



November 14, 2023

Vela Operations USA
Larry Rea
Chief Operating Officer
2441 South 3850 West
Salt Lake City, Utah 84120

Re: K232092

Trade/Device Name: Great Basin Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test (CDF2)
Regulation Number: 21 CFR 866.3130
Regulation Name: Clostridium Difficile Toxin Gene Amplification Assay
Regulatory Class: Class II
Product Code: OZN
Dated: July 5, 2023
Received: July 13, 2023

Dear Larry Rea:

We have reviewed your section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database available at <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm> identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the Federal Register.

Additional information about changes that may require a new premarket notification are provided in the FDA guidance documents entitled "Deciding When to Submit a 510(k) for a Change to an Existing Device" (<https://www.fda.gov/media/99812/download>) and "Deciding When to Submit a 510(k) for a Software Change to an Existing Device" (<https://www.fda.gov/media/99785/download>).

Your device is also subject to, among other requirements, the Quality System (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820), which includes, but is not limited to, 21 CFR 820.30, Design controls; 21 CFR 820.90, Nonconforming product; and 21 CFR 820.100, Corrective and preventive action. Please note that regardless of whether a change requires premarket review, the QS regulation requires device manufacturers to review and approve changes to device design and production (21 CFR 820.30 and 21 CFR 820.70) and document changes and approvals in the device master record (21 CFR 820.181).

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801 and Part 809); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR Part 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR Part 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see <https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products>); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR Part 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR Parts 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-safety/medical-device-reporting-mdr-how-report-medical-device-problems>.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (<https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance>) and CDRH Learn (<https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn>). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (<https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice>) for more information or contact DICE by email (DICE@fda.hhs.gov) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,


Natasha Griffin -S

O.B.O.

Ribhi Shawar, Ph.D. (ABMM)

Branch Chief

General Bacteriology and Antimicrobial Susceptibility
Branch

Division of Microbiology Devices

OHT7: Office of In Vitro Diagnostics

Office of Product Evaluation and Quality

Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

Indications for Use

510(k) Number (if known)

K232092

Device Name

Great Basin Toxigenic C. difficile Direct Test (CDF2)

Indications for Use (Describe)

The Great Basin Toxigenic C. difficile Direct Test, performed on the Great Basin PA500 Analyzer, is a qualitative in vitro diagnostic test for the detection of toxigenic Clostridioides difficile in unformed (liquid or soft) stool samples collected from patients suspected of having C. difficile infection (CDI). The automated assay utilizes polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to detect a conserved region of the toxin gene (tcdB) associated with toxin-producing C. difficile.

The Toxigenic C. difficile Direct Test is intended for use as an aid in the diagnosis of CDI in conjunction with clinical and epidemiological risk factors

Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)

☒ Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)

☐ Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)

CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Office of Chief Information Officer
Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff
PRASStaff@fda.hhs.gov

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."

**510(K) SUMMARY – TOXIGENIC C. DIFFICILE DIRECT TEST****A. Submitted By**

Vela Operations USA
2441 South 3850 West
Salt Lake City, Utah 84120

Contact Information

Larry Rea
Chief Operating Officer
Vela Operations USA (DBA Great Basin Scientific)

B. Name of Device

Proprietary Name:	Great Basin Toxigenic <i>C. difficile</i> Direct Test
Common or Usual Names:	Toxigenic <i>C. difficile</i> Direct Test CDF2

C. Regulatory Information:

- | | |
|--------------------|--|
| a. Regulation: | 21 CFR 866.3130 – Clostridium difficile toxin gene amplification assay |
| b. Classification: | Class II (Toxigenic <i>C. difficile</i> Direct Test; non-exempt)
Class II (PA500 Analyzer System) |
| c. Panel: | Microbiology (83) |
| d. Product Code: | OZN – C. difficile Toxin Gene Amplification Assay |

D. Intended use(s)/Indications for Use

The Great Basin Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test, performed on the Great Basin PA500 Analyzer, is a qualitative *in vitro* diagnostic test for the detection of toxigenic *Clostridioides difficile* in unformed (liquid or soft) stool samples collected from patients suspected of having *C. difficile* infection (CDI). The automated assay utilizes polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to detect a conserved region of the toxin gene (*tcdB*) associated with toxin producing *C. difficile*.

The Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test is intended for use as an aid in the diagnosis of CDI in conjunction with clinical and epidemiological risk factors.

E. Device Description**Test Principle:**

The Great Basin Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test (CDF2) performed on the PA500 Analyzer utilizes automated, hot-start PCR amplification technology to amplify specific nucleic acid sequences that are then detected using hybridization probes immobilized on a modified silicon chip surface, in a single-use, self-contained test cartridge.

A swab volume of the specimen (raw stool) is first processed using the Sample Preparation Device (SPD). During processing through the SPD the specimen is infused with a synthetic bacterial sample processing control (SPC). An aliquot (250 µL) of the eluate obtained from the SPD, containing diluted specimen mixed with SPC, is loaded into the sample port of the CDF2 Test Cartridge.

