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Symbols

Note
Important information or advice.

Caution (no harm)
Special care to be taken to ensure safety and effectiveness.
Could cause damage to equipment.

Warning (harmful)
Potential safety hazards and serious adverse reactions.
Could cause harm to person.

This document contains important information such as indications and contraindications that apply to the Cochlear™ Nucleus® cochlear implant system.
Contents

Device description ............................................................................................ 5
  Implanted component ................................................................................ 5
  External components ................................................................................. 5

Intended use ...................................................................................................... 6

Indications .......................................................................................................... 6
  Bilateral sensorineural hearing loss ......................................................... 6
    Adults ...................................................................................................... 6
    Children ............................................................................................... 7
  Unilateral Hearing Loss (UHL) / Single Sided Deafness (SSD)................. 8
    Adults and children .............................................................................. 8

Contraindications ............................................................................................. 9

Warnings .......................................................................................................... 10
  Medical treatments generating induced currents, heat or vibration ........ 10
    Electrosurgery ...................................................................................... 10
    Diathermy ........................................................................................... 10
    Neurostimulation .................................................................................. 11
    Electroconvulsive therapy ................................................................... 11
    Ionising radiation therapy .................................................................... 11
    Therapeutic ultrasound ....................................................................... 11
    Paediatrics .......................................................................................... 11
  MRI safety information ............................................................................ 12
  Adverse environments .............................................................................. 13
  Loss of residual hearing .......................................................................... 13
  Small parts hazard .................................................................................... 13
  Head trauma ............................................................................................ 13
  Rechargeable batteries ........................................................................... 14
  Overheating ............................................................................................. 14
Precautions .................................................................................................................. 15
  Theft and metal detection systems ................................................................. 16
  Electrostatic discharge (ESD) ................................................................. 16
  Mobile telephones ............................................................................ 16
Adverse effects ................................................................................................. 17
  Meningitis .......................................................................................... 18
Results of clinical studies .................................................................................. 19
  Summary of safety data ........................................................................... 19
    Adults .......................................................................................... 19
    Children .................................................................................... 20
  Summary of effectiveness data ............................................................. 23
    Adults .......................................................................................... 23
    Children .................................................................................... 33
Clinical considerations ....................................................................................... 36
  Neural Response Telemetry (NRT™) ..................................................... 36
Other information ............................................................................................... 37
  Patient counselling ........................................................................... 37
    Preoperative counselling ............................................................... 37
  Storage, handling and sterilisation ........................................................ 37
  Information for use and recommended training ...................................... 38
Device description

Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant systems are designed to provide useful hearing. The system works by converting sound in the environment into electrical code. It transmits this code to the auditory nerve and on to the brain where it is interpreted as sound.

The Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant system has implanted and external components.

Implanted component

The cochlear implant is surgically implanted under the skin behind the ear. It includes a receiver/stimulator to receive and decode the electrical signals and an electrode array to deliver these signals to the cochlea.

External components

The external components include a sound processor with associated accessories and cables.

The system is programmed by a Cochlear proprietary programming software.

For information on compatibility between implants and sound processors refer to the Custom Sound® User Guide.
Intended use

The Cochlear Nucleus CI512 cochlear implant is a prescription only, single use device intended for long term implantation under the skin in the mastoid region of either side of the head.

Indications

The cochlear implant is intended to restore a level of auditory sensation via electrical stimulation to the auditory nerve. Both adults and paediatrics are candidates for cochlear implantation. There is an indication for adult and paediatric candidates with bilateral sensorineural hearing loss and an indication for adult and paediatric candidates with unilateral hearing loss or single sided deafness.

Bilateral sensorineural hearing loss

Adults

The Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system is intended for use in individuals aged 18 years and older who have bilateral, prelinguistic, perilinguistic or postlinguistic sensorineural hearing loss and compromised functional benefit with appropriately fit amplification.

These individuals typically have moderate to profound hearing loss in the low frequencies and profound (≥ 90 dB HL) hearing loss in the mid to high speech frequencies. Limited benefit from amplification is defined by test scores of 50% correct or less in the ear to be implanted (60% or less in the best-aided listening condition) on recorded tests of open set sentence recognition.
Children

The Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system is intended for use in children 9 months to 24 months of age who have bilateral profound sensorineural hearing loss and demonstrate limited benefit from appropriate bilateral hearing aids.

Children 2 years of age or older may demonstrate severe to profound hearing loss bilaterally. In younger children, limited benefit is defined as lack of progress in the development of simple auditory skills in conjunction with appropriate amplification and participation in intensive aural habilitation over a 3 month to 6 month period. It is recommended that limited benefit be quantified on a measure such as the Meaningful Auditory Integration Scale or the Early Speech Perception test. In older children, limited benefit is defined as ≤ 30% correct on the open set Multisyllabic Lexical Neighborhood Test (MLNT) or Lexical Neighborhood Test (LNT), depending upon the child’s cognitive and linguistic skills. A 3 month to 6 month hearing aid trial is recommended for children without previous aided experience.
Indications

Unilateral Hearing Loss (UHL) / Single Sided Deafness (SSD)

Adults and children

The Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system is indicated for individuals with unilateral hearing loss who meet the following criteria:

• Individuals 5 years or older who have one ear with a severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss and obtain limited benefit from an appropriately fitted unilateral hearing device and one ear with normal or near normal hearing.
  – In the ear to be implanted, a severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss defined as a PTA at 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz and 4000 Hz of > 80 dB HL.
  – In the contralateral ear, normal or near normal hearing is defined as a PTA at 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz and 4000 Hz ≤ 30 dB HL.

• Limited benefit from an appropriately fit unilateral hearing device is defined as a score of less than or equal to 5% on a Consonant Nucleus Consonant (CNC) word test. For individuals between 5 years and 18 years of age, insufficient functional access to sound in the ear to be implanted must be determined by aided speech perception test scores of 5% or less on developmentally appropriate word lists when tested in the ear to be implanted alone.

• It is recommended that prior to cochlear implantation, individuals with SSD have at least two (2) weeks to one (1) month experience wearing an appropriately fitted Contralateral Routing of Signal (CROS) hearing aid or another suitable hearing device.
Contraindications

A Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant is not suitable for individuals with the following conditions:

- Absence of cochlea development
- Absence of a cochlear nerve
- Active middle ear infections
- Tympanic membrane perforation in the presence of active middle ear disease.

For individuals with single sided deafness the following contraindications are also applicable:

- Duration of profound sensorineural hearing loss greater than ten years.

Note

- For patients who meet the indication and have an acoustic neuroma, cochlear implantation should be considered simultaneously or following removal of pathology.
- In certain cases, such as congenital single-side deafness, the presence of a cochlear nerve should be confirmed by an MRI examination prior to surgery.
- Outcomes are more variable for children with congenital single-sided deafness who are over the age of 5.
Warnings

Medical treatments generating induced currents, heat or vibration

Some medical treatments generate induced currents that may cause tissue damage or permanent damage to the implant. Before initiating any of the following treatments deactivate the device.

Warnings for specific treatments are provided below.

Electrosurgery

Electrosurgical instruments are capable of inducing radio frequency currents that could flow through the electrode array. Monopolar electrosurgical instruments must not be used on the head or neck of an implant patient as induced currents could cause damage to cochlear tissues or permanent damage to the implant. Bipolar electrosurgical instruments may be used on the head and neck of patients; however, the cautery electrodes must not contact the implant and should be kept more than 1 cm (½ in) from the extracochlear electrodes.

Diathermy

Do not use therapeutic or medical diathermy (thermopenetration) using electromagnetic radiation (magnetic induction coils or microwave). High currents induced into the electrode lead can cause tissue damage to the cochlea or permanent damage to the implant.

Medical diathermy using ultrasound may be used below the head and neck.
Warnings

Neurostimulation
Do not use neurostimulation directly over the implant. High currents induced into the electrode lead can cause tissue damage or permanent damage to the implant.

Electroconvulsive therapy
Do not use electroconvulsive therapy on an implant patient under any circumstances. Electroconvulsive therapy may cause tissue damage or damage to the implant.

Ionising radiation therapy
Do not use ionising radiation therapy directly over the implant. It may cause damage to the implant.

Therapeutic ultrasound
Do not use therapeutic levels of ultrasound energy directly over the implant. It may inadvertently concentrate the ultrasound field and cause tissue damage or damage to the implant.

Paediatrics
To reduce the risk of anaesthetic-related adverse events, a paediatric anaesthesiologist should be present during surgery for infants implanted under 12 months of age.
MRI safety information

The Cochlear Nucleus CI512 implant is MR Conditional. MRI examinations can be performed safely on a person with this implanted device only under very specific conditions. MRI examinations performed under different conditions may result in severe patient injury or device malfunction.

Full MRI safety information is available:
• in the Cochlear Nucleus Implants MRI Guidelines
• by visiting www.cochlear.com/warnings
• by calling your regional Cochlear office -- contact numbers are available on the back cover of this guide.

All external components of the Cochlear implant system (e.g. sound processors, remote assistants and related accessories) are MR Unsafe. The patient must remove all external components of their Cochlear implant system before entering a room where an MRI scanner is located.
Adverse environments

The operation of the cochlear implant system may be adversely affected in environments of high magnetic field strength and high electric field strengths (e.g. close to high power commercial radio transmitters).

Seek medical advice before entering any environment that may adversely affect the operation of your implant (including areas protected by a warning notice preventing entry by patients fitted with a pacemaker).

Loss of residual hearing

Insertion of the electrode into the cochlea may result in complete loss of residual hearing in the implanted ear.

Small parts hazard

Parents and caregivers should be counselled that the external implant system contains small parts that may be hazardous if swallowed or may cause choking if ingested or inhaled.

Head trauma

A blow to the head in the area of the cochlear implant may damage the implant and result in its failure. Young children who are developing their motor skills are at greater risk to receive an impact to the head from a hard object (e.g. a table or chair).

Impact to external components (e.g. sound processor, acoustic component) while being worn could result in damage to the device or injury.
Warnings

Rechargeable batteries

In certain circumstances, rechargeable batteries can become VERY HOT, and could cause injury. Remove your processor immediately if it becomes unusually warm or hot, and seek advice from your clinician. Parents and caregivers should touch their child’s or recipient’s processor to check for heat if the child or recipient is showing signs of discomfort. Rechargeable batteries should NEVER be worn beneath clothing (including scarves and headwear covering the ears). The rechargeable battery should not be used by patients who cannot remove the device by themselves, or notify a caregiver that the device has become hot.

Overheating

Remove your processor immediately if it becomes unusually warm or hot, and seek advice from your clinician. Parents and caregivers should touch their child’s or recipient’s processor to check for heat if the child or recipient is showing signs of discomfort.

The manufacturer only recommends the use of zinc air batteries as they have been determined to be safe in recommended use conditions and provide an appropriate power source for the sound processor.

The CP810 and CP900 Series sound processors are not intended to be used with silver oxide batteries. In some circumstances, the use of these batteries could result in severe burns. A dangerous amount of heat can be generated by these batteries in conditions where heat cannot dissipate, especially if the device is being held against the skin by clothing or a retention device. In addition, use of silver oxide batteries may damage your processor.
Precautions

If you experience a significant change in performance or the sound becomes uncomfortable, turn off your processor and contact your implant centre.

Use the implant system only with the approved devices and accessories listed in the user guide.

Your processor and other parts of the system contain complex electronic parts. These parts are durable but must be treated with care. The opening of your processor by anyone other than Cochlear’s qualified service personnel invalidates the warranty.

Each processor is programmed specifically for each implant. Never wear another person’s processor or lend yours to another user.

If you have two processors (one for each ear), always wear the processor programmed for your left ear on the left, and the processor programmed for your right ear on the right. Using the wrong processor could result in loud or distorted sounds that, in some instances, may cause extreme discomfort.

Do not operate or store your processor at temperatures other than those recommended in the user instructions supplied with your processor.

Your processor’s sound quality may be intermittently distorted when you are within approximately 1.6 km (~1 mile) of a radio or television transmission tower. Additional sources of interference include, but are not limited to:

• Security systems
• Industrial machinery and power systems
• Mobile communications equipment (including cellular telephones)
• Certain kinds of hand-held, two-way radios (including Citizen Band, Family Radio Service, and Amateur Band).

To reduce or eliminate the interference, move away from the source. If your processor stops working, turn the power switch off and then back on. This effect is temporary and will not damage your processor.
Precautions

Theft and metal detection systems

Devices such as airport metal detectors and commercial theft detection systems produce strong electromagnetic fields. Some cochlear implant recipients may experience a distorted sound sensation when passing through or near one of these devices. To avoid this, turn off your processor when in the vicinity of one of these devices.

The materials used in the cochlear implant may activate metal detection systems. For this reason, recipients should carry the Cochlear Implant Patient Identification Card with them at all times.

Electrostatic discharge (ESD)

A discharge of static electricity can in rare cases damage the electrical components of the cochlear implant system or corrupt the program in your processor.

If static electricity is present (e.g. when putting on or removing clothes over the head or getting out of a vehicle), cochlear implant recipients should touch something conductive (e.g. a metal door handle) before the cochlear implant system contacts any object or person.

Prior to engaging in activities that create extreme electrostatic discharge (ESD), such as playing on plastic slides, the processor should be removed. Clinicians should use an anti-static shield on the computer monitor when programming a cochlear implant recipient.

Mobile telephones

Some types of digital mobile telephones, e.g. Global System for Mobile communications (GSM) as used in some countries, may interfere with the operation of the external equipment. As a result, implant recipients may perceive a distorted sound sensation when in close proximity, 1-4 m (~3-12 ft), to a digital mobile telephone in use.
Adverse effects

Prospective Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant recipients should be advised of the following possible effects of receiving an implant:

• Normal risks associated with surgery and general anaesthesia.
• Increased surgical and anaesthetic risks for certain populations.
• Complications most frequently associated with this surgical procedure - stimulation of the facial nerve, taste disturbance, and tinnitus.
• Complications that may require additional medical treatment, surgery, and/or removal of the device, such as:
  – Acute Otitis Media (AOM)
  – Facial nerve injury leading to temporary facial nerve weakness
  – Perilymph fistula
  – Concurrent Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) leakage
  – Vestibular dysfunction
  – Subdural injury
  – Subcutaneous haematoma
  – Irritation, inflammation or breakdown of the skin flap; infection; and in some cases, extrusion of the device caused by the presence of a foreign body under the skin
  – Decreased hearing ability caused by the electrode array migrating partially or completely out of the cochlea
  – Perforation of external ear structures, such as the tympanic membrane or canal wall, by the electrode lead
  – Perception of non-auditory sensations and poorer performance than expected from misplacement of the electrode array.
Adverse effects

- Electrical stimulation may result in increased tinnitus, temporary facial nerve stimulation, temporary dizziness, or temporary pain.
- The long-term effects of electrode insertion trauma or chronic electrical stimulation are unknown. Such effects may include new bone growth in the cochlea or deterioration of the nerve cells. These effects may preclude replacement of the electrode array or may lead to eventual deterioration of cochlear response.
- Failure of component parts (both external and internal) could result in the perception of an uncomfortably loud sound sensation, intermittent sound, or no sound.
- Failure of various component parts of the implanted device could require removal or replacement of the implant, or a reduction in the number of electrodes used.

Meningitis

Before implantation, candidates should consult their primary care physician and implanting surgeon regarding vaccination status against micro-organisms that cause meningitis.

Meningitis is a known risk of inner ear surgery and candidates should be appropriately counselled of this risk. Certain preoperative conditions may increase the risk of meningitis with or without an implant. These conditions include:

- Mondini’s syndrome and other congenital cochlear malformations
- CSF shunts or drains
- recurrent episodes of bacterial meningitis before implantation
- perilymph fistulas and skull fracture/defect with CSF communication

For information on the use of vaccines to prevent meningitis in persons with cochlear implants refer to: https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vpd/mening/hcp/dis-cochlear-gen.html
Results of clinical studies

Summary of safety data

The following information summarises adverse events for adults and children implanted with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system. Safety data apply to all patients receiving a cochlear implant and are not specific to individuals with bilateral sensorineural hearing loss or single sided deafness/unilateral hearing loss.

Adults

Adult safety data are based on a total of 133 patients implanted with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant during the adult clinical investigation at 27 US sites. Twenty patients experienced either a medical/surgical or device-related complication.

Eleven of the 20 complications were medical/surgical in nature and the remaining nine were device-related. Eighteen of the 20 adverse events resolved without surgical or extensive medical intervention.

Medical/Surgical complications

One patient experienced device migration which required revision surgery to reposition the device. One patient experienced a wound haematoma which required minor surgery to resolve. One patient experienced a slightly compressed electrode array and the surgeon elected to remove the device and replace it with a second one during the initial surgery. Four patients experienced facial nerve stimulation. All cases of facial nerve stimulation were resolved through reprogramming. Two patients experienced tinnitus related to cochlear implant use. One case resolved without intervention and the second case was resolved through reprogramming. One patient experienced short-term postoperative dizziness which resolved without medical treatment. One patient experienced fluctuating psychophysical levels related to a relatively thick (10+ mm) skin flap. This case was resolved through replacement of external equipment.
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Device-related complications

No device failures or other serious device malfunctions occurred during this study. Four patients experienced electrode insulation faults (short circuits) that were resolved through reprogramming. Two patients were inadvertently overstimulated during device programming and one patient reported a nonauditory sensation during device programming. Two patients experienced a mild skin reaction to the processor cable. These were resolved completely with topical medical treatment.

Children

Paediatric safety data are based on a total of 234 children implanted with the Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implants for two clinical investigations.

For the first clinical investigation, 150 children were implanted with Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implants. Twenty four patients experienced 27 medical, surgical or device related complications. Nine of the 27 complications were medical or surgical in nature and the remaining 18 were device-related. Twenty four of the complications resolved without surgical or extensive medical intervention.
Medical/Surgical complications

For the first study, one postmeningitically deafened child with bilaterally ossified cochleae failed to experience auditory stimulation through the fully functional cochlear implant. One patient developed streptococcal meningitis less than 24 hours following cochlear implant surgery. The infection was successfully managed with medical treatment. One patient experienced a wound infection that was resolved through surgical explantation of the device. One patient experienced extracochlear electrode placement related to a congenital malformation of the inner ear. This complication was resolved through surgical explantation of the device. Two patients experienced slight compression of the electrode array which resulted in two short-circuited electrodes in one case and no electrode anomalies in the other. The case with electrode short circuits was resolved through reprogramming. One patient experienced facial nerve stimulation related to a severe congenital malformation of the inner ear. This complication was resolved through reprogramming, however, the patient continues to experience occasional slight facial nerve stimulation. Two patients experienced mild short-term postoperative dizziness. Both cases resolved without medical intervention.

Device-related complications

No device failures or other serious device malfunctions were observed during the first study. Thirteen patients experienced electrode faults (short-circuit or open-circuit electrodes) on one or more electrodes. All of these cases were resolved through reprogramming. One patient experienced non-auditory sensations during psychophysical testing. This case was resolved through reprogramming. One patient experienced an unanticipated overstimulation. This complication was resolved through replacement of external equipment.

Three patients experienced mild skin reactions to the processor cable. One case was resolved through covering the cable, one case was resolved through an alternative polyurethane coating of the cable, and one case resolved spontaneously without intervention.

---

1 Medical/surgical complications would be classified today as a procedure related adverse event.
Additional summary of safety for children

Cochlear performed a prospectively-designed, retrospective analysis from its own registry data to establish a reasonable assurance of safety of implantation with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system for paediatric patients aged 9 months to 12 months. The retrospective review of 84 children that were between 9 months and 12 months of age and implanted with Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implants was completed for this analysis. Twenty four patients experienced 28 medical or surgical complications and 26 of the complications were resolved without major surgical or medical intervention. Device-related complications (i.e. electrode faults) were not captured in this study. Six patients experienced minor postoperative complications, 4 of which were resolved without medical intervention. Two patients experienced cerebral spinal fluid leakage perioperatively. These were repaired during the cochlear implant surgery, and one patient required a revision surgery with reimplantation. Two patients experienced postoperative infections including mastoiditis, post-auricular abscess, and surgical site infection. All the infections were medically managed. Two patients developed seromas and one of these patients was reimplanted. Two patients experienced temporary facial weakness which resolved with steroid administration. There were no reports of postoperative meningitis. Overall, the above adverse events are typical surgical, procedure or device events observed in children implanted in relatively young age.

Additionally, in February 2020, Cochlear performed a systematic literature search in PubMed and EMBASE databases to assess safety of implantation with a Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant in infants aged between 9 months and 12 months. A multi-step literature search process resulted in a final set of studies (49 peer-reviewed articles) representing additional relevant research on cochlear implantation for patients less than 12 months old. Safety studies that included children implanted at less than 12 months old covered a broad range of topics from surgical complications including anaesthesia and blood loss, to postoperative pain and dizziness, wound healing problems, and infections. The research literature reviewed on surgical and postoperative outcomes reported specific to the population under the age of 12 months at implantation did not identify an elevated incidence of complications.
Summary of effectiveness data

The following information summarises effectiveness data for adults and children implanted with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system.

Adults

Unilateral Hearing Loss (UHL) / Single Sided Deafness (SSD)

Cochlear analysed retrospective data to demonstrate the effectiveness of cochlear implantation in adults with SSD. For the data analysed, the ear to be implanted had a profound sensorineural hearing loss (PTA of 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz and 4000 Hz) ≥ 70 dB HL, and an aided CNC word score of ≤ 10%. The contralateral ear had normal or near normal hearing (PTA 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz, and 4000 Hz) ≤ 30 dB HL.

This study was a prospective analysis of previously collected data from a Cochlear sponsored multicentre prospective feasibility study and real world data.

The feasibility study had ten participants (N=10). The real world data was collected from two cochlear implant centres who had data available for thirty two participants (N=32). Data was analysed for a total of 42 participants.

Effectiveness testing included speech recognition testing using:
- Hearing in Noise Test (HINT)
- Bamford Kowal Bench Sentences in Noise test (BKB-SIN).

