

CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

APPROVAL PACKAGE FOR:

**APPLICATION NUMBER
21-344**

Microbiology Review(s)

Product Quality Microbiology Review Review for HFD-150

29 JAN 2002

NDA: 21-344/N/BI

Name of Drug: Faslodex (Fulvestrant) 250/5ML Injection

Review Number: 2

Submission Date: 10-DEC-2001

Applicant: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals

Name of Reviewer: David Hussong, Ph.D.

Review Recommendation: Approve (see page 4)

Product Quality Microbiology Data Sheet

- A.
1. **NDA: 21-344**
 2. **REVIEW NUMBER: 2**
 3. **REVIEW DATE: 29-JAN-2002**
 4. **TYPE OF SUPPLEMENT: N/A**
 5. **SUPPLEMENT PROVIDES FOR: N/A**
 6. **APPLICANT/SPONSOR:**

Name: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals
Representative: E. Jane Valas, Ph.D.
Telephone: (302) 886-2122
 7. **MANUFACTURING SITE:**
iPR Pharmaceuticals
Carolina, Puerto Rico
 8. **DRUG PRODUCT NAME:**
Proprietary: Faslodex™ Injection
Non-proprietary: fulvestrant
Drug Priority Classification: Standard
 9. **DOSAGE FORM, ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION AND STRENGTH/POTENCY: 250 mg/5 ML**
 10. **METHOD(S) OF STERILIZATION:** _____
 11. **PHARMACOLOGICAL CATEGORY:** Anti-estrogen receptor agent that inhibits the growth of estrogen sensitive breast cancer cells.
- B.
1. **DOCUMENT/LETTER DATE:** 28-MAR-2001 (initial submission)
 2. **RECEIPT DATE:** 28-MAR-2001
 3. **CONSULT DATE:** N/A
 4. **DATE OF AMENDMENTS:** 10-DEC-2001 (subject of this review)
 5. **ASSIGNED FOR REVIEW:** 13-DEC-2001
 6. **SUPPORTING/RELATED DOCUMENTS:** N/A
- C. **REMARKS:** The original submission was reviewed in Microbiologist's Review #1 (06-NOV-2001), which produced six comments that were provided (by FAX dated 19-NOV-2001) to the applicant from that review. The applicant
-

replied to these comments in their amendment dated 10-DEC-2001, which is the subject of this review.

**APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL**

**APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL**

Executive Summary

I. Recommendations

- A. Recommendation on Approvability - APPROVE**
- B. Recommendation on Phase 4 Commitments and/or Agreements, if Approvable - n/a**

II. Summary of Microbiology Assessments

- A. Brief Description of the Manufacturing Processes that relate to Product Quality Microbiology -** The product is manufactured in two strengths by preparing 2 fill volumes in syringes. The solution is non-aqueous and is filtered through membranes, then processed by
- B. Brief Description of Microbiology Deficiencies – n/a**
- C. Assessment of Risk Due to Microbiology Deficiencies – n/a**

III. Administrative

/S/

- A. Reviewer's Signature** _____
- B. Endorsement Block**
David Hussong/29-JAN-2002
Peter Cooney/Date
- C. CC Block**
cc:
Original NDA 21-344
HFD-150/Division File/NDA 21-344
HFD-150/CSO/Amy Baird
- D. File Name:**
d:\NDA\21-344rv2.doc

Product Quality Microbiology Assessment

Deficiencies and Comments from Microbiology Review #1 were conveyed to the applicant in a FAX dated November 19, 2001. Comments are copied into this review and followed by a review of the applicant's reply.

Comment #1. Process flow descriptions did not indicate which fill line was used and could not be linked to specific rooms or processing areas. Please identify the building and rooms where _____ is done. The _____ line should be described so it can be associated with the lines that are validated in the process simulations (media fill _____).

