-corrected rate of excretion or amount excreted at a particular time interval is negative, the value should be set to zero.
2) It is recommended that baseline excretion of potassium (obtained during the baseline days) be subtracted from the amount obtained on the drug dosing day to yield the net effect of drug administration. The baseline data used should be the average of the two readings obtained on the two baseline days and be subject specific and period specific.

The following information on urine potassium concentration data is to be recorded for each subject:

> Amount excreted in each collection interval (Ae) Cumulative urinary excretion from 0-24 hours (AeO-24h) Cumulative urinary excretion from 0-48 hours (AeO-48h)
> Maximal rate of urinary excretion (Rmax)
> Time of Maximal urinary excretion (Tmax)
> Excretion rate in each collection interval (R)
> Midpoint of each collection interval (t)

It is recommended that all data are calculated using baseline adjusted and nonbaseline adjusted data. Statistical analysis ( $p=0.05$ ) would then be done by ANOVA for baseline adjusted parameters, and the 90 percent confidence intervals generated for natural log-transformed cumulative urinary excretion from 0-24 (AeO-24h) and maximal rate of urinary excretion data (Rmax). The two one-sided tests procedure can be used to determine 90 percent confidence intervals.

## C. Contents of Submission

| Study Types | Yes/No? | How many? |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Single-dose fasting | Yes | 1 |
| Single-dose fed | No |  |
| Steady-state | No |  |
| In vitro dissolution | Yes | 1 |
| Waiver requests | Yes | 1 |
| BCS Waivers | No |  |
| Vasoconstrictor Studies | No |  |
| Clinical Endpoints | No |  |
| Failed Studies | No |  |
| Amendments | No |  |

## D. Pre-Study Bioanalytical Method Validation

| Vol 1.2, pp. 954-968 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Parent |
| Analyte name | Potassium |
| Internal Standard | N/A |
| Method description | Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy using Flame lonization |
| QC range (mg/L) | 0.50, 0.90, 1.10, 1.50 , and $1.70 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Standard curve range (mg/L) | $0.50,0.80,1.00,1.20,1.40,1.60,1.80$ and $2.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Limit of quantitation (mg/L) | $0.50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Average recovery of Drug (\%) | N/A |
| Average Recovery of Int. Std (\%) | N/A |
| QC Intraday precision range (\%CV) | 2.0-5.3\% |
| QC Intraday accuracy range (\%) | 99.9-103.3\% |
| QC Interday precision range (\%CV) | 1.5-4.3\% |
| QC Interday accuracy range (\%) | 101.2-104.9\% |
| Bench-top stability (hrs) | 75 hours |
| Stock stability (days) | N/A |
| Processed stability (hrs) | 28.6 hours |
| Freeze-thaw stability (cycles) | 3 cycles |
| Long-term storage stability (days) | 278 days |
| Dilution integrity <br> (@ $9380 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}=240 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | 6493.5-fold, 95.6\% |
| Specificity | Baseline endogenous potassium level was determined with a donor since the substance occurs naturally in the urine. |
| SOPs submitted | Yes |
| Bioanalytical method is acceptable | Yes |

## E. In Vivo Studies

1. Single-dose Fasting Bioequivalence Study

| Study Summary, Fasting Bioequivalence Study |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Study No. | R03-996 |
| Study Design | Randomized, Single-Dose, two-way Crossover |
| No. of subjects enrolled | 36 |
| No. of subjects completing | 36 |
| No. of subjects analyzed | 36 |
| Subjects (Healthy or Patients?) | Healthy |
| Sex(es) included (how many?) | Male: 24 Female: 12 |
| Test product | Potassium Chloride ER Capsules |
| Reference product | Micro-K® 10 Extencaps® |
| Strength tested | 10 mEq |
| Dose | $8 \times 10 \mathrm{mEq}$ |


| Summary of Statistical Analysis, Fasting Bioequivalence Study |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Point Estimate | $90 \%$ Confidence Interval |
| AUCO-t | - | - |
| AUC $\infty$ | - | - |
| Cmax | - | - |

(Note: Statistical analyses were not performed because the firm did not provide baseline-corrected data)

| Reanalysis of Study Samples, Fasting Bioequivalence Study Additional information in Appendix, Table 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reason why assay was repeated | Number of samples reanalyzed |  |  |  | Number of recalculated values used after reanalysis |  |  |  |
|  | Actual number |  | \% of total assays |  | Actual number |  | \% of total assays |  |
|  | T | R | T | R | T | R | T | R |
| Pharmacokinetic Repeat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Above Accepted Range | 77 | 81 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 77 | 81 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| Incomplete Analysis | 66 | 65 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 66 | 65 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| Total | 143 | 146 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 143 | 146 | 6.2 | 6.3 |

Total No. of Samples $=2304$

Did use of recalculated plasma concentration data change study outcome? No (no PK repeats).

## F. Formulation

Location in appendix
Are inactive ingredients within IIG limits?
If no, list ingredients outside of limits
If a tablet, is the product scored?
If yes, which strengths are scored?
Is scoring of RLD the same as test?
Is the formulation acceptable?
If not acceptable, why?

Section IV.B, Page 15
Yes
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
Yes
N/A
G. In Vitro Dissolution

See V:Ifirmsam\Andrx\Itrs\&rev\77419D1204.doc

| Source of Method | USP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Medium | Water |
| Volume (mL) | 900 ml |
| USP Apparatus type | I (Basket) |
| Rotation (rpm) | 100 rpm |
| Firm's proposed specifications | NMT $35 \%$ (Q) in 2 hours |
| FDA-recommended specifications | N/A |
| F2 metric calculated? | Yes |
| $\quad$ If no, reason why F2 not calculated |  |
| Is method acceptable? Yes <br> $\quad$ If not then why?  |  |

## H. Waiver Request(s)

Strengths for which waivers are requested 8 mEq Regulation cited Proportional to strength tested in vivo? Is dissolution acceptable?
Waivers granted?
If not then why?

N/A
Yes (single blend)
Yes
No
Deficiency cited for fasting BE study

| F2 metric, lower strengths compared to highest strength |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low strength | Highest strength | F2 metric for test | F2 metric for RLD |
| 8 mEq | 10 mEq | 90.1 | 78.6 |


| F2 metric, test compared to reference |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strength | F2 metric |  |
| 8 mEq | 62.0 |  |
| 10 mEq | 76.1 |  |

## I. Deficiency Comments

1. The firm did not submit complete sets of individual baseline-corrected data: a) Urinary Potassium Excretion (mEq) per Collection Interval; b) Urinary Potassium Excretion Rate ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) per Collection Interval; and c) Urinary Potassium Concentration ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ ) per Collection Interval in the SAS transport format.
2. The firm should provide justification for using 500 mL water for administration of the study drugs instead of the 240 mL recommended by the Agency.
3. The observed urine concentrations, as reported by the firm, ranged from $7.64 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ to $125 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ (approximately $298-4875 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) for the test product and from $9.44 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ to $153 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ (approximately $368-5969 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) for the reference product and the standard curve was validated over the concentration range from 0.5 to $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. The firm also reported that the quality control samples were prepared at $45.7 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}, 120 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$, and $240 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ and these quality control samples were
diluted using the same dilution factor as that applied to the study samples. The firm should report the dilution factor used for the study samples. If the dilution factor is different from the 6493.5-fold used in the firm's "ability to dilute" study, the firm should provide data to support that the dilution procedure is validated.

## J. Recommendations

1. The bioequivalence study conducted under fasting conditions by Andre Pharmaceuticals, Inc. on its test product, Potassium Chloride ER capsules, USP 10 mEq , lot \#560R020 comparing it to Micro K® 10 mEq capsules, lot \#50167 manufactured by KV Pharmaceuticals is incomplete due to the deficiency cited above.
2. The dissolution testing conducted by the firm on its Potassium Chloride ER 8 mEq capsules is complete. The dissolution testing should be conducted in 900 ml of water at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using USP apparatus I (Basket) at 100 rpm . The test product should meet the following specification:

Not more than $35 \%(Q)$ of the labeled amount of the drug in the dosage form is dissolved in 2 hours.
3. The formulation for the 8 mEq is proportionally similar to the 10 mEq strength test product which underwent bioequivalence testing. However, the waiver of in vivo bioequivalence study requirements for the 8 mEq capsules of the test product is denied pending the DBE acceptance of the BE study on the 10 mEq strength capsule.

Therefore, the application is incomplete.
The firm should be informed of the recommendations.


Patrick Nwakama, Pharm.D. Review Team III,
 Team Leader

## IV. Appendix

## A. Individual Study Reviews

1. Single-dose Fasting Bioequivalence Study
a) Study Design

| Study Information |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Study Number | R03-996 |
| Study Title | Randomized, 2-Way Crossover, Bioequivalence Study of Potassium Chloride ER 10 mEq Capsules (Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) and Micro-K® 10 Extencaps $® 10 \mathrm{mEq}$ Capsules (KV Pharmaceuticals) Administered as $8 \times 10$ mEq Capsules in Healthy Subjects under Fasting Conditions |
| Clinical Site | PRACS Institute, Ltd., Fargo, North Dakota |
| Principal Investigator | James D. Carlson, Pharm.D. |
| Study/Dosing Dates | May 13-29, 2004 (dosed on days \#7 and \#15, respectively). |
| Analytical Site | ${ }^{\text {(b) (4) }}$ |
| Analytical Director |  |
| Analysis Dates | August 10-30, 2004 |
| Storage Period | 109 days (Long-term Stability $=278$ days) |


| Treatment ID | A | B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Test or Reference | Test | Reference |
| Product Name | Potassium Chloride ER | Micro-K® 10 Extencaps® |
| Manufacturer | Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | KV Pharmaceutical |
| Batch/Lot No. | 560 R020A | 50167 |
| Manufacture Date | $4 / 28 / 04$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Expiration Date | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $04 / 2006$ |
| Strength | 10 mEq | 10 mEq |
| Dosage Form | Capsules | Capsules |
| Batch Size | (b) (4) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Production Batch Size | (b) (4) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Potency | $96.8 \%$ | $100.2 \%$ |
| Content Uniformity (mean, \%CV) | $96.0 \%(\mathrm{RSD}=1.0 \%)$ | $100.6 \%(\mathrm{RSD}=1.2 \%)$ |
| Formulation | See Appendix Section B |  |
| Dose Administered | $8 \times 10 \mathrm{mEq}$ | $8 \times 10 \mathrm{mEq}$ |
| Route of Administration | Oral (with $500 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Water)}$ |  |


| No. of Sequences | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| No. of Periods | 2 |
| No. of Treatments | 2 |
| No. of Groups | 1 |
| Washout Period | 8 days |
| Randomization Scheme | AB: 2,3,4,5,7,14,15,16,17,20,23,24,25,26,29,30,31,35 <br> BA: $1,6,8,9,10,11,12,13,18,19,21,22,27,28,32,33,34,36$ |
| Urine Collection Times | Urine was collected on study Days 5-6 and 13-14 for baseline (predose) potassium data and on study Days 7-8 and 15-16 for post-dose potassium data according to the following collection intervals: <br> Pre-dose: $0-8,8-12,12-16,16-8,18-20,20-22,22-23,23-24$, 24-32, 32-36, 36-40, 40-42, 42-44, 44-46, 46-47, 47-48 h <br> Post-dose: $\quad 0-1,1-2,2-4,4-6,6-8,8-12,12-16,16-24,24-25$, $25-26,26-28,28-30,30-32,32-36,36-40,40-48 \mathrm{~h}$. |
| Urine Volume Collected/Sample | 20 ml |
| Urine Sample Processing/Storage | $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| IRB Approval | Yes |
| Informed Consent | Yes |
| Subjects Demographics | See <br> Table 1 |
| Length of Fasting | Overnight for $\geq 10$ hours |
| Length of Confinement | 17 days |
| Safety Monitoring | Vital signs at baseline (hour 0); and at 12- and 24-h post-dosing of each treatment. |