Patient reported outcomes were evaluated with the Speech, Spatial, and Qualities (SSQ) Questionnaire and the Iowa Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire. Audiometric thresholds were also obtained for each ear.
Results of clinical studies

Description of Tests

Hearing in Noise Test (HINT)

The Hearing in Noise Test or HINT (Nilsson et al., 1994) is a test made up of 25 10-sentence lists used to test how well an individual understands in noise. The sentences are presented in noise which is filtered to match the long-term average spectrum of the sentences. The HINT is an adaptive test whereby the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is increased or decreased by a fixed amount based on the listener’s ability to repeat the sentences correctly or not.

Bamford Kowall Bench Sentences in Noise test (BKB-SIN)

The BKB-SIN Test (Etymotic Research, 2005) includes 18 lists of sentences. The sentences are spoken by a single male talker, are 5-6 words in length and are at a 1st grade reading level. The sentences are presented in noise using 4-talker babble. The test starts out easy where the sentences are presented much louder than the noise and depending on a listener’s ability to correctly repeat the words in the sentence, the sentences are either made softer or louder until a level is reached where 50% of the words in a sentence are repeated correctly.

Localisation Testing

Localisation is the ability to tell where a sound is coming from. Localisation testing was assessed by delivering a noise from one of 12 locations. The locations are numbered one through 12 on a response sheet, from right to left. The sound comes from a speaker positioned to represent an arc from 97.5° (on the right) to 262.5° (on the left) of the participant. There is a 15° separation between each speaker. The participant selects one number to indicate the perceived location of the sound.
Speech, Spatial, and Qualities (SSQ) Questionnaire

The SSQ is a validated self-assessment metric commonly used in hearing aid and cochlear implant research. It is designed to measure self-reported auditory disability across a wide variety of domains, reflecting the reality of hearing in the everyday world. There are 49 questions (SSQ-49) scored by the participant using a scale of 0 through 10, where 0 corresponded to minimal ability and 10 corresponded to complete ability. There are three specific hearing domains assessed:

Speech hearing scale – This includes hearing speech in quiet and in noise, in one-on-one conversation and in groups or meetings.

Spatial hearing scale – This includes hearing where sounds are coming from, distance, movement, and ability to segregate sounds.

Qualities of sound scale – This includes ease of listening, naturalness, clarity, identification of different speakers, musical pieces and instruments, as well as everyday sounds.

Iowa Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire

The Iowa Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire was used to assess tinnitus. Tinnitus was assessed before and after the cochlear implant was turned on. There are 27 questions that fall into 3 factors:

Factor 1 examines social, physical and emotional wellbeing.

Factor 2 examines hearing abilities.

Factor 3 examines an individual’s view of tinnitus.
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Speech recognition results

The primary and secondary effectiveness objectives and endpoints of the study are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary effectiveness objective</th>
<th>Primary effectiveness endpoints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To evaluate if the restoration of hearing sensation in both ears results in improved spatial hearing for speech in noise, when the target and competing signals are spatially separated.</td>
<td>1. The improvement in sentences in noise scores obtained postactivation in the bimodal listening condition (CI + NH) compared to scores obtained preoperatively in the best listening condition (normal hearing alone or normal hearing + hearing aid) when the speech is presented from the front and noise to the normal hearing ear (S0NNH). The improvement in group and individual bimodal (CI + NH) sentence in noise scores compared to scores obtained postoperatively with the NH ear alone (CI off) when speech is presented from the front and noise is presented to the NH configuration (S0NNH).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary effectiveness objectives</th>
<th>Secondary effectiveness endpoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To evaluate if the restoration of hearing sensation in both ears results in improved spatial hearing for locating sound sources in the horizontal plane.</td>
<td>Group and individual bimodal (CI + NH) localisation scores (Root Mean Square or RMS error) will be compared with NH ear alone (CI off) scores at the most recent postactivation evaluation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Summary of study effectiveness objectives and endpoints
Co-primary effectiveness endpoint 1: Bimodal (CI + NH) performance relative to preoperative performance

Twenty three (23/42) participants had preoperative and postactivation data and were included in the analysis.

As shown in Table 2, when speech was presented from the front speaker and noise to the normal hearing ear (SN0NH), there was a postactivation improvement in the bimodal listening condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) compared to the best preoperative listening condition. On average, participants experienced an improvement of 2.8 dB, (95% confidence interval, -4.1 to -1.4). A negative value connotes benefit with a cochlear implant for this test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence recognition in noise</th>
<th>Preoperative (HA + NH alone)</th>
<th>Postactivation (CI + NH)</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD Median (IQR)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD Median (IQR)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD Median (IQR)</td>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HINT/BKB SIN SN0NH</td>
<td>0.9 ± 3.3 0.6 (-1.0, 2.7)</td>
<td>-1.9 ± 2.6 -1.6 (-3.1, -1.0)</td>
<td>-2.8 ± 3.1 -2.5 (-4.3, -1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Co-primary endpoint 1: Speech understanding in noise preoperative to postactivation (SN0NH) (N=23)
Results of clinical studies

Co-primary effectiveness endpoint 2: Bimodal (CI + NH) performance relative to NH ear alone (CI off) performance postoperatively

Table 3 summarises the results for 38 participants, who had data available postactivation comparing performance in the bimodal listening condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) compared to performance in normal hearing (NH) ear alone condition (cochlear implant off). The postactivation interval ranged from 3 months to 86 months with a mean of 20 months. Improvement was found in the bimodal condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) compared to normal hearing alone (cochlear implant off) for speech understanding in noise (S0NNNH). Participants on average experience a 1.5 dB improvement (95% confidence interval, -2.1 to -0.9) in the bimodal condition compared to listening with the normal hearing ear alone. A negative value connotes benefit with a cochlear implant for this test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Postactivation (CI off NH alone)</th>
<th>Postactivation (CI on + NH)</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD Median (IQR)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD Median (IQR)</td>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentence recognition in noise HINT/BKB SIN S0NNNH</td>
<td>-0.7 ± 2.3 (-1.2, 1.0)</td>
<td>-2.2 ± 2.5 (-1.9, -1.0)</td>
<td>-1.5 ± 1.8 (-1.6, -1.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Statistical summary for co-primary effectiveness endpoint 2: Bimodal (CI + NH) performance relative to NH ear alone (CI off) performance postoperatively (N=38)
These analyses support that both co-primary endpoints were met for this study, namely:

- For speech understanding in noise, when the speech is presented from the front speaker and noise is presented to the normal hearing ear, there was a significant improvement of 2.8 dB, (95% confidence interval, -4.1 to -1.4) postactivation at the most recent evaluation in the bimodal (cochlear implant + normal hearing) listening condition compared to preoperative hearing performance.

- For speech understanding in noise, when the speech is presented from the front speaker and noise is presented to the normal hearing ear, there was a significant difference at the most recent evaluation interval in the bimodal (cochlear implant + normal hearing) listening condition compared to NH alone (cochlear implant off). Mean improvement was 1.5 dB (95% confidence interval, -2.1 to -0.9).

In examining individual subject performance, it was found in the preoperative best bilateral listening (hearing aid + normal hearing/normal alone) to postactivation (cochlear implant + hearing aid) comparison that:

- 18/23 (78%) participants demonstrated a clinically meaningful pre-post improvement of 1.0 dB (10% improvement), with a range of -1.2 to -9.5 dB, (note that a negative score connotes improvement),

- 3/23 (13%) scored equal to their preoperative performance, with a range in difference scores from 0.0 dB to +0.8 dB and

- 2/23 (9%) participants had a difference score ≥ +1.0 dB, consistent with a decline in performance.
When comparing performance postactivation in the bimodal condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) (cochlear implant on) compared to normal hearing ear alone (cochlear implant off), it was found that:

• 25/38 (66%) demonstrated a clinically meaningful improvement with cochlear implant on of 1.0 dB (10% improvement) with a range of -1.0 dB to -6.2 dB, (note that a negative score connotes improvement),

• 11/38 (30%) scored equal to their normal hearing alone score, with a range of difference scores from -0.7 dB to +0.8 dB and

• 2/38 (5%) participants had a difference score > +1.0 dB, consistent with a decline in performance.

In the clinical study, it was found that 8/38 (21%) experienced a decrease in speech understanding in noise when speech was presented from the front speaker and noise was directed to the cochlear implant side, suggesting potential interference of the overlapping electric and acoustic signal in bilateral hearing. Additionally, a few published studies (Speck et al., 2020, Deep et al., 2021, and Zeitler et al., 2019) reported that there was a low incidence of cochlear implant nonuse presumably because of lack of perceived benefit of the cochlear implant. Given these results, it is reasonable to conclude that a small number of recipients experience perceptual interference of overlapping acoustic and electric bilateral hearing.

Cochlear performed subgroup analyses to examine the consistency of co-primary effectiveness endpoints. The following subgroups were examined: gender, median age at implant, median duration of hearing loss at baseline, etiology of hearing loss, evaluation interval, median baseline/preoperative speech in noise score, median baseline CI off speech in noise score, and preoperative pure tone average (PTA).
Results indicated that the only baseline characteristics that affected the primary endpoint 1 were 1) duration of hearing loss, 2) etiology of hearing loss and 3) pre-operative speech in noise score. The mean score for participants below or equal to the median duration of hearing loss of 2 years was significantly poorer than that for duration of hearing loss above 2 years. It was found that those participants with an etiology of sudden sensorineural hearing loss performed significantly better than those with Meniere’s disease or the other group. This result should be interpreted with caution as the majority of etiologies were classified as other. It was found that those participants who had poorer preoperative speech in noise scores demonstrated significantly greater improvement.

For coprimary effectiveness endpoint 2, the only baseline characteristic that affected the endpoint was baseline speech in noise for the spatial configuration SONNH, obtained in the CI off condition (NH alone). Participants with poorer speech understanding in noise (>1.2 dB) in the CI off condition demonstrated significantly more improvement in the bimodal listening condition (CI + NH).

There were no differences in the consistency of primary endpoints across investigational sites.

**Secondary effectiveness endpoint**

Twenty four participants had localisation data available for analysis. Table 4 summarises the results on the localisation test showing the root mean square (RMS) error. The RMS error was significantly improved by 18.8 degrees, in the bimodal condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) compared to the normal hearing (cochlear implant off) ear alone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CI Off Mean ± SD</th>
<th>CI Off Median (IQR)</th>
<th>CI On Mean ± SD</th>
<th>CI On Median (IQR)</th>
<th>Difference Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Difference Median (IQR)</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
<th>1-sided p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Localisation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RMS error)</td>
<td>54.3 ± 16.8</td>
<td>35.5 ± 16.7</td>
<td>-18.8 ± 16.1</td>
<td>-26.7 , -11.8</td>
<td>(-25.6, -12.0)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52.2 (41.8, 63.0)</td>
<td>33.0 (26.4, 44.5)</td>
<td>-18.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Localisation outcomes (N=24)
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Patient reported outcomes

There were 14 participants who completed the SSQ preoperatively and 10 participants who completed it at 6 months postactivation. As shown in Table 5, there was a significant mean improvement on each subscale, with the biggest difference found on the Spatial Hearing subscale. Preoperative to postactivation mean differences were significant based on paired t-tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>6 months postoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech &amp; Hearing</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.26 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Hearing</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.19 ± 1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound Qualities</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.24 ± 1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.56 ± 1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                        | N | Mean ± SD | Median (IQR) | 95% confidence interval | 1-sided p-value (mean difference > 0) |
|------------------------| N | Median (IQR) | 1-sided p-value (mean difference > 0) |
|                        | N | Mean ± SD | Median (IQR) | 95% confidence interval | 1-sided p-value (mean difference > 0) |
| Speech & Hearing       | 10 | 2.09 ± 1.59 | 2.15 (1.00, 2.60) | (0.95, 3.23) | 0.001 |
| Spatial Hearing        | 10 | 2.38 ± 1.34 | 2.70 (0.70, 3.30) | (1.42, 3.34) | < 0.001 |
| Sound Qualities        | 10 | 1.04 ± 1.24 | 1.05 (0.50, 1.70) | (0.15, 1.93) | 0.013 |
| Total                  | 10 | 1.84 ± 1.17 | 1.80 (1.20, 2.50) | (1.00, 2.68) | < 0.001 |

Table 5: Preoperative to 6 month postactivation statistical outcomes for the SSQ49

Iowa Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire

Preoperative and postactivation data were available for 10 participants. At 6 months postoperative, 6 of the 9 (67%) participants with preoperative to postactivation scores reported an improvement in their tinnitus. At 12 months, 7/10 (70%) participants reported an improvement in their tinnitus.
Results of clinical studies

Children

Effectiveness of the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system in older children (5 years and above) was assessed by comparing the speech perception abilities of 23 prelinguistically and postlinguistically deafened participants preoperatively in the best-aided condition (implanted ear aided, non-implanted ear aided or binaurally aided) with their postoperative performance in the implanted ear alone, after 6 months of device use. Postoperatively, the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system was programmed to implement the SPEAK speech processing strategy. Recorded versions of various paediatric speech perception measures were presented at 70 dB SPL. Individual subject results were analysed using a binomial statistical model and group means were analysed using paired t-tests and the non-parametric Wilcoxon Signed Ranks tests.

Of the children 5 years of age and older who were capable of being tested on open set word recognition tasks:

- 61% (14/23) demonstrated significant improvement on the Glendonald Auditory Screening Procedure (GASP)
- 44% (10/23) demonstrated significant improvement on the MLNT
- 57% (13/23) demonstrated significant improvement on the LNT
- 48% (11/23) demonstrated significant improvement on the Phonetically-Balanced Kindergarten (PBK) monosyllabic word test.

Group mean performance was significantly higher after 6 months of experience with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system, on all 11 measures of speech perception administered to children 5 years of age and older. These measures ranged from simple closed-tests to more difficult open set word and sentence recognition tests.
Device effectiveness for older children also was assessed through parental ratings of their child's auditory behaviours in a variety of everyday listening situations on the Meaningful Auditory Integration Scale (MAIS). For 19 children, preoperative ratings in the best-aided condition (implanted ear aided, non-implanted ear aided or binaurally aided) were compared with postoperative ratings after 6 months of implant use. Ratings describing the frequency of occurrence of the child's auditory behaviours ranged from 0 (Never) to 4 (Always). Results were analysed as the proportion of children rated who demonstrated the specific behaviour either 'frequently' or 'always'.

After 6 months of experience with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system:

- 83% (15/18) of the children frequently or always responded to their name in quiet compared with only 47% (9/19) preoperatively with hearing aids.
- 47% (9/19) of the children frequently or always responded to their name in noise compared with only 11% (2/19) preoperatively with hearing aids.
- 79% (15/19) of the children frequently or always spontaneously recognised common sounds in the classroom compared with 26% (5/19) preoperatively with hearing aids.

Younger children (ages 18 months to 4 years, 11 months)

Effectiveness of the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system in younger children was assessed in part through parental ratings of their child's auditory behaviours in a variety of everyday listening situations on the MAIS. For 22 children, preoperative ratings in the best-aided condition (implanted ear aided, non-implanted ear aided or binaurally aided) were compared with postoperative ratings after 6 months of implant use. Postoperatively, the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system was programmed to implement the SPEAK speech processing strategy. Ratings describing the frequency of occurrence of the child's auditory behaviours ranged from 0 (Never) to 4 (Always). Results were analysed as the proportion of children rated who demonstrated the specific behaviour either 'frequently' or 'always'.
After 6 months of experience with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system:

- 68% (15/22) of the children frequently or always responded to their name in quiet compared with only 27% (6/22) preoperatively with hearing aids.
- 45% (10/22) of the children frequently or always responded to their name in noise compared with only 14% (3/22) preoperatively with hearing aids.
- 41% (9/22) of the children frequently or always spontaneously recognised common sounds in the classroom compared with 14% (3/22) preoperatively with hearing aids.

**Additional summary for effectiveness for younger children (ages 9 months to 12 months)**

Additionally, in February 2020, Cochlear performed a systematic literature search in PubMed and EMBASE databases to assess effectiveness of implantation with a Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant in infants aged between 9 months and 12 months. A multi-step literature search process resulted in a final set of studies (49 peer-reviewed articles) representing additional relevant research on cochlear implantation for patients less than 12 months old. Effectiveness outcomes from the literature data support that implantation before 12 months of age supports paediatric cochlear implant recipients' improved speech and language development.
Clinical considerations

Adult and paediatric patients deafened from birth to two years of age are considered to be prelinguistically deafened, while those with an onset of deafness from two to five years of age are considered to be perilinguistically deafened. Postlinguistically deafened patients typically are deafened after the age of five and present with age-appropriate speech and language skills.

Optimised hearing aid fitting and evaluation procedures are critical to the selection of suitable cochlear implant candidates. In order to ensure selection of appropriate candidates, hearing healthcare professionals should utilise state of the art amplification and diagnostic instruments, and clinically accepted hearing aid evaluation and fitting procedures.

Adults with moderate to profound, postlinguistic, sensorineural hearing loss commonly present with asymmetrical audiometric profiles. When clinically appropriate during a candidacy assessment for an individual with bilateral sensorineural hearing loss, it is recommended that the poorer ear be selected for implantation, as surgical placement of the device may result in complete loss of residual hearing in the implanted ear. When selecting the ear for implantation, open set monosyllabic word or open set sentence recognition scores with hearing aids should be considered over more conventional audiological measures, as appropriate clinical indicators of preoperative auditory function.

Prelinguistically and perilinguistically deafened adults who do not have functional oral speech and language skills, and who are not highly motivated to participate in the rehabilitation process, are more likely to become non users of the device than are other adult patients.

Prospective patients and their families should be counselled extensively regarding the limited nature of expected postoperative benefits, and should understand that prelinguistically and perilinguistically deafened adults may be at risk for device non-use.

Neural Response Telemetry (NRT™)

The Neural Response Telemetry (NRT) system of the Cochlear Nucleus CI512 cochlear implant is capable of detecting physiological responses of elements of the auditory nerve within the cochlea.
Other information

Patient counselling

Preoperative counselling

Prospective cochlear implant candidates should be counselled regarding potential benefits, warnings, precautions and adverse effects of cochlear implantation, using the information in this document.

Storage, handling and sterilisation

Transport and store Nucleus implants at temperatures from -10 °C (+14 °F) to +55 °C (+131 °F).

For long term storage, store at ambient room temperature. Keep dry.

Handle the package with care. Severe impact may rupture the sterile package inside.

The implant, non-magnetic plugs and replacement magnets are single-use items. The non-magnetic plugs and replacement magnets are supplied separately.

All of the above components are supplied in sterile packaging. Ethylene oxide processing is indicated by a green dot on the label of each sterile package.

Before opening the sterile package, inspect it carefully. Return the device and packaging to Cochlear if:

• the 'use by' date (stamped on the outside package) has expired
• the sterile pack containing the implant is ruptured
• exposure to ethylene oxide processing is not indicated.
Information for use and recommended training

Physicians should be very experienced in mastoid surgery and the facial recess approach to the round window. It is important that physicians be trained in the implantation procedure for the CI500 Series and Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system. It is strongly recommended that the surgeon work with an experienced team of audiology, speech-language, rehabilitation, education and psychology professionals. It is recommended that audiology professionals attend a training program for this device. Cochlear Americas conducts periodic training courses.

For product-specific information, refer to the Surgeon’s Guide supplied with each implant.
Cochlear™ Nucleus® CI512
cochlear implant with
Contour Advance® electrode

Physician’s Guide

United States of America
About this guide

This guide applies to the Cochlear™ Nucleus® CI512 cochlear implant, which is a CI500 Series implant.

This guide is intended for surgical staff involved in implanting the device.

Surgeons implanting the device should be experienced in cochlear implant surgery.

Before surgery, ensure you are thoroughly familiar with the information in this guide and the product labelling. The guide includes important information on MRI, indications, contraindications, adverse effects, warnings and precautions. A surgical procedure for implanting the device is also explained.

This guide does not take account of any particular circumstances or factors relevant to an individual patient or case. Other surgical approaches and variations are practised and may be more appropriate in certain circumstances. After considering all relevant circumstances, factors and information in each case, the appropriate surgical procedure is determined by the relevant physician exercising independent medical judgment.
Symbols used in this guide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Important information or advice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Caution (no harm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>!</td>
<td>Special care to be taken to ensure safety and effectiveness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Could cause damage to equipment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Warning (harmful)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>!</td>
<td>Potential safety hazards and serious adverse reactions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Could cause harm to person.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Warnings and Cautions for device use

This section does not contain all the important information required to use and implant the device, only critical information to implant the device safely and effectively. Read the full Physician’s Guide before implanting the device.

⚠️ Warnings

Pre-operative

- **Meningitis** is a known risk of inner ear surgery. You should counsel candidates of this risk and determine their immunisation status for micro-organisms that cause meningitis.

- **Wound infection** after cochlear implant surgery or explantation may be prevented by administering broad-spectrum antibiotic before and during surgery.

- The implant is sterilised using **ethylene oxide (EtO)**. After the sterilisation process, residual EtO is less than 0.4 mg per device. This residual level is suitable for a recipient with a body weight of 7 kg or greater.*

- Cochlear Nucleus implants contain magnets, which should be kept away from neurostimulation devices (e.g. deep brain stimulators) and magnetic ventricular shunts, as the magnets may affect the function of these devices. The maximum magnetic field strength at 2.5 cm (1 in) from the edge of the implant, with or without external sound processor magnet coupled to it, in any direction is less than 300 Gauss.

- To reduce the risk of anaesthetic-related adverse events, a paediatric anaesthesiologist should be present during surgery for infants implanted under 12 months of age.

---

* Calculated with guidance from EN ISO 10993-7
Medical treatments generating induced currents, heat and vibration

- **Electrosurgical instruments** can induce radio frequency currents that could flow through the electrode.
  When using bipolar electrosurgical instruments on the head and neck of a patient, the cautery electrodes must not contact the implant and should be kept more than 1 cm (½ in) from the electrodes.

