## CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

**APPLICATION NUMBER:** 

204824Orig2s000

### PROPRIETARY NAME REVIEW(S)

# Department of Health and Human Services Public Health Service Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management

### **Proprietary Name Review—Final**

Date: September 5, 2013

Reviewer: Teresa McMillan, PharmD

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis

Team Leader: Lubna Merchant, PharmD, M.S.

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis

Drug Name: Otrexup (Methotrexate) Injection

Strengths: 10 mg/0.4 mL, 15 mg/0.4 mL, 20 mg/0.4 mL, 25 mg/0.4 mL

Application Type/Number: NDA 204824

Applicant/Sponsor: Antares Pharma

OSE RCM #: 2013-667

\*\*\* This document contains proprietary and confidential information that should not be released to the public.\*\*\*

### **CONTENTS**

1	INTRODUCTION	. 3
2	METHODS AND DISCUSSION	. 3
3	CONCLUSIONS	. 3
4	REFERENCES	4

### 1 INTRODUCTION

This re-assessment of the proposed proprietary name, Otrexup, is written in response to the anticipated approval of this NDA within 90 days from the date of this review. DMEPA found the proposed name, Otrexup, acceptable in OSE Review #2012-2969 dated March 12, 2013.

### 2 METHODS AND DISCUSSION

For re-assessments of proposed proprietary names, DMEPA searches a standard set of databases and information sources (see section 4) to identify names with orthographic and phonetic similarity to the proposed name that have been approved since the previous OSE proprietary name review. For this review we used the same search criteria described in OSE Review #2012-2969. We note that none of the proposed product characteristics were altered. However, we evaluated the previously identified names of concern considering any lessons learned from recent post-marketing experience, which may have altered our previous conclusion regarding the acceptability of the proposed proprietary name. The searches of the databases yielded no new names, thought to look or sound similar to Otrexup and represent a potential source of drug name confusion.

Additionally, DMEPA searched the USAN stem list to determine if the name contains any USAN stems as of the last USAN updates. The Safety Evaluator did not identify any United States Adopted Names (USAN) stems in the proposed proprietary name, as of September 5, 2013.

### 3 CONCLUSIONS

The re-evaluation of the proposed proprietary name, Otrexup, did not identify any vulnerability that would result in medication errors with any additional names noted in this review. Thus, DMEPA has no objection to the proprietary name, Otrexup, for this product at this time.

DMEPA considers this a final review; however, if approval of the NDA is delayed beyond 90 days from the date of this review, the Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Rheumatology Products (DPARP) should notify DMEPA because the proprietary name must be re-reviewed prior to the new approval date.

If you have further questions or need clarifications, please contact Nichelle Rashid, OSE project manager, at 301-796-3904.

### 4 REFERENCES

- 1. OSE Review #2012-2969, Proprietary Name Review for Otrexup (Methotrexate), March 12, 2013, McMillan, T.
- 2. Drugs@FDA (http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm)

Drugs@FDA contains most of the drug products approved since 1939. The majority of labels, approval letters, reviews, and other information are available for drug products approved from 1998 to the present. Drugs@FDA contains official information about FDA approved <a href="mailto:brand name">brand name</a>, <a href="mailto:generic drugs">generic drugs</a>, <a href="mailto:therapeutic biological products">therapeutic biological products</a>, <a href="mailto:prescription">prescription</a> and <a href="mailto:over-the-counter">over-the-counter</a> human drugs and <a href="mailto:discontinued drugs">discontinued drugs</a> and <a href="mailto:"Chemical Type 6" approvals.">"Chemical Type 6" approvals."</a>

3. USAN Stems (http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/physician-resources/medical-science/united-states-adopted-names-council/naming-guidelines/approved-stems.page?)

USAN Stems List contains all the recognized USAN stems.

4. Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis Proprietary Name Consultation Request

Compiled list of proposed proprietary names submitted to the Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis for review. The list is generated on a weekly basis from the Access database/tracking system.

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

TERESA S MCMILLAN
09/05/2013

LUBNA A MERCHANT
09/05/2013

# Department of Health and Human Services Public Health Service Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management

### **Proprietary Name Review**

Date: March 12, 2013

Reviewer: Teresa McMillan, PharmD

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis

Team Leader: Lubna Merchant, PharmD, M.S.

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis

Division Director: Carol Holquist, RPh.

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis

Drug Name(s): Otrexup (Methotrexate) Injection

Strengths: 10 mg/0.4 mL, 15 mg/0.4 mL, 20 mg/0.4 mL,

25 mg/0.4 mL

Application Type/Number: NDA 204824

Applicant/Sponsor: Antares Pharma

OSE RCM #: 2012-2969

Reference ID: 3274867

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> This document contains proprietary and confidential information that should not be released to the public.\*\*\*

### **CONTENTS**

1	INTR	RODUCTION	. 3
	1.1	Regulatory History	. 3
		Product Information	
	2.2	Safety Assessment	. 4
		CLUSIONS	
	3.1	Comments to the Applicant	. 5
4	REFI	ERENCES	. 6
Δ	PDENIDI	CES	Q

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

This review evaluates the proposed proprietary name, Otrexup, from a safety and promotional perspective. The sources and methods used to evaluate the proposed name are outlined in the reference section and Appendix A respectively.