Genomic DNA is extracted from microbial cells and diluted to reduce potential inhibitors of PCR. During the PCR process, biotin-labeled primers direct the amplification of specific nucleic acid sequences within a conserved region of the *C. difficile* Toxin B (*tcdB*) gene.



Following PCR, biotin-labeled, amplified target DNA sequences are hybridized to sequence specific probes immobilized on the silicon chip surface and incubated with anti-biotin antibody conjugated to the horseradish peroxidase enzyme (HRP). The unbound conjugate is washed away, and then tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) is added to produce a colored precipitate at the location of the probe/target sequence complex.

The resulting signal is detected by the automated PA500 Optical Reader within the PA500 Analyzer System. The SPC undergoes the same extraction, amplification, and detection steps as the sample in order to monitor for inhibitory substances, as well as process inefficiency due to instrument or reagent failure. No operator intervention is required once the sample is loaded into the sample port and the Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test cartridge is loaded into the PA500 Analyzer.

Test Device:

The Great Basin System is a fully automated *in vitro* diagnostic system that includes: the PA500 Analyzer, single-use SPDs, single-use Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test Cartridges, and the PA500 Data Analysis Software Program. The PA500 Analyzer is designed to perform automated sample preparation, target amplification via PCR, chip-based (optical) target detection, and integrated data analysis in less than two hours. The PA500 Analyzer has been granted 510(k) clearance for the Portrait Toxigenic *C. difficile* Assay (DEN120013/K113358), Portrait GBS Assay (K143312), Staph ID/R Blood Culture Panel (K152470), Shiga Toxin Direct Test (K152955), Stool Bacterial Pathogens Panel (K163571), and the Bordetella Direct Test (K170284).

F. Substantial Equivalence Information

A comparison of the Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test (CDF2) and the predicate device, Meridian Bioscience® GenePOC® CDiff Assay (K172569), is provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Predicate Comparison

Similarities		
Features/ Characteristics	Great Basin Toxigenic <i>C. difficile</i> Direct Test (CDF2)	Predicate Device GenePOC® CDiff Assay (K172569)
Manufacturer	Vela Operations USA	Meridian Bioscience
Trade Name	Great Basin Toxigenic <i>C. difficile</i> Direct Test	GenePOC® CDiff Assay
510(k) Number	K232092	K172569
Classification	II	II
Product Code	OZN	OZN
Intended Use/ Indications for Use	The Great Basin Toxigenic <i>C. difficile</i> Direct Test, performed on the Great Basin PA500 Analyzer, is a qualitative <i>in vitro</i> diagnostic test for the detection of toxigenic <i>Clostridioides difficile</i> in unformed (liquid or soft) stool samples collected from patients suspected of having <i>C. difficile</i> infection (CDI). The automated assay utilizes polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to detect a conserved region of the toxin gene (<i>tcdB</i>) associated with toxin-producing <i>C. difficile</i>. The Toxigenic <i>C. difficile</i> Direct Test is intended for use as an aid in the diagnosis of CDI in conjunction with clinical and epidemiological risk factors.	The GenePOC CDiff assay performed on the revogene instrument is a qualitative <i>in vitro</i> diagnostic test that utilizes automated sample processing and real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to detect the toxin B (<i>tcdB</i>) gene of toxigenic <i>Clostridium difficile</i> (<i>C. difficile</i>) in unformed (liquid or soft) stool specimens obtained from patients suspected of having <i>C. difficile</i> infection (CDI). The GenePOC CDiff assay is intended to aid in the diagnosis of CDI.
Qualitative/ Quantitative	Qualitative	Qualitative



Instrument	PA500 Analyzer	revogene®
Specimen Type	Unformed (liquid or soft) stool specimens	Same
Assay Target	toxin B gene (<i>tcdB</i>)	Same
Sample Lysis and DNA Extraction	Automated sample lysis and DNA extraction in a self-contained cartridge	Same
Amplification Technology	Multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	Same
Result Interpretation	Automated	Same
Test Principle	Automated and integrated sample preparation, nucleic acid amplification, and detection of the target sequence in a single-use, disposable test cartridge	Same
Controls	Integrated Sample Processing Control (SPC) to control for adequate processing of the target bacteria and monitor the PCR amplification reaction for the presence of inhibitors as well as microfluidic cartridge, instrument or reagent failure. Fiducial and Hybridization Controls (HC) to control for cartridge selection, cartridge integrity, and to verify detection chemistry.	Integrated Processing Control (PrC) to verify sample processing and amplification steps. The PrC allows for the verification of potential inhibitor substances as well as microfluidic, instrument or reagent failure.

