

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND  
RESEARCH**

*APPLICATION NUMBER:*

**103792Orig1s5311**

**OTHER REVIEW(S)**

---

## LABELING REVIEW

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (DMEPA)  
Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM)  
Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE)  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

**\*\*\* This document contains proprietary information that cannot be released to the public\*\*\***

---

**Date of This Review:** February 28, 2014

**Requesting Office or Division:** Division of Oncology Products I (DOP1)

**Application Type and Number:** BLA 103792/S-5311

**Product Name and Strength:** Herceptin  
(trastuzumab)  
for Injection  
440 mg/vial

**Product Type:** Single Ingredient Product

**Rx or OTC:** Rx

**Applicant/Sponsor Name:** Genentech, Inc.

**Submission Date:** November 25, 2013

**OSE RCM #:** 2013-2816

**DMEPA Primary Reviewer:** Jibril Abdus-Samad, PharmD

**DMEPA Team Leader:** Chi-Ming (Alice) Tu, PharmD

---

## 1 REASON FOR REVIEW

DOP1 consulted DMEPA to review the proposed language in the Herceptin (trastuzumab) prescribing information that addresses the potential for medication error due to confusion with (Kadcyla) ado-trastuzumab emtansine.

## 2 MATERIALS REVIEWED

We considered the materials listed in Table 1 for this review. The Appendices provide the methods and results for each material reviewed.

Table 1. Materials Considered for this Label and Labeling Review	
Material Reviewed	Appendix Section (for Methods and Results)
Product Information/Prescribing Information	A
FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS)	B
Previous DMEPA Reviews	C
Human Factors Study	D (N/A)
ISMP Newsletters	E
Other	F (N/A)
Labeling	G

N/A=not applicable for this review

## 3 OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE MATERIALS REVIEWED

The Applicant's proposal to add a statement in the prescribing information to [REDACTED] (b) (4) [REDACTED] is reasonable considering it is nearly identical to the statement in the approved Kadcyla prescribing information and there are no reported cases of confusion in FAERS. However, we should add additional statements in the *Dosage and Administration* within Highlights of Prescribing Information and *Recommended Doses and Schedules (2.1)* to be more consistent with the approved Kadcyla prescribing information.

## 4 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

DMEPA recommends adding additional statements to the Herceptin prescribing information in the *Dosage and Administration* within Highlights of Prescribing Information and *Recommended Doses and Schedules (2.1)* to be more consistent with the approved Kadcyla prescribing information.

## 4.1 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE DIVISION

Below are our recommendations to the prescribing information.

### 1.14.1.2 Draft Labeling Text

#### HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

These highlights do not include all the information needed to use Herceptin safely and effectively. See full prescribing information for Herceptin.

**HERCEPTIN® (trastuzumab)**  
**Intravenous Infusion**  
Initial U.S. Approval: 1998

#### WARNING: CARDIOMYOPATHY, INFUSION REACTIONS, EMBRYO-FETAL TOXICITY, and PULMONARY TOXICITY

*See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning*

**Cardiomyopathy:** Herceptin can result in sub-clinical and clinical cardiac failure manifesting as CHF, and decreased LVEF, with greatest risk when administered concurrently with anthracyclines. Evaluate cardiac function prior to and during treatment. Discontinue Herceptin for cardiomyopathy. (5.1, 2.2)

**Infusion reactions, Pulmonary toxicity:** Discontinue Herceptin for anaphylaxis, angioedema, interstitial pneumonitis, or acute respiratory distress syndrome. (5.2, 5.4)

**Embryo-Fetal Toxicity:** Exposure to Herceptin during pregnancy can result in oligohydramnios, in some cases complicated by pulmonary hypoplasia and neonatal death.

#### -----RECENT MAJOR CHANGES-----

Dosage and Administration (2.3)	xx/20xx
Warnings and Precautions, Cardiomyopathy (5.1)	xx/20xx

#### -----INDICATIONS AND USAGE-----

Herceptin is a HER2/neu receptor antagonist indicated for:

- the treatment of HER2 overexpressing breast cancer (1.1, 1.2).
- the treatment of HER2-overexpressing metastatic gastric or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (1.3)

#### -----DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION-----

- **For intravenous (IV) infusion only. Do not administer as an IV push or bolus (5.2).**
- **Do not substitute Herceptin (trastuzumab) for or with ado-trastuzumab emtansine. (2.1)**

#### Adjuvant Treatment of HER2-Overexpressing Breast Cancer (2.1)

Administer at either:  
Initial dose of 4 mg/kg over 90 minute IV infusion, then 2 mg/kg over 30 minute IV infusion weekly for 52 weeks, or

## 2 DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION

### 2.1 Recommended Doses and Schedules

- **Do not administer as an intravenous push or bolus. Do not mix Herceptin with other drugs.**
- **Do not substitute Herceptin (trastuzumab) for or with ado-trastuzumab emtansine.**

#### *Adjuvant Treatment, Breast Cancer:*

Administer according to one of the following doses and schedules for a total of 52 weeks of Herceptin therapy:

During and following paclitaxel, docetaxel, or docetaxel/carboplatin:

- Initial dose of 4 mg/kg as an intravenous infusion over 90 minutes then at 2 mg/kg as an intravenous infusion over 30 minutes weekly during chemotherapy for the first 12 weeks (paclitaxel or docetaxel) or 18 weeks (docetaxel/carboplatin).
- One week following the last weekly dose of Herceptin, administer Herceptin at 6 mg/kg as an intravenous infusion over 30 to 90 minutes every three weeks.

