#### HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION These highlights do not include all the information needed to use TEFLARO safely and effectively. See full prescribing information for TEFLARO.

TEFLARO<sup>®</sup> (ceftaroline fosamil) for injection, for intravenous use Initial U.S. Approval: 2010

| RECENT MAJOR (                  | CHANGES |
|---------------------------------|---------|
| Dosage and Administration (2.3) | 8/2015  |

- Acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections (ABSSSI) (1.1)
- Community-acquired bacterial pneumonia (CABP) (1.2)

To reduce the development of drug-resistant bacteria and maintain the effectiveness of Teflaro and other antibacterial drugs, Teflaro should be used only to treat infections that are proven or strongly suspected to be caused by bacteria (1.3).

#### -----DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION-----

- 600 mg every 12 hours by IV infusion administered over 5 to 60 min in adults ≥ 18 years of age (2.1)
- Dosage adjustment is required in patients with creatinine clearance (CrCl) ≤ 50 and in End-stage Renal Disease (ESRD) including hemodialysis (2.2)

| Estimated Creatinine Clearance <sup>#</sup><br>(mL/min) | Teflaro Dosage Regimen                              |
|---|---|
| > 50  | No dosage adjustment necessary                      |
| $>$ 30 to $\leq$ 50                                     | 400 mg IV (over 5 to 60 minutes)<br>every 12 hours  |
| $\geq$ 15 to $\leq$ 30                                  | 300 mg IV (over 5 to 60 minutes )<br>every 12 hours |
| End-stage renal disease (ESRD),                         | 200 mg IV (over 5-60 minutes)                       |

#### including hemodialysis every 12 hours

<sup>#</sup>As calculated using the Cockcroft-Gault formula

#### -----CONTRAINDICATIONS------

 Known serious hypersensitivity to ceftaroline or other members of the cephalosporin class. (4)

#### -----WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS------

- Serious hypersensitivity (anaphylactic) reactions have been reported with beta-lactam antibacterial drugs, including Teflaro. If a hypersensitivity reaction occurs, discontinue Teflaro. (5.1)
- *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea (CDAD) has been reported with nearly all systemic antibacterial agents, including Teflaro. Evaluate if diarrhea occurs. (5.2)
- Direct Coombs' test seroconversion has been reported with Teflaro. If anemia develops during or after therapy, a diagnostic workup for druginduced hemolytic anemia should be performed and consideration given to discontinuation of Teflaro. (5.3)

To report SUSPECTED ADVERSE REACTIONS, contact Forest Laboratories, LLC, at 1-800-678-1605 or FDA at 1-800-FDA-1088 or <u>www.fda.gov/medwatch.</u>

#### See 17 for PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION

Revised: 8/2015

#### FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: CONTENTS\*

#### 1. INDICATIONS AND USAGE

- 1.1 Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infections
- 1.2 Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia
- 1.3 Usage

#### 2. DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION

- 2.1 Recommended Dosage
- 2.2 Dosage Adjustments in Patients with Renal
- Impairment
- 2.3 Preparation of Teflaro for Administration
- 2.4 Storage of Constituted Solutions
- 2.5 Drug Compatibilities

#### 3. DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS

4. CONTRAINDICATIONS

#### 5. WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

- 5.2 Clostridium difficile-Associated Diarrhea
- 5.3 Direct Coombs' Test Seroconversion
- 5.4 Development of Drug-Resistant Bacteria

#### 6. ADVERSE REACTIONS

- 6.1 Clinical Trials Experience
- 6.2 Postmarketing Experience

#### 8. USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS

- 8.1 Pregnancy
- 8.3 Nursing Mothers
- 8.4 Pediatric Use
- 8.5 Geriatric Use
  - 8.6 Patients with Renal Impairment
- 10. OVERDOSAGE
- 11. DESCRIPTION
- 12. CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY

- 12.1 Mechanism of Action
- 12.2 Pharmacodynamics
- 12.3 Pharmacokinetics
- 12.4 Microbiology
- 13. NONCLINICAL TOXICOLOGY
- 13.1 Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility 14. CLINICAL TRIALS
  - 14.1 Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infections (ABSSSI)
     14.2 Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia (CABP)
- 15. REFERENCES
- 16. HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING
- 17. PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION

\*Sections or subsections omitted from the full prescribing information are not listed.

TEFLARO® (ceftaroline fosamil) injection for intravenous (IV) use

# FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

# 1. INDICATIONS AND USAGE

## 1.1 Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infections

Teflaro is indicated for the treatment of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections (ABSSSI) caused by susceptible isolates of the following Gram-positive and Gram-negative microorganisms: *Staphylococcus aureus* (including methicillin-susceptible and -resistant isolates), *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Streptococcus agalactiae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Klebsiella oxytoca*.

## 1.2 Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia

Teflaro is indicated for the treatment of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia (CABP) caused by susceptible isolates of the following Gram-positive and Gram-negative microorganisms: *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (including cases with concurrent bacteremia), *Staphylococcus aureus* (methicillin-susceptible isolates only), *Haemophilus influenzae, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Klebsiella oxytoca,* and *Escherichia coli*.

## 1.3 Usage

To reduce the development of drug-resistant bacteria and maintain the effectiveness of Teflaro and other antibacterial drugs, Teflaro should be used to treat only ABSSSI or CABP that are proven or strongly suspected to be caused by susceptible bacteria. Appropriate specimens for microbiological examination should be obtained in order to isolate and identify the causative pathogens and to determine their susceptibility to ceftaroline. When culture and susceptibility information are available, they should be considered in selecting or modifying antibacterial therapy. In the absence of such data, local epidemiology and susceptibility patterns may contribute to the empiric selection of therapy.

# 2. DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION

### 2.1 Recommended Dosage

The recommended dosage of Teflaro is 600 mg administered every 12 hours by intravenous (IV) infusion over 5 to 60 minutes in patients  $\geq$  18 years of age. The duration of therapy should be guided by the severity and site of infection and the patient's clinical and bacteriological progress.

The recommended dosage and administration by infection is described in Table 1.

| Indication  | Dosage | Frequency      | Infusion Time   | Recommended<br>Duration of<br>Treatment |
|---|--------|----------------|-----------------|---|
| Acute Bacterial<br>Skin and Skin<br>Structure Infection<br>(ABSSSI) | 600 mg | Every 12 hours | 5 to 60 minutes | 5-14 days                               |
| Community-<br>Acquired Bacterial<br>Pneumonia<br>(CABP)             | 600 mg | Every 12 hours | 5 to 60 minutes | 5-7 days                                |

Table 1: Dosage of Teflaro by Indication

## 2.2 Dosage Adjustments in Patients with Renal Impairment

### Table 2: Dosage of Teflaro in Patients with Renal Impairment

| Estimated CrCl <sup>a</sup> (mL/min) | Recommended Dosage Regimen for Teflaro          |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| > 50                                 | No dosage adjustment necessary                  |
| $> 30 \text{ to} \le 50$             | 400 mg IV (over 5 to 60 minutes) every 12 hours |
| $\geq 15 \text{ to} \leq 30$         | 300 mg IV (over 5 to 60 minutes) every 12 hours |
| End-stage renal disease,             | 200 mg IV (over 5 to 60 minutes) every 12       |
| including hemodialysis <sup>b</sup>  | hours <sup>c</sup>                              |

<sup>a</sup> Creatinine clearance (CrCl) estimated using the Cockcroft-Gault formula.

