510(k) SUBSTANTIAL EQUIVALENCE DETERMINATION DECISION SUMMARY ASSAY ONLY TEMPLATE

A. 510(k) Number: K060447

B. Purpose for Submission:

Submission of the antibiotics Nitrofurantoin at concentrations of 8 - 512 μ g/mL, Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole at concentrations of 0.5/9.5 – 16/304 μ g/mL, and Nalidixic Acid at concentrations of 2 - 32 μ g/mL, for additional organism groups to the Gram Negative ID/AST or AST only PhoenixTM panel.

C. Measurands:

Nitrofurantoin at 8 - 512 $\mu g/mL$ Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole at 0.5/9.5 - 16/304 $\mu g/mL$ Nalidixic Acid at 2 - 32 $\mu g/mL$

D. Type of Test:

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test (Quantitative and Qualitative) colorimetric oxidation-reduction, growth-based

E. Applicant:

Becton, Dickinson & Company

F. Proprietary and Established Names:

BD PhoenixTM Automated Microbiology System – Nitrofurantoin at 8 - 512 μ g/mL, Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole at 0.5/9.5 – 16/304 μ g/mL, Nalidixic Acid at 2 - 32 μ g/mL, Gram Negative (GN) panel

G. Regulatory Information:

- <u>Regulation section:</u> 21 CFR 866.1645 Fully Automated Short-Term Incubation Cycle Antimicrobial
- 2. <u>Classification:</u> Class II
- 3. <u>Product Code:</u> LON
- 4. <u>Panel:</u> 83 Microbiology

H. Intended Use:

1. Intended use(s):

Nitrofurantoin at concentrations of 8 - 512 µg/mL, Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole at concentrations of 0.5/9.5 – 16/304 µg/mL and Nalidixic Acid at concentrations of 2 - 32 µg/mL on the PhoenixTM Gram Negative ID/AST or AST only panels are intended for use with the BD PhoenixTM Automated Microbiology System for the *in vitro* quantitative determination of antimicrobial susceptibility by minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of most gram-negative aerobic and facultative anaerobic bacteria belonging to the family *Enterobacteriaceae* and non – *Enterobacteriaceae* and gram-positive bacteria belonging to the genera *Staphylococcus, Streptococcus* and *Enterococcus*.

2. Indication(s) for use:

Submission of the antibiotics Nitrofurantoin at 8 - 512 μ g/mL, Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole at 0.5/9.5 – 16/304 μ g/mL and Nalidixic Acid at 2 - 32 μ g/mL for additional organism groups to the Gram Negative ID/AST or AST only PhoenixTM panel.

- 3. <u>Special condition for use statement</u> Serratia marcescens will be suppressed from reporting SXT in the PhoenixTM system. An alternate method should be used when this combination has been identified. Prescription Use Only
 4. Special instrument Requirements:
- 4. <u>Special instrument Requirements:</u> Not Applicable

I. Device Description:

This submission is for the AST Panel only. The ID System was not reviewed.

The BD Phoenix[™] Automated Microbiology System includes instrumentation and software, sealed and self-inoculating molded polystyrene trays with 136 micro-wells containing dried reagents, and specific inoculum broth formulations for AST Indicator. The organism to be tested must be a pure culture and be preliminarily identified as gram positive or gram negative. Colonies are then suspended in broth, and equated to a 0.5 McFarland with the recommendation to use the BD CrystalSpec[™] Nephelometer. A further dilution is made into an AST broth, which contains an AST indicator, prior to inoculating the panel. The AST broth is a cation-adjusted broth containing Tween 80. After adding the indicator solution to the AST inoculum, the color is blue, and after inoculation and incubation, it changes to pink then colorless as reduction in the panel well proceeds. Inoculated panels are barcode scanned and loaded into the BD Phoenix[™] Automated Microbiology System instrument where the panels are continuously incubated at 35°C. The resulting AST has a final inoculum of 5 x 10⁵ CFU/ml. The instrument incubates, reads and records the results of the biochemical substrates and antimicrobial agents and interprets the reactions to give a MIC value and category interpretation of the antimicrobial agents. Organisms growing in the presence of a given antimicrobic agent reduce the indicator, signaling organism growth and resistance to the antimicrobic agent. Organisms killed or inhibited by a given antimicrobic do not cause reduction of the indicator and therefore do not produce a color change. Additional interpretation is done using software driven "EXPERT" System using rules derived from the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Readings are taken every 20 minutes with an AST result available between 4-16 hours. This is only an autoread result; there are no manual readings possible.