As a single agent within three weeks following completion of multi-modality, anthracycline-based chemotherapy regimens:

- Initial dose at 8 mg/kg as an intravenous infusion over 90 minutes
- Subsequent doses at 6 mg/kg as an intravenous infusion over over 30 to 90 minutes every three weeks.

[see *Dose Modifications (2.2)*]

*Metastatic Treatment, Breast Cancer:*

- Administer Herceptin, alone or in combination with paclitaxel, at an initial dose of 4 mg/kg as a 90 minute intravenous infusion followed by subsequent once weekly doses of 2 mg/kg as 30 minute intravenous infusions until disease progression.

*Metastatic Gastric Cancer*

- Administer Herceptin at an initial dose of 8 mg/kg as a 90 minute intravenous infusion followed by subsequent doses of 6 mg/kg as an intravenous infusion over 30-90 minutes every three weeks until disease progression [see *Dose Modifications (2.2)*].

**2.2 Dose Modifications**

*Infusion Reactions*

[see *Boxed Warning, Warnings and Precautions (5.2)*]

- Decrease the rate of infusion for mild or moderate infusion reactions
- Interrupt the infusion in patients with dyspnea or clinically significant hypotension
- Discontinue Herceptin for severe or life-threatening infusion reactions.

*Cardiomyopathy*

[see *Boxed Warning, Warnings and Precautions (5.1)*]

Assess left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) prior to initiation of Herceptin and at regular intervals during treatment. Withhold Herceptin dosing for at least 4 weeks for either of the following:

- $\geq 16\%$  absolute decrease in LVEF from pre-treatment values
- LVEF below institutional limits of normal and  $\geq 10\%$  absolute decrease in LVEF from pretreatment values.

Herceptin may be resumed if, within 4–8 weeks, the LVEF returns to normal limits and the absolute decrease from baseline is  $\leq 15\%$ .

Permanently discontinue Herceptin for a persistent ( $> 8$  weeks) LVEF decline or for suspension of Herceptin dosing on more than 3 occasions for cardiomyopathy.

**2.3 Preparation for Administration**

<sup>(b) (4)</sup> To prevent medication errors it is important to check the vial labels to ensure that the drug being prepared and administered is Herceptin (trastuzumab) and not ado-trastuzumab emtansine.

### *Reconstitution*

Reconstitute each 440 mg vial of Herceptin with 20 mL of Bacteriostatic Water for Injection (BWFI), USP, containing 1.1% benzyl alcohol as a preservative to yield a multi-dose solution containing 21 mg/mL trastuzumab. In patients with known hypersensitivity to benzyl alcohol, reconstitute with 20 mL of Sterile Water for Injection (SWFI) without preservative to yield a single use solution.

Use appropriate aseptic technique when performing the following reconstitution steps:

- Using a sterile syringe, slowly inject the 20 mL of diluent into the vial containing the lyophilized cake of Herceptin. The stream of diluent should be directed into the lyophilized cake.
- Swirl the vial gently to aid reconstitution. **DO NOT SHAKE.**
- Slight foaming of the product may be present upon reconstitution. Allow the vial to stand undisturbed for approximately 5 minutes.
- Parenteral drug products should be inspected visually for particulate matter and discoloration prior to administration, whenever solution and container permit. Inspect visually for particulates and discoloration. The solution should be free of visible particulates, clear to slightly opalescent and colorless to pale yellow.
- Store reconstituted Herceptin at 2–8°C; discard unused Herceptin after 28 days. If Herceptin is reconstituted with SWFI without preservative, use immediately and discard any unused portion.

### *Dilution*

- Determine the dose (mg) of Herceptin [*see Dosage and Administration (2.1)*]. Calculate the volume of the 21 mg/mL reconstituted Herceptin solution needed, withdraw this amount from the vial and add it to an infusion bag containing 250 mL of 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection, USP. **DO NOT USE DEXTROSE (5%) SOLUTION.**
- Gently invert the bag to mix the solution.

## APPENDICES: METHODS & RESULTS FOR EACH MATERIALS REVIEWED

### APPENDIX A. PRODUCT INFORMATION/PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

Table 2 presents relevant product information for Herceptin (trastuzumab) that Genentech, Inc. submitted on November 25, 2013.

<b>Table 2. Relevant Product Information for Herceptin (trastuzumab)</b>	
<b>Active Ingredient</b>	Trastuzumab
<b>Indication</b>	Adjuvant Breast Cancer, Metastatic Breast Cancer, Metastatic Gastric Cancer
<b>Route of Administration</b>	Intravenous
<b>Dosage Form</b>	For Injection
<b>Strength</b>	440 mg/vial
<b>Dose and Frequency</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– Adjuvant Breast Cancer 2 mg/kg to 8 mg/kg weekly</li><li>– Metastatic Breast Cancer 2 mg/kg to 4 mg/kg weekly</li><li>– Metastatic Gastric Cancer 6 mg/kg to 8 mg/kg every 3 weeks</li></ul>
<b>How Supplied</b>	Carton containing trastuzumab 440 mg/vial and a vial of Bacteriostatic Water for Injection
<b>Storage</b>	Refrigerate at 2°C – 8°C (36°F – 46°F) prior to reconstitution
<b>Container Closure</b>	Multi-use vial

## APPENDIX B. FDA ADVERSE EVENT REPORTING SYSTEM (FAERS)

### B.1 Methods

We searched the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) on February 26, 2014 using the criteria in Table 3, and then individually reviewed each case. We limited our analysis to cases that described errors possibly associated with the label and labeling. We used the NCC MERP Taxonomy of Medication Errors to code the type and factors contributing to the errors when sufficient information was provided by the reporter<sup>2</sup>

Table 3: FAERS Search Strategy	
Date Range	February 22, 2013 (approval date of Kadcyła) to February 26, 2014
Drug Names for Drug Interaction Search	Trastuzumab [active ingredient] Herceptin [product name] AND Ado-Trastuzumab Emtansine [active ingredient] Trastuzumab Emtansine [active ingredient] Kadcyla [product name]
MedDRA Search Strategy	Medication Errors [HLGT] Product Packaging Issues [HLT] Product Label Issues [HLT] Product Quality Issues (NEC)[HLT]

### B.2 Results

Our search identified 2 cases, which were excluded from further analysis because they involved dose omissions for patients enrolled in Clinical Trials.