### 1.1 REGULATORY HISTORY

This New Drug Application is currently under review with the Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Rheumatology Products (DPARP).

On December 19, 2012, Antares Pharma submitted a request to the Agency for an assessment of the proposed proprietary name under NDA 204824.

#### 1.2 PRODUCT INFORMATION

The following product information is provided in the December 19, 2012 proprietary name submission.

- Active Ingredient: Methotrexate
- Indication of Use: Rheumatoid Arthritis including polyarticular-course juvenile rheumatoid arthritis and severe psoriasis
- Route of Administration: Subcutaneous
- Dosage Form: Injection
- Strength: 10 mg/0.4 mL, 15 mg/0.4 mL, 20 mg/0.4 mL, 25 mg/0.4 mL
- Dose and Frequency: 10 mg to 25 mg subcutaneously once weekly. May be adjusted in 5 mg increments

  Max dose- titrate to effect
- How Supplied: Single-use disposable Medi-Jet, an autoinjector device delivery system
- Storage: Store at 20°C to 25°C (68 °F to 77 °F); excursions permitted to 15°C to 30°C (59 °F to 86 °F)

### 2. RESULTS

The following sections provide the information obtained and considered in the overall evaluation of the proposed proprietary name.

#### 2.1 PROMOTIONAL ASSESSMENT

The Office of Prescription Drug Promotion OPDP determined the proposed name is acceptable from a promotional perspective. DMEPA and the Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Rheumatology Products (DPARP) concurred with the findings of OPDP's promotional assessment of the proposed name.

### 2.2 SAFETY ASSESSMENT

The following aspects were considered in the safety evaluation of the name.

### 2.2.1 United States Adopted Names (USAN) SEARCH

The February 8, 2013 search of the United States Adopted Name (USAN) stems did not identify that a USAN stem is present in the proposed proprietary name.

### 2.2.2 Components of the Proposed Proprietary Name

The Applicant indicated in their submission that the proposed name, Otrexup, was not derived from one particular concept. This proprietary name is comprised of a single word that does not contain any components (i.e. a modifier, route of administration, dosage form, etc.) that are misleading or can contribute to medication error.

### 2.2.3 FDA Name Simulation Studies

Sixty-seven practitioners participated in DMEPA's prescription studies. The interpretations did not overlap with or appear or sound similar to any currently marketed products. Forty-one participants interpreted the name correctly as "Otrexup". The remaining participants provided incorrect responses. The remaining misinterpretations from all responses occurred with the letter 't' being omitted, the letter 'r' for the letters 'm' and 'c' or it was omitted, the letter 'e' for the letter 'a' and the letter string 'al', the letter 'u' for the letters 'i', 'e', and 'a', and the letter 'p' for the letter 'b', and 'v', or it was omitted and the letter strings 'pt' and 'be'. See Appendix C for the complete listing of interpretations from the verbal and written prescription studies.

### 2.2.4 Comments from Other Review Disciplines at Initial Review

In response to the OSE, January 7, 2013 e-mail, the Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Rheumatology Products (DPARP) did not forward any comments or concerns relating to the proposed name at the initial phase of the proprietary name review.

### 2.2.5 Failure Mode and Effects Analysis of Similar Names

Appendix B lists possible orthographic and phonetic misinterpretations of the letters appearing in the proposed proprietary name, Otrexup. Table 1 lists the names with orthographic, phonetic, or spelling similarity to the proposed proprietary name, Otrexup identified by the primary reviewer, the Expert Panel Discussion (EPD), and other review disciplines. Table 1 also includes the names identified from the by not identified by DMEPA and require further evaluation.

Table 1: Collective List of Potentially Similar Names (DMEPA, EPD, Other Disciplines, and External Name Study)

Look Similar					
Name	Source	Name	Source	Name	Source
Abraxane	FDA	Atrosulf-1	FDA	Atrosept	FDA
Atreza	FDA	Otrivin	FDA	Uticap	FDA
Octreotide	External	Oleptro	External		
		Look and So	ound Similar		
Name	Source	Name	Source	Name	Source
Obtrex	Both	Methotrexate	External	Zyprexa	External
Otrexup	FDA				
Sound alike					
Naltrexone	External	Oxycontin	External	Valtrex	External

Our analysis of the 15 names contained in Table 1 considered the information obtained in the previous sections along with their product characteristics. We determined 15 names will not pose a risk for confusion as described in Appendices D through E.

### 2.2.6 Communication of DMEPA's Analysis at Midpoint of Review

DMEPA communicated our findings to the Division of Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Rheumatology Products (DPARP) via e-mail on February 26, 2013. At that time we also requested additional information or concerns that could inform our review. Per e-mail correspondence from the Division of Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Rheumatology Products (DPARP) on March 5, 2013, they stated no additional concerns with the proposed proprietary name, Otrexup.

### 3 CONCLUSIONS

The proposed proprietary name is acceptable from both a promotional and safety perspective.

