CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

APPLICATION NUMBER:

207981Orig1s000

PROPRIETARY NAME REVIEW(S)

PROPRIETARY NAME REVIEW

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (DMEPA)
Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM)
Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE)
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

***** This document contains proprietary information that cannot be released to the public*****

Date of This Review:	February 27, 2015
Application Type and Number:	NDA 207981
Product Name and Strength:	Lonsurf (trifluridine/tipiracil hydrochloride) Tablets, 15 mg and 20 mg
Product Type:	Multiple-Ingredient Product
Rx or OTC:	Rx
Applicant/Sponsor Name:	Taiho Oncology, Inc.
Submission Date:	December 22, 2014
Panorama #:	2014-46060
DMEPA Primary Reviewer:	Otto L. Townsend, PharmD
DMEPA Team Leader:	Chi-Ming (Alice) Tu, PharmD

Contents

1 INTRODUCTION 1
1.1 Regulatory History 1
1.2 Product Information 1
2 RESULTS 2
2.1 Misbranding Assessment 2
2.2 Safety Assessment 2
3 CONCLUSIONS 3
3.1 Comments to the Applicant 3
4 REFERENCES 4
APPENDICES 5

1 INTRODUCTION

This review evaluates the proposed proprietary name, Lonsurf, from a safety and misbranding perspective. The sources and methods used to evaluate the proposed name are outlined in the reference section and Appendix A respectively. In addition to the request for proprietary name review, Taiho Oncology, Inc. (Taiho) submitted an external name study conducted by [redacted] (b) (4), for this product.

1.1 REGULATORY HISTORY

Taiho previously submitted the proposed proprietary name, Lonsurf, under IND 057674 on May 3, 2013. We found the name conditionally acceptable in OSE Review #2013-1195, dated October 7, 2013.

1.2 PRODUCT INFORMATION

Taiho provided the following product information in the December 22, 2014 proprietary name review request submission.

Intended Pronunciation	None
Active Ingredient	Trifluridine and Tipiracil Hydrochloride
Indication of Use	Treatment of refractory metastatic colorectal cancer in adults
Route of Administration	Oral
Dosage Form	Tablets
Strength	15 mg and 20 mg (corresponds to 15 mg/7.065 mg, and 20 mg/9.42 mg)
Dose and Frequency	The recommended starting dose of Lonsurf in adults is 35 mg/m^2 trifluridine orally twice daily for 5 days a week with 2 days rest for 2 weeks, followed by a 14-day rest (1 treatment cycle). This treatment cycle is repeated every 4 weeks. Lonsurf dosage is calculated according to body surface area. Round dose to the nearest 5 mg increment. Do not exceed 80 mg/dose.
How Supplied	Bottles containing 20, 40, and 60 tablets
Storage	Store at 20°C to 25°C (68°F to 77°F); excursions are permitted from 15°C to 30°C (59°F to 86°F) [See USP Controlled Room Temperature].
Container and Closure Systems	Child-resistant [redacted] (b) (4)

Reference ID: 3709157

2 RESULTS

The following sections provide information obtained and considered in the overall evaluation of the proposed proprietary name.

2.1 MISBRANDING ASSESSMENT

The Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP) determined that the proposed name would not misbrand the proposed product. DMEPA and the Division of Oncology Products 2 (DOP2) concurred with the findings of OPDP's assessment of the proposed name.

2.2 SAFETY ASSESSMENT

The following aspects were considered in the safety evaluation of the name.

2.2.1 United States Adopted Names (USAN) Search

There is no USAN stem present in the proprietary name[1].

2.2.2 Components of the Proposed Proprietary Name

Taiho did not provide a derivation or intended meaning for the proposed name, Lonsurf in their submission. This proprietary name is comprised of a single word. The proprietary name does not contain any components, such as a modifier, route of administration, or dosage form that are misleading or can contribute to medication error.

2.2.3 FDA Name Simulation Studies

Ninety-one (91) practitioners participated in DMEPA's prescription studies. The responses did not overlap with any currently marketed products nor did the responses sound or look similar to any currently marketed products or any products in the pipeline. Thirty-seven (37) participants correctly interpreted the name as Lonsurf. Appendix B contains the results from the verbal and written prescription studies.

