

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND
RESEARCH**

APPLICATION NUMBER:

206679Orig1s000

OTHER REVIEW(S)

505(b)(2) ASSESSMENT

Application Information		
NDA # 206679	NDA Supplement #:	Efficacy Supplement Type
Proprietary Name: NA Established/Proper Name: Simvastatin Dosage Form: Oral Suspension Strengths: 20 mg/5 mL and 40 mg/5 mL		
Applicant: Rosemont Pharmaceuticals Limited, a Perrigo Company		
Date of Receipt: June 22, 2015		
PDUFA Goal Date: April 22, 2016		Action Goal Date (if different):
RPM: Richard Whitehead		
Proposed Indication(s): <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Reduce the risk of total mortality by reducing CHD deaths and reduce the risk of nonfatal myocardial infarction, stroke, and the need for revascularization procedures in patients at high risk of coronary events.• Reduce elevated total-C, LDL-C, Apo B, TG and increase HDL-C in patients with primary hyperlipidemia (heterozygous familial and nonfamilial) and mixed dyslipidemia.• Reduce elevated TG in patients with hypertriglyceridemia and reduce TG and VLDL-C in patients with primary dysbetalipoproteinemia.• Reduce total-C and LDL-C in adult patients with homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia.• Reduce elevated total-C, LDL-C, and Apo B in boys and postmenarchal girls, 10 to 17 years of age with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia after failing an adequate trial of diet therapy.		

GENERAL INFORMATION

1) Is this application for a recombinant or biologically-derived product and/or protein or peptide product *OR* is the applicant relying on a recombinant or biologically-derived product and/or protein or peptide product to support approval of the proposed product?

YES NO

If "YES" contact the (b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office, Office of New Drugs.



**INFORMATION PROVIDED VIA RELIANCE
(LISTED DRUG OR LITERATURE)**

- 2) List the information essential to the approval of the proposed drug that is provided by reliance on our previous finding of safety and efficacy for a listed drug by reliance on published literature, or by reliance on a final OTC monograph. *(If not clearly identified by the applicant, this information can usually be derived from annotated labeling.)*

Source of information* (e.g., published literature, name of listed drug(s), OTC final drug monograph)	Information relied-upon (e.g., specific sections of the application or labeling)
ZOCOR® (simvastatin) Tablets, NDA 019766	FDA's previous finding of safety and effectiveness clinical and nonclinical.

*each source of information should be listed on separate rows, however individual literature articles should not be listed separately

- 3) The bridge in a 505(b)(2) application is information to demonstrate sufficient similarity between the proposed product and the listed drug(s) or to justify reliance on information described in published literature for approval of the 505(b)(2) product. Describe in detail how the applicant bridged the proposed product to the listed drug(s) and/or published literature¹. [See also Guidance for Industry Providing Clinical Evidence of Effectiveness for Human Drug and Biological Products.](#)

The clinical basis for the US application is a comparative bioavailability study, PRG-NY-14-010, that compared an 80 mg dose of Simvastatin Oral Suspension, 40 mg/5 mL strength (test article) versus 80 mg of Zocor Tablets (reference article) under fasted conditions. Pharmacokinetic measurements were taken for simvastatin and the major active form, β -hydroxyacid simvastatin. Study PRG-NY-14-010 assessed the bioequivalence between 80 mg simvastatin oral suspension (10 mL of 40 mg/5mL) and 80 mg simvastatin tablet (Zocor) under fasting. Results of simvastatin C_{max} and AUCs indicate that 10 mL of 40 mg/5 mL simvastatin oral suspension is bioequivalent to the 80 mg simvastatin tablet (Zocor) under fasting via the reference scaled bioequivalence approach. Results of simvastatin acid C_{max} indicate that 10 mL of 40 mg/5 mL simvastatin oral suspension is not equivalent to the 80 mg simvastatin tablet (Zocor) under fasting via the reference scaled bioequivalence approach. However, results of simvastatin acid AUCs indicate that 10 mL of 40 mg/5 mL simvastatin oral suspension is equivalent to the 80 mg simvastatin tablet (Zocor) under fasting condition via the reference scaled bioequivalence approach. Simvastatin (parent drug) pharmacokinetic data are primary to assess bioequivalence between simvastatin suspension and 80 mg Zocor tablet, whereas simvastatin acid (metabolite) pharmacokinetic data are considered supportive evidence. Thus, the simvastatin suspension is considered to be bioequivalent to the Zocor.

RELIANCE ON PUBLISHED LITERATURE

- 4) (a) Regardless of whether the applicant has explicitly stated a reliance on published literature to support their application, is reliance on published literature necessary to support the

¹For 505(b)(2) applications that rely on a listed drug(s), bridging studies are often BA/BE studies comparing the proposed product to the listed drug(s). Other examples include: comparative physicochemical tests and bioassay; preclinical data (which may include bridging toxicology studies); pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) data; and clinical data (which may include immunogenicity studies). A bridge may also be a scientific rationale that there is an adequate basis for reliance upon FDA's finding of safety and effectiveness of the listed drug(s). For 505(b)(2) applications that rely upon literature, the bridge is an explanation of how the literature is scientifically sound and relevant to the approval of the proposed 505(b)(2) product.

approval of the proposed drug product (i.e., the application *cannot* be approved as labeled without the published literature)?

YES NO

If "NO," proceed to question #5.

(b) Does any of the published literature necessary to support approval identify a specific (e.g., brand name) *listed* drug product?

YES NO

If "NO," proceed to question #5.

If "YES", list the listed drug(s) identified by name and answer question #4(c).

(c) Are the drug product(s) listed in (b) identified by the applicant as the listed drug(s)?

YES NO

¹For 505(b)(2) applications that rely on a listed drug(s), bridging studies are often BA/BE studies comparing the proposed product to the listed drug(s). Other examples include: comparative physicochemical tests and bioassay; preclinical data (which may include bridging toxicology studies); pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) data; and clinical data (which may include immunogenicity studies). A bridge may also be a scientific rationale that there is an adequate basis for reliance upon FDA's finding of safety and effectiveness of the listed drug(s). For 505(b)(2) applications that rely upon literature, the bridge is an explanation of how the literature is scientifically sound and relevant to the approval of the proposed 505(b)(2) product.

RELIANCE ON LISTED DRUG(S)

Reliance on published literature which identifies a specific approved (listed) drug constitutes reliance on that listed drug. Please answer questions #5-9 accordingly.

- 5) Regardless of whether the applicant has explicitly cited reliance on listed drug(s), does the application **rely** on the finding of safety and effectiveness for one or more listed drugs (approved drugs) to support the approval of the proposed drug product (i.e., the application cannot be approved without this reliance)?

YES NO

If "NO," proceed to question #10.

- 6) Name of listed drug(s) relied upon, and the NDA #(s). Please indicate if the applicant explicitly identified the product as being relied upon (see note below):

Name of Listed Drug	NDA #	Did applicant specify reliance on the product? (Y/N)
ZOCOR (simvastatin) Tablets,	NDA 019766	Y

Applicants should specify reliance on the 356h, in the cover letter, and/or with their patent certification/statement. If you believe there is reliance on a listed product that has not been explicitly identified as such by the applicant, please contact the (b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office, Office of New Drugs.

