Zometa: NDA 21-386

Background

On Thursday, December 13, 2001 the Pharmacology/Toxicology Reproductive Toxicology Committee convened to consider the reproductive toxicity of bisphosphonates. In attendance:

Karen Davis-Bruno (nonmember), Amy Ellis, Jim Farrelly, Ed Fisher (e-mail), Robin Huff (e-mail), Raheja Krishan, Gemma Kuijpers (nonmember), John Leighton (nonmember), David Morse (chair), Tom Papoian (nonmember), Suzanne Thornton, (nonmember), Josie Yang, and Ita Yuen.

Bisphosphonates are a group of compounds that are currently indicated for the treatment of osteoporosis, Paget's disease, osteolytic bone metastases and hypercalcemia of malignancy. Bisphosphonates have a common phosphate-carbon-phosphate structure; newer bisphosphonates also contain one or more nitrogens. Bisphosphonates have a special affinity for the bone matrix and are characterized by a long plasma half-life that may reflect slow depletion from this pool.

Conclusions:

The Committee, at the request of the Division of Oncology Drug Products (DODP), examined the potential reproductive toxicity of the class of bisphosphonates (and related agents) based on NDA reviews and published literature. These data were summarized in a memorandum prepared by John Leighton (DODP). There are currently six bisphosphonates approved in the United States and . The Committee concluded from the available data that several findings from the reproductive toxicity studies were common among the bisphosphates, including skeletal malformations and an increase in pre and post implantation losses. The Committee concluded that these findings were likely related to the mechanism of action of the bisphosphonates and that this mechanism was common with the mode of therapeutic effect. The Committee further concluded that sufficient information may exist to indicate that there are reproductive toxicities in animals that are associated with a class effect of the bisphosphonates. The Committee recommended that the relevant "class" related safety data for the bisphosphonates be considered and/or incorporated into the risk-benefit analysis and product pregnancy labeling for these compounds. 
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Executive Summary:
This memo is in response to a request from John Leighton, M.D., Medical Officer, Division of Oncological Drug Products, to review cases of pregnancy outcomes associated with bisphosphonates and foscarnet. The impetus for this analysis is to determine whether any changes in pregnancy labeling should be undertaken.

AERS database is an adverse event repository for only FDA-approved drugs. The other drugs, were not evaluated as they are not approved in the United States.

An AERS search was undertaken for each of the bisphosphonates and foscarnet for pregnancy outcomes using the MedDRA System Organ Class (SOC) Congenital and Familial/Genetic Disorders, and Pregnancy, Puerperium & Perinatal Conditions. The search revealed ten cases pertaining alendronate and one case for etidronate (see preg outcome no calc.xls). None of the other drugs had any reports relating to pregnancy-associated outcomes.

There are several reasons for the low number of cases found in our AERS database. Firstly, physicians are not always cognizant that a drug taken during pregnancy may be associated with a latent adverse outcome in a fetus. Furthermore, the intent of AERS is to serve as a repository for the voluntary reporting of adverse events, not a pregnancy outcome database. Lastly, bisphosphonates and foscarnet are not indicated for women of child-bearing age.

Despite the ten cases for alendronate and one case for etidronate, data contained are insufficient to conclude any findings as half of the cases did not state the fetal condition at time of pregnancy termination. Currently, we cannot recommend whether any changes in pregnancy labeling are necessitated.

Search Date: For all of the bisphosphonates and foscarnet, the search encompassed the time period from keting until October 2, 2001.

Search Criteria: MedDRA System Organ Class (SOC) Congenital and Familial/Genetic Disorders, and
Literature Search:
Several literature articles have cited bisphosphonate and foscarinet use during pregnancy. One case report mentions the use of intravenous pamidronate in malignant hypercalcemia in third trimester pregnancy. No serious adverse effects occurred in the fetus. In animals, reproductive toxicity studies with pamidronate in rats and rabbits were conducted and findings in rats revealed that the dams failed to complete and/or survive a protracted labor and a reduced number of viable pups were delivered. In addition, the distressed condition of dams shortly before parturition was associated with acutely reduced serum calcium concentrations. At ten times the daily human dose of pamidronate, maternal toxicity, embryolethality, and dramatic skeletal retardation of fetuses were evident. The article concluded that pamidronate should not be used unless medically necessary.

Concerning foscarinet, one case report of a pregnant 21-year-old female with acyclovir-resistant herpes simplex virus type-2 was reported. She had received treatment for eight days and subsequently delivered a healthy term baby who developed normally throughout her first year. No teratogenic effects, including skeletal, were observed in utero. The authors also mentioned two other patients who had received foscarinet during pregnancy from the Sponsor’s files. One healthy baby was delivered after foscarinet exposure at 32 weeks and the other infant was exposed to foscarinet at week 29-30. No follow-up information was available for the second case.

Discussion/Conclusion:
We are unable to assess the effects of bisphosphonates and foscarinet on pregnancy outcomes for several reasons. Fifty percent of the cases are confounded because patients were receiving concomitant medications that are designated as Pregnancy C, D, or X; and thus, the difficulty lies in assigning culpability to alendronate or any of the other drugs. Additionally, 50% of the cases provided no information on the outcome on these fetuses. As these cases are from foreign sources, retrieval of follow-up information on condition of the fetuses is cumbersome. Three of the females aborted the fetuses and one suffered a miscarriage.

Several reasons may explain the low number of cases found in our AERS database. Pregnancy outcomes are not always so apparent as they may not appear immediately at the time of birth and thus, physicians are not cognizant of a drug taken during pregnancy may be associated with a latent adverse outcome. As in the case of intrauterine exposure to diethylstilbestrol (DES), an adverse effect of this medication was not seen until puberty in females in which adenocarcinoma of the vagina was diagnosed. Furthermore, AERS is not designed to track pregnancy outcomes; rather, the intent is to serve as a repository for the voluntary reporting of adverse events. Lastly, bisphosphonates and foscarinet are not indicated for women of child-bearing age. Bisphosphonates are used to treat hypercalcemia associated with malignancy, postmenopausal osteoporosis, and Paget’s disease. Foscarinet is labeled for treatment of CMV retinitis in patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) and treatment of acyclovir-resistant mucocutaneous HSV infections in immunocompromised patients.

Currently, we cannot recommend whether any changes in pregnancy labeling are necessitated. Neither foscarinet nor bisphosphonate had any cases of pregnancy outcomes, except for alendronate and etidronate were found. Furthermore, data contained were insufficient to conclude any findings as half of the cases did not state fetal condition at time of pregnancy termination.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Mfr no</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Stop</th>
<th>LMP</th>
<th>ALED</th>
<th>PREG</th>
<th>DUE DATE</th>
<th>ABD</th>
<th>MISC</th>
<th>NAT</th>
<th>CON. MEDS.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abortion</td>
<td></td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>po</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/1/97</td>
<td>3/19/97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>calcium lactate, calcium supplement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>po</td>
<td>7/1/97</td>
<td>3/19/97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>estradiol (D), medroxyprogesterone (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>po</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/10/98</td>
<td>5/4/98</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5/19/97</td>
<td></td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>calcium, multivitamin, azathioprine (D), prednisolone (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>po</td>
<td>4/10/98</td>
<td>5/4/98</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>prednisone (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>po</td>
<td>10/00/98</td>
<td>10/4/98</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>calcium, butalbital compound with acetaminophen &amp; caffeine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>po</td>
<td>10/00/98</td>
<td>10/4/98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>calcium, butalbital compound with acetaminophen &amp; caffeine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>po</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/18/98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>calcium, butalbital compound with acetaminophen &amp; caffeine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>po</td>
<td>1/3/98</td>
<td>11/3/98</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>calcium, butalbital compound with acetaminophen &amp; caffeine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>po</td>
<td>6/11/98</td>
<td>7/29/98</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>hydroxychloroquine (C), prednisone d/c at 8 wks. (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>po</td>
<td>6/1/97</td>
<td>6/3/98</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>hydroxychloroquine (C), prednisone d/c at 8 wks. (C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Cc: NDA #
HFD-150 Leighton
HFD-510 Division File / Orloff / Colman / Hedin
HFD-430/440 Beitz / Trontell / Green / Dempsey / Chang / Drug file

APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL
From: Crescenzi, Terrie L
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2002 5:07 PM
To: CDER-CPMS, CDER-EXPIT; CDER-ORM-PM
Subject: Electronic Peds Page

Please be aware that the electronic Pediatric Page is not functioning properly. Until the glitches can be resolved we are asking that you fill out the Peds Page (for original NDAs and efficacy supplements only) using the attached Word document. Once completed you can forward the document to me electronically (crescenzit) or by snail mail (HFD-960, Corp2, S305).

We apologize for the inconvenience!

Thanks,
Terrie

[Pedpage doc]

APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL
**PHARMACOLOGY/TOXICOLOGY COVER SHEET**

NDA number: 21-386
Review number: 2
Sequence number/date/type of submission: 000/8-22-01/Type 6
Information to sponsor: Yes ( ) No ( x )
Sponsor and/or agent: Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation
Manufacturer for drug substance: Novartis

Reviewer name: John K. Leighton
Division name: Oncologic Drug Products
HFD #: 150
Review completion date: 2/22/02

Drug:
Trade name: Zometa
Generic name (list alphabetically): Zoledronic acid
Code name: CGP 42446, ZOL446
Chemical name: (1-hydroxy-2-imidazol-1-yl-phosphonoethyl)phosphonic acid monohydrate
CAS registry number: 118072-93-8
Mole file number: none
Molecular formula/molecular weight: C_{10}H_{10}N_{3}O_{7}P_{2}H_{2}O/290.1
Structure:

![Structure of Zometa]

Relevant INDs/NDAs/DMFs: NDA 21-223
Drug class: bisphosphonate
Indication: "Zometa is indicated for the treatment of osteolytic, osteoblastic, and mixed bone metastases of solid tumors and osteolytic lesions of multiple myeloma, in conjunction with standard anfneoplastic therapy."
Clinical formulation: 4 mg zoledronic acid anhydrous, 220 mg mannitol, USP and 24 mg sodium citrate, USP. Lyophilized powder (4 mg) reconstituted in 5 mL sterile water; further diluted in 0.9% NaCl or 5% Dextrose Injection
Route of administration: intravenous
Proposed use: treatment of patients with bone metastases

Disclaimer: Tabular and graphical information is from sponsor's submission unless stated otherwise.
Executive Summary

I. Recommendations

A. Recommendation on Approvability: approvable

B. Recommendation for Nonclinical Studies: no additional studies recommended

C. Recommendations on Labeling: Change to Pregnancy Category D.

II. Summary of Nonclinical Findings

A. Brief Overview of Nonclinical Findings

Bisphosphonates are analogues of inorganic pyrophosphate that can bind to divalent cations and to calcium hydroxyapatite in the skeleton, inhibiting skeletal calcium release. The pharmacological action of the bisphosphonates consists of an inhibition of osteoclastic bone resorption, partly through a chemical mechanism involving the binding of the compound to bone, and partly through a biological mechanism involving inhibition of cellular osteoclast activity.