Differences		
Features/ Characteristics	Great Basin Toxigenic <i>C. difficile</i> Direct Test (CDF2)	Predicate Device GenePOC® CDiff Assay (K172569)
Detection Probes	Hybridization probes	TaqMan probes
Detection Technology	Colorimetric target specific hybridization to probe on a chip surface, optical reader, automated software with built-in result interpretation.	Real-time PCR (fluorescent signals) and embedded detection algorithm
Sample Input	1 Swab Volume	1 Disposable Transfer Loop Volume (5 µL)
Samples per Run	1	Up to 8
Time to Result	~2 hours	~70 min

G. Performance Summary – Analytical Studies

a. Specimen Stability

A room temperature and refrigerated Specimen Stability study was conducted to determine the allowable time and temperature storage conditions for clinical specimens. The study was conducted using fresh, never frozen *C. difficile* ATCC 43255 cells spiked into a pooled, clinical negative stool matrix at a concentration of approximately 2.5X LoD. A true negative sample was also evaluated. Stability samples were tested in triplicate in a time course study. The Specimen Stability Study demonstrated that the *C. difficile* target remains stable up to and beyond 72 hours when specimens are stored refrigerated (2° - 8°C). The results also demonstrate that the CDF2 test performance is consistent and stable for low positive samples stored up to 24 hours at room temperature (18° - 22°C).

b. Analytical Sensitivity

The limit of detection (LoD) of the Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test was measured for two (2) toxigenic *Clostridioides difficile* (*tcdB*+) strains: *Clostridioides difficile* ATCC 43255 (Toxinotype



0, *tcdA*+, *tcdB*+) and *Clostridioides difficile* ATCC BAA-1805 (Toxinotype IIIb, NAP1/027, *tcdA*+, *tcdB*+).

For limit of detection (LoD) assessment, *C. difficile* strains were freshly cultured in liquid medium, serially diluted, and plated on agar to determine the cell concentration (i.e., CFU/mL). Enriched broths were then diluted into a pooled clinical negative stool to create a dilution series that was utilized for preliminary range finding on the CDF2 test. Results of the preliminary range finding were analyzed via Probit analysis to calculate the theoretical LoD at 95% agreement. The Probit estimated LoD was then confirmed with an additional round of testing from frozen cultures. The LoD for each strain tested is provided in Table 2.

Table 2. Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test Limit of Detection

Strain	Limit of Detection (LoD)
<i>Clostridioides difficile</i> (ATCC 43255)	2.6 x 10 ⁴ CFU/mL
<i>Clostridioides difficile</i> (ATCC BAA-1805)	7.1 x 10 ⁴ CFU/mL

c. Analytical Reactivity (Inclusivity)

The Analytical Reactivity of the Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test was evaluated by testing an additional twenty-two (22) toxigenic *Clostridioides difficile* strains covering six (6) unique toxinotypes. *C. difficile* strains were grown as described in the LoD studies, diluted into pooled, clinical negative stool to a concentration of 2X LoD (9.7 x 10⁴ CFU/mL) and tested in triplicate. All additional strains tested were detected in CDF2.

The CDF2 test correctly identified every replicate of all 22 strains evaluated, indicating that the test can detect multiple strains and toxinotypes of *Clostridioides difficile* strains that harbor the *tcdB* toxin gene. Results of Analytical Reactivity (Inclusivity) testing are provided in Table 3.

Table 3. *Clostridioides difficile* Strains Tested for Analytical Reactivity (Inclusivity)

<i>Clostridioides difficile</i> Strain	Toxinotype, Toxin
ATCC 9689	0, A+, B+
ATCC 17857	
ATCC 17858	
ATCC 43594	
ATCC 43596	
ATCC 43599	
ATCC 43600	
ATCC 51695	
ATCC 700792	
ATCC BAA-1382	
ATCC BAA-1804	
ATCC BAA-1808	
ATCC BAA-1871	
ATCC BAA-1872	
ATCC BAA-1874	
ATCC BAA-2156	
ATCC BAA-1803	IIIc, NAP1, A+, B+
ATCC BAA-1875	V, A+, B+
ATCC 43598	VIII, A-, B+
ATCC BAA-1812	XII, A+, B+
ATCC BAA-1814	XXII, A+, B+
ATCC BAA-2155	



d. Analytical Specificity (Exclusivity)

The potential for cross-reactivity to non-target organisms was evaluated in an Exclusivity Study, by testing microorganisms commonly found in stool, with the CDF2 test. The study included 83 microorganisms phylogenetically related to *C. difficile*, as well as other bacteria, fungi/yeast, parasites, viruses, and human genomic DNA (69 unique bacterial strains, 1 yeast, 3 parasites, 9 viruses, and human genomic DNA). Genomic DNA was tested in place of whole organism, for those isolates that were classified as Biosafety Level III, or unable to be cultured via standard clinical microbiology techniques.

Contrived samples were formulated for Exclusivity testing by spiking previously frozen, enumerated cell culture stocks, commercially sourced genomic templates, or commercially sourced viruses into pooled, clinical negative stool matrix. Apart from a single strain (*Clostridium butyricum* ATCC 19398 tested at an input of 1.0×10^5 CFU/mL), all bacterial and yeast strains were tested at concentrations $\geq 1 \times 10^6$ CFU/mL. Genomic templates and viral strains were tested at $\geq 1 \times 10^6$ copies/mL or $\geq 1 \times 10^6$ TCID₅₀/mL, respectively. Each organism was tested in triplicate.

