



Peek Health, S.A.
Sara Silva
Chief Quality Officer (CQO)
Centro de Negócios Ideia Atlântico, Rua Padres Carmelitas
Braga, 4719-005
Portugal

November 12, 2025

Re: K252452
Trade/Device Name: PeekMed web
Regulation Number: 21 CFR 892.2050
Regulation Name: Medical Image Management And Processing System
Regulatory Class: Class II
Product Code: QIH, LLZ
Dated: October 2, 2025
Received: October 2, 2025

Dear Sara Silva:

We have reviewed your section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database available at <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm> identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the Federal Register.

Additional information about changes that may require a new premarket notification are provided in the FDA guidance documents entitled "Deciding When to Submit a 510(k) for a Change to an Existing Device"

(<https://www.fda.gov/media/99812/download>) and "Deciding When to Submit a 510(k) for a Software Change to an Existing Device" (<https://www.fda.gov/media/99785/download>).

Your device is also subject to, among other requirements, the Quality System (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820), which includes, but is not limited to, 21 CFR 820.30, Design controls; 21 CFR 820.90, Nonconforming product; and 21 CFR 820.100, Corrective and preventive action. Please note that regardless of whether a change requires premarket review, the QS regulation requires device manufacturers to review and approve changes to device design and production (21 CFR 820.30 and 21 CFR 820.70) and document changes and approvals in the device master record (21 CFR 820.181).

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR Part 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR Part 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see <https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products>); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR Part 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR Parts 1000-1050.

All medical devices, including Class I and unclassified devices and combination product device constituent parts are required to be in compliance with the final Unique Device Identification System rule ("UDI Rule"). The UDI Rule requires, among other things, that a device bear a unique device identifier (UDI) on its label and package (21 CFR 801.20(a)) unless an exception or alternative applies (21 CFR 801.20(b)) and that the dates on the device label be formatted in accordance with 21 CFR 801.18. The UDI Rule (21 CFR 830.300(a) and 830.320(b)) also requires that certain information be submitted to the Global Unique Device Identification Database (GUDID) (21 CFR Part 830 Subpart E). For additional information on these requirements, please see the UDI System webpage at <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/unique-device-identification-system-udi-system>.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-safety/medical-device-reporting-mdr-how-report-medical-device-problems>.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (<https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance>) and CDRH Learn (<https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn>). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (<https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory->

[assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice](#)) for more information or contact DICE by email (DICE@fda.hhs.gov) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jessica Lamb". The signature is written in a cursive style. In the background, there is a large, light blue, semi-transparent watermark of the letters "FDA".

Jessica Lamb
Assistant Director
DHT8B: Division of Radiologic Imaging
Devices and Electronic Products
OHT8: Office of Radiological Health
Office of Product Evaluation and Quality
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

Indications for Use

Please type in the marketing application/submission number, if it is known. This textbox will be left blank for original applications/submissions.

K252452

?

Please provide the device trade name(s).

?

PeekMed web

Please provide your Indications for Use below.

?

PeekMed web is a system designed to help healthcare professionals carry out pre-operative planning for several surgical procedures, based on their imported patients' imaging studies. Experience in usage and a clinical assessment is necessary for the proper use of the system in the revision and approval of the output of the planning. The multi-platform system works with a database of digital representations related to surgical materials supplied by their manufacturers.

This medical device consists of a decision support tool for qualified healthcare professionals to quickly and efficiently perform the pre-operative planning for several surgical procedures, using medical imaging with the additional capability of planning the 2D or 3D environment. The system is designed for the medical specialties within surgery and no specific use environment is mandatory, whereas the typical use environment is a room with a computer. The patient target group is adult patients who have an injury or disability diagnosed previously. There are no other considerations for the intended patient population.

Please select the types of uses (select one or both, as applicable).

- ☒ Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)
☐ Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)

?

510(k) summary

This 510(k) summary of safety and effectiveness is being submitted in accordance with the requirements of 21 CFR 807.92.

1. Submitter

Peek Health, S.A.
Centro de Negócios Ideia Atlântico
Rua Padres Carmelitas
4719-005 Braga
Portugal

Contact Person: Sara Silva
Chief Quality Officer (CQO)
Email: regulatory@peekmed.com
Office number: + 351 253 128 941

Date Summary Prepared: October 31, 2025

2. Device

2.1 PeekMed web

Trade Name:	PeekMed web
Common or Usual Name:	Medical image management and processing system
Classification Name:	System, Image Processing, Radiological (21 C.F.R. § 892.2050)
Regulatory Class:	Class II
Product Code:	QIH, LLZ



3. Legally Marketed Predicate Device

3.1 PeekMed web

510(k)	Product Name	Clearance Date
K251096	PeekMed web	July 14, 2025

4. Device Description Summary

PeekMed web is a system designed to help healthcare professionals carry out pre-operative planning for several surgical procedures, based on their imported patients' imaging studies. Experience in usage and a clinical assessment are necessary for the proper use of the system in the revision and approval of the output of the planning.