- 7) If this is a (b)(2) supplement to an original (b)(2) application, does the supplement rely upon the same listed drug(s) as the original (b)(2) application?

N/A YES NO

If this application is a (b)(2) supplement to an original (b)(1) application or not a supplemental application, answer "N/A".

If "NO", please contact the (b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office, Office of New Drugs.

- 8) Were any of the listed drug(s) relied upon for this application:

- a) Approved in a 505(b)(2) application?

YES NO

If "YES", please list which drug(s).

Name of drug(s) approved in a 505(b)(2) application:

- b) Approved by the DESI process?

YES NO

If "YES", please list which drug(s).

Name of drug(s) approved via the DESI process:

- c) Described in a final OTC drug monograph?

YES NO

If "YES", please list which drug(s).

Name of drug(s) described in a final OTC drug monograph:

d) Discontinued from marketing?

YES NO

If "YES", please list which drug(s) and answer question d) i. below.

If "NO", proceed to question #9.

Name of drug(s) discontinued from marketing:

i) Were the products discontinued for reasons related to safety or effectiveness?

YES NO

(Information regarding whether a drug has been discontinued from marketing for reasons of safety or effectiveness may be available in the Orange Book. Refer to section 1.11 for an explanation, and section 6.1 for the list of discontinued drugs. If a determination of the reason for discontinuation has not been published in the Federal Register (and noted in the Orange Book), you will need to research the archive file and/or consult with the review team. Do not rely solely on any statements made by the sponsor.)

9) Describe the change from the listed drug(s) relied upon to support this (b)(2) application (for example, "This application provides for a new indication, otitis media" or "This application provides for a change in dosage form, from capsule to solution").

Formulation change: Simvastatin 20 mg/5 ml and 40 mg/5 ml Oral Suspension is a liquid formulation of simvastatin for the same indications and dosage regimes as Zocor tablets.

The purpose of the following two questions is to determine if there is an approved drug product that is equivalent or very similar to the product proposed for approval that should be referenced as a listed drug in the pending application.

The assessment of pharmaceutical equivalence for a recombinant or biologically-derived product and/or protein or peptide product is complex. If you answered YES to question #1, proceed to question #12; if you answered NO to question #1, proceed to question #10 below.

10) (a) Is there a pharmaceutical equivalent(s) to the product proposed in the 505(b)(2) application that is already approved (via an NDA or ANDA)?

(Pharmaceutical equivalents are drug products in identical dosage forms intended for the same route of administration that: (1) contain identical amounts of the identical active drug ingredient, i.e., the same salt or ester of the same therapeutic moiety, or, in the case of modified release dosage forms that require a reservoir or overage or such forms as prefilled syringes where residual volume may vary, that deliver identical amounts of the active drug ingredient over the identical dosing period; (2) do not necessarily contain the same inactive ingredients; and (3) meet the identical compendial or other applicable standard of identity, strength, quality, and purity, including potency and, where applicable, content uniformity, disintegration times, and/or dissolution rates. (21 CFR 320.1(c), FDA's "Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations" (the Orange Book)).

Note that for proposed combinations of one or more previously approved drugs, a pharmaceutical equivalent must also be a combination of the same drugs.

YES NO

If "NO" to (a) proceed to question #11.

If “**YES**” to (a), answer (b) and (c) then proceed to question #12.

(b) Is the pharmaceutical equivalent approved for the same indication for which the 505(b)(2) application is seeking approval? YES NO

(c) Is the listed drug(s) referenced by the application a pharmaceutical equivalent? N/A YES NO

If this application relies only on non product-specific published literature, answer “N/A”
If “**YES**” to (c) and there are no additional pharmaceutical equivalents listed, proceed to question #12.

If “**NO**” or if there are additional pharmaceutical equivalents that are not referenced by the application, list the NDA pharmaceutical equivalent(s); you do not have to individually list all of the products approved as ANDAs, but please note below if approved approved generics are listed in the Orange Book. Please also contact the (b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office, Office of New Drugs.

Pharmaceutical equivalent(s):

11) (a) Is there a pharmaceutical alternative(s) already approved (via an NDA or ANDA)?

(Pharmaceutical alternatives are drug products that contain the identical therapeutic moiety, or its precursor, but not necessarily in the same amount or dosage form or as the same salt or ester. Each such drug product individually meets either the identical or its own respective compendial or other applicable standard of identity, strength, quality, and purity, including potency and, where applicable, content uniformity, disintegration times and/or dissolution rates. (21 CFR 320.1(d)) Different dosage forms and strengths within a product line by a single manufacturer are thus pharmaceutical alternatives, as are extended-release products when compared with immediate- or standard-release formulations of the same active ingredient.)

Note that for proposed combinations of one or more previously approved drugs, a pharmaceutical alternative must also be a combination of the same drugs.

YES NO
If “**NO**”, proceed to question #12.

(b) Is the pharmaceutical alternative approved for the same indication for which the 505(b)(2) application is seeking approval? YES NO

(c) Is the approved pharmaceutical alternative(s) referenced as the listed drug(s)? N/A YES NO

If this application relies only on non product-specific published literature, answer “N/A”
If “**YES**” and there are no additional pharmaceutical alternatives listed, proceed to question #12.

If “**NO**” or if there are additional pharmaceutical alternatives that are not referenced by the application, list the NDA pharmaceutical alternative(s); you do not have to individually list all of the products approved as ANDAs, but please note below if approved generics are listed in

the Orange Book. Please also contact the (b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office, Office of New Drugs.

Pharmaceutical alternative(s):

PATENT CERTIFICATION/STATEMENTS

- 12) List the patent numbers of all unexpired patents listed in the Orange Book for the listed drug(s) for which our finding of safety and effectiveness is relied upon to support approval of the (b)(2) product.

Listed drug/Patent number(s):

No patents listed proceed to question #14

- 13) Did the applicant address (with an appropriate certification or statement) all of the unexpired patents listed in the Orange Book for the listed drug(s) relied upon to support approval of the (b)(2) product?

YES NO

If "NO", list which patents (and which listed drugs) were not addressed by the applicant.

Listed drug/Patent number(s):

- 14) Which of the following patent certifications does the application contain? (Check all that apply and identify the patents to which each type of certification was made, as appropriate.)