No toxicology studies were reviewed in this application. Pharmacology studies for this NDA were summarized in Review 1. This review details the rationale for recommending that Zometa be listed as Pregnancy category D for the labeled indication. The sponsor has agreed.

B. Pharmacologic Activity: no additional comments

C. Nonclinical Safety Issues Relevant to Clinical Use: none

III. Administrative

A. Reviewer signature

B. Secondary reviewer signature: Concurrence

Non-Concurrence - (see memo attached)

C. cc: list:
   BensonKi
   WilliamsG
TABLE OF CONTENTS - PHARMACOLOGY/TOXICOLOGY REVIEW

I. PHARMACOLOGY: 1
II. SAFETY PHARMACOLOGY: 1
III. PHARMACOKINETICS/TOXICOKINETICS: 1
IV. GENERAL TOXICOLOGY: 1
V. GENETIC TOXICOLOGY: 1
VI. CARCINOGENICITY: 1
VII. REPRODUCTIVE AND DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICOLOGY: 1
VIII. SPECIAL TOXICOLOGY STUDIES: 2
IX. DETAILED CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS: 2
X. APPENDIX/ATTACHMENTS: 2

APPEARS THIS WAY
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PHARMACOLOGY/TOXICOLOGY REVIEW

I. **PHARMACOLOGY:** no additional comments

II. **SAFETY PHARMACOLOGY:** No studies were submitted.

III. **PHARMACOKINETICS/TOXICOKINETICS:** no studies were reviewed

IV. **GENERAL TOXICOLOGY:** no studies were reviewed

V. **GENETIC TOXICOLOGY:** no studies were reviewed

VI. **CARCINOGENICITY:** no studies were submitted

VII. **REPRODUCTIVE AND DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICOLOGY:**

After review of the reproductive toxicity of zolendronic acid, assessment by the Pharmacology/Toxicology Reproductive Toxicity Committee (see Appendixes 1 and 2), and discussions within the Division of Oncology Drug Products Zometa review team, it was decided by DODP that the most appropriate pregnancy category for Zometa was “D”. The DODP recognizes that every bisphosphonate currently available in the US is labeled “C”. However, the DODP believes that the class effects of bisphosphonates on reproductive toxicity that are considered related to the mechanism of action of these products, as well as potential conditions of use, warrant the “D”. The chronicity of dosing for the proposed indication as well as the similarity of the therapeutic mode of action and site of toxicity in the reproductive toxicity study are cause for concern. For these reasons, the DODP recommended changes to the sponsor’s proposed label. It should be noted that a review of the AERS database for all bisphosphonates indicated that there was insufficient human data available at this time to recommend for or against a change in the pregnancy category (see attached memo by Jenny Chang, PharmD).

After discussions with the sponsor, the following label changes were made.

In the **WARNINGS** section:

**PREGNANCY: ZOMETA SHOULD NOT BE USED DURING PREGNANCY.**
Zometa may cause fetal harm when administered to a pregnant woman. In reproductive studies in the pregnant rat, subcutaneous doses equivalent to 2.4 or 4.8 times the human systemic exposure (an i.v. dose of 4 mg based on an AUC comparison) resulted in pre- and post-implantation losses, decreases in viable fetuses and fetal skeletal, visceral and external malformations (See PRECAUTIONS, Pregnancy Category D).

There are no studies in pregnant women using Zometa. If the patient becomes pregnant while taking this drug, the patient should be apprised of the potential harm to the fetus. Women of childbearing potential should be advised to avoid becoming pregnant.
In the PRECAUTIONS section; change Pregnancy Category C to D: See WARNINGS.

VIII. SPECIAL TOXICOLOGY STUDIES: no studies were submitted.

IX. DETAILED CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Conclusions: see discussion below under Labeling

General Toxicology Issues: no issues were identified for this supplemental review

Recommendations: see discussion under labeling

Labeling with basis for findings: Change from pregnancy Category C to D: see Section VII. Reproductive and Developmental Toxicology, and appendixes/addenda below for additional details.

X. APPENDIX/ATTACHMENTS:

Addendum to review: (attached below in the following order)
Review for Reproductive Toxicology Committee
Draft minutes of the Reproductive Toxicology Committee Report (to be finalized by David Morse, Chair, Reproductive Toxicity Committee)
OPDRA Review (author Jenny Chang, PharmD, under NDA 17-831)
Other relevant materials (Studies not reviewed, appended consults, etc.): none

Any compliance issues: none identified

APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL
Use of Bisphosphonates in Pregnancy

Prepared for the Reproductive Toxicity Assessment Committee
Reviewer: John K. Leighton, Ph.D., DABT
Division of Oncology Drug Products, FD-150

Final 2/22/02
1. Overview

The bisphosphonates are a class of drugs currently indicated for the treatment of osteoporosis, Paget's disease, osteolytic bone metastases and hypercalcemia of malignancy. Bisphosphonate structures are shown in Figure 1; Table 1 lists the bisphosphonates and foscarnet (a monophosphate) currently approved or studied in the US and abroad. The bisphosphonates are characterized by a core phosphate-carbon-phosphate structure; second and third generation bisphosphonates also contain one or more nitrogens that may also be important in biological activity. These agents are presently classified as Pregnancy Category C. The following review contains both toxicology and pharmacology data regarding the use of these agents and their potential risk to the fetus. This information was compiled in order to assess whether a similar risk profile for reproductive toxicity may exist for these compounds. If a similar risk profile is observed, then the possibility of a common mechanism of action responsible for reproductive toxicity should be assessed.

Table 1. Summary Information Regarding Bisphosphonates and Foscarnet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Name</th>
<th>Trade/Code Name</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alendronate</td>
<td>Fosamax</td>
<td>40 mg/d po to 6 mo</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>Approved in US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etidronate</td>
<td>Didronel</td>
<td>11-20 mkd to 3 mo po</td>
<td>Procter &amp; Gamble</td>
<td>Approved in US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incadronate or</td>
<td>YM175</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yamanouchi</td>
<td>Not Approved in US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cimadronate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mino-</td>
<td>YM529</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yamanouchi</td>
<td>Not Approved in US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eronate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepridronate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not Approved in US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olpadronate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gridor</td>
<td>Not Approved in US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamidronate</td>
<td>Aredia</td>
<td>60-90 mg iv single dose</td>
<td>Novartis</td>
<td>Approved in US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risedronate</td>
<td>Actonel</td>
<td>30 mg/d to 2 mo po</td>
<td>Procter &amp; Gamble</td>
<td>Approved in US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tildudronate</td>
<td>Skelid</td>
<td>400 mg/d po to 3 mo</td>
<td>Sanofi-Synthelabo</td>
<td>Approved in US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoledronate</td>
<td>Zometa</td>
<td>4 mg iv</td>
<td>Novartis</td>
<td>Approved in US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foscarnet</td>
<td>Foscavir</td>
<td>90 mg/kg q12 h for 2-3 wks iv</td>
<td>AstraZeneca</td>
<td>Approved in US</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Dosing cited from the PDR unless noted. The dosing and schedule shown is the highest dose approved.
2 Dosing information from Prescribing Information (6/4/98).
Figure 1. Bisphosphonate Structures
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2. Retention and Plasma Half-life of Bisphosphonates

Initially, bisphosphonates are widely distributed throughout the body. For example, 5 min after a single iv dose, 63% of administered alendronate is distributed in noncalcified tissue. This amount drops to 5% at 1 hour post dose (Porras et al., 1999). Results from a disposition study for zoledronic in the rat showed that 12 months after a single radioactive iv dose, about 40% of the dose was still in the skeleton. Radioactivity was also detected in the following soft tissues, in order of magnitude: bone marrow >> kidney >> spleen, liver, thyroid > stomach, small intestine, adrenal, skin > aorta, heart, thymus, lung, heart > brain, fat, muscle. Tissue distribution was similar in the dog (Pharmacology/Toxicology Review, NDA 21-223).

The plasma half-life and bone retention of the bisphosphonates is shown in Table 2. The long retention time of bisphosphonates in bone may be a concern for use prior to and during pregnancy.

### Table 2. Plasma half-life (terminal phase), bone distribution and retention of selected bisphosphonates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Plasma t½</th>
<th>Bone Distribution and Retention</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alendronate</td>
<td>Estimated single dose t½ of 300 days in rats, 1000 days dog, 10.5 yrs human</td>
<td>Uptake in bone proportional to dose in rats from 0.2-5 mg/kg iv or 1-25 mg/kg po; uptake not saturated with repeat doses; 60-70% of dose sequestered in bone in rats; 40-60% of human dose still resident in body after 72 hr, with little subsequent urinary excretion</td>
<td>NDA 20-560; Porras et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etidronate</td>
<td>1-6 h</td>
<td>50% excreted in urine in 24 h in human, remainder distributed to bone</td>
<td>NDA 20-082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamidronate</td>
<td>28 h in humans</td>
<td>50-60% of iv dose of labeled pamidronate absorbed by bone in rats, with a terminal t½ estimated at 300 d</td>
<td>NDA 20-927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risedronate</td>
<td>200-480 h in humans</td>
<td>60% of dose distributed to bone in rats and dogs; 87% recovered in urine at 28 days, the remainder reflecting bone incorporation</td>
<td>NDA 20-835; Williams et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiludronate</td>
<td>150 h after single dose in pagetic patients</td>
<td>Slow release from bone with a t½ in rats of 30 d or longer depending upon the status of bone turnover.</td>
<td>NDA 20-707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoledronate</td>
<td>167 h after single dose in humans</td>
<td>After 12 months, about 40% of the iv dose in rats was still in the skeleton.</td>
<td>NDA 21-223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Labeling and Literature Information Summary for Specific Bisphosphonates

3.1. Alendronate


Reproduction studies in rats showed decreased postimplantation survival at 2 mg/kg/day and decreased body weight gain in normal pups at 1 mg/kg/day. Sites of incomplete fetal ossification were statistically significantly increased in rats beginning at 10 mg/kg/day in vertebral (cervical, thoracic, and lumbar), skull, and sternebral bones. The above doses ranged from 0.26 times (1 mg/kg) to 2.6 times (10 mg/kg) a maximum recommended daily dose of 40 mg (Paget's disease) based on surface area, mg/m². No similar fetal effects were seen when pregnant rabbits were treated at doses up to 35 mg/kg/day (10.3 times a 40 mg human daily dose based on surface area, mg/m²).