Review of Response #1: The _____ of FASLODEX is performed in the filling suite, _____ at Vetter Pharma-Fertigung GmbH _____ Ravensburg Germany. The only filling line (_____ syringe filling and _____ machine (_____ the floor plan). _____ is shown on the site plan for the _____ facility (Appendix 1(a) on page 25). A floor plan for the production area was provided in Appendix 1(b), on pages 4 and 5. _____ of FASLODEX) and the support rooms were outlined on the floor plan to show the processing areas for this product. A copy of the manufacturing process flow diagram (page 6) identifies the rooms where _____ manufacturing _____ are carried out.

The process flow describes preparation of the bulk solution .

The bulk is . _____

Filtration is done by a _____ filter and the filtrate is received in _____

The sterile bulk is then _____ where _____ (sterilizing) is done at the point of syringe filling _____

_____ The filled syringe barrels are closed with plunger stoppers and seals.

ACCEPTABLE

Comment #2. Please summarize methods and acceptance criteria for environmental microbiology tests conducted in the sterile facility. Emphasis should be placed on the critical _____ area. Fill and support rooms should be identified.

Review of Response #2. Environmental microbiology monitoring of the FASLODEX manufacture areas was described in SOPs provided in Appendix 9. These SOPs are listed below.

Redacted 4

pages of trade

secret and/or

confidential

commercial

information

**This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and
this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.**

/s/

David Hussong
2/14/02 09:35:01 AM
MICROBIOLOGIST

Peter Cooney
2/14/02 10:37:33 AM
MICROBIOLOGIST

REVIEW FOR HFD-150
MICROBIOLOGY STAFF
MICROBIOLOGIST'S REVIEW #1 OF NDA

November 6, 2001

- A. 1. NDA 21-344
- APPLICANT AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals
1800 Concord Pike
P.O. Box 8355
Wilmington, DE 19803-8355
- AGENTS FOR iPR Pharmaceuticals
Carolina, Puerto Rico
2. PRODUCT NAMES: Faslodex™ (fulvestrant) Injection
3. DOSAGE FORM AND ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION: There are two presentations: both are prefilled syringes of 50 mg/mL. One is a single 5 mL syringe containing 5 mL of drug solution. The other is a single package of 2 syringes (5 cc capacity) containing 2.5 mL of drug solution. Both are for intramuscular injection.
4. METHOD(S) OF STERILIZATION: _____
5. PHARMACOLOGICAL CATEGORY: Anti-estrogen receptor agent that inhibits the growth of estrogen sensitive breast cancer cells.
6. DRUG PRIORITY CLASSIFICATION: Standard
- B. 1. DATE OF INITIAL SUBMISSION: March 28, 2001
2. DATE OF AMENDMENT: none
3. RELATED DOCUMENTS: none
4. ASSIGNED FOR REVIEW: May 22, 2001
- C. REMARKS: The applicant has submitted this NDA on behalf of iPR Pharmaceuticals in Puerto Rico. The NDA was submitted with a request for expedited review, but the administrative management system reports it has a standard review (S) classification.
The submission was provided as two introductory jackets with summary information. Technical information was electronically transmitted and reviewed.

- D. CONCLUSIONS: The application is approvable pending resolution of microbiology issues. Specific deficiencies are provided in "List of Microbiology Deficiencies and Comments," at the end of this review.

/S/

David Hussong, Ph.D.

cc:

HFD 160/Consult File
HFD 150/Division File
HFD 150 /CSO/Amy Baird
HFD 805/D. Hussong

Drafted by: D. Hussong, 11/06/2001
R/D initialed by: P. Cooney

Filename, d:\nda\21-344rv1.DOC

Redacted 7

pages of trade

secret and/or

confidential

commercial

information

**This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and
this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.**

/s/

David Hussong
11/6/01 02:49:30 PM
MICROBIOLOGIST

Peter Cooney
11/6/01 02:58:54 PM
MICROBIOLOGIST

Safety Update

See pages 90-99 of MOR dated 3/28/02

APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL