CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

APPROVAL PACKAGE FOR:

APPLICATION NUMBER
20-711/SE8-012

Administrative Documents

Time Sensitive Patent Information
Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355 for

ZYBAN®(bupropion hydrochloride) Sustained Release Tablets

Please refer to patent information submitted in NDA 20-711 (May 17, 1996) and its amendments for patent information that has been provided in accord with the Drug Price Competition and Patent Restoration Act of 1984.

Exclusivity Checklist

NDA: 20-711				
Trade Name: Zyban				
Generic Name: bupropion hydrochloride				
Applicant Name: GlaxoWellcome				
Division: Anesthetic, Critical Care and Addiction Drug Products, HFD-170				
Project Manager: Judit Milstein (7-7440)				
Approval Date:				
PART I: IS AN EXCLUSIVITY DETERMINATION NEEDED?				
1. An exclusivity determination will be made for all original applications, but only for certain supplements. Complete Parts II and III of this Exclusivity Summary only if you answer "yes" to one or more of the following questions about the submission.				
a. Is it an original NDA?	Yes		No	X
b. Is it an effectiveness supplement?	Yes	X	No	
c. If yes, what type? (SE1, SE2, etc.)	SE8			
Did it require the review of clinical data other than to support a safety claim or change in labeling related to safety? (If it required review only of bioavailability or bioequivalence data, answer "no.")	Yes	X	No	
If your answer is "no" because you believe the study is a bioavailability study and, therefore, not eligible for exclusivity, EXPLAIN why it is a bioavailability study, including your reasons for disagreeing with any arguments made by the applicant that the study was not simply a bioavailability study.				
Explanation:				
If it is a supplement requiring the review of clinical data but it is not an effectiveness supplement, describe the change or claim that is supported by the clinical data:				
Explanation:				
d. Did the applicant request exclusivity?	Yes		No	X
If the answer to (d) is "yes," how many years of exclusivity did the applicant request?				
IF YOU HAVE ANSWERED "NO" TO ALL OF THE ABOVE QUESTIONS, GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS.				
2. Has a product with the same active ingredient(s), dosage form, strength, route of administration, and dosing schedule previously been approved by FDA for the same use?	Yes	X	No	
If yes, NDA # 20-711				
Drug Name: Zyban (bupropion hydrochloride)				
IF THE ANSWER TO QUESTION 2 IS "YES," GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS.				
3. Is this drug product or indication a DESI upgrade?	Yes		No	
IF THE ANSWER TO QUESTION 3 IS "YES," GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS (even if a study was required for the upgrade).				
PART II: FIVE-YEAR EXCLUSIVITY FOR NEW CHEMICAL ENTITIES				
(Answer either #1 or #2, as appropriate)				
1. Single active ingredient product.	Yes		No	
Has FDA previously approved under section 505 of the Act any drug product containing the same active moiety as the drug under consideration? Answer "yes" if the active moiety (including other esterified forms, salts, complexes, chelates or clathrates) has been previously approved, but this particular form of the active moiety, e.g., this particular ester or salt (including salts with hydrogen or coordination bonding) or other non-covalent derivative (such as a complex, chelate, or clathrate) has not been approved. Answer "no" if the compound requires metabolic conversion (other than deesterification of an esterified form of the drug) to	Yes		No	

