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## APPROVAL

## LETMER

Roxane Laboratories, Inc. Attention: Sue A. Touse P. O. Box 16532

OCT 3.1 1993.

Columbus, Ohio 43216
Dear Nadam:

This is in reference to your abbrevia+ed new drug applications dated Jariuary 6 (ANDA 74-312) and January 11 (ANDA 74-314) 1993. submittex pursuant to Section $505(j)$ ui whe Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, for Alprazolam Oral Solution.

Reference is also made to your amendments dated January 6 and 11 , August 19, September 23 and 29, October 15, 18 and 27, 1993.

We have completed the review of these $a$ ibreviated applications and have concluded, that the drugs are safe and effective ior use an recommended in the submitted labeling. Accordingly, the applications ar? approved. The Division of Bioequivalence has determined that your Alprazolam Oral Solution, 1mg/mL (Concentrate) and $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / 5 \mathrm{~mL}$, can be expected to have the same therapeutic efiect as that of the reference listed drug product which the Agency relied upon to establish safety and effectiveness (Xanax Tablets of Upjohn Company).

Under 21 CFR 314.70, certain changes in the cencitions described in these abbreviated applications require, an approved supplemental application before the change may be made.
Post-markeing reporting requirements for these abbreviated applications are set forth ji1 21 CFR 314.80-81. The Office of Generic Drugs should be adivised of any change in the marketing status of these cruas.

We request that you submit, in duplicate, any proposed advertising or promotional copy which you intend to use in your initial advertising or promotional campaigns. Please submit all propused materials in draft ur mock-up form, not final print. Submit both copies togcther with a copy of the proposed or final printed labaling to the Division of Drug Marketing, Advertising, and Communications (HFD-240). Please do not use Form FD-2253 (Transmittal of Advertisements and Promotional Labeling for Drugs for Human Use) for this initial submission.

We call your attention to 21 CFR 314.81 (o)(3) which requires that materials for any subsecuent acevertising or promotional campaign, at the time of their initial use, be submitted to our Division of Drug Marketing, Arivertising, and Communications (HFD-240) with a completed Form FD-2253.


CC: ANDA $74-312,74-314$
ANDA 774-312, 74-314/Division File
HFC-130/JA1len
HFD-600/Reading File
HFD-82
Endorsements:
HFD-630/N. Nashed/10-18-93, $/ N / 0 / 19|c| 3$
HFD-638/M. Gonitzke/19-19-93 moroti/u 10/19193
HFD-530/P.Schwartz, Ph.D./10-19-93 o $\checkmark 10 / 20 / 93$
HFD-630/J. Dawson/cSO/10-19-93 $\quad 70$ 10/20/93 Oewnin $9 / 23 / 9$
X: \Majors\ Dawson\74-312.AP2 F/T by ma 10-19-93 Approval

## LABELTNG

ALPRA OLAM ORAL SOLUTION 0.6 mg per 5 mL .
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 DELIVERS 5 mL
ALPRAZOLAM (iv) 0.5 mg per 5 mil


DELIVERS 5 mL
ALPRAZOLAM (V
0.5 mg per 5 ml c
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CHENIST"S REVIEW

1. CHEMISTRY REVIEN NO. 2
2. ANDA $\div$ 74-312
3. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT

Roxane Laboratories, Inc.
P.O. Box 165: 2

Columbus, Ohio 432.16
4. BASIS OF SUBMISSION

Roxane Laboratories, Inc. certifies that the existing patents for Alprazolam are patent No. 3987052 (expiration 10-19-93). patent No. 3980789 (expiration 9-14-93) and patent No. 4508726 (expiration 4-2-2002). In addition the firm certify the existence of an exclusivity with an expiration date of 11-6-93.
Roxane will not market the product for which this application is submitted until after patents 3987052 and 3980789 have expired.
Roxane Laboratories, Inc. states that the use patent no. 4508726 and the exclusivity do not claim any of the proposed indications in the labeling of this application. The reference listed drug, according to the available information for the uses claimed in this application, is not entitled to any period of exclusivity under section $505(j)(4)$ (D) of the act. The existing exclusivity (expiration 11-6-93) is for panic Disorder, for which this application does not claim.
7. NQNPROPRIETARY NAME

