APPLICATION NUMBER:
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SUMMARY REVIEW
1.0 BACKGROUND

Paliperidone palmitate is a depot formulation of paliperidone, an atypical antipsychotic (5HT2 and D2 receptor antagonist). Paliperidone is the major active metabolite of risperidone and has essentially the same pharmacological profile as risperidone which is approved for the treatment of schizophrenia and bipolar mania. Paliperidone is available in an extended release oral formulation for both the acute and maintenance treatment of schizophrenia. This NDA seeks a claim for this depot formulation for both the acute and maintenance treatment of schizophrenia, in a dose range of 25 to 150 mg eq intramuscular injections (either deltoid or gluteal) every month. As noted, a CR letter was issued for this NDA on 8-25-08. This letter identified a number of product quality issues and labeling issues, provided a proposed dissolution method and specifications, and requested a safety update. We met with the sponsor on 11-21-08 to discuss various issues pertinent to a resubmission of this application. These issues and their resolution will be summarized in the sections below. The application was resubmitted on 2-3-09.

2.0 CHEMISTRY

Issues that needed resolution:

Drug master file for the Issue: The DMF was noted to be inadequate in the CR letter.
Resolution: The sponsor has submitted information that has been determined to address the deficiencies.

Expression of dose strengths of drug substance vs active portion of molecule in the package insert, syringe labeling, and carton labeling

Issue: In their originally proposed package insert, syringe labeling, and carton labeling, the sponsor wanted to emphasize the active portion of the molecule (paliperidone mg equivalents), rather than actual drug substance strength (paliperidone palmitate). In the CR letter, we emphasized to the sponsor the problem this would pose for us in terms of FDA policy regarding what information is required in the established name. We discussed this issue at length at our 11-21-08 meeting with the sponsor, essentially indicating that it would be a review issue when they resubmitted the application. We have discussed this issue extensively within FDA subsequent to the resubmission of this application, and the FDA groups that would be most impacted by deviation from FDA policy on this matter (ONDQA, DMEPA, and OGD) argued strongly against permitting the sponsor to focus on paliperidone equivalents. The concerns are that this would be confusing, would be a potential source of medication errors, and would be very problematic at the point that a generic paliperidone depot formulation becomes available. Therefore, we have taken a position that the package insert, syringe labeling, and carton labeling should note only the drug substance strengths (i.e., 39, 78, 117, 156, and 234 mg of paliperidone palmitate), with no mention of the equivalents (i.e., 25, 50, 75, 100, and 150 mg eq). We did subsequently agree to include mention of the equivalents in the Description section.

Resolution: The sponsor has now provided advice in the Dosage and Administration section of labeling on switching from oral paliperidone to the depot (in the form of a conversion table).

Desirability for transparent label and for calibrated markings and fill line on syringe barrel

Issue: In the CR letter, we indicated that the syringe barrel should contain calibrated markings to indicate the appropriate volume of drug product in the syringe and allow for partial doses to be given from the syringe. The letter also noted that a transparent label that would allow for viewing of the syringe calibration marks and drug product should be used for labeling of the syringes. In our 11-21-08 meeting, we agreed that calibrations would not be needed. We indicated that we still felt that a fill line was needed to allow determination by the user that the syringe had been filled properly, and a fill window.

Resolution: The sponsor has agreed to make this change as a phase 4 commitment.
Use of “Invega Sustenna” in drug product established name

Issue: In the CR letter and attached labeling, we referred to this product as “Invega Sustenna...” In subsequent discussions with ONDQA, DMEPA, and other groups within FDA that have an interest in this question, the overwhelming consensus is that we cannot continue with the terminology. This is not official USP terminology and not recognized, and will cause multiple problems. ONDQA has recommended the following alternative terminology: “Invega Sustenna Extended Release Injectable Suspension.”

Resolution: We have decided to adopt the alternative language recommended by ONDQA. The sponsor has reluctantly accepted this alternative language.