- **High currents** induced into the electrode lead can cause damage to cochlea and neural tissues, and the implant.

  **Do not use:**
  - **monopolar electrosurgical instruments** on the head or neck of an implant patient.
  - **therapeutic or medical diathermy** (thermopenetration) using electromagnetic radiation (magnetic induction coils or microwave).
  - **neurostimulation** directly over the implant.

- **Ultrasound fields** can be inadvertently concentrated at the implant and cause tissue damage or damage to the implant.

  **Do not use:**
  - **therapeutic levels of ultrasound energy** directly over the implant
  - **medical diathermy using ultrasound** on the head and neck of an implant patient.

- **Electroconvulsive therapy** can cause tissue damage or damage to the implant. Do not use electroconvulsive therapy on an implant patient under any circumstances.
Warnings and Cautions for device use

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

The Cochlear Nucleus CI512 implant is MR Conditional. MRI is contraindicated except under specific circumstances. See MRI safety information on page 67.

⚠️ Cautions

- When using sharp instruments near the implant, take care to avoid nicking or damaging the case, insulation, or electrode lead.
- Ionising radiation therapy can cause damage to the implant. Do not use ionising radiation therapy directly over the implant.

❗️ Note

- Facial nerve monitor use is advised, particularly for cases where the facial nerve may be at greater risk such as congenital temporal bone anomalies and revision surgeries.
Intended use and indications

Intended use

Cochlear Nucleus CI500 Series implants are prescription only, single use devices intended for long term implantation under the skin in the mastoid region of either side of the head.

Indications

The cochlear implant is intended to restore a level of auditory sensation via electrical stimulation to the auditory nerve. Both adults and paediatrics are candidates for cochlear implantation. There is an indication for adult and paediatric candidates with bilateral sensorineural hearing loss and an indication for adult and paediatric candidates with unilateral hearing loss or single sided deafness.

Bilateral sensorineural hearing loss

Adults

The Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system is intended for use in individuals aged 18 years and older who have bilateral, prelinguistic, perilinguistic or postlinguistic sensorineural hearing loss and compromised functional benefit with appropriately fit amplification.

These individuals typically have moderate to profound hearing loss in the low frequencies and profound (≥ 90 dB HL) hearing loss in the mid to high speech frequencies. Limited benefit from amplification is defined by test scores of 50% correct or less in the ear to be implanted (60% or less in the best-aided listening condition) on recorded tests of open set sentence recognition.
Intended use and indications

Children

The Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system is intended for use in children 9 months to 24 months of age who have bilateral profound sensorineural hearing loss and demonstrate limited benefit from appropriate bilateral hearing aids.

Children 2 years of age or older may demonstrate severe to profound hearing loss bilaterally.

In younger children, limited benefit is defined as lack of progress in the development of simple auditory skills in conjunction with appropriate amplification and participation in intensive aural habilitation over a 3 month to 6 month period. It is recommended that limited benefit be quantified on a measure such as the Meaningful Auditory Integration Scale or the Early Speech Perception test.

In older children, limited benefit is defined as ≤ 30% correct on the open set Multisyllabic Lexical Neighborhood Test (MLNT) or Lexical Neighborhood Test (LNT), depending upon the child’s cognitive and linguistic skills. A 3 month to 6 month hearing aid trial is recommended for children without previous aided experience.
Unilateral Hearing Loss (UHL) / Single Sided Deafness (SSD)

Adults and children

The Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system is indicated for individuals with unilateral hearing loss who meet the following criteria:

- Individuals 5 years or older who have one ear with a severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss and obtain limited benefit from an appropriately fitted unilateral hearing device and one ear with normal or near normal hearing.
  - In the ear to be implanted, a severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss defined as a PTA at 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz and 4000 Hz of > 80 dB HL.
  - In the contralateral ear, normal or near normal hearing is defined as a PTA at 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz and 4000 Hz ≤ 30 dB HL.

- Limited benefit from an appropriately fit unilateral hearing device is defined as a score of less than or equal to 5% on a Consonant Nucleus Consonant (CNC) word test. For individuals between 5 years and 18 years of age, insufficient functional access to sound in the ear to be implanted must be determined by aided speech perception test scores of 5% or less on developmentally appropriate word lists when tested in the ear to be implanted alone.

- It is recommended that prior to cochlear implantation, individuals with SSD have at least two (2) weeks to one (1) month experience wearing an appropriately fitted Contralateral Routing of Signal (CROS) hearing aid or another suitable hearing device.
Contraindications

A Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant is not suitable for individuals with the following conditions:

- Absence of cochlea development
- Absence of a cochlear nerve
- Active middle ear infections
- Tympanic membrane perforation in the presence of active middle ear disease

For individuals with single sided deafness the following contraindications are also applicable:

- Duration of profound sensorineural hearing loss greater than ten years

Note

- For patients who meet the indication and have an acoustic neuroma, cochlear implantation should be considered simultaneously or following removal of pathology.
- In certain cases, such as congenital single-side deafness, the presence of a cochlear nerve should be confirmed by an MRI examination prior to surgery.
- Outcomes are more variable for children with congenital single-sided deafness who are over the age of 5.
Adverse effects

Prospective Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant recipients should be advised of the following possible effects of receiving an implant:

• Normal risks associated with surgery and general anaesthesia.
• Increased surgical and anaesthetic risks for certain populations.
• Complications most frequently associated with this surgical procedure—stimulation of the facial nerve, taste disturbance and tinnitus.
• Complications that may require additional medical treatment, surgery and/or removal of the device, such as:
  – Acute Otitis Media (AOM)
  – facial nerve injury leading to temporary facial nerve weakness
  – perilymph fistula
  – Concurrent Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) leakage
  – vestibular dysfunction
  – subdural injury
  – subcutaneous haematoma
  – irritation, inflammation or breakdown of the skin flap; infection; and in some cases, extrusion of the device caused by the presence of a foreign body under the skin
  – decreased hearing ability caused by the electrode array migrating partially or completely out of the cochlea
  – perforation of external ear structures, such as the tympanic membrane or canal wall, by the electrode lead
  – perception of non-auditory sensations and poorer performance than expected from misplacement of the electrode array.
Adverse effects

- Electrical stimulation may result in increased tinnitus, temporary facial nerve stimulation, temporary dizziness, or temporary pain.
- The long term effects of electrode insertion trauma or chronic electrical stimulation are unknown. Such effects may include new bone growth in the cochlea or deterioration of the nerve cells. These effects may preclude replacement of the electrode array or may lead to eventual deterioration of cochlear response.
- Failure of component parts (both external and internal) could result in the perception of an uncomfortably loud sound sensation, intermittent sound, or no sound.
- Failure of various component parts of the implanted device could require removal or replacement of the implant, or a reduction in the number of electrodes used.

Meningitis

Before implantation, candidates should consult their primary care physician and implanting surgeon regarding vaccination status against micro-organisms that cause meningitis.

Meningitis is a known risk of inner ear surgery and candidates should be appropriately counselled of this risk. Certain preoperative conditions may increase the risk of meningitis with or without an implant. These conditions include:
- Mondini’s syndrome and other congenital cochlear malformations
- CSF shunts or drains
- recurrent episodes of bacterial meningitis before implantation
- perilymph fistulas and skull fracture/defect with CSF communication.

For information on the use of vaccines to prevent meningitis in persons with cochlear implants refer to: https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vpd/mening/hcp/dis-cochlear-gen.html

Loss of residual hearing

Inserting the electrode into the cochlea may result in complete loss of residual hearing in the implanted ear.
Results of clinical studies

Summary of safety data

The following information summarises adverse events for adults and children implanted with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system. Safety data apply to all patients receiving a cochlear implant and are not specific to individuals with bilateral sensorineural hearing loss or single sided deafness/unilateral hearing loss.

Adults

Adult safety data are based on a total of 133 patients implanted with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant during the adult clinical investigation at twenty-seven US sites. Twenty patients experienced either a medical, surgical or device-related complication.

Eleven of the twenty complications were medical or surgical in nature and the remaining nine were device-related. Eighteen of the twenty adverse events resolved without surgical or extensive medical intervention.

Medical or surgical complications

One patient experienced device migration which required revision surgery to reposition the device. One patient experienced a wound haematoma which required minor surgery to resolve. One patient experienced a slightly compressed electrode array and the surgeon elected to remove the device and replace it with a second one during the initial surgery. Four patients experienced facial nerve stimulation. All cases of facial nerve stimulation were resolved through reprogramming. Two patients experienced tinnitus related to cochlear implant use. One case resolved without intervention and the second case was resolved through reprogramming. One patient experienced short-term postoperative dizziness which resolved without medical treatment. One patient experienced fluctuating psychophysical levels related to a relatively thick (10+ mm) skin flap. This case was resolved through replacement of external equipment.
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Device-related complications

No device failures or other serious device malfunctions occurred during this study. Four patients experienced electrode insulation faults (short circuits) that were resolved through reprogramming. Two patients were inadvertently overstimulated during device programming and one patient reported a nonauditory sensation during device programming. Two patients experienced a mild skin reaction to the processor cable. These were resolved completely with topical medical treatment.

Children

Paediatric safety data are based on a total of 234 children implanted with the Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implants for two clinical investigations.

For the first clinical investigation, 150 children were implanted with Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implants. Twenty four patients experienced 27 medical, surgical or device related complications. Nine of the 27 complications were medical or surgical in nature and the remaining 18 were device-related. Twenty four of the complications resolved without surgical or extensive medical intervention.
Medical/Surgical complications

For the first study, one postmeningitically deafened child with bilaterally ossified cochleae failed to experience auditory stimulation through the fully functional cochlear implant. One patient developed streptococcal meningitis less than 24 hours following cochlear implant surgery. The infection was successfully managed with medical treatment. One patient experienced a wound infection that was resolved through surgical explantation of the device. One patient experienced extracochlear electrode placement related to a congenital malformation of the inner ear. This complication was resolved through surgical explantation of the device. Two patients experienced slight compression of the electrode array which resulted in two short-circuited electrodes in one case and no electrode anomalies in the other. The case with electrode short circuits was resolved through reprogramming. One patient experienced facial nerve stimulation related to a severe congenital malformation of the inner ear. This complication was resolved through reprogramming, however, the patient continues to experience occasional slight facial nerve stimulation. Two patients experienced mild short-term postoperative dizziness. Both cases resolved without medical intervention.

Device-related complications

No device failures or other serious device malfunctions were observed during the first study. Thirteen patients experienced electrode faults (short-circuit or open-circuit electrodes) on one or more electrodes. All of these cases were resolved through reprogramming. One patient experienced non-auditory sensations during psychophysical testing. This case was resolved through reprogramming. One patient experienced an unanticipated overstimulation. This complication was resolved through replacement of external equipment.

Three patients experienced mild skin reactions to the processor cable. One case was resolved through covering the cable, one case was resolved through an alternative polyurethane coating of the cable, and one case resolved spontaneously without intervention.

1 Medical/surgical complications would be classified today as a procedure related adverse event.
Additional summary of safety for children

Cochlear performed a prospectively-designed, retrospective analysis from its own registry data to establish a reasonable assurance of safety of implantation with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system for paediatric patients aged 9 months to 12 months. The retrospective review of 84 children that were between 9 months and 12 months of age and implanted with Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implants was completed for this analysis. Twenty four patients experienced 28 medical or surgical complications and 26 of the complications were resolved without major surgical or medical intervention. Device-related complications (i.e. electrode faults) were not captured in this study. Six patients experienced minor postoperative complications, 4 of which were resolved without medical intervention. Two patients experienced cerebral spinal fluid leakage perioperatively. These were repaired during the cochlear implant surgery, and one patient required a revision surgery with reimplantation. Two patients experienced postoperative infections including mastoiditis, post-auricular abscess, and surgical site infection. All the infections were medically managed. Two patients developed seromas and one of these patients was reimplanted. Two patients experienced temporary facial weakness which resolved with steroid administration. There were no reports of postoperative meningitis. Overall, the above adverse events are typical surgical, procedure or device events observed in children implanted in relatively young age.

Additionally, in February 2020, Cochlear performed a systematic literature search in PubMed and EMBASE databases to assess safety of implantation with a Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant in infants aged between 9 months and 12 months. A multi-step literature search process resulted in a final set of studies (49 peer-reviewed articles) representing additional relevant research on cochlear implantation for patients less than 12 months old. Safety studies that included children implanted at less than 12 months old covered a broad range of topics from surgical complications including anaesthesia and blood loss, to postoperative pain and dizziness, wound healing problems, and infections. The research literature reviewed on surgical and postoperative outcomes reported specific to the population under the age of 12 months at implantation did not identify an elevated incidence of complications.
Summary of effectiveness data

The following information summarises effectiveness data for adults and children implanted with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system.

Adults

Unilateral Hearing Loss (UHL) / Single Sided Deafness (SSD)

Cochlear analysed retrospective data to demonstrate the effectiveness of cochlear implantation in adults with SSD. For the data analysed, the ear to be implanted had a profound sensorineural hearing loss (PTA of 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz and 4000 Hz) ≥ 70 dB HL, and an aided CNC word score of ≤ 10%. The contralateral ear had normal or near normal hearing (PTA 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz, and 4000 Hz) ≤ 30 dB HL.

This study was a prospective analysis of previously collected data from a Cochlear sponsored multicentre prospective feasibility study and real world data.

The feasibility study had ten participants (N=10). The real world data was collected from two cochlear implant centres who had data available for thirty two participants (N=32). Data was analysed for a total of 42 participants.

Effectiveness testing included speech recognition testing using:

- Hearing in Noise Test (HINT)
- Bamford Kowal Bench Sentences in Noise test (BKB-SIN).

Patient reported outcomes were evaluated with the Speech, Spatial, and Qualities (SSQ) Questionnaire and the Iowa Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire. Audiometric thresholds were also obtained for each ear.
Description of Tests

Hearing in Noise Test (HINT)

The Hearing in Noise Test or HINT (Nilsson et al., 1994) is a test made up of 25 10-sentence lists used to test how well an individual understands in noise. The sentences are presented in noise which is filtered to match the long-term average spectrum of the sentences. The HINT is an adaptive test whereby the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is increased or decreased by a fixed amount based on the listener’s ability to repeat the sentences correctly or not.

Bamford Kowall Bench Sentences in Noise test (BKB-SIN)

The BKB-SIN Test (Etymotic Research, 2005) includes 18 lists of sentences. The sentences are spoken by a single male talker, are 5-6 words in length and are at a 1st grade reading level. The sentences are presented in noise using 4-talker babble. The test starts out easy where the sentences are presented much louder than the noise and depending on a listener’s ability to correctly repeat the words in the sentence, the sentences are either made softer or louder until a level is reached where 50% of the words in a sentence are repeated correctly.

Localisation Testing

Localisation is the ability to tell where a sound is coming from. Localisation testing was assessed by delivering a noise from one of 12 locations. The locations are numbered one through 12 on a response sheet, from right to left. The sound comes from a speaker positioned to represent an arc from 97.5° (on the right) to 262.5° (on the left) of the participant. There is a 15° separation between each speaker. The participant selects one number to indicate the perceived location of the sound.
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Speech, Spatial, and Qualities (SSQ) Questionnaire

The SSQ is a validated self-assessment metric commonly used in hearing aid and cochlear implant research. It is designed to measure self-reported auditory disability across a wide variety of domains, reflecting the reality of hearing in the everyday world. There are 49 questions (SSQ-49) scored by the participant using a scale of 0 through 10, where 0 corresponded to minimal ability and 10 corresponded to complete ability. There are three specific hearing domains assessed:

Speech hearing scale – This includes hearing speech in quiet and in noise, in one-on-one conversation and in groups or meetings.

Spatial hearing scale – This includes hearing where sounds are coming from, distance, movement, and ability to segregate sounds.

Qualities of sound scale – This includes ease of listening, naturalness, clarity, identification of different speakers, musical pieces and instruments, as well as everyday sounds.

Iowa Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire

The Iowa Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire was used to assess tinnitus. Tinnitus was assessed before and after the cochlear implant was turned on. There are 27 questions that fall into 3 factors:

Factor 1 examines social, physical and emotional wellbeing.

Factor 2 examines hearing abilities.

Factor 3 examines an individual’s view of tinnitus.
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Speech recognition results

The primary and secondary effectiveness objectives and endpoints of the study are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary effectiveness objective</th>
<th>Primary effectiveness endpoints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To evaluate if the restoration of hearing sensation in both ears results in improved spatial hearing for speech in noise, when the target and competing signals are spatially separated.</td>
<td>1. The improvement in sentences in noise scores obtained postactivation in the bimodal listening condition (CI + NH) compared to scores obtained preoperatively in the best listening condition (normal hearing alone or normal hearing + hearing aid) when the speech is presented from the front and noise to the normal hearing ear (S0NNH). The improvement in group and individual bimodal (CI + NH) sentence in noise scores compared to scores obtained postoperatively with the NH ear alone (CI off) when speech is presented from the front and noise is presented to the NH configuration (S0NH).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary effectiveness objectives</th>
<th>Secondary effectiveness endpoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To evaluate if the restoration of hearing sensation in both ears results in improved spatial hearing for locating sound sources in the horizontal plane.</td>
<td>Group and individual bimodal (CI + NH) localisation scores (Root Mean Square or RMS error) will be compared with NH ear alone (CI off) scores at the most recent postactivation evaluation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Summary of study effectiveness objectives and endpoints
Co-primary effectiveness endpoint 1: Bimodal (CI + NH) performance relative to preoperative performance

Twenty three (23/42) participants had preoperative and postactivation data and were included in the analysis.

As shown in Table 2, when speech was presented from the front speaker and noise to the normal hearing ear (S0N\textsubscript{NH}), there was a postactivation improvement in the bimodal listening condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) compared to the best preoperative listening condition. On average, participants experienced an improvement of 2.8 dB, (95% confidence interval, -4.1 to -1.4). A negative value connotes benefit with a cochlear implant for this test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence recognition in noise</th>
<th>Preoperative (HA + NH alone)</th>
<th>Postactivation (CI + NH)</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HINT/BKB SIN S0N\textsubscript{NH}</td>
<td>0.9 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.6 (-1.0, 2.7)</td>
<td>-1.9 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Co-primary endpoint 1: Speech understanding in noise preoperative to postactivation (S0N\textsubscript{NH}) (N=23)
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Co-primary effectiveness endpoint 2: Bimodal (CI + NH) performance relative to NH ear alone (CI off) performance postoperatively

Table 3 summarises the results for 38 participants, who had data available postactivation comparing performance in the bimodal listening condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) compared to performance in normal hearing (NH) ear alone condition (cochlear implant off). The postactivation interval ranged from 3 months to 86 months with a mean of 20 months. Improvement was found in the bimodal condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) compared to normal hearing alone (cochlear implant off) for speech understanding in noise (S\text{ON}_{\text{NH}}). Participants on average experience a 1.5 dB improvement (95% confidence interval, -2.1 to -0.9) in the bimodal condition compared to listening with the normal hearing ear alone. A negative value connotes benefit with a cochlear implant for this test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence recognition in noise</th>
<th>Postactivation (CI off) NH alone</th>
<th>Postactivation (CI on + NH)</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
<th>1-sided p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HINT/BKB, SIN, S\text{ON}_{\text{NH}}</td>
<td>Mean ± SD Medal (IQR)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD Medal (IQR)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD Medal (IQR)</td>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
<td>1-sided p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>-2.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>-1.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>(-2.1, -0.9)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>(-2.8, 0.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-1.6, 1.0)</td>
<td>(-4.1, -1.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Statistical summary for co-primary effectiveness endpoint 2: Bimodal (CI + NH) performance relative to NH ear alone (CI off) performance postoperatively (N=38)
These analyses support that both co-primary endpoints were met for this study, namely:

- For speech understanding in noise, when the speech is presented from the front speaker and noise is presented to the normal hearing ear, there was a significant improvement of 2.8 dB, (95% confidence interval, -4.1 to -1.4) postactivation at the most recent evaluation in the bimodal (cochlear implant + normal hearing) listening condition compared to preoperative hearing performance.

- For speech understanding in noise, when the speech is presented from the front speaker and noise is presented to the normal hearing ear, there was a significant difference at the most recent evaluation interval in the bimodal (cochlear implant + normal hearing) listening condition compared to NH alone (cochlear implant off). Mean improvement was 1.5 dB (95% confidence interval, -2.1 to -0.9).

In examining individual subject performance, it was found in the preoperative best bilateral listening (hearing aid + normal hearing/normal alone) to postactivation (cochlear implant + hearing aid) comparison that:

- 18/23 (78%) participants demonstrated a clinically meaningful pre-post improvement of 1.0 dB (10% improvement), with a range of -1.2 to -9.5 dB, (note that a negative score connotes improvement),

- 3/23 (13%) scored equal to their preoperative performance, with a range in difference scores from 0.0 dB to +0.8 dB and

- 2/23 (9%) participants had a difference score ≥ +1.0 dB, consistent with a decline in performance.
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When comparing performance postactivation in the bimodal condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) (cochlear implant on) compared to normal hearing ear alone (cochlear implant off), it was found that:

- 25/38 (66%) demonstrated a clinically meaningful improvement with cochlear implant on of 1.0 dB (10% improvement) with a range of -1.0 dB to -6.2 dB, (note that a negative score connotes improvement),
- 11/38 (30%) scored equal to their normal hearing alone score, with a range of difference scores from -0.7 dB to +0.8 dB and
- 2/38 (5%) participants had a difference score > +1.0 dB, consistent with a decline in performance.

In the clinical study, it was found that 8/38 (21%) experienced a decrease in speech understanding in noise when speech was presented from the front speaker and noise was directed to the cochlear implant side, suggesting potential interference of the overlapping electric and acoustic signal in bilateral hearing. Additionally, a few published studies (Speck et al., 2020, Deep et al., 2021, and Zeitler et al., 2019) reported that there was a low incidence of cochlear implant nonuse presumably because of lack of perceived benefit of the cochlear implant. Given these results, it is reasonable to conclude that a small number of recipients experience perceptual interference of overlapping acoustic and electric bilateral hearing.

