



September 29, 2026

Zhejiang Kailang Technology Co., Ltd.
% Eva Li
Consultant
Shanghai Sungo Management Consulting Co., Ltd.
Rm. 1401, Dongfang Bldg., 1500# Century Ave.
Shanghai, 200122
China

Re: K262553
Trade/Device Name: Mobility Scooter (YQ-401,YQ- 401A,YQ-403,YQ-403A)
Regulation Number: 21 CFR 890.3800
Regulation Name: Motorized Three-Wheeled Vehicle
Regulatory Class: Class II
Product Code: INI
Dated: September 20, 2026
Received: September 21, 2026

Dear Eva Li:

We have reviewed your section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database available at <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm> identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the Federal Register.

Before making any change significantly affecting the safety or effectiveness of the device, you must submit a new premarket notification in accordance with 21 CFR 807.81. Additional information about changes that may require a new premarket notification are provided in the FDA guidance documents entitled "Deciding

When to Submit a 510(k) for a Change to an Existing Device" (<https://www.fda.gov/media/99812/download>) and "Deciding When to Submit a 510(k) for a Software Change to an Existing Device" (<https://www.fda.gov/media/99785/download>).

Your device is also subject to, among other requirements, the Quality Management System Regulation (QMSR) (21 CFR Part 820), which includes, but is not limited to, ISO 13485 clause 7.3 (Design controls), ISO 13485 clause 8.3 (Nonconforming product), ISO 13485 clause 8.5.2 (Corrective action), and ISO 13485 clause 8.5.3 (Preventative action). Please note that regardless of whether a change requires premarket review, the QMSR requires device manufacturers to review and approve changes to device design and production (ISO 13485 clause 7.3 and ISO 13485 clause 7.5) and document changes and approvals in the Medical Device File (ISO 13485 clause 4.2.3).

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR Part 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR Part 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see <https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products>); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the Quality Management System Regulation (QMSR) (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR Part 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR Parts 1000-1050.

All medical devices, including Class I and unclassified devices and combination product device constituent parts are required to be in compliance with the final Unique Device Identification System rule ("UDI Rule"). The UDI Rule requires, among other things, that a device bear a unique device identifier (UDI) on its label and package (21 CFR 801.20(a)) unless an exception or alternative applies (21 CFR 801.20(b)) and that the dates on the device label be formatted in accordance with 21 CFR 801.18. The UDI Rule (21 CFR 830.300(a) and 830.320(b)) also requires that certain information be submitted to the Global Unique Device Identification Database (GUDID) (21 CFR Part 830 Subpart E). For additional information on these requirements, please see the UDI System webpage at <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/unique-device-identification-system-udi-system>.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-safety/medical-device-reporting-mdr-how-report-medical-device-problems>.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (<https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance>) and CDRH Learn (<https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn>). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (<https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory->

[assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice](#)) for more information or contact DICE by email (DICE@fda.hhs.gov) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

Tushar Bansal -S

for Heather Dean, PhD

Assistant Director, Acute Injury Devices Team

DHT5B: Division of Neuromodulation and

Rehabilitation Devices

OHT5: Office of Neurological and

Physical Medicine Devices

Office of Product Evaluation and Quality

Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Indications for Use

Please type in the marketing application/submission number, if it is known. This textbox will be left blank for original applications/submissions.

K262553

?

Please provide the device trade name(s).

?

MOBILITY SCOOTER (YQ-401, YQ- 401A, YQ-403, YQ-403A)

Please provide your Indications for Use below.

?

It is a motor driven, indoor and outdoor transportation vehicle with the intended use to provide mobility to a disabled or elderly person limited to a seated position.

Please select the types of uses.

☐ Prescription Use ([21 CFR 801 Subpart D](#))

☒ Over-The-Counter Use ([21 CFR 801 Subpart C](#))

?

Please select the age group(s) for which the device(s) is to be used.

☐ Neonates/Newborns (Birth to < 29 days old)

☐ Infants (29 days old to < 2 years old)

☐ Children (2 years old to < 12 years old)

☐ Adolescents (12 years old to < 22 years old)

☒ Adults (22 years old and greater)

?