If you have further questions or need clarifications, please contact Nichelle Rashid, OSE project manager, at 301-796-3904.

### 3.1 COMMENTS TO THE APPLICANT

We have completed our review of the proposed proprietary name, Otrexup, and have concluded that this name is acceptable. The proposed proprietary name will be re-reviewed 90 days prior to approval of the NDA. The results are subject to change. If any of the proposed product characteristics as stated in your submission are altered, the name must be resubmitted for review.

### 4 REFERENCES

### 1. Micromedex Integrated Index (<a href="http://csi.micromedex.com">http://csi.micromedex.com</a>)

Micromedex contains a variety of databases covering pharmacology, therapeutics, toxicology and diagnostics.

### 2. Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA)

POCA is a database which was created for the Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis, FDA. As part of the name similarity assessment, proposed names are evaluated via a phonetic/orthographic algorithm. The proposed proprietary name is converted into its phonemic representation before it runs through the phonetic algorithm. Likewise, an orthographic algorithm exists which operates in a similar fashion.

### 3. Drug Facts and Comparisons, online version, St. Louis, MO (<a href="http://factsandcomparisons.com">http://factsandcomparisons.com</a>)

Drug Facts and Comparisons is a compendium organized by therapeutic course; it contains monographs on prescription and OTC drugs, with charts comparing similar products. This database also lists the orphan drugs.

### 4. FDA Document Archiving, Reporting & Regulatory Tracking System [DARRTS]

DARRTS is a government database used to organize Applicant and Sponsor submissions as well as to store and organize assignments, reviews, and communications from the review divisions.

### 5. Division of Medication Errors Prevention and Analysis proprietary name consultation requests

This is a list of proposed and pending names that is generated by the Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis from the Access database/tracking system.

### 6. Drugs@FDA (http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm)

Drugs@FDA contains most of the drug products approved since 1939. The majority of labels, approval letters, reviews, and other information are available for drug products approved from 1998 to the present. Drugs@FDA contains official information about FDA approved brand name, generic drugs, therapeutic biological products, prescription and overthe-counter human drugs and discontinued drugs and "Chemical Type 6" approvals.

### 7. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (http://www.uspto.gov)

USPTO provides information regarding patent and trademarks.

### 8. Clinical Pharmacology Online (<u>www.clinicalpharmacology-ip.com</u>)

Clinical Pharmacology contains full monographs for the most common drugs in clinical use, plus mini monographs covering investigational, less common,

combination, nutraceutical and nutritional products. It also provides a keyword search engine.

### 9. Data provided by Thomson & Thomson's SAEGIS TM Online Service, available at (www.thomson-thomson.com)

The Pharma In-Use Search database contains over 400,000 unique pharmaceutical trademarks and trade names that are used in about 50 countries worldwide. The data is provided under license by IMS HEALTH.

### 10. Natural Medicines Comprehensive Databases (<u>www.naturaldatabase.com</u>)

Natural Medicines contains up-to-date clinical data on the natural medicines, herbal medicines, and dietary supplements used in the western world.

### 11. Access Medicine (www.accessmedicine.com)

Access Medicine® from McGraw-Hill contains full-text information from approximately 60 titles; it includes tables and references. Among the titles are: Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, Basic & Clinical Pharmacology, and Goodman and Gilman's The Pharmacologic Basis of Therapeutics.

## 12. USAN Stems (<a href="http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/about-ama/our-people/coalitions-consortiums/united-states-adopted-names-council/naming-guidelines/approved-stems.shtml">http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/about-ama/our-people/coalitions-consortiums/united-states-adopted-names-council/naming-guidelines/approved-stems.shtml</a>)

USAN Stems List contains all the recognized USAN stems.

### 13. Red Book (<u>www.thomsonhc.com/home/dispatch)</u>

Red Book contains prices and product information for prescription, over-the-counter drugs, medical devices, and accessories.

### 14. Lexi-Comp (www.lexi.com)

Lexi-Comp is a web-based searchable version of the Drug Information Handbook.

### 15. Medical Abbreviations (www.medilexicon.com)

Medical Abbreviations dictionary contains commonly used medical abbreviations and their definitions.

### 16. CVS/Pharmacy (www.CVS.com)

This database contains commonly used over the counter products not usually identified in other databases.

### 17. Walgreens (www.walgreens.com)

This database contains commonly used over the counter products not usually identified in other databases.

### 18. Rx List (www.rxlist.com)

RxList is an online medical resource dedicated to offering detailed and current pharmaceutical information on brand and generic drugs.

### 19. Dogpile (www.dogpile.com)

Dogpile is a <u>Metasearch</u> engine that searches multiple search engines including Google, Yahoo! and Bing, and returns the most relevant results to the search.

### 20. Natural Standard (<a href="http://www.naturalstandard.com">http://www.naturalstandard.com</a>)

Natural Standard is a resource that aggregates and synthesizes data on complementary and alternative medicine.