### B.3 List of FAERS Case Numbers (N/A)

### B.4 Description of FAERS

The FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) is a database that contains information on adverse event and medication error reports submitted to FDA. The database is designed to support the FDA's postmarket safety surveillance program for drug and therapeutic biologic products. The informatic structure of the FAERS database adheres to the international safety

---

<sup>2</sup> The National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention (NCC MERP) Taxonomy of Medication Errors. Website <http://www.nccmerp.org/pdf/taxo2001-07-31.pdf>.

reporting guidance issued by the International Conference on Harmonisation. Adverse events and medication errors are coded to terms in the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) terminology. Product names are coded using the FAERS Product Dictionary. More information about FAERS can be found at:

<http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Surveillance/AdverseDrugEffects/default.htm>.

## **APPENDIX C. PREVIOUS DMEPA REVIEWS**

### **C.1 Methods**

We searched the L: drive on February 26, 2014 using the terms, trastuzumab, to identify reviews previously performed by DMEPA.

### **C.2 Results**

We retrieved OSE Review 2012-2037 Kadcyła (trastuzumab emtansine) dated December 21, 2012. This review addressed potential confusion between Kadcyła (trastuzumab emtansine) and Herceptin (trastuzumab) due to similarity of the non-proprietary names. Ultimately, the non-proprietary name for Kadcyła was changed to ado-trastuzumab emtansine.

## APPENDIX E. ISMP NEWSLETTERS

### E.1 Methods

We searched the Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP) newsletters on February 26, 2014 using the criteria below, and then individually reviewed each newsletter. We limited our analysis to newsletters that described medication errors or actions possibly associated with the label and labeling.

ISMP Newsletters Search Strategy	
Date Range	February 26, 2014
ISMP Newsletter Search Strategy	Select one of the following: Match Exact word or phrase
Search Terms	Herceptin AND Kadcyła

### E.2 Results

This search retrieved three newsletters that discussed the potential for confusion between Herceptin (trastuzumab) and Kadcyła (ado-trastuzumab emtansine).

- Institute for Safe Medication Practices. Safety Briefs: Confusion between two HER2-targeted monoclonal antibodies. ISMP Med Saf Alert Acute Care. 2013;18(5):2-3
- Institute for Safe Medication Practices. NAN Alert: Generic name for Kadcyła. ISMP Med Safe Alert Acute Care. 2013;18(8):1
- Institute for Safe Medication Practices. Quarterly Action Agenda. ISMP Med Saf Alert Acute Care. 2013;18(14):4

## **APPENDIX G. LABELS AND LABELING**

### **G.1 List of Labels and Labeling Reviewed**

Using the principles of human factors and Failure Mode and Effects Analysis,<sup>1</sup> along with postmarket medication error data, we reviewed the prescribing information on Herceptin (trastuzumab) submitted by Genentech, Inc. on November 25, 2013.

---

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI). Failure Modes and Effects Analysis. Boston. IHI:2004.

-----  
**This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.**  
-----

/s/  
-----

JIBRIL ABDUS-SAMAD  
02/28/2014

CHI-MING TU  
02/28/2014

**MEMORANDUM**  
**FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION**  
**Center for Drug Evaluation and Research**  
**Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP)**

\*\*\*\*Pre-decisional Agency Information\*\*\*\*

Memorandum

**Date:** February 24, 2014

**To:** Amy Tilley, RPM  
Division of Oncology Products 1 (DOP1)  
Office of Hematology Oncology Products (OHOP)

**From:** Marybeth Toscano, PharmD, Regulatory Review Officer  
Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP)

**Subject:** OPDP comments on draft product labeling for Herceptin BLA  
103792/S-5311

---

In response to your consult request dated December 17, 2013, OPDP has reviewed the proposed product labeling (PI) for Herceptin. OPDP's comments are based on the proposed, substantially complete version of the PI, available at the following link:

<<http://cberedrweb.fda.gov:8080/esp/cberedr.jsp?folderObjId=0bbcaea6813678dc>>

Specifically, OPDP has reviewed Sections 2, 6, 7, and 14 of the proposed PI and has no comments.

If you have any questions, please contact Marybeth Toscano at 6-2617 or at [Marybeth.Toscano@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:Marybeth.Toscano@fda.hhs.gov).