Alprazolam oral solution concentrate (Intensol)
9. AMENDMENTS AND OTHER DATES:

Original application January 6, 1993
Amendment 2/1/93
Amendment 8/19/93
Amendment 9/15/93
Amendment 9/23/93
Amendment 10/15/93
Amendment 10/18/93
10. PHARMACULOGTCAL CATEGORX 11. RX OR OTC

Antri-anxiety Rx
12. RELATED IND/NDA/DME (s)

DMF's
13. DOSAGE FORM

Concentrated oral solution
14. POTENCY
$1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$

## 15. CHEMICAL NAME AND STRUCTURE

4H-[1, 2,4$] \operatorname{Triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]benzodiazepine,~8-chloro-1-~}$
methyl-methyl-s-phenyl.
17. COMMENTS

## 18. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The application is approvable.
19. REVIEWER:

DATE COMPLETED:
Nashed E. Nashed, Ph.D.
10/18/93
Endorsed by P.Schwartz, Ph.D.
10/19/93

CC: ANDA *74-312
ANDA ${ }^{7} 74-312 /$ Qivision File
Endorsements:
HFU-630/N. Nashed $/ 10-18-93 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{N} / 0 / 20 / 93$
HFD-630/P. Schwartz, Ph.D./10-19-93 pJ $/ \mathrm{d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{c} 3$
X: $\backslash$ Majors $\backslash$ Nashed $\backslash 74-312.2$
F/T MM 10-19-93

# BIO/DISSOLUTION 

## REVIEW

Alprazolam Solution/Concentrate
ANDA \#74-312, $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, Intensol ${ }^{R}$ (Concentrate)
ANDA $\# 74-314,0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, Oral Solution
Reviewer: S. P. Shrivastava
WP 74312S. 193

Roxane I_aboratories, Inc.
Columbus, Ohio
Submission Date:
January 6, 1993
January 11, 1993

## Review of in vivo Bioequivalence Study

## I. Objective

The firm has submitted a three-way crossover bioavailability study comparing Roxane's alprazolam, $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ oral solution and $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Intensol ${ }^{R}$ (concentrate) comparing them with Xanax ${ }^{R}$ (Upjohn Co.), 1 mg tablets. Since there is no approved alprazolam solution on the market, these ANDAs represent the first gereric products. The firm is requesting approval of the products under Section 505(j)(2)(C) FFD\&CA. Roxane has two separate approved petitions, Docket \# 92P-0050/CP2 and 92P0050/CP1, dated December 15/21, 1992, for the two products.

## II. Introduction

Alprazolam is triazolo-analog of 1,4 -henzodiazepine class of central nervous system active compounds. It is white crystailine powder, which is soluble in methanol, or ethanol but has no appreciable solubility in water at physiological pH .

The product presumably exerts its effect by binding at stereospecific receptors at several sites within the CNS system. Its mechanism of action is unknown. Clinically, all benzodiazepines cause a dose related central nervous system depressant activity varying from mild impairment of task performance to hypnosis.

Following oral administration, alprazolam is readily absorbed. Peak concentrations in plasma occurs in 1-2 hours post-dosing. Plasma levels are proportionate to the dose given. Over the dose range of $0.5-3 \mathrm{mg}, 8-37 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ were observed. The halflife of alprazolam has been found to be 11.2 hrs . (range: $6.3-26.9 \mathrm{hrs}$ ) in healthy adults. An initial dose of $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{mg}$ TD is recommended for anxiety patients.

Major metabolites of alprazolam are: $\alpha$-hydroxy-alprazolam and benzophenone. Biologically, $\alpha$-hydroxy-alprazolam is only $50 \%$ active and benzophenone is inactive. Plasma levels of these metabolites are extremely low, and their half-lives are similar to the alprazolam (PDR, 1992).
III. Protocol \# 10327: Dated 1/7/92. The document was initialed $1 / 17 / 92$ by the PI.