J. Substantial Equivalence Information:

- 1. <u>Predicate device name(s):</u> VITEK® System
- 2. <u>Predicate K number(s):</u> N50510
- 3. Comparison with predicate:

| | Similarities | |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| Item | Device | Predicate |
| Intended use | Intended for the <i>in vitro</i> rapid quantitative determination of antimicrobial susceptibility by minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of most bacteria. | same |
| Isolates | Isolated colonies from culture used | Isolated colonies from culture used |
| Results | Report results as minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and categorical interpretation (SIR) | Report results as minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and categorical interpretation (SIR) |
| Incubation conditions | <16 hours | <16 hours |
| Type of Test | Automated | Automated |

| | Differences | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Item | Device | Predicate | | | | | | | | | |
| Reading algorithm | Results are determined from | Results are determined from | | | | | | | | | |
| | serial twofold dilutions of | extrapolation of doubling | | | | | | | | | |
| | antimicrobial agents | dilutions | | | | | | | | | |
| Technology | Automated growth based | Automated growth based | | | | | | | | | |
| | enhanced by use of a redox | with detection using an | | | | | | | | | |
| | indicator (colorimetric | attenuation of light | | | | | | | | | |
| | oxidation-reduction) to | measured by an optical | | | | | | | | | |

| | detect organism growth. | scanner. |
|--|-------------------------|----------|
| | | |

K. Standard/Guidance Document Referenced (if applicable): "Class II Special Controls Guidance Document: Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test (AST) Systems; Guidance for Industry and FDA"; CLSI M7 (M100-S16) "Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically; Approved Standard."

L. Test Principle:

The AST portion of the BD Phoenix[™] Automated Microbiology System is a broth based microdilution method that utilizes a redox indicator (colorimetric oxidation-reduction) to enhance detection of organism growth. The MIC is determined by comparing growth in wells containing serial two-fold dilutions of an antibiotic to the growth in "growth control wells" which contain no antibiotic.

M. Performance Characteristics (if/when applicable):

1. <u>Analytical performance:</u>

a. Precision/Reproducibility

Intersite and Intrasite testing demonstrated >95% reproducibility. The ten isolate study described in the guidance document was used (10 organisms tested 3 times on 3 days at 3 sites).

- *b. Linearity/assay reportable range:* Not applicable
- *c. Traceability, Stability, Expected values (controls, calibrators, or method* Quality Control was performed during the testing of all isolates on each day of testing with the following results. The tables below include the concentrations tested around the expected range with the frequency of the reference and the PhoenixTM results at each concentration.

Nitrofurantoin

| Organism | Concentration | Reference | Phoenix TM | Organism | Concentration | Reference | Phoenix TM |
|----------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------|----------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| | µg/ml | Results | Results | | µg/ml | Results | Results |
| | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | <=8 | 359 | 338 | E. coli | <=2 | 331 | 369 |
| ATCC | 16 | 12 | 42 | ATCC | 4 | 40 | 14 |
| 25922 | 32 | | 2 | 25922 | 8 | 2 | |
| Expected | 64 | | | Expected | 16 | | |
| Range | 128 | | | Range | 32 | | |
| 4 - 16 | 256 | | | 1 - 4 | >32 | 1 | 1 |
| µg/mL | 512 | | | µg/mL | | | |
| | >512 | 1 | 2 | | | | |

Nalidixic Acid

| Organism | Concentration µg/ml | Reference Results | Phoenix [™] Results | Organism | Concentration µg/ml | Reference Results | Phoenix TM Results |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| E. coli | <=0.5/9.5 | 384 | 386 | P. aeruginosa | <=0.5/9.5 | 1 | 2 |
| ATCC | 1/19 | 304 | 580 | ATCC | 1/19 | 1 | 2 |
| 25922 | 2/38 | | | 25853 | 2/38 | | |
| Expected | 4/76 | | | Expected | 4/76 | | 3 |
| Range <=0.5/9.5 | 8/152 | | 1 | Range 8/152 – | 8/152 | 41 | 114 |
| μg/mL | 16/304 >16/304 | 1 | 1 | 32/608 | 16/304 >16/304 | 98 244 | 265 |
| | | | | μg/mL | | | |

Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole

Phoenix produced acceptable QC results as compared to the reference method results >95% of the time.