-----  
**This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.**  
-----

/s/  
-----

MARYBETH TOSCANO  
02/24/2014

## RPM FILING REVIEW

(Including Memo of Filing Meeting)

**To be completed for all new NDAs, BLAs, and Efficacy Supplements [except SE8 (labeling change with clinical data) and SE9 (manufacturing change with clinical data)]**

Application Information		
NDA # BLA# 103792	NDA Supplement #:S- BLA Supplement # 5311	Efficacy Supplement Type SE- 8
Proprietary Name: Herceptin® Established/Proper Name: trastuzumab Dosage Form: 440 mg/vial Strengths: 21 mg/ml		
Applicant: Genentech, Inc. Agent for Applicant (if applicable):		
Date of Application: 11-25-13 Date of Receipt: 11-26-13 Date clock started after UN:		
PDUFA Goal Date: P= 5-28-14		Action Goal Date (if different): 3-1-14
Filing Date: 1-25-14		Date of Filing Meeting: 1-23-14
Chemical Classification: (1,2,3 etc.) (original NDAs only)		
Proposed indication(s)/Proposed change(s): Efficacy & Safety from protocol specified preplanned OS analysis in fulfillment of PMC 1 & 3 (5150) & to update label for Herceptin administered concurrently with the taxane component of adjuvant chemotherapy in the treatment of patients with HER2 + EBC.		
Type of Original NDA: AND (if applicable) Type of NDA Supplement:	<input type="checkbox"/> 505(b)(1) <input type="checkbox"/> 505(b)(2) <input type="checkbox"/> 505(b)(1) <input type="checkbox"/> 505(b)(2)	
<i>If 505(b)(2): Draft the "505(b)(2) Assessment" review found at:</i> <a href="http://inside.fda.gov:9003/CDER/OfficeofNewDrugs/ImmediateOffice/UCM027499">http://inside.fda.gov:9003/CDER/OfficeofNewDrugs/ImmediateOffice/UCM027499</a> .		
Review Classification:	<input type="checkbox"/> Standard <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Priority  <input type="checkbox"/> Tropical Disease Priority Review Voucher submitted	
<i>If the application includes a complete response to pediatric WR, review classification is Priority.</i>		
<i>If a tropical disease priority review voucher was submitted, review classification is Priority.</i>		
Resubmission after withdrawal? <input type="checkbox"/>		Resubmission after refuse to file? <input type="checkbox"/>
Part 3 Combination Product? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Convenience kit/Co-package <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-filled drug delivery device/system (syringe, patch, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-filled biologic delivery device/system (syringe, patch, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Device coated/impregnated/combined with drug <input type="checkbox"/> Device coated/impregnated/combined with biologic <input type="checkbox"/> Separate products requiring cross-labeling <input type="checkbox"/> Drug/Biologic <input type="checkbox"/> Possible combination based on cross-labeling of separate products <input type="checkbox"/> Other (drug/device/biological product)	
<i>If yes, contact the Office of Combination Products (OCP) and copy them on all Inter-Center consults</i>		

<input type="checkbox"/> Fast Track Designation <input type="checkbox"/> Breakthrough Therapy Designation <input type="checkbox"/> Rolling Review <input type="checkbox"/> Orphan Designation  <input type="checkbox"/> Rx-to-OTC switch, Full <input type="checkbox"/> Rx-to-OTC switch, Partial <input type="checkbox"/> Direct-to-OTC  Other:	<input type="checkbox"/> PMC response <input type="checkbox"/> PMR response: <input type="checkbox"/> FDAAA [505(o)] <input type="checkbox"/> PREA deferred pediatric studies [21 CFR 314.55(b)/21 CFR 601.27(b)] <input type="checkbox"/> Accelerated approval confirmatory studies (21 CFR 314.510/21 CFR 601.41) <input type="checkbox"/> Animal rule postmarketing studies to verify clinical benefit and safety (21 CFR 314.610/21 CFR 601.42)			
Collaborative Review Division ( <i>if OTC product</i> ):				
List referenced IND Number(s): IND 6667				
<b>Goal Dates/Product Names/Classification Properties</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
PDUFA and Action Goal dates correct in tracking system?  <i>If no, ask the document room staff to correct them immediately. These are the dates used for calculating inspection dates.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Are the proprietary, established/proper, and applicant names correct in tracking system?  <i>If no, ask the document room staff to make the corrections. Also, ask the document room staff to add the established/proper name to the supporting IND(s) if not already entered into tracking system.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Is the review priority (S or P) and all appropriate classifications/properties entered into tracking system (e.g., chemical classification, combination product classification, 505(b)(2), orphan drug)? <i>For NDAs/NDA supplements, check the New Application and New Supplement Notification Checklists for a list of all classifications/properties at: <a href="http://inside.fda.gov:9003/CDER/OfficeofBusinessProcessSupport/ucm163969.htm">http://inside.fda.gov:9003/CDER/OfficeofBusinessProcessSupport/ucm163969.htm</a></i>  <i>If no, ask the document room staff to make the appropriate entries.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Application Integrity Policy</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Is the application affected by the Application Integrity Policy (AIP)? <i>Check the AIP list at: <a href="http://www.fda.gov/ICECI/EnforcementActions/ApplicationIntegrityPolicy/default.htm">http://www.fda.gov/ICECI/EnforcementActions/ApplicationIntegrityPolicy/default.htm</a></i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
<i>If yes, explain in comment column.</i>			X	
<i>If affected by AIP, has OC/OMPQ been notified of the submission? If yes, date notified:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	
<b>User Fees</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Is Form 3397 (User Fee Cover Sheet) included with authorized signature?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

