510(k) Summary

1. **Device Name and Classification**
   - Trade or Proprietary Name: EasyCell
   - Common or Usual Name: Automated Cell-Locating Device
   - Product Code: JOY
   - Product Classification: Class 2
   - Review Panel: Hematology
   - Regulation Number: 21CFR864.5260

2. **Description of the Device**
   The EasyCell automatically locates and presents images of blood cells on peripheral smears. The operator reviews the suggested classification of each white cell according to type and may manually change the suggested classification of any cell. The operator can characterize red cell morphology and estimate platelets based on observed images. The EasyCell is intended to be used by skilled operators, trained in the use of the device and in the identification of blood cells.

3. **Substantial Equivalency**
   The EasyCell is substantially equivalent to the CellaVision DM96 Automatic Hematology Analyzer.

   **Predicate Device Information**
   - Manufacturer: CellaVision AB, Lund, Sweden
   - Product: CellaVision DM96 Automatic Hematology Analyzer
   - 510(k) number: K033840

4. **Intended Use**
   The EasyCell is intended to locate and display images of white cells, red cells, and platelets acquired from fixed and stained peripheral blood smears and assists a qualified technologist in conducting a WBC differential, RBC morphology evaluation, and platelet estimate using those images. For in vitro diagnostic use only. For professional use only.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Predicate Device</th>
<th>EasyCell</th>
<th>Equivalent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intended use</td>
<td>Automated cell-locating device for cell-location, and identification, for in-vitro use. Verification of results by human operator.</td>
<td>The EasyCell is intended to locate and display images of white cells, red cells, and platelets acquired from fixed and stained peripheral blood smears and assists a qualified technologist in conducting a WBC differential, RBC morphology evaluation, and platelet estimate using those images. For in vitro diagnostic use only. For professional use only.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample type</td>
<td>Stained blood film on glass slides of peripheral whole blood.</td>
<td>Stained blood film on glass slides of peripheral whole blood.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample preparation</td>
<td>Romanowsky stain</td>
<td>Romanowsky stain</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technique:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Blood Cells</td>
<td>Cells are located/counted by moving according to the battlement track pattern. Cell images are analyzed using standard mathematical methods, including deterministic artificial neural networks (ANN's) trained to distinguish between classes of white blood cells. The cell images are pre-classified and the operator verifies the suggested classification by accepting or reclassifying</td>
<td>Cells are located/counted by moving according to the battlement track pattern. Cell images are analyzed using standard mathematical methods, including deterministic artificial neural networks (ANN's) trained to distinguish between classes of white blood cells. The cell images are pre-classified and the operator reviews the suggested classification, and accepts or reclassifies the images.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Blood Cells</td>
<td>The device presents an overview image. The reviewer characterizes red blood cell morphology from the image.</td>
<td>The device presents a series of images. The reviewer characterizes red blood cell morphology from the images.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>The device presents an overview image. The reviewers manually count and estimate the platelet concentration from the overview image according to a standardized procedure.</td>
<td>The device presents a series of images. The reviewers manually count and estimate the platelet concentration from the images according to a procedure in the Operator's Manual.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. **Performance Characteristics**

Method Comparison

A Method Comparison was conducted to compare the EasyCell using Examiner Review (the Test Method) to the Reference Method. The Reference Method is a manual differential microscopic examination of the peripheral blood slides by a trained technologist. A total of 304 specimens were collected and analyzed at three sites. 155 specimens were from normal (healthy) subjects and 149 were from subjects with specific disease conditions (see Table 1 and Table 3). Slides were prepared from each specimen. The slides were randomly selected, blinded and read by two technologists at each site.

**Table 1**

**Correlation between Reference Method and Test Method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Type</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient (r)</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophil</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity and Specificity

The number of normal and abnormal slides determined by each method was compared to determine the clinical sensitivity and specificity of the EasyCell.

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Morphological</th>
<th>Distributional</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency (% agreement)</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Platelet Estimate Accuracy

Platelet counts were estimated by each method as decreased, normal, or increased; and a corresponding value of 1, 2, or 3 was entered in the database. A Cohen’s kappa statistic was calculated to demonstrate the agreement between the Test Method and the Reference Method for each technologist. The data show a good agreement between platelet estimates using the Reference Method and using the Test Method.

Red Blood Cell Morphology Accuracy

For each slide, the technologists classified the red blood cells according to morphology. This was done for both methods. The results showed >90% agreement between methods.
Between Run Precision
20 slides were made from one normal patient sample at each site. Each slide was labeled. Each day for 20 days a slide was chosen at random and a 200-cell differential count was made using both the Reference Method and the Test Method. The results show the Test Method has equivalent precision to the Reference Method.

100 vs 200 Total Cell Count
In order to confirm the equivalence of total cell counts of 100 and 200 cells, data from three sites were compared. 304 slides were evaluated using a total count of 100 cells. The 100 cell results were compared to the 200 cell count Reference Method results. The data showed good correlation between the Reference method and the 100-cell counts.

Table 3
Correlation between Reference Method and Test Method by Cell Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Type</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient (r)</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophil</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4
Comparison of Test Method to Reference Method Results (200 vs 100 cells)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Test method (200 cells)</th>
<th>Test Method (100 cells)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency (% agreement)</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Regulation Name: Automated Cell-Locating Device
Regulatory Class: Class II
Product Code: JOY
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Dear Ms. White:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration.

If your device is classified (see above) into class II (Special Controls), it may be subject to such additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Parts 800 to 895. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the Federal Register.

Please be advised that FDA’s issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act’s requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Parts 801 and 809); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803); and good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820). This letter will allow you to begin marketing your device as described in your Section 510(k) premarket
notification. The FDA finding of substantial equivalence of your device to a legally marketed predicate device results in a classification for your device and thus, permits your device to proceed to the market.

If you desire specific advice for your device on our labeling regulation (21 CFR Parts 801 and 809), please contact the Office of In Vitro Diagnostic Device Evaluation and Safety at (301) 796-5450. Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/ReportaProblem/default.htm for the CDRH’s Office of Surveillance and Biometrics/Division of Postmarket Surveillance.

You may obtain other general information on your responsibilities under the Act from the Division of Small Manufacturers, International and Consumer Assistance at its toll-free number (800) 638-2041 or (301) 796-7100 or at its Internet address http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/industry/support/index.html.

Sincerely yours,

Maria M. Chan, Ph.D
Director
Division of Immunology and Hematology Devices
Office of In Vitro Diagnostic Device Evaluation and Safety
Center for Devices and Radiological Health
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510(k) Number (if known): K092116

Device Name: EasyCell Cell Locator

Indications for Use: The EasyCell is intended to locate and display images of white cells, red cells, and platelets acquired from fixed and stained peripheral blood smears and assists a qualified technologist in conducting a WBC differential, RBC morphology evaluation, and platelet estimate using those images. For in vitro diagnostic use only. For professional use only.
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