2.2.3 Comments from Other Review Disciplines at Initial Review

In response to the OSE, January 5, 2015 e-mail, DOP2 did not forward any comments or concerns relating to the proposed proprietary name at the initial phase of the review.

2.2.4 Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA) Search Results

Table 1 lists the number of names with the combined orthographic and phonetic score of ≥50% retrieved from our POCA search[2] organized as highly similar, moderately similar, or low similarity for further evaluation. Table 1 also includes names identified from the FDA Prescription Simulation Studies and identified by (b) (4).

---

[1]USAN stem search conducted on December 29, 2014 and January 9, 2015.

[2] POCA search conducted on January 9, 2015.

Reference ID: 3709157

Table 1. POCA Search Results	Number of Names
Highly similar name pair: combined match percentage score ≥70%	1
Moderately similar name pair: combined match percentage score ≥50% to ≤ 69%	33
Low similarity name pair: combined match percentage score ≤49%	14

2.2.5 Safety Analysis of Names with Potential Orthographic, Spelling, and Phonetic Similarities

Our analysis of the 48 names contained in Table 1 determined none of the name would pose a risk for confusion as described in Appendices C through H.

2.2.6 Communication of DMEPA's Analysis at Midpoint of Review

DMEPA communicated our findings to DOP2 via e-mail on February 3, 2015. At that time, we also requested additional information or concerns that could inform our review. Per e-mail correspondence from DOP2 on February 23, 2015, they stated no additional concerns with the proposed proprietary name, Lonsurf.

3 CONCLUSIONS

The proposed proprietary name is acceptable.

If you have further questions or need clarifications, please contact Frances Fahnbulleh, OSE project manager, at 301-796-0942.

3.1 COMMENTS TO THE APPLICANT

We have completed our review of the proposed proprietary name, Lonsurf, and have concluded that this name is acceptable.

If any of the proposed product characteristics as stated in your December 22, 2014 submission are altered prior to approval of the marketing application, the name must be resubmitted for review.

Reference ID: 3709157

4 REFERENCES

1. ***USAN Stems (http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/physician-resources/medical-science/united-states-adopted-names-council/naming-guidelines/approved-stems.page)***

   USAN Stems List contains all the recognized USAN stems.

2. ***Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA)***

POCA is a system that FDA designed. As part of the name similarity assessment, POCA is used to evaluate proposed names via a phonetic and orthographic algorithm. The proposed proprietary name is converted into its phonemic representation before it runs through the phonetic algorithm. Likewise, an orthographic algorithm exists that operates in a similar fashion. POCA is publicly accessible.

Drugs@FDA

Drugs@FDA is an FDA Web site that contains most of the drug products approved in the United States since 1939. The majority of labels, approval letters, reviews, and other information are available for drug products approved from 1998 to the present. Drugs@FDA contains official information about FDA-approved *brand name* and *generic drugs*; *therapeutic biological products*, *prescription* and *over-the-counter* human drugs; and *discontinued drugs* (see Drugs @ FDA Glossary of Terms, available at http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/InformationOnDrugs/ucm079436.htm#ther_biological).

RxNorm

RxNorm contains the names of prescription and many OTC drugs available in the United States. RxNorm includes generic and branded:

- Clinical drugs – pharmaceutical products given to (or taken by) a patient with therapeutic or diagnostic intent
- Drug packs – packs that contain multiple drugs, or drugs designed to be administered in a specified sequence

Radiopharmaceuticals, contrast media, food, dietary supplements, and medical devices, such as bandages and crutches, are all out of scope for RxNorm (http://www.nlm.nih.gov/research/umls/rxnorm/overview.html#).

Division of Medication Errors Prevention and Analysis proprietary name consultation requests

This is a list of proposed and pending names that is generated by the Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis from the Access database/tracking system.

Reference ID: 3709157

APPENDICES

Appendix A

FDA's Proprietary Name Risk Assessment evaluates proposed proprietary names for misbranding and safety concerns.

