

SPECIAL 510(k) SUBSTANTIAL EQUIVALENCE DETERMINATION DECISION SUMMARY

I Background Information:

A 510(k) Number

K212779

B Applicant

CoaguSense, Inc.

C Proprietary and Established Names

Coag-Sense Prothrombin (PT) / INR Monitoring System for Patient Self-Testing

D Regulatory Information

Product Code(s)	Classification	Regulation Section	Panel
GJS	Class II	21 CFR 864.7750 - Prothrombin Time Test	HE - Hematology

II Review Summary:

This 510(k) submission contains information/data on modifications made to the submitter's own Class II device requiring 510(k). The following items are present and acceptable.

- 1. The name and 510(k) number of the SUBMITTER'S previously cleared device (K183255).
- Submitter's statement that the INDICATIONS FOR USE/INTENDED USE of the
 modified device as described in its labeling HAS NOT CHANGED along with the proposed
 labeling which includes instructions for use, package labeling, and, if available,
 advertisements or promotional materials (labeling changes are permitted as long as they do
 not affect the intended use).
- 3. A description of the device MODIFICATION(S), including clearly labeled diagrams, engineering drawings, photographs, user's and/or service manuals in sufficient detail to demonstrate that the FUNDAMENTAL SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY of the modified device has not changed.

Device modifications were made with respect to indications for patient self-testing use only. Modifications include a monochrome LCD screen with soft buttons, replacing the color touchscreen meter, use of 4 AA batteries for power and removal of patient ID. No changes have been made to the test and control strips, or the chemistry/reagents provided in the strips.

- 4. Comparison Information (i.e., similarities and differences) to the submitter's legally marketed predicate device including, labeling, intended use, and physical characteristics.
- 5. A Design Control Activities Summary which includes:
 - a) Identification of Risk Analysis method(s) used to assess the impact of the modification on the device and its components, and the results of the analysis.
 - b) Based on the Risk Analysis, an identification of the verification and/or validation activities required, including methods or tests used and acceptance criteria to be applied.

The labeling for this modified subject device has been reviewed to verify that the indication/intended use for the device is unaffected by the modification. In addition, the submitter's description of the particular modification(s) and the comparative information between the modified and unmodified devices demonstrate that the fundamental scientific technology has not changed. The submitter has provided the design control information as specified in The New 510(k) Paradigm and on this basis, I recommend the device be determined substantially equivalent to the previously cleared device.