Laboratory/Site
Clinical:
Analytical:

## Investigator(s)

Principal Investigator:
IRB Approval: Document was approved by IRB on $1 / 30 / 92$ with modifications. Written Informed Consent Forms: Dated 4/1/92; approved by IRB 4/6/92.
Study Design: Single dose, thiee-way, randomized, cross over design, with three period and six phases, under fasting conditions. Subjects: 30; there were no additions, and 29 subjects completed the study. Healthy male subjerts with ideal body weight $\pm 10 \%$. and ages between 19-50 years were recruited.

Subjects were without any medication, inclucing aspirin or OTC for at least two weeks prior to the study and until after the completion of the study. The subjects fasted for 10 hours pricr to dosing, and for 5 hours pest-dosing. A standardized meals were served and continiued until 36 hours post-dosing. Water was provided ad libitum during the 10 hour fast and the one hour post-dosing period. The wash-out period was 7 days.

## Restrictions

- No drugs including OTC preparations or aspirin.
- No alcoholic beverages from 48 hours pre-dosing until 48 hours post-dosing.
- No xanthine or caffeine containing foods and beverages for 24 hours prior to dosing until after the completion of the study.


## Exclusion Criteria

- Subjects with history of epilepsy or seizures, glaucoma, psychosis, mental depression, or asthma; serious cardiovascular, pulmonary, hepatic, renal,
- hematopoietic, or GI tract disease; and alcohol or drug abuse as evidenced by medical examination within 30 days.
- Minimum screening/check-in blood pressure of $100 / 60 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$.
- Subjects with pertinent clinical test results outside the normal range.
- Subjects with history of allergic response to alprazolam or any other benzodiazepines.


## Treatment

Test Drug; Test A. Orai solution, $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ : Lot \# 929003 Lot size: , Date of Manuf. 4/32; Potency: $100.7 \%$
Test B. Intensol ${ }^{\mathbb{R}}$ (Concentrate), $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, Lot \#919076, Lot Size - ; Date of Manuf. 1/92, Potency - 100.9\% Dose: 1 mg active ingredient; Oral solution 10 mL and Intensol ${ }^{\mathbf{R}}$ 1 mL administered orally.

Reference Drug: C. Xanax ${ }^{\text {R', }} 1 \mathrm{mg}$ tablets; Lot \# 204 YH; Exp.Date 1/92 Manufacturer: Upjohn Co. Dose: one tablet/patient.

2 Page (s) Redacted

## Pharmacokinetic Parameters

- Pharmacokinetic parameters are given in Tables 1-6.
- ANOVA analysis did not show any significant treatment or sequerice effect on $A \cup C_{0,0}$, and $A U C_{a-\infty}$. However, there was a significant sequence effect on $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}$, and treatment effect on $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$. The firm has not offered any explanation for these effects.
- The test/reference ratios for all PK parameters (average) for the products were within 0.95-1.16 (Tables 2-3). The $\mathrm{T}_{A} / \mathrm{R}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}} / \therefore$ ratios for $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ were 0.63 and 0.65 , respectively.
- The $90 \%$ CIs for $\mathrm{AUC}_{0,1}, \mathrm{AUC}_{0 . \infty}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\max }$ were within $80-120 \%$ (Tables . $1-3$ ).
- Dar- for all 29 subjects were used in the computation of PK parameters. Subject \# 3 did not return for phase II of the study and was dropped put.
- Ratios of individual $\mathrm{AUC}_{0 .-} / \mathrm{AUC}_{0 . \infty}$ averaged 0.94 (range: 0.90-0.96), 0.94 (0.84-0.97), and 0.94 ( $0.88-0.97$ ) for alprazolam oral solution, alprazolam
- concentrate (Intensol ${ }^{\top}$ ), and Xanax ${ }^{\mathrm{R}}$, respectively.
* A reanalysis of data on SAS indicated correctly reported elimination constants, AUCs, $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}$, anj Cmax values (Tables 4-6).
- The individual $T / R$ ratics for $A U C s$ and $C_{\max }$ were between 0.57-1.47.
- The individual $T / R$ ratios for $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ for oral solution and concentrate were 0.8 hour (range, 0.2-2.0 hours) and 0.9 hour (range, $0.25-2.0$ hours), respectively. It indicates a shorter $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ for both test products as compared to the reference product.