Cochlear performed subgroup analyses to examine the consistency of co-primary effectiveness endpoints. The following subgroups were examined: gender, median age at implant, median duration of hearing loss at baseline, etiology of hearing loss, evaluation interval, median baseline/preoperative speech in noise score, median baseline CI off speech in noise score, and preoperative pure tone average (PTA).
Results indicated that the only baseline characteristics that affected the primary endpoint 1 were 1) duration of hearing loss, 2) etiology of hearing loss and 3) pre-operative speech in noise score. The mean score for participants below or equal to the median duration of hearing loss of 2 years was significantly poorer than that for duration of hearing loss above 2 years. It was found that those participants with an etiology of sudden sensorineural hearing loss performed significantly better than those with Meniere’s disease or the other group. This result should be interpreted with caution as the majority of etiologies were classified as other. It was found that those participants who had poorer preoperative speech in noise scores demonstrated significantly greater improvement.

For coprimary effectiveness endpoint 2, the only baseline characteristic that affected the endpoint was baseline speech in noise for the spatial configuration SONHH obtained in the CI off condition (NH alone). Participants with poorer speech understanding in noise (>1.2 dB) in the CI off condition demonstrated significantly more improvement in the bimodal listening condition (CI + NH).

There were no differences in the consistency of primary endpoints across investigational sites.

Secondary effectiveness endpoint

Twenty four participants had localisation data available for analysis. Table 4 summarises the results on the localisation test showing the root mean square (RMS) error. The RMS error was significantly improved by 18.8 degrees, in the bimodal condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) compared to the normal hearing (cochlear implant off) ear alone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Localisation (RMS error)</th>
<th>CI Off</th>
<th>CI On</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54.3 ± 16.8</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>35.5 ± 16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(41.8, 63.0)</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>(26.4, 44.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Localisation outcomes (N=24)
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Patient reported outcomes

There were 14 participants who completed the SSQ preoperatively and 10 participants who completed it at 6 months postactivation. As shown in Table 5, there was a significant mean improvement on each subscale, with the biggest difference found on the Spatial Hearing subscale. Preoperative to postactivation mean differences were significant based on paired t-tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>6 months postoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean ± SD Median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech &amp; Hearing</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.26 ± 1.15 4.09 (3.40, 5.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Hearing</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.19 ± 1.67 3.60 (1.70, 4.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound Qualities</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.24 ± 1.44 6.00 (5.10, 7.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.56 ± 1.09 4.65 (3.90, 5.20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Difference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ± SD Median (IQR)</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
<th>1-sided p-value (mean difference &gt; 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speech &amp; Hearing</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.09 ± 1.59 2.15 (1.00, 2.60)</td>
<td>(0.95, 3.23)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Hearing</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.38 ± 1.34 2.70 (0.70, 3.30)</td>
<td>(1.42, 3.34)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound Qualities</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.04 ± 1.24 1.05 (0.50, 1.70)</td>
<td>(0.15, 1.93)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.84 ± 1.17 1.80 (1.20, 2.50)</td>
<td>(1.00, 2.68)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Preoperative to 6 month postactivation statistical outcomes for the SSQ49

Iowa Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire

Preoperative and postactivation data were available for 10 participants. At 6 months postoperative, 6 of the 9 (67%) participants with preoperative to postactivation scores reported an improvement in their tinnitus. At 12 months, 7/10 (70%) participants reported an improvement in their tinnitus.
Children

Effectiveness of the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system in older children (5 years and above) was assessed by comparing the speech perception abilities of 23 prelinguistically and postlinguistically deafened participants preoperatively in the best-aided condition (implanted ear aided, non-implanted ear aided or binaurally aided) with their postoperative performance in the implanted ear alone, after 6 months of device use. Postoperatively, the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system was programmed to implement the SPEAK speech processing strategy. Recorded versions of various paediatric speech perception measures were presented at 70 dB SPL. Individual subject results were analysed using a binomial statistical model and group means were analysed using paired t-tests and the non-parametric Wilcoxon Signed Ranks tests.

Of the children 5 years of age and older who were capable of being tested on open set word recognition tasks:

- 61% (14/23) demonstrated significant improvement on the Glendonald Auditory Screening Procedure (GASP)
- 44% (10/23) demonstrated significant improvement on the MLNT
- 57% (13/23) demonstrated significant improvement on the LNT
- 48% (11/23) demonstrated significant improvement on the Phonetically-Balanced Kindergarten (PBK) monosyllabic word test.

Group mean performance was significantly higher after 6 months of experience with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system, on all 11 measures of speech perception administered to children 5 years of age and older. These measures ranged from simple closed-tests to more difficult open set word and sentence recognition tests.
Device effectiveness for older children also was assessed through parental ratings of their child’s auditory behaviours in a variety of everyday listening situations on the Meaningful Auditory Integration Scale (MAIS). For 19 children, preoperative ratings in the best-aided condition (implanted ear aided, non-implanted ear aided or binaurally aided) were compared with postoperative ratings after 6 months of implant use. Ratings describing the frequency of occurrence of the child’s auditory behaviours ranged from 0 (Never) to 4 (Always). Results were analysed as the proportion of children rated who demonstrated the specific behaviour either ‘frequently’ or ‘always’.

After 6 months of experience with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system:

- 83% (15/18) of the children frequently or always responded to their name in quiet compared with only 47% (9/19) preoperatively with hearing aids.
- 47% (9/19) of the children frequently or always responded to their name in noise compared with only 11% (2/19) preoperatively with hearing aids.
- 79% (15/19) of the children frequently or always spontaneously recognised common sounds in the classroom compared with 26% (5/19) preoperatively with hearing aids.

Younger children (ages 18 months to 4 years, 11 months)

Effectiveness of the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system in younger children was assessed in part through parental ratings of their child’s auditory behaviours in a variety of everyday listening situations on the MAIS. For 22 children, preoperative ratings in the best-aided condition (implanted ear aided, non-implanted ear aided or binaurally aided) were compared with postoperative ratings after 6 months of implant use. Postoperatively, the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system was programmed to implement the SPEAK speech processing strategy. Ratings describing the frequency of occurrence of the child’s auditory behaviours ranged from 0 (Never) to 4 (Always). Results were analysed as the proportion of children rated who demonstrated the specific behaviour either ‘frequently’ or ‘always’.
After 6 months of experience with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system:

- 68% (15/22) of the children frequently or always responded to their name in quiet compared with only 27% (6/22) preoperatively with hearing aids
- 45% (10/22) of the children frequently or always responded to their name in noise compared with only 14% (3/22) preoperatively with hearing aids
- 41% (9/22) of the children frequently or always spontaneously recognised common sounds in the classroom compared with 14% (3/22) preoperatively with hearing aids.

**Additional summary for effectiveness for younger children**

(ages 9 months to 12 months)

Additionally, in February 2020, Cochlear performed a systematic literature search in PubMed and EMBASE databases to assess effectiveness of implantation with a Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant in infants aged between 9 months and 12 months. A multi-step literature search process resulted in a final set of studies (49 peer-reviewed articles) representing additional relevant research on cochlear implantation for patients less than 12 months old. Effectiveness outcomes from the literature data support that implantation before 12 months of age supports paediatric cochlear implant recipients’ improved speech and language development.
Device description

Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant systems are designed to provide useful hearing. The system works by converting sound in the environment into electric pulses that stimulate the auditory nerve, allowing the brain to perceive sound.

The Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant system has implanted and external components.

Implanted component

The cochlear implant is surgically implanted under the skin behind the ear. It includes a receiver/stimulator to receive and decode the electrical signals from the sound processor and an electrode to deliver these signals to the cochlea.

External components

The external components include a sound processor, and associated accessories and cables.

The system is programmed by a Cochlear proprietary programming software.

For information on compatibility between implants and processors, refer to the Custom Sound® User Guide.
The Cochlear™ Nucleus® CI512 cochlear implant with Contour Advance® electrode

The CI512 implant is a CI500 Series implant.

![Diagram of CI512 cochlear implant with Contour Advance electrode](image)

1. Receiver/stimulator (printed information on bone side)
2. Intracochlear electrode (shaped to follow curve of cochlea when stylet is removed)
3. White marker to facilitate AOS insertion
4. Ribs indicating electrode insertion depth
5. Stylet
6. Extracochlear electrode
7. Model name
8. Serial number
9. Barcode
10. Magnet (blank on bone side)

Figure 1: CI512 cochlear implant with Contour Advance electrode (bone side)
Device description

1 Magnet (grey ring on skin side)
2 Extracochlear electrode (plate) to face upwards/skin
3 Contour Advance perimodiolar electrode with stylet in place

Figure 2: CI512 cochlear implant with Contour Advance electrode (skin side)

1 Stylet
2 Ribs indicating insertion depth
3 Intracochlear electrode with 22 half-band contacts
4 White marker to facilitate AOS insertion

Figure 3: Contour Advance electrode with stylet

1 Ribs indicating insertion depth
2 White marker to facilitate AOS insertion

Figure 4: Contour Advance electrode with stylet removed
Surgical instruments and accessories

Instruments and accessories in this section are appropriate for use with Cochlear Nucleus CI500 Series implants.

All items except the Sterile Silicone Implant Template are available to be ordered individually. As indicated below, some items are included in the CI500 Series Surgical Instrument Kit. An upgrade kit is also available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>Product code</th>
<th>CI500 Series Instrument Kit</th>
<th>CI500 Series Instrument Upgrade Kit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AOS™ Forceps for the Contour Advance® Electrode</td>
<td>Z60770</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTE Template</td>
<td>Z33011</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI500 Series Recess Gauge</td>
<td>Z139274</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI500 Series Implant Template</td>
<td>Z139273</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contour® Electrode Claw</td>
<td>Z33021</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI24RE Series Electrode Claw (Straight)</td>
<td>Z30090</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contour Advance® Depth Gauge</td>
<td>Z179994</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth Gauge (Straight)</td>
<td>Z60006</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template*</td>
<td>S211296</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI500 Series Non-Sterile Silicone Implant Template</td>
<td>Z179609</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacer for Intraoperative Testing</td>
<td>Z33012</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accessories</th>
<th>Product code</th>
<th>CI500 Series Instrument Kit</th>
<th>CI500 Series Instrument Upgrade Kit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI500 Series Non-Magnetic Plug</td>
<td>Z146624</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI500 Series Sterile Replacement Magnet</td>
<td>Z179608</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Supplied with implant; not available separately
Surgical instruments and accessories

Items used with the Cochlear Nucleus CI512 cochlear implant are referenced in the Surgical procedure and MRI safety information sections of this guide.

Dispose of used items according to your institution’s policy on the disposal of used instruments and accessories.

⚠️ Warning

Do not use surgical instruments or accessories supplied or intended to be sterile if they become non-sterile, e.g. if dropped or mishandled in theatre.
Reusable after reprocessing

These instruments are stainless steel, and can be cleaned and resterilised as instructed in the *Surgical Instrument Sterilisation Reprocessing Guide*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AOS™ Forceps for the Contour Advance® Electrode</th>
<th>Z60770</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used to grasp or hold the Contour Advance electrode during its insertion into the cochlea. Curved tip ends gently cup the array to improve stability and minimise rotation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⚠️ Caution

To avoid damaging the electrode, before each use hold forceps tips closed and ensure they are parallel and aligned. If not, do not use, as it may be difficult to release the electrode after insertion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BTE Template</th>
<th>Z33011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used to ensure the implant position provides space for a behind-the-ear sound processor.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CI500 Series Recess</th>
<th>Z139274</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used to mark the bone recess on the skull, measure the depth of the bone recess and check the location of the electrode exit excavation after drilling.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Surgical instruments and accessories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>CI500 Series Implant Template</strong></th>
<th>Z139273</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used to determine, or check, the shape of the implant bone recess excavation and the position of the implant.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Contour Electrode Claw</strong></th>
<th>Z33021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aids insertion of the Contour Advance electrode into the cochlea. Gold-plated handle.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>CI24RE Series Electrode Claw (Straight)</strong></th>
<th>Z30090</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aids insertion of the Straight electrode into the cochlea.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Single-use sterile

These items are supplied sterile for single-use only.

⚠️ **Warning**

Do not resterilise. Do not use more than once. Re-use could cause infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CI500 Series Non-Magnetic Plug</th>
<th>Z146624</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="MRI" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If the recipient requires multiple MRI examinations on the head, a non-magnetic plug is used to replace the implant magnet.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The non-magnetic plug is not intended for use unless required for multiple MRIs. If only a single MRI is required the magnet recess can remain empty.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For more information see <a href="#">MRI safety information</a> on page 67.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CI500 Series Sterile Replacement Magnet</th>
<th>Z179608</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="MRI" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used to replace a non-magnetic plug or fill an empty magnet recess after MRI examinations are complete.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For more information see <a href="#">MRI safety information</a> on page 67.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Surgical instruments and accessories

### Depth Gauges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contour Advance Depth Gauge</th>
<th>Depth Gauge (Straight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z179994</td>
<td>Z60006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depth gauges are typically used in the sterile field when:

- pre-operative imaging to assess cochlea patency is inconclusive or unavailable, and
- it is suspected that cochlear obstruction such as ossification may prevent successful electrode insertion.

Use of depth gauges is not intended for normal cochleae where there is no suspicion of obstruction or malformation.

For more information refer to the appropriate *Depth Gauge User Guide.*
CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template

S211296

Used in the sterile field to check periosteal pocket size, implant bone recess shape and depth, and tie-down hole positions.

Provided with the implant; not available separately. For more information see warnings below and 2. Opening the CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template on page 45.

⚠️ Warning
- For temporary use only. Not for implantation.
- Supplied sterile. Sterilised in ethylene oxide. Do not resterilise.
- Single-use item. Do not use more than once. Re-use could cause infection.
- Do not use if packaging is damaged.
- Do not use if item becomes non-sterile e.g. dropped or mishandled in theatre after removal from packaging.
- Use with CI500 and CI600 Series implants only.
Surgical instruments and accessories

Non-sterile

These items are supplied non-sterile and are single use. They should not be sterilised.

⚠️ Warning
Do not use more than once. Re-use could cause infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CI500 Series Non-Sterile Silicone Implant Template</th>
<th>Z179609</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used to determine/check the optimum implant position and mark it on the skin before incision.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⚠️ Warning
Do not use in the sterile field. Use in the sterile field could cause infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spacer for Intraoperative Testing</th>
<th>Z33012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When the processor coil is placed directly over the implant coil, use the spacer to ensure there is enough distance between the coils.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⚠️ Warning
Must be used in a sterile sleeve. Use without a sterile sleeve could cause infection.
Surgical procedure

The surgical procedure described in this guide is only one approach to implanting the Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant.

The surgical procedure includes the following:
1. Pre-incision: non-sterile field – page 44.
2. Opening the CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template – page 45.
3. Incision – page 46.
4. Mastoidectomy and preparing the bone recess – page 47.
6. Opening the facial recess – page 51.
7. Preparing the cochleostomy – page 52.
8. Inspecting the cochlear implant and electrodes – page 54.
10. Securing the extracochlear electrode – page 56.
11. Inserting the intracochlear electrode – page 57.
12. Securing and sealing the intracochlear electrode – page 60.

Where a surgical instrument is mentioned in the procedure, see Surgical instruments and accessories on page 35.
Surgical procedure

1. Pre-incision: non-sterile field

1. Place the BTE Template in position on the ear. Ensure there will be sufficient clearance between the receiver/stimulator and an ear level sound processor so that the sound processor will not rest on the receiver/stimulator.

2. Place the Non-sterile Silicone Implant Template on the skin so that the antero-inferior edge is at least 10 mm behind the edge of the BTE Template and above the canthomeatal line. Angle the Non-sterile Silicone Implant Template 30 to 45 degrees postero-superiorly, to lie on a flat portion of the skull. Mark its position on the scalp.

Note
For bilateral patients, position the second receiver/stimulator so that it is symmetrical with the first.

3. Mark the incision with a marking pen. Allow at least 15 mm between the implant and the incision. The incision must be large enough to accommodate the cochlear implant. The flap may be inferiorly- or anteriorly-based but must allow the surgeon to secure the implant to the bone.

4. The Implant Template can be used to mark the position of the electrode lead exit for the proposed bone excavation for the receiver/stimulator. Mark with a drop of methylene blue on the bone using a 21 gauge needle through the skin.

5. Before incision, the incision line may be infiltrated with local anaesthetic and 1:100 000 or 1:200 000 adrenaline, or epinephrine, unless contraindicated.
2. Opening the CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template

One CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template is packaged with each implant. For more information on use of the Template see CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template on page 41.

Non-sterile field
1. Remove the cardboard box (outer packaging).
2. Break the seal on the outer tray, and confirm that:
   • exposure to ethylene oxide processing is indicated by a green dot on the outer tray
   • the two inner trays are not damaged.
3. Notice that the tray containing the Sterile Silicone Implant Template has a blue stripe with ‘CI500 series’ written in it. The tray containing the cochlear implant displays the Cochlear logo.

   Warning
   To avoid infection, if the sterile package is damaged, do not use the template.

Sterile field
4. Remove the Template tray (blue stripe) and break the seal.

   Note
   Keep the cochlear implant tray (white seal) to one side, within the sterile field, with the seal intact until later in the surgery.
5. Lift the Sterile Silicone Implant Template from the tray.
3. Incision

WARNING

If the patient has an implant in the other ear, do not use monopolar electrosurgical instruments (bipolar electrosurgical instruments may be used).

1. Make the incision down to the avascular plane of the periosteum and temporalis fascia (long enough to provide sufficient access). Stabilise the area using retraction as necessary.

2. Use the Implant Template or the Sterile Silicone Implant Template to check the position of the implant.

3. Incise the underlying periosteum and lower portion of the temporalis fascia creating a fibromuscular/periosteal flap based either anteriorly or posteriorly.

4. Elevate a periosteal pocket to accommodate the implant coil.

5. Elevate a narrow peristomal pocket against the bone under the temporalis muscle. This is to make a place for the extracochlear electrode between the skull and the periosteum, i.e. under the temporalis muscle.
4. Mastoidectomy and preparing the bone recess

The cortical mastoidectomy is described next. Some surgeons prefer to drill the implant recess first.

The cortical mastoidectomy

Create an adequate cortical mastoidectomy cavity, allowing an overhang both superiorly and posteriorly to accommodate any redundant proximal electrode lead.

Note

For children, it is recommended that a mastoidectomy be performed.

The bone recess

The blue dye dot on the bone indicates the position of the channel for the electrode lead exit.

Use the Recess Gauge, Bone Recess Template, Implant Template or the Sterile Silicone Implant Template to determine the angular orientation of the implant. This is usually placed at 30 to 45 degrees above the temporal line.

Warning

When drilling the bone recess, take care to avoid injury to the underlying dura.
Surgical procedure

To drill the bone recess:

1. Mark the recess using a surgical marker with the aid of the Recess Gauge, Implant Template, or the Sterile Silicone Implant Template.

2. Drill the bone recess. Aim to achieve a flat surface ‘ramp’, starting deeper on the anterior end of the implant and tapering off posteriorly. The ramp should be approximately 2.2 mm deep at the antero-inferior end of the implant, depending on the thickness of the skull. Providing that the skull is sufficiently thick, drilling deeper will result in a lower profile beneath the skin flap.

![Ramped bone recess](Figure 5: Ramped bone recess)

3. Check the final dimensions of the bone recess using the Recess Gauge or Implant Template.
1. Ramped bone recess
2. Channel
3. Mastoidectomy cavity

Figure 6: Ramped bone recess, electrode channel and mastoidectomy

4. Place the Implant Template or Recess Gauge in the bone recess and use it to mark the exit of the electrode.
5. Drill a channel to connect the bone recess and mastoid cavity (see Figure 6). The channel will help protect the electrode against trauma.
6. Use the Recess Gauge to check the position and depth of the electrode exit.
Surgical procedure

5. Drilling tie-down holes

1. Using the implant seat for orientation (see The bone recess on page 47), mark tie-down holes above and below the anterior portion of the receiver/stimulator to ensure the implant can be secured.

2. Drill these holes with a 2 mm diamond burr.

Note
For children, an elevator may be used to protect the dura.
For additional support, posterior tie-down holes may be drilled or the implant coil can be placed under a pericranium pocket.

Figure 7: Tie-down holes for CI500 Series implants

Warning
When drilling the tie-down holes, take care to avoid injury to the underlying dura.
6. Opening the facial recess

1. Open the facial recess ensuring it gives as much visibility and access as possible. The horizontal canal and short process of the incus should be clearly visualised.

2. Identify the facial nerve and chorda tympani nerve, but do not expose them.

   The posterior portion of the middle ear, including the stapedius tendon, promontory and round window niche (RWN), should be clearly visualised.

   In some instances of poor round window visualisation, the chorda tympani nerve is unavoidably cut to perform an extended facial recess approach.
Surgical procedure

7. Preparing the cochleostomy

This section describes site preparation. For details on inserting the electrode see 11. Inserting the intracochlear electrode on page 57.

Cochleostomy

1. Visualise the stapes to confirm the site of the round window, and visualise the round window membrane. It is approximately 2 mm inferior and slightly posterior to the oval window.

   The round window membrane may be obscured by the overhang of the lateral margin of the niche and a mucosal false membrane. It may be necessary to gently drill away the overhang to see the round window membrane.

2. Perform a cochleostomy into the scala tympani using a 1.4 mm or 1.0 mm diamond burr at low speed.

   Position the cochleostomy inferior and slightly anterior to the round window membrane. It should be close to, or incorporating, the round window niche (RWN). A slight blue line of endosteum should become visible as the bone is being thinned for the cochleostomy. This indicates the location of the scala tympani.

   **Warning**

   Damage to the cochlea or vestibular system may be caused by drilling too far anteriorly or superiorly. This will result in the endosteum appearing white and the scala media or vestibuli may be entered.