Comments on Study Design: Study design is acceptable.
b) Clinical Results

Table 1 Demographics of Study Subjects ( $\mathrm{n}=36$ )

| Age |  | Weight <br> (Ib) |  | Age Groups |  | Gender |  | Race |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Range | $\%$ | Sex | $\%$ | Category | $\%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $<18$ |  |  |  | Caucasian | 86.11 |
| Mean | 24.9 | Mean | 159.5 | $18-40$ | 97.22 | Male | 66.67 | Afr. Amer. |  |
| SD | 5.3 | SD | 23.4 | $41-64$ | 2.78 | Female | 33.33 | Hispanic | 5.56 |
| Range | $20-40$ | Range | $106-207$ | $65-75$ |  |  |  | Asian | 8.33 |
|  |  |  |  | $>75$ |  |  |  | Others |  |

Table 2 Dropout Information
None
Table 3 Study Adverse Events

| Adverse Event Description | \# in Test <br> Group | \# in Ref. <br> Group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No treatment-related Adverse events. |  |  |

Table 4 Protocol Deviations
Subject \#05 took an NSAID on study day \#13. The integrity of the study was not compromised.
Comments on Dropouts/Adverse Events/Protocol Deviations:
There were not study drug-related adverse events or major protocol deviations occurred to alter the study outcome.

Bioanalytical Results
Table 5 Assay Quality Control - Within Study

| Vol. 1.2, pp. 0946-0951, 1014-1038 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Potassium |
| QC Conc. | $0.9,1.10,1.50,1.70 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Inter day Precision (\%CV) | $2.4-4.3 \%$ |
| Inter day Accuracy (\%) | $100.6-101.8 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Cal. Standards Conc. | $0.5,0.8,1.0,1.20,1.40,1.60,1.80 \& 2.00 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Inter day Precision (\%CV) | $0.4-0.9 \%$ |
| Inter day Accuracy (\%) | $99.5-100.2 \%$ |
| Linearity Range (range of R2 values) | $0.99984-0.99999$ |

Comments on Study Assay Quality Control: See deficiency comments..

| Any interfering peaks in chromatograms? | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Were 20\% of chromatograms included? | Yes |
| Were chromatograms serially or randomly selected? | Serially |

Comments on Chromatograms: No interfering peaks
Table 6 SOP's dealing with analytical repeats of study samples

| SOP No. | Date of SOP | SOP Title |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DH 8.3 | $7 / 06 / 2002$ | Clinical Sample Analysis, Selection of Repeats and Data Reporting. |

Table 7 Additional Comments on Repeat Assays

| Were all SOPs followed? | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Did use of recalculated plasma concentrations change the study outcome? | No |
| Does the reviewer agree with the outcome of the repeat assays? | Yes |
| If no, reason for disagreement |  |

Summary/Conclusions, Study Assays: Study is incomplete (see deficiency comments on page 7).
c) Pharmacokinetic Results

Table 8 Arithmetic Mean Pharmacokinetic Parameters, $\mathrm{n}=36$
Mean urine concentrations are presented in Table 11, 12, 13, 14 and Figures 1,2,3,4:

## Non-Baseline Adjusted:

|  | Test |  | Reference |  | T/R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * * Parameter . . | Mean | \%CV | Mean | \%CV |  |
| $\text { Ae } 0-24 \mathrm{~h}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $A=0-48 h$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tmax | - | - | - | - | - |

## Baseline Adjusted:

|  | Test |  | Reference |  | T/R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Mean | \%CV | Mean | \%CV |  |
| $\mathrm{Ae}-24 \mathrm{~h}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{AeO}-48 \mathrm{~h}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tmax | - | - | - | - | - |

UNIT: Ae0-24h = mEq; Rmax = mEq/hr; Tmax $=\mathrm{hr}$

Table 9 Least Square Geometric Means and $90 \%$ Confidence Intervals

## Non-Baseline Adjusted:

| $4=4$ | Test | Ref. | T/R | LOWC112 | UPPC112 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PARAMETER |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ae0-24h |  | - | - | - |  |
| Ae0-48 | - | - | - | - |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}$ | - | - | - | - |  |
| LAe0-24h | - | - | - | - | - |
| LAeO-48h | - |  | - | - | - |
| $L R_{\text {max }}$ |  | - | - | - | - |

Baseline Adjusted:

|  | Test | Ref. | T/R | LOWC112 | UPPC112 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PARAMETER |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ae0-24h |  |  |  |  |  |
| $A=0-48 \mathrm{~h}$ |  |  |  | - |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}$ |  |  |  | - |  |
| LAeO-24h | - |  |  | - |  |
| LAeO-48h | - | - |  | - | - |
| $\mathrm{LR}_{\text {max }}$ | - |  |  | - | - |

UNIT: Ae0-24h (cumulative urinary excretion) $=\mathrm{mEq}$; Rmax (maximal rate of urinary excretion) $=\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{hr}$
Table 10 Additional Study Information

| Root mean square error, $\mathrm{Ae} 0-24 \mathrm{~h}$ | - |
| :--- | :--- |
| Root mean square error, $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}$ | - |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}$ and $\mathrm{Ae} 0-24 \mathrm{~h}$ determined for how many subjects? | - |
| Do you agree or disagree with firm's decision? | - |
| Indicate the number of subjects with the following: |  |
| -measurable drug concentrations at 0 hr | All (require baseline correction) |
| Were the subjects dosed as more than one group? | No |

Comments on Pharmacokinetic and Statistical Analysis:
The firm did not submit complete sets of individual data for the baseline-corrected: a) Urinary Potassium Excretion (mEq) per Collection Interval; b) Urinary Potassium Excretion Rate ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{)} \mathrm{per} \mathrm{Collection}$ Interval in the SAS transport format.

Summary and Conclusions, Single-Dose Fasting Bioequivalence Study:
The single-dose fasting bioequivalence study is incomplete (see deficiency comments on page 7).

Table 11 Mean Urinary Potassium Excretion per Interval (mEq), (Baseline-uncorrected)
Deferred (see deficiency section)
Table 12 Mean Urinary Potassium Excretion per Interval (mEq), (Baseline-corrected)
Deferred (see deficiency section)
Table 13 Mean Rates of Urinary Potassium Excretion (mEq/hr), (Baseline-uncorrected)
Deferred (see deficiency section)
Table 14 Mean Rates of Urinary Potassium Excretion (mEq/hr), (Baseline-corrected)
Deferred (see deficiency section)
Figure 1 Mean Cumulative Amount of Urinary Potassium Excretion per Interval (mEq), (Baselineuncorrected)

Deferred (see deficiency section)
Figure 2 Mean Cumulative Amount of Urinary Potassium Excretion per Interval (mEq), (Baselinecorrected)

Deferred (see deficiency section)

Figure 3 Mean Rates of Urinary Potassium Excretion ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{hr}$ ), (Baseline-uncorrected)
Deferred (see deficiency section)

Figure 4 Mean Rates of Urinary Potassium Excretion (mEq/hr), (Baseline-corrected)
Deferred (see deficiency section)
B. Formulation Data


## C. Dissolution Data

See v:Ifirmsam/AndrxXltrs\&rev177419D1204.doc.
USP Method \& Specification: 900 mL , Deionized Water, Apparatus I (Basket), 100 rpm ;
NMT 35\% (Q) in 2 hours.
Table 1


Figure 5 Dissolution Profiles

D. Consult Reviews

None
E. SAS Output

| Study | SAS Data | SAS Program | SAS Output |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fasting Study | Data Submitted Incomplete |  |  |

## F. Additional Attachments

None

## BIOEQUIVALENCE DEFICIENCY COMMENTS

ANDA: 77419
APPLICANT: Andrx Pharmaceuticals
DRUG PRODUCT: Potassium Chloride ER Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq
The Division of Bioequivalence has completed its review of your submission(s) acknowledged on the cover sheet. The following deficiencies have been identified:

1. Please provide complete sets of individual data for the
baseline-corrected: a) Urinary Potassium Excretion (mEq) per
Collection Interval; b) Urinary Potassium Excretion Rate ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) per Collection Interval; and c)Urinary Potassium Concentration (mEq/L) per Collection Interval in SAS transport format.

The bioequivalence data to be submitted should be provided in a diskette or CD in SAS Transport format in two separate files as described below:
a. SUBJ SEQ PER TRT AE24 AE48 RMAX TMAX LAE24 LAE48 LRMAX b. SUBJ SEQ PER TRT C1 C2 C3 ...... Cn

Where 'C' is urinary potassium concentration.
Please separate each field with a blank space and indicate missing values with a period (.).
2. The observed urine concentrations, as you reported, ranged from $7.64 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ to $125 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ (approximately 298 - $4875 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) for the test product and from $9.44 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ to $153 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ (approximately 368 - $5969 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ for the reference product and the standard curve was validated over the concentration range from 0.5 to 2 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. You also reported that the quality control samples were prepared at $45.7 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}, 120 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$, and $240 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ and these quality control samples were diluted using the same dilution factor as that applied to the study samples. You should report the dilution factor used for the study samples. If the dilution factor is different from the 6493.5-fold used in your "ability to dilute" study, you should provide data to support that the dilution procedure is validated.
3. Please provide justification for using 500 mL water for administration of the study drugs instead of the 240 mL recommended by the Agency.

Please refer to the Guidance for Industry: "Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format-ANDAs" for information regarding the proper format at: www.fda.gov/cder/guidance /index.htm (under electronic submissions).

Sincerely yours,


CC: wANDA 77419
AND DUPLICATE
DIVISION FILE
HFD-650/ Bio Drug File HFD-650/P. Nwakama


Endorsements: (Draft and Final with Dates) HFD-658/P. Nwakama HFD-658/ YC Huang HFD-650/ S. Mazzella
HFD-650/D. Conner
 $7 / 21 / 05$
Final: 7/20/05
V:lfirmsamlAndrx\ltrs\&revl77419N1204
BIOEQUIVALENCE - Incomplete
Submission Date: December 1, 2004

1. FASTING STUDY (STF) old Strength: 10 mEq

Clinical: Algorithme Pharma Inc., 9000 Boulevard de L'Acadie, Montreal, Canada Outcome: IC Analytical:
2. DISSOLUTION WAIVER (DIW) N

Strength: 8 mEq
Outcome: IC

Outcome Decisions:
IC - Incomplete.