produce an already approved active moiety.				
If "yes," identify the approved drug product(s) containing the active moiety, and, if known, the NDA #(s).				
Drug Product				
NDA #				
Drug Product				
NDA #				
Drug Product				
NDA #				
2. Combination product.	Yes		No	
If the product contains more than one active moiety (as defined in Part II, #1), has FDA previously approved an application under section 505 containing any one of the active moieties in the drug product? If, for example, the combination contains one never-before-approved active moiety and one previously approved active moiety, answer "yes." (An active moiety that is marketed under an OTC monograph, but that was never approved under an NDA, is considered not previously approved.)	Yes		No	
If "yes," identify the approved drug product(s) containing the active moiety, and, if known, the NDA #(s).				
Drug Product				
NDA #				
Drug Product				
NDA #				
Drug Product				
NDA #				
IF THE ANSWER TO QUESTION 1 OR 2 UNDER PART II IS "NO," GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS. IF "YES," GO TO PART III.				
PART III: THREE-YEAR EXCLUSIVITY FOR NDA'S AND SUPPLEMENTS				
To qualify for three years of exclusivity, an application or supplement must contain "reports of new clinical investigations (other than bioavailability studies) essential to the approval of the application and conducted or sponsored by the applicant." This section should be completed only if the answer to PART II, Question 1 or 2, was "yes."				
1. Does the application contain reports of clinical investigations? (The Agency interprets "clinical investigations" to mean investigations conducted on humans other than bioavailability studies.) If the application contains clinical investigations only by virtue of a right of reference to clinical investigations in another application, answer "yes," then skip to question 3(a). If the answer to 3(a) is "yes" for any investigation referred to in another application, do not complete remainder of summary for that investigation.	Yes		No	
IF "NO," GO DIRECTLY TO THE SIGNATURE BLOCKS.				
2. A clinical investigation is "essential to the approval" if the Agency could not have approved the application or supplement without relying on that investigation. Thus, the investigation is not essential to the approval if 1) no clinical investigation is necessary to support the supplement or application in light of previously approved applications (i.e., information other than clinical trials, such as bioavailability data, would be sufficient to provide a basis for approval as an ANDA or 505(b)(2) application because of what is already known about a previously approved product), or 2) there are published reports of studies (other than those conducted or sponsored by the applicant) or other publicly available data that independently would have been sufficient to support approval of the application, without reference to the clinical investigation submitted in the application. For the purposes of this section, studies comparing two products with the same ingredient(s) are considered to be bioavailability studies.				
a) In light of previously approved applications, is a clinical investigation (either conducted by the applicant or available from some other source, including the published literature) necessary to support approval of the application or supplement?	Yes		No	
If "no," state the basis for your conclusion that a clinical trial is not necessary for approval **AND GO DIRECTLY TO SIGNATURE BLOCKS.**				

Basis for conclusion:				
b) Did the applicant submit a list of published studies relevant to the safety and effectiveness of this drug product and a statement that the publicly available data would not independently support approval of the application?	Yes		No	
1) If the answer to 2 b) is "yes," do you personally know of any reason to disagree with the applicant's conclusion? If not applicable, answer NO.	Yes		No	
If yes, explain:				
2) If the answer to 2 b) is "no," are you aware of published studies not conducted or sponsored by the applicant or other publicly available data that could independently demonstrate the safety and effectiveness of this drug product?	Yes		No	
If yes, explain:				
c) If the answers to (b)(1) and (b)(2) were both "no," identify the clinical investigations submitted in the application that are essential to the approval:				
Investigation #1, Study #:				
Investigation #2, Study #:				
Investigation #3, Study #:				
3. In addition to being essential, investigations must be "new" to support exclusivity. The agency interprets "new clinical investigation" to mean an investigation that 1) has not been relied on by the agency to demonstrate the effectiveness of a previously approved drug for any indication and 2) does not duplicate the results of another investigation that was relied on by the agency to demonstrate the effectiveness of a previously approved drug product, i.e., does not redemonstrate something the agency considers to have been demonstrated in an already approved application.				
a) For each investigation identified as "essential to the approval," has the investigation been relied on by the agency to demonstrate the effectiveness of a previously approved drug product? (If the investigation was relied on only to support the safety of a previously approved drug, answer "no.")				
Investigation #1	Yes		No	
Investigation #2	Yes		No	
Investigation #3	Yes		No	
If you have answered "yes" for one or more investigations, identify each such investigation and the NDA in which each was relied upon:				
Investigation #1 -- NDA Number				
Investigation #2 -- NDA Number				
Investigation #3 -- NDA Number				
b) For each investigation identified as "essential to the approval," does the investigation duplicate the results of another investigation that was relied on by the agency to support the effectiveness of a previously approved drug product?				
Investigation #1	Yes		No	
Investigation #2	Yes		No	
Investigation #3	Yes		No	
If you have answered "yes" for one or more investigations, identify the NDA in which a similar investigation was relied on:				
Investigation #1 -- NDA Number				
Investigation #2 -- NDA Number				
Investigation #3 -- NDA Number				
If the answers to 3(a) and 3(b) are no, identify each "new" investigation in the application or supplement that is essential to the approval (i.e., the investigations listed in #2(c), less any that are not "new"):				
Investigation #1				
Investigation #2				
Investigation #3				
4. To be eligible for exclusivity, a new investigation that is essential to approval must also have been conducted or sponsored by the applicant. An investigation was "conducted or sponsored by" the applicant if, before or during the				