All Exclusivity organisms (see Table 4) gave the expected 'NEGATIVE, Toxin NOT DETECTED' result indicating no cross-reactivity was observed in CDF2. The one exception was *Salmonella enterica* ATCC 6962 which was "Detected" in the CDF2 test in a single replicate. Suspecting contamination, the strain was re-grown, and testing was repeated. Upon retesting all replicates of *Salmonella enterica* ATCC 6962 yielded the expected 'Negative' result.

Table 4. Analytical Specificity (Exclusivity) Study Results

Species	Strain ID	Input Tested
Bacteria and Fungi		
<i>Abiotrophia defectiva</i>	ATCC 49176	4.64×10^8 CFU/mL
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	ATCC19606	1.39×10^8 CFU/mL
<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	ATCC 35654	9.70×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Alcaligenes faecalis</i>	ATCC 15554	6.20×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	ATCC 14579	6.10×10^6 CFU/mL
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i>	ATCC 23745	1.16×10^8 CFU/mL
<i>Campylobacter coli</i>	ATCC 49941	4.84×10^8 CFU/mL
<i>Campylobacter fetus</i>	ATCC 25936	3.30×10^8 CFU/mL
<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	ATCC 49943	1.50×10^9 CFU/mL
<i>Candida albicans</i>	ATCC 14053	1.40×10^6 CFU/mL
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	ATCC 8090	7.40×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Clostridioides difficile</i> (tcdA-, tcdB-)	ATCC 43593	3.00×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Clostridioides difficile</i> (tcdA-, tcdB-)	ATCC 43601	2.20×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Clostridium bifermentans</i>	ATCC 638	1.61×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Clostridium butyricum</i>	ATCC 19398	1.00×10^5 CFU/mL
<i>Clostridium novyi</i>	ATCC 19402	$\geq 1 \times 10^6$ CFU/mL
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	ATCC 13124	1.10×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Clostridium scindens</i>	ATCC 35704	7.02×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Clostridium septicum</i>	ATCC 12464	$\geq 1 \times 10^6$ CFU/mL
<i>Clostridium sporogenes</i>	ATCC 15579	5.80×10^6 CFU/mL
<i>Edwardsiella tarda</i>	ATCC 15947	1.10×10^8 CFU/mL
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	ATCC 15038	1.20×10^9 CFU/mL
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	ATCC 13047	1.40×10^8 CFU/mL
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	ATCC 29212	2.14×10^8 CFU/mL
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> (vanB)	ATCC 51299	5.65×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	ATCC 19434	7.10×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	ATCC 4157	6.80×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (stx1+, stx2+, eae+)	ATCC BAA-2221	4.70×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (stx1+, stx2+, O157:H7)	ATCC 43895	8.60×10^6 CFU/mL
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (stx2+)	ATCC BAA-2326	5.90×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Escherichia fergusonii</i>	ATCC 35469	3.20×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Escherichia hermanii</i>	ATCC 33650	5.60×10^6 CFU/mL
<i>Flavonifractor plautii</i> (<i>Clostridium orbiscindens</i>)	ATCC 49531	4.00×10^6 CFU/mL
<i>Hathewayia histolytica</i> (<i>Clostridium histolyticum</i>)	ATCC 19401	4.00×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Helicobacter pylori</i>	ATCC 43504	2.55×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	ATCC 49131	1.21×10^8 CFU/mL
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	ATCC 13883	6.70×10^7 CFU/mL
<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i>	ATCC 4356	1.70×10^7 CFU/mL



Species	Strain ID	Input Tested
<i>Lactobacillus lactis</i>	ATCC 49032	3.64 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	ATCC 19115	1.34 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
<i>Paenibacillus (Clostridium) sordellii</i>	ATCC 9714	6.40 x 10 ⁷ CFU/mL
<i>Peptostreptococcus anaerobius</i>	ATCC 27337	1.40 x 10 ⁷ CFU/mL
<i>Plesiomonas shigelloides</i>	ATCC 51903	8.00 x 10 ⁶ CFU/mL
<i>Porphyromonas asaccharolytica</i>	ATCC 27908	1.32 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
<i>Prevotella melaninogenica</i>	ATCC 700524	2.25 x 10 ⁶ CFU/mL
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	ATCC 25933	2.14 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	ATCC 6896	4.90 x 10 ⁷ CFU/mL
<i>Providencia alcalifaciens</i>	ATCC 9886	1.25 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
<i>Providencia rettgeri</i>	ATCC 9250	1.25 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	ATCC 10145	9.60 x 10 ⁷ CFU/mL
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	ATCC 13525	2.18 x 10 ⁷ CFU/mL
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> subsp. <i>Arizonae</i>	ATCC 13314	7.98 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	ATCC 13312	1.38 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	ATCC 29628	4.20 x 10 ⁷ CFU/mL
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	ATCC 6962	1.37 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL 1.40 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	ATCC 6539	2.50 x 10 ⁶ CFU/mL
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	ATCC 13311	1.37 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
<i>Serratia liquefaciens</i>	ATCC 35551	1.90 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	ATCC 13880	1.12 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
<i>Shigella boydii</i>	ATCC 29928	9.00 x 10 ⁷ CFU/mL
<i>Shigella dysenteriae</i>	ATCC 9361	1.84 x 10 ⁷ CFU/mL
<i>Shigella flexneri</i>	ATCC 25929	7.20 x 10 ⁷ CFU/mL
<i>Shigella sonnei</i>	ATCC 25931	6.00 x 10 ⁷ CFU/mL
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	ATCC 43300	1.20 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	ATCC 12598	1.76 x 10 ⁷ CFU/mL
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	ATCC 12228	5.80 x 10 ⁷ CFU/mL
<i>Streptococcus agalactiae</i>	ATCC 13813	1.20 x 10 ⁶ CFU/mL
<i>Streptococcus dysgalactiae</i>	ATCC 43078	≥ 1 x 10 ⁶ CFU/mL
<i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i>	ATCC 17802	1.71 x 10 ⁷ CFU/mL
<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i>	ATCC 49397	1.82 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
Viruses and Parasites		
Adenovirus type 40	ATCC VR-931D	1.67 x 10 ¹⁰ copies/mL
Adenovirus type 41	ATCC VR-930D	1.34 x 10 ¹³ copies/mL
Coxsackievirus B2	ATCC VR-29	1.10 x 10 ⁷ TCID ₅₀ /mL
<i>Cryptosporidium parvum</i>	ATCC PRA-67D	1.11 x 10 ⁸ copies/mL
Echovirus 4	ATCC VR-1734D	4.25 x 10 ⁹ copies/mL
<i>Entamoeba histolytica</i>	ATCC 30459DQ	5.70 x 10 ⁷ copies/mL
Enterovirus 71	ATCC VR-1775DQ	4.80 x 10 ⁷ copies/mL
<i>Giardia intestinalis</i>	ATCC 50803D	1.52 x 10 ⁷ copies/mL
Herpesvirus 5 (Cytomegalovirus)	ATCC VR-538D	2.64 x 10 ⁹ copies/mL
Norovirus GI	ATCC VR-3234SD	4.70 x 10 ⁷ copies/mL
Norovirus GII	ATCC VR-3235SD	4.80 x 10 ⁷ copies/mL
Rotavirus A	ATCC VR-2018DQ	5.30 x 10 ⁷ copies/mL
Human genomic DNA	Promega G147A	4.99 x 10 ⁶ copies/mL

e. Microbial Interference (Competitive Inhibition)

The CDF2 test was evaluated for interference from mixed microbial populations using 82 microorganisms tested in the Exclusivity Study. Potentially interfering microorganisms were pooled into seventeen (17) unique pools, each containing 3-5 non-target organisms (Table 5).

Test samples were contrived for Microbial Interference testing by first spiking previously frozen cultures of each non-target organism into pooled, negative clinical stool matrix. Each non-target organism was spiked into the Microbial Interference pool at the same input concentration tested in the Analytical Specificity (Exclusivity) Study (see Table 4 above). The Microbial Interference pool was then contrived to a final concentration of 2X LoD *C. difficile* ATCC 43255 (5.2 x 10⁴ CFU/mL) with previously frozen cell stocks and tested in triplicate. Details of the Microbial Interference Study pools are shown in Table 5.

All Microbial Interference pool replicates gave the expected 'POSITIVE, Toxin DETECTED' result (100% agreement) indicating no interference or competitive inhibition from non-target microbes was observed.