## DIVISION OF BIOEQUIVALENCE DISSOLUTION REVIEW

| ANDA No. | $77-419$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Drug Product Name | Potassium Chloride Extended-Release Capsules, USP |
| Strength | 8 mEq and 10 mEq |
| Applicant Name | Andrx Pharmaceuticals |
| Submission Date(s) | December 1, 2004 |
| First Generic | No |
| Reviewer | Shirley K. Lu |
| File Location | V:lfirmsam\Andrx\Itrs\&rev\77419D1204.doc <br> Clinical Site |
| PRACS Institute, Ltd. <br> Fargo, ND 58104 |  |
| Analytical Site | Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. <br> Dissolution Testing <br> Site |
|  | 4955 Orange Drive <br> Fort Lauderdale, FL 33314 <br> and <br> Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. <br> 2945 West Corporate Lakes Blvd, Suite B <br> Weston, FL 33331 |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is a review of the dissolution testing data only.
The dissolution testing is complete. There is a USP method for this product. The firm's dissolution testing data with the USP method are acceptable. The DBE acknowledges that the firm will follow the USP method and specification. The firm should provide complete SAS transport files of data for the fasting bioequivalence study.

The DBE will review the fasted BE study and waiver request at a later date.

## RLD METHOD

| Medium | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Volume | 900 mL |
| Temperature | $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Apparatus | 1 (basket) |
| Rotational Speed | 100 rpm |
| Specfication | NMT $35 \%$ (Q) in 2 hours |

Source of Method: USP 28 (as of 4/11/05)

Table 1. Summary of In Vitro Dissolution Data

| Study <br> Ref. No. | Product ID/Batch No. | Dosage Form | Conditions | No. of Dosage Units | Collection TimesMean \%Dissolved (Range) |  |  |  |  |  | Study Report Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 hr | 2 hr | 4 hr | 6 hr | 8 hr | 12 hr |  |
| R03-996 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Andra } \\ & \text { 560R020A } \end{aligned}$ | 10 mEq ER tab. | Apparatus: 1 (USP) <br> Speed of Rotation: <br> 100 rpm <br> Medium: water <br> Volume: 900 mL <br> Temperature: $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> Specification: NMT <br> 35\% (Q) in 2 hours | 12 | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (21-25) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ (41-45) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ (69-74) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ (88-90) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ (94-97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ (97-99) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | V 1.2 p. 712 |
| R03-996 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Micro-K®/ } \\ & 50167 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 10 mEq ER tab. |  | 12 | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (18-20) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (36-39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ (67-70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ (84-90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92 \\ (86-96) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ (94-102) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| N/A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Androd } \\ & \text { 559R020A } \end{aligned}$ | 8 mEq ER tab. |  | 12 | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (20-25) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ (37-47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ (66-77) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ (85-92) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96 \\ (93-98) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ (97-100) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | N/A |
| N/A | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Micro-K®/ } \\ & 030274 \end{aligned}$ | 8 mEq <br> ER tab. |  | 12 | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (18-20) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (34-39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ (55-67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ (81-87) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ (90-97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ (94-103) \end{gathered}$ |  |

Acceptance Table

| Stage | Number <br> Tested |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $S 1$ | 6 | Each unit is within the range $\mathrm{Q} \pm 30 \%$. |
| $S 2$ | 6 | Average of 12 units $(S 1+S 2)$ is within the range between $Q-30 \%$ and $Q+35 \%$, and no unit is outside the range $Q \pm 40 \%$. |
| $S 3$ | 12 | Average of 24 units $(S 1+S 2+S 3)$ <br> range $Q \pm 40 \%$. |

Table 2. SAS Transport Files

| Are the SAS files located in the EDR ? (Yes/No) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Fasting BE Study |  |
| Plasma Data | No |
| PK data | No |
| Fed BE Study |  |
| Plasma Data | N/A |
| PK Data | N/A |

## COMMENTS

The dissolution testing is complete. The firm submitted dissolution testing data for their Potassium chloride extended-release capsules, USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq and Micro-K®, 8 mEq and 10 mEq using the USP method. The USP specification is NMT $35 \%(\mathrm{Q})$ in 2 hours. The dissolution data using the USP method indicate that the test products pass the USP specification at the S1 level using the acceptance table specific to this drug product. The firm should provide complete SAS transport files of data for the fasting bioequivalence study.

## DEFICIENCY COMMENTS

1. The firm did not submit complete electronic SAS transport files for its bioequivalence ( BE ) study. The firm should submit data for fasting BE study (R03-996).
2. In order to improve the review process, the Division of Bioequivalence requests that you provide the in-vivo study data summary, dissolution data and formulation data in the format specified in the attached template. This template incorporates some elements of the CTD format. We request that you provide the study summaries in this template in an electronic file. We hope to improve the efficiency of our review process and your cooperation is greatly appreciated. It would be helpful if you could provide this information for any other applications pending in the Division and in applications to be submitted in the future.

## RECOMMENDATIONS:

The in vitro dissolution testing conducted by Andrx Pharmaceuticals on its test product, Potassium chloride extended-release capsules, USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq comparing it to KV Pharmaceutical's Micro-K® capsules, 8 mEq and 10 mEq is complete.

The firm should provide complete SAS transport files of data for the fasting bioequivalence study.


$$
\text { Ollohariwal. } \quad 4 / 14 / 2005
$$

Kuldeep R. Dhariwal, Ph.D. Date
Team Leader, Branch IV
Division of Bioequivalence

CC: ANDA: 77-419
ANDA DUPLICATE
DIVISION FILE
HFD-650/ Bio Drug File
HFD-650/Lu
HFD/650/Fabian-Fritsch
V:\firmsam\Andrx\Itrs\&rev\77419D1204.doc
Printed in final on 4/14/05
Endorsements: (Final with Dates)
HFD-650/Lu Skl 4/14/0S
HFD-650/Dhariwal ..... 14105
HFD/650/Fabian-Fritsch
HFD-650/Conner $\beta$ ned ylislos
BIOEQUIVALENCE - INCOMPLETE Submission date: December 1, 2004
[NOTE: The in vitro testing is incomplete. The fasting BE study and waiver request are pending review]

1. DISSOLUTION (Dissolution Data) Strengths: $\quad 8 \mathrm{mEq}$ and 10 mEq
Outcome: ..... IC
Outcome Decisions: IC - Incomplete

# CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH 

APPLICATION NUMBER: ANDA 77-419

## OUD APPROVAL ROUTING SUMMARY

## AND

 \# 77-419 Applicant Andre Pharmaceuticals LLCDrug Potassium Chloride Extended Release Capsules, USP 8 mEg and 10 mEg Strength (s)
APPROVAL $\triangle$ TENTATIVE APPROVAL $\square$ SUPPLEMENTAL APPROVAL (NEW STRENGTH) $\square$ OTHER $\square$
REVIEWER: $\quad$ DRAFT Package FINAL Package

## 1. Martin Shimer

Chief, Reg. Support Branch
Contains GDEA certification
Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square \quad$ Determ. of Involvement? Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$
(required if sub after 6/1/92)
Date 20 May 2008
, $1 / 08$
Initialsuls

Patent/Exclusivity Certification: Yes $\boxtimes$ No Pediatric Exclusivity System

If Para. IV Certification- did applicant Notify patent holder/NDA holder Yes $\square$ No $\square$ Was applicant sued whin 45 days:Yes $\square$ No $\square$ Has case been settled: Yes $\square$ No $\square$ Is applicant eligible for 180 day Generic Drugs Exclusivity for each strength: Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ Date of latest Labeling Review/Approval Summary $\qquad$
Any filing status changes requiring addition Labeling Review Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ Type of Letter: Full Approval. Comments:ANDA submitted on 12/2/2004, BOS=Micro K Capsules NDA 18-238, PI cert. ANDA ark for filing on $12 / 2 / 2004$ (LO dated $1 / 25 / 2005$ ) for both the 8 and 10 mEq strengths. GMP letter issued to the applicant on $7 / 27 / 2006$. There are no remaining patents or exclusivities which protect the RLD. This ANDA is eligible for Full Approval.
2. Project Manager, Dat Doan Team 1 Pediatric Waiver Request Accepted $\square$ Rejected $\square$ Pending $\square$ Previously reviewed and tentatively approved $\square$ Date Previously reviewed and CGMP def. /NA Minor issued $\square$ Date

## Date 5/15/08 <br> Initialsdd

.

Review Support Branch -
Original Rec'd date 12/1/04
Date Acceptable for Filing $12 / 2 / 04$
Patent Certification (type )PI
Date Patent/Exclus.expires
Citizens' Petition/Legal Case Yes 口 No (If YES, attach email from PM to CP coord) First Generic Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ Priority Approval Yes $\square$ No $\square$ (If yes, prepare Draft Press Release, Email it to Cecelia Parise)
Acceptable Bio review tabbed Yes $\square$ No $\square$ Bio Review Filed in DFS: Yes $\square$ No $\triangle$ Suitability Petition/Pediatric Waiver Comments: BIO AC 3/13/06

Date $\qquad$ Initials $\qquad$ Date of EER Status 5/28/08
Date of Office Bio Review Date of Labeling Approv. Sum 5/26/05 Date of Sterility Assur. App. Methods Val. Samples Pending Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ MV Commitment Rcd. from Firm Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ Modified-release dosage form: Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$ Interim Dissol. Specs in AP Lir: Yes $\boxtimes$

RID $=$ NDA\# 18-238
Date Checked N/A
Nothing Submitted
Written request issued
Study Submitted
Date settled:

$\qquad$
3. Labeling Endorsement
Reviewer: Labeling Team Leader:

Date
Date 6/1/08
Name/Initials
Name/Initials rlw/for
Comments:
From: Grace, John F
Sent: Friday, May 16, 2008 3:51 PM
To: Barlow, James T; Doan, Dat
Subject: RE: 77-419/Potassium Chloride/Andrx
concur.

From: Barlow, James $T$

```
Sent: Friday, May 16, 2008 3:50 PM
To: Doan, Dat; Grace, John F
Subject: RE: 77-419/Potassium Chloride/Andrx
I checked Drugs@FDA, OB and USP.
The labeling Approval Summary signed by John Grace on 5/26/05 remains acceptable.
```

```
From: Doan, Dat
Sent: Friday, May 16, 2008 3:37 PM
To: Barlow, James T; Grace, John F
Subject: 77-419/Potassium Chloride/Andrx
Hi Jim, John:
Can I please get your endorsement for 77-419/Potassium Chloride/Andrx?
<< File: 77419.ap.letter.DOC >>
<< File: 77419.ap.labeling.summary.pdf
```

4. David Read (PP IVs Only) Pre-MMA Language included $\square$ Date 6/1/08 OGD Regulatory Counsel, Post-MMA Language Included $\square$ Initials rlw/for Comments: N/A. There are no patents listed in the "Orange Book" for this drug product.
5. Div. Dir./Deputy Dir. Date5/30/08 Chemistry Div. I II OR III InitialsPS Comments:cmc ok, ees ok.
6. Frank Holcombe First Generics Only Date 6/1/08
```
Assoc. Dir. For Chemistry
Initials rlw/for
```

Comments: (First generic drug review)
N/A. TEVA's ANDA 73-531 for this drug product was approved on April 26, 1996.
7. Vacant

Deputy Dir., DLPS
RLD $=$ Micro-K 8 mEq and 10 mEq
KV Pharmaceutical Company NDA 18-238 (001, 002).
8. Peter Rickman

Date6/1/08
Director, DLPS
Initials rlw/for
Para.IV Patent Cert: Yes $\square$ No $\square$; Pending Legal Action: Yes $\square$ No $\square$; Petition: Yes $\square$ No $\square$ Comments: Bioequivalence study (fasting) on the 10 mEq capsule strength found acceptable. Waiver granted to the 8 mEq strength under 21 CFr 320.22 (d) (2). In-vitro dissolution data for both capsule strengths found acceptable. Bio study sites have acceptable DSI inspection histories. Office-level bio endorsed 3/14/06.

