CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

APPLICATION NUMBER:

204300Orig1s000

PROPRIETARY NAME REVIEW(S)

Department of Health and Human Services Public Health Service Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management Proprietary Name Review

Date:	September 13, 2013
Reviewer(s):	Aleksander Winiarski, PharmD
	Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis
Team Leader	Jamie Wilkins Parker, PharmD
	Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis
Drug Names and Strengths:	Vazculep (Phenylephrine) injection, 1% (10 mg/1 mL)
Application Type/Number:	NDA204300
Applicant/Sponsor:	Éclat Pharmaceuticals
OSE RCM #:	2013-1564

*** This document contains proprietary and confidential information that should not be released to the public.***

CONTENTS

1	INTF	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Regulatory History	1
	1.2	Product Information	1
2	RESU	ULTS	1
	2.1	Promotional Assessment	2
	2.2	Safety Assessment	2
3	CON	CLUSIONS	4
	3.1	Comments to the Applicant	4
4	REFI	ERENCES	5
A	PPEND	ICES	8

1 INTRODUCTION

This review evaluates the proposed proprietary name, Vazculep, from a safety and promotional perspective. The sources and methods used to evaluate the proposed name are outlined in the reference section and Appendix A respectively.

1.1 **REGULATORY HISTORY**

Vazculep is the proprietary name submitted by the Applicant under NDA 204300. The application received a "refusal to file" on April 5, 2013 and the Applicant resubmitted the NDA on June 28, 2013. The request for proprietary name review was resubmitted on July 1, 2013.

1.2 PRODUCT INFORMATION

The following product information is provided in the July 1, 2013 proprietary name submission.

- Active Ingredient: Phenylephrine
- Indication of Use: Treatment
 ^{(b) (4)} of hypotension during anesthesia
- Route of Administration: Intravenous
- Dosage Form: Injection
- Strength: 1% (10 mg/1 mL)
- Dose and Frequency:
 - For treatment of hypotension during anesthesia: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg) with additional doses every 1 to 2 minutes as needed. Intravenous infusions should be started at a rate of 10 mcg/min to 35 mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min), titrating to effect.

(b) (4)

- How Supplied: 1 mL and 5 mL vials in packages of 10; 10 mL vial packaged as a single unit
- Storage: Room Temperature

2 RESULTS

The following sections provide the information obtained and considered in the overall evaluation of the proposed proprietary name.

2.1 **PROMOTIONAL ASSESSMENT**

The Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP) determined the proposed name is acceptable from a promotional perspective. DMEPA and the Division of Anti-Infective Products (DAAAP) concurred with the findings of OPDP's promotional assessment of the proposed name.

2.2 SAFETY ASSESSMENT

The following aspects were considered in the safety evaluation of the name.

2.2.1 United States Adopted Names (USAN) SEARCH

There is not a USAN stem present in the name¹

2.2.2 Components of the Proposed Proprietary Name

The Applicant did not provide information pertaining to the derivation or intended meaning of the proposed name. This proprietary name is comprised of a single word that does not contain any components (such as a modifier, route of administration, dosage form, etc.).

2.2.3 FDA Name Simulation Studies

Seventy-five practitioners participated in DMEPA's prescription studies. The interpretations did not overlap with or appear or sound similar to any currently marketed products.

Thirteen participants correctly interpreted the name Vazculep. All were from the outpatient study. Of the outpatient participants who misinterpreted the name, they misinterpreted the suffix 'lep' as either 'lejo,' 'lejs,' or 'lip.'

The inpatient participants incorrectly identified the letter 'z' in Vazculep as the letter 's,' the letter string 'cu' as 'ai,' 'a,' 'al,' and 'ar,' and the suffix 'lep' as 'lys,' 'lip,' 'lup,' 'dep,' 'dip,' and 'lus.'

The verbal participants either misinterpreted the prefix 'Vaz' as 'Vas,' 'Vac,' or 'Zas' or the suffix 'lep' as 'leb,' 'la,' 'lab,' 'lap,' 'lasp,' 'let,' 'lept,' 'lip,' 'lis,' 'lob,' 'lop,' or 'lasc.'

All of the identified misinterpretations were considered in the search and evaluation of phonetically and orthographically similar names (See Appendix B).

Appendix C contains the results of the verbal and written prescription studies.

¹ The September 5, 2013 search of the United States Adopted Name (USAN) stems.

2.2.4 Comments from Other Review Disciplines at Initial Review

In response to the OSE, February 20, 2013 e-mail, the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products (DAAAP) did not forward any comments or concerns relating to the proposed name at the initial phase of the proprietary name review.

2.2.5 Failure Mode and Effects Analysis of Similar Names

Appendix B lists possible orthographic and phonetic misinterpretations of the letters appearing in the proposed proprietary name. These variations were used in the search for names similar to Vazculep. Table 1 lists the names with potential orthographic, phonetic, or spelling similarity to the proposed proprietary name, Vazculep, identified by the primary reviewer, the Expert Panel Discussion (EPD), and by ^{(b)(4)} Our analysis of the 32 names determined all 32 names will not pose a risk for confusion as described in Appendices D through E.