- No patent certifications are required (e.g., because application is based solely on published literature that does not cite a specific innovator product)
- 21 CFR 314.50(i)(1)(i)(A)(1): The patent information has not been submitted to FDA. (Paragraph I certification)
- 21 CFR 314.50(i)(1)(i)(A)(2): The patent has expired. (Paragraph II certification)

Patent number(s):

- 21 CFR 314.50(i)(1)(i)(A)(3): The date on which the patent will expire. (Paragraph III certification)

Patent number(s):

Expiry date(s):

- 21 CFR 314.50(i)(1)(i)(A)(4): The patent is invalid, unenforceable, or will not be infringed by the manufacture, use, or sale of the drug product for which the application is submitted. (Paragraph IV certification). *If Paragraph IV certification was submitted, proceed to question #15.*
- 21 CFR 314.50(i)(3): Statement that applicant has a licensing agreement with the NDA holder/patent owner (must also submit certification under 21 CFR

314.50(i)(1)(i)(A)(4) above). *If the applicant has a licensing agreement with the NDA holder/patent owner, proceed to question #15.*

21 CFR 314.50(i)(1)(ii): No relevant patents.

21 CFR 314.50(i)(1)(iii): The patent on the listed drug is a method of use patent and the labeling for the drug product for which the applicant is seeking approval does not include any indications that are covered by the use patent as described in the corresponding use code in the Orange Book. Applicant must provide a statement that the method of use patent does not claim any of the proposed indications. (Section viii statement)

Patent number(s):

Method(s) of Use/Code(s):

15) Complete the following checklist **ONLY** for applications containing Paragraph IV certification and/or applications in which the applicant and patent holder have a licensing agreement:

(a) Patent number(s):

(b) Did the applicant submit a signed certification stating that the NDA holder and patent owner(s) were notified that this b(2) application was filed [21 CFR 314.52(b)]?

YES NO

If "NO", please contact the applicant and request the signed certification.

(c) Did the applicant submit documentation showing that the NDA holder and patent owner(s) received the notification [21 CFR 314.52(e)]? This is generally provided in the form of a registered mail receipt.

YES NO

If "NO", please contact the applicant and request the documentation.

(d) What is/are the date(s) on the registered mail receipt(s) (i.e., the date(s) the NDA holder and patent owner(s) received notification):

Date(s):

Note, the date(s) entered should be the date the notification occurred (i.e., delivery date(s)), not the date of the submission in which proof of notification was provided

(e) Has the applicant been sued for patent infringement within 45-days of receipt of the notification listed above?

Note that you may need to call the applicant (after 45 days of receipt of the notification) to verify this information UNLESS the applicant provided a written statement from the notified patent owner(s) that it consents to an immediate effective date of approval.

YES NO Patent owner(s) consent(s) to an immediate effective date of approval

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

RICHARD E WHITEHEAD
04/20/2016

MEMORANDUM

REVIEW OF REVISED LABEL AND LABELING

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (DMEPA)
Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM)
Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE)
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

Date of This Memorandum: April 8, 2016
Requesting Office or Division: Division of Metabolism and Endocrinology Products (DMEP)
Application Type and Number: NDA 206679
Product Name and Strength: Simvastatin Oral Suspension,
20 mg/5 mL and 40 mg/5 mL
Submission Date: March 31, 2016
Applicant/Sponsor Name: Rosemont Pharmaceuticals Limited, a Perrigo Company
OSE RCM #: 2015-1443-2
DMEPA Primary Reviewer: Nicole Garrison, PharmD, BCPS
DMEPA Team Leader: Yelena Maslov, PharmD

1 PURPOSE OF MEMO

The Division of Metabolism and Endocrinology Products (DMEP) requested that we review the revised container label and carton labeling for Simvastatin Oral Suspension (Appendix A) to determine if it is acceptable from a medication error perspective. The revisions are in response to recommendations that we made during previous label and labeling reviews.^{1,2}

2 CONCLUSION

The revised container label and carton labeling for Simvastatin Oral Suspension is acceptable from a medication error perspective. We have no further recommendations at this time.

¹ Garrison N. Label and Labeling Review for Simvastatin Oral Suspension (NDA 206679). Silver Spring (MD): Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology, Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (US); 2015 SEPT 08. 10 p. OSE RCM No.: 2015-1443.

² Garrison N. Label and Labeling Review for Simvastatin Oral Suspension (NDA 206679). Silver Spring (MD): Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology, Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (US); 2016 JAN 29. 5 p. OSE RCM No.: 2015-1443-1.

3 Page(s) of Draft Labeling have been Withheld in Full as b4 (CCI/TS) immediately following this page

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

NICOLE B GARRISON
04/08/2016

YELENA L MASLOV
04/08/2016

**REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER
PHYSICIAN LABELING RULE (PLR) FORMAT REVIEW
OF THE PRESCRIBING INFORMATION**

Complete for all new NDAs, BLAs, Efficacy Supplements, and PLR Conversion Labeling Supplements

Application: NDA 206679

Application Type: New NDA

Drug Name(s)/Dosage Form(s): SIMVASTATIN ORAL SUSPENSION 20 mg/5 mL and 40 mg/5 mL

Applicant: Perrigo Pharmaceuticals

Receipt Date: June 22, 2015

Goal Date: April 22, 2016

1. Regulatory History and Applicant's Main Proposals

This new NDA was received June 22, 2015. Labeling was not in PLR format. The applicant was advised in the FILING COMMUNICATION - FILING REVIEW ISSUES IDENTIFIED to resubmit in PLR format. This labeling was received September 15, 2015 fulfilling this request.

2. Review of the Prescribing Information

This review is based on the applicant's submitted Word format of the prescribing information (PI). The applicant's proposed PI was reviewed in accordance with the labeling format requirements listed in the "Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information (SRPI)" checklist (see Section 4 of this review).

3. Conclusions/Recommendations

SRPI format deficiencies were identified in the review of this PI. For a list of these deficiencies, see Section 4 of this review.

All SRPI format deficiencies of the PI and other labeling issues identified above will be conveyed to the applicant in labeling discussions. The applicant will be asked to correct these deficiencies and resubmit the PI in Word format. The resubmitted PI will be used for further labeling review.

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

4. Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

The Selected Requirement of Prescribing Information (SRPI) is a 41-item, drop-down checklist of important format elements of the prescribing information (PI) based on labeling regulations (21 CFR 201.56 and 201.57) and guidances.

Highlights

See Appendix for a sample tool illustrating Highlights format.

HIGHLIGHTS GENERAL FORMAT

- YES** 1. Highlights (HL) must be in a minimum of 8-point font and should be in two-column format, with ½ inch margins on all sides and between columns.

Comment:

- NO** 2. The length of HL must be one-half page or less unless a waiver has been granted in a previous submission. The HL Boxed Warning does not count against the one-half page requirement. Instructions to complete this item: If the length of the HL is one-half page or less, select “YES” in the drop-down menu because this item meets the requirement. However, if HL is longer than one-half page, select “NO” unless a waiver has been granted.

Comment:

- YES** 3. A horizontal line must separate:
- HL from the Table of Contents (TOC), **and**
 - TOC from the Full Prescribing Information (FPI).

Comment:

- N/A** 4. All headings in HL (from Recent Major Changes to Use in Specific Populations) must be **bolded** and presented in the center of a horizontal line. (Each horizontal line should extend over the entire width of the column.) The HL headings (from Recent Major Changes to Use in Specific Populations) should be in UPPER CASE letters. See Appendix for HL format.

Comment:

- YES** 5. White space should be present before each major heading in HL. There must be no white space between the HL Heading and HL Limitation Statement. There must be no white space between the product title and Initial U.S. Approval. See Appendix for HL format.