#### **APPENDICES**

### Appendix A

FDA's Proprietary Name Risk Assessment considers the promotional and safety aspects of a proposed proprietary name. The promotional review of the proposed name is conducted by OPDP. OPDP evaluates proposed proprietary names to determine if they are overly fanciful, so as to misleadingly imply unique effectiveness or composition, as well as to assess whether they contribute to overstatement of product efficacy, minimization of risk, broadening of product indications, or making of unsubstantiated superiority claims. OPDP provides their opinion to DMEPA for consideration in the overall acceptability of the proposed proprietary name.

The safety assessment is conducted by DMEPA. DMEPA staff search a standard set of databases and information sources to identify names that are similar in pronunciation, spelling, and orthographically similar when scripted to the proposed proprietary name. Additionally, we consider inclusion of USAN stems or other characteristics that when incorporated into a proprietary name may cause or contribute to medication errors (i.e., dosing interval, dosage form/route of administration, medical or product name abbreviations, names that include or suggest the composition of the drug product, etc.). DMEPA defines a medication error as any preventable event that may cause or lead to inappropriate medication use or patient harm while the medication is in the control of the health care professional, patient, or consumer. <sup>1</sup>

Following the preliminary screening of the proposed proprietary name, DMEPA gathers to discuss their professional opinions on the safety of the proposed proprietary name. This meeting is commonly referred to the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Expert Panel discussion. DMEPA also considers other aspects of the name that may be misleading from a safety perspective. DMEPA staff conducts a prescription simulation studies using FDA health care professionals. When provided, DMEPA considers external proprietary name studies conducted by or for the Applicant/Sponsor and incorporates the findings of these studies into the overall risk assessment.

The DMEPA primary reviewer assigned to evaluate the proposed proprietary name is responsible for considering the collective findings, and provides an overall risk assessment of the proposed proprietary name. DMEPA bases the overall risk assessment on the findings of a Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) of the proprietary name and misleading nature of the proposed proprietary name with a focus on the avoidance of medication errors.

DMEPA uses the clinical expertise of its staff to anticipate the conditions of the clinical setting where the product is likely to be used based on the characteristics of the proposed product. DMEPA considers the product characteristics associated with the proposed product throughout the risk assessment because the product characteristics of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention. <a href="http://www.nccmerp.org/aboutMedErrors.html">http://www.nccmerp.org/aboutMedErrors.html</a>. Last accessed 10/11/2007.

proposed may provide a context for communication of the drug name and ultimately determine the use of the product in the *usual* clinical practice setting.

Typical product characteristics considered when identifying drug names that could potentially be confused with the proposed proprietary name include, but are not limited to; established name of the proposed product, proposed indication of use, dosage form, route of administration, strength, unit of measure, dosage units, recommended dose, typical quantity or volume, frequency of administration, product packaging, storage conditions, patient population, and prescriber population. DMEPA considers how these product characteristics may or may not be present in communicating a product name throughout the medication use system. Because drug name confusion can occur at any point in the medication use process, DMEPA considers the potential for confusion throughout the entire U.S. medication use process, including drug procurement, prescribing and ordering, dispensing, administration, and monitoring the impact of the medication.<sup>2</sup>

The DMEPA considers the spelling of the name, pronunciation of the name when spoken, and appearance of the name when scripted. DMEPA compares the proposed proprietary name with the proprietary and established name of existing and proposed drug products and names currently under review at the FDA. DMEPA compares the pronunciation of the proposed proprietary name with the pronunciation of other drug names because verbal communication of medication names is common in clinical settings. DMEPA examines the phonetic similarity using patterns of speech. If provided, DMEPA will consider the Sponsor's intended pronunciation of the proprietary name. However, DMEPA also considers a variety of pronunciations that could occur in the English language because the Sponsor has little control over how the name will be spoken in clinical practice. The orthographic appearance of the proposed name is evaluated using a number of different handwriting samples. DMEPA applies expertise gained from root-cause analysis of postmarketing medication errors to identify sources of ambiguity within the name that could be introduced when scripting (e.g., "T" may look like "F," lower case 'a' looks like a lower case 'u,' etc). Additionally, other orthographic attributes that determine the overall appearance of the drug name when scripted (see Table 1 below for details).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Institute of Medicine. Preventing Medication Errors. The National Academies Press: Washington DC. 2006.

<u>**Table 1.**</u> Criteria Used to Identify Drug Names that Look- or Sound-Similar to a Proposed Proprietary Name.

	Considerations when Searching the Databases				
Type of Similarity	Potential Causes of Drug Name Similarity	Attributes Examined to Identify Similar Drug Names	Potential Effects		
Look- alike	Similar spelling	Identical prefix Identical infix Identical suffix Length of the name Overlapping product characteristics	<ul> <li>Names may appear similar in print or electronic media and lead to drug name confusion in printed or electronic communication</li> <li>Names may look similar when scripted and lead to drug name confusion in written communication</li> </ul>		
	Orthographic similarity	Similar spelling Length of the name/Similar shape Upstrokes Down strokes Cross-strokes Dotted letters Ambiguity introduced by scripting letters Overlapping product characteristics	Names may look similar when scripted, and lead to drug name confusion in written communication		
Sound- alike	Phonetic similarity	Identical prefix Identical infix Identical suffix Number of syllables Stresses Placement of vowel sounds Placement of consonant sounds Overlapping product characteristics	Names may sound similar when pronounced and lead to drug name confusion in verbal communication		

