

xT CDx

TECHNICAL INFORMATION

Tempus Labs, Inc.
600 W Chicago Ave Ste #510, Chicago, IL 60654
Phone: (833) 514-4187

Indications For Use

xT CDx is a qualitative Next Generation Sequencing (NGS)-based in vitro diagnostic device intended for use in the detection of substitutions (single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and multi-nucleotide variants (MNVs)) and insertion and deletion alterations (INDELs) in 648 genes, as well as microsatellite instability (MSI) status, using DNA isolated from Formalin-Fixed Paraffin Embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens, and DNA isolated from matched normal blood or saliva specimens, from previously diagnosed cancer patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

The test is intended as a companion diagnostic (CDx) to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with the targeted therapies listed in the Companion Diagnostic Indications table in accordance with the approved therapeutic product labeling.

Additionally, xT CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with previously diagnosed solid malignant neoplasms. Genomic findings other than those listed in the Companion Diagnostic Indications table are not prescriptive or conclusive for labeled use of any specific therapeutic product.

xT CDx is a single-site assay performed at Tempus Labs, Inc., Chicago, IL.

Companion Diagnostic Indications

Tumor Type	Biomarker(s) Detected	Therapy
Colorectal cancer (CRC)	KRAS wild type (absence of mutations in codons 12 or 13)	Erbitux® (cetuximab)
Colorectal cancer (CRC)	KRAS wild type (absence of mutations in exons 2, 3, or 4) and NRAS wild type (absence of mutations in exons 2, 3, or 4)	Vectibix® (panitumumab)

Contraindications

There are no known contraindications.

Limitations

- For in vitro diagnostic use.
- For prescription use only. This test must be ordered by a qualified medical professional in accordance with clinical laboratory regulations.
- The acceptable preparation method for xT CDx tumor specimens is formalin-fixation and paraffin-embedding (FFPE). Other preparations have not been evaluated.
- The test is designed to report out somatic variants and is not intended to report germline variants. xT CDx sequences tumor and patient-matched normal samples to allow personalized subtraction of germline variants from tumor sequencing results.
- xT CDx requires a minimum tumor percentage of 20% for detection of variants, with tumor content enrichment recommended for specimens with tumor percentage lower than 20%. This assay may not detect variants if the proportion of tumor cells in the sample is less than 20%. xT CDx requires a minimum tumor percentage of 30% in order to determine MSI status.
- Genomic findings other than those listed in the Companion Diagnostic Indications table are not prescriptive or conclusive for labeled use of any specific therapeutic product.
- A negative result does not rule out the presence of a mutation below the limits of detection of the assay.
- The clinical validity of the device to guide MSI-related treatment decisions has not been established. MSI status is based on genome-wide analysis of 239 microsatellite loci and is not based on the 5 or 7 MSI loci described in current clinical practice guidelines. The threshold for MSI-H/MSS was determined by analytical concordance to comparator assays (IHC and PCR) using multiple cancer types. An MSI result of Equivocal indicates that microsatellite instability status of MSI-H or MSS could not be determined.
- Performance of xT CDx has not been established for detection of insertions or deletions larger than 25 base pairs.
- xT CDx is only approved for use with Tempus pre-qualified Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instruments.
- The test is intended to be performed on specific serial number-controlled instruments by Tempus Labs, Inc.
- Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community.

Test Principle

The CDx Assay (xT CDx) is a single site next generation sequencing (NGS) assay. The assay includes reagents, software, instruments, and procedures for testing DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin embedded (FFPE) tumor specimens and matched normal saliva or blood specimens. The assay employs DNA extraction methods from routinely obtained FFPE tissue samples and matched normal saliva or blood samples. Extracted DNA undergoes whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of specified regions from 648 cancer-related genes (including intronic overhangs and selected promoter regions), and 239 loci for MSI. Refer to Table 1 for a complete list of genes included in xT CDx. Using the IlluminaNovaSeq 6000 platform, hybrid-capture-selected libraries are sequenced to highly uniform depth (targeting >500x median coverage of tumor samples, with >95% of exons at >150x coverage and ≥98% of exons at ≥100x coverage). Sequence data is processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to detect substitutions (SNVs and MNVs), insertions, and deletions in coding and noncoding genomic regions targeted by the assay. Additionally, MSI status is reported based on a genomic signature.

TEMPUS

Table 1. xT CDx Gene List

ABCB1	CBFB	DNMT3A	FGFR2	HLA-G	MAGI2	PAK1	RANBP2	SUZ12
ABCC3	CBL	DOT1L	FGFR3	HNF1A	MALT1	PALB2	RARA	SYK
ABL1	CBLB	DPYD	FGFR4	HNF1B	MAP2K1	PALLD	RASA1	SYNE1
ABL2	CBLC	DYNC2H1	FH	HOXA11	MAP2K2	PAX3	RB1	TAF1
ABRAXAS1	CBR3	EBF1	FHIT	HOXB13	MAP2K4	PAX5	RBM10	TANC1
ACTA2	CCDC6	ECT2L	FLCN	HRAS	MAP3K1	PAX7	RECQL4	TAP1
ACVR1(ALK2)	CCND1	EGF	FLT1	HSD11B2	MAP3K7	PAX8	RET	TAP2
ACVR1B	CCND2	EGFR	FLT3	HSD3B1	MAPK1	PBRM1	RHEB	TARBP2
AGO1	CCND3	EGLN1	FLT4	HSD3B2	MAX	PCBP1	RHOA	TBC1D12
AJUBA	CCNE1	EIF1AX	FNTB	HSP90AA1	MC1R	PDCD1	RICTOR	TBL1XR1
AKT1	CD19	ELF3	FOXA1	HSPH1	MCL1	PDCD1LG2	RINT1	TBX3
AKT2	CD22	ELOC(TCEB1)	FOXL2	IDH1	MDM2	PDGFRA	RIT1	TCF3
AKT3	CD274(PDL1)	EMSY	FOXO1	IDH2	MDM4	PDGFRB	RNF139	TCF7L2
ALK	CD40	ENG	FOXO3	IDO1	MED12	PDK1	RNF43	TCL1A
AMER1	CD70	EP300	FOXP1	IFIT1	MEF2B	PHF6	ROS1	TERT*
APC	CD79A	EPCAM	FOXQ1	IFIT2	MEN1	PHGDH	RPL5	TET2
APLN	CD79B	EPHA2	FRS2	IFIT3	MET	PHLPP1	RPS15	TFE3
APOB	CDC73	EPHA7	FUBP1	IFNAR1	MGMT	PHLPP2	RPS6KB1	TFEB
AR	CDH1	EPHB1	FUS	IFNAR2	MIB1	PHOX2B	RPTOR	TFEC
ARAF	CDK12	EPHB2	G6PD	IFNGR1	MITF	PIAS4	RRM1	TGFBR1
ARHGAP26	CDK4	EPOR	GABRA6	IFNGR2	MKI67	PIK3C2B	RSF1	TGFBR2
ARHGAP35	CDK6	ERBB2(HER2)	GALNT12	IFNL3	MLH1	PIK3CA	RUNX1	TIGIT
ARID1A	CDK8	ERBB3	GATA1	IKBKE	MLH3	PIK3CB	RUNX1T1	TMEM127
ARID1B	CDKN1A	ERBB4	GATA2	IKZF1	MLL2	PIK3CD	RXRA	TMEM173
ARID2	CDKN1B	ERCC1	GATA3	IL10RA	MN1	PIK3CG	SCG5	TMPRSS2
ARID5B	CDKN1C	ERCC2	GATA4	IL15	MPL	PIK3R1	SDHA	TNF
ASNS	CDKN2A	ERCC3	GATA6	IL2RA	MRE11	PIK3R2	SDHAF2	TNFAIP3
ASPSCR1	CDKN2B	ERCC4	GEN1	IL6R	MS4A1	PIM1	SDHB	TNFRSF14
ASXL1	CDKN2C	ERCC5	GLI1	IL7R	MSH2	PLCG1	SDHC	TNFRSF17
ATIC	CEBPA	ERCC6	GLI2	ING1	MSH3	PLCG2	SDHD	TNFRSF9
ATM	CEP57	ERG	GNA11	INPP4B	MSH6	PML	SEC23B	TOP1
ATP7B	CFTR	ERRFI1	GNA13	IRF1	MTAP	PMS1	SEMA3C	TOP2A