   **Caution**

   Incorrect electrode placement may result from drilling too far inferiorly. This will miss the cochlea entirely and a hypotympanic air cell may be entered. Take care to remove bone dust, blood and other fluids from the cochleostomy.
3. Drill sufficient bone with the 1.4 mm or 1.0 mm diamond burr to expose at least 1.5 mm of endosteum.

⚠️ Warning

To avoid risk of contamination do not open the endosteum until immediately before insertion of the electrode as described in 11. Inserting the intracochlear electrode on page 57.
8. Inspecting the cochlear implant and electrodes

If the Sterile Silicone Implant Template is not unpacked see 2. Opening the CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template on page 45.

Sterile field

1. Remove the cochlear implant from the sterile packaging tray.
2. Confirm the cochlear implant is not damaged.

⚠️ Warning
- To avoid infection or revision surgery, do not use the implant if the sterile package or the implant are damaged.
- To avoid damage to tissue or the implant, from this point do not use monopolar electrosurgical instruments on the neck and head of the patient. Bipolar electrosurgical instruments may be used; however the cautery electrode tips must not contact the cochlear implant and should be kept more than 1 cm (½ in) from the electrodes.

⚠️ Caution
To avoid damage to the cochlear implant:
- do not bend the electrode as the stylet is malleable and will deform.
- leave the protective tube on the electrode until just before insertion.
9. Positioning and securing the implant

1. Place the receiver/stimulator skin side up in the bone recess, with the implant coil in the subperiosteal/pericranial pocket between the tie-down holes.
   For information on correct implant orientation see Device description on page 32.

2. Place the electrode lead in the centre of the channel.

3. Secure the receiver/stimulator with a single suture, using a non-absorbable synthetic material.
   Move the knot to the edge of the cochlear implant.

**Note**

In case the magnet requires removal at a later date, do not suture directly over the magnet.
Surgical procedure

10. Securing the extracochlear electrode

Carefully place the extracochlear electrode against the bone under the temporalis muscle.

⚠ Caution

To avoid mechanical stress on the electrode lead, do not place the extracochlear electrode in the temporalis muscle.
11. Inserting the intracochlear electrode

**Warning**
- Damage to the electrode and the cochlea may be caused if the stylet is reinserted. Do not reinsert the stylet in order to reinsert or reposition the electrode.
- In the event of suboptimal placement, it is recommended to remove the electrode and use the backup implant instead.

**Caution**
- Use minimal force. Do not rush the insertion.
- During insertion, ensure the array does not kink and the half-band electrode contacts remain oriented towards the modiolus.

**Note**
At the end of the insertion, the most proximal rib is usually just outside the cochleostomy. Do not force the electrode into the cochlea.

**Before insertion**

The following should be performed immediately before insertion of the electrode:

**Inserting via a cochleostomy**
1. Open the endosteum with an otologic hook and ensure that the cochleostomy is wide enough to accommodate the electrode.
2. Remove any sharp edge of bone which might snag the electrode.

**Warning**
- To avoid residual hearing loss or vestibular issues, do not suction the perilymph.
Surgical procedure

Advance Off-Stylet® (AOS™) insertion

The AOS method, as described, is highly recommended by Cochlear. The AOS method was developed specifically for implants with the Contour Advance Electrode.

1. Grasp the protective tube (in the end section) and carefully remove the tube from the electrode. Do not squeeze, stretch or bend the electrode.

2. Orientate the electrode so that its curve will follow the cochlear spiral.

3. Guide the tip toward the cochleostomy, using the claw or other blunt tip surgical instrument. Angle the electrode toward the floor of the scala tympani. Ensure the half-band electrode contacts remain oriented toward the modiolus.

4. Insert the electrode until the white marker (7.6 mm from tip) is at the cochleostomy (see Figure 9 on page 59).

5. Hold the stylet stationary with jeweller’s forceps and hold the electrode at the ribs with AOS forceps. Advance the electrode off the stylet and into the cochlea until the third (most proximal) rib is at the cochleostomy (Figures B, C and D).

6. Remove the remainder of the stylet. Then pass the stylet out of the surgical field.
7. If necessary, retract the electrode slightly, so the third (most proximal) rib is just outside the cochleostomy. This ensures the electrode is close to the modiolus at the back of the basal turn.
12. Securing and sealing the intracochlear electrode

**Warning**
Movement of the excess electrode lead could result in the electrode twisting and potentially damaging cochlear structures. Immediately after inserting the electrode and before arranging the excess proximal electrode lead in the mastoid cavity, the electrode must be immobilised. Ensure the electrode is held in place continuously.

To limit the risk of migration or breaking the seal, the electrode may be secured. The method of fixation, and choice of fixation points, will depend on surgical access and the surgeon’s discretion.

1. Pack completely around the electrode in the cochleostomy with an autograft consisting of strips of fascia or pericranium to ensure there are no gaps in the seal.

**Warning**
Seal the cochleostomy or round window to avoid an open pathway to the inner ear.

**Note**
If there is a perilymph leak, extra tissue may be needed to ensure that the seal is tight.

2. Coil the excess redundant proximal electrode lead inside the mastoid cavity under the bony overhangs.

3. Place any excess loop of the extracochlear electrode in the mastoid cavity.

**Note**
If the electrodes are able to migrate into subcutaneous tissue they may be subject to excessive movement and fatigue. To avoid this, ensure the leads are secure within the cavity, but do not suture over the electrode leads with fine gauge sutures.
Confirmation of electrode placement

Before closure, an X-ray may be obtained (preferably a lateral or modified Stenver's view) to confirm proper electrode placement.

13. Performing intraoperative measurements

Intraoperative measurements via telemetry may now be performed.
1. Replace the flap.
2. Put the processor coil and cable in a sterile sleeve.

**Warning**
To avoid infection, if using the Intraoperative Spacer place the coil on top of the Intraoperative Spacer in the sterile sleeve.

3. Place the external coil over the implant magnet.

**Note**
- The transmitting range of the cochlear implant is 1 mm to 10 mm.
- The cochlear implant may not function properly if the processor coil is placed directly on top of the receiver/stimulator.
- Methods to determine that the cochlear implant is functioning properly include impedance measurement using a Cochlear proprietary programming software.
14. Closure
1. Pack the facial recess with soft tissue.
2. Suture the p wła flap over the proximal portion of the intracochlear electrode lead.
3. Close the wound in layers. Drainage is not recommended.
4. Apply a large mastoid pressure dressing.
Post-operative management

Monitor the patient as for all procedures involving general anaesthesia. Keep the pressure dressing on for one day, then inspect the wound and apply another dressing for five days.

Fitting the sound processor

The initial fitting procedure for the sound processor should be scheduled after a healing period. Fitting should be checked at three months, six months and one year postoperatively, then at yearly intervals (or more frequently if required by the condition of the patient).

Registering the implant

Registration form

Complete the registration form. Send the completed form to Cochlear within 30 days of receiving the product.

Patient identification card

Fill out the implant model number and ear details on the patient identification card. Give the card to the patient or their carer.

The patient or their carer should carry the patient identification card with them at all times.
Identifying the implant

For information on identifying Cochlear implants without surgical intervention, refer to the *Cochlear Nucleus Implants MRI Guidelines*.

Explanting the implant

In rare circumstances, it may be necessary to explant a cochlear implant. Please follow the steps below.

1. Contact Cochlear to order a Retrieved Device Kit. The kit must be used to transport the explanted device to Cochlear.
2. Read the instructions provided with the kit.
3. Before explanting the device, examine it for any defects. Note these on the form provided with the kit.
4. Try to keep the explanted device intact and undamaged. To assist in removing the device undamaged you can cut the intracochlear electrode lead (see *Cutting the intracochlear electrode lead* on page 66).
5. If the intracochlear electrode lead is removed from the cochlea, place it in the kit, even if it is damaged.
6. Return the kit containing the explanted device to the Cochlear address nearest you.
Post-operative management

Cutting the intracochlear electrode lead

Cut the intracochlear electrode lead if it will assist you to remove the device without damaging it. The cut should be in the region of the electrode lead shown below.

If required to remove the device without damage, cut the electrode lead before the ribbed portion of the array:

![Contour Advance electrode lead cut location for explantation](image)

If necessary, leave the distal end of the extracochlear electrode lead in place.

Reporting problems

Legislation on medical devices requires the manufacturer to report adverse events to the appropriate authorities. Should such an incident occur, notify the nearest Cochlear office or its official distributor as soon as possible.
MRI safety information

The Cochlear Nucleus CI512 implant is MR Conditional. MRI examinations can be performed safely on a person with this implanted device only under very specific conditions. MRI examinations performed under different conditions may result in severe patient injury or device malfunction.

Full MRI safety information is available:
- in the Cochlear Nucleus Implants MRI Guidelines
- by visiting www.cochlear.com/warnings
- by calling your regional Cochlear office – contact numbers are available on the back cover of this guide.

All external components of the Cochlear implant system (e.g. sound processors, remote assistants and related accessories) are MR Unsafe. The patient must remove all external components of their Cochlear implant system before entering a room where an MRI scanner is located.
Removing the magnet

⚠️ Caution

- Take care when removing or inserting the magnet or non-magnetic plug, so as not to damage the implant silicone. Exerting minimal force, always use a blunt instrument – such as an elevator – to lift the lip of the silicone elastomer recess. Minimise the pressure applied to the antenna of the implant.
- Magnets for the Cochlear Nucleus CI500 Series implants are a different size to magnets for the Cochlear Nucleus CI24RE Series implants. Ensure that the correct magnet is used.
- Non-magnetic plugs for the Cochlear Nucleus CI500 Series implants are a different size to non-magnetic plugs for the Cochlear Nucleus CI24RE Series implants. Ensure that the correct non-magnetic plug is used.

Removing the magnet before implantation

If a new recipient has a condition that will require future MRI examinations, it may be appropriate to replace the magnet with a non-magnetic plug (available from Cochlear) before the device is implanted.

While the magnet is removed, the recipient must wear a Cochlear Disk Retainer to hold their external transmitter coil in place. Disk retainers are available from Cochlear.

The replacement procedure should take place under sterile conditions.
To replace the magnet before implantation:

1. In sterile conditions, remove the cochlear implant from its sterile packaging and place it on a flat and stable surface, with the magnet’s grey ring (denoting polarity) facing up (see magnet images in *Replacing the magnet* on page 73). Do not remove the electrode array protective tube.

2. Using an elevator or similar instrument, lift the lip of the silicone elastomer recess around the magnet and remove the magnet from the implant. When removing the magnet, minimise the pressure applied to the implant coil.

3. Remove the sterile non-magnetic plug from its packaging and insert it into the recess. Lift the lip of the recess using an elevator and press the plug into position, being careful not to exert undue pressure on the implant.

4. The implant is now ready for implantation.

Replace the magnet when there is no further need for MRI examinations, following the steps in *Replacing the magnet* on page 73.
Removing the magnet after implantation

Remove the magnet in sterile conditions, using either general or local anaesthetic:

1. Make a small incision ensuring there is good access to the magnet.
2. Cut through any fibrous growth around the implant and expose the magnet.
3. Using an elevator or similar instrument, carefully lift the lip of the silicone elastomer recess and remove the magnet. If a retaining suture runs across the magnet, move the suture out of the way.

The surgical technique then differs according to whether the patient requires a single MRI examination or multiple examinations over a period of time.

Single MRI

For a single MRI examination:

1. Under sterile conditions, make a small incision (see Removing the magnet after implantation on page 70) and remove the magnet.
2. Leave the magnet recess empty and apply a dry sterile dressing.
3. Take the patient for the MRI examination.
4. After the MRI has been taken, under sterile conditions insert a new sterile replacement magnet following the steps in Replacing the magnet on page 73.
Multiple MRI

For cochlear implant recipients requiring multiple MRI examinations over a period of time, the implant magnet is removed and replaced with a sterile non-magnetic plug. In the magnet’s absence, the plug prevents fibrous tissue growing into the recess. Such growth would make magnet replacement difficult.

While the magnet is removed, the recipient must wear a Cochlear Disk Retainer to hold their external transmitter coil in place. Disk retainers are available from Cochlear.

When there is no further need for MRI examinations, the plug is removed and replaced by a magnet.

The non-magnetic plug and replacement magnet are supplied separately in sterile packs. Both are single-use items.
Inserting a non-magnetic plug

To insert a sterile non-magnetic plug in the recess:

1. Under sterile conditions, make a small incision (see Removing the magnet after implantation on page 70) and remove the magnet.

2. Lift the lip of the recess using an elevator and press the non-magnetic plug available from Cochlear into position, being careful not to exert undue pressure on the implant.

Figure 11: CI500 Series non-magnetic plug

⚠️ Caution

Non-magnetic plugs for CI500 Series implants are a different size to non-magnetic plugs for CI24RE Series implants. Ensure the correct plug is used.

3. Close the wound in layers.

4. When MRI is no longer a regular necessity, insert a replacement magnet by following the steps in Replacing the magnet on page 73.
Replacing the magnet

When MRI is no longer a regular necessity:

1. Under sterile conditions, make a small incision (see *Removing the magnet after implantation* on page 70) exposing the magnet recess.
2. Remove the non-magnetic plug, using the above procedure.
3. Insert a new sterile replacement magnet, available from Cochlear, with the grey ring (denoting polarity) facing up, as shown below.

![Figure 12: CI500 Series magnet with grey ring facing upwards](image)

Use the elevator to lift the lip of the recess and position the magnet.

**Caution**

Magnets for CI500 Series implants are a different size to magnets for CI24RE Series implants. Ensure the correct magnet is used.

**Note**

- As with the original magnet, the silicone lip retains the replacement magnet.
- Some recipients may have a magnet with a Cochlear logo.

![Figure 13: CI500 Series magnet with Cochlear logo facing upwards](image)

4. Close the wound in layers.

For additional information about magnet removal, contact Cochlear.
How the implant is supplied

The implant, non-magnetic plugs and replacement magnets are single-use items. Non-magnetic plugs and replacement magnets are supplied separately.

All of the above components are supplied in sterile gas-permeable packaging. Ethylene oxide processing is indicated on the label of each sterile package.

Before opening the sterile package, inspect it carefully. Return the device and packaging to Cochlear if:

• the ‘use by’ date stamped on the outside package has expired
• the sterile pack containing the implant is ruptured
• exposure to ethylene oxide processing is not indicated by a green dot on the sterile pack.

Transport and handling

Nucleus cochlear implants inside their sterile packaging within the implant box have been validated for transport and handling temperatures from -10 °C (+14 °F) to +55 °C (+131 °F).

Handle with care. Severe impact may rupture the sterile package inside.

Storage

Store Nucleus cochlear implants inside their sterile packaging within the implant box at room temperature. Keep dry.
# CI512 implant specifications

## Intracochlear electrodes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of electrodes</td>
<td>22 electrodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between centre of electrode contacts</td>
<td>0.8 mm at proximal end of array graduating to 0.4 mm at distal end of array when curled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of electrodes (cross-sectional dimension)</td>
<td>0.8 mm at proximal end, tapering to 0.5 mm at distal end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact surface area</td>
<td>0.21 mm$^2$ to 0.23 mm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active array length when straightened</td>
<td>14.25 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal electrode length when straightened</td>
<td>• 15 mm from tip to basal electrode • 19 mm from tip to proximal rib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead length</td>
<td>99 mm from receiver/stimulator to array tip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marker for insertion depth</td>
<td>White marker in middle of active part of array (lateral side) when tip is near lateral wall of otic capsule at back of basal turn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Extracochlear electrodes

- Plate on receiver/stimulator
- Cylindrical electrode 0.6 mm (typical) diameter with lead length 60 mm
### Receiver/Stimulator

| Dimensions          | Case: 24 mm x 23 mm x 3.9 mm  
                    | Coil: 31 mm diameter x 3.7 mm thick |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| Volume              | 3.9 cm³ without lead             |
| Weight              | 8.6 g including electrode array  |

### Operating characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power and data</th>
<th>Received by 5 MHz inductive link from sound processor headset coil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>Biphasic pulses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulation mode</td>
<td>Monopolar, bipolar or common ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulus amplitudes</td>
<td>Programmable from 0 μA to 1750 μA nominal at 37 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum stimulus amplitude</td>
<td>Median: 1750 μA Range: 1575 μA to 1925 μA as measured according to EN 45502-2-3 / ISO 14708-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulus duration</td>
<td>Programmable from 9.6 μs to 400 μs per phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum stimulus pulse width</td>
<td>Median: 400 μs Range: 398 μs to 410 μs as measured according to EN 45502-2-3 / ISO 14708-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmitting range</td>
<td>1 mm to 10 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Measurement functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td>Displays compliance limits using Cochlear proprietary programming software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural response telemetry</td>
<td>Measures electrically evoked compound action potential (ECAP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impedance</td>
<td>Measures electrode impedances in monopolar and common ground modes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impedance measurement accuracy</td>
<td>80% measured according to EN 45502-2-3 / ISO 14708-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implant ID and type check</td>
<td>Enables the sound processor to confirm whether it is coupled to the nominated implant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Materials in contact with body tissues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silicone elastomer</td>
<td>Lead and receiver/stimulator protective coating and insulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>Receiver/stimulator case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnet case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>Electrode contacts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
General information

Warranty
To the purchaser: the law in some countries requires that the written warranty for this cochlear implant must be made available for the patient’s review before it is sold to them. The Cochlear terms and conditions of warranty should therefore be given to the patient before implantation of the cochlear implant. The warranty is included in the document pack.

Symbols
The following symbols may appear on your implant packaging:

- Fragile, handle with care
- Do not use if package is damaged and check IFU
- Consult instructions for use
- Specific warnings or precautions associated with the device, which are not otherwise found on the label
- Do not re-use
- Do not resterilise
- Date of manufacture
- Manufacturer
- Use-by date
General information

UDI
Unique device identification

Keep dry

Sterilised using ethylene oxide

Rx Only
Caution: US law restricts this device to sale by, or on the order of, a physician

Catalogue number

Serial number

Single sterile barrier system with protective packaging inside

Batch code

Authorised representative in the European Community

CE registration mark with notified body number

MR Conditional

Medical Device
Cochlear™ Nucleus® CI624 cochlear implant with Slim 20 electrode

Physician’s Guide

United States of America
About this guide

This guide applies to the Cochlear™ Nucleus® CI624 cochlear implant, which is a CI600 Series implant.

This guide is intended for surgical staff involved in implanting the device.

Surgeons implanting the device should be experienced in cochlear implant surgery.

Before surgery, ensure you are thoroughly familiar with the information in this guide and the product labelling. The guide includes important information on MRI, indications, contraindications, adverse effects, warnings and precautions. A surgical procedure for implanting the device is also explained.

This guide does not take account of any particular circumstances or factors relevant to an individual patient or case. Other surgical approaches and variations are practised and may be more appropriate in certain circumstances. After considering all relevant circumstances, factors and information in each case, the appropriate surgical procedure is determined by the relevant physician exercising independent medical judgment.
Symbols used in this guide

- **Note**
  Important information or advice.

- **Caution (no harm)**
  Special care to be taken to ensure safety and effectiveness.
  Could cause damage to equipment.

- **Warning (harmful)**
  Potential safety hazards and serious adverse reactions.
  Could cause harm to person.
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Warnings and Cautions for device use

This section does not contain all the important information required to use and implant the device, only critical information to implant the device safely and effectively. Read the full Physician’s Guide before implanting the device.

⚠️ Warnings

Pre-operative

- **Meningitis** is a known risk of inner ear surgery. You should counsel candidates of this risk and determine their immunisation status for micro-organisms that cause meningitis.

- **Wound infection** after cochlear implant surgery or explantation may be prevented by administering broad-spectrum antibiotic before and during surgery.

- The implant is sterilised using **ethylene oxide (EtO)**. After the sterilisation process, residual EtO is less than 0.4 mg per device. This residual level is suitable for a recipient with a body weight of 7 kg or greater.*

- Cochlear Nucleus implants contain magnets, which should be kept away from neurostimulation devices (e.g. deep brain stimulators) and magnetic ventricular shunts, as the magnets may affect the function of these devices. The maximum magnetic field strength at 2.5 cm (1 in) from the edge of the implant, with or without external sound processor magnet coupled to it, in any direction is less than 300 Gauss.

- To reduce the risk of anaesthetic-related adverse events, a paediatric anaesthesiologist should be present during surgery for infants implanted under 12 months of age.

* Calculated with guidance from EN ISO 10993-7.
Medical treatments generating induced currents, heat and vibration

- **Electrosurgical instruments** can induce radio frequency currents that could flow through the electrode. When using bipolar electrosurgical instruments on the head and neck of a patient, the cautery electrodes must not contact the implant and should be kept more than 1 cm (½ in.) from the electrodes.

- **High currents** induced into the electrode lead can cause damage to cochlea and neural tissues, and the implant.
  
  **Do not use:**
  - monopolar electrosurgical instruments on the head or neck of an implant patient.
  - therapeutic or medical diathermy (thermopenetration) using electromagnetic radiation (magnetic induction coils or microwave).
  - neurostimulation directly over the implant.

- **Ultrasound fields** can be inadvertently concentrated at the implant and cause tissue damage or damage to the implant.
  
  **Do not use:**
  - therapeutic levels of ultrasound energy directly over the implant.
  - medical diathermy using ultrasound on the head and neck of an implant patient.

- **Electroconvulsive therapy** can cause tissue damage or damage to the implant. Do not use electroconvulsive therapy on an implant patient under any circumstances.
Warnings and Cautions for device use

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

The Cochlear Nucleus CI624 implant is MR Conditional. MRI is contraindicated except under specific circumstances. See MRI safety information on page 67.

Cautions

- When using sharp instruments near the implant, take care to avoid nicking or damaging the case, insulation, electrode lead, exposed magnet cassette cover or non-magnetic cassette cover.
- Ionising radiation therapy can cause damage to the implant. Do not use ionising radiation therapy directly over the implant.