Both total and ionized calcium decreased in pregnant rats at 15 mg/kg/day (3.9 times a 40 mg human daily dose based on surface area, mg/m²) resulting in delays and failures of delivery. Protracted parturition due to maternal hypocalcemia occurred in rats at doses as low as 0.5 mg/kg/day (0.13 times a 40 mg human daily dose based on surface area, mg/m²) when rats were treated from before mating through gestation. Maternotoxicity (late pregnancy deaths) occurred in the female rats treated with 15 mg/kg/day for varying periods of time ranging from treatment only during pre-mating to treatment only during early, middle, or late gestation; these deaths were lessened but not eliminated by cessation of treatment. Calcium supplementation either in the drinking water or by minipump could not ameliorate the hypocalcemia or prevent maternal and neonatal deaths due to delays in delivery; calcium supplementation IV prevented maternal, but not fetal deaths.

There are no studies in pregnant women. FOSAMAX should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the mother and fetus.

3.1.2. NDA review

Developmental toxicity studies were conducted in rats and rabbits. Rats were dosed by gavage at 5, 10 or 25 mg/kg/d from GD 6-17 and sacrificed on day GD 20. An increase in the number of litters with incomplete ossification was seen in all dose groups. Rabbits were dosed by gavage at 3.5, 10 or 35 mg/kg/d from GD 6 to 18 and sacrificed on day 28. External examination revealed 1/15 mid dose litters with malformations and 1/15 low dose litters with variations. An increase in skeletal malformations/variants was observed in high dose animals. Additional study details can be found in Appendix V.

The studies described below were reviewed in the NDA and also published by the sponsor (Minkser et al., 1993).

To assess female fertility, alendronate was administered to female rats at doses of 5, 10, and 15 mg/kg/d orally by gavage for 14 days prior to mating through day 20 of gestation. A second experiment dosed animals at 15 mg/kg/d for 6-7 days during the following time periods: day 6-1 of premating; GD 0-6; GD 7-13; GD 14-20; day 14 premating through GD 20. The purpose of the second experiment was to examine the critical time period of reproductive toxicity. A third experiment dosed animals at 15 mg/kg/d for GD 1-21 in order to examine the effect of maternal hypocalcemia induced by alendronate on reproductive toxicity. A fourth experiment examined the effect on calcium supplementation when
administered on GD 21 to animals dosed with alendronate starting 4 days prior to mating and continuing through GD 20.

The results of this study are as follows. In the female fertility study, tremors, dystocia, labored breathing, lethargy and death and associated neonatal deaths due to protracted deliveries were seen at 10 (4/19 dams) and 15 mg/kg/d (5/18 dams). One dam dosed at 5 mg/kg without physical signs of distress failed to deliver; sacrificed on D 24, this dam had 4 dead intrauterine pups. No treatment-related effects on fertility were observed. No gross malformations were seen in any treatment group upon external evaluation.

In the second study, no critical period of treatment associated with physical signs and deaths in late gestation was identified. Maternal deaths occurred on GD 20-22 in all treatment groups except control and animals dosed from premating days 6 through 1. In the third experiment, serum Ca was decreased by 23% relative to control animals. No difference in fetal plasma Ca levels was seen. In the fourth experiment, iv Ca supplementation prevented the adverse physical signs in dams as previously reported. No treatment-related effects on pups were observed. Skeletal events were outside the scope of the study.

3.1.3. Literature Review of Animal Studies

Decreased locomotion, hypothermia and dyspnea were observed in female pregnant rats treated with doses as low as 0.5 mg/kg/d (dosing period not stated). The findings were attributable to hypocalcemia. This dose was about 3 times the human dose on a weight basis. There was no increase in adverse pregnancy outcome, congenital anomalies, or subsequent behavioral abnormalities of offspring after maternal doses up to 2.5 mg/kg/d. At the top dose, however, incisor eruption was delayed and incisors came in at abnormal angles. Other studies showed similar incisor deformation in offspring after maternal doses of 1 mg/kg/d and in the subset of male offspring, after maternal doses of 0.5 mg/kg/d. Rats did not show fertility impairment at doses of alendronate up to 0.5 mg/kg/d, although the number of corpora lutea and implants was slightly decreased in pregnant animals at the top dose. Teratogenicity testing in rabbits was negative (cited from REPROTOX, 2000; original studies published in Japanese).

In rats treated with either saline or alendronate at 0.1 mg/kg/d sc on days 11-20 of pregnancy (approximately equivalent to a human dose of 10 mg/kg, based on body weight), diaphyseal length was significantly (p<0.05) reduced in the alendronate group (2.97 mm vs 3.48 mm). There was a 2-3 fold increase in the volume of diaphyseal bone with a concomitant decrease in the volume of bone marrow in the fetuses of dams treated with alendronate in comparison to control. No effect was seen on the distal or proximal epiphyses (Patlas et al., 1999).

3.1.4. Transplacental Transfer

The transplacental transfer of alendronate has been demonstrated in 2 rats dosed sc on day 20 of gestation with a single injection of 0.03 mg/kg $^{14}$C-alendronate (Patlas et al., 1999). Maternal serum contained 0.0675% of the administered dose 2 hr after dosing. The placenta (N=3) contained 0.202%, and the fetuses (n=8) 0.020% at the 2 hour timepoint. Twenty-four hours after the injection, maternal serum $^{14}$C-alendronate dropped to 0.0056%, and the amount of $^{14}$C-alendronate decreased in the placenta (N=3) to 0.096% but increased in the fetuses (N=10) to 0.051% of the dose.

This is consistent with accumulation of the radionucleotide $^{90m}$Tc-MDP (methylenediphosphonate) in the placenta and fetal skeleton when the radionucleotide was given to two women receiving 740 MBq and 540 MBq $^{90m}$Tc-MDP at weeks 32 and 30 weeks gestation, respectively (McKenzie et al., 1994).
3.1. Cimadronate

3.2.1 Literature Review of Animal Studies

Cimadronate was administered iv to female rats at 0.16, 0.31 or 0.62 mg/kg/d from 2 weeks prior to mating through GD 7 with sacrifice on day 20; from GD 7 through 17 with sacrifice of some animals on GD 20; or GD 17-21. Dosing was based on maternal toxicity observed at 1.25 mg/kg/d. A separate male fertility study was negative. A similar result was obtained in the Segment I study (dosing to GD 7). In the Segment II study, one female in the 0.62 mg/kg/d dose group died on lactation day 2 with one dead fetus in utero. No external abnormalities were seen in pups in any dose group; visceral findings were considered minor and/or incidental in nature. Skeletal examination indicated delayed ossification in the high dose group resolved by day 22 of lactation. In the Segment III study, 6, 4, and 5 females (n = 22/group) in the low to high dose groups, respectively, were found dead or sacrificed moribund close to or during parturition. A dose dependent increase in malocclusion and uneven growth of the lower incisors was recorded around the time of weaning, and tooth eruption was delayed in the offspring of the high dose group. A teratology study in rabbits was negative (Okazaki et al., 1995).

3.4. Etidronate

3.4.1. Current Labeling-US

In teratology and developmental toxicity studies conducted in rats and rabbits treated with dosages of up to 100 mg/kg (5 to 20 times the clinical dose), no adverse or teratogenic effects have been observed in the offspring. Etidronate disodium has been shown to cause skeletal abnormalities in rats when given at oral dose levels of 300 mg/kg (15 to 60 times the human
Other effects on the offspring (including decreased live births) are at dosages that cause significant toxicity in the parent generation and are 25 to 200 times the human dose. The skeletal effects are thought to be the result of the pharmacological effects of the drug on bone.

There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. Didronel (etidronate disodium) should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

3.4.2. NDA review

Etidronate was added to the diet of rats at 0.1 or 0.5% either continuously for 3 generations or days 6-15 of gestation. Pregnant rats were sacrificed on days 13 or 21 for teratology. Pups were examined for gross malformations and skeletal defects. Rabbits were dosed with etidronate at 25, 50 or 100 mg/kg/d via diet on pregnancy days 2-16 and sacrificed on day 29. A previous study in rabbits indicated that at a dose of 500 mg/kg/d via gavage, dams died after 4-5 days of dosing. Examination was similar as for rats. In these studies the frequency of malformations was not increased among the offspring of rats or rabbits. The results of the study were published (Nolen and Buehler, 1971). According to the authors, the dietary dose in rats was one-twelfth and one-third the LD50 dose (1.34 g/kg).

The results of this dietary study should be considered in light of the recommendation that bisphosphonates not be given with food (Physicians’ Desk Reference). The study appears to be the basis for the label recommendations. No maternal toxicity was observed in rats in the study, and no pharmacokinetic data were provided. The label for alendronate includes the following information on the effect of diet.

"A study examining the effect of timing of a meal on the bioavailability of alendronate was performed in 49 postmenopausal women. Bioavailability was decreased (by approximately 40%) when 10 mg alendronate was administered either 0.5 or 1 hour before a standardized breakfast, when compared to dosing 2 hours before eating. In studies of treatment and prevention of osteoporosis, alendronate was effective when administered at least 30 minutes before breakfast.

Bioavailability was negligible whether alendronate was administered with or up to two hours after a standardized breakfast. Concomitant administration of alendronate with coffee or orange juice reduced bioavailability by approximately 60%.”

3.4.3. Literature Review of Animal Studies

Mice were injected once ip at 200 mg etidronate/kg during days 7-11 of pregnancy and sacrificed on day 18. Fetal weight was significantly decreased in all treated groups when compared to control mice. No effect was observed on implantation, number of live fetuses, or maternal body weight (Sakiyama et al., 1985).

In another investigation, mice were treated as above and examined primarily for external anomalies. In this report, anomalies were classified as cranial or facial and further classified with regard to hemorrhage. The total incidence of fetal malformation and internal hemorrhage is

---

1 The exact source of these data are unclear. The data may be taken from Hirohashi et al., Reproduction studies of SM-5600 in rats. The Clinical Report 23: (4): 91-89, 1989. Data tables in English; text in Japanese.
presented in the table below. Sites of internal hemorrhage included the cranial suture; tip of the nose; eyelid; ear; mandibular; forehead; angle of mouth; nasal ala; tongue; and buccal region. Hemorrhage appeared at 1, 2, or 3 sites per fetus. Single cases of hemorrhage always appeared on the nose or eyelids. Types of malformation included exencephaly; cleft palate; and cleft lip. Cleft palate appeared in every treatment group. Cleft lips were seen in combination with cleft palate in one fetus on treatment days 7-9. Litter incidences were generally not reported, except the observation that malformations were concentrated in a single mother. In one example, a dam treated on day 7 had 7 of 13 fetuses with exencephaly (53.8%). In another example, 3 of 12 fetuses showed this finding. In a third dam treated on day 10, 3 of 16 fetuses (18.8%) showed this finding (Sakiyama et al., 1986).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>No. of implants</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Internal hemorrhage</th>
<th>Malformation</th>
<th>Internal hemorrhage + Malformation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 th</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>99 (41.1)</td>
<td>100 (41.5)</td>
<td>25 (10.4)</td>
<td>17 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 th</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>147 (59.0)</td>
<td>79 (31.7)</td>
<td>20 (8.0)</td>
<td>9 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 th</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>101 (42.2)</td>
<td>105 (44.1)</td>
<td>21 (8.8)</td>
<td>11 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 th</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>107 (43.7)</td>
<td>84 (34.3)</td>
<td>34 (13.9)</td>
<td>20 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 th</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>39 (16.1)</td>
<td>143 (59.1)</td>
<td>23 (9.5)</td>
<td>37 (15.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a review of the available literature, alterations in ossification were reported among the offspring of rats treated with 1.5-5 times the human dose of etidronate during pregnancy in one study. In another study reversible skeletal anomalies were seen at higher doses in offspring of pregnant rats treated with <1-75 times the human therapeutic dose (TERRIS, 1999).

3.5. Foscarnet

3.5.1. Current Labeling-US

FOSCAVIR did not adversely affect fertility and general reproductive performance in rats. The results of peri- and post-natal studies in rats were also negative. However, these studies used exposures that are inadequate to define the potential for impairment of fertility at human drug exposure levels.

Daily subcutaneous doses up to 75 mg/kg administered to female rats prior to and during mating, during gestation, and 21 days post-partum caused a slight increase (<5%) in the number of skeletal anomalies compared with the control group. Daily subcutaneous doses up to 75 mg/kg administered to rabbits and 150 mg/kg administered to rats during gestation caused an increase in the frequency of skeletal anomalies/variations. On the basis of estimated drug exposure (as measured by AUC), the 150 mg/kg dose in rats and 75 mg/kg dose in rabbits were approximately one-eighth (rat) and one-third (rabbit) the estimated maximal daily human exposure. These studies are inadequate to define the potential teratogenicity at levels to which women will be exposed.

There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. Because animal reproductive studies are not always predictive of human response, FOSCAVIR should be used during pregnancy only if clearly needed.
3.5.2. Literature Review of Animal Studies

Administration of foscarnet (phosphonoformic acid) to newborn rats \( n = 5 \) at 10 mg/kg sc at the age of 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10 or 15 days. The pups were sacrificed 24 hr after injection in order to examine effects on developing enamel of rat molars. Molars of rats injected at days 10 and 15 showed no changes. Foscarnet induced subameloblastic cysts after injection to 4-7 day old rats. The authors conclude that injected monophosphates can induce pathologic changes in the developing enamel organ and hypoplasias in the enamel (Caractsanis, 1989).

3.5.3. Literature Review of Human Case Reports

A 21-year-old pregnant female at 18 weeks’ gestation with a history of AIDS for 3 years and recurrent genital HSV infection was unresponsive to high dose oral acyclovir. The patient received foscarnet 40 mg/kg (2,920 mg) IV through a central venous catheter infused over 2 hours every 12 hours for 8 days (15 doses). The baby was born HIV negative and developed normally for the first year of follow-up. No adverse effects to the skeleton related to in utero exposure to foscarnet were seen (Alvarez-McLeod et al. 1999). In this report, 2 other cases involving foscarnet use in pregnancy are cited from Astra Pharmaceuticals’ surveillance data. An HIV-negative female with an intrauterine pregnancy of 32 weeks’ gestation who had acyclovir-resistant HSV encephalitis and retinitis was treated with foscarnet 60 mg/kg IV every 8 hours for 17 days. A healthy baby was delivered at term. In the second case, an HIV-positive female with an intrauterine pregnancy of 25 weeks’ gestation was treated with foscarnet 40 mg/kg IV every 8 hours beginning at week 29-30 of pregnancy; no further follow-up data are available.

A 29-year-old woman in week 22 of her first pregnancy presented with a recurrence of genital HSV-2 lesions. A 7-day course of intravenous foscarnet (40 mg/kg) three times daily caused complete clearing, and HSV cultures were negative 6 days after starting therapy. At 32 weeks’ gestation, she again tested positive for HSV-2. A second course of foscarnet was administered. Subsequent HSV cultures were negative, and the lesions cleared. After an emergency cesarean section during gestational week 39, the infant died from progressive respiratory failure from acute hemorrhagic pneumonia and hyaline membrane disease. No signs of HSV infection were present. The role of foscarnet in the death of the infant is unknown (Beasley et al. 1997).

3.7. Pamidronate
3.7.1. Current Labeling-US

There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. Bolus intravenous studies conducted in rats and rabbits determined that Aredia produces maternal toxicity and embryo/fetal effects when given during organogenesis at doses of 0.6 to 8.3 times the highest recommended human dose for a single intravenous infusion. As it has been shown that Aredia can cross the placenta in rats and has produced marked maternal and nonteratogenic embryo/fetal effects in rats and rabbits, it should not be given to women during pregnancy.

3.7.2. NDA review: no studies were reviewed in the NDA (20-036).

3.7.3. Literature Review of Animal Studies

Aside from the studies published by the sponsor (Graepel et al. 1992), no preclinical reproductive toxicity studies of pamidronate were found in the literature. The article describes Segment I-III oral studies in rats and Segment II studies in rabbits. Segment II studies by iv administration were also conducted in both species. Doses administered and fetal effects are summarized in Table 3 in Section 4 of this review. The authors conclude that there was no evidence of teratogenicity in any of the studies conducted. Renal effects in rats as noted in the table were considered by the authors not to be evidence of teratogenic potential but to be a consequence of the known nephrotoxic effect of pamidronate.

3.7.4. Literature Review of Human Case Reports

A 24 year old female with malignant hypercalcemia received 30 mg pamidronate over a 2 hour infusion 2 weeks before giving birth. Aside from transient hypocalcemia in the infant, no other adverse effects were reported (Dunlop et al., 1990). A second patient with metastatic breast cancer was given pamidronate in the third trimester with no adverse effects on the fetus reported (Illidge et al., 1996).

3.8. Risedronate

3.8.1 Current Labeling-US

Survival of neonates was decreased in rats treated during gestation with oral doses \( \geq 16 \text{ mg/kg/day} \) (approximately 5.2 times the 30 mg/day human dose based on surface area, \( \text{mg/m}^2 \)). Body weight was decreased in neonates from dams treated with 80 mg/kg (approximately 26 times the 30 mg/day human dose based on surface area, \( \text{mg/m}^2 \)). In rats treated during gestation, the number of fetuses exhibiting incomplete ossification of sternebrae or skull was statistically significantly increased at 7.1 mg/kg/day (approximately 2.3 times the 30 mg/day human dose based on surface area, \( \text{mg/m}^2 \)). Both incomplete ossification and unossified sternebrae were increased in rats treated with oral doses \( \geq 16 \text{ mg/kg/day} \) (approximately 5.2 times the 30 mg/day human dose based on surface area, \( \text{mg/m}^2 \)). A low incidence of cleft palate was observed in fetuses from female rats treated with oral doses \( \geq 3.2 \text{ mg/kg/day} \) (approximately 1 time the 30 mg/day human dose based on surface area, \( \text{mg/m}^2 \)). The relevance of this finding to human use of ACTONEL is unclear. No significant fetal ossification effects were seen in rabbits treated with oral doses up to 10 mg/kg/day during gestation (approximately 6.7 times the 30 mg/day human dose based on surface area, \( \text{mg/m}^2 \)). However, in rabbits treated with 10 mg/kg/day, 1 of 14 litters were aborted and 1 of 14 litters were delivered prematurely.
Similar to other bisphosphonates, treatment during mating and gestation with doses as low as 3.2 mg/kg/day (approximately 1 time the 30 mg/day human dose based on surface area, mg/m²) has resulted in periparturient hypocalcemia and mortality in pregnant rats allowed to deliver.

There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of ACTONEL in pregnant women. ACTONEL should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the mother and fetus.

3.8.2. Literature Review of Animal Studies

No preclinical reports were found in the literature.

3.9. Tiludronate


In a teratology study in rabbits dosed during days 6-18 of gestation at 42 mg/kg/day and 130 mg/kg/day (2 and 5 times the 400 mg/day human dose based on body surface area, mg/m²), there was dose-related scoliosis likely attributable to the pharmacologic properties of the drug.

Mice receiving 375 mg/kg/day tiludronic acid (7 times the 400 mg/day human dose based on body surface area, mg/m²) for days 6-15 of gestation showed slight maternal toxicity (decreased body weight gain), increased postimplantation loss, decreased number of fetuses/dam, and decreased fetal body weight. Uncommon malformations of the paw (shortened or missing digits, blood blisters between or in place of digits) were present in six fetuses at 375 mg/kg/day, all from the same litter.

Maternal toxicity (decreased body weight) was also observed in a teratology study in rats dosed during days 6-18 of gestation at 375 mg/kg/day tiludronic acid (10 times the 400 mg/day human dose based on body surface area, mg/m²). There were reduced percent implantations, increased postimplantation loss, and increased intra-uterine deaths in the rats. There were no teratogenic effects on fetuses.

Protracted parturition and maternal death, presumably due to hypocalcemia, occurred at 75 mg/kg/day tiludronic acid (two times the 400 mg/day human dose based on body surface area, mg/m²) when rats were treated from day 15 of gestation to day 25 postpartum.

There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. SKELID should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

3.9.2. Literature Review of Animal Studies

No preclinical reports were found in the literature.