Table 1: Collective List of Potentially Similar Names (DMEPA, EPD, OtherDisciplines, and External Name Study)					
		Loo	k Similar		
Name	Source	Name	Source	Name	Source
Bosulif	FDA	Vascazen	FDA	Versacloz	FDA
Lazanda	FDA	Vascepa	FDA	Virazole	FDA
Naprelan	FDA	Vasolex	FDA	Vasopressin	(b) (4)
Rescula	FDA	Vazobid-PD	FDA	Vasopressor	(b) (4)
Reyataz	FDA	Vazotan	FDA	(b) (4)	FDA
Vancoled	FDA	Vasocon	FDA	Vaseline	FDA
Myalept***	FDA	(b) (4)	FDA	(b) (4)	FDA
Lovaza	FDA	Vescal	FDA	Ala-scalp HP	FDA
Vayacog	FDA	Vascugel	FDA	Verucasep	FDA
Nescafe	FDA	(b) (4)	FDA		
Look and Sound Similar					
Name	Source	Name	Source	Name	Source
Vascoray	FDA	Vasotec	FDA (b) (4)	Vasculera	FDA

2.2.6 Communication of DMEPA's Analysis at Midpoint of Review

DMEPA communicated our findings to the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products via e-mail on August 30, 2013. At that time we also requested additional information or concerns that could inform our review. Per e-mail correspondence from the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products on September 6, 2013, they stated no additional concerns with the proposed proprietary name, Vazculep.

3 CONCLUSIONS

The proposed proprietary name is acceptable from both a promotional and safety perspective.

If you have further questions or need clarifications, please contact Vaishali Jarral, OSE project manager, at 301-796-4248

3.1 COMMENTS TO THE APPLICANT

We have completed our review of the proposed proprietary name, Vazculep, and have concluded that this name is acceptable. However, if any of the proposed product characteristics as stated in your July 1, 2013 submission are altered, the name must be resubmitted for review.

Additionally, the proposed proprietary name must be re-reviewed 90 days prior to approval of the NDA. The conclusions upon re-review are subject to change.

4 **REFERENCES**

1. Micromedex Integrated Index (<u>http://csi.micromedex.com</u>)

Micromedex contains a variety of databases covering pharmacology, therapeutics, toxicology and diagnostics.

2. Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA)

POCA is a database which was created for the Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis, FDA. As part of the name similarity assessment, proposed names are evaluated via a phonetic/orthographic algorithm. The proposed proprietary name is converted into its phonemic representation before it runs through the phonetic algorithm. Likewise, an orthographic algorithm exists which operates in a similar fashion.

3. Drug Facts and Comparisons, online version, St. Louis, MO (<u>http://factsandcomparisons.com</u>)

Drug Facts and Comparisons is a compendium organized by therapeutic course; it contains monographs on prescription and OTC drugs, with charts comparing similar products. This database also lists the orphan drugs.

4. FDA Document Archiving, Reporting & Regulatory Tracking System [DARRTS]

DARRTS is a government database used to organize Applicant and Sponsor submissions as well as to store and organize assignments, reviews, and communications from the review divisions.

5. Division of Medication Errors Prevention and Analysis proprietary name consultation requests

This is a list of proposed and pending names that is generated by the Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis from the Access database/tracking system.

6. Drugs@FDA (<u>http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm</u>)

Drugs@FDA contains most of the drug products approved since 1939. The majority of labels, approval letters, reviews, and other information are available for drug products approved from 1998 to the present. Drugs@FDA contains official information about FDA approved brand name, generic drugs, therapeutic biological products, prescription and over-the-counter human drugs and discontinued drugs and "Chemical Type 6" approvals.

7. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (<u>http://www.uspto.gov</u>)

USPTO provides information regarding patent and trademarks.

8. Clinical Pharmacology Online (<u>www.clinicalpharmacology-ip.com</u>)

Clinical Pharmacology contains full monographs for the most common drugs in clinical use, plus mini monographs covering investigational, less common, combination, nutraceutical and nutritional products. It also provides a keyword search engine.

9. Data provided by Thomson & Thomson's SAEGIS ™ Online Service, available at (<u>www.thomson-thomson.com</u>)

The Pharma In-Use Search database contains over 400,000 unique pharmaceutical trademarks and trade names that are used in about 50 countries worldwide. The data is provided under license by IMS HEALTH.

10. Natural Medicines Comprehensive Databases (<u>www.naturaldatabase.com</u>)

Natural Medicines contains up-to-date clinical data on the natural medicines, herbal medicines, and dietary supplements used in the western world.

11. Access Medicine (<u>www.accessmedicine.com</u>)

Access Medicine® from McGraw-Hill contains full-text information from approximately 60 titles; it includes tables and references. Among the titles are: Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, Basic & Clinical Pharmacology, and Goodman and Gilman's The Pharmacologic Basis of Therapeutics.

12. USAN Stems (<u>http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/about-ama/our-people/coalitions-</u> consortiums/united-states-adopted-names-council/naming-guidelines/approvedstems.shtml)

USAN Stems List contains all the recognized USAN stems.

13. Red Book (<u>www.thomsonhc.com/home/dispatch</u>)

Red Book contains prices and product information for prescription, over-the-counter drugs, medical devices, and accessories.

14. Lexi-Comp (<u>www.lexi.com</u>)

Lexi-Comp is a web-based searchable version of the Drug Information Handbook.

15. Medical Abbreviations (<u>www.medilexicon.com</u>)

Medical Abbreviations dictionary contains commonly used medical abbreviations and their definitions.

16. CVS/Pharmacy (<u>www.CVS.com</u>)

This database contains commonly used over the counter products not usually identified in other databases.

17. Walgreens (<u>www.walgreens.com</u>)

This database contains commonly used over the counter products not usually identified in other databases.

18. Rx List (<u>www.rxlist.com</u>)

RxList is an online medical resource dedicated to offering detailed and current pharmaceutical information on brand and generic drugs.

19. Dogpile (<u>www.dogpile.com</u>)

Dogpile is a <u>Metasearch</u> engine that searches multiple search engines including Google, Yahoo! and Bing, and returns the most relevant results to the search.

20. Natural Standard (<u>http://www.naturalstandard.com</u>)

Natural Standard is a resource that aggregates and synthesizes data on complementary and alternative medicine.