<sup>b</sup> End-stage renal disease is defined as CrCl < 15 mL/min.

<sup>c</sup> Teflaro is hemodialyzable; thus Teflaro should be administered after hemodialysis on hemodialysis days.

# 2.3 Preparation of Teflaro for Administration

### Constitution of Teflaro Powder for Injection

Aseptic technique must be followed in preparing the infusion solution. The contents of Teflaro vial should be constituted with 20 mL Sterile Water for Injection, USP; or 0.9% of sodium chloride injection (normal saline); or 5% of dextrose injection; or lactated ringer's injection. Constitution time is less than 2 minutes. Mix gently to constitute and check to see that the contents have dissolved completely. The preparation of Teflaro solutions is summarized in Table 3.

| Dosage Strength<br>(mg) | Volume of Diluent To<br>Be Added<br>(mL) | Approximate Ceftaroline<br>fosamil Concentration<br>(mg/mL) | Amount to Be Withdrawn |
|-------------------------|--|---|------------------------|
| 400                     | 20                                       | 20  | Total Volume           |
| 600                     | 20                                       | 30  | Total Volume           |

 Table 3: Preparation of Teflaro for Intravenous Use

## Dilution of the Constituted Solution of Teflaro

The constituted solution must be further diluted in a range between 50 mL to 250 mL before intravenous infusion into patients. Use the same diluent used for constitution of the powder for this further dilution, unless sterile water for injection was used earlier. If sterile water for injection was used earlier, then appropriate infusion solutions include: 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection, USP (normal saline); 5% Dextrose

Injection, USP; 2.5% Dextrose Injection, USP, and 0.45% Sodium Chloride Injection, USP; or Lactated Ringer's Injection, USP.

# Dilution of the Constituted Solution of Teflaro in the 50 mL Infusion Bags Only

*Preparation of 600 mg of Teflaro dose in 50 mL infusion bag:* Withdraw 20 mL of diluent from the infusion bag. Proceed to inject entire content of the Teflaro vial into the bag to provide a total volume of 50 mL. The resultant concentration is approximately 12 mg/mL.

*Preparation of 400 mg of Teflaro dose in 50 mL infusion bag:* Withdraw 20 mL of diluent from the infusion bag. Proceed to inject entire content of the Teflaro vial into the bag to provide a total volume of 50 mL. The resultant concentration is approximately 8 mg/mL.

The color of Teflaro infusion solutions ranges from clear, light to dark yellow depending on the concentration and storage conditions. When stored as recommended, the product potency is not affected. Parenteral drug products should be inspected visually for particulate matter and discoloration prior to administration, whenever solution and container permit.

# 2.4 Storage of Constituted Solutions

<u>Stability in Baxter<sup>®</sup> Mini-Bag Plus<sup>TM</sup></u>: Solutions of Teflaro in concentrations ranging from 4 to 12 mg/mL in Baxter Mini-Bag Plus containers with 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection may be stored for up to 6 hours at room temperature or for up to 24 hours at 2°C to 8°C (36°F to 46°F). Stability testing in the Baxter Mini-Bag Plus has solely been conducted on 50 mL and 100 mL containers (0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection).

<u>Stability in Infusion Bag:</u> Studies have shown that the constituted solution in the infusion bag should be used within 6 hours when stored at room temperature or within 24 hours when stored under refrigeration at 2 to 8° C (36 to 46° F).

# 2.5 Drug Compatibilities

The compatibility of Teflaro with other drugs has not been established. Teflaro should not be mixed with or physically added to solutions containing other drugs.

## **3. DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS**

For Injection: Teflaro is supplied as 600 mg or 400 mg of sterile ceftaroline fosamil powder in single-use, 20 mL clear glass vials. The powder is constituted and further diluted for intravenous injection.

# 4. CONTRAINDICATIONS

Teflaro is contraindicated in patients with known serious hypersensitivity to ceftaroline or other members of the cephalosporin class. Anaphylaxis and anaphylactoid reactions have been reported with ceftaroline.

# 5. WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

## 5.1 Hypersensitivity Reactions

Serious and occasionally fatal hypersensitivity (anaphylactic) reactions and serious skin reactions have been reported in patients receiving beta-lactam antibacterial drugs. Before therapy with Teflaro is instituted, careful inquiry about previous hypersensitivity reactions to other cephalosporins, penicillins, or carbapenems should be made. Maintain clinical supervision if this product is to be given to a penicillin- or

other beta-lactam-allergic patient, because cross sensitivity among beta-lactam antibacterial agents has been clearly established.

If an allergic reaction to Teflaro occurs, discontinue Teflaro and institute appropriate treatment and supportive measures.

# 5.2 Clostridium difficile-Associated Diarrhea

*Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea (CDAD) has been reported for nearly all systemic antibacterial agents, including Teflaro, and may range in severity from mild diarrhea to fatal colitis.

Treatment with antibacterial agents alters the normal flora of the colon and may permit overgrowth of *C*. *difficile*.

*C. difficile* produces toxins A and B which contribute to the development of CDAD. Hypertoxin-producing strains of *C. difficile* cause increased morbidity and mortality, as these infections can be refractory to antimicrobial therapy and may require colectomy. CDAD must be considered in all patients who present with diarrhea following antibiotic use. Careful medical history is necessary because CDAD has been reported to occur more than 2 months after the administration of antibacterial agents.

If CDAD is suspected or confirmed, antibacterials not directed against *C. difficile* should be discontinued, if possible. Appropriate fluid and electrolyte management, protein supplementation, antibiotic treatment of *C. difficile*, and surgical evaluation should be instituted as clinically indicated [see Adverse Reactions (6.1)].

# 5.3 Direct Coombs' Test Seroconversion

Seroconversion from a negative to a positive direct Coombs' test result occurred in 120/1114 (10.8%) of patients receiving Teflaro and 49/1116 (4.4%) of patients receiving comparator drugs in the four pooled Phase 3 trials.

In the pooled Phase 3 CABP trials, 51/520 (9.8%) of Teflaro-treated patients compared to 24/534 (4.5%) of ceftriaxone-treated patients seroconverted from a negative to a positive direct Coombs' test result. No adverse reactions representing hemolytic anemia were reported in any treatment group.

If anemia develops during or after treatment with Teflaro, drug-induced hemolytic anemia should be considered. Diagnostic studies including a direct Coombs' test, should be performed. If drug-induced hemolytic anemia is suspected, discontinuation of Teflaro should be considered and supportive care should be administered to the patient (i.e. transfusion) if clinically indicated.

# 5.4 Development of Drug-Resistant Bacteria

Prescribing Teflaro in the absence of a proven or strongly suspected bacterial infection is unlikely to provide benefit to the patient and increases the risk of the development of drug-resistant bacteria.