3.10. Zoledronate


In female rats given subcutaneous doses of zoledronic acid of 0.01, 0.03, or 0.1 mg/kg/day beginning 15 days before mating and continuing through gestation, the number of stillbirths was increased and survival of neonates was decreased in the mid- and high-dose groups (0.2 times the human systemic exposure following an intravenous dose of 4 mg, based on an AUC comparison). Adverse maternal effects were observed in all dose groups (with a systemic exposure of ≈0.07 times the human systemic
exposure following an intravenous dose of 4 mg, based on an AUC comparison) and included dystocia and periparturient mortality in pregnant rats allowed to deliver. Maternal mortality may have been related to drug-induced inhibition of skeletal calcium mobilization, resulting in periparturient hypocalcemia. This appears to be a bisphosphonate class effect.

In pregnant rats given a subcutaneous dose of zoledronic acid of 0.1, 0.2, or 0.4 mg/kg/day during gestation, adverse fetal effects were observed in the mid- and high-dose groups (with systemic exposures of 2.4 and 4.8 times, respectively, the human systemic exposure following an intravenous dose of 4 mg, based on an AUC comparison). These adverse effects included increases in pre- and post-implantation losses, decreases in viable fetuses, and fetal skeletal, visceral, and external malformations. Fetal skeletal effects observed in the high-dose group included unossified or incompletely ossified bones, thickened, curved or shortened bones, wavy ribs, and shortened jaw. Other adverse fetal effects observed in the high-dose group included reduced lens, rudimentary cerebellum, reduction or absence of liver lobes, reduction of lung lobes, vessel dilation, cleft palate, and edema. Skeletal variations were also observed in the low-dose group (with systemic exposure of 1.2 times the human systemic exposure following an intravenous dose of 4 mg, based on an AUC comparison). Signs of maternal toxicity were observed in the high-dose group and included reduced body weights and food consumption.

In pregnant rabbits given subcutaneous doses of zoledronic acid of 0.01, 0.03, or 0.1 mg/kg/day during gestation (0.5 times the human intravenous dose of 4 mg, based on a comparison of relative body surface areas), no adverse fetal effects were observed. Maternal mortality and abortion occurred in all treatment groups (at doses =0.05 times the human intravenous dose of 4 mg, based on a comparison of relative body surface areas). Adverse maternal effects were associated with, and may have been caused by, drug-induced hypocalcemia.

There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. Zometa should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

3.10.2. Literature Review of Animal Studies

No preclinical reports were found in the literature.
4. Summary

Table 3 contains a summary of the reported effects of the bisphosphonates and foscarnet in animals. This information is based on the drug labels and published reports. Adverse effects primarily include skeletal anomalies, cleft palate, delays in parturition, hypocalcemia, dental and renal abnormalities, decreased implantations and fetal death.

Table 3. Summary of bisphosphonate effects in developmental toxicity studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>alendronate</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>0.1 mg/kg sc</td>
<td>Decreased diaphyseal length, increased diaphyseal bone volume and decreased bone marrow volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td>5, 10, 25 mkd po</td>
<td>5 mkd: incomplete ossification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td>5, 10, 15 mkd po</td>
<td>5 mkd: maternal death; dead full-term pups; hypocalcemia; 10 mkd: delay and failure of delivery; death of dam; fetal death</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td>1, 2, 5 mkd po</td>
<td>1 mkd: decreased pup weight gain; 2 mkd: decreased post implantation survival; 5 mkd: increase in supernumerary ribs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td>0.5, 1.25, 5 mkd po</td>
<td>0 mkd: protracted parturition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 2.5 mkd</td>
<td>0 mkd: incisor deformation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>3.5, 10, 35 mkd po</td>
<td>35 mkd: possible skeletal malformation/variation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etidronate</td>
<td>mice</td>
<td>200 mg/kg ip single injection</td>
<td>Exencephalia, internal hemorrhage in the cranial suture region, nose and eyelid, cleft palate and cleft lip, dental abnormalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td>300 mg/kg oral</td>
<td>300 mg/kg: skeletal abnormalities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td>0.1, 0.5% diet</td>
<td>No fetal effects (see text for comment)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>25, 50, 100 mkd diet</td>
<td>No fetal effects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foscarnet</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>75, 150 mg/kg sc</td>
<td>Studies inadequate to address teratogenicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>75 mg/kg sc</td>
<td>No fetal toxicity at any dose in Seg II study; 60 mkd: ↓ mean number live pups, pup viability; 150 mkd: delay or prolongation in parturition; skeletal maturation and ossification delayed; increased renal cavitation (LD and HD only)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pamidronate</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>25, 60, 150 mkd po</td>
<td>No maternal toxicity in all groups sacrificed in distress or for humane reasons (hypocalcemia suspected); 1 mkd: displaced testes; nasal cavity dilated 3 mkd: renal pelvic cavitation; kinked ureter; dilated ureter; displaced testes; fetal hematoma; placental hemorrhage; 6 mkd: subcutaneous hemorrhage outer surface of cerebellum; tarsal flexure; extra cleft in median lobe of liver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>12.5, 25, 50 po</td>
<td>No maternal or fetal effects.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>0.25, 0.75, 1.5 mkd iv</td>
<td>1.5 mkd: Increased intrauterine deaths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Dose</td>
<td>Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risedronate</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>3.2, 16, 80 mg po</td>
<td>3.2 mg: cleft palate, periparturient hypocalcemia and mortality of mothers; 16 mg: decreased survival of neonates, incomplete ossification and unossified sternebrae; 80 mg: Decreased body weight of neonates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>10 mg po</td>
<td>Incomplete ossification of sternebrae or skull</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>375 mg</td>
<td>Decreased maternal and fetal weight gain, increased postimplantation loss, decreased number of fetuses, shortened or missing digits, blood blisters between or in place of digits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>375 mg/kg/d</td>
<td>No teratogenic effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>42, 130 mg</td>
<td>Protracted parturition and maternal death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoledronate</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>0.1, 0.2, 0.4 mg sc</td>
<td>0.1 mg: skeletal variations; 0.2 mg: pre and post implantation losses, decreased viable fetuses, fetal skeletal, visceral and external malformation; 0.4 mg: skeletal anomalies, reduced lens, rudimentary cerebellum, reduction or absence of liver lobes, reduction of lung lobes, vessel dilation, cleft palate and edema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>0.01, 0.03, 0.1 mg sc</td>
<td>0.01 mg: maternal mortality and abortion; no fetal effects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
References


APPENDIX I

ZOLEDRONIC ACID

Segment II (Teratology) Study in Rats
Study No: 9355089
Site and testing facility: CIBA Pharmaceuticals, Preclinical Safety, Stamford Lodge, Cheshire, UK
GLP compliance: Yes
QA- Reports: Yes
Lot and batch numbers: 16/015/1
Protocol reviewed by Division: No

Methods:
- Species/strain: Sprague-Dawley rats/Hsd/Ola
- Doses employed: 0.1, 0.2, and 0.4 mg/kg/day. These doses were selected based on the range finding study in pregnant rats at doses of 0.2, 0.6, and 2 mg/kg.
- Route of Administration: Subcutaneous injection.
- Study Design:
  Mated female rats were dosed once daily at 1 ml/kg from day 6 to day 15 of gestation and sacrificed on day 20 of gestation.
- Number of animals/sex/dosing group: 24 mated females
- Parameters and endpoints evaluated:
  In-life examinations: Dam (F0) mortality, clinical signs, food consumption, body weight.
  Necropsy examinations: numbers of corpora lutea, implantation sites, and resorption.
  F1, weight, sex, external observation, visceral and skeletal evaluation.
- Statistical evaluations:
  DART program was used to evaluate body weight and food consumption, organ weights, and reproductive parameters. Only animals with a status of pregnant to term with live young were included.

Results:
- Clinical signs:
  Moderate to marked skin thickening at the injection sites was noted at doses ≥ 0.2 mg/kg.
  - Mortality: 1 control animal littered on gestation day 15 and was sacrificed. All other animals were sacrificed on day 20 of gestation as scheduled.
  - Pregnancy status:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>1 Control</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dosage (mg/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of dams</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number pregnant to term with viable young</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number pregnant to term with resorptions only</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number killed, littered</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number not pregnant</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Body weight:
  Body weight and body weight gain were decreased at doses ≥ 0.2 mg/kg from gestation day 10-16. The reduction persisted after cessation of drug treatment on gestation Day 16. The effect on both body weight and weight gain was statistically significant from gestation Day 10 at 0.4 mg/kg/day, and from gestation day 16 at doses ≥ 0.2 mg/kg/day.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day of Gestation</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dose (mg/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-6</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-16</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-20</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05
** p < 0.01
*** p < 0.001

- Food consumption:
Food consumption was decreased at doses ≥ 0.2 mg/kg from gestation day 10, and persisted after cessation of treatment. The effect was statistically significant from gestation day 10 at 0.4 mg/kg/day, and from gestation day 16 at doses ≥ 0.2 mg/kg/day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day of Gestation</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dose (mg/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-6</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-16</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05
** p < 0.01
*** p < 0.001

- Embryo-fetal Development
- Dams: Increase in pre-implantation loss at 0.4 mg/kg (drug-related?). Increase in postimplantation loss and in number of late resorptions at 0.4 mg/kg. Decrease in number of implantations and decrease in viable fetuses at 0.4 mg/kg.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosage (mg/kg)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Corpora Lutea</td>
<td>Mean 17.06</td>
<td>16.96</td>
<td>15.45 *</td>
<td>16.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 2.59</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 17.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Implantations</td>
<td>Mean 13.82</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>13.05</td>
<td>11.57 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 2.30</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Resorptions</td>
<td>Mean 0.59</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 1.29</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Resorptions</td>
<td>Mean 0.06</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>7.14 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 0.24</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Resorptions</td>
<td>Mean 0.65</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>7.86 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 1.27</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>5.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Viable Fetuses</td>
<td>Mean 13.18</td>
<td>12.63</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>3.71 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 2.48</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Implantation Loss (%)</td>
<td>Mean 18.49</td>
<td>18.08</td>
<td>15.06</td>
<td>28.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 11.04</td>
<td>15.92</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>24.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Implantation Loss (%)</td>
<td>Mean 4.53</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>64.82 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 8.56</td>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>30.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05  
** p < 0.01  
*** p < 0.001  

- Offspring: Decrease in fetal body weight at doses ≥ 2 mg/kg.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosage (mg/kg)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Litter Mean</td>
<td>Mean 3.51</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3.33 **</td>
<td>2.20 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal Weight (g)</td>
<td>SD 0.19</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Fetal Weight of Male Fetuses (g)</td>
<td>Mean 3.59</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>3.45 *</td>
<td>2.14 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 0.24</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Fetal Weight of Female Fetuses (g)</td>
<td>Mean 3.43</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.20 **</td>
<td>2.25 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 0.22</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of Male Fetuses (%)</td>
<td>Mean 49.03</td>
<td>46.74</td>
<td>53.75</td>
<td>65.81 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 14.97</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td>38.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The high male proportion at 0.4 mg/kg is a consequence of the number of litters with only one male fetus.