conduct of the investigation, 1) the applicant was the sponsor of the IND named in the form FDA 1571 filed with the Agency, or 2) the applicant (or its predecessor in interest) provided substantial support for the study. Ordinarily, substantial support will mean providing 50 percent or more of the cost of the study.				
a. For each investigation identified in response to question 3(c): if the investigation was carried out under an IND, was the applicant identified on the FDA 1571 as the sponsor?				
Investigation #1	Yes		No	
IND#:				
Explain:				
Investigation #2	Yes		No	
IND#:				
Explain:				
Investigation #3	Yes		No	
IND#:				
Explain:				
b. For each investigation not carried out under an IND or for which the applicant was not identified as the sponsor, did the applicant certify that it or the applicant's predecessor in interest provided substantial support for the study?				
Investigation #1	Yes		No	
IND#:				
Explain:				
Investigation #2	Yes		No	
IND#:				
Explain:				
Investigation #3	Yes		No	
IND#:				
Explain:				
c. Notwithstanding an answer of "yes" to (a) or (b), are there other reasons to believe that the applicant should not be credited with having "conducted or sponsored" the study? (Purchased studies may not be used as the basis for exclusivity. However, if all rights to the drug are purchased (not just studies on the drug), the applicant may be considered to have sponsored or conducted the studies sponsored or conducted by its predecessor in interest.)	Yes		No	
If yes, explain:				

/S/

Judit Milstein, Regulatory Project Manager date

/S/

Cynthia G. McCormick, M.D., Director date

CC: Mary Ann Holovac, HFD-93

FDA Links Tracking Links Check Lists Searches Reports Help

PEDIATRIC PAGE (Complete for all original application and all efficacy supplements) View Word Document

NDA Number:	020711	**Trade Name:**	ZYBAN (BUPROPION HCL) SUST RELEASE TABS
Supplement Number:	000	**Generic Name:**	BUPROPION HCL
Supplement Type:	N	**Dosage Form:**	
Regulatory Action:	UN	**COMIS Indication:**	FOR USE AS AN AID TO SMOKING CESSATION
Action Date:	5/26/96		

Indication # 1 Aid to smoking cessation
Label Adequacy: Inadequate for ALL pediatric age groups
Forumulation Needed: Other
Comments (if any): PPWR issued July 21, 2000

	Lower Range	Upper Range	Status	Date
7 years	11 years	Deferred	2/28/04	
Comments: PPWR issued July 21, 2000				
12 years	17 years	Deferred	2/28/04	
Comments: PPWR issued July 21, 2000				

This page was last edited on 12/26/00

/S/

Signature

Date

New Drug Application

NDA 20-711; ZYBAN®
(bupropion hydrochloride)
Sustained-Release Tablets

DEBARMENT CERTIFICATION

Glaxo Wellcome hereby certifies that it did not and will not use in any capacity the services of any person debarred under section 306 of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act in connection with this application.