K262553: 510(k) Summary

I. Submitter

Name: Zhejiang Kailang Technology Co., LTD.
Address: 5th Floor, Building 1, No.366 Huachuan Road, Xicheng Subdistrict,
Yongkang City, Zhejiang Province, China
Telephone: +8613858939977
Email: 339408531@qq.com
Date prepared: July 23,2026

II. Device

Device trade name: Mobility Scooter
Model: YQ-401, YQ401A, YQ-403, YQ-403A
Classification: II
Product Code: INI
Regulation: 890.3800
Panel: Motorized three-wheeled vehicle

III. Predicate device

510K number: K252502
Device Name: Folding mobility scooter
Model: KD101
Nanjing Kangni Smart Technology Co., Ltd.

IV. Device Description

The Mobility Scooter has a base with steel frame, two front wheels, two rear wheels, a seat, a front session tiller console, electric motor, electromagnetic brake, 1 pc rechargeable lead-acid Battery case with an off-board charger. The movement of the scooter is controlled by the rider who operates the drive lever. The device is installed with an electromagnetic brake that will engage automatically when the scooter is not in use and the brake cannot be used manually. The scooter only can be operated on the flat road.

V. Indication for use

It is a motor driven, indoor and outdoor transportation vehicle with the intended use to provide mobility to a disabled or elderly person limited to a seated position.

VI. Comparison of technological characteristics with the predicate device

Elements of Comparison	Predicate Device (K252502)	Subject Device	Remark
Manufacturer	Nanjing Kangni Smart Technology Co.,Ltd.	Zhejiang Kailang Technology Co.,LTD.	Same
Device name	Folding mobility scooter	Mobility Scooter	--
Model(s)	KD101	YQ-401, YQ401A, YQ-403, YQ-403A	--
Indications for use	It is a motor driven, indoor and outdoor transportation vehicle with the intended use to provide mobility to a disabled or elderly person limited to a seated position.	It is a motor driven, indoor and outdoor transportation vehicle with the intended use to provide mobility to a disabled or elderly person limited to a seated position.	Same
Overall dimension	With a faucet adjustment: 990mm x 576mm x730mm Without a faucet adjustment: 970 mm*576mm*705mm	1040mm*610mm*900mm	Different
Frame Material	Steel	Steel	Same
Frame style	Foldable tiller, Foldable seat, removable battery pack, disassemble for transport	adjustable tiller, adjustable seat	Different
Rear Wheels Quantity	2	2	Same
Ground clearance	25 mm	40mm	Different
Max occupant mass	125kg	136kg	Different
Min Turn Radius	1400mm	1550mm	Different
Motor output	24V 180W	24V 350W	Different
Drive System	Rear Wheel Drive	Rear Wheel Drive	Same
Brakes	Electromagnetic brake	Electromagnetic brake	Same
Battery	Li-ion Battery 24V 6Ah*2	Lead-acid battery 12V/12Ah	Different
Charger	24V/2A	24.0V/2.0A	Same
Max Speed	7 km/h	6.48 km/h	Different
Max Slope	8°	6 °	Different

Travel Distance	15.6 km	13 km	Different
Time to brake	1 s	1.1 s	Similar
Brake Distance- Normal operation (Horizontal-Forward- Max speed)	≤1.09m	1m	Similar
Total mass	With a faucet adjustment:26.6kg Without a faucet adjustment:26.15kg	40kg	Different
Operating surface & environment	Indoor use and restricted outdoor use on pavements or paved footpaths only.	Indoor use and restricted outdoor use on pavements or paved footpaths only.	Same
Remote control	None	None	Same
Seatbelt	Yes	No	Different

Item	Proposed Device	Predicate Device	Results
Biocompatibility	All user directly contacting materials are compliance with ISO10993-1	All user directly contacting materials are compliance with ISO10993-1	Same
EMC	ISO7176-21& IEC 60601- 1-2:2014+A1:2020	ISO7176-21& IEC 60601- 1-2:2014+A1:2020	Same
Performance	ISO7176 series	ISO7176 series	Same
Label and labeling	Conforms to FDA Regulatory	Conforms to FDA Regulatory	Same

VII. Discussion of Technological Differences

The Comparison of Technological Characteristics table above identifies ten technological characteristics that differ between the subject device and the predicate device (K252502): Overall dimension, Frame style, Ground clearance, Max occupant mass, Min Turn Radius, Motor output, Battery, Max Speed, Travel Distance, and Total mass. Consistent with 21 CFR 807.92(a)(6) and FDA's guidance "The 510(k) Program: Evaluating Substantial Equivalence in Premarket Notifications [510(k)]" (Section G and Appendices B and C), each of these differences is addressed individually below, together with the supporting rationale for why the difference does not raise a new question of safety or effectiveness.