* p < 0.05  
** p < 0.01  
*** p < 0.001
MALFORMATIONS

A treatment-related increase in external, visceral and skeletal malformations, and in visceral and skeletal variations was noted at doses of 0.2 and 0.4 mg/kg/day. Malformations are summarized in the next table (% by litter, i.e., % of dams affected, or, maternal incidence). Most malformations occurred only in the 0.4 mg/kg group, but some were also seen at 0.2 mg/kg.

Malformations (%) by litter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>64.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shortened lower jaw</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleft palate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oedematous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>60.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lens reduced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum rudimentary</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate lobe of liver reduced by 50%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate lobe of liver absent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left and median lobes of liver reduced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney reduced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenals enlarged</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All lobes of lung reduced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aorta dilated</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right subclavian artery and pulmonary trunk dilated</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>7.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interparietal — not ossified</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital — not ossified</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib — wavy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib thickened</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scapula curved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scapula shortened</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clavicle curved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humerus shortened</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humerus thickened</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humerus curved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius curved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius shortened</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulna thickened</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulna curved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulna shortened</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur curved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur shortened</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia shortened</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibula shortened</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of litters with a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malformation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variations

External variations are summarized in the next table (% by litter, i.e., maternal incidence). One variation appeared to occur in all dose groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>External variations (%) by litter</th>
<th>Dose group</th>
<th>control</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hemorrhagic placenta (hp)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visceral variations are summarized in the next table (% by litter, i.e., maternal incidence). Most variations occurred only in the 0.4 mg/kg group, but one appeared to occur with increased incidence in the 0.2 and 0.4 mg/kg groups (ventricular walls reduced in thickness). The numerical incidence of the one abnormality in the 0.1 mg/kg group (atria enlarged) was only 1 fetus in 1 litter and was therefore deemed not biologically significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visceral variations (%) by litter</th>
<th>Dose group</th>
<th>control</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>posterior lobe of lung</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reduced by 30% (pl)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dilated lateral ventricle (dl)</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reduced thickness of ventricular walls (tw)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>37.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>submaxillary glands reduced by 50% (sr)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atria enlarged (ae)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>left ventricle displaced</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>posteriorly (vd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*statistically significant effect

Skeletal variations were increased in the 0.4 mg/kg group, and consisted of non-ossified bones and incomplete ossification of a variety of bones, thickened and shortened bones, and reduced numbers of bones (e.g. sacrals). The maternal incidence of skeletal variations in the 0.4 mg/kg group ranged from 7-100%. Some of the variations (incomplete ossification of several bones) were also increased in the 0.2 mg/kg group, usually to a lesser degree, with an incidence ranging from
4.6% to 64%. A few variations were increased in the 0.1 mg/kg group, i.e., incompletely ossification of occipital and pubic bones, with relatively low incidence. The skeletal malformations and variations indicated retarded development and were in agreement with the reduced fetal weights.

**Summary Of All Malformations And Variations With Increased Incidence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Gestation Day</th>
<th>Effect (as compared to control):</th>
<th>External abnormalities</th>
<th>Visceral abnormalities</th>
<th>Skeletal abnormalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Food consumption</td>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>Body weight gain</td>
<td>MALF: None treatment-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>GD 10</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>MALF: None treatment-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GD 16</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>VAR: hp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>GD 10</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>+8%</td>
<td>MALF: None treatment-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GD 16</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td>VAR: hp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>GD 10</td>
<td>-15%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>+23%</td>
<td>MALF: sj, cp, od</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GD 16</td>
<td>-23%</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td>-40%</td>
<td>VAR: hp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:**

**External:**
MALF: sj shortened jaw, cp cleft palate, od oedematous
VAR: hp placenta hemorrhagic

**Visceral:**
MALF: Ir lens reduced, cr cerebellum rudimentary, cl caudate liver lobe reduced by 50%, ll all lobes of lung reduced, ca caudate liver lobe absent, lm left + median liver lobes reduced, kr kidney reduced by 25%, ae adrenals enlarged, ad aorta dilated, ab artery dilated
VAR: dl dilated lateral ventricle, tw reduced thickness of ventricle walls, sr submax glands reduced by 50%, ea atria enlarged, vd left ventricle displaced posteriorly

**Skeletal:**
MALF: ni interparietal not ossified, no occipital not ossified, wr wavy rib, br rib thickened, sb scapula curved, ss scapula shortened, cc clavicle curved, hs humerus shortened, ht humerus thickened, hc humerus curved, rb radius curved, ri radius shortened, ut ulna thickened, uc ulna curved, us ulna shortened, tc femur curved, fs femur shortened, tl tibia shortened, ft fibula shortened
VAR: incompletely ossification of: n nasal bone, f frontal bone, p parietal, l interparietal, o occipital, sq squamosal, ol basioccipital, tb tympanic bulla, po cranial, pv vertebral arch, pc, centra, sc scapula, cv clavicle, pb pubic bone, is ischium bone, hi humerus, ui ulna, mt metatarsal; wf widened anterior fontanelle; no ossification of: nv vertebral arch, nc centra, oc caudalis, ts sterna (≥3), pb pubic bone, mp metacarpal, nm metatarsal; rs sacral reduced in number, rc caudalis reduced in number.

**Discussion:**

Maternal toxicity and teratogenic effects

Maternal effects on food consumption and body weight occurred concomitant with fetal abnormalities, mainly at 0.4 mg/kg. The Sponsor hypothesized that the test compound might reduce plasma calcium levels, which would lead to the observed maternal toxicity and fetal abnormalities. Sponsor concluded that the teratogenicity was drug-related and not a consequence of maternal toxicity.

In this Reviewer's opinion the hypothesis about hypocalcemia is not supported by data. Results from a 10-day and a 1-month s.c. rat toxicity study (doses 0, 0.2, 0.6, 2 mg/kg/day, and doses 0, 0.02, 0.06, 0.2 mg/kg/day, respectively) did not show reductions in total plasma calcium levels in females up to dose levels of 0.6 and 0.2 mg/kg/day, respectively. However, these data do not give any information on ionized calcium levels.

As shown in the Summary table above, one external and a few skeletal variations were seen at 0.1 mg/kg, one external, a few visceral and several skeletal malformations and variations were seen at 0.2 mg/kg, and a few external, several visceral and several skeletal malformations and variations
were seen at 0.4 mg/kg. The number of different abnormalities, and their litter and fetal incidence was dose-related. Most abnormalities were skeletal malformations and variations (no ossification or incomplete ossification of various bones, and thickened, curved, or shortened bones). In the opinion of this Reviewer, the malformations and variations at 0.1 and 0.2 mg/kg were not related to maternal toxicity, since body weight and food consumption parameters were reduced by less than 10% during the dosing, i.e., the organogenesis period. The malformations and variations at 0.4 mg/kg, however, were possibly also related to maternal toxicity evidenced by reduced body weight and food consumption during the dosing period.

The skeletal abnormalities may be caused by the pharmacological action of the test compound, i.e., inhibition of bone resorption. The compound is likely to cross the placental barrier and bind to fetal bone where it can inhibit osteoclastic bone resorption and interfere with bone (re)modeling. The cause of the external and visceral abnormalities is unclear. Distribution studies in the rat have shown accumulation of the test compound not only in bone but also in soft tissues, and the presence of the compound in fetal tissues and its affinity for calcium may be related to the observed teratogenicity. Again, at the high dose of 0.4 mg/kg, maternal toxicity may also play a role in the occurrence of these abnormalities.

Conclusions:
CGP 42446 was administered to rats subcutaneously at doses of 0.1, 0.2 and 0.4 mg/kg during gestation day 6 to day 20.

F<sub>2</sub> females
Maternal toxicity was indicated by a decreased food consumption and body weight gain at doses ≥ 0.2 mg/kg. At 0.2 mg/kg these effects were minimal and/or not statistically significant until after Gestation Day 16. At 0.4 mg/kg these effects were slight to moderate and statistically significant from Gestation Day 10. Increases in pre- and post-implantation loss and in late resorptions, and decreases in number of implantations and viable fetuses were noted at 0.4 mg/kg.

F<sub>2</sub> offspring
Body weight was decreased at doses ≥ 0.2 mg/kg. Some variations were noted at external and skeletal examinations at 0.1 mg/kg. Several malformations and variations were noted at external, visceral and skeletal examinations at 0.2 and 0.4 mg/kg. At 0.1 and 0.2 mg/kg, these abnormalities, including poor skeletal ossification, were most likely due to a fetal effect of the drug, while at 0.4 mg/kg they were possibly also due to maternal toxicity.

Based on this study, CGP 42 446 was teratogenic in the rat at doses ≥ 0.2 mg/kg.
APPENDIX II

RISEDRONATE SODIUM

Segment II study rat (C4A/B)
Doses  0  3.2  16  80 mkd (Females treated on Gest Days 6-17; C-section on Gest Day 20)
Examined  F0, F1, F2

Effects in F0:
Decreased BW gain (-75%) in HD (Day 6-9), and decreased BW gain in MD and HD (-4%) on Day 15.
  Decreased FC (5-15%) in MD and HD during period Day 9-17.
Drug-related periparturient mortality in F0 allowed to deliver. One death of 1 F0 animal during lactation.

Reproductive effects in F0:
Fertility index (% f gravid) reduced in HD (81% vs. 94% in control).
No abortions/early delivery.
No effects on # of corpora lutea/implantations/resorptions in gravid animal
Reduced number of litters (11-10-8-4) mostly due to periparturient mortality.

Effects in F1:
1. C-sectioned animals
Fetal BW decreased in HD (C-section group)
No significant effects on incidence of soft tissue/ skeletal/ external malformations (However, 2 fetuses from 2/22 litters with cleft palate in LD group).
Skeletal variations:
  Incidence of unossified 5th and 6th sternebrae: increased in HD
  Incidence of incompletely ossified 5th sternebrae: decreased in HD
  Incidence of incompletely ossified 4th sternebrae: increased in MD and HD
  Incidence of incomplete skull ossification: decreased in LD (sign), increased in HD (ns)

2. Delivering animals
(Note: The small # of HD litters make the F1 findings from litters delivered questionable)
Neonates:
  BW of neonates increased in LD, MD, decreased in HD group
  Reduced # of neonates (< pp day 4)in MD (?) and HD groups, due to (A) less litters and (B) reduced # viable pups/litter in MD, HD
  Reduced survival of neonates from pp day 4-21 in MD, HD
  Pups from MD and HD dams had clinical signs. In HD group pups were missing (cannibalized). After weaning on pp day 21 all HD pups died or were euthanized.
  Time to pinna detachment: increased in HD; Time to incisor eruption increased in MD and HD (2x in HD).