**Table 5. Microbial Interference (Competitive Inhibition) Study Results**

Potentially Interfering Microbe		
Species	Strain ID	Pool
<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	ATCC 35654	1
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i>	ATCC 23745	
<i>Campylobacter coli</i>	ATCC 49941	
<i>Campylobacter fetus</i>	ATCC 25936	
<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	ATCC 49943	
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	ATCC 8090	2
<i>Clostridioides difficile</i>	ATCC 43593	
<i>Clostridioides difficile</i>	ATCC 43601	
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	ATCC 13124	
<i>Paeniclostridium (Clostridium) sordellii</i>	ATCC 9714	3
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	ATCC 13047	
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	ATCC 29212	
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	ATCC 19434	
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	ATCC 4157	
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (O157:H7)	ATCC 43895	4
<i>Escherichia fergusonii</i>	ATCC 35469	
<i>Escherichia hermannii</i>	ATCC 33650	
<i>Helicobacter pylori</i>	ATCC 43504	
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	ATCC 13883	5
<i>Peptostreptococcus anaerobius</i>	ATCC 27337	
<i>Salmonella enterica</i>	ATCC 13312	
<i>Salmonella enterica</i>	ATCC 29628	
<i>Salmonella enterica</i>	ATCC 6962	
<i>Salmonella enterica</i>	ATCC 6539	6
<i>Salmonella enterica</i>	ATCC 13311	
<i>Serratia liquefaciens</i>	ATCC 35551	
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	ATCC 13880	
<i>Shigella boydii</i>	ATCC 29928	7
<i>Shigella flexneri</i>	ATCC 25929	
<i>Shigella sonnei</i>	ATCC 25931	
<i>Candida albicans</i>	ATCC 14053	
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	ATCC 43300	8
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	ATCC 12598	
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	ATCC 12228	
<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i>	ATCC 49397	
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	ATCC 49131	9
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	ATCC 25933	
<i>Salmonella enterica</i>	ATCC 13314	
<i>Shigella dysenteriae</i>	ATCC 9361	
<i>Streptococcus agalactiae</i>	ATCC 13813	10
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	ATCC 19606	
<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	ATCC 14579	
<i>Edwardsiella tarda</i>	ATCC 15947	
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	ATCC 15038	11
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	ATCC 13525	
<i>Abiotrophia defectiva</i>	ATCC 49176	
<i>Alcaligenes faecalis</i>	ATCC 15554	
<i>Clostridium bifermentans</i>	ATCC 638	12
<i>Clostridium butyricum</i>	ATCC 19398	
<i>Clostridium novyi</i>	ATCC 19402	
<i>Hathewayia histolytica (Clostridium histolyticum)</i>	ATCC 19401	
<i>Lactobacillus lactis</i>	ATCC 49032	13
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	ATCC 19115	
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	ATCC 10145	
<i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i>	ATCC 17802	
<i>Clostridium sporogenes</i>	ATCC 15579	14
<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i>	ATCC 4356	
<i>Plesiomonas shigelloides</i>	ATCC 51903	
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	ATCC 6896	
<i>Providencia rettgeri</i>	ATCC 9250	



<i>Flavonifractor plautii</i> (<i>Clostridium orbiscindens</i>)	ATCC 49531	13
<i>Providencia alcalifaciens</i>	ATCC 9886	
<i>Salmonella enterica</i>	ATCC 6962	
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	ATCC BAA-2221	
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	ATCC BAA-2326	
Adenovirus type 40	ATCC VR-931D	14
Enterovirus 71	ATCC VR-1775DQ	
Norovirus GI	ATCC VR-3234SD	
Norovirus GII	ATCC VR-3235SD	
Rotavirus A	ATCC VR-2018DQ	
<i>Clostridium scindens</i>	ATCC 35704	15
<i>Clostridium septicum</i>	ATCC 12464	
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> (vanB)	ATCC 51299	
<i>Porphyromonas asaccharolytica</i>	ATCC 27908	
<i>Prevotella melaninogenica</i>	ATCC 700524	
Adenovirus type 41	ATCC VR-930D	16
Coxsackievirus B2	ATCC VR-29	
Herpesvirus 5 (Cytomegalovirus)	ATCC VR-538D	
Echovirus 4	ATCC VR-1734D	
<i>Giardia intestinalis</i>	ATCC 50803D	
<i>Cryptosporidium parvum</i>	ATCC PRA-67D	17
<i>Entamoeba histolytica</i>	ATCC 30459DQ	
Human gDNA	Promega G147A	

f. Interfering Substances (Chemical Interference)

The CDF2 test was evaluated for potential endogenous and exogenous Chemical Interference against a panel of thirty (30) substances that are common stool contaminants, or likely present in patients with diarrhea. Each substance was tested in the background of 2X LoD contrived positive stools and true negative stool. Two (2) *C. difficile* strains were evaluated in the Interfering Substances study at 2X LoD, ATCC 43255 (5.2×10^4 CFU/mL) and ATCC BAA-1805 (1.4×10^5 CFU/mL). The same clinical negative (i.e., un-spiked) stool matrix was also tested as a true negative to evaluate potential interference with the internal assay control in the absence of target analyte.

Each substance was tested in triplicate against both *C. difficile* strains and a negative sample for a total of nine (9) CDF2 tests per substance. During testing if any substance resulted in less than 100% agreement, the input concentration of the potentially interfering substance was reduced by 10-20% and re-tested stepwise until 100% agreement was achieved. The highest, non-inhibitory input is reported. No CDF2 interference was observed for any of the endogenous or exogenous substances at the concentrations listed in Table 6.

Table 6. Interfering Substances Study Results

Interfering Substance (Brand Evaluated)	Input Tested	<i>C. difficile</i> ATCC 43255 2X LoD	<i>C. difficile</i> ATCC BAA-1805 2X LoD	Negative
		POSITIVE CDF2 Result		NEGATIVE CDF2 Result
Bismuth subsalicylate	50% v/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Liquid glycerin suppository	50% v/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Water-based personal lubricant	50% v/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Silicon-based personal lubricant	50% v/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Benzalkonium chloride wipe solution	50% v/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Aloe leaf juice flushable wipes	50% v/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Nonoxynol-9 condom lubricant	40% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Hemorrhoidal cream	20% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Hydrocortisone cream	30% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Miconazole nitrate anti-fungal cream	30% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Petroleum jelly	30% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Antacid tablet	38% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Loperamide anti-diarrheal	50% v/v	3/3	2/3	3/3
	44% v/v	Not Tested	3/3	Not Tested
Senna glycoside natural laxative	17% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
NSAID, Naproxen Sodium	10% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3