Final-printed labeling (FPL) found acceptable for approval 5/26/05, as endorsed 5/16/08, above.

CMC found acceptable for approval (Chemistry Review \#3) 5/29/08.
8. Robert L. West Date 6/1/08
Deputy Director, OGD Initials RLWest Press Release Acceptable
Comments: Acceptable EES dated 5/29/08 (Verified 6/1/08). No "OAI" Alerts noted.
There are no patents or exclusivities listed in the current "Orange Book" for this drug product.
With the finding of acceptable cGMP status by the field, this ANDA is recommended for approval.
9. Gary Buehler ..... Date 6/1/08
Director, OGD Initials rlw/for
Comments:
First Generic Approval PD or Clinical for BE $\square$ Special Scientific or Reg.IssuePress Release Acceptable
10. Project Manager, Team Dat DoanDate6/2/08
Review Support Branch ..... Initials dd
Date PETS checked for first generic drug (just prior to notification to firm)
Applicant notification:
9:25am Time notified of approval by phone
9:30am Time approval letter faxed
FDA Notification:
6/2/08 Date e-mail message sent to "CDER-OGDAPPROVALS" distribution list.6/2/08 Date Approval letter copied to <br>CDS014\DRUGAPP\ directory.

ORANGE BOOK PRINT OFF:

Patent and Exclusivity Search Results from query on Appl No 018238 Product 002 in the OB_Rx list.

## Patent Data

There are no unexpired patents for this product in the Orange Book Database.
[Note: Title I of the 1984 Amendments does not apply to drug products submitted or approved under the former Section 507 of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (antibiotic products). Drug products of this category will not have patents listed.]

## Exclusivity Data

There is no unexpired exclusivity for this product.
View a list of all patent use codes
View a list of all exclusivity codes
Return to Electronic Orange Book Home Page

FDA/Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Office of Generic Drugs
Division of Labeling and Program Support
Update Frequency:

```
    Orange Book Data - Monthly
```

Generic Drug Product Information \& Patent Information - Daily
Orange Book Data Updated Through April, 2008
Patent and Generic Drug Product Data Last Updated: May 30, 2008

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

## /s/

Dat Doan
6/2/2008 10:41:31 AM

L L C

## LABELING AMENDMENT

## . NEW CORRESP

February 14, 2007
Office of Generic Drugs
Mc
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION
Document Control Room
Metro Park North II
7500 Standish Place, Room 150
ROCKVILLE MD 20855-2773
ANDA 77-419
Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules, $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{m E q} \& 10 \mathbf{m E q}$
Dear Sir or Madam:
As per the FDA Docket 92S-0251 (Effective October 31, 2005), Andrx is providing SPL labeling for the above reference application.

Please refer to the enclosed $C D$, which contains the following file:

- Insert in SPL format.
:

Please direct any questions regarding this application to William Stahovec at (954) 358-6.124, email at wstahovec@andrx.com, or fax at (954) 358-6350.

Sincerely,


William Stahovec
Director of Regulatory Affairs

RECEIVED
FEB 162007
OGD / CDER

Andrx Pharmaceuticals, LLC
Attention: Janet Vaughn
Director, Regulatory Affairs
4955 Orange Drive
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33314

Dear Madam:

This is in reference to your abbreviated new drug application (ANDA) dated December 1, 2004, submitted pursuant to Section 505 (j) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the Act), for Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq .

Reference is also made to your amendments dated May 13, May 20 (two amendments), and August 16, 2005; and February 16, 2006.

The application is deficient and, therefore, not approvable under 21 CFR 314.125 (b) (13) because the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) is unable to find that the methods used in, and the facilities and controls used for, the manufacture, processing, packaging, or holding of Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq comply with current good manufacturing practice (cGMP) regulations.

Our conclusion is based upon apparent systemic violations of cGMP regulations as documented by investigators during multiple inspections of Andrx's manufacturing operations. The most recent inspection concluded in April 2006. Upon review of the investigators' inspectional observations, the Division of Manufacturing and Product Quality in the Center's Office of Compliance recommend that OGD withhold approval of this ANDA until the inspectional deficiencies have been satisfactorily resolved.

Until such time that you can demonstrate to the Agency that the problems have been corrected and the Agency's concerns are otherwise satisfied, your application cannot be approved.

You should amend this application when the cGMP-related issues have been satisfactorily resolved. Your amendment to the application submitted in response to this not approvable letter will be considered a MINOR AMENDMENT provided that the amendment contains no significant additional information necessary to remedy the cGMP problems, and includes a statement from a responsible corporate official certifying that your facilities have been found to be in compliance with CGMPs and have been cleared for approval of the drug product by representatives of the local FDA District Office. If, as a result of follow-up inspections related to the ongoing evaluation of this or other applications, it is necessary for you to significantly revise your procedures, controls or practices to correct the deficiencies, then the amendment will be considered to represent a MAJOR AMENDMENT. Your amendment should be plainly marked as such in your cover letter.

The file on this application is now closed. You are required to take an action described under 21 CFR 314.120 which will either amend or withdraw this application. If you have substantial disagreement with our reasons for not approving this application, you may request an opportunity for a hearing.

Sincerely yours,

## CKCätel 7/27/06

Rashmikant M. Patel, Ph.D. Director
Division of Chemistry I
Office of Generic Drugs
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research

CC: ANDA 77-419

> ANDA 77-419/DUP

Division File Field Copy
HFD-324

## Endorsements:



V: \FIRMSAM $\backslash$ ANDRX $\backslash$ LTRS\&REV $\backslash 77419$.NA. cGMP. 1tr.doc F/T by: SE

NOT APPROVABLE - MINOR

ANDA 77-419
Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules, $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{~ m E q ~ \& ~} 10 \mathrm{mEq}$
February 16, 2006
Gary Buehler, Director
Office of Generic Drugs
CDER, FDA
Metro Park North II
7500 Standish Place, Room 150
Rockville, MD 20855

## ORG AMIENDAREMT <br> $N / A B$

## RE: BIOEQUIVALENCY AMENDMENT

Dear Mr. Buehler:
In accordance with 21 CFR 314.96, Andrx Pharmaceuticals, LLC is submitting a Bioequivalency Amendment to respond to the following deficiencies listed in your January 27, 2006 facsimile for the above-referenced application (facsimile attached):

1. You stated that you used the same dilution factor of $\mathbf{6 4 9 3 . 5}$ for all study samples. However, based on the final concentrations of the urine samples reported, one would conclude that many of study samples would have been outside the lower limit of the standard curve. Please clarify whether you really used a dilution factor of $\mathbf{6 4 9 3 . 5}$ for all study samples.

## Response:

During validation of the method for the analysis of Potassium Chloride in Human Urine by Atomic Absorption, we demonstrated the "ability to dilute" up to a factor of 6493.5. No factor greater than 6493.5 was tested as no need for it was anticipated. (Please see a copy of page 9 appearing in the validation report, added for your convenience and identified here as Appendix 1).

You are correct in stating that had the dilution factor of 6493.5 been used for all study samples, final concentrations of some urine samples would have been outside the lower limit of the standard curve. However, during the analysis of the subject samples, the dilution factor used was not 6493.5 but rather a smaller dilution factor - one appropriate to ensure that final concentrations fell within the validated range. The actual dilution factor used was provided in the individual run reports submitted, but a copy is attached for your convenience. (Appendix 2).

To ensure that the dilution of subject samples was carried out correctly, quality control samples were also diluted. The quality control sample, identified as QCE, was diluted using the same dilution factor (for example, 935.2) as was used to dilute the clinical samples. For the dilution result to be acceptable, the results obtained for the Quality Control must meet predetermined (as per SOP) criteria. ${ }^{(b)}(4)$ Quality Control samples that were diluted must have a final result (once the dilution factor is taken into account) that is within ${ }_{(4)}^{(b)} \%$ of the nominatemed sample data to be accepted and reported.

In Summary: The dilution factor 6493.5 was at the highest value which we tested and established as acceptable in the "ability to dilute" in our validation study only. It was not the dilution factor used in the subject sample analysis.

- Study samples were diluted using a dilution factor varying from 472.6 to 3086.4 . The dilution factor chosen ensured that the concentration fell within the validated range.
- The same dilution factor (for example, 472.6) carried out on a batch of clinical samples was applied to quality control samples. (This dilution factor at no time exceeded the highest established dilution factor of 6493.5).

2. In the statistical report (page 724) of your submission, you stated that the values of Ae0-24 and Ae0-48 were 'obtained by inspection'. Please explain how these values were obtained by inspection

## Response:

The statistical report currently states the following:
Ae0-24: Cumulative urinary excretion from $0-24$ hours ( mEq ), obtained by inspection Ae0-48: Cumulative urinary excretion from $0-48$ hours ( mEq ), obtained by inspection

The intended meaning of "obtained by inspection" was "obtained by inspection of the data". However, a more definitive description would be:

Ae0-24: Cumulative urinary excretion from 0-24 hours ( mEq ), calculated by summation of the urinary excretion amount from $0-24$ hours

Ae0-48: Cumulative urinary excretion from $0-48$ hours ( mEq ), calculated by summation of the urinary excretion amount from $0-48$ hours
3. As stated in the clinical report (page 1042) of your submission, the urine volume was recorded via weight at the end of each collection interval. In Tables 5-8 (pages 738-741), the urine volume was listed in liters. Please explain, with examples, how the urine volume was converted from "grams" to "liters" for each collected study sample.

## Response:

The conversion factor used for the Specific Gravity of urine is such that 1 g is equivalent to 1 mL . Therefore the conversion is $1 \mathrm{~g}=1 \mathrm{~mL}$. The use of this conversion factor is considered valid, as the fluid intake of each study subject is constant and consistent as per protocol (Page 10 of protocol - 500 mL of room temperature water will be consumed at approximately 0800 hour each day. At least 200 mL of fluid will be consumed every hour for the next 12 hours.) With similar fluid intake for all subjects (at least 3660 mL in 12 hours), the urine specific gravity for the subjects can therefore be expected to be low (the result of dilute urine), consistent and collectively within a narrow range. Therefore using 1 g equals 1 mL as a conversion factor is valid.

Tables $1 \mathrm{a}-4 \mathrm{a}$ lists the Container and Total Weight (in grams [or mL ]). When calculating the volume (Tables 5-8), the Container Weight is being subtracted from the Total Weight. This value is then divided by 1000 , converting mL to L .