# 6. ADVERSE REACTIONS

The following serious adverse reactions are described in greater detail in the Warnings and Precautions section

- Hypersensitivity Reactions [see Warnings and Precautions (5.1)]
- *Clostridium difficile*-Associated diarrhea [see Warnings and Precautions (5.2)]

• Direct Coombs' Test Seroconversion [see Warnings and Precautions (5.3)]

## 6.1 Clinical Trials Experience

Because clinical trials are conducted under widely varying conditions, adverse reaction rates observed in clinical trials of a drug cannot be compared directly to rates from clinical trials of another drug and may not reflect rates observed in practice.

Teflaro was evaluated in four controlled comparative Phase 3 clinical trials (two in ABSSSI and two in CABP) which included 1300 adult patients treated with Teflaro (600 mg administered by IV over 1 hour every 12h) and 1297 patients treated with comparator (vancomycin plus aztreonam or ceftriaxone) for a treatment period up to 21 days. The median age of patients treated with Teflaro was 54 years, ranging between 18 and 99 years old. Patients treated with Teflaro were predominantly male (63%) and Caucasian (82%).

### Serious Adverse Reactions and Adverse Reactions Leading to Discontinuation

In the four pooled Phase 3 clinical trials, serious adverse reactions (SARs) occurred in 98/1300 (7.5%) of patients receiving Teflaro and 100/1297 (7.7%) of patients receiving comparator drugs. Treatment discontinuation due to adverse reactions occurred in 35/1300 (2.7%) of patients receiving Teflaro and 48/1297 (3.7%) of patients receiving comparator drugs with the most common adverse reactions leading to discontinuation being hypersensitivity for both treatment groups at a rate of 0.3% in the Teflaro group and 0.5% in comparator group.

### Most Common Adverse Reactions

No adverse reactions occurred in greater than 5% of patients receiving Teflaro. The most common adverse reactions occurring in > 2% of patients receiving Teflaro in the pooled phase 3 clinical trials were diarrhea, nausea, and rash.

Table 4 lists adverse reactions occurring in  $\ge 2\%$  of patients receiving Teflaro in the pooled Phase 3 clinical trials.

| Adverse Reactions       | Pooled Phase 3 Clinical Trials<br>(four trials, two in ABSSSI and two in CABP) |   |  |
|-------------------------|--|---|--|
|                         | Teflaro<br>(N=1300)  | Pooled Comparators <sup>a</sup><br>(N=1297) |  |
| Gas                     | strointestinal Disorders   |   |  |
| Diarrhea                | 5 %  | 3 %   |  |
| Nausea                  | 4 %  | 4 %   |  |
| Constipation            | 2 %  | 2 %   |  |
| Vomiting                | 2 %  | 2 %   |  |
| Lal                     | boratory Investigations  |   |  |
| Increased transaminases | 2%   | 3 %   |  |
| Metabol                 | ism and Nutrition disorders  |   |  |
| Hypokalemia             | 2 %  | 3 %   |  |
| Skin and S              | ubcutaneous Tissue Disorders   |   |  |
| Rash                    | 3%   | 2%  |  |
|                         | Vascular Disorders   |   |  |
| Phlebitis               | 2%   | 1%  |  |

## Table 4: Adverse Reactions Occurring in ≥ 2% of Patients Receiving Teflaro in the Pooled Phase 3 Clinical Trials

<sup>a</sup> Comparators included vancomycin 1 gram IV every 12h plus aztreonam 1 gram IV every 12h in the Phase 3 ABSSSI trials, and ceftriaxone 1 gram IV every 24h in the Phase 3 CABP trials.

## Other Adverse Reactions Observed During Clinical Trials of Teflaro

Following is a list of additional adverse reactions reported by the 1740 patients who received Teflaro in any clinical trial with incidences less than 2%.

Blood and lymphatic system disorders - Anemia, Eosinophilia, Neutropenia, Thrombocytopenia

Cardiac disorders - Bradycardia, Palpitations

Gastrointestinal disorders - Abdominal pain

### General disorders and administration site conditions - Pyrexia

Hepatobiliary disorders - Hepatitis

Immune system disorders - Hypersensitivity, Anaphylaxis

Infections and infestations - Clostridium difficile colitis

Metabolism and nutrition disorders - Hyperglycemia, Hyperkalemia

Nervous system disorders - Dizziness, Convulsion

Renal and urinary disorders - Renal failure

Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders - Urticaria

## 6.2 **Postmarketing Experience**

The following adverse reaction has been identified during postapproval use of Teflaro. Because this adverse reaction was reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is not possible to estimate its frequency or establish a causal relationship to drug exposure.

## Blood and lymphatic system disorders: Agranulocytosis.

## 8. USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS

### 8.1 Pregnancy

## Category B.

Developmental toxicity studies performed with ceftaroline fosamil in rats at IV doses up to 300 mg/kg demonstrated no maternal toxicity and no effects on the fetus. A separate toxicokinetic study showed that ceftaroline exposure in rats (based on AUC) at this dose level was approximately 8 times the exposure in humans given 600 mg every 12 hours. There were no drug-induced malformations in the offspring of rabbits given IV doses of 25, 50, and 100 mg/kg, despite maternal toxicity. Signs of maternal toxicity appeared secondary to the sensitivity of the rabbit gastrointestinal system to broad-spectrum antibacterials and included changes in fecal output in all groups and dose-related reductions in body weight gain and food consumption at  $\geq$  50 mg/kg; these were associated with an increase in spontaneous abortion at 50 and 100 mg/kg. The highest dose was also associated with maternal moribundity and mortality. An increased incidence of a common rabbit skeletal variation, angulated hyoid alae, was also observed at the maternally toxic doses of 50 and 100 mg/kg. A separate toxicokinetic study showed that ceftaroline exposure in rabbits (based on AUC) was approximately 0.8 times the exposure in humans given 600 mg every 12 hours at 25 mg/kg and 1.5 times the human exposure at 50 mg/kg.

Ceftaroline fosamil did not affect the postnatal development or reproductive performance of the offspring of rats given IV doses up to 450 mg/kg/day. Results from a toxicokinetic study conducted in pregnant rats with doses up to 300 mg/kg suggest that exposure was  $\geq 8$  times the exposure in humans given 600 mg every 12 hours.

There are no adequate and well-controlled trials in pregnant women. Teflaro should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

### 8.3 Nursing Mothers

It is not known whether ceftaroline is excreted in human milk. Because many drugs are excreted in human milk, caution should be exercised when Teflaro is administered to a nursing woman.

### 8.4 Pediatric Use

Safety and effectiveness in pediatric patients have not been established.

### 8.5 Geriatric Use

Of the 1300 patients treated with Teflaro in the Phase 3 ABSSSI and CABP trials, 397 (30.5%) were  $\geq 65$  years of age. The clinical cure rates in the Teflaro group (Clinically Evaluable [CE] Population) were

similar in patients  $\geq$  65 years of age compared with patients < 65 years of age in both the ABSSSI and CABP trials.