Interfering Substance (Brand Evaluated)	Input Tested	<i>C. difficile</i> ATCC 43255 2X LoD	<i>C. difficile</i> ATCC BAA-1805 2X LoD	Negative
		POSITIVE CDF2 Result		NEGATIVE CDF2 Result
Mineral oil	50% v/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Biotin supplement	51% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Barium sulfate	25% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Stearic acid	1.1% w/v	2/3	3/3	3/3
	1% w/v	3/3	Not Tested	Not Tested
Palmitic acid	1.5% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Whole Blood	40% v/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Biotin	0.5 w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Nonoxynol-9	48% v/v	3/3	2/3	3/3
	42% v/v	Not Tested	3/3	Not Tested
Mesalazine	2.5% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Mucin from Porcine Stomach	2.5% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Topical Antibiotic	50% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Nystatin	10% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Metronidazole	0.5% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Erythromycin	10% w/v	3/3	3/3	3/3
Vancomycin (100 mg/mL in DMSO)	5% w/v	0/3	3/3	3/3
	0.4% w/v	3/3	Not Tested	Not Tested

g. Reproducibility

A between-site Reproducibility Study was conducted at one (1) internal and two (2) external sites. The study incorporated several variables including multiple operators (n=6) across the three (3) study sites, multiple non-consecutive testing days (n=5), multiple Analyzers (n=24), and cartridge lots (n=2).

The Reproducibility Panel consisted of five (5) panel members. Each panel contained two (2) toxigenic *Clostridioides difficile* strains: ATCC 43255 (toxintype 0, ribotype 087) and ATCC BAA-1805 (toxintype IIIb, NAP1/ribotype 027). Each strain was evaluated at both low positive (1.5X LoD) and moderate positive (3X LoD) concentrations. A single negative sample was also included in the panel. Contrived Reproducibility Panel samples were prepared by spiking previously enumerated and frozen *Clostridioides difficile* cultures into pooled negative clinical stool matrix. The contrived panels were frozen, shipped to sites frozen, and thawed at the test site prior to testing on the Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test.

Results of the Reproducibility Study are provided by site in Table 7.

Table 7. Reproducibility Study Results

<i>C. difficile</i> Strain	Agreement (Actual/Expected Outcomes)				
	ATCC 43255		ATCC BAA-1805		N/A
	1.5X	3X	1.5X	3X	Negative
Clinical Site 1	100% (30/30)	100% (30/30)	100% (30/30*)	100% (30/30*)	100% (30/30)
Clinical Site 2	93.3% (28/30)	100% (30/30)	100% (30/30)	100% (30/30)	96.7% (29/30)
Internal Site	100% (30/30)	100% (30/30)	100% (30/30)	100% (30/30)	100% (30/30)
Total (All Sites) [95% CI]	97.8% (88/90) [92.2-99.7%]	100% (90/90) [96-100%]	100% (90/90) [96-100%]	100% (90/90) [96-100%]	98.9% (89/90) [94-100%]

* This dataset contained a single (1) 'Invalid' result that was resolved upon retest.



Analysis of Positive Results: A total of four (4) positive samples were tested in triplicate by each of the 6 operators over 5 days. Therefore, the study contains a total of 60 positive results per operator and 360 total positives.

Two (2) low positive (test concentration = 1.5X LoD) Reproducibility panel samples yielded 'NEGATIVE' results in a single replicate. Both false negative replicates are assumed to be due to assay variability and stochastic sampling of the contrived sample for this analyte at concentrations very near the limit of detection. Although both false negatives occurred at Site 2, there was no commonality between the operator or the test day. Even with these two missed replicates the overall reproducibility for this analyte was still acceptable ($\geq 95\%$).

Analysis of Negative Results: To assess negative results, aliquots of the same pooled negative matrix that was used to contrive the panel were tested. A single (1) negative sample was included in each panel, tested in triplicate by each of 6 operators over 5 days each, therefore a total of 15 negative results per operator and 90 total negative results in the study.

A single negative Reproducibility panel sample yielded a 'POSITIVE' result in a single replicate. The false positive was most likely caused by unintentional sample contamination by the operator.

Overall agreement for positive and negative Reproducibility panel samples are provided in Table 8. The agreement of positive results for toxigenic *C. difficile* was 98.9% at low positive concentrations (1.5X LoD) and 100% at moderate positive concentrations (3X LoD). The overall agreement of positive results was 99.4% [CI₉₅ 98.0 – 99.9%]. The overall agreement of negative results was 98.9% [CI₉₅ 94.0 – 100%]. Given the number of variables included in this study (cartridge lots, analyzers, operators, sites, days) the study demonstrated acceptable reproducibility of the Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test.