Example using Subject 1, Period 2, -48 to -47 h collection interval:
Table 1a:
Individual Container Weight at Each Collection Interval-Test Product (Baseline) is $\mathbf{4 8 . 6 2} \mathbf{g}$
Table 2a:
Individual Container and Urine Weight at Each Collection Interval-Test Product (Baseline) is 94.1 g

Hence the weight of urine for this interval is $94.1 \mathrm{~g}-48.62 \mathrm{~g}=\mathbf{4 5 . 4 8} \mathrm{g}$, and based on $1 \mathrm{~g}=1 \mathrm{~mL}$ conversion, becomes 45.48 mL . This value is then converted to liters by dividing by 1000 and is found in Table 5 Individual Urine Volume at Each Collection Interval - Test Product (Baseline), in liters as 0.045 L (rounded to three significant figures).

Should you have any questions or comments concerning this amendment, please contact the undersigned at (954) 358-6125 (direct line), (954) 214-0145 (cellular) or (954) 358-6350 (Fax.).

Sincerely,


Director Regulatory Affairs

# BIOEQUIVALENCY AMENDMENT 

## ANDA 77-419

OFFICE OF GENERIC DRUGS, CDER, FDA Document Control Room, Metro Park North II 7500 Standish Place, Room 150
Rockville, MD 20855-2773 (301-594-0320)


APPLICANT: Andre Pharmaceuticals, LLC
TEL: 954-358-6125

ATTN: Janet Vaughn
FAX: 954-358-6350

FROM: Steven Mazzella
PROJECT MANAGER: (301) 827-5847

## Dear Madam:

This facsimile is in reference to the bioequivalency data submitted on August 16, 2005, pursuant to Section $505(\mathrm{j})$ of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act for Potassium Chloride Extended Release Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq .

The Division of Bioequivalence has completed its review of the submissions) referenced above and has identified deficiencies which are presented on the attached ___ pages. This facsimile is to be regarded as an official FDA communication and unless requested, a hard-copy will not be mailed.

You should submit a response to these deficiencies in accord with 21 CFR 314.96. Your amendment should respond to all the deficiencies listed. Facsimiles or partial replies will not be considered for review, nor will the review clock be reactivated until all deficiencies have been addressed. Your cover letter should clearly indicate that the response is a "Bioequivalency Amendment" and clearly identify any new studies (i.e., fasting, fed, multiple dose, dissolution data, waiver or dissolution waiver) that might be included for each strength. We also request that you include a copy of this communication with your response. Please submit a copy of your amendment in both an archival (blue) and a review (orange) jacket. Please direct any questions concerning this communication to the project manager identified above.

## SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

THIS DOCUMENT IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE PARTY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, OR PROTECTED FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW.
If received by someone other than the addressee or a person authorized to deliver this document to the addressee, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying, or other action to the content of this communication is not authorized. If you have received this document in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return it to us by mail at the above address.

## BIOEQUIVALENCE DEFICIENCIES TO BE PROVIDED TO THE APPLICANT

ANDA: 77419

DRUG PRODUCT: Potassium Chloride ER Capsules USP, 8 mEg and 10 mEq

The Division of Bioequivalence has completed its review of your submission acknowledged on the cover sheet and the following deficiencies have been identified:

1. You stated that you used the same dilution factor of 6493.5 for all study samples. However, based on the final concentrations of the urine samples reported, one would conclude that many of study samples would have been outside the lower limit of the standard curve. Please clarify whether you really used a dilution factor of 6493.5 for all the study samples.
2. In the statistical report (page 724 ) of your submission, you stated that the values of Ae0-24 and Ae0-48 were "obtained by inspection". Please explain how these values were obtained by inspection.
3. As stated in the clinical report (page 10.42) of your submission, the urine volume was recorded via weight at the end of each collection interval. In Tables 5-8 (pages 738741), the urine volume was listed in liters. Please explain, with examples, how the urine volume was converted from "grams" to "liters" for each collected study sample.

Sincerely yours,


Dale P. Conner, Pharm.D. Director, Division of Bioequivalence Office of Generic Drugs Center for Drug Evaluation and Research

# BIOEQUIVALENCY AMENDMENT 

ANDA 77-419

OFFICE OF GENERIC DRUGS, CDER, FDA
Document Control Room, Metro Park North II
7500 Standish Place, Room 150
Rockville, MD 20855-2773 (301-594-0320)

## JUL 262005



APPLICANT: Andrx Pharmaceuticals, LLC
TEL: 954-358-6125

ATTN: Janet Vaughn
FAX: 954-358-6350

FROM: Steven Mazzella
PROJECT MANAGER: (301) 827-5847

Dear Madam:

This facsimile is in reference to the bioequivalency data submitted on December 1, 2004, pursuant to Section 505(j) of the Federal Foọd, Drug, and Cosmetic Act for Potassium Chloride Extended Release Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq .

The Division of Bioequivalence has completed its review of the submission(s) referenced above and has identified deficiencies which are presented on the attached 2 pages. This facsimile is to be regarded as an official FDA communication and unless requested, a hard-copy will not be mailed.

You should submit a response to these deficiencies in accord with 21 CFR 314.96. Your amendment should respond to all the deficiencies listed. Facsimiles or partial replies will not be considered for review, nor will the review clock be reactivated until all deficiencies have been addressed. Your cover letter should clearly indicate that the response is a "Bioequivalency Amendment" and clearly identify any new studies (i.e., fasting, fed, multiple dose, dissolution data, waiver or dissolution waiver) that might be included for each strength. We also request that you include a copy of this communication with your response. Please submit a copy of your amendment in both an archival (blue) and a review (orange) jacket. Please direct any questions concerning this communication to the project manager identified above.

## SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

THIS DOCUMENT IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE PARTY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, OR PROTECTED FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW.
If received by someone other than the addressee or a person authorized to deliver this document to the addressee, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying, or other action to the content of this communication is not authorized. If you have received this document in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and retum it to us by mail at the above address.

## BIOEQUIVALENCE DEFICIENCY COMMENTS

ANDA: 77419
APPLICANT: Andrx Pharmaceuticals

DRUG PRODUCT:Potassium Chloride ER Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq
The Division of Bioequivalence has completed its review of your submission(s) acknowledged on the cover sheet. The following deficiencies have been identified:

1. Please provide complete sets of individual data for the baseline-corrected: a) Urinary Potassium Excretion (mEq) per Collection Interval; b) Urinary Potassium Excretion Rate (mEq/hr) per Collection Interval; and c)Urinary Potassium Concentration (mEq/L) per Collection Interval in SAS transport format.

The bioequivalence data to be submitted should be provided in a diskette or $C D$ in SAS Transport format in two separate files as described below:
a. SUBJ SEQ PER TRT AE24 AE48 RMAX TMAX LAE24 LAE48 LRMAX b. SUBJ SEQ PER TRT C1 C2 C3 ...... . Cn

Where 'C' is urinary potassium concentration.
Please separate each field with a blank space and indicate missing values with a period (.).
2. The observed urine concentrations, as you reported, ranged from $7.64 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ to $125 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ (approximately 298 - $4875 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) for the test product and from $9.44 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ to $153 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ (approximately 368 - $5969 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ for the reference product and the standard curve was validated over the concentration range from 0.5 to 2 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. You also reported that the quality control samples were prepared at $45.7 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}, 120 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$, and $240 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ and these quality control samples were diluted using the same dilution factor as that applied to the study samples. You should report the dilution factor used for the study samples. If the dilution factor is different from the 6493.5-fold used in your "ability to dilute" study, you should provide data to support that the dilution procedure is validated.
3. Please provide justification for using 500 mL Water for administration of the study drugs instead of the 240 mL recommended by the Agency.

Please refer to the Guidance for Industry: "Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format-ANDAs" for information regarding the proper format at: www.fda.gov/cder/guidance /index.htm (under electronic submissions).

> Sincerely yours,


Director, Division of Bioequivalence
Office of Generic Drugs
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research

OFFICE OF GENERIC DRUGS, CDER, FDA
Document Control Room, Metro Park North II
7500 Standish Place, Room 150
Rockville, MD 20855-2773 (301-594-0320)


APPLICANT: Andrx Pharmaceuticals, LLC
ATTN: Janet Vaughn
FROM: Simon Eng

TEL: 954-358-6125
FAX: 954-358-6350
PROJECT MANAGER: (301) 827-5765

Dear Madam:
This facsimile is in reference to your abbreviated new drug application dated December 1, 2004, submitted pursuant to Section 505(j) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act for Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq .

The application is deficient and, therefore, Not Approvable under Section 505 of the Act for the reasons provided in the attachments (_1_ pages). This facsimile is to be regarded as an official FDA communication and unless requested, a hard copy will not be mailed.

The file on this application is now closed. You are required to take an action described under 21 CFR 314.120 which will either amend or withdraw the application. Your amendment should respond to all of the deficiencies listed. Facsimiles or partial replies will not be considered for review, nor will the review clock be reactivated until all deficiencies have been addressed. The response to this facsimile will be considered to represent a MINOR AMENDMENT and will be reviewed according to current OGD policies and procedures. The designation as a MINOR AMENDMENT should appear prominently in your cover letter. You have been/will be notified in a separate communication from our Division of Bioequivalence of any deficiencies identified during our review of your bioequivalence data. If you have substantial disagreement with our reasons for not approving this application, you may request an opportunity for a hearing.

## SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

Chemistry comments provided.

## THIS DOCUMENT IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE PARTY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, OR PROTECTED FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW.

If received by someone other than the addressee or a person authorized to deliver this document to the addressee, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying, or other action to the content of this communication is not authorized. If you have received this document in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return it to us by mail at the above address.

ANDA: 77-419

APPLICANT: Andrx Pharmaceuticals, LLC

DRUG PRODUCT: Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq
The deficiencies presented below represent MINOR deficiencies.
A. Deficiencies:

1. The fill weight of 8 mEq capsules as per the unit dose composition on p .0057 is $\qquad$ mg . The range should $\quad{ }^{(0)(4)} \mathrm{mg}$. However, your fill target weight for the 8 mEq exhibit batch \# 559R020 is ${ }^{(b)(4)} \mathrm{mg}$. Please explain. Please provide the unplanned deviation report mentioned on p. 0371.
2. Please reduce the $\left.{ }^{(b)}{ }^{(4)}\right)$ specification for the drug product to be more consistent with the reported data.
B. In addition to responding to the deficiencies presented above, please note and acknowledge the following comments in your response:
3. Please provide any additional Room Temperature stability data accrued to date.
4. The Labeling portion of your application is currently under review. The Division of Labeling and Program Support will notify you, under separate cover, of all labeling deficiencies.
5. The Bioequivalence information which you have provided is under review. After this review is completed, deficiencies, if any, will be communicated to you under a separate cover.
6. All facilities referenced in the ANDA should have a satisfactory compliance evaluation at the time of approval.

Sincerely yours,

## S.Byleati

Rashmikant M. Patel, Ph.D.
Director
Division of Chemistry I
Office of Generic Drugs
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research

ANDA 77-419<br>Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules, $8 \mathbf{m E q} \& 10 \mathrm{mEq}$

August 16, 2005
Gary Buehler, Director
Office of Generic Drugs
CDER, FDA


Metro Park North II
7500 Standish Place, Room 150
Rockville, MD 20855

## RE: BIOEQUIVALENCY AMENDMENT

Dear Mr. Buehler:
Reference is made to your facsimile dated July 26, 2005 (Bioequivalence Amendment) for the above referenced application (facsimile attached). In accordance with 21 CFR 314.96, Andrx Pharmaceuticals is submitting a complete response to the deficiencies listed in the facsimile.