APPENDICES

Appendix A

FDA's Proprietary Name Risk Assessment considers the promotional and safety aspects of a proposed proprietary name. The promotional review of the proposed name is conducted by OPDP. OPDP evaluates proposed proprietary names to determine if they are overly fanciful, so as to misleadingly imply unique effectiveness or composition, as well as to assess whether they contribute to overstatement of product efficacy, minimization of risk, broadening of product indications, or making of unsubstantiated superiority claims. OPDP provides their opinion to DMEPA for consideration in the overall acceptability of the proposed proprietary name.

The safety assessment is conducted by DMEPA. DMEPA staff search a standard set of databases and information sources to identify names that are similar in pronunciation, spelling, and orthographically similar when scripted to the proposed proprietary name. Additionally, we consider inclusion of USAN stems or other characteristics that when incorporated into a proprietary name may cause or contribute to medication errors (i.e., dosing interval, dosage form/route of administration, medical or product name abbreviations, names that include or suggest the composition of the drug product, etc.). DMEPA defines a medication error as any preventable event that may cause or lead to inappropriate medication use or patient harm while the medication is in the control of the health care professional, patient, or consumer.²

Following the preliminary screening of the proposed proprietary name, DMEPA gathers to discuss their professional opinions on the safety of the proposed proprietary name. This meeting is commonly referred to the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Expert Panel discussion. DMEPA also considers other aspects of the name that may be misleading from a safety perspective. DMEPA staff conducts a prescription simulation studies using FDA health care professionals. When provided, DMEPA considers external proprietary name studies conducted by or for the Applicant/Sponsor and incorporates the findings of these studies into the overall risk assessment.

The DMEPA primary reviewer assigned to evaluate the proposed proprietary name is responsible for considering the collective findings, and provides an overall risk assessment of the proposed proprietary name. DMEPA bases the overall risk assessment on the findings of a Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) of the proprietary name and misleading nature of the proposed proprietary name with a focus on the avoidance of medication errors.

DMEPA uses the clinical expertise of its staff to anticipate the conditions of the clinical setting where the product is likely to be used based on the characteristics of the proposed product. DMEPA considers the product characteristics associated with the proposed product throughout the risk assessment because the product characteristics of the

² National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention. <u>http://www.nccmerp.org/aboutMedErrors.html</u>. Last accessed 10/11/2007.

proposed may provide a context for communication of the drug name and ultimately determine the use of the product in the *usual* clinical practice setting.

Typical product characteristics considered when identifying drug names that could potentially be confused with the proposed proprietary name include, but are not limited to; established name of the proposed product, proposed indication of use, dosage form, route of administration, strength, unit of measure, dosage units, recommended dose, typical quantity or volume, frequency of administration, product packaging, storage conditions, patient population, and prescriber population. DMEPA considers how these product characteristics may or may not be present in communicating a product name throughout the medication use system. Because drug name confusion can occur at any point in the medication use process, DMEPA considers the potential for confusion throughout the entire U.S. medication use process, including drug procurement, prescribing and ordering, dispensing, administration, and monitoring the impact of the medication.³

The DMEPA considers the spelling of the name, pronunciation of the name when spoken, and appearance of the name when scripted. DMEPA compares the proposed proprietary name with the proprietary and established name of existing and proposed drug products and names currently under review at the FDA. DMEPA compares the pronunciation of the proposed proprietary name with the pronunciation of other drug names because verbal communication of medication names is common in clinical settings. DMEPA examines the phonetic similarity using patterns of speech. If provided, DMEPA will consider the Sponsor's intended pronunciation of the proprietary name. However, DMEPA also considers a variety of pronunciations that could occur in the English language because the Sponsor has little control over how the name will be spoken in clinical practice. The orthographic appearance of the proposed name is evaluated using a number of different handwriting samples. DMEPA applies expertise gained from root-cause analysis of postmarketing medication errors to identify sources of ambiguity within the name that could be introduced when scripting (e.g., "T" may look like "F," lower case 'a' looks like a lower case 'u,' etc). Additionally, other orthographic attributes that determine the overall appearance of the drug name when scripted (see Table 1 below for details).

³ Institute of Medicine. Preventing Medication Errors. The National Academies Press: Washington DC. 2006.

	Considerations when Searching the Databases				
Type of Similarity	Potential Causes of Drug Name Similarity	Attributes Examined to Identify Similar Drug Names	Potential Effects		
Look- alike	Similar spelling	Identical prefix Identical infix Identical suffix Length of the name Overlapping product characteristics	 Names may appear similar in print or electronic media and lead to drug name confusion in printed or electronic communication Names may look similar when scripted and lead to drug name confusion in written communication 		
	Orthographic similarity	Similar spelling Length of the name/Similar shape Upstrokes Down strokes Cross-strokes Dotted letters Ambiguity introduced by scripting letters Overlapping product characteristics	• Names may look similar when scripted, and lead to drug name confusion in written communication		
Sound- alike	Phonetic similarity	Identical prefix Identical infix Identical suffix Number of syllables Stresses Placement of vowel sounds Placement of consonant sounds Overlapping product characteristics	• Names may sound similar when pronounced and lead to drug name confusion in verbal communication		

<u>Table 1.</u> Criteria Used to Identify Drug Names that Look- or Sound-Similar to a Proposed Proprietary Name.

Lastly, DMEPA considers the potential for the proposed proprietary name to inadvertently function as a source of error for reasons other than name confusion. Postmarketing experience has demonstrated that proprietary names (or components of the proprietary name) can be a source of error in a variety of ways. Consequently, DMEPA considers and evaluates these broader safety implications of the name throughout this assessment and the medication error staff provides additional comments related to the safety of the proposed proprietary name or product based on professional experience with medication errors.