Establishing acceptable acceptance criteria for the two genotoxic impurities, and

Issue: In the CR letter, we asked the sponsor to establish acceptance criteria equal to or less than ppm for the two genotoxic impurities, and

Resolution: We now have agreement on a specification of ppm.

Establishing a test and acceptance limit for

Issue: In the CR letter, we asked the sponsor to include a test and acceptance limit for in the drug product specification.

Resolution: We have now agreed with the sponsor that this test would not be needed.

Other CMC syringe labeling and carton labeling issues

Issues: In the CR letter we conveyed a number of comments on syringe and carton labeling.

Resolution: Most of these issues have been resolved. We will include some final recommendations in approval letter, and also advise that they include mention of the frequency of dosing on the carton label to help clinicians distinguish this from other formulations,

Dissolution method and specifications

Issue: We still needed agreement on this issue.

Resolution: We now have agreement on the dissolution method and specifications.

3.0 PHARMACOLOGY

There are no pharmacology/toxicology issues at this point that would preclude an approval action for this NDA.

4.0 BIOPHARMACEUTICS

All biopharmaceutical issues have been resolved.
5.0 CLINICAL DATA

5.1 Efficacy Data

5.1.1 Overview of Studies Pertinent to Efficacy

Original Application

Short-Term Trials

Our review of the original application focused on 3 short-term (9 to 13-week), double-blind, randomized, parallel group, placebo-controlled, fixed-dose trials in patients with acutely exacerbated schizophrenia and 1 maintenance study in schizophrenic patients stabilized on paliperidone depot. In all of these studies, the depot injections were administered in the gluteal muscle.

Studies 3003 and 3004 were 13-week studies in which patients received 3 fixed doses of paliperidone depot or placebo (50, 100, and 150 for 3003; 25, 50, and 100 for 3004). Doses were given on days 1, 8, 36, and 64. The end-of-study visit was day 92. The primary endpoint in these studies was change from baseline to endpoint on the PANSS total score. No key secondary endpoints were clearly specified and no claims were sought by the sponsor based on secondary endpoints. There was a problem in treatment distribution in study 3003 such that only 30 patients received the 150 mg eq dose. Thus, the data for this dose group are not meaningful.

Study 201 was similar in design to studies 3003 and 3004 except that it was 9 weeks in duration and utilized only 2 fixed doses (50 and 100 mg eq). The 100 mg eq dose was statistically significantly superior to placebo each time it was tested (studies 3003, 3004, and 201). The 50 mg eq dose was statistically significantly superior to placebo on 2 occasions it was tested (studies 3004 and 201), but not in study 3003. The 25 mg eq dose was statistically significantly superior to placebo on the one occasion it was tested (study 3004). There was a suggestion of numerical superiority of the 100 mg eq dose over the lower doses.

Maintenance Study

Study 3001 was a maintenance study involving a 33-week open label phase (9 weeks of transition and 24 weeks of stabilization) before randomization. During the double-blind randomized phase, patients who were stable responders were randomized to either paliperidone depot (monthly injections of 25, 50, or 100 mg eq) or placebo. The primary endpoint was time to recurrence. The protocol called for an interim analysis after 68 recurrence events had occurred. This analysis was done and was highly significant in favor of paliperidone depot (p<0.0001). Thus, the study was stopped (stopping threshold was p=0.0106).
Deltoid Injections

Although the studies supporting the efficacy claim for paliperidone depot were conducted entirely with gluteal dosing, the sponsor proposed labeling that recommended deltoid dosing of mg eq on days 1 and 8, followed by either gluteal or deltoid dosing in a range of mg eq at monthly intervals. The rationale for such dosing upon initiation of treatment was a desire to achieve therapeutic plasma levels of drug more quickly. Since such dosing had not been studied in actual efficacy studies, the sponsor provided simulations to show that deltoid injections did provide higher concentrations earlier compared to gluteal injections, but that at steady state, the plasma concentrations by the deltoid and gluteal route were similar. Furthermore, head-to-head comparisons of deltoid and gluteal injections for safety in study 3005 (at doses of 50, 75, and 100 mg eq) demonstrated comparable safety by these routes. Dr. Duan from OCP did not disagree with the sponsor’s simulations, however, he argued that the proposed eq starting dose produces plasma concentrations that are 24-34% higher than what is seen with a 6 mg eq dosing with paliperidone ER, the currently recommended starting dose. Thus, he recommended 75 mg eq deltoid dosing as an alternative.