Reproductive effects in F1:
All HD neonates dead before they could be mated: no results on this group.
Fertility reduced in LD- and MD-derived F1 (90% in control, 70% in LD and MD)
Number of fetuses/litter and birth weight not affected by treatment (in LD and MD)

Maternal NOAEL 3.2 mkd (systemic tox at higher dose: BW/FC)
Developmental NOAEL 3.2 mkd
F1 maternal NOAEL not determined (< 3.2 mkd)
Reproduction and Teratology Studies

A. Rats

1. Three generations of rats (22/s/group) were fed diets containing 0, 0.1 or 0.5% of EHDP continuously from time of weaning, or 0, 0.1 or 0.5% of EHDP on days 6-15 of gestation only. Rats (F₀ generation) were bred three times. Twenty pairs of f16 generation naturally born were selected for the second generation breeding stock and bred twice. F₁a and F₁b generations naturally born were sacrificed at weaning and F₁c and F₂b generations were surgically removed from uterus on day 13 and 21 of gestation to evaluate teratogenic effects.

Results

a. There was a significant reduction in the number of live pups born to dams fed 0.5% EHDP during organogenesis in the F₁a phase and an increase in the number of still born in the F₁b litters.

b. In the second generation females, formation of corpora lutea in dams fed 0.5% EHDP continuously, and the number of live fetus born to mothers treated with 0.5% EHDP during gestation were decreased.

2. Rats (20f/group) were fed diets containing 0 or 1% EHDP on days 6-15 of gestation. The parent rats (F₀ generation) were bred twice. Pups of the F₁a generation were sacrificed at weaning, and those of the F₁b generation were surgically removed from uterus on day 13 or 21 of gestation. Both groups were evaluated for teratogenic effects.

Results

EHDP fed at 1% dietary level on days 6-15 of gestation had no effect on maternal clinical findings, reproduction and teratogenic parameters.
REPRODUCTIVE TOXICITY

ORAL RANGE-FINDING IN NON-PREGNANT RABBITS (VOL 11)
TT #88-722-2
TREATMENT: Six groups of female rabbits were given for 13 days orally by gavage in 0.5% methylcellulose, 0, 1.5, 5, 15, 50, or 150 mld.
RESULTS: There was mortality at 50 and 150 mld. These groups were killed early; there were no findings in other groups.

ORAL RANGE-FINDING IN PREGNANT RABBITS (VOL 11)
TT #89-701-1. January 1989. Merck, West Point, PA
Lot: #008
TREATMENT: Four groups of New Zealand White rabbits (8 months old; 10/g) were given 0, 4, 10, or 25 mld orally by gavage in deionized water, days 6 through 18 of gestation. Rabbits were ovulated with 25 USP units of HCG iv and artificially inseminated.
RESULTS
MORTALITY: none
BW/FC/PHYSICAL SIGNS/HEMATOLOGY/SERUM BIOCHEMISTRY: no effects
EMBRYO SURVIVAL/LIVE FETAL WT/EXTERNAL FETAL EXAM: no effects

ORAL RANGE-FINDING IN PREGNANT RABBITS (VOL 11)
TT #89-701-2. February 1989. Merck, West Point, PA
Lot: #008
TREATMENT: Four groups of New Zealand White rabbits (6 months old; 10/g) were given 0, 35, 50, or 75 mld orally by gavage in deionized water, days 6 through 18 of gestation. Rabbits were ovulated with 25 USP units of HCG iv and artificially inseminated.
RESULTS
MORTALITY: 75 mld group killed due to excessive wt loss
BW/FC: Wt loss MD (vs gain control)
PHYSICAL SIGNS: decreased water consumption M and HD
HEMATOLOGY: no effects

APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL
SERUM BIOCHEMISTRY (day 19 of gestation):
- Urea nitrogen: 13.5, 14.9, 17.3, [22 (day 16)]
- Creatinine: 1.1, 1.2, 1.5, [1.7 (* *)]
- Protein: 5.0, 4.6*, 4.5* 
- Albumin: 3.7, 3/3*, 3.2* [2.6]
- A/G: 2.9, 2.7, 2.5* [2.1]
- Calcium: 13.6, 12.9*, 12.7* [10.7]
- Phosphorus: 4.3, 3.9, 4.1 [3.8]
- Potassium: 4.0, 3.9, 3.4* [3.4]

EMBRYO SURVIVAL: no effects
LIVE FETAL WT: decreased M and HD (13%; p<0.05)
EXTERNAL FETAL EXAM: one MD fetus had enlarged hemorrhagic eye
"Maternotoxicity at all doses" p. c-229

EXPLORATORY URINE DRUG LEVEL IN PREGNANT RABBITS (day 8)
TT #89-701-3 February 1989, "Orck, West Point, PA
Lot: #008
TREATMENT: Pregnant rabbits (4/g) were given doses of 0, 5, 35,
and 75 mkd by gavage in deionized water on day 8 of gestation. A
catheter was inserted into the bladder and urine collected 30 min
prior to dosing and 0-3 and 3-6 hours after. No food or water
were available, but 100 ml water was intubated 30 min before and
3 hours post dose. Samples were frozen; later thawed, acidified
to pH 1, and analyzed.

EXCRETION (0-6 HOURS POSTDOSE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOSE (mg/kg)</th>
<th>PER CENT RECOVERY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0-6 h)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 30</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 300</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 800</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORAL DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY STUDY IN RABBITS
TT #89-701-0, April 1989. Merck, West Point, PA Lot: 008
TREATMENT: Four groups of to New Zealand White rabbits (6 months
old; 18/g (ovulated with 25 USP units of HCG iv and artificially
inseminated) were given 0, 3.5, 10, or 35 mkd orally by gavage
in deionized water, days 6 through 18 of gestation. Rabbits
were sacrificed day 28 of gestation. Thorax and viscera of dams
examined in gross necropsy.
ORAL DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY STUDY IN RABBITS (0, 3.5, 10, 35 mEq)

RESULTS

MORTALITY: Two "treatment-related" at 35 mEq (one non-pregnant and one pregnant); both ate very little and lost weight.

NECROPSY (rabbits that died):
#0327: LUNGS: Generalized congestion, edema, red discoloration
histology: diffuse congestion
#0334: STOMACH: edematous and hemorrhagic with surface erosions
"(erosive gastritis = COD)"

ABORTIONS: One (control) and 3 (3.5 mEq)

BODY WEIGHT/FOOD CONSUMPTION:
- BW: wt gain decreased at 35 mEq (pregnant) with compensatory
  gain postdose days 19-28
- wt loss at 35 mEq (non-pregnant)
- FC (day 19): 135; 140, 121, 121 g/day (other days similar)

LAPAROTOMY DATA
- LIVE/PREGNANT: 14 15 15 10
- LIVE/NOT PREGNANT: 3 0 3 6
- DEAD NOT PREGNANT: 0 0 0 1
- DEAD PREGNANT: 0 0 0 1
- LIVE FETUSES: 98 95 106 66
- IMPLANTS/PREGNANT FEMALE: 7.9 7.4 7.4 7.0
- % PREIMPLANTATION LOSS: 15 32 25 25
- LIVE FETUSES/PREGNANT FEMALE 7.0 6.3 7.1 6.6

NO EFFECTS ON: fetal wt

FETAL EXAM
EXTERNAL
- 1/15 litter with malformations (MD) 7% spina bifida
- 1/15 litter with variations (LD) 7% hematoma

VISCERAL: no drug-related effects

SKELETAL (per litter): 14; 15, 15, 10 litters
- Caudal vertebra malformation 1; 2, 0, 3 (7;13,0,30%)
- Sternebral malformation 0; 0, 0, 1 (0; 0,0,10%)
- Sternebral variation 0; 0, 0, 1 (0; 0,0,10%)

FETAL OSSIFICATION
- Fetuses examined 98 95 106 66
- % with incomplete oss. 32 31 36 35

APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL
BEST POSSIBLE COPY

ORAL RANGE-FINDING STUDY IN PREGNANT RATS
TT #89-702-1. January 1989. Merck, West Point, PA
Lot #: L-670,452-0057008

TREATMENT: Six groups of Sprague-Dawley CD rats (10 weeks old; 10/g) were given by gavage in deionized water, 0, 0.5, 1.5, 5.0, 10, and 25 mg/kg/day days 6 through 17 of gestation. Females were sacrificed on day 20 of gestation.

RESULTS
MORTALITY: none
ABORTION: none
PHYSICAL SIGNS: none treatment-related
BODY WEIGHT CHANGES (days 6-18):
18% gain (25 mkg) vs 29% (controls) (4/9 transient wt loss)
HEMATOLOGY: no drug effects
SERUM BIOCHEMISTRY (*p<0.05):
Phosphorous: 6.0, 6.0, 6.1, 6.5, 6.9*, 7.4* mg/dl
ALT: 48, 53, 54, 55, 54, 59* I.U.
EMBRYO SURVIVAL (*p<0.05)
implants/pregnant female: 17% decrease (25 mkg)
live fetuses:* = 16% decrease (25 mkg)
LIVE FETAL WEIGHT: 7% decrease (not significant at 25 mkg)
3.3 g vs 3.5 g for rest

EXTERNAL EXAM FETUSES: no drug effects

ORAL DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY IN PREGNANT RATS (VOL. 12)
TT #89 702-0. March 1989. Merck, West Point, PA
Lot #: L-670,452-005Y008

TREATMENT: Six groups of Sprague-Dawley CD rats (12 weeks old; 25/g) were given by gavage in deionized water, 0, 5, 10, and 25 mg/kg/day days 6 through 17 of gestation. Females were sacrificed on day 20 of gestation. The 5 mkg group dosing solution was 57% of expected on the second sampling (week two). All fetuses were examined externally and skeletal; 1/3rd examined visceral.