The adverse reaction profiles in patients  $\geq 65$  years of age and in patients < 65 years of age were similar. The percentage of patients in the Teflaro group who had at least one adverse reaction was 52.4% in patients  $\geq 65$  years of age and 42.8% in patients < 65 years of age for the two indications combined.

Ceftaroline is excreted primarily by the kidney, and the risk of adverse reactions may be greater in patients with impaired renal function. Because elderly patients are more likely to have decreased renal function, care should be taken in dose selection in this age group and it may be useful to monitor renal function. Elderly subjects had greater ceftaroline exposure relative to non-elderly subjects when administered the same single dose of Teflaro. However, higher exposure in elderly subjects was mainly attributed to age-related changes in renal function. Dosage adjustment for elderly patients should be based on renal function [see Dosage and Administration (2.2) and Clinical Pharmacology (12.3)].

# 8.6 Patients with Renal Impairment

Dosage adjustment is required in patients with moderate (CrCl > 30 to  $\leq$  50 mL/min) or severe (CrCl  $\geq$  15 to  $\leq$  30 mL/min) renal impairment and in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD – defined as CrCl < 15 mL/min), including patients on hemodialysis (HD) [see Dosage and Administration (2.2)and Clinical Pharmacology (12.3)].

# 10. OVERDOSAGE


In the event of overdose, Teflaro should be discontinued and general supportive treatment given. Ceftaroline can be removed by hemodialysis. In subjects with ESRD administered 400 mg of Teflaro, the mean total recovery of ceftaroline in the dialysate following a 4-hour hemodialysis session started 4 hours

mean total recovery of ceftaroline in the dialysate following a 4-hour hemodialysis session started 4 hours after dosing was 76.5 mg (21.6% of the dose). However, no information is available on the use of hemodialysis to treat overdosage [see Clinical Pharmacology (12.3)].

# 11. **DESCRIPTION**

Teflaro is a sterile, semi-synthetic, prodrug antibacterial drug of the cephalosporin class of beta-lactams ( $\beta$ -lactams). Chemically, the prodrug, ceftaroline fosamil monoacetate monohydrate is (6R,7R)-7-{(2Z)-2-(ethoxyimino)-2-[5-(phosphonoamino)-1,2,4-thiadiazol-3-yl]acetamido}-3-{[4-(1-methylpyridin-1-ium-4-yl)-1,3-thiazol-2-yl]sulfanyl}-8-oxo-5-thia-1-azabicyclo[4.2.0]oct-2-ene-2-carboxylate monoacetate monohydrate. Its molecular weight is 762.75. The empirical formula is C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>8</sub>PS<sub>4</sub>.C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O.

Figure 1: Chemical structure of ceftaroline fosamil



Teflaro vials contain either 600 mg or 400 mg of anhydrous ceftaroline fosamil. The powder for injection is formulated from ceftaroline fosamil monoacetate monohydrate, a pale yellowish-white to light yellow sterile powder. All references to ceftaroline activity are expressed in terms of the prodrug, ceftaroline fosamil. The powder is constituted for IV injection *[see Dosage and Administration (2.3)]*.

Each vial of Teflaro contains ceftaroline fosamil and L-arginine, which results in a constituted solution at pH 4.8 to 6.5.

# 12. CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY

## 12.1 Mechanism of Action

Ceftaroline is a cephalosporin antibacterial [see Microbiology (12.4)].

### 12.2 Pharmacodynamics

As with other beta-lactam antimicrobial agents, the time that unbound plasma concentration of ceftaroline exceeds the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the infecting organism has been shown to best correlate with efficacy in a neutropenic murine thigh infection model with *S. aureus* and *S. pneumoniae*.

Exposure-response analysis of Phase 2/3 ABSSSI trials supports the recommended dosage regimen of Teflaro 600 mg every 12 hours by IV infusion over 1 hour. For Phase 3 CABP trials, an exposure-response relationship could not be identified due to the limited range of ceftaroline exposures in the majority of patients.

### Cardiac Electrophysiology

In a randomized, positive- and placebo-controlled crossover thorough QTc study, 54 healthy subjects were each administered a single dose of Teflaro 1500 mg, placebo, and a positive control by IV infusion over 1 hour. At the 1500 mg dose of Teflaro, no significant effect on QTc interval was detected at peak plasma concentration or at any other time.

## 12.3 Pharmacokinetics

The mean pharmacokinetic parameters of ceftaroline in healthy adults (n=6) with normal renal function after single and multiple 1-hour IV infusions of 600 mg ceftaroline fosamil administered every 12 hours are summarized in Table 5. Pharmacokinetic parameters were similar for single and multiple dose administration.

|  | Single 600 mg Dose<br>Administered as a 1-Hour      | Multiple 600 mg Doses Administered<br>Every 12 Hours as 1-Hour Infusions  |
|--|---|---|
| Parameter                                    | <b>Infusion</b> (n=6)                               | for 14 Days (n=6)   |
| C <sub>max</sub> (mcg/mL)                    | 19.0 (0.71)   | 21.3 (4.10)   |
| $T_{max}(h)^{a}$                             | 1.00 (0.92-1.25)                                    | 0.92 (0.92-1.08)  |
| AUC (mcg•h/mL) <sup>b</sup>                  | 56.8 (9.31)   | 56.3 (8.90)   |
| $T_{1/2}(h)$                                 | 1.60 (0.38)   | 2.66 (0.40)   |
| CL (L/h)                                     | 9.58 (1.85)   | 9.60 (1.40)   |
| concentration; $T_{max}$ , time of $C_{max}$ | x; $AUC_{0-\infty}$ , area under concentration-time | e administration; $C_{max}$ , maximum observed<br>e curve from time 0 to infinity; AUC <sub>0-tau</sub> , area<br><sub>2</sub> , terminal elimination half-life; CL, plasma |

 Table 5: Mean (Standard Deviation) Pharmacokinetic Parameters of Ceftaroline IV in Healthy

 Adults

The  $C_{max}$  and AUC of ceftaroline increase approximately in proportion to dose within the single dose range of 50 to 1000 mg. No appreciable accumulation of ceftaroline is observed following multiple IV infusions of 600 mg administered every 12 hours for up to 14 days in healthy adults with normal renal function.

The systemic exposure (AUC),  $T_{1/2}$ , and clearance of ceftaroline were similar following administration of 600 mg ceftaroline fosamil in a volume of 50 mL to healthy subjects every 8 hours for 5 days as 5-minute or 60-minute infusions, and the  $T_{max}$  of ceftaroline occurred about 5 minutes after the end of the ceftaroline fosamil infusion for both infusion durations. The mean (SD)  $C_{max}$  of ceftaroline was 32.5 (4.82) mcg/mL for the 5-minute infusion duration (n=11) and 17.4 (3.87) mcg/mL for the 60-minute infusion duration (n=12).