1. Database and Information Sources

DMEPA searches the internet, several standard published drug product reference texts, and FDA databases to identify existing and proposed drug names that may sound-alike or look-alike to the proposed proprietary name. A standard description of the databases used in the searches is provided in the reference section of this review. To complement the process, the DMEPA uses a computerized method of identifying phonetic and orthographic similarity between medication names. The program, Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA), uses complex algorithms to select a list of names from a database that have some similarity (phonetic, orthographic, or both) to the trademark being evaluated. Lastly, DMEPA reviews the USAN stem list to determine if any USAN stems are present within the proprietary name. The individual findings of multiple safety evaluators are pooled and presented to the CDER Expert Panel. DMEPA also evaluates if there are characteristics included in the composition that may render the name unacceptable from a safety perspective (abbreviation, dosing interval, etc.).

2. Expert Panel Discussion

DMEPA gathers gather CDER professional opinions on the safety of the proposed product and discussed the proposed proprietary name (Expert Panel Discussion). The Expert Panel is composed of Division of Medication Errors Prevention (DMEPA) staff and representatives from the Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP). We also consider input from other review disciplines (OND, ONDQA/OBP). The Expert Panel also discusses potential concerns regarding drug marketing and promotion related to the proposed names.

The primary Safety Evaluator presents the pooled results of the database and information searches to the Expert Panel for consideration. Based on the clinical and professional experiences of the Expert Panel members, the Panel may recommend additional names, additional searches by the primary Safety Evaluator to supplement the pooled results, or general advice to consider when reviewing the proposed proprietary name.

3. FDA Prescription Simulation Studies

Three separate studies are conducted within the Centers of the FDA for the proposed proprietary name to determine the degree of confusion of the proposed proprietary name with marketed U.S. drug names (proprietary and established) due to similarity in visual appearance with handwritten prescriptions or verbal pronunciation of the drug name. The studies employ healthcare professionals (pharmacists, physicians, and nurses), and attempts to simulate the prescription ordering process. The primary Safety Evaluator uses the results to identify orthographic or phonetic vulnerability of the proposed name to be misinterpreted by healthcare practitioners.

In order to evaluate the potential for misinterpretation of the proposed proprietary name in handwriting and verbal communication of the name, inpatient medication orders and/or outpatient prescriptions are written, each consisting of a combination of marketed and unapproved drug products, including the proposed name. These orders are optically scanned and one prescription is delivered to a random sample of participating health professionals via e-mail. In addition, a verbal prescription is recorded on voice mail. The voice mail messages are then sent to a random sample of the participating health professionals for their interpretations and review. After receiving either the written or verbal prescription orders, the participants record their interpretations of the orders which are recorded electronically.

4. Comments from Other Review Disciplines

DMEPA requests the Office of New Drugs (OND) and/or Office of Generic Drugs (OGD), ONDQA or OBP for their comments or concerns with the proposed proprietary name, ask for any clinical issues that may impact the DMEPA review during the initial phase of the name review. Additionally, when applicable, at the same time DMEPA requests concurrence/non-concurrence with OPDP's decision on the name. The primary Safety Evaluator addresses any comments or concerns in the safety evaluator's assessment.

The OND/OGD Regulatory Division is contacted a second time following our analysis of the proposed proprietary name. At this point, DMEPA conveys their decision to accept or reject the name. The OND or OGD Regulatory Division is requested to provide any further information that might inform DMEPA's final decision on the proposed name.

Additionally, other review disciplines opinions such as ONDQA or OBP may be considered depending on the proposed proprietary name.

5. Safety Evaluator Risk Assessment of the Proposed Proprietary Name

The primary Safety Evaluator applies his/her individual expertise gained from evaluating medication errors reported to FDA, considers all aspects of the name that may be misleading or confusing, conducts a Failure Mode and Effects Analysis, and provides an overall decision on acceptability dependent on their risk assessment of name confusion. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) is a systematic tool for evaluating a process and identifying where and how it might fail.⁴ When applying FMEA to assess the risk of a proposed proprietary name, DMEPA seeks to evaluate the potential for a proposed proprietary name to be confused with another drug name because of name confusion and, thereby, cause errors to occur in the medication use system. FMEA capitalizes on the predictable and preventable nature of medication errors associated with drug name confusion. FMEA allows the Agency to identify the potential for medication errors due to orthographically or phonetically similar drug names prior to approval, where actions to overcome these issues are easier and more effective than remedies available in the post-approval phase.

In order to perform an FMEA of the proposed name, the primary Safety Evaluator must analyze the use of the product at all points in the medication use system. Because the proposed product is has not been marketed, the primary Safety Evaluator anticipates the

⁴ Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI). Failure Mode and Effects Analysis. Boston. IHI:2004.

use of the product in the usual practice settings by considering the clinical and product characteristics listed in Section 1.2 of this review. The Safety Evaluator then analyzes the proposed proprietary name in the context of the usual practice setting and works to identify potential failure modes and the effects associated with the failure modes.

In the initial stage of the Risk Assessment, the Safety Evaluator compares the proposed proprietary name to all of the names gathered from the above searches, Expert Panel Discussion, and prescription studies, external studies, and identifies potential failure modes by asking:

"Is the proposed proprietary name convincingly similar to another drug name, which may cause practitioners to become confused at any point in the usual practice setting? And are there any components of the name that may function as a source of error beyond sound/look-alike?"

An affirmative answer indicates a failure mode and represents a potential for the proposed proprietary name to be confused with another proprietary or established drug name because of look- or sound-alike similarity or because of some other component of the name. If the answer to the question is no, the Safety Evaluator is not convinced that the names posses similarity that would cause confusion at any point in the medication use system, thus the name is eliminated from further review.

In the second stage of the Risk Assessment, the primary Safety Evaluator evaluates all potential failure modes to determine the likely *effect* of the drug name confusion, by asking:

"Could the confusion of the drug names conceivably result in medication errors in the usual practice setting?"

