List of Figures

Figure 1 The inhibition of the platelet aggregation is dependent on the concentration of the active
metabolites of prasugrel and clopidogrel
Figure 2 No relationship between dose and major cardiovacular events (MACE -
Death+MI+Stroke+CTVT+Recurrent Ischemia at 30-day visit)25
Figure 3 Increase in the active metabolite exposures trends to increase in number of bleeding
adverse events (NBAE)
Figure 4 Prasugrel LD of 60 mg achieves highest IPA. Maintenance doses of 10 mg and 15 mg
achieve significantly greater IPA compared to clopidogrel MD of 75 mg
Figure 5: Maximum effectiveness is achieved when the loading dose is administered at the start
or within 30 min of start of PCI (Red dots - represent proportion of events corresponding to
the midpoints of the octiles; Blue bars – 95% Confidence interval; Black line – Smooth
trend line; Green line – is the lowest confidence limit of the extremes)
Figure 6 Pre-treatment with clopidogrel/prasugrel 6 hrs before the start of PCI results in
decreased effectiveness compared to no pre-treatment (Orange squares – represent
proportion of events; Black bars – 95% Confidence interval)
Figure 7 The effect of the timing of loading dose relative to the start of PCI is similar across
prasugrel and clopidogrel
Figure 8: The cumulative event rate of the efficacy endpoint is lower when the loading dose is
administered at the start of PCI or within 30 minutes of the start of the PCI irrespective 30
Figure 9 Cumulative event rate of the efficacy endpoint across quartiles of difference in time of
loading dose and start of PCI is similar between clopidogrel (left) and prasugrel (right)
Figure 10 Time course of mean $\Delta\Delta QTcF$
Figure 11 Log concentration- $\Delta\Delta$ QTcF relationship for R-138727
Figure 12. The mean concentration vs. time profiles of R-138727, R-95913, R-119251, and R-
106583 following a single prasugrel 60-mg LD (left panel) and during 10-mg MD (right
panel), Study TAAV
Figure 13. PK Parameters of R138727 vs. Prasugrel Dose, Study TAAW
Figure 14. Observed AUC0-tlast and Cmax of R-138727 vs. body weight following a 60-mg LD
or during 10-mg MD of prasugrel
Figure 15 Risk for TIMI Major bleeding is higher in patients with body weight less than 60 Kg.
1 garo 10 1101 101 1112 141901 01001 g 10 11901 11 punches when obdy weight less than of 119.
Figure 16 Clearance of R-138727 increases with increase in body weight (Left: Study TAAD;
Right: Study TABR)
Figure 17 Decreased Exposures of R-138727 with increased body weight in Study TAAL.
(Circles represent plasma concentrations 0.75-1.25 h post MD; Blue line is a smooth trend
lin)e
Figure 18 Simulation (N=2000) of the proposed dose of 5 mg in patients with body weight <
60kg will result in exposures predominantly corresponding to lower two quartiles of
those expected with 10 mg MD in patients with body weight >60 kg. (The red dashed
line represent the concentration range beyond which the bleeding related adverse events
were highest from Figure 3). ($CL = 123 \times (WT/85)^{0.798}$; Between-subject variability (%CV)
= 24% - Obtained from Reviewer's POPPK analysis of TABR for Simulation)
Figure 19 Effect of age by gender on observed R-138727 Cmax and AUC0-tlast following a 60-
mg LD and daily 10-mg MD of prasugrel