RESULTS
MORTALITY: none
ABORTION: none
PHYSICAL SIGNS: males day 15 gestation (25 mkg)
BODY WEIGHT GAIN: decreased about 9% M and HD
FOOD CONSUMPTION: decreased M and HD (10 and 15%)
MATERNAL NECROPSY:
2 controls: lung mottled/red (pneumonia)
1 control: lung autolysed
1 HD: lung mottled, edema
1 HD: distended intestines "tx related"

LAPAROTOMY DATA (*p<0.05)
live fetal weight (g; males) 3.6, 3.5, 3.6, 3.4*
(g; females) 3.4, 3.4, 3.5, 3.2

NOT SIGNIFICANT ACCORDING TO MEANS BUT CLEAR TREND:
% preimplantation loss 5.7, 7.3, 7.5, 10.5

EXTERNAL EXAM
25; 2, 4, 4, 25 litters
litters with malformations 0; 0, 1, 1

VISCERAL EXAM
litters with malformations 0; 1, 0, 2

SKELETONAL EXAM

SUMMARY OF FETAL OSSIFICATION DATA (litter)
Control 5 10 25 mkd

# LITTERS EXAMINED 25 24 25

% WITH SITES OF INCOMPLETE OSSIFICATION
7 11 (p=0.175) 23 (p=0.032) 14 (p=0.016)

SITES OF INCOMPLETE OSSIFICATION p value
INCOMP. OSS. CERVICAL VERTEBRA 11 not provided
0 2 1
INCOMP. OSS. THORACIC VERTEBRA 9
1 1 6
INCOMP. OSS. LUMBAR VERTEBRA 5
0 1 0
INCOMP. OSS. SKULL BONE 9
1 4 1
INCOMP. OSS. RIB 3
0 3 2
INCOMP. OSS. STRAVERBA 37 p<0.05 trend
7 10 21
INCOMP. OSS. PELVIC BONE 18 N.S. trend
2 15 5
Background

On Thursday, December 13, 2001 the Pharmacology/Toxicology Reproductive Toxicology Committee convened to consider the reproductive toxicity of bisphosphonates. In attendance:

Karen Davis-Bruno (nonmember), Amy Ellis, Jim Farrelly, Ed Fisher (e-mail), Robin Huff (e-mail), Raheja Krishan, Gemma Kuijpers (nonmember), John Leighton (nonmember), David Morse (chair), Tom Papoian (nonmember), Suzanne Thornton, (nonmember), Josie Yang, and Ita Yuen.

Bisphosphonates are a group of compounds that are currently indicated for the treatment of osteoporosis, Paget’s disease, osteolytic bone metastases and hypercalcemia of malignancy. Bisphosphonates have a common phosphonate-carbon-phosphate structure; newer bisphosphonates also contain one or more nitrogens. Bisphosphonates have a special affinity for the bone matrix and are characterized by a long plasma half-life that may reflect slow depletion from this pool.

Conclusions:

The Committee, at the request of the Division of Oncology Drug Products (DODP), examined the potential reproductive toxicity of the class of bisphosphonates (and related agents) based on NDA reviews and published literature. These data were summarized in a memorandum prepared by John Leighton (DODP). There are currently six bisphosphonates approved in the United States and two additional compounds under review (one NDA, one IND). The Committee concluded from the available data that several findings from the reproductive toxicity studies were common among the bisphosphates, including skeletal malformations and an increase in pre and post implantation losses. The Committee concluded that these findings were likely related to the mechanism of action of the bisphosphonates and that this mechanism was common with the mode of therapeutic effect. The Committee further concluded that sufficient information may exist to indicate that there are reproductive toxicities in animals that are associated with a class effect of the bisphosphonates. The Committee recommended that the relevant “class” related safety data for the bisphosphonates be considered and/or incorporated into the risk-benefit analysis and product pregnancy labeling for these compounds.
OPDRA Report

APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL
**TO:**
John Leighton, M.D., HFD-150

**FROM:**
Jennie Chang, Pharm.D.
and Debra Boxwell,
Pharm.D., Safety
Evaluators, Division of
Drug Risk Evaluation II
(DDREII) HFD-440

**DATE REQUESTED:** Sept. 26, 2001

**DATE RECEIVED:** Sept. 27, 2001

**REQUESTOR/Phone #:**
John Leighton, M.D., HFD-150
(301) 594-5696

**DRUG (Est):** Alendronate (Fosamax), Etidronate (Didronel), Pamidronate (Aredia), Risedronate (Actonel), Tiludronate (Skelid), Zolendronic acid (Zometa), Foscarnet (Foscavir)

**NDA/IND #:**
20-560 (Fosamax), 17-831
(Didronel), 18-545
(Aredia), 19-545, 20-835
(Actonel), 20-707 (Skelid),
21-223 (Zometa), 20-068
(Foscavir)

**SPONSOR:**
Merck, Proctor & Gamble,
MGI Pharma, Novartis

**DRUG NAME (Trade):** see above

**THERAPEUTIC CLASSIFICATION:** Bisphosphonates

**EVENT:** Pregnancy outcomes

**Executive Summary:**
This memo is in response to a request from John Leighton, M.D., Medical Officer, Division of Oncological Drug Products, to review cases of pregnancy outcomes associated with bisphosphonates and foscarnet. The impetus for this analysis is to determine whether any changes in pregnancy labeling should be undertaken.

A list of bisphosphonates was submitted to us, but only drugs that are listed above were reviewed because the AERS database is an adverse event repository for only FDA-approved drugs. The other drugs were not evaluated as they are not approved in the United States.

An AERS search was undertaken for each of the bisphosphonates and foscarnet for pregnancy outcomes using the MedDRA System Organ Class (SOC) Congenital and Familial/Genetic Disorders, and Pregnancy, Puerperium & Perinatal Conditions. The search revealed ten cases pertaining alendronate and one case for etidronate (see preg outcome no calc.xls). None of the other drugs had any reports relating to pregnancy-associated outcomes.

There are several reasons for the low number of cases found in our AERS database. Firstly, physicians are not always cognizant that a drug taken during pregnancy may be associated with a latent adverse outcome in a fetus. Furthermore, the intent of AERS is to serve as a repository for the voluntary reporting of adverse events, not a pregnancy outcome database. Lastly, bisphosphonates and foscarnet are not indicated for women of child-bearing age.

Despite the ten cases for alendronate and one case for etidronate, data contained are insufficient to conclude any findings as half of the cases did not state the fetal condition at time of pregnancy termination. Currently, we cannot recommend whether any changes in pregnancy labeling are necessitated.
Search Date: For all of the bisphosphonates and foscarnet, the search encompassed the time period from marketing until October 2, 2001.

Search Criteria: MedDRA System Organ Class (SOC) Congenital and Familial/Genetic Disorders, and Pregnancy, Puerperium & Perinatal Conditions

Literature Search:
Several literature articles have cited bisphosphonate and foscarnet use during pregnancy. One case report mentions the use of intravenous pamidronate in malignant hypercalcemia in third trimester pregnancy. No serious adverse effects occurred in the fetus. In animals, reproductive toxicity studies with pamidronate in rats and rabbits were conducted and findings in rats revealed that the dams failed to complete and/or survive a protracted labor and a reduced number of viable pups were delivered. In addition, the distressed condition of dams shortly before parturition was associated with acutely reduced serum calcium concentrations. At ten times the daily human dose of pamidronate, maternal toxicity, embryolethality, and dramatic skeletal retardation of fetuses were evident. The article concluded that pamidronate should not be used unless medically necessary.

Concerning foscarnet, one case report of a pregnant 21-year-old female with acyclovir-resistant herpes simplex virus type-2 was reported. She had received treatment for eight days and subsequently delivered a healthy term baby who developed normally throughout her first year. No teratogenic effects, including skeletal, were observed in utero. The authors also mentioned two other patients who had received foscarnet during pregnancy from the Sponsor’s files. One healthy baby was delivered after foscarnet exposure at 32 weeks and the other infant was exposed to foscarnet at week 29-30. No follow-up information was available for the second case.
Discussion/Conclusion:
We are unable to assess the effects of bisphosphonates and foscarnet on pregnancy outcomes for several reasons. Fifty percent of the cases are confounded because patients were receiving concomitant medications that are designated as Pregnancy C, D, or X; and thus, the difficulty lies in assigning culpability to alendronate or any of the other drugs. Additionally, 50% of the cases provided no information on the outcome on these fetuses. As these cases are from foreign sources, retrieval of follow-up information on condition of the fetuses is cumbersome. Three of the females aborted the fetuses and one suffered a miscarriage.

Several reasons may explain the low number of cases found in our AERS database. Pregnancy outcomes are not always so apparent as they may not appear immediately at the time of birth and thus, physicians are not cognizant that a drug taken during pregnancy may be associated with a latent adverse outcome. As in the case of intrauterine exposure to diethylstilbestrol (DES), an adverse effect of this medication was not seen until puberty in females in which adenocarcinoma of the vagina was diagnosed. Furthermore, AERS is not designed to track pregnancy outcomes; rather, the intent is to serve as a repository for the voluntary reporting of adverse events. Lastly, bisphosphonates and foscarnet are not indicated for women of child-bearing age. Bisphosphonates are used to treat hypercalcemia associated with malignancy, postmenopausal osteoporosis, and Paget’s disease. Foscarnet is labeled for treatment of CMV retinitis in patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) and treatment of acyclovir-resistant mucocutaneous HSV infections in immunocompromised patients.

Currently, we cannot recommend whether any changes in pregnancy labeling are necessitated. Neither foscarnet nor bisphosphonate had any cases of pregnancy outcomes, except for alendronate and etidronate were found. Furthermore, data contained were insufficient to conclude any findings as half of the cases did not state fetal condition at time of pregnancy termination.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Mfr no</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Due to</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Stop</th>
<th>LMP</th>
<th>Abnormalities</th>
<th>Due date</th>
<th>Abortion</th>
<th>Miscarriage</th>
<th>Natality</th>
<th>Care, Mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abortion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>po</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>calcium, calcium supplement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>10 po</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>po</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5/19/97</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>estradiol (D), medroxyprogesterone (D)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>10 po</td>
<td>5/19/98</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscarriage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>10 po</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>calcium, multivitamin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>10 po</td>
<td>10/06/98</td>
<td>10/4/98</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Great Britain</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>po</td>
<td>6/18/98</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>azathioprine (D), prednisolone (C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>10 po</td>
<td>1/3/98</td>
<td>11/3/98</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>prednisone (C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live birth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>10 po</td>
<td>6/11/98</td>
<td>7/26/98</td>
<td>6/19/98</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>calcium, bilirubin compound with acetaminophen &amp; caffeine</td>
<td>Cesarean in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>5 po</td>
<td>6/1/97</td>
<td>6/3/98</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>hydroxychloroquine (C), prednisolone (C)</td>
<td>normal (C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