Table 1. Mean (\%CV) Pharmacokinetic Parameters ( $n=29$ )

| Paramete: | Test- ${ }^{*}$ | Test-B* | Ratio, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | 90\% CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AUC }_{\mathrm{or}}, \\ & \mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{Hr} / \mathrm{mL} \end{aligned}$ | 217.3 (30.5) | 227.9 (28.6) | 0.98 | 92.8-102.2 |
| $\mathrm{AUC}_{\text {wins }}$ ng. Hr/mL | 229.9 (29.8) | 236.7 (27.6) | 0.97 | 92.6-101.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }} \mathrm{rg} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 16.9 (14.4) | 16.9 (16.3) | 1.00 | 94.4-105.8 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{Hr}$ | 0.73 (61.8) | 0.75 (54.9) | 0.98 |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}, \mathrm{Hr}$ | 11.3 (23.9) | 11.6 (23.8) | 0.97 |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{Hr}^{-i}$ | 0.064 22.3) | $0^{n} 62$ (20.2) | 1.03 |  |

Table 2. Mean (\%CV) Pharmacokinetic Parameters ( $\mathrm{n}=29$ )

| Parameter | Test-A* | Reference (C) | Ratio, $\mathrm{T}_{\boldsymbol{A}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}}$ | 90\% Cr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{AUC}_{0 . T}$, ng. $\mathrm{Br} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 217.3 (30.5) | 221.4 (30.7) | 0.98 | 93.4-102.9 |
| $A^{\prime} C_{\text {atar }}$ ng. $\mathrm{Hr} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 229.5 (29.8) | 235.7 (31.0) | 0.98 | 92.9-102.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}$, $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}{ }^{\text {- }}$ | 16.9 (14.4) | 17.1 (20.5) | 0.99 | 93.0-104.3 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{Hr}$ | 0.73 (61.8) | 1.15 (64.2) | 0.63 |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3,3}, \mathrm{Hr}$ | 11.3 (23.9) | 11.3 (30.0) | 1.00 |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{Hr}^{-1}$ | 0.064 (22.3) | 0.065 (22.8) | 0.98 |  |

* Test-A $=$ Oral solution; Test $\mathbf{B}=$ Concentrates Solution (Intensol ${ }^{\mathbb{R}}$ ); Reference (C) $=$ Xanax ${ }^{\mathbf{R}}$ tablets.

Table 3. Mean (\%CV) Pharmacokinetic Parameters ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{2 9 \text { ) }}$

| Parameter | Te\%-B | Reference (C) | Ratio, $\mathbf{T}_{\text {L }} / \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}$ | 90\% CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{AUC}_{a}$, ng.Hr/mL | 222.9 (28.6) | 221.4 (30.7) | 1.01 | 95.9-105.3 |
| AUC $_{0 \text { raf, }}$ ng. $\mathrm{Hr} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 236.7 (27.6) | 235.7 (31.0) | 1.00 | 95.7-104.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 16.9 (16.3) | 17.1 (20.5) | 0.99 | 92.9-104.1 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{max}}, \mathrm{Hr}$ | 0.75 (54.9) | 1.15 (64.2) | 0.65 |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{12}, \mathrm{Hr}$ | 11.6 (23.8) | 11.3 (30.0) | 1.03 |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{Hr}^{-1}$ | 0.062 (20.2) | 0.065 (22.8) | 0.95 |  |