TEMPUS

<i>ATR</i>	<i>CHD2</i>	<i>ESR1</i>	<i>GNAQ</i>	<i>IRF2</i>	<i>MTHFD2</i>	<i>PMS2</i>	<i>SETBP1</i>	<i>TP53</i>
<i>ATRX</i>	<i>CHD4</i>	<i>ETS1</i>	<i>GNAS</i>	<i>IRF4</i>	<i>MTHFR</i>	<i>POLD1</i>	<i>SETD2</i>	<i>TP63</i>
<i>AURKA</i>	<i>CHD7</i>	<i>ETS2</i>	<i>GPC3</i>	<i>IRS2</i>	<i>MTOR</i>	<i>POLE</i>	<i>SF3B1</i>	<i>TPM1</i>
<i>AURKB</i>	<i>CHEK1</i>	<i>ETV1</i>	<i>GPS2</i>	<i>ITPKB</i>	<i>MTRR</i>	<i>POLH</i>	<i>SGK1</i>	<i>TPMT</i>
<i>AXIN1</i>	<i>CHEK2</i>	<i>ETV4</i>	<i>GREM1</i>	<i>JAK1</i>	<i>MUTYH</i>	<i>POLQ</i>	<i>SH2B3</i>	<i>TRAF3</i>
<i>AXIN2</i>	<i>CIC</i>	<i>ETV5</i>	<i>GRIN2A</i>	<i>JAK2</i>	<i>MYB</i>	<i>POT1</i>	<i>SHH</i>	<i>TRAF7</i>
<i>AXL</i>	<i>CIITA</i>	<i>ETV6</i>	<i>GRM3</i>	<i>JAK3</i>	<i>MYC</i>	<i>POU2F2</i>	<i>SLC26A3</i>	<i>TSC1</i>
<i>B2M</i>	<i>CKS1B</i>	<i>EWSR1</i>	<i>GSTP1</i>	<i>JUN</i>	<i>MYCL</i>	<i>PPARA</i>	<i>SLC47A2</i>	<i>TSC2</i>
<i>BAP1</i>	<i>CREBBP</i>	<i>EZH2</i>	<i>H19</i>	<i>KAT6A</i>	<i>MYCN</i>	<i>PPARD</i>	<i>SLC9A3R1</i>	<i>TSHR</i>
<i>BARD1</i>	<i>CRKL</i>	<i>FAM46C</i>	<i>H3F3A</i>	<i>KDM5A</i>	<i>MYD88</i>	<i>PPARG</i>	<i>SLIT2</i>	<i>TUSC3</i>
<i>BCL10</i>	<i>CRLF2</i>	<i>FANCA</i>	<i>HAS3</i>	<i>KDM5C</i>	<i>MYH11</i>	<i>PPM1D</i>	<i>SLX4</i>	<i>TYMS</i>
<i>BCL11B</i>	<i>CSF1R</i>	<i>FANCB</i>	<i>HAVCR2</i>	<i>KDM5D</i>	<i>NBN</i>	<i>PPP1R15A</i>	<i>SMAD2</i>	<i>U2AF1</i>
<i>BCL2</i>	<i>CSF3R</i>	<i>FANCC</i>	<i>HDAC1</i>	<i>KDM6A</i>	<i>NCOR1</i>	<i>PPP2R1A</i>	<i>SMAD3</i>	<i>UBE2T</i>
<i>BCL2L1</i>	<i>CTC1</i>	<i>FANCD2</i>	<i>HDAC2</i>	<i>KDR</i>	<i>NCOR2</i>	<i>PPP2R2A</i>	<i>SMAD4</i>	<i>UGT1A1</i>
<i>BCL2L11</i>	<i>CTCF</i>	<i>FANCE</i>	<i>HDAC4</i>	<i>KEAP1</i>	<i>NF1</i>	<i>PPP6C</i>	<i>SMARCA1</i>	<i>UGT1A9</i>
<i>BCL6</i>	<i>CTLA4</i>	<i>FANCF</i>	<i>HGF</i>	<i>KEL</i>	<i>NF2</i>	<i>PRCC</i>	<i>SMARCA4</i>	<i>UMPS</i>
<i>BCL7A</i>	<i>CTNNA1</i>	<i>FANCG</i>	<i>HIF1A</i>	<i>KIF1B</i>	<i>NFE2L2</i>	<i>PRDM1</i>	<i>SMARCB1</i>	<i>VEGFA</i>
<i>BCLAF1</i>	<i>CTNNB1</i>	<i>FANCI</i>	<i>HIST1H1E</i>	<i>KIT</i>	<i>NFKBIA</i>	<i>PREX2</i>	<i>SMARCE1</i>	<i>VEGFB</i>
<i>BCOR</i>	<i>CTRC</i>	<i>FANCL</i>	<i>HIST1H3B</i>	<i>KLF4</i>	<i>NHP2</i>	<i>PRKAR1A</i>	<i>SMC1A</i>	<i>VHL</i>
<i>BCORL1</i>	<i>CUL1</i>	<i>FANCM</i>	<i>HIST1H4E</i>	<i>KLHL6</i>	<i>NKX2-1</i>	<i>PRKDC</i>	<i>SMC3</i>	<i>VSIR</i>
<i>BCR</i>	<i>CUL3</i>	<i>FAS</i>	<i>HLA-A</i>	<i>KLLN</i>	<i>NOP10</i>	<i>PRKN</i>	<i>SMO</i>	<i>WEE1</i>
<i>BIRC3</i>	<i>CUL4A</i>	<i>FAT1</i>	<i>HLA-B</i>	<i>KMT2A</i>	<i>NOTCH1</i>	<i>PRSS1</i>	<i>SOCS1</i>	<i>WNK1</i>
<i>BLM</i>	<i>CUL4B</i>	<i>FBXO11</i>	<i>HLA-C</i>	<i>KMT2B</i>	<i>NOTCH2</i>	<i>PTCH1</i>	<i>SOD2</i>	<i>WNK2</i>
<i>BMPR1A</i>	<i>CUX1</i>	<i>FBXW7</i>	<i>HLA-DMA</i>	<i>KMT2C</i>	<i>NOTCH3</i>	<i>PTCH2</i>	<i>SOX10</i>	<i>WRN</i>
<i>BRAF</i>	<i>CXCR4</i>	<i>FCGR2A</i>	<i>HLA-DMB</i>	<i>KMT2D</i>	<i>NOTCH4</i>	<i>PTEN</i>	<i>SOX2</i>	<i>WT1</i>
<i>BRCA1</i>	<i>CYLD</i>	<i>FCGR3A</i>	<i>HLA-DOA</i>	<i>KRAS</i>	<i>NPM1</i>	<i>PTPN11</i>	<i>SOX9</i>	<i>XPA</i>
<i>BRCA2</i>	<i>CYP1B1</i>	<i>FDPS</i>	<i>HLA-DOB</i>	<i>L2HGDH</i>	<i>NQO1</i>	<i>PTPN13</i>	<i>SPEN</i>	<i>XPC</i>
<i>BRD4</i>	<i>CYP2D6</i>	<i>FGF1</i>	<i>HLA-DPA1</i>	<i>LAG3</i>	<i>NRAS</i>	<i>PTPN22</i>	<i>SPINK1</i>	<i>XPO1</i>
<i>BRIP1</i>	<i>CYP3A5</i>	<i>FGF10</i>	<i>HLA-DPB1</i>	<i>LATS1</i>	<i>NRG1</i>	<i>PTPRD</i>	<i>SPOP</i>	<i>XRCC1</i>
<i>BTG1</i>	<i>CYSLTR2</i>	<i>FGF14</i>	<i>HLA-DPB2</i>	<i>LCK</i>	<i>NSD1</i>	<i>PTPRT</i>	<i>SPRED1</i>	<i>XRCC2</i>
<i>BTK</i>	<i>DAXX</i>	<i>FGF2</i>	<i>HLA-DQA1</i>	<i>LDLR</i>	<i>NSD2</i>	<i>QKI</i>	<i>SRC</i>	<i>XRCC3</i>
<i>BUB1B</i>	<i>DDB2</i>	<i>FGF23</i>	<i>HLA-DQA2</i>	<i>LEF1</i>	<i>NT5C2</i>	<i>RAC1</i>	<i>SRSF2</i>	<i>YEATS4</i>
<i>C11orf65</i>	<i>DDR2</i>	<i>FGF3</i>	<i>HLA-DQB1</i>	<i>LMNA</i>	<i>NTHL1</i>	<i>RAD21</i>	<i>STAG2</i>	<i>ZFH3</i>
<i>C3orf70</i>	<i>DDX3X</i>	<i>FGF4</i>	<i>HLA-DQB2</i>	<i>LMO1</i>	<i>NTRK1</i>	<i>RAD50</i>	<i>STAT3</i>	<i>ZMYM3</i>
<i>C8orf34</i>	<i>DICER1</i>	<i>FGF5</i>	<i>HLA-DRA</i>	<i>LRP1B</i>	<i>NTRK2</i>	<i>RAD51</i>	<i>STAT4</i>	<i>ZNF217</i>

TEMPUS

CALR	DIRC2	FGF6	HLA-DRB1	LYN	NTRK3	RAD51B	STAT5A	ZNF471
CARD11	DIS3	FGF7	HLA-DRB5	LZTR1	NUDT15	RAD51C	STAT5B	ZNF620
CARM1	DIS3L2	FGF8	HLA-DRB6	MAD2L2	NUP98	RAD51D	STAT6	ZNF750
CASP8	DKC1	FGF9	HLA-E	MAF	OLIG2	RAD54L	STK11	ZNRF3
CASR	DNM2	FGFR1	HLA-F	MAFB	P2RY8	RAF1	SUFU	ZRSR2

**promoter region also sequenced*

Summary and Explanation

xT CDx is a companion diagnostic (CDx) test for two therapeutic indications. Information generated by this test is an aid in the identification of patients who are most likely to benefit from the specific therapeutic products identified in the indications for use. In addition to use as a CDx, xT CDx identifies cancer-relevant alterations in genes identified in Table 1 that may inform patient management in accordance with professional guidelines.

xT CDx uses DNA extracted from FFPE tumor tissue, and from patient-matched normal blood or saliva tissue, to perform whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture followed by uniform and deep sequencing on Illumina NovaSeq 6000 sequencers qualified by Tempus. Following the sequencing of both the tumor specimen and the patient-matched normal sample, custom software is used to accurately identify somatic variants in the tumor by filtering out germline variants identified from a patient's normal DNA.