1. **Misbranding Assessment**: For prescription drug products, OPDP assesses the name for misbranding concerns. . For over-the-counter (OTC) drug products, the misbranding assessment of the proposed name is conducted by DNCE. OPDP or DNCE evaluates proposed proprietary names to determine if the name is false or misleading, such as by making misrepresentations with respect to safety or efficacy. For example, a fanciful proprietary name may misbrand a product by suggesting that it has some unique effectiveness or composition when it does not (21 CFR 201.10(c)(3)). OPDP or DNCE provides their opinion to DMEPA for consideration in the overall acceptability of the proposed proprietary name.
2. **Safety Assessment**: The safety assessment is conducted by DMEPA, and includes the following:

a. Preliminary Assessment: We consider inclusion of USAN stems or other characteristics that when incorporated into a proprietary name may cause or contribute to medication errors (i.e., dosing interval, dosage form/route of administration, medical or product name abbreviations, names that include or suggest the composition of the drug product, etc.) See prescreening checklist below in Table 2*. DMEPA defines a medication error as any preventable event that may cause or lead to inappropriate medication use or patient harm while the medication is in the control of the health care professional, patient, or consumer. [3]

[3] National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention. http://www.nccmerp.org/aboutMedErrors html. Last accessed 10/11/2007.

Reference ID: 3709157

***Table 2- Prescreening Checklist for Proposed Proprietary Name**

	Answer the questions in the checklist below. Affirmative answers to any of these questions indicate a potential area of concern that should be carefully evaluated as described in this guidance.
Y/N	**Is the proposed name obviously similar in spelling and pronunciation to other names?**
	Proprietary names should not be similar in spelling or pronunciation to proprietary names, established names, or ingredients of other products.
Y/N	**Are there medical and/or coined abbreviations in the proprietary name?**
	Proprietary names should not incorporate medical abbreviations (e.g., QD, BID, or others commonly used for prescription communication) or coined abbreviations that have no established meaning.
Y/N	**Are there inert or inactive ingredients referenced in the proprietary name?**
	Proprietary names should not incorporate any reference to an inert or inactive ingredient in a way that might create an impression that the ingredient's value is greater than its true functional role in the formulation (21 CFR 201.10(c)(4)).
Y/N	**Does the proprietary name include combinations of active ingredients?**
	Proprietary names of fixed combination drug products should not include or suggest the name of one or more, but not all, of its active ingredients (see 21 CFR 201.6(b)).
Y/N	**Is there a United States Adopted Name (USAN) stem in the proprietary name?**
	Proprietary names should not incorporate a USAN stem in the position that USAN designates for the stem.
Y/N	**Is this proprietary name used for another product that does not share at least one common active ingredient?**
	Drug products that do not contain at least one common active ingredient should not use the same (root) proprietary name.
Y/N	**Is this a proprietary name of a discontinued product?**
	Proprietary names should not use the proprietary name of a discontinued product if that discontinued drug product does not contain the same active ingredients.

Reference ID: 3709157

b. Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA): Following the preliminary screening of the proposed proprietary name, DMEPA staff evaluates the proposed name against potentially similar names. In order to identify names with potential similarity to the proposed proprietary name, DMEPA enters the proposed proprietary name in POCA and queries the name against the following drug reference databases, Drugs@fda, CernerRxNorm, and names in the review pipeline using a 50% threshold in POCA. DMEPA reviews the combined orthographic and phonetic matches and group the names into one of the following three categories:

- Highly similar pair: combined match percentage score ≥70%.
- Moderately similar pair: combined match percentage score ≥50% to ≤ 69%.
- Low similarity: combined match percentage score ≤49%.

Using the criteria outlined in the check list (Table 3-5) that corresponds to each of the three categories (highly similar pair, moderately similar pair, and low similarity), DMEPA evaluates the name pairs to determine the acceptability or non-acceptability of a proposed proprietary name. The intent of these checklists is to increase the transparency and predictability of the safety determination of whether a proposed name is vulnerable to confusion from a look-alike or sound-alike perspective. Each bullet below corresponds to the name similarity category cross-references the respective table that addresses criteria that DMEPA uses to determine whether a name presents a safety concern from a look-alike or sound-alike perspective.