Table 4. Teat Product/Referance Product PK Parameter Ration


Table 5. Statistica on the Test/Reference PK Parameter Ratios

| M Obe | Variable | $N$ | Minimam | Maximar | Mean | std Der |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | Raur 12 | 29 | 0.6472674 | 1.3846518 | 0.9787714 | 0.1596839 |
|  | RALCi12 | \% | 0.6746981 | 1.3205672 | 0.9743327 | 0.1521540 |
|  | RCuaxi2 | 29 | 0.7317073 | 1.3887925 | 1.0161918 | 0.1595368 |
|  | Rthaxiz | 2 | 0.2500090 | 4.0000000 | 1.1385057 | 0.7664739 |
|  | RAUCT13 | 29 | 0.6595321 | 1.1978358 | 0.9883847 | 0.1273695 |
|  | Raucits | 29 | 0.6248399 | 1.2059469 | 0.9846257 | 0.1274049 |
|  | Ranaxi3 | 29 | 0.6972112 | 1.3875969 | 1.0058372 | 0.1566181 |
|  | RTmax 13 | 29 | 0.2000000 | 2.0000000 | 0.7913793 | 0.4040315 |
|  | Rujctes | 29 | 0.8274353 | 1.2291335 | 1.0197262 | 0.1127492 |
|  | Rauci23 | 29 | 0.8089281 | 1.2216172 | 1.0187910 | 0.1074991 |
|  | R ${ }^{\text {cmax }} 23$ | 29 | 0.5657371 | 1.4748201 |  | 0.2009585 |
|  | RTMAX23 | 29 | 0.2000000 | 4.0000000 | 0.9045977 | 0.758338 |

$1=$ Test-A ZxTest-y 3-Ref-C
The format, 1,2 or 12, dernotes Trt $\$ 1$ vs. Trt $\$ 2$

Table 6. 90x confiderice intervals

| AUACI | 92.50 | 101.85 | 92.88 | 102.15 | 95.66 | 104.93 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AUCT | 92.84 | 102.24 | 93.401 | 102.85 | 95.88 | 105.33 |
| cmax | 94.44 | 105.84 | 93.04 | 104.27 | 92.90 | 104.13 |
| Lluci | 92.421 | 100.54 | 93.57 | 101.79 | 97.07 | 105.59 |
| Lauct | 92.62 | 109.00 | 93.85 | 102.34 | 97.03 | 105.81 |
| lcmax | 95.14 | 106.08 | 94.231 | 105.071 | 93.80 | 104.591 |

## Blood/Plasma/Serum

- The lower limit of quantitation $0.5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ was properly validated.
- The average test/reference ratios fc: plasma concontration during 0.5-48 hours varied between 0.91-1.22 and 0.96-1.12), respectively, for oral solution and concentrate (Table 7). The ratios are normally higher for the initial samples and lower for the final samples, indicating a shift in the test plasma concentration-time curve towards left.

TABLE 7. Mean Plasma Concentration at Each Sampling Time Point ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 9}$ )

$M_{\_}$Conc1 $=$Test $A, M_{1}$ Conc2 $=$ Test $B$, and $M_{1} C o n c 3=$ Reference (Trt C) plasuna concentration, in ng/mL. Ratios1_2, 1_3, and 2_3 denote ratios for Trt A/B, Trt A/C, and Trt B/C, respectively.

## Adverse Reactions

Adverse reactions are given in Table 8. Drowsiness definitely appears to be related to the test product, and it appears to be equally common to all three products.

Table 8 Adverse, Reactions

| REACTION | TEST <br> ORAL SOL. | TEST <br> INTENSOL $^{R}$ | REF. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tired | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Frontal Headache | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Headache | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Dizzy | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Sleepy | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Drowsy | 10 | 17 | 13 |

Formulation: See Table 9.

TABLE 9. Comparison of Test and Reference Product Formulations (Not for release under FOI)

|  | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Amount (mg/mL) | Amount, mg/Tablet |  |  |
| Strength (mg) | Oral Sol | Intensol $^{\mathrm{R}}$ | Ref. |
| Alprazolam | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

** $\quad$ Listed as ingredients without quantitative or purity information.
** $\quad$ May be varied from $0.75-2.0 \%(w / w)$ to facilitate compression

## Labeling:

Intensol ${ }^{\mathbf{R}}$ is a concentrated oral liquid, and the directions for administration call for mixing measured volume of Intensol $^{\mathbf{R}}$ with unspecified volume/amount of liquid or semi-solid food, e.g.,
water, juice, soda, soda-like beverages, other liquid, applesauce, pudding, or other semi-solid food. In the current study, the weighed volume of the dose was administered orally by syringe, followed by 240 mL of water. The firm needs to demonstrate that mixing procedures, and addition of other liquids or semi-solids do not interfere with the equivalent bioavailability of the drig product.