The answer to this question is a central component of the Safety Evaluator's overall risk assessment of the proprietary name. If the Safety Evaluator determines through FMEA that the name similarity would not ultimately be a source of medication errors in the usual practice setting, the primary Safety Evaluator eliminates the name from further analysis. However, if the Safety Evaluator determines through FMEA that the name similarity could ultimately cause medication errors in the usual practice setting, the Safety Evaluator determines through FMEA that the name similarity could ultimately cause medication errors in the usual practice setting, the Safety Evaluator errors in the usual practice setting, the Safety Evaluator will then recommend the use of an alternate proprietary name.

Moreover, DMEPA will object to the use of proposed proprietary name when the primary Safety Evaluator identifies one or more of the following conditions in the Overall Risk Assessment:

- a. OPDP finds the proposed proprietary name misleading from a promotional perspective, and the Review Division concurs with OPDP's findings. The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act provides that labeling or advertising can misbrand a product if misleading representations are made or suggested by statement, word, design, device, or any combination thereof, whether through a PROPRIETARY name or otherwise [21 U.S.C 321(n); See also 21 U.S.C. 352(a) & (n)].
- b. DMEPA identifies that the proposed proprietary name is misleading because of similarity in spelling or pronunciation to another proprietary or established name of a different drug or ingredient [CFR 201.10.(C)(5)].

- c. FMEA identifies the potential for confusion between the proposed proprietary name and other proprietary or established drug name(s), and demonstrates that medication errors are likely to result from the drug name confusion under the conditions of usual clinical practice.
- d. The proposed proprietary name contains an USAN (United States Adopted Names) stem.
- e. DMEPA identifies a potential source of medication error within the proposed proprietary name. For example, the proprietary name may be misleading or, inadvertently, introduce ambiguity and confusion that leads to errors. Such errors may not necessarily involve confusion between the proposed drug and another drug product but involve a naming characteristic that when incorporated into a proprietary name, may be confusing, misleading, cause or contribute to medication errors.

If DMEPA objects to a proposed proprietary name on the basis that drug name confusion could lead to medication errors, the primary Safety Evaluator uses the FMEA process to identify strategies to reduce the risk of medication errors. DMEPA generally recommends that the Sponsor select an alternative proprietary name and submit the alternate name to the Agency for review. However, in rare instances FMEA may identify plausible strategies that could reduce the risk of medication error of the currently proposed name. In that instance, DMEPA may be able to provide the Sponsor with recommendations that reduce or eliminate the potential for error and, thereby, would render the proposed name acceptable.

In the event that DMEPA objects to the use of the proposed proprietary name, based upon the potential for confusion with another proposed (but not yet approved) proprietary name, DMEPA will provide a contingency objection based on the date of approval. Whichever product, the Agency approves first has the right to use the proprietary name, while DMEPA will recommend that the second product to reach approval seek an alternative name.

The threshold set for objection to the proposed proprietary name may seem low to the Applicant/Sponsor. However, the safety concerns set forth in criteria a through e above are supported either by FDA regulation or by external healthcare authorities, including the Institute of Medicine (IOM), World Health Organization (WHO), the Joint Commission, and the Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP). These organizations have examined medication errors resulting from look- or sound-alike drug names, confusing, or misleading names and called for regulatory authorities to address the issue prior to approval. Additionally, DMEPA contends that the threshold set for the Proprietary Name Risk Assessment is reasonable because proprietary drug name confusion is a predictable and preventable source of medication error that, in many instances, the Agency and/or Sponsor can identify and rectify prior to approval to avoid patient harm.

Furthermore, post-marketing experience has demonstrated that medication errors resulting from drug name confusion are notoriously difficult to rectify post-approval. Educational and other post-approval efforts are low-leverage strategies that have had limited effectiveness at alleviating medication errors involving drug name confusion. Sponsors have undertaken higher-leverage strategies, such as drug name changes, in the past but at great financial cost to the Sponsor and at the expense of the public welfare, not to mention the Agency's credibility as the authority responsible for approving the errorprone proprietary name. Moreover, even after Sponsors' have changed a product's proprietary name in the post-approval phase, it is difficult to eradicate the original proprietary name from practitioners' vocabulary, and as a result, the Agency has continued to receive reports of drug name confusion long after a name change in some instances. Therefore, DMEPA believes that post-approval efforts at reducing name confusion errors should be reserved for those cases in which the potential for name confusion could not be predicted prior to approval.

Letters in Name, Vazculep	Scripted May Appear as	Spoken May Be Interpreted as		
Capital 'V'	U, X, Y, C, L, N	B, F, W,		
Lower case 'v'	b, r, u, n	b, f, w		
Lower case 'a'	el, ci, cl, d, o, u	Any vowel		
Lower case 'z'	c, e, g, m, n, q, r, s, v	c, s, x		
Lower case 'c'	a, e, i, l	k, qu		
Lower case 'u'	n, y, v, w	Any vowel		
Lower case 'l'	b, e, l, s, A, P	w		
Lower case 'e'	a, i, l, p	Any vowel		
Lower case 'p'	g, j, l, q, yn, ys	b, t		
	Letter str	ings		
Vaz	Vary	Bas, Baz, Phas, Phaz, Phes, Phez, Vas, Vac, Zas		
cu	w, ai, a, al, ar	ku, que		
lep	lys, lip, lup, dep, dip, lus, lejo, lejs	leb, let, la, lab, lap, lasp, lept, lip, lis, lob, lop, lasc		

<u>Appendix B:</u> Letters and Letter Strings with Possible Orthographic or Phonetic Misinterpretation