- For highly similar names, differences in product characteristics often cannot mitigate the risk of a medication error, including product differences such as strength and dose. Thus, proposed proprietary names that have a combined score of ≥ 70 percent are at risk for a look-alike sound-alike confusion which is an area of concern (See Table 3).
- Moderately similar names with overlapping or similar strengths or doses represent an area for concern for FDA. The dosage and strength information is often located in close proximity to the drug name itself on prescriptions and medication orders, and it can be an important factor that either increases or decreases the potential for confusion between similarly named drug pairs. The ability of other product characteristics to mitigate confusion (e.g., route, frequency, dosage form, etc.) may be limited when the strength or dose overlaps. We review such names further, to determine whether sufficient differences exist to prevent confusion. (See Table 4).
- Names with low similarity that have no overlap or similarity in strength and dose are generally acceptable (See Table 5) unless there are data to suggest that the name might be vulnerable to confusion (e.g., prescription simulation study suggests that the name is likely to be misinterpreted as a marketed product). In these instances, we would reassign a low similarity name to the moderate similarity category and review according to the moderately similar name pair checklist.

Reference ID: 3709157

c. FDA Prescription Simulation Studies: DMEPA staff also conducts a prescription simulation studies using FDA health care professionals.

   Three separate studies are conducted within the Centers of the FDA for the proposed proprietary name to determine the degree of confusion of the proposed proprietary name with marketed U.S. drug names (proprietary and established) due to similarity in visual appearance with handwritten prescriptions or verbal pronunciation of the drug name. The studies employ healthcare professionals (pharmacists, physicians, and nurses), and attempts to simulate the prescription ordering process. The primary Safety Evaluator uses the results to identify orthographic or phonetic vulnerability of the proposed name to be misinterpreted by healthcare practitioners.

   In order to evaluate the potential for misinterpretation of the proposed proprietary name in handwriting and verbal communication of the name, inpatient medication orders and/or outpatient prescriptions are written, each consisting of a combination of marketed and unapproved drug products, including the proposed name. These orders are optically scanned and one prescription is delivered to a random sample of participating health professionals via e-mail. In addition, a verbal prescription is recorded on voice mail. The voice mail messages are then sent to a random sample of the participating health professionals for their interpretations and review. After receiving either the written or verbal prescription orders, the participants record their interpretations of the orders which are recorded electronically.

d. Comments from Other Review Disciplines: DMEPA requests the Office of New Drugs (OND) and/or Office of Generic Drugs (OGD), ONDQA or OBP for their comments or concerns with the proposed proprietary name, ask for any clinical issues that may impact the DMEPA review during the initial phase of the name review. Additionally, when applicable, at the same time DMEPA requests concurrence/non-concurrence with OPDP's decision on the name. The primary Safety Evaluator addresses any comments or concerns in the safety evaluator's assessment.

   The OND/OGD Regulatory Division is contacted a second time following our analysis of the proposed proprietary name. At this point, DMEPA conveys their decision to accept or reject the name. The OND or OGD Regulatory Division is requested to provide any further information that might inform DMEPA's final decision on the proposed name.

   Additionally, other review disciplines opinions such as ONDQA or OBP may be considered depending on the proposed proprietary name.

When provided, DMEPA considers external proprietary name studies conducted by or for the Applicant/Sponsor and incorporates the findings of these studies into the overall risk assessment.

The DMEPA primary reviewer assigned to evaluate the proposed proprietary name is responsible for considering the collective findings, and provides an overall risk assessment of the proposed proprietary name.

Reference ID: 3709157

Table 3. Highly Similar Name Pair Checklist (i.e., combined Orthographic and Phonetic score is ≥ 70%).