The $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ for the test products range between $0.25-2.0$ hours. The chemist should note the change in $\mathrm{T}_{\max }$ values, and incorporate the desired information in the lable. $\mathrm{C}_{\max }$ and $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}$ values for the test products are comparable to the innovator's product, and are correct as labeled.

## VI. Comments

1. The $90 \%$ CIs for $\mathrm{AUC}_{2,}, \mathrm{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\max }$ were within $80-120 \%$.
2. There was a significant sequence effec: on $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}$, and treatment effect on $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$. The firm has not offered any explanation for these effects.
3. The firm is recommending administration of Intensol ${ }^{\mathbb{R}}$ with unspecified volume/amount of liquid or semi-solid, e.g., water, juice, soda, other liquids, applesauce, pudding or other semi-solid food. However, the firm should demonstrate or present evidence that the administration of the test product with recommended liquids and semi-solids does not affect its bioavailability.
4. The firm has submitted chromatogram tracings for subjects \# 8, 12, 16, 20, 23, and 26. The Agency requires chromatographic raw data for $20 \%$ of the subjects in sequence (e.g. first, middle, or last six subjects in sequence). The firm should provide the chromatographic raw data for subjects \# 21, 22, 24 and 25 to complete the evaluation.
5. The $T_{\text {max }}$ for the test products range between $0.25-2.0$ hours. Th: emist should note the $\mathrm{T}_{\max }$ values in the label, and make any modifications to i wrate the desired information.

## VII. Recommendations

1. The single dose bioavailability study conducted by Roxane Laboratories on alprazolam $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ oral solution, Lot \# 929003, and alprazolam $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ concentrated solution (Intensol ${ }^{\text { }}$ ), Lot \# 919076, comparing them to Upjohn's Xanax ${ }^{\text {R }}$ tablets, 1 mg , Lot \# 204 YH , has been found incomplete by the Division of Bioequivalence because of the deficiencies cited in comments $\# 2-4$.

The firm should be informed of the comments \#2-4, and recommendations.
S. P. Shrivastava, Ph.D.

Division of Bioequivalence
Review Branch II


Concur: VCainiéant M. Mhate Date: $8 / 4 / 83$
R. M. Mhatre, Ph.D.

Acting Director
Division of Bioequivalence
SPS/sps/6-17-93/74312S. 193
cc: ANDA \#74-312 (Original, Duplicate) HFD-600 (DHare), HFD-630, HFC-130 (JAllen), HFD-344 (CViswanathan), HFD-655 (Patnaik, Shrivastava), HFD-340, Drug File.

$$
F
$$

Alprazolam Solution/Concentrate
(ANDA $\# 74-312.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, Intensol ${ }^{R}$ (Concentrate)
ANDA ${ }^{\text {\# }} 74$-314, $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, Oral Solution
Reviewer: S. P. Shrivastava
WP 743120.993

Roxane Laboratories, Inc.
Columbus, Ohio
Submission Date:
September 15, 1993

## Review of in vivo Bioequivalence Study Correspondence

## I. Background

The firm had submitted a three-way crossover bioavailability study comparing Roxane's alprazolam, $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ oral solution and $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Intensol ${ }^{\mathbb{R}}$ (concentrate) with Xanax ${ }^{\text {R }}$ (Upjohn Co.), 1 mg tablets (Submission dates, January 6 and 11, 1993). Since theac is no approved alprazolam solution on the market, these ANDAs represented the first generic products. The firm had requested approval of the products under Section 505(j)(2)(C) FFD\&CA.

The review was completed (Re: review by Shrivastava, 8/6/93), and deficiencies were cited. The firm has responded to the deficiencies.

## II. Rc anse to Agency's Comments

Comment 1: There was a significant sequence effect on $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}$, and treatment effect on $T_{\text {max. }}$. The firm has not offered any explanation for these effects.