This allows identification of tumor-specific genomic biomarkers, including substitutions (single nucleotide variants, SNVs and multi-nucleotide variants, MNVs), insertion and deletion variants (INDELs); and microsatellite instability (MSI). The output of xT CDx includes information derived from the FDA-recognized content of OncoKB®, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center's precision oncology knowledge base (<https://www.oncokb.org>). xT CDx results are presented in three categories:

Level 1: CDx claims for KRAS and NRAS as noted in the Indications for Use

Level 2: Cancer Mutations with Evidence of Clinical Significance

Level 3: Cancer Mutations with Potential Clinical Significance

The xT CDx Assay includes four critical checks conducted across the assay workflow to closely monitor assay performance and ensure that only high-quality data are generated and used for biomarker detection. These checks operate at each step of the assay as follows:

1. DNA Extraction (QC1)
2. Library Preparation (QC2)
3. Hybridization Capture (QC3)
4. Sequencing (QC4)

Test Kit Contents

The xT CDx Assay includes specimen collection and shipping kits for each specimen type used with the assay. These kits include specimen preparation instructions, shipping instructions, and a return shipping label.

All other reagents, materials and equipment needed to perform the assay are used exclusively in the Tempus Labs Laboratory.

Sample Collection and Test Ordering

To order the xT CDx Assay, a test requisition form must be fully completed and signed by an ordering physician or authorized medical professional. Specimen preparation and mailing instructions are provided in the Specimen Kit.

For more detailed information, including Performance Characteristics, please find the FDA Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data at: www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma.cfm?id=P210011.

Instruments

xT CDx uses Illumina NovaSeq 6000 Sequencers qualified by Tempus, high throughput sequencing systems employing sequencing-by-synthesis chemistry.

Performance Characteristics

Performance characteristics were established using DNA derived from a wide range of FFPE tissue types along with patient-matched normal (blood or saliva) specimens. Studies included CDx variants and cancer types as well as a broad range of representative alteration types, including substitutions (SNVs, MNVs) and INDELS (insertions, deletions) in various genomic contexts across a number of genes. Analysis of the genomic signature for MSI was also performed.

1. Sample Coverage

The sequencing read depth of the device was evaluated by sequencing duplicate libraries from 10 normal diploid samples using worst-case run conditions for detection of somatic alterations. The interlibrary mean coverage (read depth) for all targeted regions across all samples ranged from 508x to 1218x (with an overall mean of 905x). All sequenced libraries had >98% of exons sequenced with a read depth $\geq 150x$. The interlibrary mean coverage for all targeted hotspots ranged from 564x to 1557x (mean of 1042x). The coverage of target regions supports calling of variants by xT CDx at a VAF as low as 3% for substitutions and 5% for INDELS at hotspots, and 5% for substitutions and 10% for INDELS at non-hotspots.

2. Accuracy

The detection of alterations by xT CDx was compared to results of an externally validated orthogonal method (OM). Overall, there were 114 overlapping genes between the two assays. The comparison between SNVs, MNVs, insertions, and deletions detected by xT CDx and the OM included 416 samples representing 31 different tumor types. The distribution of tumor types is provided in Table 2, below.

Table 2. Distribution of Cancer Types for Characterization of Tumor Profiling Accuracy

Cancer Type	Number of Samples
Colorectal Cancer	69
Breast Cancer	44
Ovarian Cancer	38
Glioblastoma	34
Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer	29
Endometrial Cancer	26
Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma	22
Bladder Cancer	18
Melanoma	17
Pancreatic Cancer	14
Thyroid Cancer	12
Low Grade Glioma	12
Sarcoma	10
Tumor of Unknown Origin	8
Meningioma	7
Prostate Cancer	7
Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor	7
Endocrine Tumor	6
Gastric Cancer	5
Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma	4
Kidney Cancer	3
Brain Cancer	3
Small Cell Lung Cancer	3
Biliary Cancer	3
Cervical Cancer	3
Esophageal Cancer	3
Oropharyngeal Cancer	2
Liver Cancer	2
Head and Neck Cancer	2
Mesothelioma	2

TEMPUS

Cancer Type	Number of Samples
Adrenal Cancer	1

Concordance was evaluated in both hotspot and non-hotspot regions. PPA and NPA were determined for each variant type to assess the accuracy of xT CDx tumor profiling. Differences in the number of reportable variants between the two assays were expected as a result of pipeline-specific variant filtering or germline variant classifications. In particular, the OM only evaluates tumor samples, whereas xT CDx sequences tumor and patient-matched normal samples to allow personalized subtraction of germline variants from tumor sequencing results.

Across all samples evaluated, a total of 148 variants reported as somatic by the OM were identified as germline variants by xT CDx (Table 3). However, because the OM is unable to distinguish germline from somatic variants these were included as an output of xT CDx for the purposes of this analytical concordance study. A summary of Positive Percent Agreement (PPA) and Negative Percent Agreement (NPA) is provided in Table 4, below, for substitutions and INDELs.

Table 3. Germline Variants that would be Subtracted by xT CDx but were Classified as Somatic by the Orthogonal Method

Type	Number of Variants
Substitutions	139
INDELs	9
All Short Variants	148

Table 4. Concordance for Short Variants (Substitutions and INDELs) Relative to the Orthogonal Method (OM)

Variant Type	Total Unique Variants	True Positives	False Positives	False Negatives	True Negatives	PPA [Exact 95% CI]	NPA [Exact 95% CI]
All Variants	1028	1221	80	11	414920	99.1% [98.4%, 99.6%]	100.0% [100.0%, 100.0%]
All SNVs	736	971	19	8	297042	99.2% [98.4%, 99.6%]	100.0% [100.0%, 100.0%]
All MNVs	22	18	3	1	8881	94.7% [74.0%, 99.9%]	100.0% [99.9%, 100.0%]
All Insertions	71	58	17	2	28656	96.7% [88.5%, 99.6%]	100.0% [100.0%, 100.0%]
All Deletions	199	174	41	0	80341	100.0% [97.9%, 100.0%]	100.0% [100.0%, 100.0%]

For hotspot concordance analysis with the OM, reported variants in hotspot regions overlapping with OM targeted regions were analyzed. From the 416 analyzed study samples, 164 samples had at least 1 reported variant in an overlapping hotspot region. The intersection of the defined hotspot regions of xT CDx and OM targeted regions included 214 total Base Pairs. In hotspots, a total of 192 reported variants from both assays were evaluated, including 187 substitutions (50 unique SNVs, 3

TEMPUS

unique MNVs) across 10 genes, and 5 INDELS (2 unique insertions and 3 unique deletions) across 4 genes. The total variant counts of each classification across all study samples were used to calculate the PPA and NPA for Substitutions and INDELS within hotspot regions as metrics to evaluate the accuracy of the device (Table 5).

Table 5. Concordance Summary for Short Variants (Substitutions and INDELS) within Hotspot Regions Relative to the Orthogonal Method

Variant Type	Total Unique Variants	True Positives	False Positives	False Negatives	True Negatives	PPA [Exact 95% CI]	NPA [Exact 95% CI]
All Variants	58	188	2	2	23298	98.9% [96.2%, 99.9%]	100.0% [100.0%, 100.0%]
All SNVs	50	180	2	2	20066	98.9% [96.1%, 99.9%]	100.0% [100.0%, 100.0%]
All MNVs	3	3	0	0	1212	100.0% [29.2%, 100.0%]	100.0% [99.7%, 100.0%]
All Insertions	2	2	0	0	808	100.0% [15.8%, 100.0%]	100.0% [99.5%, 100.0%]
All Deletions	3	3	0	0	1212	100.0% [29.2%, 100.0%]	100.0% [99.7%, 100.0%]

The detection of specific KRAS and NRAS CDx variants in the 69 colorectal cancer samples tested with the OM was evaluated. Of the 31 CDx variants identified by the OM, 31 were identified by xT CDx, yielding a PPA of 100% (95% CI: 88.8-100.0%). Of the 649 CDx variants identified as negative by the OM, 648 were identified as negative by xT CDx, yielding a NPA of 99.8% (95% CI: 99.1-100.0%).

The detection of MSI status by xT CDx was assessed by comparison with results obtained using a validated orthogonal method (IHC staining of MLH1, MSH2, MSH6 and PMS2). A total set of 316 patient-matched tumor and normal samples representing 30 cancer types were sequenced with xT CDx. The distribution of tumor types is provided in Table 6, below.

Table 6. Distribution of Cancer Types for Characterization of MSI Accuracy

Cancer Type	Number of samples	Abnormal IHC Number of MSI-H (by IHC)	Normal IHC Number of MSS (by IHC)
CRC/EC*	108	75	33
non-CRC/non-EC**	208	42	166
Total	316	117	199

* colorectal or endometrial cancer

** non-colorectal, non-endometrial cancer

The reported MSI status from xT CDx was compared with results of IHC staining and used to calculate the PPA and NPA for MSI. Of the 117 samples identified as positive by IHC testing, 110 were identified as MSI-H by xT CDx, yielding a PPA of

TEMPUS

94.0% (95% CI: 88-98%). Of the 199 samples identified as negative by IHC testing, 195 were identified as MSS by xT CDx, yielding a NPA of 98% (95% CI: 95-99%) Results of MSI concordance testing are provided in Tables 7 and 8, below.

Table 7. MSI Concordance Between xT CDx and IHC

Type	Normal IHC (IHC-)	Abnormal IHC (IHC+)
xT CDx MSI Stable (MSS)	195	7
xT CDx MSI High (MSI-H)	4	110

Table 8. Agreement for MSI Status Overall and by Cancer Type

Cancer Type	OPA [Exact 95% CI]	PPA [Exact 95% CI]	NPA [Exact 95% CI]
All	96.5% [94%, 98%]	94.0% [88%, 98%]	98.0% [95%, 99%]
CRC/EC*	96.3% [91%, 99%]	96.0% [89%, 99%]	97.0% [84%, 100%]
non-CRC/non-EC**	96.6% [93%, 99%]	90.5.8% [77%, 97%]	98.2% [95%, 100%]

* colorectal or endometrial cancer

** non-colorectal, non-endometrial cancer

3. Precision

3.1 PRECISION IN WELL-CHARACTERIZED MATERIAL

The panel-wide precision/reproducibility of xT CDx was assessed for detecting SNVs and INDELS in well-characterized reference material by repeated measurement of NA12878, a nucleic acid (NA) extracted from the GM12878 cell line. Precision was evaluated across 22 replicates which were processed over multiple library preparation days (n=17), hybridization capture batches (n=8), and sequencing flow cells (n=8).