Page 10 of 263

Clinical Pharmacology Review NDA 22-307, Prasugrel

•
Figure 20: Risk for CVD/Non-fatal MI/ Non-fatal Stroke is high in patients above 75 years of
age compared to patients below 75 years. (The Hazard Ratios are for Prasugrel compared
to Clopidogrel in each of the age groups)
Figure 21 Risk for TIMI Major bleeding is high in patients above 75 years of age compared
to patients below 75 years. (The Hazard Ratios are for Prasugrel compared to Clopidogrel
in each of the age groups)
Figure 22 Box plots compare the observed AUC0-tlast and Cmax values of R-138727 by ethnic
group following 60-mg LD or 10-mg MD of prasugrel
Figure 23. R138727 Plasma Concentrations vs Time. Food Effect
Figure 24. Formation of R-95913 by hCE1 (A) and hCE2 (B). The points with the error bars
represent the average and standard error, while the lines represent the best model fit of their
respective kinetic models. Inset shows the sigmoidicity of the Hill kinetics
Figure 25. Formation of R-138727 by expressed CYPs following incubation with 20 µM R-
95913
Figure 26. Formation of R-138727 from R-95913 (10 μ M) with respect to time (A) and with
respect to protein (B)
Figure 27 Formation of isomer sets of R-138727 by expressed CYPs following incubation with
20 μM R-95913
Figure 28. The structures of R-138727 stereoisomers and their relative activity towards inhibition
of platelet aggregation
of platelet aggregation
oral 15-mg (100 μ Ci) dose of ¹⁴ C -CS-747
Figure 30. Radiochromatogram of the 0.5-hour underivatized plasma (Subject 4503)
Figure 31. Mean plasma concentrations of LY640315 metabolites in plasma following a 15-mg
oral dose of $[^{14}C]LY640315$, (N = 5)
Figure 32 Radiochromatogram of the pooled 0-24 hour urine of Subject 4503
Figure 33 Radiochromatogram of a 0-24 hour fecal extract of Subject 2949
Figure 34. R-95913, R-119251, R-106583, and R-138727 arithmetic mean (±SD) plasma
concentration versus time profiles after a single oral dose of 15 mg 14 C -CS-747
Figure 35. Plasma (upper panel) and whole blood (lower panel) radioactivity arithmetic mean
(±SD) concentration versus time profiles after a single oral dose of 15 mg 14 C -CS-747 89
Figure 36. Ratio of radioactivity in plasma and whole blood after a single oral dose of 15 mg 14 C
-CS-747
Figure 37 Sponsor's plot of the mean plasma concentrations of 3 metabolites of prasugrel after
the 2.5 mg dose of prasugrel
Figure 38 Three metabolites of prasugrel after the 2.5 mg (left) and 75 mg (right) doses of
prasugrel
Figure 39. The sponsor's plots for the assessment of linearity of Cmax and AUC0-24
Figure 40. Inhibition of platelet aggregation with ADP 5mcM in individual subjects after the
doses of prasugrel of 2.5, 10, 30, 75 mg and placebo
Figure 41. R-1006583 plasma concentrations vs time after the dose of 10 mg of CS-747 101
Figure 41. R-1000365 plasma concentrations vs time after the dose of 10 mg of C5-747 101
Figure 42. Plasma concentrations (arithmetic mean) of prasugrel's metabolites after single doses.
Eigure 42 Plages concentrations (with matic many LSD) of P 120064 and P 122727 fillumine
Figure 43. Plasma concentrations (arithmetic mean \pm SD) of R-130964 and R-138727 following
a single LD (A) and the seventh MD (B) of clopidogrel and prasugrel 112

Page 11 of 263

Figure 44. Box plots of MPA response to 20 µM ADP following a single 60-mg LD and daily
10-mg MDs of prasugrel
Figure 45. Box plots of MPA response to 20 µM ADP following a single 300 mg (upper panel)
or 600-mg (lower panel) LD and daily 75-mg MDs of clopidogrel
Figure 46. Time profile of least squares mean IPA response (\pm 90% CI) to 20 μ M ADP
following a single LD of prasugrel and clopidogrel
Figure 47. Time profile of least squares mean IPA response (\pm 90% CI) to 20 μ M ADP
filewing a rivela LD and daily MDa of program and alarida and
following a single LD and daily MDs of prasugrel and clopidogrel
Figure 48. Time profile of least squares mean (90% CI) VASP phosphorylation following a
single LD of prasugrel and clopidogrel
Figure 49. VASP phosphorylation response at 24 hours following LDs of prasugrel and
clopidogrel
Figure 50. MPA to 20 µM ADP vs VASP phosphorylation at 6 hours following LDs of
prasugrel and clopidogrel
Figure 51. Scatter plot of IPA response to 20 µM ADP at 24 hours versus AUC(0-tlast)
(ng•hr/mL) following LDs of prasugrel and clopidogrel
Figure 52. Scatter plot of IPA response to 20 μ M ADP at 24 hours versus AUC(0-tlast)
(ng•hr/mL) following the seventh MD of prasugrel and clopidogrel
Figure 53. IPA with ADP 20mcM vs AUC of clopidogrel and prasugrel. Blue symbols – LD,
Red symbols – MD
Figure 54. Geometric mean plasma concentrations of R-138727 123
Figure 55. Geometric mean plasma concentrations of R95913 (upper left), R119251 (upper
right), and R106583 (lower panel)
Figure 56. Mean (±SD) plasma R-138727 concentration-time profiles following a prasugrel 60-
mg LD (left) and after the fifth daily 10-mg MD (right).
Figure 57. Mean (±SD) plasma R-95913(upper), R-119251 (middle), and R-16583 (lower)
concentration-time profiles following a prasugrel 60-mg LD (left) and after the fifth daily
10-mg MD (right)
Figure 58. Mean MPA to 20 μ M ADP following a single 60-mg LD of prasugrel in subjects
with mild and moderate hepatic impairment (Parts 1 and 2) and healthy subjects
Figure 59. MPA to 20 µM ADP at 6 (left) and 24 hours (right) following a single 60-mg LD of
prasugrel in subjects with mild and moderate hepatic impairment and healthy subjects 133
Figure 60 Plasma concentrations (arithmetic mean \pm SD) of R-138727 after a single 60-mg LD
(A) and after the fifth daily 10-mg MD (B) of prasugrel in healthy subjects and moderate
hepatic impairment subjects
Figure 61 Mean (SD) MPA to 20 µM ADP following a 60-mg LD and the fifth daily 10-mg MD
of prasugrel in subjects with moderate hepatic impairment and in healthy subjects
Figure 62 Arithmetic mean (±SD) plasma concentrations-time profiles of R-138727 after a single
5-, 10-, 30- or 60-mg prasugrel dose in healthy subjects and ESRD subjects
Figure 63 Mean (\pm SD) MPA to 20 μ M ADP following a single oral dose of 5-mg (upper panel)
and 60 mg (lower panel) prasugrel in subjects with ESRD and healthy matched subjects. 147
Figure 64. Arithmetic mean (±SD) plasma concentrations-time profiles of R-138727 after a
single 60-mg prasugrel dose in healthy subjects, ESRD subjects and moderate renal
impairment subjects