23 FEB 2000

Charles E. Mueller
Head, North American Clinical Compliance
World Wide Compliance

Date

FDA CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH
DIVISION OF ANESTHETIC, CRITICAL CARE, AND ADDICTION DRUG PRODUCTS
HFD-170, Room 9B-45, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville MD 20857
Tel:(301) 827-7410

Division Director's Review and Basis for Action

Drug Zyban (bupropion HCl)

Sponsor: Glaxo Wellcome

Submission: NDA#20-711, SE1-012 (April 27, 2000)

This supplement was initiated by the sponsor in Phase 4 to evaluate the safety and efficacy of Zyban in patients with mild to moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). The supplement contains the data from a single randomized controlled clinical trial in 411 patients, of whom approximately half received active drug. On the primary endpoint, continuous abstinence for weeks 4-7 of the study the patients who received Zyban 300 mg/day had a statistically significantly higher mean rate of continuous cessation compared with those who received placebo. This effect was still seen by weeks 9-12 of the study although the quit rate was further reduced at this time. The findings in this trial are consistent with those in the original studies supporting marketing, however the cessation rate (28%) was lower than had been demonstrated in previous studies (49% and 36%).

The safety analysis in this isolated population did not reveal any new or unexpected adverse events. The predominant adverse events in this trial, as in the existing label include insomnia, nausea, dry mouth, headache, and rashes. There were no adverse events specifically attributable to the interaction of this intervention in this population, although there had been precautions to avoid interactions with drugs known to be metabolized by the CYP2D6 isoenzymes.

The review team proposes to modify the sponsor's proposed labeling to reflect the efficacy findings that were prospectively defined as primary and to amend the patient instructions to include patients with COPD.

I concur with the analysis and conclusions of the review team with further modifications in the package insert.

Action: An approval action will be taken with concurrence by the sponsor with the appropriate labeling modifications.

/S/ MD

Cynthia G. McCormick, MD, Director
Division of Anesthetic, Critical Care and Addiction Drug Products

1/1/2001
Date

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Public Health Service
Food and Drug Administration

Form Approved: OMB No. 0910-0396
Expiration Date: 3/31/02

CERTIFICATION: FINANCIAL INTERESTS AND ARRANGEMENTS OF CLINICAL INVESTIGATORS

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

With respect to all covered clinical studies (or specific clinical studies listed below (if appropriate)) submitted in support of this application, I certify to one of the statements below as appropriate. I understand that this certification is made in compliance with 21 CFR part 54 and that for the purposes of this statement, a clinical investigator includes the spouse and each dependent child of the investigator as defined in 21 CFR 54.2(d).

Please mark the applicable checkbox.

(1) As the sponsor of the submitted studies, I certify that I have not entered into any financial arrangement with the listed clinical investigators (enter names of clinical investigators below or attach list of names to this form) whereby the value of compensation to the investigator could be affected by the outcome of the study as defined in 21 CFR 54.2(a). I also certify that each listed clinical investigator required to disclose to the sponsor whether the investigator had a proprietary interest in this product or a significant equity in the sponsor as defined in 21 CFR 54.2(b) did not disclose any such interests. I further certify that no listed investigator was the recipient of significant payments of other sorts as defined in 21 CFR 54.2(f).

Clinical Investigators		
	See Attached Listings	

(2) As the applicant who is submitting a study or studies sponsored by a firm or party other than the applicant, I certify that based on information obtained from the sponsor or from participating clinical investigators, the listed clinical investigators (attach list of names to this form) did not participate in any financial arrangement with the sponsor of a covered study whereby the value of compensation to the investigator for conducting the study could be affected by the outcome of the study (as defined in 21 CFR 54.2(a)); had no proprietary interest in this product or significant equity interest in the sponsor of the covered study (as defined in 21 CFR 54.2(b)); and was not the recipient of significant payments of other sorts (as defined in 21 CFR 54.2(f)).

(3) As the applicant who is submitting a study or studies sponsored by a firm or party other than the applicant, I certify that I have acted with due diligence to obtain from the listed clinical investigators (attach list of names) or from the sponsor the information required under 54.4 and it was not possible to do so. The reason why this information could not be obtained is attached.

NAME	TITLE
Lucy G. Martindale	Vice President and Director, R&D Finance
FIRM / ORGANIZATION: Glaxo Wellcome Inc.	
SIGNATURE: Lucy G Martindale	DATE: 03/20/00

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the necessary data, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information to the address to the right:

Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
5600 Fishers Lane, Room 14C-03
Rockville, MD 20857

FORM FDA 3454 (3/99)

Redacted 12

pages of trade

secret and/or

confidential

commercial

information