A total of 2673 variants were called across all 22 replicates, and 2624 of these variants were in the Genome in a Bottle (GIAB)¹ high confidence dataset. Table 9 shows the Coefficient of Variation (CV) distribution for all 2673 variants analyzed. 95.5% of samples had a CV below 10%. Across all samples, the mean CV was 3.7% +/- 3.9%. Table 10 shows Mean %CV by zygosity of the variant, as declared in the GIAB variant call file (VCF) and type variant.

Table 9. Distribution of Variants by %CV in Well-Characterized Reference Material

	CV < 10%	10% ≤ CV < 15%	15% ≤ CV < 20%	20% < CV
Number of Variants	2552	73	24	24
Percent of Variants	95.5%	2.7%	0.9%	0.9%

¹ Zook, J. M. et al. Extensive sequencing of seven human genomes to characterize benchmark reference materials. Sci. Data 3:160025 doi: 10.1038/sdata.2016.25 (2016)

TEMPUS

Table 10. Mean Percent Coefficient of Variation (%CV) by Zygosity Declared in the GIAB VCF and Type of Variant for Well-Characterized Reference Material

Zygosity	SNVs and INDELs (%CV)	SNVs Only (%CV)	INDELs Only (%CV)
All ¹	3.7% +/- 3.8%	3.5% +/- 3.5%	7.3% +/- 6.5%
Homozygous Only	0.23% +/- 0.72%	0.14% +/- 0.39%	1.8% +/- 2.1%
Heterozygous Only	5.3% +/- 3.2%	5.3% +/- 3.1%	7.9% +/- 5.6%

¹ Homozygous, Heterozygous, and missing (from GIAB VCF)

3.2 PANEL-WIDE PRECISION IN CLINICAL SPECIMENS

Panel-wide precision in clinical specimens was based on repeated measurement of 49 patient specimens representing 23 different tumor types (including melanoma, CRC, glioblastoma, and lung cancer). Replicates (n=5-10) of each specimen were measured across 3 non-consecutive days, with multiple operators, reagent lots, and instruments. A total of 317 replicates contributed to the evaluation of precision. The distribution of tumor types is provided in Table 11, below.

Table 11. Distribution of Cancer Types for Characterization of Panel-Wide Precision

Cancer Type	Number of Samples
Basal Cell Carcinoma	1
Bladder Cancer	6
Breast Cancer	4
Colorectal Cancer	5
Endocrine Tumor	2
Endometrial Cancer	4
Esophageal Cancer	1
Gastric Cancer	1
Head and Neck Cancer	2
Liver Cancer	1
Melanoma	2
Meningioma	1
Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer	4
Ovarian Cancer	1
Prostate Cancer	1
Skin Cancer	2

TEMPUS

Cancer Type	Number of Samples
Tumor of Unknown Origin	4
Adrenal Cancer	1
Cervical Cancer	1
Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma	1
Pancreatic Cancer	1
Sarcoma	2
Small Cell Lung Cancer	1
All	49

Among the specimens evaluated, there were 289 total variants represented by 151 SNVs, 9 MNVs, 26 insertions, and 103 deletions. The overall positive call rate across all precision conditions (days, operators, reagent lots, and instruments) for all specimens and replicates was 94.5%, and 97.0% for variants with a VAF \geq 15%. Results are provided in Table 12.

Table 12. Precision by Variant Type and Variant Allele Fraction (VAF)

Variant Type	VAF Threshold (%)	Total Variants	Mean VAF Range	Positive/Total Calls	Positive Call Rate (2-sided 95% CI)
SNV	\geq 0	151	3.8-84.343	911/944	96.5% (95.1,97.6)
	\geq 5	150	5.388-84.343	907/939	96.6% (95.2,97.7)
	\geq 10	132	10.418-84.343	841/849	99.1% (98.2,99.6)
	\geq 15	110	15.067-84.343	718/726	98.9% (97.8,99.5)
MNV	\geq 0	9	12.657-58.597	61/61	100.0% (94.1,100)
	\geq 5	9	12.657-58.597	61/61	100.0% (94.1,100)
	\geq 10	9	12.657-58.597	61/61	100.0% (94.1,100)
	\geq 15	6	15.124-58.597	35/35	100.0% (90.0,100)
Insertion	\geq 0	26	11.25-61.114	153/165	92.7% (87.6,96.2)
	\geq 5	26	11.25-61.114	153/165	92.7% (87.6,96.2)
	\geq 10	26	11.25-61.114	153/165	92.7% (87.6,96.2)
	\geq 15	23	15.187-61.114	139/145	95.9% (91.2,98.5)
Deletion	\geq 0	103	10.054-94.976	683/744	91.8% (89.6,93.7)
	\geq 5	103	10.054-94.976	683/744	91.8% (89.6,93.7)
	\geq 10	103	10.054-94.976	683/744	91.8% (89.6,93.7)
	\geq 15	91	15.123-94.976	646/679	95.1% (93.2,96.6)

TEMPUS

Variant Type	VAF Threshold (%)	Total Variants	Mean VAF Range	Positive/Total Calls	Positive Call Rate (2-sided 95% CI)
All	≥0	289	3.8-94.976	1808/1914	94.5% (93.3,95.4)
	≥5	288	5.388-94.976	1804/1909	94.5% (93.4,95.5)
	≥10	270	10.054-94.976	1738/1819	95.5% (94.5,96.4)
	≥15	230	15.067-94.976	1538/1585	97.0% (96.1,97.8)

3.3 PRECISION FOR DETERMINATION OF MSI STATUS

All 49 unique specimens and 317 replicates were evaluated for MSI precision. Of these, 46/49 (94%) showed a positive call rate for MSI of 100% across all replicates. The other 3 specimens each had 80% concordance across 5 replicates due to 4 MSS and 1 MSI-H call in each case.

3.4 PRECISION FOR KRAS AND NRAS DETECTION

Precision of detection of alterations associated with CDx claims was evaluated independently of panel-wide precision. Intra-run (run on same plate under same conditions) and inter-run (run on different plates under different conditions) conditions were assessed and compared across multiple instruments, reagent lots, days, and operators. 18 different CDx variants across all relevant exons of each CDx gene were included in the study. Included variants are provided in Table 13.

Table 13. Variants evaluated for Precision of KRAS and NRAS Detection

Gene	Variant	Number of Specimens
KRAS	p.Gly12Ser	1
KRAS	p.Gly12Arg	1
KRAS	p.Gly12Ala	1
KRAS	p.Gly12Cys	2
KRAS	p.Gly12Asp	5
KRAS	p.Gly12Val	1
KRAS	p.Gly13Asp	1
KRAS	p.Gly13Cys	1
KRAS	p.Ala59Thr	1
KRAS	p.GlyGln60GlyLys	1
KRAS	p.Gln61Arg	1
KRAS	p.Ala146Pro	1
KRAS	p.Ala146Thr	1
NRAS	p.Gly12Val	2
NRAS	p.Gly13Arg	1

TEMPUS

Gene	Variants	Number of Specimens
NRAS	p.Gln61Leu	1
NRAS	p.Gln61His	1
NRAS	p.Ala146Val*	1
N/A	wild type	4

* evaluated using a cell line, all other variants were evaluated in clinical specimens

522 total replicates across 26 unique CRC samples, and 24 replicates from one cell line, were evaluated; one clinical sample included two variants. The overall positive call rate was 99.8% and 25 of the 26 samples had a positive call rate of 100%. No false positive results were observed across all potential CDx biomarker positions and all replicates (>28,000 positions). Precision results by variant are shown in Table 14, a summary of results by gene is shown in Table 15.