Page 12 of 263

Clinical Pharmacology Review NDA 22-307, Prasugrel

•	
 Figure 65. Individual estimates of R-138727 Cmax and AUC(0-tlast) Circles represent ESRD subjects (open) with healthy matched subjects (closed); Triangles represent moderate renally impaired subjects (closed) with healthy matched subjects (open)	3
LD of prasugrel on Day 1 (right panel linear with from 0-6 h)	
Figure 68. Individual estimates with arithmetic mean ± SD of R-138727 Cmax (upper panel) and AUC(0-tlast) (lower panel) stratified by ethnic group following a 60-mg LD of	
prasugrel on Day 1	7
Figure 69. Arithmetic mean plasma concentration- time profiles of R-138727 following 10-mg MDs of prasugrel on Day 8 (right panel linear with inset 0-4 h)	-
Figure 70. Individual estimates with arithmetic mean \pm SD of R-138727 Cmax and AUC(0-tlast)	
stratified by ethnic group following 10-mg MDs of prasugrel on Day 8	
Figure 71. Arithmetic mean plasma concentration- time profiles of R-138727 following 5-mg	,
MDs of prasugrel on Day 18 (upper panel linear with inset 0-4 h; lower panel log-linear).	
	2
Figure 72. Individual estimates with arithmetic mean ±SD of R-138727 Cmax (left panel) and	-
AUC(0-tlast) (right panel) stratified by ethnic group following 5-mg MDs of prasugrel on	
Day 18	2
Figure 73	
Figure 74. Relationship between R-138727 AUC(0-tlast) and MPA to 20 µM ADP following 60-	-
mg prasugrel LD (upper panel) and daily 5- and 10-mg prasugrel MDs combined (lower panel)	5
Figure 75 Prasugrel active metabolite AUC(0-8h) (upper panel) and Cmax (lower panel) after	,
single doses of 10, 20, 40 and 60 mg prasugrel in Chinese subjects (Parts A and B) 169)
Figure 76 Arithmetic mean plasma concentration-time profiles of R-130964 in Chinese and	
Caucasian subjects following a single oral 300-mg clopidogrel dose	l
Figure 77. Arithmetic mean IPA to 20 µM ADP following administration of 60 mg prasugrel and	
300 mg clopidogrel in Chinese and Caucasian subjects 172	2
Figure 78. Bleeding time vs. Prasugrel Dose at Baseline (diamonds) and 24 hours post-dose	
(squares) in Chinese Subjects	3
Figure 79. Bleeding Time vs Time post-dose. Period 1 – clopidogrel 300 mg and Period 2 –	
prasugrel 60 mg	3
Figure 80. Arithmetic mean plasma concentration-time profiles of R-138727 after 10 days of 5-	
mg prasugrel MDs and following an additional 10 days of 10-mg prasugrel MDs in young	7
and elderly subjects in the presence of aspirin	/
and 5 and 10 mg prasugrel MDs with 75 mg aspirin MD in healthy young and elderly	
	0
subjects	2
and 5 and 10 mg prasugrel MDs with 75 mg aspirin MDs in healthy young and elderly	,
subjects	0
Figure 83. Scatter plot of IPA to 20 µM ADP (LTA) versus VN-P2Y12% inhibition following 5	i
and 10 mg prasugrel MDs	