Answer the questions in the checklist below. Affirmative answers to some of these questions suggest that the pattern of orthographic or phonetic differences in the names may render the names less likely to confusion, provided that the pair do not share a common strength or dose.			
Orthographic Checklist		Phonetic Checklist	
Y/N	Do the names begin with different first letters? *Note that even when names begin with different first letters, certain letters may be confused with each other when scripted.*	**Y/N**	Do the names have different number of syllables?
Y/N	Are the lengths of the names dissimilar* when scripted? **FDA considers the length of names different if the names differ by two or more letters.*	**Y/N**	Do the names have different syllabic stresses?
Y/N	Considering variations in scripting of some letters (such as *z* and *f*), is there a different number or placement of upstroke/downstroke letters present in the names?	**Y/N**	Do the syllables have different phonologic processes, such vowel reduction, assimilation, or deletion?
Y/N	Is there different number or placement of cross-stroke or dotted letters present in the names?	**Y/N**	Across a range of dialects, are the names consistently pronounced differently?
Y/N	Do the infixes of the name appear dissimilar when scripted?		
Y/N	Do the suffixes of the names appear dissimilar when scripted?		

Reference ID: 3709157

Table 4: Moderately Similar Name Pair Checklist (i.e., combined score is ≥50% to ≤69%).

Step 1	Review the DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION and HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING sections of the prescribing information (or for OTC drugs refer to the Drug Facts label) to determine if strengths and doses of the name pair overlap or are very similar. Different strengths and doses for products whose names are moderately similar may decrease the risk of confusion between the moderately similar name pairs. Name pairs that have overlapping or similar strengths or doses have a higher potential for confusion and should be evaluated further (see Step 2). Because the strength or dose could be used to express an order or prescription for a particular drug product, overlap in one or both of these components would be reason for further evaluation. For single strength products, also consider circumstances where the strength may not be expressed. For any i.e. drug products comprised of more than one active ingredient, consider whether the strength or dose may be expressed using only one of the components. To determine whether the strengths or doses are similar to your proposed product, consider the following list of factors that may increase confusion: o Alternative expressions of dose: 5 mL may be listed in the prescribing information, but the dose may be expressed in metric weight (e.g., 500 mg) or in non-metric units (e.g., 1 tsp, 1 tablet/capsule). Similarly, a strength or dose of 1000 mg may be expressed, in practice, as 1 g, or vice versa. o Trailing or deleting zeros: 10 mg is similar in appearance to 100 mg which may potentiate confusion between a name pair with moderate similarity. o Similar sounding doses: 15 mg is similar in sound to 50 mg
Step 2	Answer the questions in the checklist below. Affirmative answers to some of these questions suggest that the pattern of orthographic or phonetic differences in the names may reduce the likelihood of confusion for moderately similar names **with** overlapping or similar strengths or doses.

Reference ID: 3709157

	Orthographic Checklist (Y/N to each question) • Do the names begin with different first letters? Note that even when names begin with different first letters, certain letters may be confused with each other when scripted. • Are the lengths of the names dissimilar* when scripted? *FDA considers the length of names different if the names differ by two or more letters. • Considering variations in scripting of some letters (such as *z* and *f*), is there a different number or placement of upstroke/downstroke letters present in the names? • Is there different number or placement of cross-stroke or dotted letters present in the names? • Do the infixes of the name appear dissimilar when scripted? • Do the suffixes of the names appear dissimilar when scripted?	Phonetic Checklist (Y/N to each question) • Do the names have different number of syllables? • Do the names have different syllabic stresses? • Do the syllables have different phonologic processes, such vowel reduction, assimilation, or deletion? • Across a range of dialects, are the names consistently pronounced differently?

Reference ID: 3709157

Table 5: Low Similarity Name Pair Checklist (i.e., combined score is ≤49%).

In most circumstances, these names are viewed as sufficiently different to minimize confusion. Exceptions to this would occur in circumstances where, for example, there are data that suggest a name with low similarity is nonetheless misinterpreted as a marketed product name in a prescription simulation study. In such instances, FDA would reassign a low similarity name to the moderate similarity category and review according to the moderately similar name pair checklist.