Lastly, DMEPA considers the potential for the proposed proprietary name to inadvertently function as a source of error for reasons other than name confusion. Post-marketing experience has demonstrated that proprietary names (or components of the proprietary name) can be a source of error in a variety of ways. Consequently, DMEPA considers and evaluates these broader safety implications of the name throughout this assessment and the medication error staff provides additional comments related to the

safety of the proposed proprietary name or product based on professional experience with medication errors

### 1. Database and Information Sources

DMEPA searches the internet, several standard published drug product reference texts, and FDA databases to identify existing and proposed drug names that may sound-alike or look-alike to the proposed proprietary name. A standard description of the databases used in the searches is provided in the reference section of this review. To complement the process, the DMEPA uses a computerized method of identifying phonetic and orthographic similarity between medication names. The program, Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA), uses complex algorithms to select a list of names from a database that have some similarity (phonetic, orthographic, or both) to the trademark being evaluated. Lastly, DMEPA reviews the USAN stem list to determine if any USAN stems are present within the proprietary name. The individual findings of multiple safety evaluators are pooled and presented to the CDER Expert Panel. DMEPA also evaluates if there are characteristics included in the composition that may render the name unacceptable from a safety perspective (abbreviation, dosing interval, etc.).

### 2. Expert Panel Discussion

DMEPA gathers gather CDER professional opinions on the safety of the proposed product and discussed the proposed proprietary name (Expert Panel Discussion). The Expert Panel is composed of Division of Medication Errors Prevention (DMEPA) staff and representatives from the Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP). We also consider input from other review disciplines (OND, ONDQA/OBP). The Expert Panel also discusses potential concerns regarding drug marketing and promotion related to the proposed names.

The primary Safety Evaluator presents the pooled results of the database and information searches to the Expert Panel for consideration. Based on the clinical and professional experiences of the Expert Panel members, the Panel may recommend additional names, additional searches by the primary Safety Evaluator to supplement the pooled results, or general advice to consider when reviewing the proposed proprietary name.

### 3. FDA Prescription Simulation Studies

Three separate studies are conducted within the Centers of the FDA for the proposed proprietary name to determine the degree of confusion of the proposed proprietary name with marketed U.S. drug names (proprietary and established) due to similarity in visual appearance with handwritten prescriptions or verbal pronunciation of the drug name. The studies employ healthcare professionals (pharmacists, physicians, and nurses), and attempts to simulate the prescription ordering process. The primary Safety Evaluator uses the results to identify orthographic or phonetic vulnerability of the proposed name to be misinterpreted by healthcare practitioners.

In order to evaluate the potential for misinterpretation of the proposed proprietary name in handwriting and verbal communication of the name, inpatient medication orders and/or outpatient prescriptions are written, each consisting of a combination of marketed and unapproved drug products, including the proposed name. These orders are optically

scanned and one prescription is delivered to a random sample of participating health professionals via e-mail. In addition, a verbal prescription is recorded on voice mail. The voice mail messages are then sent to a random sample of the participating health professionals for their interpretations and review. After receiving either the written or verbal prescription orders, the participants record their interpretations of the orders which are recorded electronically.

### 4. Comments from Other Review Disciplines

DMEPA requests the Office of New Drugs (OND) and/or Office of Generic Drugs (OGD), ONDQA or OBP for their comments or concerns with the proposed proprietary name, ask for any clinical issues that may impact the DMEPA review during the initial phase of the name review. Additionally, when applicable, at the same time DMEPA requests concurrence/non-concurrence with OPDP's decision on the name. The primary Safety Evaluator addresses any comments or concerns in the safety evaluator's assessment.

The OND/OGD Regulatory Division is contacted a second time following our analysis of the proposed proprietary name. At this point, DMEPA conveys their decision to accept or reject the name. The OND or OGD Regulatory Division is requested to provide any further information that might inform DMEPA's final decision on the proposed name.

Additionally, other review disciplines opinions such as ONDQA or OBP may be considered depending on the proposed proprietary name.

### 5. Safety Evaluator Risk Assessment of the Proposed Proprietary Name

The primary Safety Evaluator applies his/her individual expertise gained from evaluating medication errors reported to FDA, considers all aspects of the name that may be misleading or confusing, conducts a Failure Mode and Effects Analysis, and provides an overall decision on acceptability dependent on their risk assessment of name confusion. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) is a systematic tool for evaluating a process and identifying where and how it might fail. When applying FMEA to assess the risk of a proposed proprietary name, DMEPA seeks to evaluate the potential for a proposed proprietary name to be confused with another drug name because of name confusion and, thereby, cause errors to occur in the medication use system. FMEA capitalizes on the predictable and preventable nature of medication errors associated with drug name confusion. FMEA allows the Agency to identify the potential for medication errors due to orthographically or phonetically similar drug names prior to approval, where actions to overcome these issues are easier and more effective than remedies available in the post-approval phase.