Comment:

- YES** 6. Each summarized statement or topic in HL must reference the section(s) or subsection(s) of the Full Prescribing Information (FPI) that contain more detailed information. The preferred format is the numerical identifier in parenthesis [e.g., (1.1)] at the end of each summarized statement or topic.

Comment:

- YES** 7. Headings in HL must be presented in the following order:

Heading	Required/Optional
• Highlights Heading	Required
• Highlights Limitation Statement	Required

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

• Product Title	Required
• Initial U.S. Approval	Required
• Boxed Warning	Required if a BOXED WARNING is in the FPI
• Recent Major Changes	Required for only certain changes to PI*
• Indications and Usage	Required
• Dosage and Administration	Required
• Dosage Forms and Strengths	Required
• Contraindications	Required (if no contraindications must state “None.”)
• Warnings and Precautions	Not required by regulation, but should be present
• Adverse Reactions	Required
• Drug Interactions	Optional
• Use in Specific Populations	Optional
• Patient Counseling Information Statement	Required
• Revision Date	Required

* RMC only applies to five labeling sections in the FPI: BOXED WARNING, INDICATIONS AND USAGE, DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION, CONTRAINDICATIONS, and WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS.

Comment:

HIGHLIGHTS DETAILS

Highlights Heading

- YES** 8. At the beginning of HL, the following heading, “**HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION**” must be **bolded** and should appear in all UPPER CASE letters.

Comment:

Highlights Limitation Statement

- YES** 9. The **bolded** HL Limitation Statement must include the following verbatim statement: “**These highlights do not include all the information needed to use (insert NAME OF DRUG PRODUCT) safely and effectively. See full prescribing information for (insert NAME OF DRUG PRODUCT).**” The name of drug product should appear in UPPER CASE letters.

Comment:

Product Title in Highlights

- YES** 10. Product title must be **bolded**.

Comment:

Initial U.S. Approval in Highlights

- YES** 11. Initial U.S. Approval must be **bolded**, and include the verbatim statement “**Initial U.S. Approval:**” followed by the **4-digit year**.

Comment:

Boxed Warning (BW) in Highlights

- N/A** 12. All text in the BW must be **bolded**.

Comment:

- N/A** 13. The BW must have a title in UPPER CASE, following the word “**WARNING**” and other words to identify the subject of the warning. Even if there is more than one warning, the term “**WARNING**” and not “**WARNINGS**” should be used. For example: “**WARNING: SERIOUS**”

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

INFECTIONS and ACUTE HEPATIC FAILURE". If there is more than one warning in the BW title, the word "and" in lower case can separate the warnings. The BW title should be centered.

Comment:

- N/A** 14. The BW must always have the verbatim statement "***See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning.***" This statement must be placed immediately beneath the BW title, and should be centered and appear in *italics*.

Comment:

- N/A** 15. The BW must be limited in length to 20 lines. (This includes white space but does not include the BW title and the statement "***See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning.***")

Comment:

Recent Major Changes (RMC) in Highlights

- N/A** 16. RMC pertains to only five sections of the FPI: BOXED WARNING, INDICATIONS AND USAGE, DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION, CONTRAINDICATIONS, and WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS. Labeling sections for RMC must be listed in the same order in HL as they appear in the FPI.

Comment:

- N/A** 17. The RMC must include the section heading(s) and, if appropriate, subsection heading(s) affected by the recent major change, together with each section's identifying number and date (month/year format) on which the change was incorporated in the PI (supplement approval date). For example, "Warnings and Precautions, Acute Liver Failure (5.1) --- 8/2015."

Comment:

- N/A** 18. A changed section must be listed under the RMC heading for at least one year after the date of the labeling change and must be removed at the first printing subsequent to the one year period. (No listing should be one year older than the revision date.)

Comment:

Dosage Forms and Strengths in Highlights

- N/A** 19. For a product that has more than one dosage form (e.g., capsules, tablets, injection), bulleted headings should be used.

Comment:

Contraindications in Highlights

- YES** 20. All contraindications listed in the FPI must also be listed in HL. If there is more than one contraindication, each contraindication should be bulleted. If no contraindications are known, must include the word "None."

Comment:

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

Adverse Reactions in Highlights

- YES** 21. For drug products other than vaccines, the verbatim **bolded** statement must be present: “**To report SUSPECTED ADVERSE REACTIONS, contact (insert name of manufacturer) at (insert manufacturer’s U.S. phone number which should be a toll-free number) or FDA at 1-800-FDA-1088 or www.fda.gov/medwatch.**”

Comment:

Patient Counseling Information Statement in Highlights

- YES** 22. The Patient Counseling Information statement must include one of the following three **bolded** verbatim statements that is most applicable:

If a product **does not** have FDA-approved patient labeling:

- **See 17 for PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION**

If a product **has (or will have)** FDA-approved patient labeling:

- **See 17 for PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION and FDA-approved patient labeling**
- **See 17 for PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION and Medication Guide**

Comment:

Revision Date in Highlights

- YES** 23. The revision date must be at the end of HL, and should be **bolded** and right justified (e.g., “**Revised: 8/2015**”).

Comment: Updated with 4/2016

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

Contents: Table of Contents (TOC)

See Appendix for a sample tool illustrating Table of Contents format.

- YES** 24. The TOC should be in a two-column format.
Comment:
- YES** 25. The following heading must appear at the beginning of the TOC: “**FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: CONTENTS.**” This heading should be in all UPPER CASE letters and **bolded**.
Comment:
- N/A** 26. The same title for the BW that appears in HL and the FPI must also appear at the beginning of the TOC in UPPER CASE letters and **bolded**.
Comment:
- YES** 27. In the TOC, all section headings must be **bolded** and should be in UPPER CASE.
Comment:
- YES** 28. In the TOC, all subsection headings must be indented and not bolded. The headings should be in title case [first letter of all words are capitalized except first letter of prepositions (for, of, to) and articles (a, an, the), or conjunctions (or, and)].
Comment:
- YES** 29. The section and subsection headings in the TOC must match the section and subsection headings in the FPI.
Comment:
- YES** 30. If a section or subsection required by regulation [21 CFR 201.56(d)(1)] is omitted from the FPI, the numbering in the TOC must not change. The heading “**FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: CONTENTS***” must be followed by an asterisk and the following statement must appear at the end of the TOC: “*Sections or subsections omitted from the full prescribing information are not listed.”
Comment:

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

Full Prescribing Information (FPI)

FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: GENERAL FORMAT

- YES** 31. The **bolded** section and subsection headings in the FPI must be named and numbered in accordance with 21 CFR 201.56(d)(1) as noted below. (Section and subsection headings should be in UPPER CASE and title case, respectively.) If a section/subsection required by regulation is omitted, the numbering must not change. Additional subsection headings (i.e., those not named by regulation) must also be **bolded** and numbered.