Table 14. Precision for KRAS and NRAS Detection by Exon and Variant

Gene	Exon	Variants	n	True Positive	False Negative	% Correct Call	95% CI
KRAS	2	All KRAS Exon 2	242	241	1	99.6	(97.7, 100.0)
	2	p.Gly12Ala	18	18	0	100	(81.5, 100)
	2	p.Gly12Arg	19	19	0	100	(82.4, 100)
	2	p.Gly12Asp	102	101	1	99	(94.7, 100.0)
	2	p.Gly12Cys	43	43	0	100	(91.8, 100)
	2	p.Gly12Ser	23	23	0	100	(85.2, 100)
	2	p.Gly12Val	22	22	0	100	(84.6, 100)
	2	p.Gly13Asp	15	15	0	100	(78.2, 100)
	3	All KRAS Exon 3	60	60	0	100	(94.0, 100)
	3	p.Ala59Thr	19	19	0	100	(82.4, 100)
	3	p.Gln61Arg	19	19	0	100	(82.4, 100)
	3	p.GlyGln60GlyLys	22	22	0	100	(84.6, 100)
	4	All KRAS Exon 4	39	39	0	100	(91.0, 100)
	4	p.Ala146Pro	20	20	0	100	(83.2, 100)
	4	p.Ala146Thr	19	19	0	100	(82.4, 100)
NRAS	2	All NRAS Exon 2	56	56	0	100	(93.6, 100)
	2	p.Gly12Val	39	39	0	100	(91.0, 100)
	2	p.Gly13Arg	17	17	0	100	(80.5, 100)
	3	All NRAS Exon 3	37	37	0	100	(90.5, 100)
	3	p.Gln61His	17	17	0	100	(80.5, 100)
	3	p.Gln61Leu	20	20	0	100	(83.2, 100)
	4	All NRAS Exon 4	24	24	0	100	(85.8, 100)

TEMPUS

Gene	Exon	Variant	n	True Positive	False Negative	% Correct Call	95% CI
	4	p.Ala146Val	24	24	0	100	(85.8, 100)

Table 15. Positive and Negative Percent Agreement for CDx Biomarkers by Gene and Overall

Gene	TP	FP	TN	FN	Total	PPA (95% CI)	NPA (95% CI)
KRAS	340	0	14275	1	14616	99.7 (98.4, 100.0)	100.0 (100.0, 100)
NRAS	117	0	14499	0	14616	100.0 (96.9, 100)	100.0 (100.0, 100)
Total	457	0	28774	1	29232	99.8 (98.8, 100.0)	100.0 (100.0, 100)

4. Analytical Sensitivity

4.1 TUMOR PURITY

The minimum tumor purity for detection of CDx variants was determined by evaluating 31 CRC FFPE specimens (and patient-matched normal tissue) with known CDx biomarkers, ranging in tumor purity from 5% to 50%. All CDx biomarkers were concordant between xT CDx and results of orthogonal testing for all tumor purities at or above 10%. Macrodissection (enrichment for tumor content) of specimens below 10% tumor purity enabled successful detection of the CDx biomarkers in all samples. The minimum recommended tumor purity for detection of CDx variants is 20%, with macrodissection required for specimens with tumor purity lower than 20%.

4.2 DNA INPUT AND LIMITS OF DETECTION (LOD)

The minimum DNA input needed to detect CDx biomarkers was determined by testing 2 CRC FFPE tumor specimens (with patient-matched normal specimens) with a previously detected KRAS variant (p.G12D) at six different DNA mass inputs (37.5 ng, 50 ng, 62.5 ng, 75 ng, 100 ng, 125 ng), with each input level tested in duplicate, for a total of 12 replicates per specimen. The LOD for CDx biomarker VAF was then assessed by testing minimal acceptable DNA inputs of 50 ng and 100 ng. DNA from 2 CRC FFPE specimens with previously detected CDx biomarkers were serially diluted with DNA isolated from a known wild-type FFPE specimen to achieve expected VAF as follows: undiluted, 15%, 5%, 2.5%, 1.25%, and 0.63%. For each specimen, at each DNA input level, 2 replicates of each undiluted sample were processed and analyzed, and 20 replicates were processed and analyzed at each subsequent dilution level. A total of 198 tumor-normal paired replicates passed all QC metrics and were used for determination of LOD, with results provided in Table 16.

Table 16. Summary of LOD for CDx Variants

DNA Input	LOD VAF % (Hit Rate)*	LOD VAF % (Probit)**
50 ng	2.41%	2.25%
100 ng	3.61%	2.30%

TEMPUS

*LOD calculations for CDx variants were based on the hit rate approach, as there were less than three dilution levels between 10-90%. LOD from the hit rate approach was defined as the lowest level with 95% hit rate

**LOD calculations for the CDx variants based on the probit approach with 95% probability of detection

Additional samples were evaluated for the assay gene panel to determine the minimum DNA input and LOD for short variants (substitutions and INDELS) and for determination of MSI status. The minimum DNA inputs of 50 ng and 100 ng for short variants were established using 3 tumor-normal paired specimens at five dilution levels per specimen, with each replicate measured in duplicate.

The LOD for short variants was then assessed using minimal acceptable DNA inputs for processing 12 tumor-normal paired samples, representing 8 tumor types, each containing at least one known variant. Tumor DNA including known variants was serially diluted with tumor DNA known to be wild-type for those variants to generate a range of expected mutation allele frequencies. This dilution series was used to establish a preliminary LOD, which was subsequently confirmed by testing replicates of 17 tumor-normal paired samples diluted to achieve expected VAFs for the tested variants at or around the target LOD for each variant type (5% for substitutions and 10% for INDELS; 3% for hotspot substitutions and 5% for hotspot INDELS). The results of the gene panel LOD confirmation for short variants is summarized in Table 17.

Table 17. Summary of Variant Detection Near LoD Allele Fraction

Variant Type	Tested VAF	Positive Call Rate
Substitution	5%	97.5% (79/81)
Substitution (hotspot)	3%	100% (10/10)
INDEL	10%	100% (87/87)
INDEL (hotspot)	5%	100% (23/23)

Preliminary MSI LOD determination was evaluated in 22 CRC FFPE specimens known to be MSI-H based on orthogonal method testing. Each tumor specimen was diluted using its matched normal specimen to generate 3 dilution levels simulating tumor purities ranging from 10% to 40%. Specimens were evaluated with minimum DNA mass input into library preparation to identify the minimum tumor purity at which MSI status could be detected. This dilution series was used to establish a preliminary LOD, which was subsequently confirmed in an independent study by testing 5 additional replicates of each specimen at or around the expected tumor purity LOD (30%). Positive agreement of xT CDx MSI-H status was 94.6% (142/150 replicates identified as MSI-H) for samples diluted to achieve a tumor purity at or around 30%.

4.3 LIMIT OF BLANK

The LOB of was established by assessing the frequency of false-positive identification of CDx and tumor profiling biomarkers in 23 FFPE tumors (with patient-matched normal specimens) known to be wild-type for KRAS and NRAS. Specimens were evaluated with 4 or 5 replicate measures per specimen based on tissue availability. No false-positive variants were detected at a VAF threshold of 3% in 102 replicates of these samples, confirming the LOB. 22 replicates of well-characterized material were evaluated for false positive results at any reportable position; no false positives were detected.

5. Reagent Lot Interchangeability

Reagent lot interchangeability was assessed for CDx variants by testing 4 CRC samples containing alterations in the KRAS or NRAS gene over 63 replicates using multiple reagent lots in 3, 5, and 8 combinations for library preparation, hybridization capture, and sequencing reagents, respectively, across all tested specimens. No effect of interchanging reagents lots was observed for variant detection for KRAS and NRAS CDx biomarkers. In addition, variant detection across the entire gene panel was assessed in 375 replicates across 52 specimens representing a broad diversity of tumor types sequenced with multiple reagent lots. Results showed 97.8% positive agreement (2294/2345) and 100% negative agreement for substitutions and INDELS, and 96.9% positive agreement and 96.2% negative agreement for MSI.

6. Stability

6.1 REAGENT STABILITY

The stability of reagents used in the library preparation, hybridization capture, and sequencing steps for xT CDx were evaluated using 3 lots of reagents for each assay step, tested at defined time points. Results support the stability of library preparation and hybridization capture reagents up to 7 months and sequencing reagents up to 5 months.

6.2 SAMPLE STABILITY

6.2.1 EXTRACTED DNA

Stability of DNA was evaluated using specimens extracted with the Tempus xT LDT assay. Samples from 468 unique clinical tumor specimens and 454 unique clinical normal specimens from 33 different tissues of origin were evaluated. DNA specimens evaluated were stored at -80°C for either 91-180 days or >210 days. More than 99% of the specimens that had been stored for longer than 9 months were successfully used to generate libraries with xT CDx. Based on this data, DNA stored in accordance with internal procedures can be considered stable for up to 9 months.

6.2.2 FFPE SLIDES

FFPE slide stability study was assessed prospectively and by analysis of previously prepared aged slides. For prospective analysis, results were analyzed from 5 tumor specimens across 4 cancer types with slides stored at room temperature for 0 days, 15 days, or 30 days, and then processed with xT CDx. 15 variants were detected at all 3 timepoints tested, as summarized in Table 18.

Table 18. Variants Detected in Tumor Specimens at Each Timepoint

Tumor Type	T=0 Variants	T=15 Days Concordance	T=30 Days Concordance
Ovarian	3	3/3	3/3
Prostate	2	2/2	2/2
Lung	4	4*/4	4/4
Ovarian	2	2/2	2/2
Colorectal	4	4/4	4/4

TEMPUS

Tumor Type	T=0 Variants	T=15 Days Concordance	T=30 Days Concordance
Total	15	100.0% (15/15)	100.0% (15/15)

*A variant existed in the T= 15 time point which was below LOD in the T=0 timepoint. The T=15 sample had a VAF of 3.5% and the T=0 sample had a VAF of 2.9%

Analysis of previously prepared aged slides involved analysis of slides from 124 tumor specimens representing 23 tumor types. Slides were stored for varying durations at room temperature prior to DNA extraction. Stability was assessed by the number of specimens meeting minimum DNA yield criteria for xT CDx; results are summarized in Table 19.

Table 19. Evaluation of FFPE Slides at QC1 Based on Length of Storage

Months since Slide Preparation	Number of Specimens Evaluated	Number of Specimens with ≥ 50 ng DNA Yield at Extraction
0-3	50	47 (94.0%)
3-6	60	58 (96.7%)
6-18	11	11 (100.0%)
18-82	3	3 (100.0%)
Total	124	119 (96.0%)

6.2.3 FFPE BLOCKS

The stability of FFPE blocks was established by studying 349 FFPE blocks of tumor specimens stored at room temperature for 1-7 years by evaluating DNA extraction yield. The blocks were grouped into 5 age groups based on duration of storage since block preparation. More than 95% of the blocks in each age group produced 3x the minimum DNA yield of 50 ng needed for the device when processed under standard conditions. Results are summarized in table 20.