Appendix C: Prescription Simulation Samples and Results

Figure 1. Study (Conducted on February 25, 2013)

Handwritten Requisition Medication Order	Verbal Prescription
Medication Order: Vasalup Injut 40 mg intravenously	Vazculep #1 Vial Sig: Bring to clinic
Outpatient Prescription: Varcules #1 VIal Bury to clame	

FDA Prescription Simulation Responses (Aggregate 1 Rx Studies Report)

	192 People Received Study 75 People Responded				
Study Name: Vazculep					
Total	24	28	23		
INTERPRETATION	INPATIENT	VOICE	OUTPATIENT	TOTAL	
VACULEB	0	1	0	1	
VARAILYS	1	0	0	1	
VASAILIP	1	0	0	1	

VASALEP	1	0	0	1
VASALUP	1	0	0	1
VASCULA	0	1	0	1
VASCULAB	0	2	0	2
VASCULAP	0	2	0	2
VASCULASP	0	1	0	1
VASCULEB	0	1	0	1
VASCULEF	0	1	0	1
VASCULEP	0	6	0	6
VASCULEPT	0	2	0	2
VASCULIP	0	1	0	1
VASCULIS	0	1	0	1
VASCULOB	0	1	0	1
VASCULOP	0	1	0	1
VAZADEP INJECTION	1	0	0	1
VAZAILIP	1	0	0	1
VAZAILYS	1	0	0	1
VAZALDIP INJ	1	0	0	1
VAZALEP	5	0	0	5
VAZALEP INJECT	1	0	0	1
VAZALIP	2	0	0	2
VAZALUP	2	0	0	2
VAZALUS	1	0	0	1
VAZARLEP	2	0	0	2

VAZARLUP	1	0	0	1
VAZARLYS	2	0	0	2
VAZCULEJO	0	0	1	1
VAZCULEJS	0	0	1	1
VAZCULEP	0	0	13	13
VAZCULIP	0	0	8	8
ZASCULAB	0	1	0	1
ZASCULASC	0	1	0	1
ZASCULEB	0	1	0	1
ZASCULEP	0	3	0	3
ZASCULEPT	0	1	0	1

<u>Appendix D:</u> Proprietary names not likely to be confused or not used in usual practice settings for the reasons described.

No	Proprietary Name	Active Ingredient	Similarity to Vazculep	Failure preventions
1.	Bosulif	Bosutinib	Look Alike	The pair has sufficient orthographic differences
2.	Rescula	Unoprostone	Look Alike	The pair has sufficient orthographic differences
3.	Vancoled	Vancomycin HCl	Look Alike	The pair has sufficient orthographic differences
4.	Vascoray	Iothalamate Meglumine and Iothalamate Sodium	Look and Sound Alike	Name identified in Drugs at FDA database. Unable to find product characteristics in commonly used drug databases. NDA 016783 withdrawn effective 3/13/2009 with no available generic.
5.	Vasopressin	Vasopressin	Look Alike	The pair has sufficient orthographic differences

6.	Vasopressor	n/a	Look Alike	Vasopressor is a term that refers to pharmaceutical products that raise reduced blood pressure and does not refer to a specific pharmaceutical product.
7.	Vasotec	Enalapril Maleate	Look and Sound Alike	The pair has sufficient orthographic and phonetic differences
8.	Virazole	Ribavirin	Look Alike	The pair has sufficient orthographic differences
9.	Vasocon	Naphazoline	Look Alike	The pair has sufficient orthographic differences
10.	Vaseline	Petrolatum Jelly	Look Alike	Vaseline is a proprietary name of petrolatum jelly products. The product is not a medication and has no characteristics such as dose or frequency of administration to compare with Vazculep.
11.	(b) (4)	(b) (4)	Look Alike	Name identified in the proposed names list. The request for proprietary name review has been withdrawn as of ^{(b) (4)}
12.	(b) (4)			Name identified in the proposed names list. Request for proprietary name review was filed under ^{(b)(4)} . The request for proprietary name review has been withdrawn as of ^{(b)(4)}
13.	Lovaza	Omega-3-acid ethyl esters	Look Alike	The pair has sufficient orthographic differences
14.	Vescal	Unknown	Look Alike	Name identified in POCA. Unable to find product characteristics in commonly used drug information databases.
15.	Ala-scalp HP	Hydrocortisone 2% lotion	Look Alike	The pair has sufficient orthographic differences

16.	Vascugel	A porcine gelatin matrix	Look Alike	Name identified as on orphan product in Facts and Comparisons. However the database did not identify any product characteristics. The proposed use is in arteriovenous graft failure for in hemodialysis. Unable to identify further product characteristics in any of the other commonly use drug information databases.
17.	Verucasep	Glutaral	Look Alike	The name was identified in POCA and Micormedex. Martindale's identified the name as international available in Ireland and the UK.

<u>Appendix E:</u> Risk of medication errors due to product confusion minimized by dissimilarity of the names and/ or use in clinical practice for the reasons described.

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg).	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
	exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to ^{(b) (4)} mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)		

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(*) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
1.	Lazanda (Fentanyl) Nasal Solution Strengths: 100 mcg/actuation, 400 mcg/actuation Dose route and frequency: one to two sprays in nostril(s) daily (maximum of 4 doses/day or 3200 mcg/day). Must wait at least 2 hours before treating another episode of breakthrough cancer pain.	Orthographic Similarity: Both names have an upstroke in the same position. When scripted the prefix Laz- may look like the prefix Vaz Overlapping product characteristics Potential overlap in dose (100 mcg)	Orthographic Difference: Vazculep contains a downstroke 'p' in the last position of the name which is not seen in Lazanda giving both names a different shape. Differentiating Product Characteristics: <u>Frequency:</u> Vazculep is administered once as an intravenous bolus, then as a continuous infusion at a specific rate per minute dosed to effect and Lazanda is administered on a set schedule and as needed for breakthrough pain.

