

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND  
RESEARCH**

*APPLICATION NUMBER:*  
**202872Orig1s000**

**MICROBIOLOGY REVIEW(S)**

# Product Quality Microbiology Review

06 August 2012

**NDA:** 202-872/N000

**Drug Product Name**

**Proprietary:** To be determined

**Non-proprietary:** loteprednol etabonate ophthalmic gel, 0.5%

**Review Number:** 1

**Dates of Submission(s) Covered by this Review**

Submit	Received	Review Request	Assigned to Reviewer
29 November 2011	29 November 2011	27December 2011	28 December 2011
27 March 2012 (SD9)	27 March 2012	NA	NA
28 June 2012 (SD 15)	28 June 2012	NA	NA

**Submission History (for amendments only):** NA

**Applicant/Sponsor**

**Name:** Bausch & Lomb Incorporated

**Address:** 7 Giralda Farms Suite 1001  
Madison, New Jersey 07940

**Representative:** Mary Harrell  
Manager Global Pharma Regulatory Affairs

**Telephone:** (973) 360-6462

**Name of Reviewer:** Denise A. Miller

**Conclusion:** Approve

## Product Quality Microbiology Data Sheet

- A.**
- 1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:** New Drug Application
  - 2. SUBMISSION PROVIDES FOR:** The manufacturing and marketing of a sterile ophthalmic topical gel.
  - 3. MANUFACTURING SITE:**  
Bausch & Lomb, Inc.  
8500 Hidden River Parkway  
Tampa, FL 33637  
CFN: 1052807
  - 4. DOSAGE FORM, ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION AND STRENGTH/POTENCY:**
    - Dosage form: Sterile ophthalmic gel 0.5g/4 mL and 5g/10 mL dropper bottles
    - Route of Administration: topical ophthalmic
    - Strength/Potency: 5%
  - 5. METHOD(S) OF STERILIZATION:** (b) (4)  
(b) (4)
  - 6. PHARMACOLOGICAL CATEGORY:** anti-inflammatory and pain reduction following ocular surgery.
- B. SUPPORTING/RELATED DOCUMENTS:** NA
- C. REMARKS:**  
Application was in the e-CTD format

**filename:** N202872N000R1.doc

---

## **Executive Summary**

### **I. Recommendations**

- A. Recommendation on Approvability** - Recommend to approve from a quality microbiology standpoint.
- B. Recommendations on Phase 4 Commitments and/or Agreements, if Approvable** - NA

### **II. Summary of Microbiology Assessments**

- A. Brief Description of the Manufacturing Processes that relate to Product Quality Microbiology** – The manufacturing process involves (b) (4)

[Redacted text block]

- B. Brief Description of Microbiology Deficiencies** - None
- C. Assessment of Risk Due to Microbiology Deficiencies** - NA

### **III. Administrative**

- A. Reviewer's Signature** \_\_\_\_\_  
Denise A. Miller  
Microbiologist, OPS/NDMS
- B. Endorsement Block** \_\_\_\_\_  
Steven E. Langille, Ph.D.  
Senior Microbiologist, OPS/NDMS
- C. CC Block**  
N/A

20 pages has been withheld in full as B(4) CCI/TS immediately following this page

-----  
**This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.**  
-----

/s/  
-----

DENISE A MILLER  
08/08/2012

STEPHEN E LANGILLE  
08/09/2012

# PRODUCT QUALITY MICROBIOLOGY FILING CHECKLIST

**NDA Number:** 202-872

**Applicant:** Bausch and Lomb

**Letter Date:** 29 November 2011

**Drug Name:** Loteprednol  
Etabonate ophthalmic gel 0.5%

**NDA Type:** 505(b)(1)

**Stamp Date:** 29 November  
2011

The following are necessary to initiate a review of the NDA application:

	Content Parameter	Yes	No	Comments
1	Is the product quality microbiology information described in the NDA and organized in a manner to allow substantive review to begin? Is it legible, indexed, and/or paginated adequately?	√		e-CTD format
2	Has the applicant submitted an overall description of the manufacturing processes and microbiological controls used in the manufacture of the drug product?	√		
3	Has the applicant submitted protocols and results of validation studies concerning microbiological control processes used in the manufacture of the drug product?	√		
4	Are any study reports or published articles in a foreign language? If yes, has the translated version been included in the submission for review?		√	
5	Has the applicant submitted preservative effectiveness studies (if applicable) and container-closure integrity studies?	√		
6	Has the applicant submitted microbiological specifications for the drug product and a description of the test methods?	√		
7	Has the applicant submitted the results of analytical method verification studies?	√		
8	Has the applicant submitted all special/critical studies/data requested during pre-submission meetings and/or discussions?	NA		
9	Is this NDA fileable? If not, then describe why.	√		

Additional Comments: Facility floor plans were not located in the submission. The submission also did not list any hold times or provide supportive studies.

Denise A. Miller, Microbiologist

Date

Stephen E. Langille, Ph.D.

Date

-----  
**This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.**  
-----

/s/  
-----

DENISE A MILLER  
01/12/2012

STEPHEN E LANGILLE  
01/12/2012