Page 13 of 263

Figure 84. Arithmetic mean (1-sided SD) bleeding time ratios following the tenth daily 5 mg prasugrel MDs and tenth daily 10 mg prasugrel MDs in healthy young and elderly subjects.
Figure 85. Geometric mean plasma concentrations for the active metabolite of CS-747
 (R138727)
Figure 88. Plasma concentrations of R-130964 after a 600-mg clopidogrel loading dose (left) and after the seventh daily 75-mg clopidogrel maintenance dose (right) alone and with ranitidine
Figure 89. Arithmetic mean IPA to 20 µM ADP time profile of clopidogrel and prasugrel alone and with ranitidine. LD, Day 1, top panel. MD, Day 8, bottom panel
Figure 90 Light transmission aggregation tracings from pre and post administration of a thienopyridine
Figure 91 The mean plasma concentrations of the active metabolites after a 60 mg loading dose and the final 15 mg maintenance dose of prasugrel (upper plots) and a 300 mg loading dose and the final 75 mg maintenance dose of clopidogrel (lower plots)
Figure 92 Time profile of the estimated mean IPA response (with 90% CI) to 20 μ M ADP 201 Figure 93 Distribution of IPA response to 20 μ M ADP on Day 1 at 4 hours after the loading
dose
Figure 96 Time profiles of predicted median bleeding time ratios
Figure 97 Distribution of bleeding time ratios by treatment group on Day 6 at 4 hours postdose.
Figure 98 Plasma concentrations of R-138727 after a 60-mg prasugrel loading dose (A) and after the tenth daily 10-mg prasugrel maintenance dose (B) alone and with atorvastatin
Figure 99 Plasma concentrations of R-130964 after a 300-mg clopidogrel loading dose (A) and after the tenth daily 75-mg clopidogrel maintenance dose (B) alone and with atorvastatin.
Figure 100 Mean (SD) IPA to 20 µM ADP following a loading dose (Day 1) and maintenance dose (Day 11) of prasugrel and clopidogrel administered alone and with atorvastatin 210
Figure 101 Mean (SD) VASP (PRI) following a loading dose (Day 1) of prasugrel and clopidogrel administered alone and with atorvastatin
Figure 102 Digoxin serum concentrations for all treatment arms
Figure 103 Individual APTT measurements prior to prasugrel / placebo dosing or prior to UFH / saline administration
Figure 104 Individual ACT measurements prior to prasugrel / placebo dosing or prior to UFH / saline administration
Figure 105 Mean APTT-time profiles following UFH / saline dose
Figure 106 Mean anti-Xa-time profiles following UFH/saline dose
Figure 107 Mean ACT-time profiles following UFH/saline dose. 220 Figure 108 LS mean IPA response to 20 μ M ADP time profile following UFH administration in
the presence of prasugrel

Page 14 of 263

Clinical Pharmacology Review NDA 22-307, Prasugrel

5/23/2008

Figure 109 IPA response adjusted for the baseline MPA at the end of aspirin phase 225
Figure 110 Distribution of maximum IPAs on Day 6 (ADP), with the outliers (indicated by the
small open boxes), minimum, lower quartile, median, mean (indicated by a plus sign), and
maximum
Figure 111 Distribution of IPAs at 24 hours post-maintenance on Day 10 (ADP), with minimum,
lower quartile, median, mean (indicated by a plus sign), and maximum
Figure 112 Time profiles of the predicted median bleeding time ratios
Figure 113 Distribution of bleeding time ratios by treatment group on Day 10, 4 hours post-dose.
Figure 114 Light transmission aggregation tracings from pre and post administration of a
thienopyridine
Figure 115 Mean rIPA response to 20 µM ADP following prasugrel administration in the
presence of aspirin
Figure 116 Mean bleeding time ratio following administration of prasugrel alone (N=23) and
with aspirin (N=21)
Figure 117 Light transmission aggregation tracings from pre and post administration of a
thienopyridine
Figure 118 Plasma concentrations of R-warfarin following administration of warfarin alone and
with prasugrel
Figure 119 Plasma concentrations of S-warfarin following administration of warfarin alone and
with prasugrel
Figure 120 International normalized ratio following warfarin administration in the presence of
prasugrel
Figure 121 Prothrombin times after warfarin administration in the presence of prasugrel 238
Figure 122 Least squares mean IPA to 20 µM ADP time profile following warfarin
administration in the presence of prasugrel
Figure 123 Plasma concentrations of bupropion and hydroxybupropion following a single
150-mg dose of bupropion alone or with prasugrel
Figure 124 Plasma concentrations of R-138727 and R-95913 following a single 60-mg LD of
prasugrel alone and with rifampicin
Figure 125 Plasma concentrations of R-138727 and R-95913 after the fifth once daily 10-mg MD
of prasugrel alone and with rifampicin
Figure 126 Mean IPA to 20 µM ADP following administration of prasugrel alone and with
rifampicin