Response: The significant sequence effect for $C_{\text {max }}$ apparently resulted from difierences in extent of absorption for the subjects that were randomized into different sequence groups. Statistical analyses showed no sequence effert due to age, race, frame size, height, or smoking status. A significant sequence effect was observed for body weight ( $\alpha=0.05$ ). There was an inverse relationship between mean body weight, and mean $\mathrm{C}_{\max }$ for the sequence.

The mean $\mathrm{T}_{\max }$ for the solution, concentrate and tablets were $0.75,0.76$, and 1.16 hours, respectively. The tablet dose (Upjohn) had a significantly longer $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ than either solution or concentrate (Roxane). This probably is due to dissolution time required for the tablets.

Reviewer: The explanation is acceptable.
Comment 2: The firm is recommending administration of Intensol ${ }^{R}$ with unspcified volume/amount of liquid or semi-solid, e.g., water, juice, soda, other liquids, applesauce, pudding or other semi-solid food. However, the firm should demonstrate or present evidence that the administration
of the test product with recommended liquids and semi-solids does not affect its bioavailability.

Response: Although no evidence of bioavailability with recommended liquids or semi-solids was provided, the water soluble nature of the drug and ingredients, and the fact that the product is to be administered immediately after mixing, would not affect the bioavailability.

Reviewer: Alprazolam is not appreciably soluble in water at physiological pH (PDR), and is practically insoluble in water (Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences). O'her pertinent information are: Alprazolam is readily absorbed. The absorption is slower when taken with food, however, the total absorption is unchanged. In vitro, alprazolam is $80 \%$ bound to protein, and protein binding is independent of concentration. In the biostudy, the administration of product was followed by 240 mL of water.

The Division of Labeling Review may look into the issue concerning the dosage administration portion of labeling for this product.

Comment 3: The firm has submitted chromatogram tracings for subjects \#8, 12, 16, 20, 23, and 26. The Agency requires chromatographic raw data for $20 \%$ of the subjects in sequence (e.g. first, middle, or last six subjects in sequence). The firm should provide the chromatographic raw data ior subjects $\# 21,22, .4$ and 25 to complete the evaluation.

Response: The firm has enclosed the chromatograms for subjects \#21, 22, 24, \& 25 .
Reviewer: The data is appropriate and acceptable.

## III. Recommendations

The single dose bioavailability study conducted by Roxane Laboratories on alprazolam 0.1 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ oral solution, Lot \# 929003, and $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ concentrated solution (Intensol ${ }^{\mathbb{R}}$ ), Lot \# 919076, comparing it to Upjohn's Xanax ${ }^{\mathbb{R}}$ tablets, 1 mg , Lot $\# 204 \mathrm{YH}$, has been found acceptable by the Division of Bioequivalence.

S. P. Shrivastava, Ph.D. Division of Bioequivalence
Review Branch II

## RD INITIALED NTRAN FT INTIIALED NTRAN <br> $\cdots(101 /)^{\prime} \quad$ Date 10116



## Rabindra N. Patnaik, Ph.D. Acting Director <br> Division of Bioequivalence

SPS/sps/9-28-93/743120.993
c: ANDA \#74-312 (Original, Duplicate), HFD-600 (DHare), HFD-630, HFC-130 (JAllen), HFD-344 (CViswanathan), HFD-655 (Tran, Shrivastava), HFD-340,
Drug File.
APPENDIX-1
table 1: alprazolans sersm concentrations
aritmatic means $\pm$ stamdard deviation