1. Please provide complete sets of individual data for the baseline-corrected: a) Urinary Potassium Excretion ( $\mathbf{m E q}$ ) per Collection Interval; b) Urinary Potassium Excretion Rate ( $\mathbf{m E q} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) per Collection Interval; and c) Urinary Potassium Concentration ( $\mathbf{m E q} / \mathrm{L}$ ) per collection Interval in SAS transport format.

The bioequivalence data to be submitted should be provided in a diskette or CD in SAS Transport format in two separate files as described below:

## a. SUBJ SEQ PER TRT AE24 RMAX TMAX LAE24 LAE48 LRMX <br> b. SUBJ SEQ PER TRT C1 C2 C3 . Cn

Where ' $\mathbf{C}$ ' is urinary potassium concentration

## Response:

On the accompanied CD, find supplied the requested information in SAS transport file format, the Individual Baseline Corrected data for: a) Urinary Potassium Excretion (mEq) per Collection Interval b) Urinary Potassium Excretion Rate ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) per Collection Interval and c) Urinary Potassium Concentration ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ ) per Collection Interval, and as requested, the data is supplied in two files. The data is provided electronically and as a hard copy as Exhibit 1.

The calculations of the Urinary Potassium Excretion (mEq), Urinary Potassium Concentration ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and Urinary Excretion Rate ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{h}$ ) baseline-corrected data per collection interval data supplied on the CD involved subtracting the pre-dose baseline intervals from the respective corresponding post-dose intervals for the excretion amounts, concentrations, and rates, for example, the -48 h to -47 h value was subtracted for the post-dose $0-1 \mathrm{~h}$ value, the -47 h to -46 h value was subtracted for the post-dose $1-2 \mathrm{~h}$ value, and so on. RECEIVED

It is important to note the procedure for the baseline-correction calculation used in the Andrx ANDA 77-419 submission differs from the above method. The method of calculations used in the Andrx ANDA submission had been defined by an FDA Advisory Committee presentation' since the FDA Guidance ${ }^{2}$ was not definitive on the method of baseline-corrected parameter calculation. For convenience, information from that presentation, specifically slide 9 , is presented below:

- Subject and period specific
- Ae0-24h
- Correct by subtracting average Ae0-24h from the two baseline days
- Rmax
- Correct by subtracting baseline from corresponding interval
- Average of the two baseline day values

Therefore, it is important to note the baseline-corrected $\operatorname{Ae}(0-24)(\mathrm{mEq}), \mathrm{Ae}(0-48)(\mathrm{mEq})$, concentration ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and Rmax ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{h}$ ) data supplied in the current attached tables, as calculated per collection interval, were not included in the original submitted report. The reason is the method used to calculate the baseline-corrected excretion amount ( mEq ) and excretion concentration ( $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ ) parameters in the original submitted statistical report did not involve subtracting the pre-dose baseline intervals from the respective post-dose intervals.

Instead, as shown in the above slide, it was specified that any baseline-correction using collection intervals should be used for excretion rate (Rmax) calculations only (but based on the average of the two baseline days) and not excretion amount ( $\mathrm{Ae}, \mathrm{mEq}$ ) (nor excretion concentration, $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ ) calculations. Therefore, in the original submitted statistical report, for baseline-corrected calculations of $\mathrm{Ae}(0-24)$, the average $\mathrm{Ae}(0-24)$ of the two baseline days ( -48 h to -24 h and -24 h to 0 h ) was subtracted from the respective post-dose $\mathrm{Ae}(0-24)$ values.

And although the method used for the calculation of the rate of excretion parameter, Rmax, in the Andrx ANDA submission did utilize the individual collection intervals, the calculation was based on the average of the respective collection intervals for the two baseline days to subtract from the postdose concentrations, for example, the mean excretion rate of -48 h to -47 h and -24 h to -23 h was subtracted for the post-dose 0-1 h.

[^0]2. The observed urine concentrations, as you reported, ranged from $7.64 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ to $125 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ (approximately 298 - $4875 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) for the test product and from $9.44 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ to $153 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ (approximately $369-5969 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) for the reference product and the standard curve was validated over the concentration range from 0.5 to $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. You also reported that the quality control samples were prepared at $45.7 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}, 120 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$, and $240 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ and these quality control samples were diluted using the same dilution factor as that applied to the study samples. You should report the dilution factor used for the study samples. If the dilution factor is different from the $\mathbf{6 4 9 3 . 5}$-fold used in your 'ability to dilute' study, you should provide data to support that the dilution procedure is validated.

## Response:

In each analytical batch the study samples and one (1) QC level, identified as QC E in the analytical run, were diluted using the same dilution factor. $\quad$ (b) (4) QCs per dilution ratio must be within the acceptable range of $\left(+{ }_{(4)}^{(b)} \%\right)$, for the study sample data to be accepted and reported. Each individual run report, which includes the dilution factor used for the QC e and study samples, as well as the results of the diluted QC Es and the diluted study is provided as Exhibit 2.
3. Please provide justification for using 500 mL water for administration of the study drugs instead of the $240 \mathbf{~ m L}$ recommended by the Agency.

## Response:

The protocol design followed the FDA Guidance ${ }^{3}$ for this product. For dose administration this document recommended the product should be given by mouth with 500 mL of room temperature water. Since the administered dose ( 80 mEq ) was 8 large capsules, the standard 240 mL of water would potentially not be a sufficient amount of fluid for dose administration. In addition, since urine was the biological matrix, fluid intake was maintained at 3,000 to $5,000 \mathrm{~mL} /$ day to ensure an adequate rate of urine flow throughout the study period as recommended by the guidance.

Should you have any questions or comments concerning this amendment, please contact the undersigned at (954) 358-6125 (direct line), (954) 214-0145 (cellular) or (954) 358-6350 (Fax.).

Sincerely,


[^1]ANDA 77-419
Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules, $8 \mathbf{m E q} \& 10 \mathbf{m E q}$
May 20, 2005

Gary Buehler, Director
Office of Generic Drugs
CDER, FDA
Metro Park North II
7500 Standish Place, Room 150


Rockville, MD 20855

## RE: BIOEQUIVALENCY AMENDMENT

Dear Mr. Buehler:
Reference is made to your facsimile dated April 20, 2005 (Bioequivalence Deficiencies) for the above referenced application (facsimile attached). In accordance with 21 CFR 314.96, Andrx Pharmaceuticals is submitting a complete response to the deficiencies listed in the facsimile.

1. Please submit complete electronic SAS transport files of data for the fasting bioequivalence study (R03-996).

## Response:

As requested, we are providing the complete electronic SAS transport files of data for the fasting bioequivalence study (R03-996) as Exhibit 1.
2. In order to improve the review process, the Division of Bioequivalence requests that you provide the in-vivo study data, dissolution data and formulation data in the format specified in the attached template. This template incorporates some elements of the CTD format. We request that you provide the study summaries in this template in an electronic file. We hope to improve the efficiency of our review process and your cooperation is greatly appreciated. It would be helpful if you could provide this information for any other applications pending in the Division and in applications to be submitted in the future.

## Response:

As requested, we are providing the in-vivo study data, dissolution data and formulation data in the format specified in the template provided. The study summaries in this template are provided in an electronic file as Exhibit 2.
3. The DBE concurs with the use of the following USP method and specification:

The dissolution testing should be conducted in 900 mL of water at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using USP Apparatus 1 (baskets) at 100 rpm .

Not more than $35 \%(Q)$ of the labeled amount of potassium chloride in the dosage form is dissolved in 2 hours.

## Response:

We acknowledge the DBE concurrence of the above USP method and specifications.

Should you have any questions or comments concerning this amendment, please contact the undersigned at (954) 358-6125 (direct line), (954) 214-0145 (cellular) or (954) 358-6350 (Fax.).

Sincerely,
Janet Vaughn
Director Regulatory Affairs

## ORIG AMENDMEnT

ANDA \#77-419
Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules, $8 \mathbf{m E q} \& 10 \mathrm{mEq}$
May 20, 2005
Gary Buehler, Director
Office of Generic Drugs, CDER, FDA
Document Control Room, Metro Park North II
7500 Standish Place, Room 150
Rockville, MD 20855-2773

## RE: Final Printed Labeling

Dear Mr. Buehler:
Reference is made to the FDA facsimile dated March 17, 2004 (copy attached), which states that the labeling submitted in our original ANDA is satisfactory in draft. Andrx Pharmaceuticals is hereby submitting final printed labeling for the above referenced application. Please be informed that no changes to the labeling have been made since our Original ANDA submitted on December 1, 2004.

In this regard, please find enclosed a hard copy and a compact disk with electronic copies of the final printed labeling for the following in PDF format:

1. Container labels
2. Package Insert

Should you have any questions or comments concerning this submission, please contact the undersigned at (954) 358-6125 (telephone), (954) 214-0145 (cellular) or (954) 358-6350 (fax).

Sincerely,


Janet Vaughn
Director Regulatory Affairs

ANDA 77-419
Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules, $8 \mathbf{m E q} \& 10 \mathrm{mEq}$

May 13, 2005

Gary Buehler, Director
Office of Generic Drugs
ORIG AMENDMENT

ODER, FDA
Metro Park North II
7500 Standish Place, Room 150
Rockville, MD 20855

## RE: MINOR AMENDMENT: CHEMISTRY COMMENTS

Dear Mr. Buehler:

Reference is made to your facsimile dated May 5, 2005 (chemistry comments) for the above referenced application (facsimile attached). In accordance with 21 CFR 314.96, Andrx Pharmaceuticals is submitting a complete response to the deficiencies listed in the facsimile.

## Response to Chemistry Comments

## A. Deficiencies:

1. The fill weight of 8 mEq capsules as per the unit dose composition on p .0057 is ${ }^{(\mathrm{b})(4)} \mathrm{mg}$. The range should be $\quad{ }^{(b)}{ }^{(4)}$ mg. However, your fill target weight for the $8 \mathbf{m E q}$ exhibit batch \#559R020 is ${ }^{(b)}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{mg}$. Please explain. Please provide the unplanned deviation report mentioned on p. 0371.

## Response

The unplanned deviation report mentioned on page 0371 of the original application is provided under Tab 1. The theoretical fill weight of the 8 mEq capsules is ${ }^{(b)(4)} \mathrm{mg}$, as indicated in the unit dose composition statement on page 0057 . However, to achieve the required labeled amount of active ingredient per capsule, the fill weight is adjusted based on ${ }^{(b)}{ }^{(4)}{ }^{1}$. This adjustment step is provided for in the proposed commercial batch record (page 0187 of the original ANDA) but was not specified in the master batch record at the time the test batch was manufactured, thus necessitating the unplanned deviation report.
2. Please reduce the $\quad$ (b) (4) specification for the drug product to be more consistent with the reported data.