BOXED WARNING
1 INDICATIONS AND USAGE
2 DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION
3 DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS
4 CONTRAINDICATIONS
5 WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS
6 ADVERSE REACTIONS
7 DRUG INTERACTIONS
8 USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS
8.1 Pregnancy
8.2 Lactation (if not required to be in Pregnancy and Lactation Labeling Rule (PLLR) format, use "Labor and Delivery")
8.3 Females and Males of Reproductive Potential (if not required to be in PLLR format, use "Nursing Mothers")
8.4 Pediatric Use
8.5 Geriatric Use
9 DRUG ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE
9.1 Controlled Substance
9.2 Abuse
9.3 Dependence
10 OVERDOSAGE
11 DESCRIPTION
12 CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY
12.1 Mechanism of Action
12.2 Pharmacodynamics
12.3 Pharmacokinetics
12.4 Microbiology (by guidance)
12.5 Pharmacogenomics (by guidance)
13 NONCLINICAL TOXICOLOGY
13.1 Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility
13.2 Animal Toxicology and/or Pharmacology
14 CLINICAL STUDIES
15 REFERENCES
16 HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING
17 PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION

Comment:

- YES** 32. The preferred presentation for cross-references in the FPI is the section (not subsection) heading followed by the numerical identifier. The entire cross-reference should be in *italics* and enclosed within brackets. For example, “[*see Warnings and Precautions (5.2)*].”

Comment:

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

- N/A** 33. For each RMC listed in HL, the corresponding new or modified text in the FPI must be marked with a vertical line on the left edge.

Comment:

FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION DETAILS

FPI Heading

- YES** 34. The following heading “**FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION**” must be **bolded**, must appear at the beginning of the FPI, and should be in UPPER CASE.

Comment:

BOXED WARNING Section in the FPI

- N/A** 35. All text in the BW should be **bolded**.

Comment:

- N/A** 36. The BW must have a title in UPPER CASE, following the word “**WARNING**” and other words to identify the subject of the warning. (Even if there is more than one warning, the term, “**WARNING**” and not “**WARNINGS**” should be used.) For example: “**WARNING: SERIOUS INFECTIONS and ACUTE HEPATIC FAILURE**”. If there is more than one warning in the BW title, the word “and” in lower case can separate the warnings.

Comment:

CONTRAINDICATIONS Section in the FPI

- N/A** 37. If no Contraindications are known, this section must state “None.”

Comment:

ADVERSE REACTIONS Section in the FPI

- YES** 38. When clinical trials adverse reactions data are included (typically in the “Clinical Trials Experience” subsection), the following verbatim statement (or appropriate modification) should precede the presentation of adverse reactions from clinical trials:

“Because clinical (b) (4) are conducted under widely varying conditions, adverse reaction rates observed in the clinical (b) (4) of a drug cannot be directly compared to rates in the clinical (b) (4) of another drug and may not reflect the rates observed in practice.”

Comment:

- NO** 39. When postmarketing adverse reaction data are included (typically in the “Postmarketing Experience” subsection), the following verbatim statement (or appropriate modification) should precede the presentation of adverse reactions:

“The following adverse reactions have been identified during post-approval use of (insert drug name). Because these reactions are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is not always possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish a causal relationship to drug exposure.”

Comment: *The sponsor used the above sentences however in different order and included additional information*

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION Section in the FPI

- N/A** 40. Must reference any FDA-approved patient labeling in Section 17 (PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION). The reference statement should appear at the beginning of Section 17 and include the type(s) of FDA-approved patient labeling (e.g., Patient Information, Instructions for Use, or Medication Guide). Recommended language for the reference statement should include one of the following five verbatim statements that is most applicable:
- Advise the patient to read the FDA-approved patient labeling (Patient Information).
 - Advise the patient to read the FDA-approved patient labeling (Instructions for Use).
 - Advise the patient to read the FDA-approved patient labeling (Patient Information and Instructions for Use).
 - Advise the patient to read the FDA-approved patient labeling (Medication Guide).
 - Advise the patient to read the FDA-approved patient labeling (Medication Guide and Instructions for Use).

Comment:

- N/A** 41. FDA-approved patient labeling (e.g., Patient Information, Instructions for Use, or Medication Guide) must not be included as a subsection under Section 17 (PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION). All FDA-approved patient labeling must appear at the end of the PI upon approval.

Comment:

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

Appendix: Highlights and Table of Contents Format

HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

These highlights do not include all the information needed to use **PROPRIETARY NAME** safely and effectively. See full prescribing information for **PROPRIETARY NAME**.

PROPRIETARY NAME (non-proprietary name) dosage form, route of administration, controlled substance symbol
Initial U.S. Approval: YYYY

WARNING: TITLE OF WARNING

See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning.

- Text (4)
- Text (5.x)

RECENT MAJOR CHANGES

Section Title, Subsection Title (x.x) M/201Y
Section Title, Subsection Title (x.x) M/201Y

INDICATIONS AND USAGE

PROPRIETARY NAME is a (insert FDA established pharmacologic class text phrase) indicated for ... (1)

Limitations of Use: Text (1)

DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION

- Text (2.x)
- Text (2.x)

DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS

Dosage form(s): strength(s) (3)

CONTRAINDICATIONS

- Text (4)
- Text (4)

WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

- Text (5.x)
- Text (5.x)

ADVERSE REACTIONS

Most common adverse reactions (incidence > x%) are text (6.x)

To report **SUSPECTED ADVERSE REACTIONS**, contact name of manufacturer at toll-free phone # or FDA at 1-800-FDA-1088 or www.fda.gov/medwatch.

DRUG INTERACTIONS

- Text (7.x)
- Text (7.x)

USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS

- Text (8.x)
- Text (8.x)

See 17 for **PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION** and FDA-approved patient labeling **OR** and Medication Guide.

Revised: M/201Y

FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: CONTENTS*

WARNING: TITLE OF WARNING

1 INDICATIONS AND USAGE

2 DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION

2.1 Subsection Title

2.2 Subsection Title

3 DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS

4 CONTRAINDICATIONS

5 WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

5.1 Subsection Title

5.2 Subsection Title

6 ADVERSE REACTIONS

6.1 Clinical Trials Experience

6.2 Immunogenicity

6.2 or 6.3 Postmarketing Experience

7 DRUG INTERACTIONS

7.1 Subsection Title

7.2 Subsection Title

8 USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS

8.1 Pregnancy

8.2 Lactation (if not required to be in PLLR format use Labor and Delivery)

8.3 Females and Males of Reproductive Potential (if not required to be in PLLR format use Nursing Mothers)

8.4 Pediatric Use

8.5 Geriatric Use

8.6 Subpopulation X

9 DRUG ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE

9.1 Controlled Substance

9.2 Abuse

9.3 Dependence

10 OVERDOSAGE

11 DESCRIPTION

12 CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY

12.1 Mechanism of Action

12.2 Pharmacodynamics

12.3 Pharmacokinetics

12.4 Microbiology

12.5 Pharmacogenomics

13 NONCLINICAL TOXICOLOGY

13.1 Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility

13.2 Animal Toxicology and/or Pharmacology

14 CLINICAL STUDIES

14.1 Subsection Title

14.2 Subsection Title

15 REFERENCES

16 HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING

17 PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION

* Sections or subsections omitted from the full prescribing information are not listed.