# Distribution

The average binding of ceftaroline to human plasma proteins is approximately 20% and decreases slightly with increasing concentrations over 1-50 mcg/mL (14.5-28.0%). The median (range) steady-state volume of distribution of ceftaroline in healthy adult males (n=6) following a single 600 mg IV dose of radiolabeled ceftaroline fosamil was 20.3 L (18.3-21.6 L), similar to extracellular fluid volume.

# Metabolism

Ceftaroline fosamil is the water-soluble prodrug of the bioactive ceftaroline. Ceftaroline fosamil is converted into bioactive ceftaroline in plasma by a phosphatase enzyme and concentrations of the prodrug are measurable in plasma primarily during IV infusion. Hydrolysis of the beta-lactam ring of ceftaroline occurs to form the microbiologically inactive, open-ring metabolite ceftaroline M-1. The mean (SD) plasma ceftaroline M-1 to ceftaroline AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub> ratio following a single 600 mg IV infusion of ceftaroline fosamil in healthy adults (n=6) with normal renal function is 28% (3.1%).

When incubated with pooled human liver microsomes, ceftaroline was metabolically stable (< 12% metabolic turnover), indicating that ceftaroline is not a substrate for hepatic CYP450 enzymes.

## Excretion

Ceftaroline and its metabolites are primarily eliminated by the kidneys. Following administration of a single 600 mg IV dose of radiolabeled ceftaroline fosamil to healthy male adults (n=6), approximately 88% of radioactivity was recovered in urine and 6% in feces within 48 hours. Of the radioactivity recovered in urine approximately 64% was excreted as ceftaroline and approximately 2% as ceftaroline M-1. The mean (SD) renal clearance of ceftaroline was 5.56 (0.20) L/h, suggesting that ceftaroline is predominantly eliminated by glomerular filtration.

## **Special Populations**

## **Renal Impairment**

Following administration of a single 600 mg IV dose of Teflaro, the geometric mean AUC<sub>0-∞</sub> of ceftaroline in subjects with mild (CrCl > 50 to  $\leq$  80 mL/min, n=6) or moderate (CrCl > 30 to  $\leq$  50 mL/min, n=6) renal impairment was 19% and 52% higher, respectively, compared to healthy subjects with normal renal function (CrCl > 80 mL/min, n=6). Following administration of a single 400 mg IV dose of Teflaro, the geometric mean AUC<sub>0-∞</sub> of ceftaroline in subjects with severe (CrCl  $\geq$  15 to  $\leq$ 30 mL/min, n=6) renal impairment was 115% higher compared to healthy subjects with normal renal function (CrCl > 80 mL/min, n=6). Dosage adjustment is recommended in patients with moderate and severe renal impairment [see Dosage and Administration (2.2)].

A single 400 mg dose of Teflaro was administered to subjects with ESRD (n=6) either 4 hours prior to or 1 hour after hemodialysis (HD). The geometric mean ceftaroline  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  following the post-HD infusion was 167% higher compared to healthy subjects with normal renal function (CrCl > 80 mL/min, n=6). The mean recovery of ceftaroline in the dialysate following a 4-hour HD session was 76.5 mg, or 21.6% of the administered dose. Dosage adjustment is recommended in patients with ESRD (defined as CrCL < 15 mL/min), including patients on HD [*see Dosage and Administration* (2.2)].

### **Hepatic Impairment**

The pharmacokinetics of ceftaroline in patients with hepatic impairment have not been established. As ceftaroline does not appear to undergo significant hepatic metabolism, the systemic clearance of ceftaroline is not expected to be significantly affected by hepatic impairment.

## **Geriatric Patients**

Following administration of a single 600 mg IV dose of Teflaro to healthy elderly subjects ( $\geq 65$  years of age, n=16), the geometric mean AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub> of ceftaroline was ~33% higher compared to healthy young adult subjects (18-45 years of age, n=16). The difference in AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub> was mainly attributable to age-related changes in renal function. Dosage adjustment for Teflaro in elderly patients should be based on renal function [*see Dosage and Administration (2.2)*].

## **Pediatric Patients**

The pharmacokinetics of ceftaroline were evaluated in adolescent patients (ages 12 to 17, n=7) with normal renal function following administration of a single 8 mg/kg IV dose of Teflaro (or 600 mg for subjects

weighing > 75 kg). The mean plasma clearance and terminal phase volume of distribution for ceftaroline in adolescent subjects were similar to healthy adults (n=6) in a separate study following administration of a single 600 mg IV dose. However, the mean  $C_{max}$  and  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  for ceftaroline in adolescent subjects who received a single 8 mg/kg dose were 10% and 23% less than in healthy adult subjects who received a single 600 mg IV dose.

## Gender

Following administration of a single 600 mg IV dose of Teflaro to healthy elderly males (n=10) and females (n=6) and healthy young adult males (n=6) and females (n=10), the mean  $C_{max}$  and  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  for ceftaroline were similar between males and females, although there was a trend for higher  $C_{max}$  (17%) and  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  (6-15%) in female subjects. Population pharmacokinetic analysis did not identify any significant differences in ceftaroline  $AUC_{0-tau}$  based on gender in Phase 2/3 patients with ABSSSI or CABP. No dose adjustment is recommended based on gender.

## Race

A population pharmacokinetic analysis was performed to evaluate the impact of race on the pharmacokinetics of ceftaroline using data from Phase 2/3 ABSSSI and CABP trials. No significant differences in ceftaroline AUC<sub>0-tau</sub> was observed across White (n=35), Hispanic (n=34), and Black (n=17) race groups for ABSSSI patients. Patients enrolled in CABP trials were predominantly categorized as White (n=115); thus there were too few patients of other races to draw any conclusions. No dosage adjustment is recommended based on race.

## **Drug Interactions**

No clinical drug-drug interaction studies have been conducted with Teflaro. There is minimal potential for drug-drug interactions between Teflaro and CYP450 substrates, inhibitors, or inducers; drugs known to undergo active renal secretion; and drugs that may alter renal blood flow.

*In vitro* studies in human liver microsomes indicate that ceftaroline does not inhibit the major cytochrome P450 isoenzymes CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP2A6, CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, CYP2E1 and CYP3A4. *In vitro* studies in human hepatocytes also demonstrate that ceftaroline and its inactive open-ring metabolite are not inducers of CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, or CYP3A4/5. Therefore Teflaro is not expected to inhibit or induce the clearance of drugs that are metabolized by these metabolic pathways in a clinically relevant manner.

Population pharmacokinetic analysis did not identify any clinically relevant differences in ceftaroline exposure ( $C_{max}$  and  $AUC_{0-tau}$ ) in Phase 2/3 patients with ABSSSI or CABP who were taking concomitant medications that are known inhibitors, inducers, or substrates of the cytochrome P450 system; anionic or cationic drugs known to undergo active renal secretion; and vasodilator or vasoconstrictor drugs that may alter renal blood flow.

## 12.4 Microbiology

### Mechanism of Action

Ceftaroline is a cephalosporin with *in vitro* activity against Gram-positive and -negative bacteria. The bactericidal action of ceftaroline is mediated through binding to essential penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs). Ceftaroline is bactericidal against *S. aureus* due to its affinity for PBP2a and against *Streptococcus pneumoniae* due to its affinity for PBP2x.