## Response

The $\quad{ }^{(b)}{ }^{(4)}$ ) specification has been tightened from NMT ${ }^{(b)}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{ppm}$ to NMT ${ }^{(b)}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{ppm}$. This is well within the ICH recommended limit for $\quad{ }^{(b){ }^{(4)}}$ (that is, NMT ${ }^{(b)(4)} \mathrm{ppm}$ ). A copy of the revised drug product release specifications is provided under Tab 2.
B. In addition to responding to the deficiencies presented above, please note and acknowledge the following comments in your response:

1. Please provide any additional Room Temperature stability data accrued to date.

MAY 162005

[^2]
## Response

Additional long-term stability data is provided under Tab 3. The data indicates that the product is stable for up to 9 months at room temperature.
2. The Labeling portion of your application is currently under review. The Division of Labeling and Program Support will notify you, under separate cover, of all labeling deficiencies.

## Response

We note and acknowledge that the labeling portion of the application is currently under review and that the Division of Labeling and Program Support will notify us under separate cover of any labeling deficiencies.
3. The Bioequivalence information which you have provided is under review. After this review is completed, deficiencies, if any, will be communicated to your under a separate cover.

## Response

We note and acknowledge that our Bioequivalence information is still under review and that after this review is completed, deficiencies, if any, will be communicated to us under separate cover.
4. All facilities referenced in the ANDA should have a satisfactory compliance evaluation at the time of approval.

## Response

We note and acknowledge that all facilities referenced in the ANDA should have a satisfactory compliance evaluation at the time of approval.

Andre Pharmaceuticals certifies that a Field Copy of this amendment was submitted to the Florida District Office. That field copy is a true copy of the information contained in this amendment.

Should you have any questions or comments concerning this amendment, please contact the undersigned at (954) 358-6125 (direct line), (954) 214-0145 (cellular) or (954) 358-6350 (Fax.).


Janet Vaughn
Director Regulatory Affairs

## BIOEQUIVA:LENCE DEFICIENCIES <br> APR 202005

ANDA: 77-419
APPLICANT: Andrx Pharmaceuticals

DRUG PRODUCT: Potassium Chloride Extended-Release Capsules, USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq

The Division of Bioequivalence (DBE) has completed its review of the dissolution testing portion of your submission (s) acknowledged on the cover sheet. The review of the bioequivalence study and waiver request will be conducted later. The following deficiencies have been identified:

1. Please submit complete electronic SAS transport files of data for the fasting bioequivalence study (R03-996).
2. In order to improve the review process, the Division of Bioequivalence requests that you provide the in-vivo study data, dissolution data and formulation data in the format specified in the attached template. This template incorporates some elements of the CTD format. We request that you provide the study summaries in this template in an electronic file. We hope to improve the efficiency of our review process and your cooperation is greatly appreciated. It would be helpful if you could provide this information for any other applications pending in the Division and in applications to be submitted in the future.
3. The DBE concurs with the use of the following USP method and specification:

The dissolution testing should be conducted in 900 mL water at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using USP Apparatus 1 (baskets) at 100 rpm .

Not more than $35 \%$ ( $Q$ ) of the labeled amount of potassium chloride in the dosage form is dissolved in 2 hours.

Sincerely yours,


Dale P. Conner, Pharm.D.
Director, Division of Bioequivalence
Office of Generic Drugs
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research

Table 1. Summary of Bioavailability Studies

| Study <br> Ref. No. | Study Objective | Study Design | Treatments (Dose, Dosage Form, Route) [Product ID] | Subjects <br> (No. (M/F) <br> Type <br> Age: mean <br> (Range) | Mern Mean Parameters (t/-SD) |  |  |  |  |  | Study Report Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \mathrm{C}_{\text {max }} \\ & \text { (units } / \mathrm{mL} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T_{\text {max }} \\ & (\mathrm{hr}) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{AUC}_{0.1}$ (units) | AUC (units) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} /{ }^{1 / 2} \\ & (\mathrm{hr}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{p} \\ \left(\mathrm{hr}^{\prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Study \# | Fasting study title | Randomized, single-dose, crossover | Test product, strength, Tab./Cap./Susp., p.o. <br> [Batch \#] <br> Ref. product, strength, Tab./Cap./Susp., p.o. <br> [Batch \#] | \# completing <br> (\#M/\#F) <br> Healthy <br> subjects or <br> patients <br> mean age <br> (range) | $\begin{aligned} & M \pm \text { S.D. } \\ & M \pm \text { S.D. } \end{aligned}$ | Mn or Md No SD | $\begin{aligned} & M \pm S . D . \\ & M \pm S . D . \end{aligned}$ | $M \pm$ S.D. <br> $M \pm S . D$. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | Vol. \# p.\# |
| Study \# | Fed study title | Randomized, single-dose, crossover | Test product, strength, Tab./Cap./Susp., p.o. <br> [Batch \#] <br> Ref. product, strength, <br> Tab./Cap./Susp., p.o. <br> [Batch \#] | \# completing (\#M/\#F) <br> Healthy subjects or patients mean y (range) | $\begin{aligned} & M \pm \text { S.D. } \\ & M \pm \text { S.D. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mn} \\ & \text { or } \\ & \mathrm{Md} \\ & \text { No } \\ & \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M \pm \text { S.D. } \\ & M \pm \text { S.D. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M \pm S . D . \\ & M \pm \text { S.D. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> No <br> SD | Vol. \# p. \# |

Table 2. Statistical Summary of the Comparative Bioavailability Data

| DrugDose (\# x mg)Geometric Means, Ratio of Means, and $90 \%$ Confidence Intervals |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fasted Bioequivalence Study |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter | Test | Reference | Ratio | 90\% C.I. |
| $\mathrm{AUC}_{0-\mathrm{t}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| AUC ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\text {max }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fed Bioequivalence Study |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter | Test | Reference | 100*Ratio | 90\% C.I. |
| $\mathrm{AUC}_{0-\mathrm{t}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| AUC ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Bioanalytical Method Validation

| Information Requested | Data |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bioanalytical method validation report location | Provide the volume(s) and page(s) |
| Analyte | Provide the name(s) of the analyte(s) |
| Internal standard (IS) | Identify the internal standard used |
| Method description | Brief description of extraction method; analytical method |
| Limit of quantitation | LOQ, units |
| Average recovery of drug (\%) | $\%$ |
| Average recovery of IS (\%) | $\%$ |
| Standard curve concentrations (units/mL) | Standard curve range and appropriate concentration units |
| QC concentrations (units/mL) | List all the concentrations used |
| QC Intraday precision range (\%) | Range or per QC |
| QC Intraday accuracy range (\%) | Range or per QC |
| QC Interday precision range (\%) | Range or per QC |
| QC Interday accuracy range (\%) | Range or per QC |
| Bench-top stability (hrs) | hours @ room temperature |
| Stock stability (days) | days @ 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Processed stability (hrs) | hours @ room temperature; hours @ 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Freeze-thaw stability (cycles) | \# cycles |
| Long-term storage stability (days) | 17 days @ -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (or other) |
| Dilution integrity | Concentration diluted X-fold |
| Selectivity | No interfering peaks noted in blank plasma samples |

Table 4. Summary of In Vitro Dissolution Studies

| Study Ref. No. | Product ID/Batch No. | Dosage Form | Conditions | No. of Dosage Units | Collection Times Mean \%Dissolved (Range) |  |  |  | Study <br> Report <br> Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | min | min | min | min |  |
| Diss. study report \# | Test prod name/ \# | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mg} \\ & \text { Tab./Cap./Susp. } \end{aligned}$ | Dissolution: Apparatus <br> Speed of Rotation: rpm <br> Medium: <br> Volume: mL <br> Temperature: ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diss. study report \# | Ref prod name/ \# | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mg} \\ & \text { Tab./Cap/Susp. } \end{aligned}$ |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Formulation Data

|  | $\square$ Amount (mg) / Tablet |  | U Amount (\%) Tablet |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ingredient | . Lower strength | Higher strength | Lower strength | Higher strength |
| Cores | +1m | - | 1 | 11\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Coating - - | + | W1\% | , | Humime |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 100.00 | 100.0 |

Table 7. Incidence of Adverse Events in Individual Studies


Table 8. Reanalysis of Study Samples

| $\overline{\bar{I}}$ | Study No.Additional information in Volume(s), Page(s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of samples reanalyzed |  |  |  | Number of recalculated values used after reanalysis |  |  |  |
| why assay | Actual number |  | \% of total assays |  | Actual number |  | \% of total assays |  |
|  | T | R | T. | R R | I- T | 1 R | $\cdots$ | R |
| Pharmacokinetic ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reason A (e.g. below LOQ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reason B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reason C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Etc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]Andrx Pharmaceuticals, LLC
Attention: Janet Vaughn
4955 Orange Drive
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33314
$l_{1} l_{1}, \ldots l_{1} i l_{1}, \ldots l_{1} l_{1} l_{1} l_{1,}$
Dear Madam:
We acknowledge the receipt of your abbreviated new drug application submitted pursuant to Section 505(j) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act.

Reference is made to the telephone conversation dated January 11, 2005 and your correspondence dated January 11, 2005.

NAME OF DRUG: Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP, 8 mEq and 10 mEq

DATE OF APPLICATION: December 1, 2004
DATE (RECEIVED) ACCEPTABLE FOR FILING: December 2, 2004
We will correspond with you further after we have had the opportunity to review the application.

Please identify any communications concerning this application with the ANDA number shown above.

Should you have questions concerning this application, contact:
Simon Eng
Project Manager
$(301) 827-5848$

ANDA 77-419
CC: DUP/Jackets
HFD-600/Division File
Field Copy
HFD-92
 HFD-615/ACamphire, csosfogrt. (IV) (0.s date
Word File
V: \FIRMSAM $\backslash$ Andrx
ET/ January 12, 2005
ANDA Acknowledgment Letter!

