August 24, 2023



Cochlear Americas Whitney Alexander Regulatory Affairs Specialist II 10350 Park Meadows Drive Lone Tree, Colorado 80124

### Re: K231604

Trade/Device Name: Instrument Case Regulation Number: 21 CFR 880.6850 Regulation Name: Sterilization Wrap Regulatory Class: Class II Product Code: KCT Dated: July 27, 2023 Received: July 27, 2023

### Dear Whitney Alexander:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm</a> identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for

devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see <u>https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products</u>); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to <u>https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-safety/medical-device-reporting-mdr-how-report-medical-device-problems</u>.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (<u>https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance</u>) and CDRH Learn (<u>https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn</u>). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (<u>https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice</u>) for more information or contact DICE by email (<u>DICE@fda.hhs.gov</u>) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

Eileen Cadel -S Cadel -S Colin O'Neill, M.B.E. Assistant Director DHT6B: Division of Spinal Devices OHT6: Office of Orthopedic Devices Office of Product Evaluation and Quality Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

# Indications for Use

510(k) Number *(if known)* K231604

Device Name Instrument Case

Indications for Use (Describe)

The Instrument Case is intended for staff involved in reprocessing of reusable instruments, and for surgical teams.

The product shall only be used:

- in a controlled surgical environment under sterile conditions such as a hospital,
- in reprocessing environment at sterilization departments or reprocessing centers,
- and for transport of surgical instruments.

Sterilization parameters:

In US: Pre-Vacuum Steam at 132 °C (270 °F) for 4 min with a 20 minutes dry time Outside US: See the Reprocessing Guide available in your country

The worse-case validated load for the Instrument Case, including instruments, is 1700 g.

Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable	e)
--	----

Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)

Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)

### CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

### \*DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.\*

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff *PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov* 

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."



# 510(k) Summary

<b>A. Submitter Information</b> Submitted by:	Cochlear Americas 10350 Park Meadows Drive Lone Tree, CO 80124				
On behalf of the manufacturer:	Cochlear Bone Anchored Solutions AB Konstruktionsvägen 14, SE-435 33 Mölnlycke Sweden (Establishment Number 9616024)				
Contact:	Whitney Alexander Regulatory Affairs Specialist II Cochlear Americas C: 719-337-8620 E: <u>walexander@cochlear.com</u>				
B. Date Prepared	21-August-2023				
C. Device Name and Classificati	on Instrument Case				
Device Names:					
Classification Name:	Sterilization Wrap Containers, Trays, Cassettes & Other Accessories 21 CFR 880.6850, Class II				
Classification Panel:	Orthopedic				
Product Code:	КСТ				
<b>D. Predicate Device</b> Device Names:	Instrument Case				
Classification Name:	Sterilization Wrap Containers, Trays, Cassettes & Other Accessories 21 CFR 880.6850, Class II				
Classification Panel:	Orthopedic				
Product Code:	КСТ				
510(k):	K223672				



### E. Purpose of Submission

This Special 510(k) seeks clearance for an updated Instrument Case that is intended to hold reusable instruments used during surgical procedures for Osia® and Baha® bone conduction implants. Outside of surgery, the Instrument Case is designed to hold the reusable instruments during the sterilization process and for transportation of the instruments.

### F. Device Description

The Instrument case, **Figure 1**, is a reusable sterilization container intended for use in health care facilities for the purpose of containing reusable medical devices for sterilization. The specific use for the Instrument case is to hold reusable instruments during transport, the sterilization process, and during surgery.

The Instrument case consists of tray and lid made of stainless steel with a small box included, which is a component tray. The grommets, strips and holders that keep the instruments in place are made of silicone or stainless steel, and the latches in the lid are made of a Thermoplastic resin, Santoprene. The packaging materials are made of polyethylene and polyolefin.

**Figure 1: Instrument Case** 

### G. Intended Use

The Instrument Case is a medical device accessory intended to hold reusable surgical instruments during transportation, sterilization process and during surgery.

### H. Indications for Use

The Instrument Case is intended for staff involved in reprocessing of reusable instruments, and for

surgical teams.



The product shall only be used:

- in a controlled surgical environment under sterile conditions such as a hospital,
- in reprocessing environment at sterilization departments or reprocessing centers,
- and for transport of surgical instruments.

Sterilization parameters:

In US: Pre-Vacuum Steam at 132 °C (270 °F) for 4 min with a 20 minutes dry time Outside US: See the Reprocessing Guide available in your country

The worse-case validated load for the Instrument Case, including instruments, is 1700 g.

### I. Technological Characteristics and Comparison to Predicate

Table 1 summarizes a comparison of the technological characteristics of the currently available Instrument Case (predicate device) with the updated Instrument Case (subject device).