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

RICHARD E WHITEHEAD
04/07/2016

MEMORANDUM

REVIEW OF REVISED LABEL AND LABELING

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (DMEPA)
Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM)
Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE)
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

Date of This Memorandum: January 29, 2016
Requesting Office or Division: Division of Metabolism and Endocrinology Products (DMEP)
Application Type and Number: NDA 206679
Product Name and Strength: Simvastatin Oral Suspension,
20 mg/5 mL and 40 mg/5 mL
Submission Date: January 27, 2016
Applicant/Sponsor Name: Rosemont Pharmaceuticals Limited, a Perrigo Company
OSE RCM #: 2015-1443-1
DMEPA Primary Reviewer: Nicole Garrison, PharmD, BCPS
DMEPA Team Leader: Yelena Maslov, PharmD

1 PURPOSE OF MEMO

Division of Metabolism and Endocrinology Products requested that we review the revised container label and carton labeling for Simvastatin Oral Suspension (Appendix A) to determine if it is acceptable from a medication error perspective. The revisions are in response to recommendations that we made during a previous label and labeling review.¹

2 CONCLUSION

The revised container label and carton labeling for Simvastatin Oral Suspension need further revisions from a medication error perspective. We have identified the following area of vulnerability to error in the revised container label and carton labeling:

¹ Garrison, N. Label and Labeling Review for Simvastatin Oral Suspension (NDA 206679). Silver Spring (MD): Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology, Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (US); 2015 SEPT 08. 10 p. OSE RCM No.: 2015-1443.

- The usual dosage statement is omitted from the carton labeling as required per 21 CFR 201.55.

3 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ROSEMONT PHARMACEUTICALS LIMITED, A PERRIGO COMPANY

We recommend the following be implemented prior to approval of this NDA:

- A. Carton labeling
 - 1. On the side panel combine the usual dosage and administration to state, "Usual Dosage and Administration: Read the Package insert before use. For oral administration."
 - 2. Remove the statement "[REDACTED] (b) (4)" as the intent of this statement is not clear.
- B. Container label
 - 1. See A.1 and revise the container label accordingly.

3 Page(s) of Draft Labeling have been Withheld in Full as b4 (CCI/TS) immediately following this page

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

NICOLE B GARRISON
02/11/2016

YELENA L MASLOV
02/17/2016

MEMORANDUM

REVIEW OF REVISED LABEL AND LABELING

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (DMEPA)
Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM)
Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE)
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

Date of This Memorandum: January 29, 2016
Requesting Office or Division: Division of Metabolism and Endocrinology Products (DMEP)
Application Type and Number: NDA 206679
Product Name and Strength: Simvastatin Oral Suspension,
20 mg/5 mL and 40 mg/5 mL
Submission Date: January 22, 2016
Applicant/Sponsor Name: Rosemont Pharmaceuticals Limited, a Perrigo Company
OSE RCM #: 2015-1443-1
DMEPA Primary Reviewer: Nicole Garrison, PharmD, BCPS
DMEPA Team Leader: Yelena Maslov, PharmD

1 PURPOSE OF MEMO

Division of Metabolism and Endocrinology Products requested that we review the revised container label and carton labeling for Simvastatin Oral Suspension (Appendix A) to determine if it is acceptable from a medication error perspective. The revisions are in response to recommendations that we made during a previous label and labeling review.¹

2 CONCLUSION

The revised container label and carton labeling for Simvastatin Oral Suspension need further revisions from a medication error perspective. We have identified the following area of vulnerability to error in the revised container label and carton labeling:

¹ Garrison, N. Label and Labeling Review for Simvastatin Oral Suspension (NDA 206679). Silver Spring (MD): Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology, Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (US); 2015 SEPT 08. 10 p. OSE RCM No.: 2015-1443.

- The usual dosage statement is omitted from the carton labeling as required per 21 CFR 201.55.

3 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ROSEMONT PHARMACEUTICALS LIMITED, A PERRIGO COMPANY

We recommend the following be implemented prior to approval of this NDA:

- A. Carton labeling
 - 1. On the side panel combine the usual dosage and administration to state, "Usual Dosage and Administration: Read the Package insert before use. For oral administration."
 - 2. Remove the statement [REDACTED] (b) (4) [REDACTED] as the intent of this statement is not clear.
- B. Container label
 - 1. See A.1 and revise the container label accordingly.

3 Page(s) of Draft Labeling have been Withheld in Full as b4 (CCI/TS) immediately following this page

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

NICOLE B GARRISON
01/29/2016

LUBNA A MERCHANT on behalf of YELENA L MASLOV
01/29/2016

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER PHYSICIAN'S LABELING RULE (PLR) FORMAT REVIEW OF THE PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

Complete for all new NDAs, BLAs, Efficacy Supplements, and PLR Conversion Labeling Supplements

Application: NDA 206679

Application Type: New NDA

Name of Drug/Dosage Form: Simvastatin Oral Suspension, 20 mg/5 mL and 40 mg/5 mL

Applicant: Rosemont Pharmaceuticals Limited, a Perrigo Company

Receipt Date: June 22, 2015

Goal Date: April 22, 2016

1. Regulatory History and Applicant's Main Proposals

The applicant has submitted an original NDA under the 505b2 regulatory pathway to market for Simvastatin, Oral Suspension, 20 mg/5 mL and 40 mg/5 mL. Labeling submitted in the June 22, 2015 submission required major revision. The applicant was asked as part of the 74 day letter, date August 24, 2015:

Your proposed prescribing information (PI) must conform to the content and format regulations found at 21 CFR 201.56(a) and (d) and 201.57. As you develop your proposed PI, we encourage you to review the labeling review resources on the PLR Requirements for Prescribing Information website including:

- The Final Rule (Physician Labeling Rule) on the content and format of the PI for human drug and biological products
- Regulations and related guidance documents
- A sample tool illustrating the format for Highlights and Contents
- The Selected Requirements for Prescribing Information (SRPI) – a checklist of 42 important format items from labeling regulations and guidances, and
- FDA's established pharmacologic class (EPC) text phrases for inclusion in the Highlights Indications and Usage heading.

During our preliminary review of your submitted labeling, we have identified the following labeling issues and have the following labeling comments or questions:

We recommend that your Highlights of Prescribing Information be limited to no more than one half page. Your proposed prescribing information (PI) must conform to the content and format regulations found at 21 CFR 201.56(a) and (d) and 201.57. Before you resubmit your proposed PI, we encourage you to review the labeling review resources on the PLR Requirements for Prescribing Information- a checklist of 42 important format items from labeling regulations and guidances. Prior to submitting your revised PI, use the SRPI checklist to ensure that the PI conforms to format items in regulations and guidances.

We request that you resubmit labeling (in Microsoft Word format) that addresses these issues by

RPM PLR Format Review of the Prescribing Information

September 15, 2015. The resubmitted labeling will be used for further labeling discussions. At the end of labeling discussions, use the SRPI checklist to ensure that the PI conforms to format items in regulations and guidances.