Inoculum density control: The organism suspension density of the ID broth was equivalent to a 0.5 McFarland standard using the BBLTM CrystalSpecTM Nephelometer which was verified each day of testing. Internal data was used to demonstrate that the use of the BBLTM CrystalSpecTM Nephelometer would produce reproducible results. Five different instruments were used.

- *d. Detection limit:* Not applicable
- *e. Analytical specificity:* Not applicable
- *f.* Assay cut-off: Not applicable

2. Comparison studies:

a. Method comparison with predicate device:

The broth dilution reference panel was prepared according to the CLSI recommendation and used to compare with the PhoenixTM results. Clinical testing was performed at six sites. The testing included both fresh clinical isolates and stock isolates along with a challenge set with known results. Performance charts below include all data, original and the additional organisms for fresh and challenge organisms.

Nitrofurantoin (FM)

Nitrofurantoin (FM) - GN Clinical and Challenge Data for *Enterobacteriaceae* with Additional Organism Groups

| | EA Tot | EA N | EA % | Eval EA Tot | Eval EA N | Eval EA % | CA Tot | CA N | CA % | #R | min | maj | vmj |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|---------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Combined | 2130 | 2040 | 95.8 | 1850 | 1772 | 95.8 | 2130 | 1797 | 84.4 | 536 | 312 | 21 | 0 |

Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole (SXT)

SXT - GN Clinical and Challenge Data for *Enterobacteriaceae* with Additional Organism Groups, but with a limitation on all *Serratia marcescens*

| | mom Of | oups, o | ut with a | mmuuu | ion on an | Serrana | mun cost | cents | | | | | |
|----------|--------|---------|-----------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|-------|------|------------|-----|-----|-----|
| | EA | EA | EA | Eval | Eval | Eval | CA | CA | CA % | # R | min | maj | vmj |
| | Tot | Ν | % | EA Tot | EA N | EA % | Tot | Ν | | | | | |
| Combined | 2212 | 2123 | 96.0 | 120 | 70 | 58.3 | 2212 | 2162 | 97.7 | 549 | NA | 31 | 19 |

Nalidixic Acid (NA)

NA - GN Clinical and Challenge Data for *Enterobacteriaceae* with Additional Organism Groups

| | EA | ĒA | EA | Eval | Eval | Eval | CA | CA | CA % | #R | min | maj | vmj |
|----------|------|------|------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | Tot | Ν | % | EA Tot | EA N | EA % | Tot | Ν | | | | - | - |
| Combined | 2103 | 2023 | 96.2 | 819 | 771 | 94.1 | 2103 | 2023 | 96.2 | 587 | NA | 12 | 18 |

| EA-Essential Agreement | maj-major discrepancies |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| CA-Category Agreement | vmj -very major discrepancies |
| R -resistant isolates | min- minor discrepancies |
| NA-not applicable (no Intermediate bi | reakpoint) |

Essential agreement (EA) is when the BD PhoenixTM panels agree with the reference test panel results exactly or within one doubling dilution of the reference method. Category agreement (CA) is when the BD PhoenixTM panel (SIR) result interpretation agrees exactly with the reference panel result (SIR) interpretation. Evaluable (Eval) are results that are within the test range and on scale.

b. Matrix comparison:

Not applicable

- 3. <u>Clinical studies:</u>
 - *a. Clinical sensitivity:* Not applicable
 - *b. Clinical specificity:* Not applicable
 - *c. Other clinical supportive data (when a and b are not applicable):* Not applicable
- 4. <u>Clinical cut-off:</u> Not applicable
- 5. Expected values/Reference range:

| Nitrofurantoin | <=32 (S), 64 (I), >=128 (R) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole | <=2/38 (S), NA, >=4/76 (R) |
| Nalidixic Acid | <=16 (S), NA, >=32 (R) |

N. Proposed Labeling

The expected value range interpretive criteria and QC for gram negative panels are included in the package insert. The labeling is sufficient and it satisfies the requirements of 21 CFR Part 809.10.

O. Conclusion:

The submitted information in this premarket notification is complete and supports a substantial equivalence decision.