Table 20. DNA Yield from aged FFPE Blocks

Age Group	Year of Block Preparation	Number of Specimens	Mean DNA Yield	% Samples ≥ 150 ng DNA Yield
1	2019	40	4000.5	100.0%
2	2018	22	2792.7	95.5%
3	2016-2017	117	2683.0	99.2%
4	2014-2015	125	2564.5	96.8%
5	2012-2013	45	3646.2	100.0%

6.2.4 BLOOD AND BUFFY COAT STABILITY

Stability of blood and buffy coat samples used as the source of matched normal specimens in xT CDx was established by collecting blood samples from 6 healthy volunteers. Buffy coat stability was determined by separation of buffy coat from blood upon receipt of a specimen, with storage of the buffy coat fraction at –20°C for 0, 15, 30, and 60 days, followed by DNA extraction and processing through xT CDx. Blood stability was determined by storage of whole blood specimens at room temperature for 0, 5, 10, 15, and 20 days followed by separation of the buffy coat fraction, DNA extraction, and processing through xT CDx. Concordance was evaluated by comparing results at each time point to results from the day 0 time point. For both blood and buffy coat, somatic variant concordance by matching with a randomly selected tumor specimen was 100% and germline concordance was >99% at each time point evaluated. These results establish storage of whole blood at room temperature for up to 20 days, and storage of the buffy coat fraction at –20°C for up to 60 days.

7. Tissue Comparability

A large-scale retrospective analysis was conducted using 6,373 unique tumor specimens across 34 cancer types in order to establish the comparability of assay performance across tumor tissue types. The dataset for analysis consisted of routine clinical samples analyzed using the Tempus xT LDT assay, from 06/06/2020 to 10/05/2020. Approximately 89% of samples were matched to blood and 11% of samples were matched to saliva. xT CDx includes four QC checks conducted across the assay workflow to closely monitor performance at each step and ensure that only high-quality data are generated and used for variant detection. The QC checks are as follows: DNA Extraction (QC1), Library Preparation (QC2), Hybridization Capture (QC3), and Sequencing (QC4). The pass rate for each of these QC steps for each cancer type is summarized in Table 21. More than 91% of specimens passed the check at each assay step regardless of cancer type, demonstrating that assay performance of xT CDx is independent of tissue type.

Table 21. Pass Rate at Each Assay Step Across Cancer Types

Cancer Type	DNA Extraction Pass Rate	Library Preparation Pass Rate	Hybridization Capture Pass Rate	Sequencing Pass Rate	Total Samples
Adrenal Cancer	100.0%	100.0%	93.3%	100.0%	15
Biliary Cancer	99.5%	99.5%	96.7%	99.5%	184
Bladder Cancer	99.6%	100.0%	97.7%	99.6%	259
Brain Cancer	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	22
Breast Cancer	99.8%	99.7%	97.3%	99.1%	639
Cervical Cancer	100.0%	100.0%	95.9%	100.0%	49
CRC	100.0%	99.8%	97.8%	98.6%	808
Endocrine Tumor	100.0%	100.0%	94.7%	100.0%	95
Endometrial Cancer	100.0%	100.0%	97.8%	98.9%	184
Esophageal Cancer	99.3%	100.0%	95.9%	99.3%	148
Gastric Cancer	100.0%	100.0%	98.2%	99.1%	109

TEMPUS

Cancer Type	DNA Extraction Pass Rate	Library Preparation Pass Rate	Hybridization Capture Pass Rate	Sequencing Pass Rate	Total Samples
Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor	100.0%	100.0%	96.4%	96.4%	28
Glioblastoma	100.0%	100.0%	99.4%	100.0%	163
Head and Neck Cancer	100.0%	100.0%	97.5%	100.0%	40
Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma	100.0%	100.0%	96.4%	98.2%	111
Kidney Cancer	99.3%	100.0%	95.9%	100.0%	58
Liver Cancer	100.0%	100.0%	95.0%	100.0%	40
Low Grade Glioma	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	34
Melanoma	99.4%	100.0%	98.8%	98.2%	164
Meningioma	100.0%	100.0%	93.3%	100.0%	45
Mesothelioma	100.0%	100.0%	95.2%	100.0%	21
Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer	99.6%	99.6%	97.3%	98.9%	851
Oropharyngeal Cancer	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	98.0%	49
Ovarian Cancer	100.0%	100.0%	98.2%	100.0%	326
Pancreatic Cancer	99.3%	99.8%	97.7%	99.1%	432
Peritoneal Cancer	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	10
Prostate Cancer	99.2%	99.4%	96.4%	98.0%	511
Sarcoma	99.7%	99.7%	97.5%	98.1%	317
Skin Cancer	100.0%	100.0%	96.0%	100.0%	50
Small Cell Lung Cancer	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	64
Testicular cancer	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	18
Thyroid Cancer	100.0%	100.0%	98.8%	97.6%	85
Tumor of Unknown Origin	100.0%	99.4%	97.9%	99.1%	332

8. Interference

The robustness of the Tempus xT CDx Assay process was assessed while evaluating human FFPE samples in the presence of exogenous and endogenous interfering samples. 22 FFPE specimens representing 13 different tumor types and their matched normal specimens were evaluated. The addition of interfering substances including xylene, ethanol, melanin, and proteinase K, each at two concentrations, was evaluated to determine if they were impactful to xT CDx and the results were compared to the control (no interference) condition. 274 data points were analyzed across the four interfering substances, which were considered non-interfering if the positive agreement for variant detection in the presence and absence of that substance was >90%. Results are presented in Table 22.

Table 22. Interference Study Summary

Substance	Concentration	Replicates	TP	FN	FP	TN	PPA	PPA Confidence Intervals	NPA	NPA Confidence Intervals
Ethanol	5%	46	412	7	2	9355657	98.30%	[96.6, 99.3]	100.00%	[100.0, 100.0]
Ethanol	10%	32	277	5	3	6508291	98.20%	[95.9, 99.4]	100.00%	[100.0, 100.0]
Melanin	0.05 ug/mL	48	360	12	3	9762489	96.80%	[94.4, 98.3]	100.00%	[100.0, 100.0]
Melanin	0.1 ug/mL	32	239	9	3	6508325	96.40%	[93.2, 98.3]	100.00%	[100.0, 100.0]
ProK	0.03 mg/mL	32	239	9	8	6508320	96.40%	[93.2, 98.3]	100.00%	[100.0, 100.0]
ProK	0.05 mg/mL	19	114	6	1	3864346	95.00%	[89.4, 98.1]	100.00%	[100.0, 100.0]
Xylene	0.000025%	39	314	7	4	7932002	97.80%	[95.6, 99.1]	100.00%	[100.0, 100.0]
Xylene	0.000050%	26	209	5	3	5288001	97.70%	[94.6, 99.2]	100.00%	[100.0, 100.0]

Analysis of all four substances on MSI determination showed 100% concordance for MSI calling under all conditions except for 93.3% concordance for MSS samples tested at 0.05 mg/mL of Proteinase K. Interference of necrotic tissue was evaluated across 348 CRC specimens with necrotic tissue percentage ranging from <5% to >50%. Equivalent invalid rates were observed at all necrotic tissue levels evaluated, and only a single clinically discordant result was observed in the dataset, in a sample with <5% necrotic tissue.

9. Guardbanding

Guardbanding studies were performed to evaluate the performance of xT CDx and the impact of process variation with regard to the measurement of DNA input at various stages of the workflow. Guardbands were evaluated relative to observed and measured process variability for Library Construction (LC), Hybrid Capture (HC), and Sequencing (Seq).

For each process, at least 12 unique FFPE specimens were evaluated in duplicate at 6-8 input levels representing inputs below the minimum and above the maximum recommended input at each assay step. Each of the three guardbanding experiments demonstrated reliable and robust performance at DNA input levels above and below the range. Results are summarized in Table 23.

Table 23. Summary of the Success Rate per Process and per Input Level

Process	Input Level	# of Samples Passing QC
LC	12.5 ng – 0.25x minimum	6/26
LC	25 ng – 0.5x minimum	20/26

TEMPUS

Process	Input Level	# of Samples Passing QC
LC	50 ng – 1x minimum	26/26
LC	300 ng – 1x maximum	26/26
LC	375 ng – 1.25x maximum	26/26
LC	450 ng – 1.5 maximum	26/26
HC	43.75 ng - 0.25x minimum	24/24
HC	87.5 ng – 0.5x minimum	24/24
HC	175 ng – 1x minimum	24/24
HC	250 ng – 1x maximum	24/24
HC	312 ng – 1.25x maximum	24/24
HC	375 ng – 1.5x maximum	24/24
Seq	0.25x minimum	15/15
Seq	0.5x minimum	26/26
Seq	0.8x minimum	26/26
Seq	0.9x minimum	32/32
Seq	1x minimum	31/31
Seq	1x maximum	26/26
Seq	1.25x maximum	26/26
Seq	1.5x maximum	32/32

10. Cross-Contamination

10.1 CARRYOVER / CROSS-CONTAMINATION

DNA sample carryover (between plates) and cross-contamination (within plates) during the library preparation and hybridization capture steps of the xT CDx Assay were assessed. DNA from two FFPE specimens with unique KRAS genotypes, one with a KRAS alteration and one wild-type for KRAS, were plated in a checkerboard matrix pattern as alternating positive and negative samples run with 9 total replicates per specimen. Carryover and cross-contamination were assessed as evidence of germline mutations unique to one specimen being found in the other specimen or as evidence of the KRAS variant in the wild-type specimen. Across all replicates, the overall percent agreement of germline mutations was 100% indicating no sample carryover or cross-contamination. In addition, the KRAS variant was only detected in the specimen that was known to have a KRAS variant based on previous LDT results and was not detected in the known KRAS wild-type specimen. No carryover or cross-contamination was observed.