Please respond only to the above requests for information. While we anticipate that any response submitted in a timely manner will be reviewed during this review cycle, such review decisions will be made on a case-by-case basis at the time of receipt of the submission.

At the end of labeling discussions, use the SRPI checklist to ensure that the PI conforms to format items in regulations and guidances.

The labeling for this review was submitted on September 15, 2015

2. Review of the Prescribing Information

This review is based on the applicant's submitted Word format of the prescribing information (PI). The applicant's proposed PI was reviewed in accordance with the labeling format requirements listed in the "Selected Requirements for Prescribing Information (SRPI)" checklist (see the Appendix).

3. Conclusions/Recommendations

SRPI format deficiencies were identified in the review of this PI. For a list of these deficiencies see the Appendix.

In addition, the following labeling issues were identified:

1. no ½ page Highlights Waiver request has been submitted
2. Recent Major Changes (RMC) in Highlights should be removed
3. ADVERSE REACTIONS Section in the FPI, Postmarketing sentences need to be reversed and verbatim

All SRPI format deficiencies of the PI and other labeling issues identified above will be conveyed to the applicant as an advice letter. The applicant will be asked to correct these deficiencies and resubmit the PI in Word format by at time of labeling negotiations. The resubmitted PI will be used for further labeling review.

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

Appendix

The Selected Requirement of Prescribing Information (SRPI) is a 42-item, drop-down checklist of important format elements of the prescribing information (PI) based on labeling regulations (21 CFR 201.56 and 201.57) and guidances.

Highlights

See Appendix A for a sample tool illustrating the format for the Highlights.

HIGHLIGHTS GENERAL FORMAT

- YES** 1. Highlights (HL) must be in a minimum of 8-point font and should be in two-column format, with ½ inch margins on all sides and between columns.

Comment:

- NO** 2. The length of HL must be one-half page or less unless a waiver has been granted in a previous submission. The HL Boxed Warning does not count against the one-half page requirement. Instructions to complete this item: If the length of the HL is one-half page or less, select “YES” in the drop-down menu because this item meets the requirement. However, if HL is longer than one-half page, select “NO” unless a waiver has been granted.

Comment: no waiver request was provided to the application

- YES** 3. A horizontal line must separate HL from the Table of Contents (TOC). A horizontal line must separate the TOC from the FPI.

Comment:

- YES** 4. All headings in HL must be **bolded** and presented in the center of a horizontal line (each horizontal line should extend over the entire width of the column as shown in Appendix A). The headings should be in UPPER CASE letters.

Comment:

- YES** 5. White space should be present before each major heading in HL. There must be no white space between the HL Heading and HL Limitation Statement. There must be no white space between the product title and Initial U.S. Approval. See Appendix A for a sample tool illustrating white space in HL.

Comment:

- YES** 6. Each summarized statement or topic in HL must reference the section(s) or subsection(s) of the Full Prescribing Information (FPI) that contain more detailed information. The preferred format is the numerical identifier in parenthesis [e.g., (1.1)] at the end of each summarized statement or topic.

Comment:

- YES** 7. Section headings must be presented in the following order in HL:

Section	Required/Optional
• Highlights Heading	Required
• Highlights Limitation Statement	Required

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

• Product Title	Required
• Initial U.S. Approval	Required
• Boxed Warning	Required if a BOXED WARNING is in the FPI
• Recent Major Changes	Required for only certain changes to PI*
• Indications and Usage	Required
• Dosage and Administration	Required
• Dosage Forms and Strengths	Required
• Contraindications	Required (if no contraindications must state “None.”)
• Warnings and Precautions	Not required by regulation, but should be present
• Adverse Reactions	Required
• Drug Interactions	Optional
• Use in Specific Populations	Optional
• Patient Counseling Information Statement	Required
• Revision Date	Required

* RMC only applies to the BOXED WARNING, INDICATIONS AND USAGE, DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION, CONTRAINDICATIONS, and WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS sections.

Comment:

HIGHLIGHTS DETAILS

Highlights Heading

- YES** 8. At the beginning of HL, the following heading must be **bolded** and should appear in all UPPER CASE letters: “**HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION**”.

Comment:

Highlights Limitation Statement

- YES** 9. The **bolded** HL Limitation Statement must include the following verbatim statement: “**These highlights do not include all the information needed to use (insert name of drug product) safely and effectively. See full prescribing information for (insert name of drug product).**” The name of drug product should appear in UPPER CASE letters.

Comment:

Product Title in Highlights

- YES** 10. Product title must be **bolded**.

Comment:

Initial U.S. Approval in Highlights

- YES** 11. Initial U.S. Approval in HL must be **bolded**, and include the verbatim statement “**Initial U.S. Approval:**” followed by the **4-digit year**.

Comment:

Boxed Warning (BW) in Highlights

- N/A** 12. All text in the BW must be **bolded**.

Comment:

- N/A** 13. The BW must have a heading in UPPER CASE, containing the word “**WARNING**” (even if more than one warning, the term, “**WARNING**” and not “**WARNINGS**” should be used) and

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

other words to identify the subject of the warning (e.g., “**WARNING: SERIOUS INFECTIONS and ACUTE HEPATIC FAILURE**”). The BW heading should be centered.

Comment:

- N/A** 14. The BW must always have the verbatim statement “*See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning.*” This statement should be centered immediately beneath the heading and appear in *italics*.

Comment:

- N/A** 15. The BW must be limited in length to 20 lines (this includes white space but does not include the BW heading and the statement “*See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning.*”).

Comment:

Recent Major Changes (RMC) in Highlights

- N/A** 16. RMC pertains to only the following five sections of the FPI: BOXED WARNING, INDICATIONS AND USAGE, DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION, CONTRAINDICATIONS, and WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS. RMC must be listed in the same order in HL as the modified text appears in FPI.

Comment: *RMC section should be removed from current version*

- N/A** 17. The RMC must include the section heading(s) and, if appropriate, subsection heading(s) affected by the recent major change, together with each section’s identifying number and date (month/year format) on which the change was incorporated in the PI (supplement approval date). For example, “Warnings and Precautions, Acute Liver Failure (5.1) --- 9/2013”.

Comment:

- N/A** 18. The RMC must list changes for at least one year after the supplement is approved and must be removed at the first printing subsequent to one year (e.g., no listing should be one year older than revision date).

Comment:

Indications and Usage in Highlights

- YES** 19. If a product belongs to an established pharmacologic class, the following statement is required under the Indications and Usage heading in HL: “(Product) is a (name of established pharmacologic class) indicated for (indication)”.

Comment:

Dosage Forms and Strengths in Highlights

- N/A** 20. For a product that has several dosage forms (e.g., capsules, tablets, and injection), bulleted subheadings or tabular presentations of information should be used under the Dosage Forms and Strengths heading.

Comment:

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

Contraindications in Highlights

- YES** 21. All contraindications listed in the FPI must also be listed in HL or must include the statement “None” if no contraindications are known. Each contraindication should be bulleted when there is more than one contraindication.