Technological Characteristic	Discussion
---------------------------------	------------

Overall dimension	Overall dimensions were measured per ISO 7176-5 (Determination of dimensions, mass and maneuvering space). Testing was performed on the subject device's actual as-built dimensions, and the resulting maneuvering space, turning geometry, and stability (see Ground Clearance, Min Turn Radius, and Total Mass) were verified for that configuration rather than assumed from the predicate. It does not change the device's operating principle, indications for use, or labeled operating environment. The difference will not raise any effectiveness or safety issues.
Frame style	Both configurations share the same steel frame material, drive system, and brake system. The difference is in the user-configuration mechanism (folding vs. adjustable), not in the underlying frame technology or intended use. The adjustable frame's structural integrity was verified using the same static, impact, and fatigue strength test methods of ISO 7176-8, and static/dynamic stability in the use configuration was verified per ISO 7176-1 and ISO 7176-2, all performed on the subject device. Because the subject device met the same strength and stability acceptance criteria as the predicate, the change in adjustment mechanism does not raise a new question of structural safety or effectiveness.
Ground clearance	Increased ground clearance was evaluated for its effect on stability and obstacle-climbing performance directly on the subject device: static and dynamic stability (tipping angle; safe/maximum gradient) were verified per ISO 7176-1 and ISO 7176-2, and obstacle-climbing ability was verified per ISO 7176-10. Test results confirmed the subject device, with its 40 mm ground clearance, meets the same static/dynamic stability and obstacle-climbing acceptance criteria as the predicate, demonstrating that the increased clearance does not compromise stability or create a tip-over risk.
Max occupant mass	The subject device's static stability, dynamic stability, brake performance, and static/impact/fatigue strength testing (ISO 7176-1, -2, -3, -8) were conducted using the subject device's own rated maximum occupant mass of 136 kg (with test dummy per ISO 7176-11), not the predicate's lower 125 kg rating. Because the subject device was qualified against its own higher rated load, this difference has already been directly accounted for in the performance data rather than left as an untested condition.
Min Turn Radius	Minimum turning radius was measured per ISO 7176-5 on the subject device's actual dimensions and wheelbase; the increase corresponds proportionally to the subject device's larger overall footprint (see Overall Dimension). Dynamic stability during turning maneuvers was verified for the subject device per ISO 7176-2. The turning radius is disclosed in device labeling per ISO 7176-15 so users can plan maneuvering space, consistent with the shared indications for use and operating environment, and does not raise a new stability or maneuverability concern.
Motor output	The power and control system was tested per ISO 7176-14, which evaluates speed control accuracy and safety-related control functions independent of the motor's absolute wattage. Because the subject device met the same ISO 7176-14 acceptance criteria and does not exceed the predicate's maximum speed, the higher motor output does not introduce a new hazard related to uncontrolled

	acceleration or excess speed.
Battery	The subject battery/charger combination met the same applicable safety and performance acceptance criteria as the predicate. The difference is therefore a difference in the specific power-source technology used to deliver an identical function (motive power via a 24 V system with a 24 V/2 A charger) and does not raise a new question of electrical or fire safety.
Max Speed	The subject device's maximum speed is lower than the predicate's. A reduction in maximum operating speed does not introduce a new safety risk; Maximum speed was measured per ISO 7176-6 on the subject device, confirming the 6.48 km/h rating, and braking performance at that speed (time to brake, braking distance) was verified to be equivalent to the predicate (see comparison table). This difference does not raise a new issues of safety or effectiveness.
Max slope	The small slope was tested per ISO 7176-2 on the subject device. This difference does not raise a new issue of safety or effectiveness.
Travel Distance	Theoretical distance range was determined per ISO 7176-4 (energy consumption for determination of theoretical distance range) using the subject device's actual battery capacity and motor system. Travel distance is a range/convenience specification tied to battery capacity and does not affect the device's safety-related performance (stability, braking, structural strength, or electrical safety), each of which was independently verified for the subject device. It does not raise a new issue of safety or effectiveness.
Total mass	The heavier subject device was independently qualified against the same stability, strength, and braking requirements, the increased total mass does not raise a new issue of safety or effectiveness.
Seatbelt	The subject device was tested per ISO 7176-1 (static stability), ISO 7176-2 (dynamic stability), and ISO 7176-3 (effectiveness of brakes), and met the acceptance criteria. The results show the device remains stable during acceleration, braking, turning, and operation on the rated maximum slope, so the risk of an occupant being ejected or falling during intended use has been adequately addressed. The different design feature does not raise a new issue of safety or effectiveness.