Note

- Facial nerve monitor use is advised, particularly for cases where the facial nerve may be at greater risk such as congenital temporal bone anomalies and revision surgeries.
- The CI624 electrode array has a maximum insertion depth of 20 mm. Partial insertion (less than 20 mm) may occur when the surgeon reaches point of first resistance in the cochlea. Resistance in the cochlea may occur from individual cochlear pathologies, such as cochlear ossification.
  Partial insertion is better than forcing the electrode beyond the point of first resistance.
Intended use and indications

Intended use

Cochlear Nucleus CI600 Series implants are prescription only, single use devices intended for long term implantation under the skin in the mastoid region of either side of the head.

Indications

The cochlear implant is intended to restore a level of auditory sensation via electrical stimulation to the auditory nerve. Both adults and paediatrics are candidates for cochlear implantation. There is an indication for adult and paediatric candidates with bilateral sensorineural hearing loss and an indication for adult and paediatric candidates with unilateral hearing loss or single sided deafness.

Bilateral Sensorineural Hearing Loss

Adults

The Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system is intended for use in individuals aged 18 years and older who have bilateral, prelinguistic, perilinguistic or postlinguistic sensorineural hearing loss and compromised functional benefit with appropriately fit amplification.

These individuals typically have moderate to profound hearing loss in the low frequencies and profound (≥ 90 dB HL) hearing loss in the mid to high speech frequencies. Limited benefit from amplification is defined by test scores of 50% correct or less in the ear to be implanted (60% or less in the best-aided listening condition) on recorded tests of open set sentence recognition.
Intended use and indications

Children

The Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system is intended for use in children 9 months to 24 months of age who have bilateral profound sensorineural hearing loss and demonstrate limited benefit from appropriate bilateral hearing aids.

Children 2 years of age or older may demonstrate severe to profound hearing loss bilaterally.

In younger children, limited benefit is defined as lack of progress in the development of simple auditory skills in conjunction with appropriate amplification and participation in intensive aural habilitation over a 3 month to 6 month period. It is recommended that limited benefit be quantified on a measure such as the Meaningful Auditory Integration Scale or the Early Speech Perception test.

In older children, limited benefit is defined as ≤ 30% correct on the open set Multisyllabic Lexical Neighborhood Test (MLNT) or Lexical Neighborhood Test (LNT), depending upon the child’s cognitive and linguistic skills. A 3 month to 6 month hearing aid trial is recommended for children without previous aided experience.
Unilateral Hearing Loss (UHL) / Single Sided Deafness (SSD)

Adults and children

The Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system is indicated for individuals with unilateral hearing loss who meet the following criteria:

• Individuals 5 years or older who have one ear with a severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss and obtain limited benefit from an appropriately fitted unilateral hearing device and one ear with normal or near normal hearing.
  – In the ear to be implanted, a severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss defined as a PTA at 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz and 4000 Hz of > 80 dB HL.
  – In the contralateral ear, normal or near normal hearing is defined as a PTA at 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz and 4000 Hz ≤ 30 dB HL.

• Limited benefit from an appropriately fit unilateral hearing device is defined as a score of less than or equal to 5% on a Consonant Nucleus Consonant (CNC) word test. For individuals between 5 years and 18 years of age, insufficient functional access to sound in the ear to be implanted must be determined by aided speech perception test scores of 5% or less on developmentally appropriate word lists when tested in the ear to be implanted alone.

• It is recommended that prior to cochlear implantation, individuals with SSD have at least two (2) weeks to one (1) month experience wearing appropriately fit Contralateral Routing of Signal (CROS) hearing aid or another suitable hearing device.
Contraindications

A Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant is not suitable for individuals with the following conditions:

- Absence of cochlea development
- Absence of a cochlear nerve
- Active middle ear infections
- Tympanic membrane perforation in the presence of active middle ear disease.

For individuals with single sided deafness the following contraindications are also applicable:

- Duration of profound sensorineural hearing loss greater than ten years.

**Note**

- For patients who meet the indication and have an acoustic neuroma, cochlear implantation should be considered simultaneously or following removal of pathology.
- In certain cases, such as congenital single-side deafness, the presence of a cochlear nerve should be confirmed by an MRI examination prior to surgery.
- Outcomes are more variable for children with congenital single-sided deafness who are over the age of 5.
Adverse effects

Prospective Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant recipients should be advised of the following possible effects of receiving an implant:

- Normal risks associated with surgery and general anaesthesia.
- Increased surgical and anaesthetic risks for certain populations.
- Complications most frequently associated with this surgical procedure—stimulation of the facial nerve, taste disturbance and tinnitus.
- Complications that may require additional medical treatment, surgery and/or removal of the device, such as:
  - Acute Otitis Media (AOM)
  - facial nerve injury leading to temporary facial nerve weakness
  - perilymph fistula
  - Concurrent Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) leakage
  - vestibular dysfunction
  - subdural injury
  - subcutaneous haematoma
  - irritation, inflammation or breakdown of the skin flap; infection; and in some cases, extrusion of the device caused by the presence of a foreign body under the skin
  - decreased hearing ability caused by the electrode array migrating partially or completely out of the cochlea
  - perforation of external ear structures, such as the tympanic membrane or canal wall, by the electrode lead
  - perception of non-auditory sensations and poorer performance than expected from misplacement of the electrode array.
Adverse effects

- Electrical stimulation may result in increased tinnitus, temporary facial nerve stimulation, temporary dizziness, or temporary pain.
- The long term effects of electrode insertion trauma or chronic electrical stimulation are unknown. Such effects may include new bone growth in the cochlea or deterioration of the nerve cells. These effects may preclude replacement of the electrode array or may lead to eventual deterioration of cochlear response.
- Failure of component parts (both external and internal) could result in the perception of an uncomfortably loud sound sensation, intermittent sound, or no sound.
- Failure of various component parts of the implanted device could require removal or replacement of the implant, or a reduction in the number of electrodes used.

Meningitis

Before implantation, candidates should consult their primary care physician and implanting surgeon regarding vaccination status against micro-organisms that cause meningitis.

Meningitis is a known risk of inner ear surgery and candidates should be appropriately counselled of this risk. Certain preoperative conditions may increase the risk of meningitis with or without an implant. These conditions include:

- Mondini's syndrome and other congenital cochlear malformations
- CSF shunts or drains
- recurrent episodes of bacterial meningitis before implantation
- perilymph fistulas and skull fracture/defect with CSF communication.

For information on the use of vaccines to prevent meningitis in persons with cochlear implants refer to: https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vpd/mening/hcp/dis-cochlear-gen.html

Loss of residual hearing

Inserting the electrode into the cochlea may result in complete loss of residual hearing in the implanted ear.
Results of clinical studies

Summary of safety data

The following information summarises adverse events for adults and children implanted with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system. Safety data apply to all patients receiving a cochlear implant and are not specific to individuals with bilateral sensorineural hearing loss or single sided deafness/unilateral hearing loss.

Adults

Adult safety data are based on a total of 133 patients implanted with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant during the adult clinical investigation at 27 US sites. Twenty patients experienced either a medical/surgical or device-related complication.

Eleven of the 20 complications were medical or surgical in nature and the remaining nine were device-related. Eighteen of the 20 adverse events resolved without surgical or extensive medical intervention.

Medical or surgical complications

One patient experienced device migration which required revision surgery to reposition the device. One patient experienced a wound haematoma which required minor surgery to resolve. One patient experienced a slightly compressed electrode array and the surgeon elected to remove the device and replace it with a second one during the initial surgery. Four patients experienced facial nerve stimulation. All cases of facial nerve stimulation were resolved through reprogramming. Two patients experienced tinnitus related to cochlear implant use. One case resolved without intervention and the second case was resolved through reprogramming. One patient experienced short-term postoperative dizziness which resolved without medical treatment. One patient experienced fluctuating psychophysical levels related to a relatively thick (10+ mm) skin flap. This case was resolved through replacement of external equipment.
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Device-related complications

No device failures or other serious device malfunctions occurred during this study. Four patients experienced electrode insulation faults (short circuits) that were resolved through reprogramming. Two patients were inadvertently overstimulated during device programming and one patient reported a nonauditory sensation during device programming. Two patients experienced a mild skin reaction to the processor cable. These were resolved completely with topical medical treatment.
Children

Paediatric safety data are based on a total of 234 children implanted with the Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implants for two clinical investigations.

For the first clinical investigation 150 children were implanted with Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implants. Twenty four patients experienced 27 medical, surgical or device related complications. Nine of the 27 complications were medical or surgical in nature and the remaining 18 were device-related. Twenty four of the complications resolved without surgical or extensive medical intervention.

Medical/Surgical complications

For the first study, one postmeningitically deafened child with bilaterally ossified cochleae failed to experience auditory stimulation through the fully functional cochlear implant. One patient developed streptococcal meningitis less than 24 hours following cochlear implant surgery. The infection was successfully managed with medical treatment. One patient experienced a wound infection that was resolved through surgical explantation of the device. One patient experienced extracochlear electrode placement related to a congenital malformation of the inner ear. This complication was resolved through surgical explantation of the device. Two patients experienced slight compression of the electrode array which resulted in two short-circuited electrodes in one case and no electrode anomalies in the other. The case with electrode short circuits was resolved through reprogramming. One patient experienced facial nerve stimulation related to a severe congenital malformation of the inner ear. This complication was resolved through reprogramming, however, the patient continues to experience occasional slight facial nerve stimulation. Two patients experienced mild short-term postoperative dizziness. Both cases resolved without medical intervention.

1 Medical/surgical complications would be classified today as a procedure related adverse event.
Device-related complications

No device failures or other serious device malfunctions were observed during the first study. Thirteen patients experienced electrode faults (short-circuit or open-circuit electrodes) on one or more electrodes. All of these cases were resolved through reprogramming. One patient experienced non-auditory sensations during psychophysical testing. This case was resolved through reprogramming. One patient experienced an unanticipated overstimulation. This complication was resolved through replacement of external equipment.

Three patients experienced mild skin reactions to the processor cable. One case was resolved through covering the cable, one case was resolved through an alternative polyurethane coating of the cable, and one case resolved spontaneously without intervention.

Additional summary of safety for children

Cochlear performed a prospectively-designed, retrospective analysis from its own registry data to establish a reasonable assurance of safety of implantation with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system for paediatric patients aged 9 months to 12 months. The retrospective review of 84 children that were between 9 months and 12 months of age and implanted with Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implants was completed for this analysis. Twenty four patients experienced 28 medical or surgical complications and 26 of the complications were resolved without major surgical or medical intervention. Device-related complications (i.e. electrode faults) were not captured in this study. Six patients experienced minor postoperative complications, 4 of which were resolved without medical intervention. Two patients experienced cerebral spinal fluid leakage perioperatively. These were repaired during the cochlear implant surgery, and one patient required a revision surgery with reimplantation. Two patients experienced postoperative infections including mastoiditis, post-auricular abscess, and surgical site infection. All the infections were medically managed. Two patients developed seromas and one of these patients was reimplanted. Two patients experienced temporary facial weakness which resolved with steroid administration. There were no reports of postoperative meningitis. Overall, the above adverse events are typical surgical, procedure or device events observed in children implanted in relatively young age.
Additionally, in February 2020, Cochlear performed a systematic literature search in PubMed and EMBASE databases to assess safety of implantation with a Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant in infants aged between 9 months and 12 months. A multi-step literature search process resulted in a final set of studies (49 peer-reviewed articles) representing additional relevant research on cochlear implantation for patients less than 12 months old. Safety studies that included children implanted at less than 12 months old covered a broad range of topics from surgical complications including anaesthesia and blood loss, to postoperative pain and dizziness, wound healing problems, and infections. The research literature reviewed on surgical and postoperative outcomes reported specific to the population under the age of 12 months at implantation did not identify an elevated incidence of complications.

Summary of effectiveness data

The following information summarises effectiveness data for adults and children implanted with the Cochlear Nucleus 24 cochlear implant system.

Adults

Unilateral Hearing Loss (UHL) / Single Sided Deafness (SSD)

Cochlear analysed retrospective data to demonstrate the effectiveness of cochlear implantation in adults with SSD. For the data analysed, the ear to be implanted had a profound sensorineural hearing loss (PTA of 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz and 4000 Hz) \( \geq 70 \text{ dB HL} \), and an aided CNC word score of \( \leq 10\% \). The contralateral ear had normal or near normal hearing (PTA 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz, and 4000 Hz) \( \leq 30 \text{ dB HL} \).

This study was a prospective analysis of previously collected data from a Cochlear sponsored multicentre prospective feasibility study and real world data.

The feasibility study had ten participants (N=10). The real world data was collected from two cochlear implant centres who had data available for thirty-two participants (N=32). Data was analysed for a total of 42 participants.
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Effectiveness testing included speech recognition testing using:
- Hearing in Noise Test (HINT)
- Bamford Kowal Bench Sentences in Noise test (BKB-SIN).

Patient reported outcomes were evaluated with the Speech, Spatial, and Qualities (SSQ) Questionnaire and the Iowa Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire. Audiometric thresholds were also obtained for each ear.

Description of Tests

Hearing in Noise Test (HINT)

The Hearing in Noise Test or HINT (Nilsson et al., 1994) is a test made up of 25 10-sentence lists used to test how well an individual understands in noise. The sentences are presented in noise which is filtered to match the long-term average spectrum of the sentences. The HINT is an adaptive test whereby the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is increased or decreased by a fixed amount based on the listener’s ability to repeat the sentences correctly or not.

Bamford Kowall Bench Sentences in Noise test (BKB-SIN)

The BKB-SIN Test (Etymotic Research, 2005) includes 18 lists of sentences. The sentences are spoken by a single male talker, are 5-6 words in length and are at a 1st grade reading level. The sentences are presented in noise using 4-talker babble. The test starts out easy where the sentences are presented much louder than the noise and depending on a listener’s ability to correctly repeat the words in the sentence, the sentences are either made softer or louder until a level is reached where 50% of the words in a sentence are repeated correctly.

Localisation Testing

Localisation is the ability to tell where a sound is coming from. Localisation testing was assessed by delivering a noise from one of 12 locations. The locations are numbered one through 12 on a response sheet, from right to left. The sound comes from a speaker positioned to represent an arc from 97.5° (on the right) to 262.5° (on the left) of the participant. There is a 15° separation between each speaker. The participant selects one number to indicate the perceived location of the sound.
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Speech, Spatial, and Qualities (SSQ) Questionnaire

The SSQ is a validated self-assessment metric commonly used in hearing aid and cochlear implant research. It is designed to measure self-reported auditory disability across a wide variety of domains, reflecting the reality of hearing in the everyday world. There are 49 questions (SSQ-49) scored by the participant using a scale of 0 through 10, where 0 corresponded to minimal ability and 10 corresponded to complete ability. There are three specific hearing domains assessed:

Speech hearing scale – This includes hearing speech in quiet and in noise, in one-on-one conversation and in groups or meetings.

Spatial hearing scale – This includes hearing where sounds are coming from, distance, movement, and ability to segregate sounds.

Qualities of sound scale – This includes ease of listening, naturalness, clarity, identification of different speakers, musical pieces and instruments, as well as everyday sounds.

Iowa Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire

The Iowa Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire was used to assess tinnitus. Tinnitus was assessed before and after the cochlear implant was turned on. There are 27 questions that fall into 3 factors:

Factor 1 examines social, physical and emotional wellbeing.

Factor 2 examines hearing abilities.

Factor 3 examines an individual’s view of tinnitus.
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Speech recognition results

The primary and secondary effectiveness objectives and endpoints of the study are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary effectiveness objective</th>
<th>Primary effectiveness endpoints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To evaluate if the restoration of hearing sensation in both ears results in improved spatial hearing for speech in noise, when the target and competing signals are spatially separated.</td>
<td>1. The improvement in sentences in noise scores obtained postactivation in the bimodal listening condition (CI + NH) compared to scores obtained preoperatively in the best listening condition (normal hearing alone or normal hearing + hearing aid) when the speech is presented from the front and noise to the normal hearing ear (S0NNh). The improvement in group and individual bimodal (CI + NH) sentence in noise scores compared to scores obtained postoperatively with the NH ear alone (CI off) when speech is presented from the front and noise is presented to the NH configuration (S0Nnh).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary effectiveness objectives</th>
<th>Secondary effectiveness endpoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To evaluate if the restoration of hearing sensation in both ears results in improved spatial hearing for locating sound sources in the horizontal plane.</td>
<td>Group and individual bimodal (CI + NH) localisation scores (Root Mean Square or RMS error) will be compared with NH ear alone (CI off) scores at the most recent postactivation evaluation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Summary of study effectiveness objectives and endpoints
Co-primary effectiveness endpoint 1: Bimodal (CI + NH) performance relative to preoperative performance

Twenty three (23/42) participants had preoperative and postactivation data and were included in the analysis.

As shown in Table 2, when speech was presented from the front speaker and noise to the normal hearing ear (S0NNH), there was a postactivation improvement in the bimodal listening condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) compared to the best preoperative listening condition. On average, participants experienced an improvement of 2.8 dB, (95% confidence interval, -4.1 to -1.4). A negative value connotes benefit with a cochlear implant for this test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preoperative (HA + NH alone)</th>
<th>Postactivation (CI + NH)</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD Mean (IQR)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD Median (IQR)</td>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentence recognition in noise HINT/BKB SIN S0N_{NH}</td>
<td>0.9 ± 3.3 0.6 (-1.0, 2.7)</td>
<td>-1.9 ± 2.6 -1.6 (-3.1, -1.0)</td>
<td>-2.8 ± 3.1 -2.5 (-4.3, -1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Co-primary endpoint 1: Speech understanding in noise preoperative to postactivation (S0N_{NH}) (N=23)
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**Co-primary effectiveness endpoint 2: Bimodal (CI + NH) performance relative to NH ear alone (CI off) performance postoperatively**

Table 3 summarises the results for 38 participants, who had data available postactivation comparing performance in the bimodal listening condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) compared to performance in normal hearing (NH) ear alone condition (cochlear implant off). The postactivation interval ranged from 3 months to 86 months with a mean of 20 months. Improvement was found in the bimodal condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) compared to normal hearing alone (cochlear implant off) for speech understanding in noise (S0NNH). Participants on average experience a 1.5 dB improvement (95% confidence interval, -2.1 to -0.9) in the bimodal condition compared to listening with the normal hearing ear alone. A negative value connotes benefit with a cochlear implant for this test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Postactivation (CI off) NH alone</th>
<th>Postactivation (CI on + NH)</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentence recognition in noise HINT/BKB SIN S0NNH</td>
<td>-0.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>(-1.6, 1.0)</td>
<td>-2.2 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Statistical summary for co-primary effectiveness endpoint 2: Bimodal (CI + NH) performance relative to NH ear alone (CI off) performance postoperatively (N=38)
These analyses support that both co-primary endpoints were met for this study, namely:

- For speech understanding in noise, when the speech is presented from the front speaker and noise is presented to the normal hearing ear, there was a significant improvement of 2.8 dB, (95% confidence interval, -4.1 to -1.4) postactivation at the most recent evaluation in the bimodal (cochlear implant + normal hearing) listening condition compared to preoperative hearing performance.

- For speech understanding in noise, when the speech is presented from the front speaker and noise is presented to the normal hearing ear, there was a significant difference at the most recent evaluation interval in the bimodal (cochlear implant + normal hearing) listening condition compared to NH alone (cochlear implant off). Mean improvement was 1.5 dB (95% confidence interval, -2.1 to -0.9).

In examining individual subject performance, it was found in the preoperative best bilateral listening (hearing aid + normal hearing/normal alone) to postactivation (cochlear implant + hearing aid) comparison that:

- 18/23 (78%) participants demonstrated a clinically meaningful pre-post improvement of 1.0 dB (10% improvement), with a range of -1.2 dB to -9.5 dB, (note that a negative score connotes improvement),

- 3/23 (13%) scored equal to their preoperative performance, with a range in difference scores from 0.0 dB to +0.8 dB and

- 2/23 (9%) participants had a difference score ≥ +1.0 dB, consistent with a decline in performance.
Results of clinical studies

When comparing performance postactivation in the bimodal condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) (cochlear implant on) compared to normal hearing ear alone (cochlear implant off), it was found that:

- 25/38 (66%) demonstrated a clinically meaningful improvement with cochlear implant on of 1.0 dB (10% improvement) with a range of -1.0 dB to -6.2 dB, (note that a negative score connotes improvement),
- 11/38 (30%) scored equal to their normal hearing alone score, with a range of difference scores from -0.7 dB to +0.8 dB and
- 2/38 (5%) participants had a difference score > +1.0 dB, consistent with a decline in performance.

In the clinical study, it was found that 8/38 (21%) experienced a decrease in speech understanding in noise when speech was presented from the front speaker and noise was directed to the cochlear implant side, suggesting potential interference of the overlapping electric and acoustic signal in bilateral hearing. Additionally, a few published studies (Speck et al., 2020, Deep et al., 2021, and Zeitler et al., 2019) reported that there was a low incidence of cochlear implant nonuse presumably because of lack of perceived benefit of the cochlear implant. Given these results, it is reasonable to conclude that a small number of recipients experience perceptual interference of overlapping acoustic and electric bilateral hearing.

Cochlear performed subgroup analyses to examine the consistency of co-primary effectiveness endpoints. The following subgroups were examined: gender, median age at implant, median duration of hearing loss at baseline, etiology of hearing loss, evaluation interval, median baseline/preoperative speech in noise score, median baseline CI off speech in noise score, and preoperative pure tone average (PTA).

Results indicated that the only baseline characteristics that affected the primary endpoint 1 were 1) duration of hearing loss, 2) etiology of hearing loss and 3) pre-operative speech in noise score. The mean score for participants below or equal to the median duration of hearing loss of 2 years was significantly poorer than that for duration of hearing loss above 2 years. It was found that those participants with an etiology of sudden sensorineural hearing loss performed significantly better than those with Meniere’s disease or the other group. This result should be interpreted with caution as the majority of etiologies were classified as other. It was found that those participants who had poorer preoperative speech in noise scores demonstrated significantly greater improvement.
For coprimary effectiveness endpoint 2, the only baseline characteristic that affected the endpoint was baseline speech in noise for the spatial configuration SONNH obtained in the CI off condition (NH alone). Participants with poorer speech understanding in noise (>1.2 dB) in the CI off condition demonstrated significantly more improvement in the bimodal listening condition (CI + NH).