Comment:

Adverse Reactions in Highlights

- YES** 22. For drug products other than vaccines, the verbatim **bolded** statement must be present: “**To report SUSPECTED ADVERSE REACTIONS, contact (insert name of manufacturer) at (insert manufacturer’s U.S. phone number) or FDA at 1-800-FDA-1088 or www.fda.gov/medwatch**”.

Comment:

Patient Counseling Information Statement in Highlights

- YES** 23. The Patient Counseling Information statement must include one of the following three **bolded** verbatim statements that is most applicable:

If a product **does not** have FDA-approved patient labeling:

- “**See 17 for PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION**”

If a product **has** FDA-approved patient labeling:

- “**See 17 for PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION and FDA-approved patient labeling**”
- “**See 17 for PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION and Medication Guide**”

Comment:

Revision Date in Highlights

- YES** 24. The revision date must be at the end of HL, and should be **bolded** and right justified (e.g., “**Revised: 9/2013**”).

Comment:

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

Contents: Table of Contents (TOC)

See Appendix A for a sample tool illustrating the format for the Table of Contents.

YES 25. The TOC should be in a two-column format.

Comment: Correct

YES 26. The following heading must appear at the beginning of the TOC: “**FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: CONTENTS**”. This heading should be in all UPPER CASE letters and **bolded**.

Comment:

N/A 27. The same heading for the BW that appears in HL and the FPI must also appear at the beginning of the TOC in UPPER CASE letters and **bolded**.

Comment:

YES 28. In the TOC, all section headings must be **bolded** and should be in UPPER CASE.

Comment:

YES 29. In the TOC, all subsection headings must be indented and not bolded. The headings should be in title case [first letter of all words are capitalized except first letter of prepositions (through), articles (a, an, and the), or conjunctions (for, and)].

Comment:

YES 30. The section and subsection headings in the TOC must match the section and subsection headings in the FPI.

Comment:

YES 31. In the TOC, when a section or subsection is omitted, the numbering must not change. If a section or subsection from 201.56(d)(1) is omitted from the FPI and TOC, the heading “FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: CONTENTS” must be followed by an asterisk and the following statement must appear at the end of TOC: “*Sections or subsections omitted from the full prescribing information are not listed.”

Comment:

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

Full Prescribing Information (FPI)

FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: GENERAL FORMAT

- YES** 32. The **bolded** section and subsection headings in the FPI must be named and numbered in accordance with 21 CFR 201.56(d)(1) as noted below (section and subsection headings should be in UPPER CASE and title case, respectively). If a section/subsection required by regulation is omitted, the numbering must not change. Additional subsection headings (i.e., those not named by regulation) must also be **bolded** and numbered.

BOXED WARNING
1 INDICATIONS AND USAGE
2 DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION
3 DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS
4 CONTRAINDICATIONS
5 WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS
6 ADVERSE REACTIONS
7 DRUG INTERACTIONS
8 USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS
8.1 Pregnancy
8.2 Labor and Delivery
8.3 Nursing Mothers
8.4 Pediatric Use
8.5 Geriatric Use
9 DRUG ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE
9.1 Controlled Substance
9.2 Abuse
9.3 Dependence
10 OVERDOSAGE
11 DESCRIPTION
12 CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY
12.1 Mechanism of Action
12.2 Pharmacodynamics
12.3 Pharmacokinetics
12.4 Microbiology (by guidance)
12.5 Pharmacogenomics (by guidance)
13 NONCLINICAL TOXICOLOGY
13.1 Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility
13.2 Animal Toxicology and/or Pharmacology
14 CLINICAL STUDIES
15 REFERENCES
16 HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING
17 PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION

Comment:

- YES** 33. The preferred presentation for cross-references in the FPI is the section (not subsection) heading followed by the numerical identifier. The entire cross-reference should be in *italics* and enclosed within brackets. For example, “[*see Warnings and Precautions (5.2)*]” or “[*see Warnings and Precautions (5.2)*]”.

Comment:

N/A

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

34. If RMCs are listed in HL, the corresponding new or modified text in the FPI sections or subsections must be marked with a vertical line on the left edge.

Comment:

FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION DETAILS

FPI Heading

- YES** 35. The following heading must be **bolded** and appear at the beginning of the FPI: “**FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION**”. This heading should be in UPPER CASE.

Comment:

BOXED WARNING Section in the FPI

- N/A** 36. In the BW, all text should be **bolded**.

Comment:

- N/A** 37. The BW must have a heading in UPPER CASE, containing the word “**WARNING**” (even if more than one Warning, the term, “**WARNING**” and not “**WARNINGS**” should be used) and other words to identify the subject of the Warning (e.g., “**WARNING: SERIOUS INFECTIONS and ACUTE HEPATIC FAILURE**”).

Comment:

CONTRAINDICATIONS Section in the FPI

- YES** 38. If no Contraindications are known, this section must state “None.”

Comment:

ADVERSE REACTIONS Section in the FPI

- YES** 39. When clinical trials adverse reactions data are included (typically in the “Clinical Trials Experience” subsection of ADVERSE REACTIONS), the following verbatim statement or appropriate modification should precede the presentation of adverse reactions:

“Because clinical (b)(4) are conducted under widely varying conditions, adverse reaction rates observed in the clinical (b)(4) of a drug cannot be directly compared to rates in the clinical (b)(4) of another drug and may not reflect the rates observed in practice.”

Comment:

- NO** 40. When postmarketing adverse reaction data are included (typically in the “Postmarketing Experience” subsection of ADVERSE REACTIONS), the following verbatim statement or appropriate modification should precede the presentation of adverse reactions:

“The following adverse reactions have been identified during post-approval use of (insert drug name). Because these reactions are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is not always possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish a causal relationship to drug exposure.”

Comment: *The applicant reversed sentences and not verbatim:*

Because the below reactions are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is generally not possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish a causal relationship to drug exposure. The following additional adverse reactions have been identified during

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

postapproval use of simvastatin: pruritus, alopecia, a variety of skin changes (e.g., nodules, discoloration, dryness of skin/mucous membranes, changes to hair/nails), dizziness, muscle cramps, myalgia, pancreatitis, paresthesia, peripheral neuropathy, vomiting, anemia, erectile dysfunction, interstitial lung disease, rhabdomyolysis, hepatitis/jaundice, fatal and non-fatal hepatic failure, and depression.

PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION Section in the FPI

- YES** 41. Must reference any FDA-approved patient labeling in Section 17 (PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION section). The reference should appear at the beginning of Section 17 and include the type(s) of FDA-approved patient labeling (e.g., Patient Information, Medication Guide, Instructions for Use).

Comment:

- YES** 42. FDA-approved patient labeling (e.g., Medication Guide, Patient Information, or Instructions for Use) must not be included as a subsection under section 17 (PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION). All FDA-approved patient labeling must appear at the end of the PI upon approval.

Comment:

Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

RICHARD E WHITEHEAD
09/17/2015