Feature	Instrument Case (Subject	Instrument Case	Comparison
	Device)	(Predicate Device,	Notes
		K223672)	
Manufacturer	Cochlear	Cochlear	Same
Class	II	II	Same
Product Code	КСТ	КСТ	Same
Intended Use	The Instrument Case is a	The Instrument Case is	Same
	medical device accessory	a medical device	
	intended to hold reusable	accessory intended to	
	surgical instruments during	hold reusable surgical	
	transportation, sterilization	instruments during	
	process and during surgery.	transportation,	
		sterilization process	
		and during surgery.	
Indications for	The Instrument Case is	The Instrument Case is	Similar. Minor
Use	intended for staff involved in	intended for staff	sentence added
	reprocessing of reusable	involved in	to support
	instruments, and for surgical	reprocessing of	global
	teams.	reusable instruments,	distribution of
	The product shall only be	and for surgical teams.	the device. The
	used:	The product shall only	difference does
		be used:	not affect the
			safety and
			performance of

### Table 1: Technological Characteristics Comparison



Feature	Instrument Case (Subject Device)	Instrument Case (Predicate Device, K223672)	Comparison Notes	
	<ul> <li>in a controlled surgical environment under sterile conditions such as a hospital,</li> <li>in reprocessing environment at sterilization departments or reprocessing centers,</li> <li>and for transport of surgical instruments.</li> <li>Sterilization parameters: In US: Pre-Vacuum Steam at 132 °C (270 °F) for 4 min with a 20 minutes dry time Outside US: See the Reprocessing Guide available in your country</li> <li>The worst-case validated load for the Instrument Case, including instruments, is 1700 g.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>in a controlled surgical environment under sterile conditions such as a hospital,</li> <li>in reprocessing environment at sterilization departments or reprocessing centers,</li> <li>and for transport of surgical instruments.</li> <li>Sterilization parameters: Pre-Vacuum Steam at 132 °C (270 °F) for 4 min with a 20 minutes dry time</li> <li>The worst-case validated load for the Instrument Case, including instruments,</li> </ul>	the subject device.	
General Design	Stainless steel instrument tray with a stainless steel locking lid and removable stainless steel component tray. Silicone instrument holders.	Stainless steel instrument tray with a stainless steel locking lid and removable stainless steel component tray. Silicone instrument holders.	Same, the subject device only differs with a few additional device etchings.	
Dimensions	Length x Width x Height, mm 265 x 160 x 42	Length x Width x Height, mm	Same	
Materials	Base Tray – Stainless steel Lid – Stainless steel Case tray – Stainless steel Tooling Support – Silicone	Base Tray – Stainless steel Lid – Stainless steel Case tray – Stainless steel	Same	



Feature	Instrument Case (Subject Device)	Instrument Case (Predicate Device, K223672)	Comparison Notes
		Tooling Support – Silicone	
Sterility	Non-sterile	Non-sterile	Same
Sterilization Method	Dynamic air removal steam sterilization (prevacuum) to a Sterility Assurance Level (SAL) of $\leq 10^{-6}$	Dynamic air removal steam sterilization (prevacuum) to a Sterility Assurance Level (SAL) of <10 <sup>-6</sup>	Same
Sterilization Parameters	132°C for 4 minutes with a 20 minutes drying time	132°C for 4 minutes with a 20 minutes drying time	Same
Reusable	Yes	Yes	Same
Useful Life	25 Cycles	25 Cycles	Same
Biocompatibility	<ul><li>Planning and testing have been carried out according to ISO 10993-1.</li><li>The Instrument Case is biocompatible due to the testing with pass results.</li></ul>	Planning and testing have been carried out according to ISO 10993-1. The Instrument Case is biocompatible due to the testing with pass results.	Same
Perforated	Yes	Yes	Same
Sterile Barrier	FDA cleared sterilization pouch	FDA cleared sterilization pouch	Same
Maximum Load for Sterilization	1700g	1700g	Same
Compatible Reusable Instruments	Cochlear's bone-anchored reusable instrument portfolio and Elos Pinol's C9866 Multi wrench and C10110 Square adapter for Multi wrench	Cochlear's bone- anchored reusable instrument portfolio	Similar – Additional instrumentation validated for sterilization within Cochlear's instrument case.

### J. Performance Data

Bench testing was conducted to demonstrate substantial equivalence to the predicate device, the Instrument Case (K223672). The predicate device and the subject device are identical, except for additional etchings. Substantial equivalence to the predicate device was accomplished through non-clinical data related to sterilization validation. The results demonstrate the Instrument Case



is substantially equivalent to the predicate device. The changes do not affect the safety and performance of the Instrument Case.

**Table 2** identifies the performance data for the subject device, and all of the testing yielded PASS results. For the sterilization validation, two instruments were added for sterility testing of the subject device, and for the remaining performance data, the test results of the predicate device remained valid for the subject device. The performance data shows that the subject device is as safe and effective as the predicate device.