As detailed above, each identified technological difference was evaluated using the applicable ISO 7176 series test method(s) on the subject device's actual configuration, and the subject device met the same acceptance criteria as the predicate device in every case. None of the identified differences alters the device's fundamental technology, indications for use, or operating principle, and none introduces a new type of safety or effectiveness question relative to the predicate.

The proposed device performs in a similar manner to the predicate device. All these tests have corresponding requirements/ control criteria following above mentioned standards. And the test results show that the subject product is substantially equivalent to the predicate device in performance.

The performance testing demonstrates that the subject device is substantially equivalent to the predicate devices regarding Static ability (Scooter tipping angle), The Dynamic stability

(Safe Gradient Maximum Gradient), Brake performance, Theoretical distance range, Dimension and weight, Maximum speed, Dimension of wheel Static, impact and fatigue strengths, Climatic tests, Obstacle-climbing ability, Dummy, friction of test surfaces, Power and control systems, Documentation and labeling, Resistance to ignition, Electromagnetic Compatibility and Electrical Safety, Batteries and chargers.

The non-clinical laboratory data support the safety and performance of the subject device and demonstrate that the subject device should perform as intended in the specified use conditions.

VIII. Summary of non-clinical testing

➤ Performance testing-bench

The following performance data were provided to verify that the subject device met all design specifications and provided support of the substantial equivalence determination

- Software validation
- ISO 7176-1:2014 Wheelchairs - Part 1: Determination of static stability
- ISO 7176-2:2017 Wheelchairs - Part 2: Determination of dynamic stability of electric wheelchairs
- ISO 7176-3:2012 Wheelchairs - Part 3: Determination of effectiveness of brakes
- ISO 7176-4:2008 Wheelchairs - Part 4: Energy consumption of electric wheelchairs and scooters for determination of theoretical distance range
- ISO 7176-5:2008 Wheelchairs - Part 5: Determination of dimensions, mass and maneuvering space
- ISO 7176-6:2018 Wheelchairs - Part 6: Determination of maximum speed, acceleration and deceleration of electric wheelchairs
- ISO 7176-7:1998 Wheelchairs - Part 7: Measurement of seating and wheel dimensions
- ISO 7176-8:2014 Wheelchairs - Part 8: Requirements and test methods for static, impact and fatigue strength
- ISO 7176-9:2009 Wheelchairs - Part 9: Climatic tests for electric wheelchairs
- ISO 7176-10:2008 Wheelchairs - Part 10: Determination of obstacle-climbing ability of electrically powered wheelchairs
- ISO 7176-11:2012 Wheelchairs -- Part 11: Test dummies
- ISO 7176-13:1989 Wheelchairs - Part 13: Determination of coefficient of friction of test surfaces.
- ISO 7176-14:2022 Wheelchairs -- Part 14: Power and control systems for electrically powered wheelchairs and scooters -- Requirements and test methods
- ISO 7176-15:1996 Wheelchairs - Part 15: Requirements for information disclosure, documentation and labeling.
- ISO 16840-10: 2021 Wheelchair seating - Part 10: Resistance to ignition of postural support devices - Requirements and test method
- ISO 7176-21:2009 Wheelchairs - Part 21: Requirements and test methods for electromagnetic compatibility of electrically powered wheelchairs and scooters
- ISO 7176-22: 2014 Wheelchairs - Part 22: Set-up procedures

- ISO 7176-25:2023 Wheelchairs – Batteries and chargers for powered wheelchairs
- IEC 60601-1-2:2014+A1:2020 Medical electrical equipment - Part 1-2: General requirements for basic safety and essential performance - Collateral Standard: Electromagnetic disturbances - Requirements and tests

➤ **Biocompatibility of patient-contacting material**

The biocompatibility of the subject device was determined using information in accordance with Attachment G: Biocompatibility of Certain Devices in Contact with Intact Skin of FDA's 2023 Biocompatibility Guidance "Use of International Standard ISO 10993-1, 'Biological evaluation of medical devices - Part 1: Evaluation and testing within a risk management process.

IX. Summary of clinical testing

No animal study and clinical studies are available for our device. Clinical testing was not required to demonstrate the substantial equivalence of the mobility scooter to its predicate device.

X. Conclusions

The conclusions drawn from the nonclinical tests demonstrate that the subject device is as safe, as effective, and performs as well as the legally marketed predicate device K252502.