10.2 INDEX CROSS-CONTAMINATION

xT CDx uses unique dual index adaptors to generate libraries; captured libraries are pooled for sequencing. Index cross-contamination based on incorrect assignment of reads between samples in a pool, as a result of read misassignment

TEMPUS

from index hopping, was assessed across >138 billion reads obtained on 22 flowcells used during xT CDx performance characterization. The probability of read misassignment from dual index hopping ranged from 5.85×10^{-5} to 6.42×10^{-9} , with an average probability across all analyzed flowcells of 1.35×10^{-5} .

11. Hybrid Capture Bait Specificity

Bait specificity was addressed through an assessment of coverage at the base level for targeted regions included in xT CDx in 20 samples. Lack of bait specificity and/or insufficient bait inclusion would result in regions of diminished high quality mapped reads due to the capture of off-target content. The mean coverage for CDx genes (KRAS and NRAS) was >500x, with >95% of reads mapping to these genes having high base quality scores of >30. When assessing panel-wide coverage, within-sample mean coverage for all targeted regions ranged from 508x-1218x (mean of 904.8x), with >98% of exons with a depth of $\geq 150x$ and >99% of exons with a depth of $\geq 100x$.

12. DNA Extraction

DNA extraction was assessed by duplicate extraction of 124 tumor specimens representing 22 different tumor types (including melanoma, prostate, lung, GBM, breast, and bladder), using 2 extraction instruments and 3 extraction reagent lots. The average DNA yield and concordance of variant calling across all samples was evaluated. The mean yield across all 248 extractions was 5076.4 ng, significantly higher than the minimum DNA input of 50ng needed for library preparation. Variant concordance was assessed in 68 tumor specimens across 11 tumor types extracted in duplicate. Variant concordance in the duplicate samples with sufficient DNA was 97.0%, shown in Table 24.

Table 24. Somatic Variant Concordance Observed in Duplicate DNA Extractions

Level 1 Variants	Level 2 Variants	Level 3 Variants	# Concordant	# Total	Overall Concordance	95% CI
1/1	29/30	193/199	223	230	97.0%	(93.8, 98.8)

13. Invalid Rates

A large-scale retrospective analysis was conducted using 4628 unique tumor-normal matched specimens across 41 cancer types in order to establish the invalid rates at each step of the xT CDx workflow for a variety of cancer and specimen types. The dataset for analysis consisted of routine clinical samples analyzed using the Tempus xT LDT assay from 06/01/2020 to 12/08/2020. The samples were subjected to pre-specified retrospective analysis based on thresholds for success at each assay step. Results are presented in Table 25. Of the 4628 tumor-normal paired samples evaluated, 4122 (89.1%) were successfully processed across all steps of the assay.

Table 25. Summary of Invalid Rates at Each QC Step by Specimen Type

Assay Step	Invalids		
	FFPE	Blood (n=4054)	Saliva (n=574)
DNA Extraction	9/4628 (0.19%)	0/4054 (0.00%)	0/574 (0.00%)

TEMPUS

Library Preparation	7/4619 (0.15%)	2/4504 (0.05%)	0/574 (0.00%)
Hybridization Capture	116/4612 (2.52%)	104/4052 (2.57%)	14/574 (2.44%)
Sequencing	223/4392 (5.08%)	48/3847 (1.25%)	5/545 (0.92%)

14. Clinical Concordance for KRAS and NRAS

Clinical validity of xT CDx as a CDx used for identifying patients with CRC who may not be eligible for treatment with cetuximab when mutations are detected in *KRAS* codons 12 or 13 or panitumumab when mutations are detected in exons 2, 3, or 4 of *KRAS* or *NRAS* was established by evaluating 412 samples from CRC patients. Samples were not pre-screened to enrich for positive samples. All specimens were assessed for a minimum tumor percentage of 20% based on pathology review and availability of matched-normal tissue. Based on this evaluation, samples from 348 patients were included in the study. All 348 samples were sent for orthogonal testing with two FDA-approved CDx assays used as comparators: (1) the *Illumina Praxis Extended RAS Panel* (P160038); and (2) the *Qiagen theascreen KRAS RGQ PCR Kit* (P110027). Orthogonal testing was conducted in duplicate for each sample, for each comparator method. Concordance of xT CDx with the *Illumina Praxis Extended RAS Panel* (Praxis comparator device, PCD) was evaluated using a total of 190 samples; those that passed all xT CDx quality control metrics and with two successful measurements with the comparator (PCD1 and PCD2 denote the replicate measurements). Concordance of xT CDx with the *Qiagen theascreen KRAS RGQ PCR Kit* (*theascreen* comparator device, TCD) was evaluated using a total of 250 samples; those that passed all xT CDx quality control metrics and with two successful measurements with the comparator (TCD1 and TCD2 denote the replicate measurements). Samples used in the study were not obtained from a clinical trial, and not all samples had demographic data available. Based on samples evaluated for concordance and with available data, the sex, age, and race were similar between the xT CDx concordance study and the clinical studies of the two comparator methods, with a more even distribution of sexes in the xT CDx concordance study relative to the clinical studies of the comparator methods. Specimen characteristics, including tumor percentage, percent necrosis, and variant allele distribution, were similar for specimens in the xT CDx concordance study and in the clinical studies for both comparator methods,

By defining the reference result as the consensus calls between two replicate measurements from each comparator methods, the overall concordance between xT CDx and the *Illumina Praxis Extended RAS Panel* was 100.00% (190/190), and overall concordance between xT CDx and the *Qiagen Theascreen KRAS RGQ PCR Kit* was 99.60% (249/250). Results of concordance testing are summarized in Table 26 below.

Table 26. Concordance of CDx Variant Calling with Comparator Methods

	PCD1+		PCD1-		TCD1+		TCD1-	
	PCD2+	PCD2-	PCD2+	PCD2-	TCD2+	TCD2-	TCD2+	TCD2-
xT CDx+	82	0	0	0	87	0	0	0
xT CDx-	0	0	0	108	1	0	0	162

Non-inferiority analysis demonstrated that the agreement between xT CDx and the *Illumina Praxis Extended RAS Panel* is non-inferior to the agreement between two replicates of that assay; and that the agreement between xT CDx and the *Qiagen Theascreen KRAS RGQ PCR Kit* is non-inferior to the agreement between two replicates of that assay.

Date of Birth
Not Given

Sex
Not Given

Physician
Not Given

Institution
Chicago Cancer Center
CCC12345678

Tempus xT CDx: 648 Genes

Tumor specimen:

Colon, right
Chicago Cancer Center
#ABC 123, C1

Collected on: Not Given

Received on: Not Given

Tumor percentage: Not Given

Normal specimen:

Blood
Collected on: Not Given
Received on: Not Given

Tempus Labs, Inc
600 West Chicago Ave
Ste 510
Chicago, IL 60654
support@tempus.com

CDx ASSOCIATED FINDINGS

FDA-approved companion diagnostic results relevant to the safe and effective use of one or more FDA-approved therapies.

Genomic Variants

KRAS Wild-type*

NRAS Wild-type*

FDA-Approved Therapeutic Options

Cetuximab ✔ Therapy indicated

Panitumumab ✔ Therapy indicated

Panitumumab ✔ Therapy indicated

* Wild-type is considered the absence of CDx mutations in KRAS codons 12 or 13 (for cetuximab) or the absence of CDx mutations in exons 2,3,4 of KRAS or NRAS (for panitumumab). See Professional Services for additional information on other variants that may be present in these genes.

OTHER ALTERATIONS & BIOMARKERS

Results reported in this section are not prescriptive or conclusive for labeled use of any specific therapeutic product. See professional services section for additional information including alteration association with potential resistance.

Genomic Findings with Evidence of Clinical Significance

PTEN p.Y68fs

APC p.Q1444*

Microsatellite Instability Status

Stable

Genomic Findings with Potential Clinical Significance

FAT1 p.E992*

Intended Use

xT CDx is a qualitative Next Generation Sequencing (NGS)-based in vitro diagnostic device intended for use in the detection of substitutions (single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and multi-nucleotide variants (MNVs)) and insertion and deletion alterations (INDELs) in 648 genes, as well as microsatellite instability (MSI) status, using DNA isolated from Formalin-Fixed Paraffin Embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens, and DNA isolated from matched normal blood or saliva specimens, from previously diagnosed cancer patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

The test is intended as a companion diagnostic (CDx) to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with the targeted therapies listed in the Companion Diagnostic Indications table in accordance with the approved therapeutic product labeling.

Additionally, xT CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with previously diagnosed solid malignant neoplasms. Genomic findings other than those listed in the Companion Diagnostic Indications table are not prescriptive or conclusive for labeled use of any specific therapeutic product.

xT CDx is a single-site assay performed at Tempus Labs, Inc., Chicago, IL.