The multi-platform system works with a database of digital representations related to surgical materials supplied by their manufacturers.

As the PeekMed web is capable of representing medical images in a 2D or 3D environment, performing relevant measurements on those images, and also capable of adding templates, it can then provide a total overview of the surgery. Being software, it does not interact with any part of the body of the user and/or patient.

5. Intended Use/Indications for Use

PeekMed web is a system designed to help healthcare professionals carry out pre-operative planning for several surgical procedures, based on their imported patients' imaging studies. Experience in usage and a clinical assessment are necessary for the proper use of the system in the revision and approval of the output of the planning. The multi-platform system works with a database of digital representations related to surgical materials supplied by their manufacturers.

This medical device consists of a decision support tool for qualified healthcare professionals to quickly and efficiently perform the pre-operative planning for several surgical procedures, using medical imaging with the additional capability of planning the 2D or 3D environment. The system is designed for the medical specialties within surgery, and no specific use environment is mandatory, whereas the typical use environment is a room with a computer. The patient target group is adult patients who have an injury or disability diagnosed previously. There are no other considerations for the intended patient population.

5.1. Contraindications

No contraindications specific to this device.

5.2 Indications for Use Comparison

There are NO differences between the indications for use of this device and its predicate.

6. Technological Comparison to Predicate

The PeekMed web was compared to its respective predicate device in intended use, indications for use, design, function, and technology, and it was demonstrated that they are substantially equivalent. Any technological differences within this 510(k), between the subject device and the predicate device, do not impact substantial equivalence, or safety and effectiveness.

The subject device and its predicate are both medical software that allow healthcare professionals to perform orthopedic pre-surgical planning efficiently in the musculoskeletal system of adults in a healthcare environment, therefore sharing the same intended use, intended user, and intended patient population. To properly and fully use both devices, clinical judgment and experience are mandatory.

Compared to the predicate device, the subject device includes updates to existing ML variants and the introduction of new ML variants, such as the segmentation and landmarking for the knee region. In addition, certain previously cleared variants have undergone updates to improve performance while maintaining the same intended use, technical characteristics, safety, and effectiveness considerations. These modifications do not alter the overall intended use of the device

Both devices have the same workflows, use requirements (e.g., internet connection, output validation), and planning features (e.g., model representation, digital overlap of prosthetic material, possible 2D and 3D environments). Both devices generate a final report of the planning, which consists of the selected images with templates, measurements, and textual information describing the patient and/or the surgical procedure to be performed.

Table 1: Summary of Predicate and Subject Device Characteristics to Demonstrate Substantial Equivalence

Characteristic	PeekMed web Predicate device K251096	PeekMed web Subject device	Substantially Equivalent?	Justification and rationale
Product Code	QIH, LLZ	QIH, LLZ	Yes	---
Regulation Number	21 CFR 892.2050	21 CFR 892.2050	Yes	---
Regulation Name	Medical Image Management And Processing System	Medical Image Management And Processing System	Yes	---
Intended use/Indications for use	PeekMed web is a system designed to help healthcare professionals carry out pre-operative planning for several surgical procedures, based on their imported patients' imaging studies. Experience in usage and a clinical assessment are necessary for the proper use of the system in the revision and approval of the output of the planning. The multi-platform system works with a database of digital representations related to surgical materials supplied by their manufacturers. This medical device consists of a decision support tool for qualified healthcare professionals to quickly and efficiently perform the pre-operative planning for several surgical procedures, using medical imaging with the additional capability of planning the 2D or 3D environment. The	PeekMed web is a system designed to help healthcare professionals carry out pre-operative planning for several surgical procedures, based on their imported patients' imaging studies. Experience in usage and a clinical assessment are necessary for the proper use of the system in the revision and approval of the output of the planning. The multi-platform system works with a database of digital representations related to surgical materials supplied by their manufacturers. This medical device consists of a decision support tool for qualified healthcare professionals to quickly and efficiently perform the pre-operative planning for several surgical procedures, using medical imaging with the additional capability of planning the 2D or 3D environment. The	Yes	---