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(*) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
2.	Naprelan (Naproxen) Controlled-release Tablet Strengths: 375 mg, 500 mg, and 750 mg Dose route and frequency: 375 mg to 750 mg by mouth once or twice daily	Orthographic Similarity: Both names contain the same number of letters (n=8). Both names have one down stroke and one upstroke in the same positions. When scripted the prefix Nap- may look like the prefix Vaz- (down stroke z).	 Orthographic Difference: Vazculep contains a downstroke 'p' in the last position of the name which is not seen in Naprelan giving both names a different shape. Differentiating Product Characteristics: Strength and Dose: Naprelan is multi-strength and Vazculep is single strength. There is no overlap in strength or dose. <u>Frequency:</u> Vazculep is administered once as an intravenous bolus, then as a continuous infusion at a specific rate per minute dosed to effect and Naprelan is administered on a set once or twice daily schedule.

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(*) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
3.	Reyataz (Atazanavir Sulfate) Capsule Strengths: 100 mg, 150 mg, 200 mg, 300 mg Dose route and frequency: 150 mg to 400 mg by mouth once daily usually in combination with ritonavir 100 mg	Orthographic Similarity: Both names have two down strokes (including down stroke z) and one upstroke in the similar positions. When scripted the prefix Rey- may look like the prefix Vaz- (down stroke z). Overlapping product characteristics Potential dose similarity (200 mcg vs. 200 mg)	Orthographic Difference: The infix -cul- in Vazculep appears elongated compared the infix -at- in Reyataz. Differentiating Product Characteristics: <u>Frequency:</u> Vazculep is administered once as an intravenous bolus, then as a continuous infusion at a specific rate per minute dosed to effect and Reyataz administered on a set daily schedule.

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(*) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
4.			(b) (d)

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(*) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
5.	Vascazen (Omega- 3-acid ethyl esters) Capsule Strength: 30mg- 110 mg- 30mg 680 mg. Dose route and frequency: 4 capsules by mouth daily	Orthographic Similarity: Orthographic similarity Both names have the same number of letters (n=8). When scripted the prefix Vas- may look like the prefix Vaz Overlapping product characteristics Single strength.	 Orthographic Difference: Vazculep contains an upstroke 'l' in the 6th position and a downstroke 'p' in the last position of the name which is not seen in Vascazen giving both names a different shape. Differentiating Product Characteristics: <u>Frequency and Dose:</u> Vazculep is administered once as an intravenous bolus, then as a continuous infusion at a specific rate per minute dosed to effect and Vascazen is administered on a set daily schedule. There is no overlap in the prescribed dose.

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(*) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
6.	Vascepa (Icosapent Ethyl) Capsule Strengths: 1 g Dose route and frequency: 2 g by mouth twice daily	Orthographic similarity Both names have a down stroke in similar positions. When scripted the prefix Vas- may look like the prefix Vaz Overlapping product characteristics Single strength	 Orthographic Difference: Vazculep contains an upstroke 'l' in the 6th position while Vascepa contains a downstroke 'p.' In addition, Vazculep contains a downstroke 'p' in the last position of the name which is not seen in Vascepa giving both names a different shape. Differentiating Product Characteristics: <u>Frequency and Dose:</u> Vazculep is administered once as an intravenous bolus, then as a continuous infusion at a specific rate per minute dosed to effect and Vascepa is administered on a set twice daily schedule. There is no overlap in the prescribed dose.

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(*) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
7.	Vasculera (Diosmiplex) Tablet Strength: 630 mg Dose route and frequency: 1 tablet by mouth once daily or 1 tablet 3 times daily for 4 days, then 1 tablet twice daily for 9 days	Orthographic and Phonetic Similarities: Both names have one one upstroke in similar positions. When scripted the prefix Vas- may look like the prefix Vaz- and the infix -cul- is the same in both names. Overlapping product characteristics Single strength.	 Orthographic and Phonetic Differences: Vazculep contains a downstroke 'p' in the last position of the name while Vasculera does not, giving the names a slightly different shape. Vasculera contains 4 syllables while Vazculep contains only 3 syllables. When spoken, the last syllable(s) in both names sound distinctly different ('le'-'ra' vs. 'lep'). Differentiating Product Characteristics: <u>Frequency and Dose</u>: Vazculep is administered once as an intravenous bolus, then as a continuous infusion at a specific rate per minute dosed to effect and Vasculera is administered on a set once, twice, or three times daily schedule. There is no overlap in the prescribed dose.

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(*) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
8.	Vasolex (Balsam Peru, Castor Oil, Trypsin) Ointment Strengths: 87 mg/1 g, 788 mg/1 g, 90 USP units/1 g Dose route and frequency: Apply sufficient amount, at minimum twice daily or as often as necessary	Orthographic Similarities: Both names have one upstroke in similar positions. When scripted the prefix Vas- may look like the prefix Vaz Overlapping product characteristics Single strength	Orthographic Difference: The infix -cul- in Vazculep appears elongated compared to the infix -ol- in Vasolex. Differentiating Product Characteristics: <u>Frequency and Dose:</u> Vazculep is administered once as an intravenous bolus, then as a continuous infusion at a specific rate per minute dosed to effect and Vasolex is administered on a set twice daily schedule or to use as needed. There is no overlap in the prescribed dose.