In order to perform an FMEA of the proposed name, the primary Safety Evaluator must analyze the use of the product at all points in the medication use system. Because the proposed product is has not been marketed, the primary Safety Evaluator anticipates the use of the product in the usual practice settings by considering the clinical and product

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI). Failure Mode and Effects Analysis. Boston. IHI:2004.

characteristics listed in Section 1.2 of this review. The Safety Evaluator then analyzes the proposed proprietary name in the context of the usual practice setting and works to identify potential failure modes and the effects associated with the failure modes.

In the initial stage of the Risk Assessment, the Safety Evaluator compares the proposed proprietary name to all of the names gathered from the above searches, Expert Panel Discussion, and prescription studies, external studies, and identifies potential failure modes by asking:

"Is the proposed proprietary name convincingly similar to another drug name, which may cause practitioners to become confused at any point in the usual practice setting? And are there any components of the name that may function as a source of error beyond sound/look-alike?"

An affirmative answer indicates a failure mode and represents a potential for the proposed proprietary name to be confused with another proprietary or established drug name because of look- or sound-alike similarity or because of some other component of the name. If the answer to the question is no, the Safety Evaluator is not convinced that the names posses similarity that would cause confusion at any point in the medication use system, thus the name is eliminated from further review.

In the second stage of the Risk Assessment, the primary Safety Evaluator evaluates all potential failure modes to determine the likely *effect* of the drug name confusion, by asking:

### "Could the confusion of the drug names conceivably result in medication errors in the usual practice setting?"

The answer to this question is a central component of the Safety Evaluator's overall risk assessment of the proprietary name. If the Safety Evaluator determines through FMEA that the name similarity would not ultimately be a source of medication errors in the usual practice setting, the primary Safety Evaluator eliminates the name from further analysis. However, if the Safety Evaluator determines through FMEA that the name similarity could ultimately cause medication errors in the usual practice setting, the Safety Evaluator will then recommend the use of an alternate proprietary name.

Moreover, DMEPA will object to the use of proposed proprietary name when the primary Safety Evaluator identifies one or more of the following conditions in the Overall Risk Assessment:

- a. OPDP finds the proposed proprietary name misleading from a promotional perspective, and the Review Division concurs with OPDP's findings. The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act provides that labeling or advertising can misbrand a product if misleading representations are made or suggested by statement, word, design, device, or any combination thereof, whether through a PROPRIETARY name or otherwise [21 U.S.C 321(n); See also 21 U.S.C. 352(a) & (n)].
- b. DMEPA identifies that the proposed proprietary name is misleading because of similarity in spelling or pronunciation to another proprietary or established name of a different drug or ingredient [CFR 201.10.(C)(5)].

- c. FMEA identifies the potential for confusion between the proposed proprietary name and other proprietary or established drug name(s), <u>and</u> demonstrates that medication errors are likely to result from the drug name confusion under the conditions of usual clinical practice.
- d. The proposed proprietary name contains an USAN (United States Adopted Names) stem.
- e. DMEPA identifies a potential source of medication error within the proposed proprietary name. For example, the proprietary name may be misleading or, inadvertently, introduce ambiguity and confusion that leads to errors. Such errors may not necessarily involve confusion between the proposed drug and another drug product but involve a naming characteristic that when incorporated into a proprietary name, may be confusing, misleading, cause or contribute to medication errors.

If DMEPA objects to a proposed proprietary name on the basis that drug name confusion could lead to medication errors, the primary Safety Evaluator uses the FMEA process to identify strategies to reduce the risk of medication errors. DMEPA generally recommends that the Sponsor select an alternative proprietary name and submit the alternate name to the Agency for review. However, in rare instances FMEA may identify plausible strategies that could reduce the risk of medication error of the currently proposed name. In that instance, DMEPA may be able to provide the Sponsor with recommendations that reduce or eliminate the potential for error and, thereby, would render the proposed name acceptable.

In the event that DMEPA objects to the use of the proposed proprietary name, based upon the potential for confusion with another proposed (but not yet approved) proprietary name, DMEPA will provide a contingency objection based on the date of approval. Whichever product, the Agency approves first has the right to use the proprietary name, while DMEPA will recommend that the second product to reach approval seek an alternative name.

The threshold set for objection to the proposed proprietary name may seem low to the Applicant/Sponsor. However, the safety concerns set forth in criteria a through e above are supported either by FDA regulation or by external healthcare authorities, including the Institute of Medicine (IOM), World Health Organization (WHO), the Joint Commission, and the Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP). These organizations have examined medication errors resulting from look- or sound-alike drug names, confusing, or misleading names and called for regulatory authorities to address the issue prior to approval. Additionally, DMEPA contends that the threshold set for the Proprietary Name Risk Assessment is reasonable because proprietary drug name confusion is a predictable and preventable source of medication error that, in many instances, the Agency and/or Sponsor can identify and rectify prior to approval to avoid patient harm.