There were no differences in the consistency of primary endpoints across investigational sites.

Secondary effectiveness endpoint

Twenty four participants had localisation data available for analysis. Table 4 summarises the results on the localisation test showing the root mean square (RMS) error. The RMS error was significantly improved by 18.8 degrees, in the bimodal condition (cochlear implant + normal hearing) compared to the normal hearing (cochlear implant off) ear alone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Localisation (RMS error)</th>
<th>CI Off Mean ± SD, Median (IQR)</th>
<th>CI On Mean ± SD, Median (IQR)</th>
<th>Difference Mean ± SD, Median (IQR)</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
<th>1-sided p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54.3 ± 16.8, 52.2 (41.8, 63.0)</td>
<td>35.5 ± 16.7, 33.0 (26.4, 44.5)</td>
<td>-18.8 ± 16.1, -18.9 (-26.7, -11.8)</td>
<td>(-25.6, -12.0)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Localisation outcomes (N=24)
Results of clinical studies

Patient reported outcomes

There were 14 participants who completed the SSQ preoperatively and 10 participants who completed it at 6 months postactivation. As shown in Table 5, there was a significant mean improvement on each subscale, with the biggest difference found on the Spatial Hearing subscale. Preoperative to postactivation mean differences were significant based on paired t-tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>6 months postoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech &amp; Hearing</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.26 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Hearing</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.19 ± 1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound Qualities</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.24 ± 1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.56 ± 1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Median (IQR)</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
<th>1-sided p-value (mean difference &gt;0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speech &amp; Hearing</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.09 ± 1.59</td>
<td>2.15 (1.00, 2.60)</td>
<td>(0.95, 3.23)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Hearing</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.38 ± 1.34</td>
<td>2.70 (0.70, 3.30)</td>
<td>(1.42, 3.34)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound Qualities</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.04 ± 1.24</td>
<td>1.05 (0.50, 1.70)</td>
<td>(0.15, 1.93)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.84 ± 1.17</td>
<td>1.80 (1.20, 2.50)</td>
<td>(1.00, 2.68)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Preoperative to 6 month postactivation statistical outcomes for the SSQ49

Iowa Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire

Preoperative and postactivation data were available for 10 participants. At 6 months postoperative, 6 of the 9 (67%) participants with preoperative to postactivation scores reported an improvement in their tinnitus. At 12 months, 7/10 (70%) participants reported an improvement in their tinnitus.
Children

As of February 2020, Cochlear performed a systematic literature search in PubMed and EMBASE databases to assess effectiveness of implantation with a Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant in infants aged between 9 months and 12 months. A multi-step literature search process resulted in a final set of studies (49 peer-reviewed articles) representing additional relevant research on cochlear implantation for patients less than 12 months old. Effectiveness outcomes from the literature data support that implantation before 12 months of age supports paediatric cochlear implant recipients’ improved speech and language development.
Device description

Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant systems are designed to provide useful hearing. The system works by converting sound in the environment into electric pulses that stimulate the auditory nerve, allowing the brain to perceive sound.

The Cochlear Nucleus cochlear implant system has implanted and external components.

Implanted component

The cochlear implant is surgically implanted under the skin behind the ear. It includes a receiver/stimulator to receive and decode the electrical signals from the sound processor and an electrode to deliver these signals to the cochlea.

External components

The external components include a sound processor, and associated accessories and cables.

The system is programmed by a Cochlear proprietary programming software.

For information on compatibility between implants and sound processors, refer to the Custom Sound® User Guide.
New features

CI600 Series implants have implant coil plates either side of a magnet pocket which contains a removable magnet cassette. This design allows for magnet removal and replacement from the distal end of the implant coil, if required.

![Figure 1](image)

The CI624 Slim 20 straight lateral wall electrode array has a maximum insertion depth of 20 mm. Use of the electrode may be preferable in such instances when insertion depth is purposely limited to 20 mm. The CI622 contains another straight lateral wall electrode array that has a maximum insertion depth of 25 mm.
The Cochlear™ Nucleus® CI624 cochlear implant with Slim 20 electrode

The CI624 implant is a CI600 Series implant.

1 Intracochlear electrode
2 White marker indicating 20 mm insertion depth
3 Handle
4 Extracochlear electrode
5 Receiver/stimulator (printed information on bone side)
6 Model name
7 Serial number
8 Barcode
9 Implant coil with magnet

Figure 2: CI624 cochlear implant with Slim 20 electrode (bone side)

1 Implant coil with magnet
2 Extracochlear electrode (plate) to face upwards/skin
3 Intracochlear electrode

Figure 3: CI624 cochlear implant with Slim 20 electrode (skin side)
1 Handle
2 White marker indicating 20 mm active array
3 Intracochlear electrode with 22 half-band contacts (distal end gently curves away from the handle)

Figure 4: Slim 20 electrode

1 SKIN SIDE engraving denoting correct orientation of magnet cassette in magnet pocket
2 Magnet cassette cover

Figure 5: Cochlear Nucleus Magnet Cassette (skin side)
Surgical instruments and accessories

Instruments and accessories in this section are appropriate for use with Cochlear Nucleus CI600 Series implants.

All items except the Sterile Silicone Implant Template are available to be ordered individually. As indicated below, some items are included in the CI500 Series Surgical Instrument Kit. An upgrade kit is also available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>Product code</th>
<th>CI500 Instrument Kit</th>
<th>CI500 Upgrade Kit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AOS™ Forceps for the Contour Advance® Electrode</td>
<td>Z60770</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTE Template</td>
<td>Z33011</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI500 Series Recess Gauge</td>
<td>Z139274</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI500 Series Implant Template</td>
<td>Z139273</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contour® Electrode Claw</td>
<td>Z33021</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrode Claw (Straight)</td>
<td>Z30090</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contour Advance® Depth Gauge</td>
<td>Z179994</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth Gauge (Straight)</td>
<td>Z60006</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template*</td>
<td>S211296</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI500 Series Non-Sterile Silicone Implant Template</td>
<td>Z179609</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacer for Intraoperative Testing</td>
<td>Z33012</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accessories</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Magnetic Cassette</td>
<td>P782484</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement Magnet Cassette</td>
<td>P782485</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Supplied with implant; not available separately
Items used with the Cochlear Nucleus CI624 cochlear implant are referenced in the *Surgical procedure* and *MRI safety information* sections of this guide.

Dispose of used items according to your institution's policy on the disposal of used instruments and accessories.

⚠️ **Warning**

Do not use surgical instruments or accessories supplied or intended to be sterile if they become non-sterile, e.g. if dropped or mishandled in theatre.
Surgical instruments and accessories

Reusable after reprocessing

These instruments are stainless steel, and can be cleaned and resterilised as instructed in the *Surgical Instrument Sterilisation Reprocessing Guide*.

**AOS™ Forceps for the Contour Advance® Electrode**  
Z60770

Used to grasp or hold the Contour Advance electrode during its insertion into the cochlea. Curved tip ends gently cup the array to improve stability and minimise rotation.

**Caution**

To avoid damaging the electrode, before each use hold forceps tips closed and ensure they are parallel and aligned. If not, do not use, as it may be difficult to release the electrode after insertion.

**BTE Template**  
Z33011

Used to ensure the implant position provides space for a behind-the-ear sound processor.

**CI500 Series Recess**  
Z139274

Used to mark the bone recess on the skull, measure the depth of the bone recess and check the location of the electrode exit excavation after drilling.
### CI500 Series Implant Template  
**Z139273**

Used to determine, or check, the shape of the implant, bone recess excavation and the position of the implant.

### Contour Electrode Claw  
**Z33021**

Aids insertion of the Contour Advance electrode into the cochlea.  
Gold-plated handle.

### Electrode Claw (Straight)  
**Z30090**

Aids insertion of the Straight electrode into the cochlea.
Surgical instruments and accessories

Single-use sterile

These items are supplied sterile for single-use only.

⚠️ Warning
Do not resterilise. Do not use more than once. Re-use could cause infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Magnetic Cassette</th>
<th>P782484</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If the recipient requires single or multiple MRI examinations on the head, a non-magnetic cassette is used to replace the magnet cassette.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For more information see MRI safety information on page 67.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replacement Magnet Cassette</th>
<th>P782485</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used to replace a non-magnetic cassette after MRI examinations are complete.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For more information see MRI safety information on page 67.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

🔍 Note
- Non-magnetic cassettes and replacement magnet cassettes are supplied in a silicone carrier, as illustrated below. Remove the cassette from the silicone carrier before use.

- When marking the incision site, the silicone carrier can be used as a template. For details see Removing and replacing the magnet cassette or non-magnetic cassette after implantation on page 74.
Surgical instruments and accessories

### Depth Gauges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contour Advance Depth Gauge</th>
<th>Depth Gauge (Straight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z179994</td>
<td>Z60006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depth gauges are typically used in the sterile field when:
- pre-operative imaging to assess cochlea patency is inconclusive or unavailable, and
- it is suspected that cochlear obstruction such as ossification may prevent successful electrode insertion.

Use of depth gauges is not intended for normal cochleae where there is no suspicion of obstruction or malformation.

For more information refer to the appropriate *Depth Gauge User Guide*. 
Surgical instruments and accessories

CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template

Used in the sterile field to check periosteal pocket size, implant bone recess shape and depth, and tie-down hole positions.

Provided with the implant; not available separately. For more information see warnings below and 2. Opening the CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template on page 44.

⚠️ Warning

- For temporary use only. Not for implantation.
- Supplied sterile. Sterilised in ethylene oxide. Do not resterilise.
- Single-use item. Do not use more than once. Re-use could cause infection.
- Do not use if packaging is damaged.
- Do not use if item becomes non-sterile e.g. dropped or mishandled in theatre after removal from packaging.
- Use with CI500 and CI600 Series implants only.
Non-sterile

These items are supplied non-sterile and are single use. They should not be sterilised.

⚠️ Warning
Do not use more than once. Re-use could cause infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CI500 Series Non-Sterile Silicone Implant Template</th>
<th>Z179609</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used to determine/check the optimum implant position and mark it on the skin before incision.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⚠️ Warning
Do not use in the sterile field. Use in the sterile field could cause infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spacer for Intraoperative Testing</th>
<th>Z33012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When the processor coil is placed directly over the implant coil, use the spacer to ensure there is enough distance between the coils.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⚠️ Warning
Must be used in a sterile sleeve. Use without a sterile sleeve could cause infection.
Surgical procedure

The surgical procedure described in this guide is only one approach to implanting the Cochlear Nucleus CI624 cochlear implant.

The surgical procedure includes the following:
1. Pre-incision: non-sterile field – page 43
2. Opening the CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template – page 44
3. Incision – page 45
4. Mastoidectomy and preparing the bone recess – page 46
5. Drilling tie-down holes – page 49
6. Opening the facial recess – page 50
7. Preparing the cochleostomy or round window – page 51
8. Inspecting the cochlear implant and electrodes – page 54
9. Positioning and securing the implant – page 55
10. Securing the extracochlear electrode – page 56
11. Inserting the intracochlear electrode – page 57
12. Securing and sealing the intracochlear electrode – page 60
13. Performing intraoperative measurements – page 62
14. Closure – page 63

Where a surgical instrument is mentioned in the procedure, see *Surgical instruments and accessories* on page 34.
1. Pre-incision: non-sterile field

1. Place the BTE Template in position on the ear. Ensure there will be sufficient clearance between the receiver/stimulator and an ear level sound processor so that the sound processor will not rest on the receiver/stimulator.

2. Place the Non-Sterile Silicone Implant Template on the skin so that the antero-inferior edge is at least 10 mm behind the edge of the BTE Template and above the canthomeatal line. Angle the Non-Sterile Silicone Implant Template 30 to 45 degrees postero-superiorly, to lie on a flat portion of the skull. Mark its position on the scalp.

**Note**

For bilateral patients, position the second receiver/stimulator so that it is symmetrical with the first.

3. Mark the incision with a marking pen. Allow at least 15 mm between the implant and the incision.

   The incision must be large enough to accommodate the cochlear implant. The flap may be inferiorly- or anteriorly-based but must allow the surgeon to secure the implant to the bone.

4. The Implant Template can be used to mark the position of the electrode lead exit for the proposed bone excavation for the receiver/stimulator. Mark with a drop of methylene blue on the bone using a 21 gauge needle through the skin.

5. Before incision, the incision line may be infiltrated with local anaesthetic and 1:100 000 or 1:200 000 adrenaline, or epinephrine, unless contraindicated.
Surgical procedure

2. Opening the CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template

One CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template is packaged with each implant. For more information on use of the template see CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template on page 40.

Non-sterile field
1. Remove the cardboard box (outer packaging).
2. Break the seal on the outer tray, and confirm that:
   • exposure to ethylene oxide processing is indicated by a green dot on the outer tray
   • the two inner trays are not damaged.
3. Notice that the tray containing the Sterile Silicone Implant Template has a blue stripe. The tray containing the cochlear implant displays the Cochlear logo.

⚠️ Warning
To avoid infection, if the sterile package is damaged do not use the template.

Sterile field
1. Remove the Template tray (blue stripe) and break the seal.

⚠️ Note
Keep the cochlear implant tray (white seal) to one side, within the sterile field, with the seal intact until later in the surgery.
2. Lift the Sterile Silicone Implant Template from the tray.
3. Incision

**Warning**

If the patient has an implant in the other ear, do not use monopolar electrosurgical instruments. Bipolar electrosurgical instruments may be used.

1. Make the incision down to the avascular plane of the periosteum and temporalis fascia, long enough to provide sufficient access. Stabilise the area using retraction as necessary.

2. Use the Implant Template or the Sterile Silicone Implant Template to check the position of the implant.

3. Incise the underlying periosteum and lower portion of the temporalis fascia creating a fibromuscular/periosteal flap based either anteriorly or posteriorly.

4. Elevate a periosteal pocket to accommodate the implant coil.

5. Elevate a narrow periosteal pocket against the bone under the temporalis muscle. This is to make a place for the extracochlear electrode between the skull and the periosteum, i.e. under the temporalis muscle.
4. Mastoidectomy and preparing the bone recess

The cortical mastoidectomy is described next. Some surgeons prefer to drill the implant recess first.

The cortical mastoidectomy

Create an adequate cortical mastoidectomy cavity, allowing an overhang both superiorly and posteriorly to accommodate any redundant proximal electrode lead.

Note

For children, it is recommended that a mastoidectomy be performed.

The bone recess

The blue dye dot on the bone indicates the position of the channel for the electrode lead exit.

Use the Recess Gauge, Bone Recess Template, Implant Template or the Sterile Silicone Implant Template to determine the angular orientation of the implant. This is usually placed at 30 to 45 degrees above the temporal line.

Warning

When drilling the bone recess, take care to avoid injury to the underlying dura.
To drill the bone recess:

1. Mark the recess using a surgical marker with the aid of the Recess Gauge, Implant Template, or the Sterile Silicone Implant Template.

2. Drill the bone recess. Aim to achieve a flat surface 'ramp', starting deeper on the anterior end of the implant and tapering off posteriorly. The ramp should be approximately 2.2 mm deep at the antero-inferior end of the implant, depending on the thickness of the skull. Providing that the skull is sufficiently thick, drilling deeper will result in a lower profile beneath the skin flap.

3. Check the final dimensions of the bone recess using the Recess Gauge or Implant Template.
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1. Ramped bone recess
2. Channel
3. Mastoidectomy cavity

Figure 7: Ramped bone recess, electrode channel and mastoidectomy

4. Place the Implant Template or Recess Gauge in the bone recess and use it to mark the exit of the electrode.
5. Drill a channel to connect the bone recess and mastoid cavity – see Figure 7. The channel will help protect the electrode against trauma.
6. Use the Recess Gauge to check the position and depth of the electrode exit.
5. Drilling tie-down holes

1. Using the implant seat for orientation (see The bone recess on page 46), mark tie-down holes above and below the anterior portion of the receiver/stimulator to ensure the implant can be secured.

2. Drill these holes with a 2 mm diamond burr.

**Note**

For children, an elevator may be used to protect the dura.

For additional support, posterior tie-down holes may be drilled or the implant coil can be placed under a pericranium pocket.

![Image of implant seat](image)

**Figure 8: Tie-down holes for CI600 Series implants**

**Warning**

When drilling the tie-down holes, take care to avoid injury to the underlying dura.
Surgical procedure

6. Opening the facial recess
1. Open the facial recess ensuring it gives as much visibility and access as possible. The horizontal canal and short process of the incus should be clearly visualised.
2. Identify the facial nerve and chorda tympani nerve, but do not expose them.

   The posterior portion of the middle ear, including the stapedius tendon, promontory and round window niche (RWN), should be clearly visualised.

   In some instances of poor round window visualisation, the chorda tympani nerve is unavoidably cut to perform an extended facial recess approach.
7. Preparing the cochleostomy or round window

The CI624 cochlear implant with Slim 20 electrode is compatible with both round window and cochleostomy approaches.

This section describes site preparation for both approaches. For details on inserting the electrode see 11. Inserting the intracochlear electrode on page 57.

Cochleostomy
1. Visualise the stapes to confirm the site of the round window, and visualise the round window membrane. It is approximately 2 mm inferior and slightly posterior to the oval window.

The round window membrane may be obscured by the overhang of the lateral margin of the niche and a mucosal false membrane. It may be necessary to gently drill away the overhang to see the round window membrane.

2. Perform a cochleostomy into the scala tympani using a 1.4 mm or 1.0 mm diamond burr at low speed.

Position the cochleostomy inferior and slightly anterior to the round window membrane. It should be close to, or incorporating, the round window niche (RWN). A slight blue line of endosteum should become visible as the bone is being thinned for the cochleostomy. This indicates the location of the scala tympani.

⚠️ Warning
Damage to the cochlea or vestibular system may be caused by drilling too far anteriorly or superiorly. This will result in the endosteum appearing white and the scala media or vestibuli may be entered.

⚠️ Caution
Incorrect electrode placement may result from drilling too far inferiorly. This will miss the cochlea entirely and a hypotympanic air cell may be entered. Take care to remove bone dust, blood and other fluids from the cochleostomy.
Surgical procedure

3. Drill sufficient bone with the 1.4 mm or 1.0 mm diamond burr to expose at least 1.5 mm of endosteum.

⚠️ **Warning**

To avoid risk of contamination do not open the endosteum until immediately before insertion of the electrode as described in 11. *Inserting the intracochlear electrode* on page 57.
Surgical procedure

Round window

1. Visualise the stapes to confirm the site of the round window, and visualise the round window membrane. It is approximately 2 mm inferior and slightly posterior to the oval window.

   The round window membrane may be obscured by the overhang of the lateral margin of the niche. It may be necessary to drill away the overhang to see the round window membrane.

   Figure 10: Round window target area

2. Remove the false membrane.

   **Warning**
   Do not open the round window membrane until immediately before insertion of the electrode as described in 11. *Inserting the intracochlear electrode* on page 57.
Surgical procedure

8. Inspecting the cochlear implant and electrodes

If the Sterile Silicone Implant Template is not unpacked see 2. Opening the CI500 Series Sterile Silicone Implant Template on page 44.

Sterile field

1. Remove the cochlear implant from the sterile packaging tray.
2. Confirm the cochlear implant is not damaged.

⚠️ Warning

• To avoid infection or revision surgery, do not use the implant if the sterile package or the implant are damaged.

• To avoid damage to tissue or the implant, from this point do not use monopolar electrosurgical instruments on the neck and head of the patient.

Bipolar electrosurgical instruments may be used; however the cautery electrode tips must not contact the cochlear implant and should be kept more than 1 cm (½ in) from the electrodes.

⚠️ Caution

To avoid damaging the cochlear implant:

• Do not bend the electrode as the wires inside are malleable and will deform.

• Leave the protective tube on the electrode until just before insertion.
9. Positioning and securing the implant

1. Place the receiver/stimulator skin side up in the bone recess, with the implant coil in the subperiosteal/pericranial pocket between the tie-down holes.

   For information on correct implant orientation see Device description on page 30.

   **Caution**
   - To avoid damage, do not bend the implant coil.

2. Place the electrode lead in the centre of the channel.

3. Secure the receiver/stimulator with a single suture, using a non-absorbable synthetic material.

   Move the knot to the edge of the cochlear implant.

   **Note**
   - Do not suture directly over the magnet as this may obstruct potential magnet removal – see Figure 16 on page 70
10. Securing the extracochlear electrode

Carefully place the extracochlear electrode against the bone under the temporalis muscle.

⚠️ Caution
To avoid mechanical stress on the electrode lead, do not place the extracochlear electrode in the temporalis muscle.
11. Inserting the intracochlear electrode

**Warning**
- In the event of suboptimal placement, it is recommended to remove the electrode and use the backup implant instead.

**Caution**
- Use minimal force. Do not rush the insertion.
- During insertion, ensure the array does not kink and the half-band electrode contacts remain oriented towards the modiolus.

**Before insertion**

The following should be performed immediately before insertion of the electrode:

**Inserting via a cochleostomy**
1. Open the endosteum with an otologic hook and ensure that the cochleostomy is wide enough to accommodate the electrode.
2. Remove any sharp edge of bone which might snag the electrode.

**Warning**
To avoid residual hearing loss or vestibular issues, do not suction the perilymph.

**Inserting via the round window**

Make a straight incision the width of the round window.
Surgical procedure

Insertion

Note

To prevent movement of the electrode in the cochlea before the insertion, ensure the lead is not twisted or coiled.

1. Grasp the protective tube (in the end section) and carefully remove the tube from the electrode. Do not squeeze or stretch the electrode.

2. Guide the tip of the array toward the cochleostomy or round window using AOS forceps (or similar surgical tweezers) to hold the electrode by the handle. The Electrode Claw can also be used to help guide the electrode.
3. Begin slowly inserting the electrode, ensuring that the handle remains oriented inferiorly (away from the modiolus). The handle is located on the opposite side of the electrode contacts. The electrode contacts are to remain oriented towards the modiolus.