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(*) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
9.	Vazobid-PD (Brompheniramine and Phenylephrine) Suspension Strength: 1.2 mg and 2 mg per 1 mL Dose route and frequency: 1.5 mL to 3 mL by mouth every 4 to 6 hours	Orthographic Similarity: Both names have one upstroke in similar positions, and start with the same prefix Vaz Overlapping product characteristics Single strength	 Orthographic Difference: The infix -cul- in Vazculep appears elongated compared to the infix -ob- in Vazobid-PD. In addition, Vazculep contains a downstroke 'p' in the last position of the name while Vazobid contains an upstroke 'd' in a similar position, giving both names a different shape. Differentiating Product Characteristics: <u>Frequency and Dose:</u> Vazculep is administered once as an intravenous bolus, then as a continuous infusion at a specific rate per minute dosed to effect and Vazobid-PD is administered every 4 to 6 hours as needed. There is no overlap in the prescribed dose.

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(4) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
10.	Vazotan (Brompheniramine, Carbetapentane, Phenylephrine) Suspension Strengths: 6 mg-10 mg-25 mg per 5 mL Dose route and frequency: 1.25 mL to 10 mL by mouth every 12 hours	Orthographic Similarity: Both names have one upstroke in similar positions, and start with the same prefix Vaz Overlapping product characteristics Single strength	 Orthographic Difference: The infix -cul- in Vazculep appears elongated compared to the infix -ot- in Vazotan giving the names different appearance. Differentiating Product Characteristics: <u>Frequency and Dose:</u> Vazculep is administered once as an intravenous bolus, then as a continuous infusion at a specific rate per minute dosed to effect and Vazotan is administered on a set every 12 hours schedule. There is no overlap in the prescribed dose.

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(*) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
11.	Versacloz (Clozapine) Suspension Strength: 50 mg/mL Dose route and frequency: 12.5 mg (0.25 mL) by mouth once daily or twice daily up to 900 mg (18 mL) per day, in divided doses.	Orthographic Similarity: Orthographic Similarities: Both names have one upstroke and one down stroke in similar positions (considering down stroke z in Versacloz). When scripted the prefix Ver- may look like the prefix Vaz Overlapping product characteristics Single strength	 Orthographic Difference: The infix -sacl- in Versacloz appears elongated compared to the infix -cul- in Vasculep. Differentiating Product Characteristics: <u>Frequency and Dose:</u> Vazculep is administered once as an intravenous bolus, then as a continuous infusion at a specific rate per minute dosed to effect and Vazotan is administered on a set once or twice daily schedule. There is no overlap in the prescribed dose.

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(*) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
12.	Myalept*** (Metreleptin) Powder for injection Strength: 10 mg per vial Dose, route and frequency: 2.5 mg (0.5 mL) subcutaneously once daily (men) 5 mg (1 mL) subcutaneously once daily (women) 0.06 mg/kg subcutaneously once daily (less than 40 kg). Range 5kg to 40kg = 300 mcg to 2.4 mg Note: the name was found acceptable in OSE 2012-903	Orthographic similarity Both names have two down strokes and one upstroke in similar positions. When scripted the prefix my- may look like the prefix vaz- (downstroke z) Overlapping product characteristics Single strength.	 Orthographic Difference: Myalept has a cross stroke 't' and Vazculep does not giving the names different appearance. The infix - cul- in Vazculep appears elongated compared to the infix -al- in Myalept. Key Differentiating Product Characteristics: Frequency and Dose: Vazculep is administered once as an intravenous bolus, then as a continuous infusion at a specific rate per minute dosed to effect and Myalept is administered on a set once daily schedule. There is no overlap in the prescribed dose.

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(*) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
13.	Nescafe (cowhage) powdered extract Strength: standardized cowhage contains 3.3 % levodopa Dose, route and frequency: Dosages ranged from 22.5 g to 67.5 g divided into 2 to 5 doses orally per day.	Orthographic similarity Both names have an upstroke in similar positions. When scripted the prefix Nes- may look like the prefix Vaz-, and the infix -caf- may look like the infix - cul Overlapping product characteristics Single strength.	 Orthographic Difference: Vazculep has an additional down stroke at the end of the name and Nescafe does not, giving the names slightly different shape. Key Differentiating Product Characteristics: <u>Frequency and Dose:</u> Vazculep is administered once as an intravenous bolus, then as a continuous infusion at a specific rate per minute dosed to effect, and Nescafe is administered on a on twice, three time, four times or fived times per day dosing schedule. There is no overlap in the prescribed dose.

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(*) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
14.	Vayacog (Docosahexaenoic Acid (DHA) Eicosapentaenoic Acid (EPA) Phosphatidyl serine Capsule Strength: 19.5 mg / 6.5 mg / 100 mg Dose, route and frequency: 1 capsule orally daily	Orthographic similarity Both names start with the same letter and have two down strokes in similar positions. When scripted the prefix Vay- may look like the prefix Vaz- (downstroke z) and the infix -ac- may look like the infix - cu- Overlapping product characteristics Single strength.	 Orthographic Difference: The suffix -lep in Vazculep has an addition upstroke and appears elongated compared to the suffix -og in Vayacog, giving the names different appearance and slightly different shape. Key Differentiating Product Characteristics: Frequency and Dose: Vazculep is administered once as an intravenous bolus, then as a continuous infusion at a specific rate per minute dosed to effect and Vayacog is administered on a set once daily schedule. There is no overlap in the prescribed dose.

No.	Proposed name: Vazculep Dosage Form: Injection Solution Strength: 1% (10 mg/mL) Usual Dose: Initial intravenous bolus dose of 40 mcg to 100 mcg (not to exceed 200 mcg). Intravenous infusions at a rate of 10 mcg/min to (*)(4) mcg/min (not to exceed 200 mcg/min)	Failure Mode: Incorrect Product Ordered/ Selected/Dispensed or Administered because of Name confusion Causes (could be multiple)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
15.			(b) (4)

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

ALEKSANDER P WINIARSKI 09/13/2013

JAMIE C WILKINS PARKER 09/13/2013