Test	Test	Test Description	Acceptance	Results	Comparison
	Methodology		Criteria		
Automated cleaning (with enzymatic detergent)	AAMI TIR12:2010 AAMI TIR30:2011	<ul> <li>6 simulated use cycles</li> <li>5 accumulation cycles</li> <li>3 efficacy cycles</li> <li>Visual inspection for any residual test soil, residual protein and hemoglobin levels and cytotoxicity testing for presence of detergent residuals.</li> </ul>	No visible soil should remain on the test articles. Protein level should be $<6.4 \ \mu g /$ $cm^2$ for the test articles. Hemoglobin level should be $<2.2 \ \mu g /$ $cm^2$ for the test articles.	PASS. All units met the acceptance criteria. Positive and negative controls performed as anticipated. The Instrument case did not have a cytotoxic potential.	Same. Test results of predicate device remain valid for subject device.
			No cytotoxic		
Automated cleaning (with alkaline detergent)	AAMI TIR12:2010 AAMI TIR30:2011	<ul> <li>6 simulated use cycles (same simulated use as for the enzymatic detergent).</li> <li>5 accumulation cycles</li> <li>3 efficacy cycles</li> <li>Visual inspection for any residual test soil, residual</li> </ul>	potential. No visible soil should remain on the test articles. Protein level should be $<6.4 \mu g /$ cm <sup>2</sup> for the test articles. Hemoglobin level should be $<2.2 \mu g /$	PASS. All units met the acceptance criteria. Positive and negative controls performed as anticipated. The Instrument case did not have a	Same. Test results of predicate device remain valid for subject device.

### **Table 2: Summary of Performance Data**



Test	Test	<b>Test Description</b>	Acceptance	Results	Comparison
	Methodology		Criteria		
		protein and	$cm^2$ for the	cytotoxic	
		hemoglobin levels	test articles.	potential.	
		and cytotoxicity			
		testing for	No cytotoxic		
		presence of	potential.		
		detergent			
~		residuals.			
Steam	AAMI	Devices were	All positive	PASS.	New testing
Sterilization	TIR12:2010	inoculated with at	controls for	Positive and	performed.
12200		least 106	SAL testing	negative	701
132°C for 4	ANSI/AAMI	Geobacillus	must result	controls	The same
min and 20 min	\$179:2017	stearothermophilus	in growth of	performed as	protocol was
dry time	100	spores, placed in	the indicator	anticipated.	re-run for
	150	the test item and	organism	A 11 -1	sterilization
	1/004:201/	sterilized in double	and all	All devices	validation of
	150 17665	STU(K) cleared	negative	were sterile	the subject
	150 17005-	wraps in a cold	controls	and a SAL of $<10.6$ was	two now
	1.2000	spot of the	in no growth	$\geq 10-0$ was	instruments
	ISO 11737-	Stermizer.	in no growui.	acineveu.	(Flos Pinol's
	2.2009	For Sterility	There should	No moisture	C9866 Multi
	2.2007	Assurance Level	he no	was observed	wrench and
		$(S\Delta I)$ the steam	bacterial	on the test	C10110
		sterilization	growth on	article	Square
		procedure was	the devices	devices or	adapter for
		repeated for 3 half-		sterilization	Multi
		cycles. After	There should	wraps.	wrench).
		incubation of the	be no visible	1	,
		devices and	moisture		The results
		controls for 7	present on		are the same
		days, SAL was	the test		as those for
		evaluated, and	article,		the predicate
		growth was	devices or		device.
		compared with	sterilization		
		positive controls.	wraps after		
			the full cycle		
		For dry time	exposure.		
		evaluation the			
		steam sterilization			
		was repeated for 3			
		full cycles and the			
		test article, devices			
		and sterilization			
		wraps were			



Test	Test	<b>Test Description</b>	Acceptance	Results	Comparison
	Methodology	· 11 · 4 1	Criteria		
		for moisture			
Lifecycle testing	Internal Test	Validation of 25	No visual	PASS The	Same Test
Lincey ere testing	Method	cvcles of	corrosion.	visual	results of
Visual		reprocessing	damage, or	inspection	predicate
inspection		including manual	impurities on	did not detect	device
		pre- cleaning,	the	any damage.	remain valid
		automated	Instrument		for subject
		cleaning with	Case.	Pictures that	device.
		thermal		were taken	
		disinfection and		after every 5	
		sterilization.		cycles	
		Visual inspections		this and	
		after each cycle		showed that	
		and pictures taken		the laser	
		every 5 cycle or if		markings	
		any damage is		were fully	
		observed.		readable	
				after up to 25	
				cycles of .	
T : C	100.10002	Classical	<b>A</b>	reprocessing.	Causa Tast
Lifecycle testing	150 10993-	characterization	Any	PASS. The	same. Test
Riocomnatibility	1.2010	using GC-MS and	hazards	and	predicate
Diocompatibility		ICP-MS	detected	inorganic	device
			should be	substances	remain valid
			below levels	that were	for subject
			of	detected and	device.
			toxicological	that were of	
			concern	toxicological	
			(Margin of	concern had	
			salety;		
Biocompatibility	ISO 10993-5·	Cytotoxicity	Non-	PASS The	Same Test
	2009	Cytotoxicity	Cytotoxic	instrument	results of
				case is not	predicate
				cytotoxic	device
					remain valid
					for subject
					device.



## K. Conclusion

The conclusions drawn from the non-clinical tests demonstrate that the proposed device is as safe, as effective, and performs as well as or better than the predicate device K223672.