#### Resistance

Ceftaroline is not active against Gram-negative bacteria producing extended spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) from the TEM, SHV or CTX-M families, serine carbapenemases (such as KPC), class B metallobeta-lactamases, or class C (AmpC cephalosporinases).

#### **Cross-Resistance**

Although cross-resistance may occur, some isolates resistant to other cephalosporins may be susceptible to ceftaroline.

### **Interaction with Other Antimicrobials**

*In vitro* studies have not demonstrated any antagonism between ceftaroline or other commonly used antibacterial agents (e.g., vancomycin, linezolid, daptomycin, levofloxacin, azithromycin, amikacin, aztreonam, tigecycline, and meropenem).

### **Antimicrobial Activity**

Ceftaroline has been shown to be active against most of the following bacteria, both *in vitro* and in clinical infections [see Indications and Usage (1)].

#### Skin Infections

Gram-positive bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus* (including methicillin-susceptible and -resistant isolates) *Streptococcus pyogenes Streptococcus agalactiae* 

Gram-negative bacteria Escherichia coli Klebsiella pneumoniae Klebsiella oxytoca

### Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia (CABP)

Gram-positive bacteria *Streptococcus pneumoniae Staphylococcus aureus* (methicillin-susceptible isolates only)

Gram-negative bacteria Haemophilus influenzae Klebsiella pneumoniae

# Klebsiella oxytoca Escherichia coli

The following *in vitro* data are available, but their clinical significance is unknown. At least 90 percent of the following bacteria exhibit an in vitro minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) less than or equal to the susceptible breakpoint for ceftaroline against isolates of similar genus or organism group. However, the efficacy of ceftaroline in treating clinical infections due to these bacteria has not been established in adequate and well-controlled clinical trials.

Gram-positive bacteria

Streptococcus dysgalactiae

Gram-negative bacteria

Citrobacter koseri Citrobacter freundii Enterobacter cloacae Enterobacter aerogenes Moraxella catarrhalis Morganella morganii Proteus mirabilis Haemophilus parainfluenzae

## Susceptibility Test Methods

When available, the clinical microbiology laboratory should provide the results of *in vitro* susceptibility test results for antimicrobial drugs used in local hospitals and practice areas to the physician as periodic reports that describe the susceptibility profile of nosocomial and community-acquired pathogens. These reports should aid the physician in selecting an antibacterial drug product for treatment.

## **Dilution Techniques**

Quantitative methods are used to determine antimicrobial minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs). These MICs provide estimates of the susceptibility of bacteria to antimicrobial compounds. The MICs should be determined using a standardized test method<sup>1,3</sup>, (broth, and/or agar). Broth dilution MICs need to be read within 18 hours due to degradation of ceftaroline activity by 24 hours. The MIC values should be interpreted according to the criteria in Table 6.

## Diffusion Techniques

Quantitative methods that require measurement of zone diameters can also provide reproducible estimates of the susceptibility of bacteria to antimicrobial compounds. The zone size provides an estimate of the susceptibility of bacteria to antimicrobial compounds. The zone size should be determined using a standardized method. This procedure uses paper disks impregnated with 30 mcg of ceftaroline to test the susceptibility of bacteria to ceftaroline. The disk diffusion interpretive criteria are provided in Table 6.

| Pathogen and Isolate   | Minimum Inhibitory<br>Concentrations<br>(mcg/mL) |   |          | Disk Diffusion Zone Diameter<br>(mm) |       |      |
|--|--|---|----------|--------------------------------------|-------|------|
| Source   | S  | Ι | R        | S                                    | Ι     | R    |
| Staphylococcus aureus<br>(includes methicillin-<br>resistant isolates - skin<br>isolates only) -See <b>NOTE</b><br>below | ≤ 1  | 2 | ≥ 4      | ≥24                                  | 21-23 | ≤ 20 |
| Streptococcus agalactiae <sup>a</sup><br>(skin isolates only)  | $\leq$ 0.5                                       |   |          | ≥26                                  | —     | —    |
| Streptococcus pyogenes <sup>a</sup><br>(skin isolates only)  | ≤ 0.5  |   |          | ≥26                                  | _     |      |
| Streptococcus pneumoniae <sup>a</sup><br>(CABP isolates only)  | $\leq$ 0.5                                       | _ | _        | ≥26                                  | _     | _    |
| Haemophilus influenzae <sup>a</sup><br>(CABP isolates only)  | ≤ 0. 5   |   |          | ≥ 30                                 |       |      |
| Enterobacteriaceae <sup>b</sup><br>(CABP and skin isolates)  | ≤ 0.5  | 1 | $\geq 2$ | ≥23                                  | 20-22 | ≤19  |

Table 6: Susceptibility Interpretive Criteria for Ceftaroline

S = susceptible, I = intermediate, R = resistant

**NOTE:** Clinical efficacy of Teflaro to treat lower respiratory infections such as community-acquired bacterial pneumonia due to MRSA has not been studied in adequate and well controlled trials (See "Clinical Trials" section 14)

<sup>a</sup> The current absence of resistant isolates precludes defining any results other than "Susceptible." Isolates yielding MIC results other than "Susceptible" should be submitted to a reference laboratory for further testing.

<sup>b</sup> Clinical efficacy was shown for the following *Enterobacteriaceae: Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Klebsiella oxytoca.* 

A report of *Susceptible(S)* indicates that the antimicrobial drug is likely to inhibit growth of the pathogen if the antimicrobial drug reaches the concentration usually achievable at the site of infection. A report of *Intermediate (I)* indicates that the result should be considered equivocal, and if the microorganism is not fully susceptible to alternative clinically feasible drugs, the test should be repeated. This category implies possible clinical applicability in body sites where the drug is physiologically concentrated or in situations where a high dosage of the drug can be used. This category also provides a buffer zone that prevents small uncontrolled technical factors from causing major discrepancies in interpretation. A report of *Resistant (R)* indicates that the antimicrobial drug is not likely to inhibit growth of the pathogen if the antimicrobial drug reaches the concentrations usually achievable at the infection site; other therapy should be selected.