<p><u>User Fee Status</u></p> <p><i>If a user fee is required and it has not been paid (and it is not exempted or waived), the application is unacceptable for filing following a 5-day grace period. Review stops. Send Unacceptable for Filing (UN) letter and contact user fee staff.</i></p>	<p>Payment for this application:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paid  <input type="checkbox"/> Exempt (orphan, government)  <input type="checkbox"/> Waived (e.g., small business, public health)  <input type="checkbox"/> Not required</p>																			
<p><i>If the firm is in arrears for other fees (regardless of whether a user fee has been paid for this application), the application is unacceptable for filing (5-day grace period does not apply). Review stops. Send UN letter and contact the user fee staff.</i></p>	<p>Payment of other user fees:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not in arrears  <input type="checkbox"/> In arrears</p>																			
<p><b>505(b)(2)</b>  <b>(NDAs/NDA Efficacy Supplements only)</b></p>	<p><b>YES</b></p>	<p><b>NO</b></p>	<p><b>NA</b></p>	<p><b>Comment</b></p>																
<p>Is the application for a duplicate of a listed drug and eligible for approval under section 505(j) as an ANDA?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>																	
<p>Is the application for a duplicate of a listed drug whose only difference is that the extent to which the active ingredient(s) is absorbed or otherwise made available to the site of action is less than that of the reference listed drug (RLD)? [see 21 CFR 314.54(b)(1)].</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>																	
<p>Is the application for a duplicate of a listed drug whose only difference is that the rate at which the proposed product's active ingredient(s) is absorbed or made available to the site of action is unintentionally less than that of the listed drug [see 21 CFR 314.54(b)(2)]?</p> <p><i>If you answered yes to any of the above questions, the application may be refused for filing under 21 CFR 314.101(d)(9). Contact the 505(b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office of New Drugs</i></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>																	
<p>Is there unexpired exclusivity on any drug product containing the active moiety (e.g., 5-year, 3-year, orphan, or pediatric exclusivity)?</p> <p><i>Check the Electronic Orange Book at:</i>  <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/ob/default.cfm">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/ob/default.cfm</a></p> <p><b>If yes, please list below:</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Application No.</th> <th>Drug Name</th> <th>Exclusivity Code</th> <th>Exclusivity Expiration</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Application No.	Drug Name	Exclusivity Code	Exclusivity Expiration													<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	
Application No.	Drug Name	Exclusivity Code	Exclusivity Expiration																	
<p><i>If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on the active moiety for the proposed drug product, a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of exclusivity expires (unless the applicant provides paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted four years after the date of approval.) Pediatric exclusivity will extend both of the timeframes in this provision by 6 months. 21 CFR 314.108(b)(2). Unexpired, 3-year exclusivity may block the approval but not the submission of a 505(b)(2) application.</i></p>																				
<p><b>Exclusivity</b></p>	<p><b>YES</b></p>	<p><b>NO</b></p>	<p><b>NA</b></p>	<p><b>Comment</b></p>																
<p>Does another product (same active moiety) have orphan exclusivity for the same indication? <i>Check the Orphan Drug</i></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>X</p>																	

<b>Designations and Approvals list at:</b> <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/opdlisting/oopd/index.cfm">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/opdlisting/oopd/index.cfm</a>				
<b>If another product has orphan exclusivity</b> , is the product considered to be the same product according to the orphan drug definition of sameness [see 21 CFR 316.3(b)(13)]?  <i>If yes, consult the Director, Division of Regulatory Policy II, Office of Regulatory Policy</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Has the applicant requested 5-year or 3-year Waxman-Hatch exclusivity? ( <i>NDAs/NDA efficacy supplements only</i> )  If yes, # years requested:  <i>Note: An applicant can receive exclusivity without requesting it; therefore, requesting exclusivity is not required.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Is the proposed product a single enantiomer of a racemic drug previously approved for a different therapeutic use ( <i>NDAs only</i> )?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>If yes</b> , did the applicant: (a) elect to have the single enantiomer (contained as an active ingredient) not be considered the same active ingredient as that contained in an already approved racemic drug, and/or (b): request exclusivity pursuant to section 505(u) of the Act (per FDAAA Section 1113)?  <i>If yes, contact Mary Ann Holovac, Director of Drug Information, OGD/DLPS/LRB.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Format and Content				
<i>Do not check mixed submission if the only electronic component is the content of labeling (COL).</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> All paper (except for COL) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All electronic <input type="checkbox"/> Mixed (paper/electronic)  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CTD <input type="checkbox"/> Non-CTD <input type="checkbox"/> Mixed (CTD/non-CTD)			
<b>If mixed (paper/electronic) submission</b> , which parts of the application are submitted in electronic format?				
<b>Overall Format/Content</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>If electronic submission</b> , does it follow the eCTD guidance? <sup>1</sup> <b>If not</b> , explain (e.g., waiver granted).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Index:</b> Does the submission contain an accurate comprehensive index?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Is the submission complete as required under 21 CFR 314.50 ( <i>NDAs/NDA efficacy supplements</i> ) or under 21 CFR 601.2 ( <i>BLAs/BLA efficacy supplements</i> ) including:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

1

<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm072349.pdf>

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> legible <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> English (or translated into English) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> pagination <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> navigable hyperlinks (electronic submissions only)				
<b>If no, explain.</b>				
<b>BLAs only:</b> Companion application received if a shared or divided manufacturing arrangement?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>If yes, BLA #</b>				
<b>Forms and Certifications</b>				
<i>Electronic forms and certifications with electronic signatures (scanned, digital, or electronic – similar to DARRTS, e.g., /s/) are acceptable. Otherwise, paper forms and certifications with hand-written signatures must be included. Forms include: user fee cover sheet (3397), application form (356h), patent information (3542a), financial disclosure (3454/3455), and clinical trials (3674); Certifications include: debarment certification, patent certification(s), field copy certification, and pediatric certification.</i>				
<b>Application Form</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Is form FDA 356h included with authorized signature per 21 CFR 314.50(a)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<i>If foreign applicant, a U.S. agent must sign the form [see 21 CFR 314.50(a)(5)].</i>				
Are all establishments and their registration numbers listed on the form/attached to the form?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Patent Information (NDAs/NDA efficacy supplements only)</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Is patent information submitted on form FDA 3542a per 21 CFR 314.53(c)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Financial Disclosure</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are financial disclosure forms FDA 3454 and/or 3455 included with authorized signature per 21 CFR 54.4(a)(1) and (3)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<i>Forms must be signed by the APPLICANT, not an Agent [see 21 CFR 54.2(g)].</i>				
<i>Note: Financial disclosure is required for bioequivalence studies that are the basis for approval.</i>				
<b>Clinical Trials Database</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Is form FDA 3674 included with authorized signature?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<i>If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Form 3674."</i>				