Characteristic	PeekMed web Predicate device K251096	PeekMed web Subject device	Substantially Equivalent?	Justification and rationale
	system is designed for the medical specialties within surgery, and no specific use environment is mandatory, whereas the typical use environment is a room with a computer. The patient target group is adult patients who have an injury or disability diagnosed previously. There are no other considerations for the intended patient population.	system is designed for the medical specialties within surgery, and no specific use environment is mandatory, whereas the typical use environment is a room with a computer. The patient target group is adult patients who have an injury or disability diagnosed previously. There are no other considerations for the intended patient population.		
Contraindications	No contraindications specific to this device.	No contraindications specific to this device.	Yes	---
Clinical purpose	The PeekMed web allows the surgeon to perform orthopedic pre-surgical planning efficiently in the musculoskeletal system (e.g., Hip procedures, Knee procedures)	The PeekMed web allows the surgeon to perform orthopedic pre-surgical planning efficiently in the musculoskeletal system (e.g., Hip procedures, Knee procedures)	Yes	---
Anatomical regions	The PeekMed web allows the surgeon to perform pre-surgical planning efficiently in the following anatomical regions: - Hip - Knee - Upper limb - Foot	The PeekMed web allows the surgeon to perform pre-surgical planning efficiently in the following anatomical regions: - Hip - Knee - Upper limb - Foot	Yes	---
Patient Population	Adults	Adults	Yes	---
End users	Healthcare Professionals	Healthcare Professionals	Yes	---
Device availability	Software is cloud-based (not installable) and can be displayed on any personal device or workstation that can run a web	Software is cloud-based (not installable) and can be displayed on any personal device or workstation that can run a web	Yes	---

Characteristic	PeekMed web Predicate device K251096	PeekMed web Subject device	Substantially Equivalent?	Justification and rationale
	browser	browser		
Software Architecture	Distributed system (cloud-based). This distributed system is a combination of software modules placed on servers that are able to communicate with each other.	Distributed system (cloud-based). This distributed system is a combination of software modules placed on servers that are able to communicate with each other.	Yes	---
Workflow	The workflow is as follows: Import case images, configure images, identify the case, pre-surgical planning, and export the case.	The workflow is as follows: Import case images, configure images, identify the case, pre-surgical planning, and export the case.	Yes	---
Internet connection	Required	Required	Yes	---
Images source	Receives medical images from various sources	Receives medical images from various sources	Yes	---
Data processing	The software processes data to provide an overlap and dimensioning of digital representations of the prosthetic material	The software processes data to provide an overlap and dimensioning of digital representations of the prosthetic material	Yes	---
Digital overlap of templates	Allows the overlap of models and the intersection of the models	Allows the overlap of models and the intersection of the models	Yes	---
Interactive model positioning	Yes	Yes	Yes	---
Interactive model dimensioning	Yes	Yes	Yes	---
Model rotation	Yes	Yes	Yes	---
Support for digital prosthetic	Yes	Yes	Yes	---

Characteristic	PeekMed web Predicate device K251096	PeekMed web Subject device	Substantially Equivalent?	Justification and rationale
materials provided by the manufacturers				
Pre-surgical planning	Yes	Yes	Yes	---
Type of pre-surgical planning	Automatic or Manual	Automatic or Manual	Yes	---
Contact with the patient	No	No	Yes	---
Control of life supporting devices	No	No	Yes	---
Human intervention for image interpretation	Yes	Yes	Yes	---
Ability to add additional modules when available	Yes	Yes	Yes	---
Automatic bone segmentation	Yes - Hip (X-ray and CT scan) - Knee (X-ray, CT scan, and MRI) - Upper limb (CT scan) - Foot (X-ray and CT scan)	Yes - Hip (X-ray and CT scan) - Knee (X-ray - AP & LAT view, CT scan, and MRI) - Upper limb (CT scan) - Foot (X-ray and CT scan)	Yes	The subject device includes new ML model variants for the segmentation of the Knee in a Lateral view. Both devices allow planning for the knee region with X-rays in an AP view, but the subject device also offers a

Characteristic	PeekMed web Predicate device K251096	PeekMed web Subject device	Substantially Equivalent?	Justification and rationale
				new image perspective (Lateral view). This does not constitute an intended purpose update, nor does it raise questions of safety and performance, since the development, verification, validation, and deployment processes are the same for both devices. Compared to the predicate device, the subject device includes updates to existing ML variants and the introduction of new ML variants, such as the segmentation and landmarking for the knee region. In addition, certain previously cleared variants have undergone updates to improve performance while maintaining the same intended use. These modifications do not alter the overall intended use of the device
Type of landmarking	Automatic or Manual - Hip (X-ray and CT scan) - Knee (X-ray, CT scan, and MRI) - Upper limb (CT scan) - Foot (X-ray and CT scan)	Automatic or Manual - Hip (X-ray and CT scan) - Knee (X-ray - AP & LAT view, CT scan, and MRI) - Upper limb (CT scan) - Foot (X-ray and CT scan)	Yes	The subject device includes new ML model variants for landmarking for the Knee in a Lateral view. Both devices allow planning for the knee region with X-rays in an AP view, but the subject device also offers a new image perspective (Lateral view). This does not constitute an intended

Characteristic	PeekMed web Predicate device K251096	PeekMed web Subject device	Substantially Equivalent?	Justification and rationale
				purpose update, nor does it raise questions of safety and performance, since the development, verification, validation, and deployment processes are the same for both devices. Compared to the predicate device, the subject device includes updates to existing ML variants and the introduction of new ML variants, such as the segmentation and landmarking for the knee region. In addition, certain previously cleared variants have undergone updates to improve performance while maintaining the same intended use. These modifications do not alter the overall intended use of the device

PeekMed web shares the same intended use, indications for use, end users, and has the patient population, overall technical and functional capabilities, and therefore is substantially equivalent to the predicate device. The subject device has the same design and

function as the predicate device for the modes of operation and use. The subject device includes new ML model variants for segmentation and landmarking for the Knee in a Lateral view.