## Quality Control

Standardized susceptibility test procedures require the use of laboratory controls to monitor and ensure the accuracy and precision of supplies and reagents used in the assay, and the techniques of the individuals performing the test.<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> Standard ceftaroline powder should provide the following range of MIC values provided in Table 7. For the diffusion technique using the 30-mcg ceftaroline disk the criteria provided in Table 7 should be achieved.

| Quality Control<br>Organism               | Minimum Inhibitory<br>Concentrations<br>(mcg/mL) | Disk Diffusion (zone<br>diameters in mm) |
|---|--|--|
| Staphylococcus aureus<br>ATCC 25923       | Not Applicable                                   | 26-35                                    |
| Staphylococcus aureus<br>ATCC 29213       | 0.12-0.5   | Not Applicable                           |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i><br>ATCC 25922     | 0.03-0.12  | 26-34                                    |
| Haemophilus<br>influenzae<br>ATCC 49247   | 0.03-0.12  | 29-39                                    |
| Streptococcus<br>pneumoniae<br>ATCC 49619 | 0.008-0.03                                       | 31-41                                    |

Table 7: Acceptable Quality Control Ranges for Susceptibility Testing

ATCC = American Type Culture Collection

# 13. NONCLINICAL TOXICOLOGY

## 13.1 Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility

Long-term carcinogenicity studies have not been conducted with ceftaroline. Ceftaroline fosamil did not show evidence of mutagenic activity in *in vitro* tests that included a bacterial reverse mutation assay and the mouse lymphoma assay. Ceftaroline was not mutagenic in an *in vitro* mammalian cell assay. *In vivo*, ceftaroline fosamil did not induce unscheduled DNA synthesis in rat hepatocytes and did not induce the formation of micronucleated erythrocytes in mouse or rat bone marrow. Both ceftaroline fosamil and ceftaroline were clastogenic in the absence of metabolic activation in an *in vitro* chromosomal aberration assays, but not in the presence of metabolic activation.

IV injection of ceftaroline fosamil had no adverse effects on fertility of male and female rats given up to 450 mg/kg. This is approximately 4-fold higher than the maximum recommended human dose based on body surface area.

## 14. CLINICAL TRIALS

## 14.1 Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infections (ABSSSI)

A total of 1396 adults with clinically documented complicated skin and skin structure infection were enrolled in two identical randomized, multi-center, multinational, double-blind, non-inferiority trials (Trials 1 and 2) comparing Teflaro (600 mg administered IV over 1 hour every 12 hours) to vancomycin plus aztreonam (1 g vancomycin administered IV over 1 hour followed by 1 g aztreonam administered IV over 1 hour every 12 hours). Treatment duration was 5 to 14 days. A switch to oral therapy was not allowed. The Modified Intent-to-Treat (MITT) population included all patients who received any amount of study drug according to their randomized treatment group. The Clinically Evaluable (CE) population included patients in the MITT population who demonstrated sufficient adherence to the protocol.

To evaluate the treatment effect of ceftaroline, an analysis was conducted in 797 patients with ABSSSI (such as deep/extensive cellulitis or a wound infection [surgical or traumatic]) for whom the treatment effect of antibacterials may be supported by historical evidence. This analysis evaluated responder rates based on

achieving both cessation of lesion spread and absence of fever on Trial Day 3 in the following subgroup of patients:

Patients with lesion size  $\geq 75$  cm<sup>2</sup> and having one of the following infection types:

- Major abscess with  $\geq$  5 cm of surrounding erythema
- Wound infection
- Deep/extensive cellulitis

The results of this analysis are shown in Table 8.

|                | Teflaro<br>n/N (%) | Vancomycin/<br>Aztreonam<br>n/N (%) | Treatment Difference<br>(2-sided 95% CI) |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| ABSSSI Trial 1 | 148/200 (74.0)     | 135/209 (64.6)                      | 9.4 (0.4, 18.2)                          |
| ABSSSI Trial 2 | 148/200 (74.0)     | 128/188 (68.1)                      | 5.9 (-3.1, 14.9)                         |

Table 8: Clinical Responders at Study Day 3 from Two Phase 3 ABSSSI Trials

The protocol-specified analyses included clinical cure rates at the Test of Cure (TOC) (visit 8 to 15 days after the end of therapy) in the co-primary CE and MITT populations (Table 9) and clinical cure rates at TOC by pathogen in the Microbiologically Evaluable (ME) population (Table 10). However, there are insufficient historical data to establish the magnitude of drug effect for antibacterial drugs compared with placebo at a TOC time point. Therefore, comparisons of Teflaro to vancomycin plus aztreonam based on clinical response rates at TOC cannot be utilized to establish non-inferiority.

# Table 9: Clinical Cure Rates at TOC from Two Phase 3 ABSSSI Trials

|         | lineal Cure Nates at 1 | Vancomycin/    | Treatment        |  |
|---------|------------------------|----------------|------------------|--|
|         | Teflaro                | Aztreonam      | Difference       |  |
|         | n/N (%)                | n/N (%)        | (2-sided 95% CI) |  |
| Trial 1 |                        |                |                  |  |
| CE      | 288/316 (91.1)         | 280/300 (93.3) | -2.2 (-6.6, 2.1) |  |
| MITT    | 304/351 (86.6)         | 297/347 (85.6) | 1.0 (-4.2, 6.2)  |  |
| Trial 2 |                        |                |                  |  |
| CE      | 271/294 (92.2)         | 269/292 (92.1) | 0.1 (-4.4., 4.5) |  |
| MITT    | 291/342 (85.1)         | 289/338 (85.5) | -0.4 (-5.8, 5.0) |  |

|                                | Teflaro<br>n/N (%) | Vancomycin/Aztreonam<br>n/N (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| Gram-positive:                 |                    |                                 |
| MSSA (methicillin-susceptible) | 212/228 (93.0%)    | 225/238 (94.5%)                 |
| MRSA (methicillin-resistant)   | 142/152 (93.4%)    | 115/122 (94.3%)                 |
| Streptococcus pyogenes         | 56/56 (100%)       | 56/58 (96.6%)                   |
| Streptococcus agalactiae       | 21/22 (95.5%)      | 18/18 (100%)                    |
| Gram-negative:                 |                    |                                 |
| Escherichia coli               | 20/21 (95.2%)      | 19/21 (90.5%)                   |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae          | 17/18 (94.4%)      | 13/14 (92.9%)                   |
| Klebsiella oxytoca             | 10/12 (83.3%)      | 6/6 (100%)                      |

## Table 10: Clinical Cure Rates at TOC by Pathogen from Two Integrated Phase 3 ABSSSI Trials

Of the 693 patients in the MITT population in the Teflaro arm in the two ABSSSI trials, 20 patients had baseline *S. aureus* bacteremia (nine MRSA and eleven MSSA). Thirteen of these twenty patients (65%) were clinical responders for ABSSSI at study Day 3 and 18/20 (90%) were considered clinical success for ABSSSI at TOC.

# 14.2 Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia (CABP)

A total of 1231 adults with a diagnosis of CABP were enrolled in two randomized, multi-center, multinational, double-blind, non-inferiority trials (Trials 1 and 2) comparing Teflaro (600 mg administered IV over 1 hour every 12 hours) with ceftriaxone (1 g ceftriaxone administered IV over 30 minutes every 24 hours). In both treatment groups of CABP Trial 1, two doses of oral clarithromycin (500 mg every 12 hours), were administered as adjunctive therapy starting on Study Day 1. No adjunctive macrolide therapy was used in CABP Trial 2. Patients with known or suspected MRSA were excluded from both trials. Patients with new or progressive pulmonary infiltrate(s) on chest radiography and signs and symptoms consistent with CABP with the need for hospitalization and IV therapy were enrolled in the trials. Treatment duration was 5 to 7 days. A switch to oral therapy was not allowed. Among all subjects who received any amount of study drug in the two CABP trials, the 30-day all-cause mortality rates were 11/609 (1.8%) for the Teflaro group vs. 12/610 (2.0%) for the ceftriaxone group, and the difference in mortality rates was not statistically significant.