Furthermore, post-marketing experience has demonstrated that medication errors resulting from drug name confusion are notoriously difficult to rectify post-approval. Educational and other post-approval efforts are low-leverage strategies that have had limited effectiveness at alleviating medication errors involving drug name confusion. Sponsors have undertaken higher-leverage strategies, such as drug name changes, in the

past but at great financial cost to the Sponsor and at the expense of the public welfare, not to mention the Agency's credibility as the authority responsible for approving the error-prone proprietary name. Moreover, even after Sponsors' have changed a product's proprietary name in the post-approval phase, it is difficult to eradicate the original proprietary name from practitioners' vocabulary, and as a result, the Agency has continued to receive reports of drug name confusion long after a name change in some instances. Therefore, DMEPA believes that post-approval efforts at reducing name confusion errors should be reserved for those cases in which the potential for name confusion could not be predicted prior to approval.

**Appendix B:** Letters and Letter Strings with Possible Orthographic or Phonetic Mis

Letters in Name, Otrexup	Scripted May Appear as	Spoken May Be Interpreted as
Capital 'O'	A, Q, D	'oh'
lower case 'o'	a, c, e, o, u	'oh'
lower case 't'	b, f, r, x, d, 1	d
lower case 'r'	e,n,s,v	'wr'
lower case 'e'	a, i, l, o, u,p	Any vowel
lower case 'x'	f, k, v, y	s, z, ks, kz
lower case 'u'	a, e, n, r, v, ie, ei	00
lower case 'p'	yn, ys, g, j, q, y	b
Letter Strings	Scripted May Appear as	Spoken May Be Interpreted as
re	u	

### Appendix C: Prescription Simulation Samples and Results

Figure 1. Otrexup (Conducted on 1/10/2013)

Handwritten Requisition Medication Order	Verbal Prescription
Medication Order:	
Otrexup 10mg subq once weekly	Otrexup 15 mg
Outpatient Prescription:	Inject 15 mg subq once weekly
Otxerup 15 mg	#4
Inject 15 mg sub q once weekly  #4	

### FDA Prescription Simulation Responses (Aggregate 1 Rx Studies Report)

**Study Name: Otrexup** 

As of Date 2/11/2013

190 People Received Study67 People Responded

Study Name: Otrexup

Total		19	24	24
INTERPRETATION	INPATIENT	VOICE	OUTPATIENT	TOTAL
AMARO ELLIPTOR	0	0	1	1
OMEXUP	0	0	1	1
OTALXUP	0	0	1	1
OTCEXUP	0	0	8	8
OTEXIP	0	0	1	1
OTREXA	0	2	0	2
OTREXAP	0	1	0	1
OTREXCEPT	0	3	0	3
OTREXEP	0	3	0	3
OTREXIB	0	1	0	1
OTREXIBE	0	1	0	1
OTREXIP	0	1	0	1
OTREXIV	0	1	0	1
OTREXUB	0	1	0	1
OTREXUP	19	10	12	41

<u>Appendix D:</u> Proprietary names not likely to be confused or not used in usual practice settings for the reasons described.

Pro	oprietary Name	Active Ingredient	Similarity to Otrexup	Failure preventions
1	Atreza	Atropine	Look alike	This product has been discontinued per Redbook since 5/31/2007. There are no generic equivalents. This name could only be found in the Redbook and Clinical Pharmacology databases and could not be found at Drugs @FDA and Orange Book databases.
2	Otrivin	Xylometazoline HCL	Look alike	The pair has sufficient orthographic differences.
3	N/A	Methotrexate	Look and sound alike	The pair has sufficient orthographic and phonetic differences.
4	N/A	Naltrexone	Sound alike	The pair has sufficient phonetic differences.
5	N/A	Octreotide	Look alike	The pair has sufficient orthographic differences.
6	Oleptro	Trazodone	Look alike	The pair has sufficient orthographic differences.
7	Oxycontin	Oxycodone	Sound alike	The pair has sufficient phonetic differences.
8	Valtrex	Valacyclovir	Sound alike	The pair has sufficient phonetic differences.
9	Atrosept	Atropine, Hyoscyamine, Methenamine, Methylene Blue, Phenyl Salicylate, and Benzoic Acid	Look alike	This product has been discontinued per Redbook since 11/26/1997. There are no generic equivalents. This name could only be found in the Redbook database and could not be found at Drugs @FDA and Orange Book databases.
10	Zyprexa	Olanzapine	Look and sound alike	The pair has sufficient orthographic and phonetic differences.
11	Otrexup	Methotrexate	Look and sound alike	The name is the subject of this review.

<u>Appendix E:</u> Risk of medication errors due to product confusion minimized by dissimilarity of the names and/ or use in clinical practice for the reasons described.