Page 15 of 263

5/23/2008

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Eli Lilly Inc. submitted NDA 22-307 - EFFIENT (Prasugrel Hydrochloride tablets) on December 26, 2007. Prasugrel is proposed for the reduction of atherothrombotic events and the reduction of stent thrombosis in acute coronary syndromes (ACS).

EFFIENT is a novel adenosine diphosphate (ADP) receptor antagonist of the thienopyridine class and an inhibitor of platelet activation and aggregation mediated by the $P2Y_{12}$ ADP receptor. EFFIENT was developed in collaboration with Daiichi Sankyo Inc. EFFIENT will be marketed as an oral 5 and 10 mg film coated tablets.

The recommended administration: an initial single oral 60 mg loading dose and then continued at a 10 mg once daily dose. All patients taking prasugrel should also take aspirin (75 mg to 325 mg) daily. Prasugrel may be taken with or without food. Patients weighing less than 60 kg should be given a single 60 mg loading dose and then continued at a 5 mg once daily dose.

The submission included 48 clinical pharmacology studies where the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of prasugrel were assessed. The sponsor conducted several in vitro studies to assess the metabolism by CYP450, binding to plasma protein and drug-drug interaction studies with drugs that could be possibly co-administered in the clinic. A total of 36 studies were reviewed.

1.1 RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Office of Clinical Pharmacology has reviewed the clinical pharmacology and biopharmaceutics (CPB) information submitted to NDA 22-307. The CPB information provided in NDA 22-307 is acceptable.

SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. The proposed dose adjustment of prasugrel maintenance dose to 5 mg QD for patients with body weight less than 60 Kg is acceptable.
- 2. The proposed dose adjustment of prasugrel maintenance dose in patients with age \geq 75 y is not acceptable.
- 3. Pre-treatment of at least 6 hrs for prasugrel or clopidogrel is not necessary to achieve maximum effectiveness. The loading dose for either prasugrel or clopidogrel should be administered at least within 30 minutes of the start of PCI.

The following comments should be properly addressed by the sponsor.

COMMENTS:

- 1. The sponsor should consider lowering the 60/10 dosing regimen of prasugrel in order to decrease the incidence of bleeding.
- 2. The sponsor should investigate the effects of a CYP2B6 inhibitor on the PK of prasugrel.

Page 16 of 263

3. Not enough information is provided in the study reports in patients with ESRD. The sponsor is requested to provide additional information in order to better evaluate the study results and be able to provide labeling recommendations in this patient population.

4.

5. The labeling comments should be addressed by the sponsor.

1.2 PHASE IV COMMINMENTS:

The sponsor should

Elena Mishina, Ph. D. Senior Clinical Pharmacologist

Sripal Mada, Ph.D Clinical Pharmacologist Raj Madabushi, Ph.D Pharmacometrics Reviewer

Yaning Wang, Ph.D Pharmacometrics Team Leader

Patrick Marroum, Ph. D. Cardio-Renal Team Leader

CPB Briefing was held on May 21, 2008

Attendees: Menon D, Younis I, Burkhart G, Mehta M, Unger E, Rahman A, Huang SM, Uppoor R, Zhang L, Chen TO, Orlof D, Yun X, Iyer G, Dorantes A, Ququan L, Hicks K, Marroum P, Mada S, Mishina E, Madabushi R.

Date

cc list: NDA 22-307, MehulM, MarroumP, MishinaE, UppoorR, HFD 110 BIOPHARM

Page 17 of 263

b(4)

b(4)