7. Performance Data

Nonclinical performance testing performed on the subject device, PeekMed web, supports substantial equivalence to the predicate device. The following testing was performed on the subject device:

- A. Verification activities were conducted to ensure that features were implemented following the requirements and covering the acceptance criteria.
- B. ML models incorporated into the PeekMed web were also developed, trained, tested, and externally validated for their performance according to the internal procedures.
 - A dedicated validation dataset containing different data from the ML development dataset was used. Specifically, the validation dataset was not a sampling of the development dataset, had never been used for the algorithm training or for tuning the algorithm, and leakage between development and validation data sets did not occur.

Training, development, testing and external validation data information

ML models were developed with datasets from multiple sites in a total of 2852 X-ray datasets, 2073 CT scans, and 209 MRIs. We trained the ML models with 80% of the dataset, developed with 10%, and tested with the remaining 10%. External validation is performed by sample size with a total unique dataset, for Segmentation ML model: 672; Landmarking ML model: 561; Classification ML model: 367; and Detection ML model: 198. This comprehensive dataset was designed to cover the intended use population while ensuring a variety of data, maintaining diverse patient characteristics.

Subgroup definition (generalizability)

Datasets were divided according to the subgroups listed below:

- Demographics
 - Patient Sex
 - Patient Age
- Equipment and Protocols for Image Collection
 - Institution Name
 - Manufacturer
 - Manufacturer Model Name

Acceptance criteria

The acceptance criteria for each ML model are shown in the following table:

ML model	Acceptance Criteria
Segmentation	DICE is no less than 90% HD-95 is no more than 8 STD DICE is between +/- 10% Precision is more than 85% Recall is more than 90%
Landmarking	MRE is no more than 7mm STD MRE is between +/- 5mm
Classification	Accuracy is no less than 90%. Precision is no less than 85% Recall is no less than 90% F1 score is no less than 90%
Detection	MAP is no less than 90%. Precision is no less than 85% Recall is no less than 90%

Reference Standard (“Truthing” Process)

Comparison of the efficacy results of the Segmentation ML model using the testing and external validation datasets against the predefined ground truth met the acceptance criteria for ML model performance, demonstrating the substantial equivalence of the subject device to its predicate.

Comparison of the efficacy results of the Landmarking ML model using the testing and external validation datasets against the predefined ground truth met the acceptance criteria for ML model performance, demonstrating the substantial equivalence of the subject device to its predicate.

Comparison of the efficacy results of the Classification ML model using the testing and external validation datasets against the predefined ground truth met



the acceptance criteria for ML model performance, demonstrating the substantial equivalence of the subject device to its predicate.

Comparison of the efficacy results of the Detection ML model using the testing and external validation datasets against the predefined ground truth met the acceptance criteria for ML model performance, demonstrating the substantial equivalence of the subject device to its predicate.

Independence of Training and External Validation Data

External validation datasets were collected independently of the development data to prevent bias, ensuring the reliability of the results. For the external validation, a fully independent dataset, labeled by a separate team, was employed to provide an accurate assessment of the model's performance, over the whole population and for each sub-group mentioned before, to prove that it generalizes well to unseen, real-world data. All the testing and external validation performed indicate acceptable performance of the ML models for their intended population.

- C. Validation tests were performed internally before the release to the market by qualified personnel, in an environment simulating the real end-user environment. This validation follows a pre-defined test script document, according to the tests defined in the test cases management software.

Nonclinical performance testing allowed us to understand that there are no related problems in the subject device. Furthermore, these tests will be repeated and updated when appropriate to ensure that the software is always properly validated, making it possible to understand in which version the problems arise and in which they are solved. Consequently, any problem that may appear in a given PeekMed web version will be identified and can be solved in subsequent versions, as all steps are traceable. All anatomical areas were tested, as well as other main areas of the software, such as the planning final report, and saved planning, ML models, among others.

After these successful validation tests, it is possible to deem the subject device, PeekMed web, as substantially equivalent to its predicate device.



9. Conclusion

Based on the information provided in this 510(k) submission, it was determined that the subject device, PeekMed web, is substantially equivalent to the legally marketed predicate device concerning indications for use, intended use, design, technology, and performance.