| aritmentic means a stamdard deviation (ng/ml) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (hours) | ROXAME soution Test Product 1 | Roxave Test | COWCEWTRATE Product 2 | UPJOM TABLET Reference | $\begin{gathered} \text { Retio } \\ \text { Test-1/Test-? } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Test-1/Ref. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Test-2/Ref. } \end{gathered}$ | Significance* |
| 15 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  | 0.000 | - | -. | -. | - |
| 0.25 | $6.27 \pm 4.59$ | 4.77 | $\pm 3.96$ | $3.26 \pm 4.03$ | 1.31 | 9.92+ | 1.46 | p<0.05 |
| 0.5 | 15.8 $=3.69$ | 14.5 | $\pm 4.91$ | 13.0 $=6.53$ | 1.09 | 1.22 | 1.12 | M.s. |
| 0.75 | $15.0 \pm 2.34$ |  | $\pm 2.90$ | $16 \pm 4.62$ | 0.98 | 1.03 | 1.06 | M.s. |
| 1 | 14.3 : 2.58 | 14.4 | - 2.53 | 13.8 $\pm 3.90$ | 0.9 | 1.04 | 1.04 | M.s. |
| 1.25 | 13.6 : 2.63 | 13.5 | $=2.56$ | $13.5 \pm 3.07$ | 1.0 : | 1.01 | 1.00 | W.s. |
| 1.5 | $13.3 \pm 2.72$ | 13.4 | $=2.30$ | $13.2 \pm 2.43$ | 0.99 | 1.01 | 1.02 | n.s. |
| 1.75 | $12.9 \pm 2.36$ | 13.1 | \% 2.00 | 13.6:2.06 | 0.98 | $0.95+$ | 0.95 | peo. 05 |
| 2 | $12.7 \pm 2.34$ | 13.6 | $\pm 2.53$ | $13.9=2.16$ | 0.93 | $0.91+$ | 0.98 | pro.05 |
| 2.5 | $12.3=2.38$ |  | $\pm 2.02$ | $13.5: 2.10$ | 0.95 | 0.914 | 0.96 | pro. 05 |
| 3 | $11.7=2.20$ | 12.4 | $=1.99$ | $12.7=2.33$ | $0.94+$ | 0.92+ | 0.98 | p<0.05 |
| 4 | 11.5:2.79 | 11.5 | : 1.89 | 11.8 * 1.99 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.97 | H.s. |
| 6 | $9.61: 1.85$ | 9.76 | : 1.86 | 9.85 $=1.85$ | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.99 | M.s. |
| 9 | $7.79=3.85$ | 7.76 | = 1.60 | $8.67 \pm 1.61$ | 1.01 | 0.97 | 0.9 | n.s. |
| 12 | $6.35=1.64$ | 6.31 | $\pm 1.70$ | $6.41: 1.69$ | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1.02 | n.s. |
| 24 | $3.14 \pm 1.22$ | 3.32 | $\pm 1.30$ | $3.31 \pm 1.34$ | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | n.s. |
| 36 | 1.49:0.846 | 1.57 | $\pm 0.807$ | $1.68 \pm 8.875$ | 0.95 | 1.01 | 1.06 | n.s. |
| 48 | $0.653=0.690$ | 0.662 | $\pm 0.669$ | 0.701:0.769 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.97 | N.s. |
| 60 | $0.244=0.390$ | 0.253 | $=0.453$ | $0.211=0.492$ | 0.96 | 1.16 | 1.20 | W.s. |
| 72 | $0.059 \pm 0.225$ | 0.086 | $\pm 0.265$ | $0.103=0.324$ | 0.69 | 0.57 | 0.83 | H.s. |

[^0]table 2: pharuacorinetic parameters arithertic means a stamard deviatsom alprazolar - serur


[^1]
## APPENDIX

TABLE 3: MMARHACOKINETIC PARAMETERS LEAST SOUARES MEAKS \& STAMDARD ERROR
alprazolam - SERLM


[^2]
# TABLE 4: ALPRAZOLN SERUM PHARMACOKINETIC PARAMETERS stwor power amo 90\% COMFIDEMCE imtervals 



The fower of the study to detect a 20x difference in parmeters as stetiseically sienificant ( $e=0.05$ ) and the $90 \%$ confidence intervals about the ratios of the teet/refermee meens wore calculated using least equares moeng fros the analysis of varience.




[^0]:    *Besed on rype III test from the malysiz of veriance, not estusting poir-xise comporisona ( $a=0.05$ ).

    + Significant difference with Bonferroni multiple comporisons $t$-test (a=0.05).

[^1]:    *eseed on type III test from the anelysis of variance, not evaluetine poipawise comparisons (a=0.05).
    tsignificent difference with Bonferroni multiple comperisonc t-teat ( $=0.05$ ).

[^2]:    