⚠️ **Warning**

Do not force if resistance is felt before full insertion

![Electrode insertion with handle oriented inferiorly (away from the modiolus)](image)

Figure 12: Electrode insertion with handle oriented inferiorly (away from the modiolus)

4. Continue inserting the electrode to a suitable depth using the white marker located at 20 mm on the electrode as a guide. The maximum recommended insertion depth is 20 mm. It is not necessary to insert the electrode to the maximum depth of 20 mm. Partial insertion is better than forcing the electrode beyond the point of first resistance.

5. Continue to hold the array in position with the forceps.

⚠️ **Warning**

Movement of the excess electrode lead could result in the electrode twisting and potentially damaging cochlear structures. Immediately after inserting the electrode and before arranging the excess proximal electrode lead in the mastoid cavity, the electrode must be immobilised. Ensure the electrode is held continuously by the handle.
12. Securing and sealing the intracochlear electrode

1. Whilst continuing to hold the electrode in place, stabilise the electrode array to minimise movement inside the cochlea.

   To limit the risk of migration, the electrode should be further secured. The method of fixation, and choice of fixation points, will depend on surgical access and the surgeon’s discretion.

2. Coil the excess proximal electrode lead inside the mastoid cavity under the bony overhangs. Pack completely around the electrode in the cochleostomy or round window with an autograft consisting of strips of fascia or pericranium to ensure there are no gaps in the seal.

   **Warning**
   
   Seal the cochleostomy or round window to avoid an open pathway to the inner ear.

   **Note**
   
   If there is a perilymph leak, extra tissue may be needed to ensure that the seal is tight.

3. Place any excess loop of the extracochlear electrode in the mastoid cavity.

   **Note**
   
   If the electrode leads are able to migrate into subcutaneous tissue they may be subject to excessive movement and fatigue. To avoid this, ensure the leads are secure within the cavity, but do not suture over the electrode leads with fine gauge sutures.
Confirmation of electrode placement

Before closure, an X-ray may be obtained (preferably a lateral or modified Stenver's view) to confirm proper electrode placement.

13. Performing intraoperative measurements

Intraoperative measurements via telemetry may now be performed.

1. Replace the flap.
2. Put the processor coil and cable in a sterile sleeve.

⚠️ **Warning**

To avoid infection, if using the Intraoperative Spacer place the coil on top of the Intraoperative Spacer in the sterile sleeve.

3. Place the external coil over the implant magnet.

🔍 **Note**

- The transmitting range of the cochlear implant is 1 mm to 10 mm. However, a maximum skin flap thickness of 6 mm to 10 mm is required for good magnet retention.
- The cochlear implant may not function properly if the processor coil is placed directly on top of the receiver/stimulator.
- Methods to determine that the cochlear implant is functioning properly include impedance measurement using a Cochlear proprietary programming system.
14. Closure
1. Pack the facial recess with soft tissue.
2. Suture the palva flap over the proximal portion of the intracochlear electrode lead.
3. Close the wound in layers. Drainage is not recommended.
4. Apply a large mastoid pressure dressing.
Post-operative management

Monitor the patient as for all procedures involving general anaesthesia. Keep the pressure dressing on for one day, then inspect the wound and apply another dressing for five days.

Fitting the sound processor

The initial fitting procedure for the sound processor should be scheduled after a healing period. Fitting should be checked at three months, six months and one year postoperatively, then at yearly intervals (or more frequently if required by the condition of the patient).

Registering the implant

Registration form

Complete the registration form. Send the completed form to Cochlear within 30 days of receiving the product.

Patient identification card

Fill out the implant model number and ear details on the patient identification card. Give the card to the patient or their carer.

The patient or their carer should carry the patient identification card with them at all times.
Identifying the implant

For information on identifying Cochlear implants without surgical intervention, refer to the Cochlear Nucleus Implants MRI Guidelines.

Explanting the implant

In rare circumstances, it may be necessary to explant a cochlear implant. Please follow the steps below.

1. Contact Cochlear to order a Retrieved Device Kit. The kit must be used to transport the explanted device to Cochlear.
2. Read the instructions provided with the kit.
3. Before explanting the device, examine it for any defects. Note these on the form provided with the kit.
4. Try to keep the explanted device intact and undamaged. To assist in removing the device undamaged you can cut the intracochlear electrode lead. See Cutting the intracochlear electrode lead on page 66.
5. If the intracochlear electrode lead is removed from the cochlea, place it in the kit, even if it is damaged.
6. Return the kit containing the explanted device to the Cochlear address nearest you.
Post-operative management

Cutting the intracochlear electrode lead

Cut the intracochlear electrode lead if it will assist you to remove the device without damaging it. The cut should be in the region of the electrode lead shown below.

If required to remove the electrode lead without damage, cut the electrode lead before the handle:

![Figure 13: Slim 20 electrode lead cut location for explantation](image)

If the extracochlear electrode is difficult to remove, cut the extracochlear lead and leave the electrode in place.

Reporting problems

Legislation on medical devices requires the manufacturer to report adverse events to the appropriate authorities. Should such an incident occur, notify the nearest Cochlear office or its official distributor as soon as possible.
MRI safety information

The Cochlear Nucleus CI624 cochlear implant is MR Conditional. MRI examinations can be performed safely on a person with this implanted device only under very specific conditions. MRI examinations performed under different conditions may result in severe patient injury or device malfunction.

Full MRI safety information is available:
• in the Cochlear Nucleus Implants MRI Guidelines
• by visiting www.cochlear.com/warnings
• by calling your regional Cochlear office – contact numbers are available on the back cover of this guide.

All external components of the Cochlear implant system (e.g. sound processors, remote assistants and related accessories) are MR Unsafe. The patient must remove all external components of their Cochlear implant system before entering a room where an MRI scanner is located.
Removing the magnet cassette

Cochlear Nucleus CI600 Series implants are designed to withstand MRI at static magnetic field strengths described in the *Cochlear Nucleus Implants MRI Guidelines*.

Before MRI examination, in some instances the magnet cassette must be removed in a sterile surgical environment. If single or multiple MRI examinations on the head are needed with the magnet cassette removed, replace the magnet cassette with a non-magnetic cassette.

⚠️ **Warning**
To prevent infection, do not leave the magnet pocket empty.
When removing the magnet cassette, replace the magnet cassette with a non-magnetic cassette.

⚠️ **Caution**
When removing or inserting a magnet cassette or non-magnetic cassette:
- Take care to not damage the implant silicone or coil wires.
- Minimise force applied to the implant and electrodes.
- Minimise pressure applied to the implant coil.

📝 **Note**
While the magnet cassette is removed, the recipient must wear a Cochlear Disk Retainer to hold their sound processor coil in place. Disk retainers are available from Cochlear.
Replacement magnet cassettes and non-magnetic cassettes

**Warning**

To avoid implant damage during MRI examination and potential revision surgery, ensure CI600 Series magnet cassettes and non-magnetic cassettes are used.

Do not use magnets and non-magnetic plugs for other implants, such as CI500 and CI24RE Series.

Replacement magnet cassettes and non-magnetic cassettes are available from Cochlear.

Figure 14: Nucleus Replacement Magnet Cassette – P782485

Figure 15: Nucleus Non-Magnetic Cassette – P782484
MRI safety information

Removing the magnet cassette before implantation

If an MRI examination is scheduled in the near future, it may be appropriate to replace the magnet cassette with a non-magnetic cassette before the device is implanted.

The replacement procedure should take place under sterile conditions.

Replacing magnet cassette with non-magnetic cassette before implantation

1. In sterile conditions, remove the implant from its sterile packaging and place it on a flat and stable surface with the bone side (engraved side) facing down.

![Diagram of implant components]

1 Implant coil plate (skin side)
2 Implant coil silicone
3 Magnet cassette cover

**Warning**

To avoid infection or implant damage do not use the implant.
2. At the distal end of the implant coil, carefully position forceps or similar instrument under the silicone lip to hold the centre of the magnet cassette cover.

![Figure 17: Forceps position on CI624 magnet cassette cover](image)

- **Caution**
  When holding the magnet cassette cover, take care not to damage the silicone lip or the silicone around the magnet pocket opening.

![Figure 18: CI624 implant with magnet cassette removed](image)

3. Using constant traction, remove the magnet cassette from the magnet pocket. The magnet cassette cover is designed to stretch under the constant traction applied during removal.
   
The removal direction is in the same plane as the implant coil, towards the distal end of the implant – see arrow in **Figure 19**.

![Silicone around magnet pocket opening](image)

- **Caution**
  To avoid damaging the magnet pocket, do not apply vertical pulling force to the implant coil.
MRI safety information

Figure 19: CI624 implant with magnet cassette partially removed

Note
If the magnet cassette cover pulls away, use forceps to hold the metal tab and continue removal.

Figure 20: Metal tab on magnet cassette

Figure 21: CI624 implant, magnet cassette removal using metal tab

4. Dispose of the removed magnet cassette. It is not re-usable.
5. To insert the sterile non-magnetic cassette into the magnet pocket, remove it from the packaging and silicone carrier. Ensure the MRI engraving is facing up (skin side).

**Warning**

To avoid infection, if the sterile package is damaged do not use the non-magnetic cassette.

Insert the non-magnetic cassette into the magnet pocket between the implant coil plates, being careful not to exert undue force or pressure on the implant or implant coil.

6. Ensure the non-magnetic cassette is fully inserted into the magnet pocket and the non-magnetic cassette cover is flush with the surrounding implant silicone.

The implant is now ready for implantation.

When there is no further need for MRI examinations, replace the non-magnetic cassette as instructed in *Removing and replacing the magnet cassette or non-magnetic cassette after implantation on page 74.*
Removing and replacing the magnet cassette or non-magnetic cassette after implantation

**Warning**
Do not use vertical force. Take care not to displace the implant.
Use of excessive or vertical force could lead to implant or electrode migration, causing the implant to malfunction and require removal, replacement or revision surgery.

**Caution**
- Take care not to damage the implant silicone or coil wires.
- When holding the magnet cassette cover or non-magnetic cassette cover, take care not to damage the silicone lip or the silicone around the magnet pocket opening.

**Note**
The magnet cassette or non-magnetic cassette can be safely removed and replaced with a new sterile magnet cassette or non-magnetic cassette up to eight times without any adverse effect to the implant.

Remove the magnet cassette or non-magnetic cassette in sterile conditions, using either general or local anaesthetic.

1. Make an incision beyond the distal end of the implant coil.

**Note**
You may use the cassette’s silicone carrier to mark the incision:

![Diagram showing incision line and silicone carrier](image)

Figure 23: Marking the incision using the silicone carrier
2. Cut through any fibrous growth around the implant, exposing the distal end of the implant coil and the cassette cover. Ensure there is good visibility and access to the cassette cover.

3. Stabilise the implant, taking care to minimise force applied to the implant coil.

4. At the distal end of the implant coil, carefully position forceps or similar instrument under the silicone lip to hold the centre of the cassette cover.

5. Using constant traction, remove the magnet cassette or non-magnetic cassette from the magnet pocket. The removal direction is in the same plane as the implant coil, towards the distal end of the implant – see arrow in Figure 25 on page 76.

**Note**

The magnet cassette and non-magnetic cassette have been designed to remain in place and not move during an MRI examination. Therefore additional force may be required to remove the magnet cassette or non-magnetic cassette. In such cases, ensure the implant is sufficiently stabilised during removal.
MRI safety information

Note

If the cassette cover pulls away, use forceps to hold the metal tab and continue removal.

6. Dispose of the removed magnet cassette or non-magnetic cassette. They are not re-usable.
7. To insert a sterile replacement magnet cassette or non-magnetic cassette, remove it from the packaging and silicone carrier. Ensure that:

- the engraving SKIN SIDE (or MRI) is facing up – see Figure 28 below.
- there is good visibility and access to the magnet pocket.

⚠️ Warning

To avoid infection, if the sterile package is damaged do not use the replacement magnet cassette or non-magnetic cassette.

8. Stabilise the implant, taking care to minimise force applied to the implant coil.

9. Insert the replacement magnet cassette or non-magnetic cassette into the magnet pocket between the implant coil plates, being careful not to exert undue force or pressure on the implant or implant coil.

Ensure the replacement magnet cassette or non-magnetic cassette is fully inserted into the magnet pocket and the cassette cover is flush with the surrounding implant silicone.

10. Closure – close the wound in layers (drainage is not recommended) and apply a large pressure bandage.
How the implant is supplied

The implant, non-magnetic cassette and replacement magnet cassette are single-use items, not to be used more than once. Non-magnetic cassettes and replacement magnet cassettes are supplied separately.

All of the above components are supplied in sterile gas-permeable packaging. Ethylene oxide processing is indicated on the label of each sterile package.

Before opening the sterile package, inspect it carefully. Return the device and packaging to Cochlear if:

• the ‘use by’ date stamped on the outside package has expired
• the sterile package containing the implant is ruptured
• exposure to ethylene oxide processing is not indicated by a green dot on the sterile pack.

Transport and handling

Nucleus cochlear implants inside their sterile packaging within the implant box have been validated for transport and handling temperatures from -10 °C (+14 °F) to +55 °C (+131 °F).

Handle with care. Severe impact may rupture the sterile package inside.

Storage

Store Nucleus cochlear implants inside their sterile packaging within the implant box at room temperature. Keep dry.
CI624 implant specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intracochlear electrodes</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of electrodes</td>
<td>22 electrodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between centre of electrode contacts</td>
<td>0.85 mm to 0.95 mm when straight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of electrodes (cross-sectional dimension)</td>
<td>0.6 mm x 0.5 mm at proximal end, tapering to 0.35 mm x 0.25 mm at distal end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact surface area</td>
<td>0.14 mm$^2$ to 0.20 mm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active array length when straightened</td>
<td>19.1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal electrode length when straightened</td>
<td>20 mm from tip to marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead length from receiver/stimulator to array tip</td>
<td>105 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracochlear electrodes</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plate on receiver/stimulator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylindrical electrode 0.6 mm (typical) diameter with hemispherical tip, on a lead 60 mm in length</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver/Stimulator</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>Case: 24 mm x 23 mm x 3.9 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coil: 31 mm diameter x 3.9 mm thick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>4.2 cm$^3$ without lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>9.2 g including electrode array</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CI624 implant specifications

### Operating characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power and data</td>
<td>Received by 5 MHz inductive link from sound processor headset coil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>Biphasic pulses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulation mode</td>
<td>Monopolar, bipolar or common ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulus amplitudes</td>
<td>Programmable from 0 μA to 1750 μA nominal at 37 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum stimulus amplitude</td>
<td>Median: 1750 μA Range: 1575 μA to 1925 μA as measured according to EN 45502-2-3 / ISO 14708-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulus duration</td>
<td>Programmable from 9.6 μs to 400 μs per phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum stimulus pulse width</td>
<td>Median: 400 μs Range: 398 μs to 410 μs as measured according to EN 45502-2-3 / ISO 14708-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmitting range</td>
<td>1 mm to 10 mm (6 mm to 10 mm maximum skin flap thickness required for good magnet retention)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Measurement functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td>Displays compliance limits using Cochlear proprietary programming software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural response telemetry</td>
<td>Measure of electrically evoked compound action potential (ECAP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impedance</td>
<td>Measure of electrode impedances in monopolar and common ground modes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impedance measurement accuracy</td>
<td>80% measured according to EN 45502-2-3 / ISO 14708-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implant ID and type check</td>
<td>Enables the sound processor to confirm whether it is coupled to the nominated implant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials in contact with body tissues</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicone elastomer</td>
<td>Lead and receiver/stimulator protective coating and insulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnet cassette cover, non-magnetic cassette cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>Receiver/stimulator case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>Electrode contacts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
General information

Warranty
To the purchaser: the law in some countries requires that the written warranty for this cochlear implant must be made available for the patient’s review before it is sold to them. The Cochlear terms and conditions of warranty should therefore be given to the patient before implantation of the cochlear implant. The warranty is included in the document pack.

Symbols
The following symbols may appear on your implant or implant packaging:

- **Fragile, handle with care**
- **Do not use if package is damaged and check IFU**
- **Consult instructions for use**
- **Specific warnings or precautions associated with the device, which are not otherwise found on the label**
- **Do not re-use**
- **Do not resterilise**
- **Date of manufacture**
- **Manufacturer**
- **Use-by date**
- **Unique device identification**
General information

Keep dry

Sterilised using ethylene oxide

Rx Only

Caution: US law restricts this device to sale by, or on the order of, a physician

Catalogue number

Serial number

Single sterile barrier system with protective packaging inside

Batch code

Authorised representative in the European Community

CE registration mark with notified body number

MR Conditional

Medical Device

Bone side of implant, to be implanted with this side facing down

Skin side of magnet cassette and replacement magnet cassette
Hear now. And always.

Cochlear Ltd (ABN 96 002 618 073) 1 University Avenue, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia
Tel: +61 2 9428 6555 Fax: +61 2 9428 6352
Cochlear Ltd (ABN 96 002 618 073) 14 Mars Road, Lane Cove, NSW 2066, Australia
Tel: +61 2 9428 6555 Fax: +61 2 9428 6352
Cochlear Deutschland GmbH & Co. KG Karl-Wiechert-Allee 76A, 30625 Hannover, Germany
Tel: +49 511 53 50 77 Fax: +49 511 53 50 78
Cochlear Americas 10350 Park Meadows Drive, Lone Tree, CO 80124, USA
Tel: +1 303 790 9010 Fax: +1 303 792 9025
Cochlear Canada Inc 2500-120 Adelaide Street West, Toronto, ON M5H 1T1, Canada
Tel: +1 416 972 5082 Fax: +1 416 972 5083
Cochlear AG EMEA Headquarters, Peter Mein-Wege 4, 4052 Basel, Switzerland
Tel: +41 61 250 50 61 Fax: +41 61 250 50 60
Cochlear Europe Ltd 6 Dashwood Lang Road, Bourne Business Park, Addlestone, Surrey KT15 2HJ, United Kingdom
Tel: +44 1932 26 3400 Fax: +44 1932 26 3426
Cochlear Benelux NV Schalhornhequedet 20 t, 8-2800 Mechelen, Belgium
Tel: +31 57 19 55 11 Fax: +31 57 19 55 70
Cochlear France S.A.S. 135 Route de Saint-Simon, 31035 Toulouse, France
Tel: +33 5 34 63 85 85 Fax: +305 200 016 (National) Fax: +33 5 34 63 85 80
Cochlear Italia S.A.U. Via Trattati Comunitari Europe 197-2007 17, 40127 Bologna (BO), Italy
Tel: +39 0 51 601 53 11 Fax: +39 0 51 39 20 62
Cochlear Nordic AB Konstruktionsvägen 14, 435 33 Mölndal, Sweden
Tel: +46 31 335 14 61 Fax: +46 31 335 14 60
Cochlear Tibbi Cihazlar ve Sağlık Hizmetleri Ltd. Şti. Çubuklu Mah. Bogazici Cad., Bogazici Plaza No. 6/7, Kavacik, TR-34805 Beykoz-Istanbul, Turkey
Tel: +90 216 538 5900 Fax: +90 216 538 5919
Cochlear (HK) Limited Room 1404-1406, 14/F, Leighton Centre, 77 Leighton Road, Causeway Bay, Hong Kong
Tel: +852 2530 5773 Fax: +852 2530 5183
Cochlear Korea Ltd 1st floor, Cheongyeong Building 33, Teheran-ro 8-gil, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, Korea
Tel: +82 2 533 4450 Fax: +82 2 533 8408
Cochlear Medical Device (Beijing) Co., Ltd Unit 2608-2617, 26th Floor, No. 9 Building, No. 91 Jianguo Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100022, P.R. China
Tel: +86 10 5909 7800 Fax: +86 10 5909 7800
Cochlear Medical Device Company India Pvt. Ltd.
Ground Floor, Platina Building, Plot No C-59, G-Block, Bandra Kurla Complex, Bandra (E), Mumbai - 400 051, India
Tel: +91 22 6152 1115 Fax: +91 22 6152 1100
株式会社日本コチアラ (Nihon Cochlear Co Ltd) 〒113-0033 東京都文京区本郷2-3-7 お茶の水元町ビル
Tel: +81 3 3817 0241 Fax: +81 3 3817 0245
Cochlear Middle East FZ-LLC
Dubai Healthcare City, Al Razi Building 64, Block A, Ground Floor, Offices IR1 and IR2, Dubai, United Arab Emirates
Tel: +971 4 818 6400 Fax: +971 4 361 8925
Cochlear Latinoamérica S.A.
International Business Park, Building 3835, Office 403, Panama Pacifico, Panama
Tel: +507 830 6220 Fax: +507 830 6218
Cochlear NZ Limited
Level 4, Takapuna Towers, 19-21 Como St, Takapuna, Auckland 0622, New Zealand
Tel: +6 4 914 1983 Fax: 0800 886 036

www.cochlear.com

Cochlear implant systems are protected by one or more international patents. The statements made in this guide are believed to be true and correct as of the date of publication. However, specifications are subject to change without notice.

ACE, Advance Off-Stylet, ADS, AutoNRT, Autosensitivity, Beam, Bring Back the Beat, Button, Carina, Cochlear, Contour, Contour Advance, Custom Sound, ESPirt, Freedom, Hear now. And always, Hugfit, Hybrid, Invisible Hearing, Kango, MET, MicroDrive, MP3000, myCochlear, mySmartSound, NRT, Nucleus, Osia, Outcome Focused Fitting, Off-Stylet, Profile, Slimline, SmartSound, Softip, Spire, True Wireless, the elliptical logo, and Whisper are either trademarks or registered trademarks of Cochlear Limited. Ardium, Baha, Baha SoftWear, BCDrive, Dermalock, Human Design, Pezo Power, SoundArc, Vistafix, and WindShield are either trademarks or registered trademarks of Cochlear Bone Anchored Solutions AB.

© Cochlear Limited 2021