Appendix B: Prescription Simulation Samples and Results

Figure 1. Lonsurf Study (Conducted on 01/07/2015)

Handwritten Requisition Medication Order	Verbal Prescription
Medication Order: Lonsurf (b) (4) mg po BID after AM + PM meals	Lonsurf 20 mg. 3 tabs by mouth twice daily with meals. Dispense quantity #180.
Outpatient Prescription: Lonsurf 20mg 3 tabs po twice daily with meals #180	

Reference ID: 3709157

FDA Prescription Simulation Responses (Aggregate 1 Rx Studies Report)

Study Name: Lonsurf

As of Date 1/26/2015

253 People Received Study
91 People Responded

Study Name: Lonsurf

Total	32	30	29	
INTERPRETATION	**OUTPATIENT**	**VOICE**	**INPATIENT**	**TOTAL**
CANT READ	0	0	1	1
FONSERT	1	0	0	1
FONSURF	1	0	0	1
JONSIRF	1	0	0	1
LAUNSURF	0	1	0	1
LAWNSERF	0	3	0	3
LAWNSURF	0	2	0	2
LONCERF	0	4	0	4
LONCERT	1	0	0	1
LONESURF	0	1	0	1
LONGSERF	0	2	0	2
LONSERF	1	9	0	10
LONSERF (?)	0	1	0	1
LONSERT	9	1	0	10
LONSIRF	2	0	0	2
LONSIRT	2	0	0	2
LONSORT	2	0	0	2
LONSURF	6	4	26	36
LONSURF 75MG	0	0	1	1
LONSURG	0	0	1	1
LONSURT	3	0	0	3
LONXERT	0	1	0	1
LONZERF	0	1	0	1
TONSERT	1	0	0	1
TONSIRT	1	0	0	1
TONSURF	1	0	0	1

Reference ID: 3709157

Appendix C: Highly Similar Names (e.g., combined POCA score is ≥70%)

No.	**Proposed name:** Lonsurf (trifluridine/tipiracil hydrochloride) **Strengths:** 15 mg (15 mg/7.065 mg) and 20 mg (20 mg/9.42 mg) Tablets **Usual Dose:** The recommended starting dose of Lonsurf in adults is 35 mg/m^2 trifluridine orally twice daily for 5 days a week with 2 days rest for 2 weeks, followed by a 14-day rest (1 treatment cycle). This treatment cycle is repeated every 4 weeks. Lonsurf dosage is calculated according to body surface area. Round dose to the nearest 5 mg increment. Do not exceed 80 mg/dose.	**POCA Score (%)**	**Orthographic and/or phonetic differences in the names sufficient to prevent confusion** **Other prevention of failure mode expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names.**
1.	LONSURF ***	100	Name is subject of this review.

Reference ID: 3709157

Appendix D: Moderately Similar Names (e.g., combined POCA score is ≥50% to ≤69%) with no overlap or numerical similarity in Strength and/or Dose

No.	Proposed Name	POCA Score (%)
1.	CUROSURF	60
2.	Infasurf	58
3.	Lanacort	58
4.	LANTUS	60
5.	LINZESS	50
6.	LiQsorb	56
7.	LOPURIN	52
8.	Losartan	50
9.	Lustra AF	52

Reference ID: 3709157

Appendix E: Moderately Similar Names (e.g., combined POCA score is ≥50% to ≤69%) with overlap or numerical similarity in Strength and/or Dose

No.	**Proposed name:** Lonsurf (trifluridine/tipiracil hydrochloride) **Strengths:** 15 mg (15 mg/7.065 mg) and 20 mg (20 mg/9.42 mg) Tablets **Usual Dose:** The recommended starting dose of Lonsurf in adults is 35 mg/m^2 trifluridine orally twice daily for 5 days a week with 2 days rest for 2 weeks, followed by a 14-day rest (1 treatment cycle). This treatment cycle is repeated every 4 weeks. Lonsurf dosage is calculated according to body surface area. Round dose to the nearest 5 mg increment. Do not exceed 80 mg/dose.	**POCA Score (%)**	**Prevention of Failure Mode** **In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names**
1.	Laniroif	55	The infixes of this name pair have sufficient orthographic differences. The second syllables of this name pair sound different and Laniroif has an extra syllable.
2.	Lincorex	50	The infixes and suffixes of this name pair have sufficient orthographic differences. The second syllables of this name pair sound different and Lincorex has an extra syllable.
3.	LONOX	50	The suffixes of this name pair have sufficient orthographic differences. The second syllables of this name pair sound different.

