CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

APPLICATION NUMBER:

202810Orig1s000

PHARMACOLOGY REVIEW(S)

PHARMACOLOGY/TOXICOLOGY FILING CHECKLIST FOR NDA/BLA or Supplement

NDA/BLA Number: N 202810 Applicant: Supernus

Stamp Date: 12/19/11

Drug Name: Oxcarbazepine NDA/BLA Type: 505(b)(2) Extended-Release Tablets

On **initial** overview of the NDA/BLA application for filing:

| | Content Parameter | Yes | No | Comment |
|---|---|-----|----|---|
| 1 | Is the pharmacology/toxicology section organized in accord with current regulations and guidelines for format and content in a manner to allow substantive review to begin? | X | | |
| 2 | Is the pharmacology/toxicology section indexed and paginated in a manner allowing substantive review to begin? | х | | |
| 3 | Is the pharmacology/toxicology section legible so that substantive review can begin? | х | | |
| 4 | Are all required (*) and requested IND studies (in accord with 505 b1 and b2 including referenced literature) completed and submitted (carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, teratogenicity, effects on fertility, juvenile studies, acute and repeat dose adult animal studies, animal ADME studies, safety pharmacology, etc)? | n/a | | No nonclinical studies required, unless excipient or impurity issues raise safety concerns. |
| | If the formulation to be marketed is different from the formulation used in the toxicology studies, have studies by the appropriate route been conducted with appropriate formulations? (For other than the oral route, some studies may be by routes different from the clinical route intentionally and by desire of the FDA). | n/a | | |
| | Does the route of administration used in the animal studies appear to be the same as the intended human exposure route? If not, has the applicant <u>submitted</u> a rationale to justify the alternative route? | n/a | | |
| 7 | Has the applicant <u>submitted</u> a statement(s) that all of the pivotal pharm/tox studies have been performed in accordance with the GLP regulations (21 CFR 58) <u>or</u> an explanation for any significant deviations? | n/a | | |

PHARMACOLOGY/TOXICOLOGY FILING CHECKLIST FOR NDA/BLA or Supplement

| | Content Parameter | Yes | No | Comment | | | |
|----|--|-----|----|----------------|--|--|--|
| 8 | Has the applicant submitted all special studies/data requested by the Division during pre-submission discussions? | n/a | | | | | |
| 9 | Are the proposed labeling sections relative to pharmacology/toxicology appropriate (including human dose multiples expressed in either mg/m2 or comparative serum/plasma levels) and in accordance with 201.57? | X | | | | | |
| 10 | Have any impurity – etc. issues been addressed? (New toxicity studies may not be needed.) | х | | | | | |
| 11 | Has the applicant addressed any abuse potential issues in the submission? | n/a | | Purview of CSS | | | |
| 12 | If this NDA/BLA is to support a Rx to OTC switch, have all relevant studies been submitted? | n/a | | | | | |

IS THE PHARMACOLOGY/TOXICOLOGY SECTION OF THE APPLICATION FILEABLE? _____yes____

If the NDA/BLA is not fileable from the pharmacology/toxicology perspective, state the reasons and provide comments to be sent to the Applicant.

Please identify and list any potential review issues to be forwarded to the Applicant for the 74day letter.

Reviewing Pharmacologist

Ed Fisher

Team Leader/Supervisor

Date

Date

2/9/12

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

J EDWARD FISHER 02/09/2012

LOIS M FREED 02/09/2012