Companion Diagnostic Indications

Tumor Type	Biomarker(s) Detected	Therapy
Colorectal cancer (CRC)	KRAS wild type (absence of mutations in codons 12 or 13)	Erbbitux® (cetuximab)
Colorectal cancer (CRC)	KRAS wild type (absence of mutations in exons 2, 3, or 4) and NRAS wild type (absence of mutations in exons 2, 3, or 4)	Vectibix® (panitumumab)

Limitations

- For *in vitro* diagnostic use.
- For prescription use only. This test must be ordered by a qualified medical professional in accordance with clinical laboratory regulations.
- The test is designed to report out somatic variants and is not intended to report germline variants. xT CDx sequences tumor and patient-matched normal samples to allow personalized subtraction of germline variants from tumor sequencing results.
- xT CDx requires a minimum tumor percentage of 20% for detection of variants, with tumor content enrichment recommended for specimens with tumor percentage lower than 20%. This assay may not detect variants if the proportion of tumor cells in the sample is less than 20%. xT CDx requires a minimum tumor percentage of 30% in order to determine MSI status.
- Genomic findings other than those listed in the Companion Diagnostic Indications table are not prescriptive or conclusive for labeled use of any specific therapeutic product.
- A negative result does not rule out the presence of a mutation below the limits of detection of the assay.
- The clinical validity of the device to guide MSI-related treatment decisions has not been established. MSI status is based on genome-wide analysis of 239 microsatellite loci and is not based on the 5 or 7 MSI loci described in current clinical practice guidelines. The threshold for MSI-H/MSS was determined by analytical concordance to comparator assays (IHC and PCR) using multiple cancer types. An MSI result of Equivocal indicates that microsatellite instability status of MSI-H or MSS could not be determined.
- Performance of xT CDx has not been established for detection of insertions or deletions larger than 25 base pairs.
- Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community.

Date of Birth
Not Given

Sex
Not Given

Physician
Not Given

Institution
Chicago Cancer Center
CCC12345678

CDx ASSOCIATED FINDINGS

FDA-approved companion diagnostic results relevant to the safe and effective use of one or more FDA-approved therapies.

Genomic Variants

KRAS p.G12V

FDA-Approved Therapeutic Options

Cetuximab Therapy not indicated
Panitumumab Therapy not indicated

Tempus xT CDx: 648 Genes

Tumor specimen:

Colon, right
Chicago Cancer Center
#ABC 123, C1

Collected on: Not Given

Received on: Not Given

Tumor percentage: Not Given

Normal specimen:

Blood
Collected on: Not Given
Received on: Not Given

Tempus Labs, Inc
600 West Chicago Ave
Ste 510
Chicago, IL 60654
support@tempus.com

OTHER ALTERATIONS & BIOMARKERS

Results reported in this section are not prescriptive or conclusive for labeled use of any specific therapeutic product. See professional services section for additional information including alteration association with potential resistance.

Genomic Findings with Evidence of Clinical Significance

PTEN p.Y68fs

APC p.Q1444*

Microsatellite Instability Status

High

Genomic Findings with Potential Clinical Significance

FAT1 p.E992*

Intended Use

xT CDx is a qualitative Next Generation Sequencing (NGS)-based in vitro diagnostic device intended for use in the detection of substitutions (single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and multi-nucleotide variants (MNVs)) and insertion and deletion alterations (INDELs) in 648 genes, as well as microsatellite instability (MSI) status, using DNA isolated from Formalin-Fixed Paraffin Embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens, and DNA isolated from matched normal blood or saliva specimens, from previously diagnosed cancer patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

The test is intended as a companion diagnostic (CDx) to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with the targeted therapies listed in the Companion Diagnostic Indications table in accordance with the approved therapeutic product labeling.

Additionally, xT CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with previously diagnosed solid malignant neoplasms. Genomic findings other than those listed in the Companion Diagnostic Indications table are not prescriptive or conclusive for labeled use of any specific therapeutic product.

xT CDx is a single-site assay performed at Tempus Labs, Inc., Chicago, IL.

Companion Diagnostic Indications

Tumor Type	Biomarker(s) Detected	Therapy
Colorectal cancer (CRC)	KRAS wild type (absence of mutations in codons 12 or 13)	Erbbitux® (cetuximab)
Colorectal cancer (CRC)	KRAS wild type (absence of mutations in exons 2, 3, or 4) and NRAS wild type (absence of mutations in exons 2, 3, or 4)	Vectibix® (panitumumab)

Limitations

- For *in vitro* diagnostic use.
- For prescription use only. This test must be ordered by a qualified medical professional in accordance with clinical laboratory regulations.
- The test is designed to report out somatic variants and is not intended to report germline variants. xT CDx sequences tumor and patient-matched normal samples to allow personalized subtraction of germline variants from tumor sequencing results.
- xT CDx requires a minimum tumor percentage of 20% for detection of variants, with tumor content enrichment recommended for specimens with tumor percentage lower than 20%. This assay may not detect variants if the proportion of tumor cells in the sample is less than 20%. xT CDx requires a minimum tumor percentage of 30% in order to determine MSI status.
- Genomic findings other than those listed in the Companion Diagnostic Indications table are not prescriptive or conclusive for labeled use of any specific therapeutic product.
- A negative result does not rule out the presence of a mutation below the limits of detection of the assay.
- The clinical validity of the device to guide MSI-related treatment decisions has not been established. MSI status is based on genome-wide analysis of 239 microsatellite loci and is not based on the 5 or 7 MSI loci described in current clinical practice guidelines. The threshold for MSI-H/MSS was determined by analytical concordance to comparator assays (IHC and PCR) using multiple cancer types. An MSI result of Equivocal indicates that microsatellite instability status of MSI-H or MSS could not be determined.
- Performance of xT CDx has not been established for detection of insertions or deletions larger than 25 base pairs.
- Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community.

Date of Birth
Not Given

Sex
Not Given

Physician
Not Given

Institution
Chicago Cancer Center
CCC12345678

Tempus xT CDx: 648 Genes

Tumor specimen:

Colon, right
Chicago Cancer Center
#ABC 123, C1

Collected on: Not Given

Received on: Not Given

Tumor percentage: Not Given

Normal specimen:

Blood
Collected on: Not Given
Received on: Not Given

Tempus Labs, Inc
600 West Chicago Ave
Ste 510
Chicago, IL 60654
support@tempus.com

CDx ASSOCIATED FINDINGS

FDA-approved companion diagnostic results relevant to the safe and effective use of one or more FDA-approved therapies.

Genomic Variants

KRAS Wild-type*

NRAS Wild-type*

FDA-Approved Therapeutic Options

Cetuximab ✔ Therapy indicated

Panitumumab ✔ Therapy indicated

Panitumumab ✔ Therapy indicated

* Wild-type is considered the absence of CDx mutations in KRAS codons 12 or 13 (for cetuximab) or the absence of CDx mutations in exons 2,3,4 of KRAS or NRAS (for panitumumab). See Professional Services for additional information on other variants that may be present in these genes.

OTHER ALTERATIONS & BIOMARKERS

Results reported in this section are not prescriptive or conclusive for labeled use of any specific therapeutic product. See professional services section for additional information including alteration association with potential resistance.

Genomic Findings with Evidence of Clinical Significance

BRAF p.V600E

Microsatellite Instability Status

Equivocal

Microsatellite instability status of high or stable could not be determined. To determine MSI status, patients should be tested with validated immunohistochemical staining for DNA mismatch repair proteins or other method of ascertaining MSI status.

Intended Use

xT CDx is a qualitative Next Generation Sequencing (NGS)-based in vitro diagnostic device intended for use in the detection of substitutions (single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and multi-nucleotide variants (MNVs)) and insertion and deletion alterations (INDELs) in 648 genes, as well as microsatellite instability (MSI) status, using DNA isolated from Formalin-Fixed Paraffin Embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens, and DNA isolated from matched normal blood or saliva specimens, from previously diagnosed cancer patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

The test is intended as a companion diagnostic (CDx) to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with the targeted therapies listed in the Companion Diagnostic Indications table in accordance with the approved therapeutic product labeling.

Additionally, xT CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with previously diagnosed solid malignant neoplasms. Genomic findings other than those listed in the Companion Diagnostic Indications table are not prescriptive or conclusive for labeled use of any specific therapeutic product.

xT CDx is a single-site assay performed at Tempus Labs, Inc., Chicago, IL.

Companion Diagnostic Indications

Tumor Type	Biomarker(s) Detected	Therapy
Colorectal cancer (CRC)	KRAS wild type (absence of mutations in codons 12 or 13)	Erbitux® (cetuximab)
Colorectal cancer (CRC)	KRAS wild type (absence of mutations in exons 2, 3, or 4) and NRAS wild type (absence of mutations in exons 2, 3, or 4)	Vectibix® (panitumumab)

Limitations

- For *in vitro* diagnostic use.
- For prescription use only. This test must be ordered by a qualified medical professional in accordance with clinical laboratory regulations.
- The test is designed to report out somatic variants and is not intended to report germline variants. xT CDx sequences tumor and patient-matched normal samples to allow personalized subtraction of germline variants from tumor sequencing results.
- xT CDx requires a minimum tumor percentage of 20% for detection of variants, with tumor content enrichment recommended for specimens with tumor percentage lower than 20%. This assay may not detect variants if the proportion of tumor cells in the sample is less than 20%. xT CDx requires a minimum tumor percentage of 30% in order to determine MSI status.
- Genomic findings other than those listed in the Companion Diagnostic Indications table are not prescriptive or conclusive for labeled use of any specific therapeutic product.
- A negative result does not rule out the presence of a mutation below the limits of detection of the assay.
- The clinical validity of the device to guide MSI-related treatment decisions has not been established. MSI status is based on genome-wide analysis of 239 microsatellite loci and is not based on the 5 or 7 MSI loci described in current clinical practice guidelines. The threshold for MSI-H/MSS was determined by analytical concordance to comparator assays (IHC and PCR) using multiple cancer types. An MSI result of Equivocal indicates that microsatellite instability status of MSI-H or MSS could not be determined.
- Performance of xT CDx has not been established for detection of insertions or deletions larger than 25 base pairs.
- Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community.