<i>If no, ensure that language requesting submission of the form is included in the acknowledgement letter sent to the applicant</i>				
<b>Debarment Certification</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Is a correctly worded Debarment Certification included with authorized signature?  <i>Certification is not required for supplements if submitted in the original application; If foreign applicant, <b>both</b> the applicant and the U.S. Agent must sign the certification [per Guidance for Industry: Submitting Debarment Certifications].</i>  <i>Note: Debarment Certification should use wording in FD&amp;C Act Section 306(k)(1) i.e., “[Name of applicant] hereby certifies that it did not and will not use in any capacity the services of any person debarred under section 306 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act in connection with this application.” Applicant may not use wording such as, “To the best of my knowledge...”</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Field Copy Certification (NDAs/NDA efficacy supplements only)</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>For paper submissions only:</b> Is a Field Copy Certification (that it is a true copy of the CMC technical section) included?  <i>Field Copy Certification is not needed if there is no CMC technical section or if this is an electronic submission (the Field Office has access to the EDR)</i>  <i>If maroon field copy jackets from foreign applicants are received, return them to CDR for delivery to the appropriate field office.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Controlled Substance/Product with Abuse Potential</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<u>For NMEs:</u> Is an Abuse Liability Assessment, including a proposal for scheduling, submitted per 21 CFR 314.50(d)(5)(vii)?  <i>If yes, date consult sent to the Controlled Substance Staff:</i>  <u>For non-NMEs:</u> <i>Date of consult sent to Controlled Substance Staff:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Pediatrics</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b><u>PREA</u></b> Does the application trigger PREA?  <i>If yes, notify PeRC RPM (PeRC meeting is required)<sup>2</sup></i>  <i>Note: NDAs/BLAs/efficacy supplements for new active ingredients, new indications, new dosage forms, new dosing regimens, or new routes of administration trigger PREA. All waiver &amp; deferral requests, pediatric plans, and pediatric assessment studies must be</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

<sup>2</sup> <http://inside.fda.gov:9003/CDER/OfficeofNewDrugs/PediatricandMaternalHealthStaff/ucm027829.htm>

<i>reviewed by PeRC prior to approval of the application/supplement.</i>				
<b>If the application triggers PREA</b> , are the required pediatric assessment studies or a full waiver of pediatric studies included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>If studies or full waiver not included</b> , is a request for full waiver of pediatric studies OR a request for partial waiver and/or deferral with a pediatric plan included? <i>If no, request in 74-day letter</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>If a request for full waiver/partial waiver/deferral is included</b> , does the application contain the certification(s) required by FDCA Section 505B(a)(3) and (4)? <i>If no, request in 74-day letter</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>BPCA (NDAs/NDA efficacy supplements only):</b>  Is this submission a complete response to a pediatric Written Request?  <i>If yes, notify Pediatric Exclusivity Board RPM (pediatric exclusivity determination is required)<sup>3</sup></i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Proprietary Name</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  <i>If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>REMS</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Is a REMS submitted?  <i>If yes, send consult to OSE/DRISK and notify OC/OSI/DSC/PMSB via the CDER OSI RMP mailbox</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Prescription Labeling</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not applicable</b>			
Check all types of labeling submitted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Package Insert (PI) <input type="checkbox"/> Patient Package Insert (PPI) <input type="checkbox"/> Instructions for Use (IFU) <input type="checkbox"/> Medication Guide (MedGuide) <input type="checkbox"/> Carton labels <input type="checkbox"/> Immediate container labels <input type="checkbox"/> Diluent <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify)			
	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Is Electronic Content of Labeling (COL) submitted in SPL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

<sup>3</sup> <http://inside.fda.gov:9003/CDER/OfficeofNewDrugs/PediatricandMaternalHealthStaff/ucm027837.htm>

format?				
<i>If no, request applicant to submit SPL before the filing date.</i>				
Is the PI submitted in PLR format? <sup>4</sup>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>If PI not submitted in PLR format</b> , was a waiver or deferral requested before the application was received or in the submission? <b>If requested before application was submitted</b> , what is the status of the request?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<i>If no waiver or deferral, request applicant to submit labeling in PLR format before the filing date.</i>				
All labeling (PI, PPI, MedGuide, IFU, carton and immediate container labels) consulted to OPDP?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
MedGuide, PPI, IFU (plus PI) consulted to OSE/DRISK? (send WORD version if available)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Carton and immediate container labels, PI, PPI sent to OSE/DMEPA and appropriate CMC review office (OBP or ONDQA)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>OTC Labeling</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Not Applicable</b>			
Check all types of labeling submitted.	<input type="checkbox"/> Outer carton label <input type="checkbox"/> Immediate container label <input type="checkbox"/> Blister card <input type="checkbox"/> Blister backing label <input type="checkbox"/> Consumer Information Leaflet (CIL) <input type="checkbox"/> Physician sample <input type="checkbox"/> Consumer sample <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify)			
	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Is electronic content of labeling (COL) submitted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<i>If no, request in 74-day letter.</i>				
Are annotated specifications submitted for all stock keeping units (SKUs)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<i>If no, request in 74-day letter.</i>				
If representative labeling is submitted, are all represented SKUs defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<i>If no, request in 74-day letter.</i>				
All labeling/packaging, and current approved Rx PI (if switch) sent to OSE/DMEPA?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Other Consults</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Are additional consults needed? (e.g., IFU to CDRH; QT study report to QT Interdisciplinary Review Team)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