To evaluate the treatment effect of ceftaroline, an analysis was conducted in CABP patients for whom the treatment effect of antibacterials may be supported by historical evidence. The analysis endpoint required subjects to meet sign and symptom criteria at Day 4 of therapy: a responder had to both (a) be in stable condition, based on temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, and mental status; (b) show improvement from baseline on at least one symptom of cough, dyspnea, pleuritic chest pain, or sputum production, while not worsening on any of these four symptoms. The analysis used a microbiological intent-to-treat population (mITT population) containing only subjects with a confirmed bacterial pathogen at baseline. Results for this analysis are presented in Table 11.

| Table 11: Respon | ble 11: Response Rates at Study Day 4 (72-96 hours) from Two Phase 3 CABP Trials |                    |                      |  |  |  |
|------------------|--|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
|                  | Teflaro  | <b>Ceftriaxone</b> | Treatment Difference |  |  |  |
|                  | n/N (%)  | n/N (%)            | (2-sided 95% CI)     |  |  |  |
| CABP Trial 1     | 48/69 (69.6%)  | 42/72 (58.3%)      | 11.2 (-4.6,26.5)     |  |  |  |

51/83 (61.4%)

7.6 (-6.8,21.8)

58/84 (69.0%)

| Table 11: Response   | e Rates at Study | Dav 4 (72- | 96 hours) from         | Two Phase 3 | CABP Trials |
|----------------------|------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| I dole III Itesponse | Lates at Staay   |            | > 0 <b>mou</b> is) mou |             |             |

The protocol-specified analyses included clinical cure rates at the TOC (8 to 15 days after the end of therapy) in the co-primary Modified Intent-to-Treat Efficacy (MITTE) and CE populations (Table 12) and clinical cure rates at TOC by pathogen in the Microbiologically Evaluable (ME) population (Table 13). However, there are insufficient historical data to establish the magnitude of drug effect for antibacterials drugs compared with placebo at a TOC time point. Therefore, comparisons of Teflaro to ceftriaxone based on clinical response rates at TOC cannot be utilized to establish non-inferiority. Neither trial established that Teflaro was statistically superior to ceftriaxone in terms of clinical response rates. The MITTE population included all patients who received any amount of study drug according to their randomized treatment group and were in PORT (Pneumonia Outcomes Research Team) Risk Class III or IV. The CE population included patients in the MITTE population who demonstrated sufficient adherence to the protocol.

| Table 12: Clinical Cure Rates at TOC from Two Phase 5 CABP Trials |                    |                        |  |  |
|---|--------------------|------------------------|--|--|
|   | Teflaro<br>n/N (%) | Ceftriaxone<br>n/N (%) | Treatment Difference<br>(2-sided 95% CI) |  |
| CABP Trial 1  |                    |                        |  |  |
| СЕ  | 194/224 (86.6%)    | 183/234 (78.2%)        | 8.4 (1.4, 15.4)                          |  |
| MITTE   | 244/291 (83.8%)    | 233/300 (77.7%)        | 6.2 (-0.2, 12.6)                         |  |
| CABP Trial 2  |                    |                        |  |  |
| СЕ  | 191/232 (82.3%)    | 165/214 (77.1%)        | 5.2 (-2.2, 12.8)                         |  |
| MITTE   | 231/284 (81.3%)    | 203/269 (75.5%)        | 5.9 (-1.0, 12.8)                         |  |

# Table 12: Clinical Cure Dates at TOC from Two Dhase 3 CARD Trials

#### **Table 13: Clinical Cure Rates at TOC** by Pathogen from Two Integrated Phase 3 CABP Trials

|  | Teflaro<br>n/N (%) | Ceftriaxone<br>n/N (%) |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|
| Gram-positive:   |                    |                        |
| Streptococcus pneumoniae   | 54/63 (85.7%)      | 41/59 (69.5%)          |
| Staphylococcus aureus<br>(methicillin-susceptible isolates only) | 18/25 (72.0%)      | 14/25 (56.0%)          |
| Gram-negative:   |                    |                        |
| Haemophilus influenzae   | 15/18 (83.3%)      | 17/20 (85.0%)          |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae  | 12/12 (100%)       | 10/12 (83.3%)          |
| Klebsiella oxytoca   | 5/6 (83.3%)        | 7/8 (87.5%)            |
| Escherichia coli   | 10/12 (83.3%)      | 9/12 (75.0%)           |

#### 15. REFERENCES

CABP Trial 2

1. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically; Approved Standard - Tenth Edition. CLSI document M07-10, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087, USA, 2015.

- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Diffusion Susceptibility Tests; Approved Standard – Twelfth Edition* CLSI document M02-A12, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087, USA, 2015.
- 3. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-fifth Informational Supplement*, CLSI document M100-S25. CLSI document M100-S25, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087, USA, 2015.

# 16. HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING

Teflaro (ceftaroline fosamil) for injection is supplied in single-use, clear glass vials containing:

- 600 mg individual vial (NDC 0456-0600-01) and carton containing 10 vials (NDC 0456-0600-10)
- 400 mg individual vial (NDC 0456-0400-01) and carton containing 10 vials (NDC 0456-0400-10)

Unreconstituted Teflaro vials should be stored at 25°C (77°F); excursions permitted to 15-30°C (59-86°F) [see USP Controlled Room Temperature].

# **17. PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION**

- Advise patients that allergic reactions, including serious allergic reactions, could occur and that serious reactions require immediate treatment. They should inform their healthcare provider about any previous hypersensitivity reactions to Teflaro, other beta-lactams (including cephalosporins) or other allergens.
- Counsel patients that antibacterial drugs including Teflaro should be used to treat only bacterial infections. They do not treat viral infections (e.g., the common cold). When Teflaro is prescribed to treat a bacterial infection, patients should be told that although it is common to feel better early in the course of therapy, the medication should be taken exactly as directed. Skipping doses or not completing the full course of therapy may (1) decrease the effectiveness of the immediate treatment and (2) increase the likelihood that bacteria will develop resistance and will not be treatable by Teflaro or other antibacterial drugs in the future.
- Advise patients that diarrhea is a common problem caused by antibacterial drugs and usually resolves when the drug is discontinued. Sometimes, frequent watery or bloody diarrhea may occur and may be a sign of a more serious intestinal infection. If severe watery or bloody diarrhea develops, patients should contact their healthcare provider.
- Keep out of reach of children

Teflaro<sup>®</sup> (ceftaroline fosamil) for injection

## **Distributed by:**

Forest Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Subsidiary of Forest Laboratories, LLC.

# Manufactured by:

Facta Farmaceutici S.p.A. Nucleo Industriale S. Atto–S. Nicolò a Tordino 64020 Teramo, Italy

Teflaro® is a registered trademark of Actavis, Inc. or its affiliates Baxter® is a registered trademark and Mini-Bag Plus<sup>™</sup> is a trademark of Baxter International Inc.

©2010-2015 Actavis All rights reserved.