S	Proposed name: Otrexup (methotrexate)  Dosage form(s): Solution for Injection (Autoinjector)  trength(s): 10 mg/ 0.4 mL, 15 mg/0.4mL, 20 mg/0.4 mL, 25 mg/0.4 mL	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode  In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
	. Max dose- titrate to effect		
1	Obtrex and Obtrex DHA (Prenatal Multivitamins and Minerals)	<u>Similarities</u>	<u>Differences</u>
	Caplets  Usual Dose  Take one table once daily.	Orthographics Both names begin with 'O' and contain the letter string 'trex' in a similar position.  The letter string 'Ob' when scripted appears similar to the letter string 'Ot'.	Orthographics The name Obtrex contains three upstrokes ('O', 'b', 't') and no downstroke vs. the name Otrexup contains two upstrokes ('O', 't') and one downstroke ('p') giving them different shapes when scripted.
		Phonetics Both names begin with the 'O' sound and contain the 'trex' sound.	Phonetics The name Otrexup has three syllables and Obtrex has two. The third syllable 'up' in the name Otrexup adds an additional sound to the name.  Strength Obtrex and Obtrex DHA is available as a single

	written on the prescription vs. Otrexup is available in multiple strengths (i.e 10 mg/ 0.4 mL, 15 mg/0.4mL, 20 mg/0.4 mL, 25 mg/0.4 mL) which would require a strength on a prescription. There is no overlap in strength.
	Usual Dose 1 tablet vs. 10 mg to 25 mg
	Frequency of Administration Once daily vs. once weekly

Proposed name: Otrexup (methotrexated Dosage form(s): Solution for Injection (Autoinjector)  Strength(s): 10 mg/ 0.4 mL, 15 mg/0.4 mL 20 mg/0.4 mL, 25 mg/0.4 mL  Usual dose: 10 mg to 25 mg subcutaneously weekly. May be adjusted in 5 mg incrementation.	Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple) nL,	Prevention of Failure Mode  In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
Atrosulf-1 (atropine sulfate) discontinu generics available  Opthalmic Solution 1%  Usual Dose 1—2 drops of solution instilled in eye utimes per day	Orthographics  The letter string 'Atro' when scripted appears similar to the letter string 'Otre'.	Orthographics  The name Atrosulf-1 contains four upstrokes ('A', 't', 'l', 'f') and one downstroke ('f') vs. the name Otrexup contains two upstrokes ('O', 't') and one downstroke ('p')giving them different shapes when scripted.  Strength  Atrosulf-1 is available as a single strength which does not require a strength to be written on the prescription vs. Otrexup is available in multiple strengths (i.e 10 mg/ 0.4 mL, 15 mg/0.4mL, 20 mg/0.4 mL, 25 mg/0.4 mL ) which would require a strength on a prescription. There is no overlap in strength.

Proposed name: Otrexup (methotrexate)  Dosage form(s): Solution for Injection (Autoinjector)  Strength(s): 10 mg/ 0.4 mL, 15 mg/0.4mL, 20 mg/0.4 mL, 25 mg/0.4 mL  Usual dose: 10 mg to 25 mg subcutaneously once weekly. May be adjusted in 5 mg increments  (b) (4)  Max dose- titrate to effect		Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode  In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
3	Uticap (Hyoscyamine Sulfate, Methenamine, Methylene Blue, Phenyl Salicylate, Sodium Phosphate)  Capsule 0.12 mg;120 mg;10 mg;36 mg;40.8 mg  Usual Dose 1 tablet/capsule four times per day with liberal fluid intake after each dose	Orthographics Both names contain two upstrokes ('U', 't' vs. 'O', 't') and one downstroke ('p') in similar positions.  The letter string 'Uti' when scripted appears similar to the letter string 'Otr'.  The letter string 'ap' when scripted appears similar to the letter string 'up'.	Orthograhics The letter string 'ex' in the name Otrexup provides sufficient othrographic difference between the name Uticap.  Strength Uticap is available as a single strength which does not require a strength to be written on the prescription vs. Otrexup is available in multiple strengths (i.e 10 mg/ 0.4 mL, 15mg/0.4mL, 20 mg/0.4 mL, 25 mg/0.4 mL) which would require a strength on a prescription.  Usual Dose 1 tablet/capsule vs. 10 mg to 25 mg
			Frequency of Administration 1-4 times daily vs. Once weekly

S	Proposed name: Otrexup (methotrexate)  Dosage form(s): Solution for Injection (Autoinjector)  trength(s): 10 mg/ 0.4 mL, 15 mg/0.4mL, 20 mg/0.4 mL, 25 mg/0.4 mL  al dose: 10 mg to 25 mg subcutaneously once ekly. May be adjusted in 5 mg increments  (b) (4)  Max dose- titrate to effect	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode  In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
4	Abraxane (Paclitaxel)  Powder for suspension for Injection  100 mg  Usual Dose  100 mg/m²—260 mg/m² intravenously over 30 minutes once per week for 3 weeks, then one week off, every 28 days	Similarities  Orthographics The letter string 'Abrax' when scripted appears similar to the letter string 'Otrex'.  Strength and Usual Dose 100 mg vs. 10 mg  Frequency of Administration	Differences Orthograhics The name Abraxane contains two upstrokes ('A','b') and no downstroke vs. the name Otrexup contains two upstrokes ('O', 't') and one downstroke ('p') giving them different shapes when scripted.

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

TERESA S MCMILLAN
03/12/2013

LUBNA A MERCHANT 03/12/2013

CAROL A HOLQUIST 03/12/2013