Table 8. Summary of Reproducibility Results

Analyte	Concentration	Agreement (Actual/Expected Outcomes) [95% CI]	
<i>Clostridioides difficile</i> (tcdB+)	1.5X LoD	98.9% (178/180) [96-99.9%]	99.4% (358/360) [98-99.9%]
	3X LoD	100% (180/180) [98-100%]	
Negative	N/A	98.9% (89/90) [94-100%]	98.9% (89/90) [94-100%]

H. Performance Data – Clinical Studies

A prospective multi-center method comparison study was conducted to compare the performance of the Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test (CDF2) to an FDA-cleared molecular reference. The study was conducted at three, geographically diverse, U.S. clinical study sites (Midwest, Northeast, West) from June 2022 - February 2023.

Specimens enrolled in the study were excess remnants of unpreserved stool specimens that were prospectively collected from symptomatic individuals ≥ 2 years of age suspected of *C. difficile* infection (CDI), processed according to routine standard of care testing, and would have otherwise been discarded. All specimens were enrolled under an Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved protocol and testing was performed by trained laboratory personnel.

A total of 829 specimens met the inclusion criteria and were included in the final dataset. The dataset included specimens collected from 431 females (52%), 393 males (47.4%), and 5 (0.6%) individuals of undeclared or unknown gender. The age distribution of study participants is shown in Table 9.

**Table 9.** Study Population Distribution by Age Group

Age Group (Years)	Number of Specimens
<2	Excluded
2-12	37 (4.5%)
13-18	32 (3.9%)
19-64	442 (53.3%)
65-88	299 (36.1%)
≥ 89	19 (2.3%)
Total	829 (100.0%)

Results of the prospective method comparison study were analyzed for concordance by site and in total using a 2x2 table. The positive percent agreement (PPA), negative percent agreement (NPA), disease prevalence, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) along with the 95% confidence intervals (CI) were then calculated. Results of Prospective Specimen testing by site and in total are shown in Table 10.

Table 10. Prospective Study Results

Site 1		Molecular Comparator			PPA = 97.3% (90.5% - 99.7%) NPA = 97.9% (95.6% - 99.1%) Prevalence = 18.3% PPV = 91.0% (82.4% - 96.3%) NPV = 99.4% (97.8% - 99.9%)
		Positive	Negative	Total	
Toxigenic <i>C. difficile</i> Direct Test	Positive	71	7	78	
	Negative	2	320	322	
	Total	73	327	400	
Site 2		Molecular Comparator			PPA = 93.8% (84.8% - 98.3%) NPA = 99.4% (97.8% - 99.9%) Prevalence = 16.7% PPV = 96.8% (88.8% - 99.6%) NPV = 98.8% (96.9% - 99.7%)
		Positive	Negative	Total	
Toxigenic <i>C. difficile</i> Direct Test	Positive	60	2	62	
	Negative	4	318	322	
	Total	64	320	384	
Site 3		Molecular Comparator			PPA = 100.0% (59.0% - 100.0%) NPA = 97.4% (86.2% - 99.9%) Prevalence = 15.6% PPV = 87.5% (47.4% - 99.7%) NPV = 97.8% (88.2% - 99.9%)
		Positive	Negative	Total	
Toxigenic <i>C. difficile</i> Direct Test	Positive	7	1	8	
	Negative	0	37	37	
	Total	7	38	45	
All Sites		Molecular Comparator			PPA = 95.8% (91.2% - 98.5%) NPA = 98.5% (97.3% - 99.3%) Prevalence = 17.4% PPV = 93.2% (87.9% - 96.7%) NPV = 99.1% (98.1% - 99.7%)
		Positive	Negative	Total	
Toxigenic <i>C. difficile</i> Direct Test	Positive	138	10	148	
	Negative	6	675	681	
	Total	144	685	829	



Discrepant results were defined as results obtained from the CDF2 test that were not in agreement with the standard of care molecular reference method. Specimens with discrepant results were investigated by testing the specimens on a third molecular test.

As shown in Table 11, five (5) of six (6) false negative specimens also tested negative when evaluated with a second FDA-cleared molecular *C. difficile* test and are therefore concordant with the CDF2 result. The remaining false negative (1) specimen tested positive on the BD Max and found to be in concordance with the reference test.

All ten (10) CDF2 false positive results tested negative when evaluated with a second FDA-cleared molecular *C. difficile* test and found to be in concordance with the reference test.

Results of discrepant resolution testing are summarized in Table 11.

Table 11. Prospective Study Discrepant Testing Results

Resolved False Negatives	Resolved False Positives
5/6	0/10

Established clinical performance, positive (PPA) and negative (NPA) percent agreement along with 95% Confidence Intervals, of the Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test is shown in Table 12. Overall, the results from the clinical evaluation demonstrated acceptable performance of the Great Basin Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test and support the Intended Use of this product.

Table 12. Summary Table - Clinical Performance of the Toxigenic *C. difficile* Direct Test

	n	PPA (95% CI)	NPA (95% CI)
Toxigenic <i>C. difficile</i> Direct Test (CDF2)	829	95.8% (91.2 - 98.5) 138/144	98.5% (97.3 - 99.3) 675/685

I. Overall Conclusion

The information submitted in this 510(k) pre-market notification is complete and supports substantial equivalence.