4

<http://inside.fda.gov:9003/CDER/OfficeofNewDrugs/StudyEndpointsandLabelingDevelopmentTeam/ucm025576.htm>

<i>If yes, specify consult(s) and date(s) sent:</i>				
<b>Meeting Minutes/SPAs</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>Comment</b>
End-of Phase 2 meeting(s)? <b>Date(s):</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
<i>If yes, distribute minutes before filing meeting</i>				
Pre-NDA/Pre-BLA/Pre-Supplement meeting(s)? <b>Date(s):</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
<i>If yes, distribute minutes before filing meeting</i>				
Any Special Protocol Assessments (SPAs)? <b>Date(s):</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
<i>If yes, distribute letter and/or relevant minutes before filing meeting</i>				

ATTACHMENT

**MEMO OF FILING MEETING**

**DATE:** 1-23-14

**BLA/NDA/Supp #:** 103792/5311

**PROPRIETARY NAME:** Herceptin®

**ESTABLISHED/PROPER NAME:** trastuzumab

**DOSAGE FORM/STRENGTH:** 440 mg/vial; 21 mg/ml

**APPLICANT:** Genentech, Inc.

**PROPOSED INDICATION(S)/PROPOSED CHANGE(S):** Proposed changes are to provide the efficacy and safety results from the protocol-specified preplanned final OS analysis of studies NSABP B-31 and NCCTG N9831 in fulfillment of PMC 1 and 3 (5150). The joint analyses include: OS final analysis based on 707 deaths (710 planned), DFS updated analysis, and Cardiac Safety (final, comprehensive analysis). Update the USPI for Herceptin administered concurrently with the taxane component of adjuvant chemotherapy in the treatment of patients with HER2 positive EBC.

**BACKGROUND:** If approved the Efficacy Supplement will fulfill PMC 1 and 3 from supplement 5150.

**REVIEW TEAM:**

Discipline/Organization	Names		Present at filing meeting? (Y or N)
Regulatory Project Management	RPM:	Amy Tilley	Y
	CPMS/TL:	Alice Kacuba	N
Cross-Discipline Team Leader (CDTL)	Patricia Cortazar		Y
Clinical	Reviewer:	Julia Beaver	Y
	TL:	Patricia Cortazar	Y
Social Scientist Review ( <i>for OTC products</i> )	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
OTC Labeling Review ( <i>for OTC products</i> )	Reviewer:		
	TL:		

Clinical Microbiology ( <i>for antimicrobial products</i> )	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
Clinical Pharmacology	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
Biostatistics	Reviewer:	Erik Bloomquist	Y
	TL:	Shenghui Tang	N
Nonclinical (Pharmacology/Toxicology)	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
Statistics (carcinogenicity)	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
Immunogenicity (assay/assay validation) ( <i>for BLAs/BLA efficacy supplements</i> )	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
Product Quality (CMC)	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
Quality Microbiology ( <i>for sterile products</i> )	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
CMC Labeling Review	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
Facility Review/Inspection	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
OSE/DMEPA (proprietary name)	Reviewer:	Jibril Abdus-Samad	Y
	TL:	Alice Tu Tracey Salaam (DPV)	N Y
OSE/DRISK (REMS)	Reviewer:		
	TL:		

OC/OSI/DSC/PMSB (REMS)	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
Bioresearch Monitoring (OSI)	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
Controlled Substance Staff (CSS)	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
Other reviewers	Kathy Fedenko, Deputy Director Safety		Y
Other attendees			

**FILING MEETING DISCUSSION:**

<p><b>GENERAL</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 505(b)(2) filing issues: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Is the application for a duplicate of a listed drug and eligible for approval under section 505(j) as an ANDA?</li> <li>○ Did the applicant provide a scientific “bridge” demonstrating the relationship between the proposed product and the referenced product(s)/published literature?</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Describe the scientific bridge (e.g., BA/BE studies):</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO  <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Per reviewers, are all parts in English or English translation?</li> </ul> <p><b>If no, explain:</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Electronic Submission comments</li> </ul> <p><b>List comments:</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
<p><b>CLINICAL</b></p> <p><b>Comments:</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FILE <input type="checkbox"/> REFUSE TO FILE  <input type="checkbox"/> Review issues for 74-day letter

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical study site(s) inspections(s) needed?</li> </ul> <p><b>If no, explain:</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Advisory Committee Meeting needed?</li> </ul> <p><b>Comments:</b></p> <p><i>If no, for an NME NDA or original BLA , include the reason. For example:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>this drug/biologic is not the first in its class</i></li> <li><i>the clinical study design was acceptable</i></li> <li><i>the application did not raise significant safety or efficacy issues</i></li> <li><i>the application did not raise significant public health questions on the role of the drug/biologic in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment or prevention of a disease</i></li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/> YES Date if known: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> To be determined  Reason:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Abuse Liability/Potential</li> </ul> <p><b>Comments:</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> FILE <input type="checkbox"/> REFUSE TO FILE  <input type="checkbox"/> Review issues for 74-day letter
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If the application is affected by the AIP, has the division made a recommendation regarding whether or not an exception to the AIP should be granted to permit review based on medical necessity or public health significance?</li> </ul> <p><b>Comments:</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
<p><b>CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY</b></p> <p><b>Comments:</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> FILE <input type="checkbox"/> REFUSE TO FILE  <input type="checkbox"/> Review issues for 74-day letter
<p><b>CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY</b></p> <p><b>Comments:</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> FILE <input type="checkbox"/> REFUSE TO FILE  <input type="checkbox"/> Review issues for 74-day letter
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clinical pharmacology study site(s) inspections(s) needed?</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
<p><b>BIostatistics</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FILE