No.	**Proposed name:** Lonsurf (trifluridine/tipiracil hydrochloride) **Strengths:** 15 mg (15 mg/7.065 mg) and 20 mg (20 mg/9.42 mg) Tablets **Usual Dose:** The recommended starting dose of Lonsurf in adults is 35 mg/m^2 trifluridine orally twice daily for 5 days a week with 2 days rest for 2 weeks, followed by a 14-day rest (1 treatment cycle). This treatment cycle is repeated every 4 weeks. Lonsurf dosage is calculated according to body surface area. Round dose to the nearest 5 mg increment. Do not exceed 80 mg/dose.	**POCA Score (%)**	**Prevention of Failure Mode** **In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names**
4.	LYNPARZA	50	The prefixes, infixes, suffixes of this name pair have sufficient orthographic differences. The second syllables of this name pair sound different and Lynparza has an extra syllable.

Appendix F: Low Similarity Names (e.g., combined POCA score is ≤49%)

No.	Name	POCA Score (%)
1.	Aventyl	26
2.	Desipramine	15
3.	Doxepin	25
4.	Gabapentin	15
5.	Lansoprazole	45
6.	Loniten	47
7.	Lovenox	40
8.	Lyrica	26
9.	Neurontin	31
10.	Nortriptyline	11
11.	Pamelor	32
12.	Pregabalin	17
13.	Sinequan	22
14.	Zoloft	31

Reference ID: 3709157

Appendix G: Names not likely to be confused or not used in usual practice settings for the reasons described.

No.	Name	POCA Score (%)	Failure preventions
1.	(b) (4) ***	50	Applicant withdrew the application.
2.	Exosurf	56	NDA 020044 withdrawn FR Effective 06/18/2009
3.	LENTARD	60	NDA 18384 withdrawn FR Effective 9/25/1997
4.	Lentaron	50	International product formerly marketed in several foreign countries.
5.	(b) (4) ***	50	Proposed Proprietary Name found unacceptable by DMEPA (OSE# 2014-17379). The alternative name, Liletta *** was found conditionally acceptable. (NDA 206229)
6.	(b) (4) ***	52	Proposed Proprietary Name found unacceptable by DMEPA (OSE# 2012-373). Product approved under new proprietary name, Oxtellar XR.
7.	Lodocort	52	Name identified in RxNorm database Unable to find product characteristics in commonly used drug databases.
8.	(b) (4) ***	53	Proposed Proprietary Name found unacceptable by DMEPA (OSE# (b) (4)). Alternative name, (b) (4) *** was found conditionally acceptable; however, the Application is currently in "inactive" status, effective, June 5, 2014
9.	(b) (4) ***	66	Proposed Proprietary Name was submitted as an alternative name under NDA 206307. The product was approved as Xtoro, which was found acceptable after reconsideration.
10.	Lozi-Flur	52	Name identified in RxNorm database. Product characteristics found in Redbook, but product is no longer marketed and no generic alternatives are available.
11.	Lunestar	52	Name identified in RxNorm database. Product characteristics found in Redbook, but product is no longer marketed and no generic alternatives are available.
12.	Onsior	52	Veterinary product.

Reference ID: 3709157

No.	Name	POCA Score (%)	Failure preventions
13.	(b) (4) ***	50	Proposed Proprietary Name found unacceptable by DMEPA (OSE# (b) (4)). The alternative name, (b) (4) *** was found conditionally acceptable. (IND (b) (4))

Appendix H: Names not likely to be confused due to notable spelling, orthographic and phonetic differences.

No.	Name	POCA Score (%)
1.	CALAN SR	52
2.	Clinsol	50
3.	Flanders	54
4.	OCUSULF-10	52
5.	OCUSULF-30	52
6.	SANSERT	54
7.	Topisulf	54

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

OTTO L TOWNSEND
02/27/2015

CHI-MING TU
02/27/2015