# CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

# APPLICATION NUMBER: 203168Orig1s000

# **MICROBIOLOGY REVIEW(S)**

## **Product Quality Microbiology Review**

## 17 January 2013

## NDA: NDA 203-168/N-000

## Drug Product Name Proprietary: Non-proprietary:

Prolensa<sup>™</sup> 0.07% bromfenac ophthalmic solution

**Review Number:** 

## Dates of Submission(s) Covered by this Review

1

| Submit           | Received         | <b>Review Request</b> | Assigned to Reviewer |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 6 June 2012      | 7 June 2012      | 22 June 2012          | 28 June 2012         |
| 16 November 2012 | 19 November 2012 | N/A                   | N/A                  |
| 19 December 2012 | 19 December 2012 | N/A                   | N/A                  |

## Submission History (for amendments only): Not applicable

## **Applicant/Sponsor**

| Name:<br>Address:                           | ISTA Pharmaceuticals<br>50 Technology<br>Irvine, CA 92618 |
|---|---|
| <b>Representative:</b><br><b>Telephone:</b> | Paul Nowacki<br>949-789-3109                              |
| Name of Reviewer:                           | Stephen E. Langille, Ph.D.                                |
| Conclusion:                                 | Recommended for approval                                  |

## **Product Quality Microbiology Data Sheet**

| A. | 1. | TYPE OF SUBMISSION:                           | Original submission- priority review   |
|----|----|---|--|
|    | 2. | SUBMISSION PROVIDES FOR:                      | <sup>(b) (4)</sup> information for a sterile topical ophthalmic drug product.  |
|    | 3. | MANUFACTURING SITE:                           | Bausch and Lomb Pharmaceuticals,<br>Inc.<br>8500 Hidden River Parkway<br>Tampa, FL 33637<br>Registration Number 1052807                                      |
|    | 4. | DOSAGE FORM, ROUTE OF AD<br>STRENGTH/POTENCY: | <ul> <li>MINISTRATION AND</li> <li>Sterile solution in an LDPE         <sup>(b) (4)</sup> round bottle</li> <li>Topical Ophthalmic</li> <li>0.07%</li> </ul> |
|    | 5. | METHOD(S) OF STERILIZATIO                     | (b) (4)  |
|    | 6. | PHARMACOLOGICAL CATEGO                        | <b>ORY:</b> Ophthalmic analgesic   |

#### B. SUPPORTING/RELATED DOCUMENTS: None

C. **REMARKS:** The submission was provided in eCTD format. The following information requests were sent to the applicant on 1 November 2012 and 6 November 2012.

| 1. Provide a justification for | I | I. | I | (b) (4) |
|--------------------------------|---|----|---|---------|
|                                |   |    |   |         |
|                                |   |    |   | Ľ.,     |
| 2. Provide a copy of           |   |    |   | (b) (4) |
|                                |   |    |   |         |
|                                |   |    |   |         |

(b) (4)

3. Provide the raw data (plate counts) for the preservative effectiveness test results provided in tables J3-1, J3-2, and J3-3 located in the "Preservative Effectiveness Tests and Methods" document located in section 3.2.P.3.5 of the application.

4. Provide the following information regarding endotoxin testing for Prolensa™

- *a.* The endotoxin limit for the drug product (an endotoxin limit of <sup>(b) (4)</sup> is suggested)
- b. The test method to be used for endotoxin testing
- c. Calculation of the maximum valid dilution
- d. The results of inhibition/enhancement testing
- *e. Inclusion of the endotoxin limit and test method in the list of drug product specifications.*

Responses to the information requests were provided on 16 November 2012 and 19 December 2012 and have been incorporated into the body of this review.

filename: N203168r1.doc

## **Executive Summary**

## I. Recommendations

- A. Recommendation on Approvability -NDA 203-168/N-000 is recommended for approval from the standpoint of product quality microbiology.
- B. Recommendations on Phase 4 Commitments and/or Agreements, if Approvable -Not applicable

### II. Summary of Microbiology Assessments

- A. Brief Description of the Manufacturing Processes that relate to Product Quality Microbiology -The drug product will be <sup>(b) (4)</sup> at the Bausch and Lomb Tampa, FL facility.
- **B.** Brief Description of Microbiology Deficiencies -No product quality microbiology deficiencies were identified based upon the information provided.
- C. Assessment of Risk Due to Microbiology Deficiencies -Not applicable.

## III. Administrative

A. Reviewer's Signature \_\_\_\_

Stephen E. Langille, Ph.D. Senior Microbiology Reviewer

- B. Endorsement Block Bryan Riley, Ph.D. Senior Microbiology Reviewer
- C. CC Block N/A

13 Pages have been Withheld in Full as b4 (CCI/TS) immediately following this page.

## This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

\_\_\_\_\_

/s/

-----

STEPHEN E LANGILLE 01/22/2013

\_\_\_\_\_

BRYAN S RILEY 01/22/2013 I concur.

## PRODUCT QUALITY MICROBIOLOGY FILING CHECKLIST

NDA Number: 203168Applicant: Ista Pharmaceuticals Inc.Letter Date: 6 June 2012Drug Name: ProlensaTMNDA Type: Original NDAStamp Date: 7 June 2012

The following are necessary to initiate a review of the NDA application:

|   | Content Parameter  | Yes | No | Comments  |
|---|--|-----|----|---|
| 1 | Is the product quality microbiology information described<br>in the NDA and organized in a manner to allow substantive<br>review to begin? Is it legible, indexed, and/or paginated<br>adequately? | X   |    | Sections P.3.3 and P.3.5  |
| 2 | Has the applicant submitted an overall description of the manufacturing processes and microbiological controls used in the manufacture of the drug product?  | X   |    | Section P.3.5   |
| 3 | Has the applicant submitted protocols and results of validation studies concerning microbiological control processes used in the manufacture of the drug product?                                  | X   |    | Section P.3.5   |
| 4 | Are any study reports or published articles in a foreign<br>language? If yes, has the translated version been included<br>in the submission for review?  |     | X  |   |
| 5 | Has the applicant submitted preservative effectiveness studies (if applicable) and container-closure integrity studies?  |     |    | Sections P.2.4 and P.3.5  |
| 6 | Has the applicant submitted microbiological specifications for the drug product and a description of the test methods?   | Х   |    | Section P.5.1   |
| 7 | Has the applicant submitted the results of analytical method verification studies?   | X   |    | AET validation was<br>provided (P.5.3).<br>Sterility test validation<br>not provided. |
| 8 | Has the applicant submitted all special/critical studies/data requested during pre-submission meetings and/or discussions?   |     |    | No such studies were requested.   |
| 9 | Is this NDA fileable? If not, then describe why.   | Х   |    |   |

Additional Comments: The following information request should be conveyed to the applicant: "Provide the results of bacteriostasis/fungistasis testing conducted to verify the USP sterility test for Prolensa<sup>TM</sup>."

Reviewing Microbiologist Stephen E. Langille, Ph.D. Senior Microbiology Reviewer NDMS/OPS/CDER 29 June 2012

Microbiology Secondary Reviewer John Metcalfe, Ph.D. Senior Microbiology Reviewer NDMS/OPS/CDER

29 June 2012

## This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

\_\_\_\_\_

/s/

-----

STEPHEN E LANGILLE 07/02/2012

\_\_\_\_\_

JOHN W METCALFE 07/02/2012 I concur.