ANDA Nbs: 77-419 FIRM NAME: ANDRX PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC

RELATED APPLICATIONS): NA
First Generic Product Received? NO
DRUG NAME: POTASSIUM CHLORIDE Usp DOSAGE FORM: CAPSULE, EXTENDED-RELEASE 600 MG EQ TO 8 MG AND 750 MG MG EQ TO 10 MG

Random Queue: 1
Chem Team Leader: Mueller, Albert

PM: Simon Eng Labeling Reviewer: James Barlow



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Janet Vaughn } \\
& \text { (direct) } 954-358-6125 \\
& \text { (cell) } 954-358-6350
\end{aligned}
$$



| Study <br> Type $\square$ | IN-VIVO BE STUDY with CLINICAL ENDPOINTS NO <br> a. Properly defined BE endpoints (eval. by Clinical Team) <br> b. Summary results meet BE criteria ( $90 \%$ CI within $+/-20 \%$ or $80-120$ ) <br> c. Summary results indicate superiority of active treatments (test \& reference) over vehicle/placebo ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) (eval. by Clinical Team) <br> d. EDR Email: Data Files Submitted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ | TRANSDERMAL DELIVERY SYSTEMS NO <br> a. In-Vivo PK Study <br> 1. Study(ies) meet BE Criteria ( $90 \% \mathrm{CI}$ or $80-125$, Cmax, AUC) <br> 2. In-Vitro Dissolution <br> 3. EDR Email: Data Files Submitted <br> b. Adhesion Study <br> c. Skin Irritation/Sensitization Study. |  |
|  | NASALLY ADMINISTERED DRUG PRODUCTS NO <br> a. Solutions ( $\mathrm{Q} 1 / \mathrm{Q} 2$ sameness): <br> 1. In-Vitro Studies (Dose/Spray Content Uniformity, Droplet/Drug Particle Size Distrib., Spray Pattern, Plume Geometry, Priming \& Repriming, Tail Off Profile) <br> b. Suspensions (Q1/Q2 sameness): <br> 1. In-Vivo PK Study <br> a. Study(ies) meets BE Criteria ( $90 \% \mathrm{CI}$ or $80-125$, Cmax, AUC) <br> b. EDR Email: Data Files Submitted <br> 2. In-Vivo BE Study with Clinical EndPoints <br> a. Properly defined BE endpoints (eval. by Clinical Team) <br> b. Summary results meet BE criteria ( $90 \%$ CI within $+/-20 \%$ or $80-120$ ) <br> c. Summary results indicate superiority of active treatments (test \& reference) over vehicle/placebo ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) (eval. by Clinical Team) <br> d. EDR Email: Data Files Submitted <br> 3. In-Vitro Studies (Dose/Spray Content Uniformity, Droplet/Drug Particle Size Distrib., Spray Pattern, Plume Geometry, Priming \& Repriming, Tail Off Profile) |  |
|  | TOPICAL CORTICOSTEROIDS (VASOCONSTRICTOR STUDIES) NO <br> a. Pilot Study (determination of ED50) <br> b. Pivotal Study (study meets BE criteria $90 \% \mathrm{CI}$ or $80-125$ ) | $\square$ |
| Sec. <br> VII | Components and Composition Statements <br> 1. Unit composition and batch formulation <br> 2. Inactive ingredients as appropriate $r$ ok per ITG (see atached) | $\square$ |


| Sec. <br> VIII | Raw Materials Controls <br> 1. Active Ingredients <br> a. Addresses of bulk manufacturers $y$ $\square$ (b) (4) <br> b. Type II DMF authorization letters or synthesis <br> c. $\mathrm{COA}(\mathrm{s})$ specifications and test results from drug substance $\mathrm{mfgr}(\mathrm{s}) \mathfrak{m}$ <br> d. Applicant certificate of analysis 4 <br> e. Testing specifications and data from drug product manufacturer(s) $q$ <br> f. Spectra and chromatograms for reference standards and test samplesq <br> g. CFN numbers <br> 2. Inactive Ingredients <br> a. Source of inactive ingredients identified <br> b. Testing specifications (including identification and characterization) <br> c. Suppliers' COA (specifications and test results) $\mathfrak{y}$ <br> d. Applicant certificate of analysis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sec.IX | Description of Manufacturing Facility <br> 1. Full Address(es)of the Facility(ies) $y$ <br> 2. CGMP Certification: <br> YES <br> 3. CFN numbers | 5 |
| Sec. X | Outside Firms Including Contract Testing Laboratories <br> 1. Full Address $y$ <br> 2. Functions y (inactives on (y) <br> 3. CGMP Certification/GLP <br> 4. CFN numbers | 2 |
| Sec. XI | Manufacturing and Processing Instructions <br> 1. Description of the Manufacturing Process (including Microbiological Validation, if Appropriate) <br> 2. Master Production Batch Record(s) for largest intended production runs (no more than 10x pilot batch) with equipment specified $\longrightarrow$ <br> 3.If sterile product: Aseptic fill / Terminal sterilization <br> 4.Filter validation (if aseptic fill) <br> 5. Reprocessing Statement |  |
| Sec. <br> XII | In-Process Controls <br> 1. Copy of Executed Batch Record with Equipment Specified, including Packaging Records (Packaging and Labeling Procedures), Batch Reconciliation and Label Reconciliation <br> 2. In-process Controls - Specifications and data |  |
| Sec. <br> XIII | Container $\square$ <br> 1. Summary of Container/Closure System (if new resin, provide data) <br> 2. Components Specification and Test Data (Type III DMF References) <br> 3. Packaging Configuration and Sizes <br> 4. Container/Closure Testing <br> kacks sohomatic drawing for <br> 5. Source of supply and suppliers address ${ }^{(0)}$ (4) ce boftle |  |


| Sec. <br> XIV | Controls for the Finished Dosage Form <br> 1. Testing Specifications and Data <br> 2. Certificate of Analysis for Finished Dosage Form | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sec. XV | Stability of Finished Dosage Form <br> 1. Protocol submitted <br> 2. Post Approval Commitments <br> 3. Expiration Dating Period <br> 4. Stability Data Submitted Complete <br> a. 3 month accelerated stability data <br> b. Batch numbers on stability records the same as the test batch | $4$ |
| Sec. XVI | Samples - Statement of Availability and Identification of: <br> 1. Drug Substance <br> 2. Finished Dosage Form <br> 3. Same lot numbers | $\Delta$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sec. } \\ & \text { XVII } \end{aligned}$ | Environmental Impact Analysis Statement Yes | $4$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sec. } \\ & \text { XVIII } \end{aligned}$ | GDEA (Generic Drug Enforcement Act)/Other: <br> 1. Letter of Authorization (U.S. Agent [if needed, countersignature on 356h]) <br> 2. Debarment Certification (original signature): YES <br> 3. List of Convictions statement (original signature) yes | $4$ |

## AND $77-119$ Final Check List for Branch Chief

1) Check letter date and stamp date of ANDA vs. drafted letter.
2) Check for any NC arriving post stamp date but prior to Reg. Review.
3) Check for gross errors in letter.
4) Check that correct letter format is used. (PIV vs. Other acknowledgment)
5) Check address and contact person on letter vs. 356 h
6) Check for any t-cons and verify date and correspondence date.
7) Check Patent Certification information in entered in COMIS (by Edda) vs. Actual certification. If multiple patent certifications, should be based on PIV if applicable or latest expiring patent.
8) Check for any comments or problems raised by reviewer on Check List

## NA

9) If first generic, copy BE review and file.
10) Sign Check List.
11) Check electronic Orange Book to verify current patent information and correct RLD. Macro 10
12) Check for MOU patents
13) Review 356 h . Check NDA number and RLD for correct reference. If proprietary name proposed; notify Labeling reviewer.
14) Review Basis for Submission.
15) Review Patent Certifications and Exclusivity Statement (If an expiration of an exclusivity has occurred make a note to the Labeling reviewer.

16) Review Comparison between Generic Drug and RID for: condition of use, active ingredients; route of administration, dosage form and strength. Check Components and Composition
17) Sign coyer letter 505 (j)(2)(A) OK ̇, date, and full signature
18) Pull USP information. (USP $\qquad$ yes $\qquad$ no)
19) Final Grammar review on letter.
20) Verify information in OGD Patent Tracking System.
21) EES slip.
22). Document in record book.

ANDA 77-419
Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP, $8 \mathbf{m E q} \& 10 \mathrm{mEq}$

January 11, 2005

Gary Buehler, Director
Office of Generic Drugs, CDER, FDA
Document Control Room, Metro Park North II


7500 Standish Place, Room 150
Rockville, MD 20855-2773

## RE: TELEPHONE REQUEST

Dear Mr. Buehler:
Reference is made to the above-referenced Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) and to my January 11, 2004 telephone conversation with Arianne Camphire, Office of Generic Drugs (OGD).

As requested, Andrx is hereby submitting the schematic drawing for the 500 count bottle $\left.{ }^{(b)}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{cc}\right)$, product code ${ }^{(b)}(4)$, the updated Environmental Consideration statement and Environmental Impact Claim of Categorical'Exclusion to reference the correct citation, 21 CFR 25.31 (a).

Should you have any questions concerning this submission, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (954) 358-6125 (telephone) or (954) 358-6350 (fax).


Janet Vaughn
Director of Regulatory Affairs


Gary Buehler,
Director, Office of Generic Drugs, HFD-600
CDER, Food and Drug Administration
Metro Park North II
7500 Standish Place, Room 150
Rockville, MD 20855-2773

## RE: Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP, $8 \mathbf{m E q} \& 10 \mathrm{mEq}$

## ORIGINAL ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG APPLICATION

Dear Sir:
In accordance with Section 505(j) of the FD\&C Act and 21 CFR 314.94, Andrx Pharmaceuticals is submitting an original Abbreviated New Drug Application for approval to market its formulation of Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules USP, $8 \mathrm{mEq} \& 10 \mathrm{mEq}$. The reference listed drug is Micro $\mathrm{K} ® / \mathrm{Micro} \mathrm{K}{ }^{\circledR} 10$ EXTENCAPS® (Potassium Chloride Extended-release Capsules, USP) $600 \mathrm{mg}(8 \mathrm{mEq} \mathrm{K}) / 750 \mathrm{mg}(10 \mathrm{mEq} \mathrm{K})$, manufactured by KV Pharmaceutical.

This application consists of six (6) volumes and contains the necessary information to demonstrate that Andrx' generic product is both pharmaceutically equivalent and bioequivalent to the reference listed drug. Two copies of the application are provided, an archival copy (in blue folders), which contains all the information required for the ANDA, and a technical review copy (in red folders), which contains all the information in the archival copy except the Bioequivalence section (Section VI). A separate copy of the Bioequivalence section is provided in orange folders. An "Executive Summary" of the application follows this cover letter.

Additionally, concurrently with the filing of this ANDA, a true copy of the technical review copy with the exception of the Bioequivalence section (VI) of the ANDA (including a copy of Form FDA 356h and a certification that the contents are a true copy of those filed with the Office of Generic Drugs) was sent to our local district office. This "field copy" was contained in a burgundy folder.

This appitation contains an electronic submission of labeling data. The draft package insert is provided in Microsoft Word 2000 and PDF format on a compact disk located with the four copies of the draft labeling in the Chemistry Review Copy. 'The data contained in the electronic submission is the same as in the hardcopy submission.

Andrx Pharmaceuticals commits to resolve any issues identified in the methods validation process after approval. Please direct any written communications regarding this ANDA to me at the above address. My email address is janet.vaughn@andrx.com. I may also be reached at (954) 358-6125 (direct dial), (954) 214-0145 (cell) or (954) 358-6350 (fax).


## RECEIVED

DEC 022004
OGD / CDER


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Davit, Barbara M. Deputy Director, Division of Bioequivalence, Office of Generic Drugs. Presentation entitled 'Potassium Chloride Tablets \& Capsules - Documentation of BE' before the Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Sciences on the meeting topic 'Bioavailability/Bioequivalence of Endogenous Drugs', Mar 13, 2003
    Slides: http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/03/slides/3926S2 08 Davit.ppt;
    Transcript: http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/03/transcripts/3926T2.pdf
    ${ }^{2}$ Food and Drug Administration. Draft Guidance for Industry: Potassium Chloride Modified-Release Tablets and Capsules: In Vivo Bioequivalence and In Vitro Dissolution Testing. CDER. August 2002

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Food and Drug Administration. Draft Guidance for Industry: Potassium Chloride Modified-Release Tablets and Capsules: In Vivo Bioequivalence and In Vitro Dissolution Testing. CDER. August 2002

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The target fill weight is adjusted if

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ If no repeats were performed for pharmacokinetic reasons, insert " 0.0 " throughout table