<p><b>Comments:</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> REFUSE TO FILE <input type="checkbox"/> Review issues for 74-day letter
<p><b>NONCLINICAL (PHARMACOLOGY/TOXICOLOGY)</b></p> <p><b>Comments:</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> FILE <input type="checkbox"/> REFUSE TO FILE <input type="checkbox"/> Review issues for 74-day letter
<p><b>IMMUNOGENICITY (BLAs/BLA efficacy supplements only)</b></p> <p><b>Comments:</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> FILE <input type="checkbox"/> REFUSE TO FILE <input type="checkbox"/> Review issues for 74-day letter
<p><b>PRODUCT QUALITY (CMC)</b></p> <p><b>Comments:</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> FILE <input type="checkbox"/> REFUSE TO FILE <input type="checkbox"/> Review issues for 74-day letter
<p><b><u>Environmental Assessment</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Categorical exclusion for environmental assessment (EA) requested?</li> </ul> <p><b>If no</b>, was a complete EA submitted?</p> <p><b>If EA submitted</b>, consulted to EA officer (OPS)?</p> <p><b>Comments:</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
<p><b><u>Quality Microbiology (for sterile products)</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Was the Microbiology Team consulted for validation of sterilization? (NDAs/NDA supplements only)</li> </ul> <p><b>Comments:</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

<p><b><u>Facility Inspection</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Establishment(s) ready for inspection?</li> <li>▪ Establishment Evaluation Request (EER/TBP-EER) submitted to OMPQ?</li> </ul> <p><b>Comments:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO</p>
<p><b><u>Facility/Microbiology Review (BLAs only)</u></b></p> <p><b>Comments:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> FILE <input type="checkbox"/> REFUSE TO FILE</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Review issues for 74-day letter</p>
<p><b><u>CMC Labeling Review</u></b></p> <p><b>Comments:</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Review issues for 74-day letter</p>
<p><b>APPLICATIONS IN THE PROGRAM (PDUFA V) (NME NDAs/Original BLAs)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Were there agreements made at the application's pre-submission meeting (and documented in the minutes) regarding certain late submission components that could be submitted within 30 days after receipt of the original application?</li> <li>• If so, were the late submission components all submitted within 30 days?</li> </ul>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What late submission components, if any, arrived after 30 days?</li> </ul>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Was the application otherwise complete upon submission, including those applications where there were no agreements regarding late submission components?</li> </ul>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is a comprehensive and readily located list of all clinical sites included or referenced in the application?</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is a comprehensive and readily located list of all manufacturing facilities included or referenced in the application?</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
<b>REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGEMENT</b>	
<p><b>Signatory Authority:</b> Amna Ibrahim, M.D., Deputy Director, DOP1</p> <p><b>Date of Mid-Cycle Meeting</b> (for NME NDAs/BLAs in “the Program” PDUFA V): Target date is prior to when Mid-cycle is due.</p> <p><b>21<sup>st</sup> Century Review Milestones (see attached)</b> (listing review milestones in this document is optional):</p> <p><b>Comments:</b></p>	
<b>REGULATORY CONCLUSIONS/DEFICIENCIES</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	The application is unsuitable for filing. Explain why:
<input type="checkbox"/>	The application, on its face, appears to be suitable for filing.  <u>Review Issues:</u>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No review issues have been identified for the 74-day letter.  <input type="checkbox"/> Review issues have been identified for the 74-day letter. List (optional):  <u>Review Classification:</u>  <input type="checkbox"/> Standard Review  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Priority Review
<b>ACTIONS ITEMS</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ensure that any updates to the review priority (S or P) and classifications/properties are entered into tracking system (e.g., chemical classification, combination product classification, 505(b)(2), orphan drug).
<input type="checkbox"/>	If RTF, notify everybody who already received a consult request, OSE PM, and Product Quality PM (to cancel EER/TBP-EER).
<input type="checkbox"/>	If filed, and the application is under AIP, prepare a letter either granting (for signature by Center Director) or denying (for signature by ODE Director) an exception for review.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BLA/BLA supplements: If filed, send 60-day filing letter

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>If priority review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• notify sponsor in writing by day 60 (For BLAs/BLA supplements: include in 60-day filing letter; For NDAs/NDA supplements: see CST for choices)</li> <li>• notify OMPQ (so facility inspections can be scheduled earlier)</li> </ul>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Send review issues/no review issues by day 74
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conduct a PLR format labeling review and include labeling issues in the 74-day letter
<input type="checkbox"/>	Update the PDUFA V DARRTS page (for NME NDAs in the Program)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>BLA/BLA supplements: Send the Product Information Sheet to the product reviewer and the Facility Information Sheet to the facility reviewer for completion. Ensure that the completed forms are forwarded to the CDER RMS-BLA Superuser for data entry into RMS-BLA one month prior to taking an action [These sheets may be found in the CST eRoom at:</p> <p><a href="http://eroom.fda.gov/eRoom/CDER2/CDERStandardLettersCommittee/0_1685f">http://eroom.fda.gov/eRoom/CDER2/CDERStandardLettersCommittee/0_1685f</a>]</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other

-----  
**This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.**  
-----

/s/  
-----

AMY R TILLEY  
01/23/2014

ALICE KACUBA  
01/27/2014