Original Draft Labeling

The original draft labeling in our CR letter permitted claims for acute and maintenance treatment of schizophrenia, with a recommended initial dose of mg eq by the deltoid route on days 1 and 8, followed by monthly dosing in a range of mg eq by either the deltoid or gluteal route.

New Data in Resubmission

The resubmission included data from one additional efficacy study, i.e., study 3007. This 13-week study was similar in design to the earlier short-term studies, i.e., it was a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, fixed-dose study (25, 100, and 150 mg eq) vs placebo. One important difference was the starting dose of 150 mg eq for all patients assigned to active drug. The rationale for this starting dose was essentially to provide a loading dose for new patients given the slow rise to an effective concentration for this formulation. Patients in the 3 active drug groups all received deltoid injections of 150 mg eq on day 1, followed by the randomized doses of 25, 100, or 150 mg eq on days 8, 36, and 64 (by the deltoid or gluteal route, at the investigator’s discretion). The primary analysis focused on change from baseline to endpoint in the PANSS total score. The sponsor did designate PSP as the key secondary endpoint in this trial. The study was positive for all 3 dose groups on the primary endpoint, and on the 2 highest doses on the PSP. The 150 mg eq dose group was numerically superior to the 100 mg eq group, however, a nominal p-value for this comparison was 0.59, raising a question about the relevance of this difference.
Pediatric Use

The sponsor has requested a full pediatric waiver for schizophrenia, and we have accepted their arguments. Thus we have granted a full waiver.

5.1.2 Conclusions Regarding Efficacy Data

The sponsor has, in my view, provided sufficient evidence to support the claim of acute and maintenance efficacy of paliperidone depot in the treatment of schizophrenia. The sponsor has proposed a starting dose of 150 mg eq on day 1 and 100 mg eq on day 8, both by the deltoid route, followed by either deltoid or gluteal injections monthly of a 75 mg eq dose, with adjustments in a dose range of 25 to 150 mg eq, depending on individual patient tolerability and response. The rationale for the starting dose of 150 and 100 mg eq on days 1 and 8 respectively, by the deltoid route, is to quickly achieve plasma levels similar to those seen with 6 mg/day oral dosing with paliperidone ER. The clinical and OCP team has accepted the rationale for this dosing strategy, and I agree.

5.2 Safety Data

The safety data for this NDA considered in the original application were derived from a total of n=3012 subjects/patients exposed to paliperidone depot across 16 clinical trials comprising the paliperidone depot program. The patient breakdown included n=730 paliperidone depot-exposed subjects/patients in 10 phase 1 trials, and n=2282 paliperidone depot-exposed patients in 6 phase 2-3 trials. The resubmission included additional data from study 3007 and study 3001 (the open label extension for study 3007). Data from an additional 488 paliperidone depot exposed patients were included in the resubmission. The safety of initial deltoid injections of 150 mg eq has been adequately established. The safety profile for the depot formulation of paliperidone was similar to that seen with the ER formulation, with the exception of injection site adverse events that are expected for a depot formulation. There were no unexpected findings and no new findings of concern. Thus, the safety findings of paliperidone depot can be adequately characterized in labeling.

5.3 Clinical Sections of Labeling

We have made a number of modifications to the sponsor’s proposed labeling, and have now reached final agreement with the sponsor on labeling.

6.0 FOREIGN REGULATORY ACTIONS

To my knowledge, paliperidone depot is still not approved anywhere at this time for the treatment of schizophrenia.
7.0 LABELING AND APPROVAL LETTER

7.1 Labeling

As noted, we have now reached final agreement with the sponsor on labeling.

7.2 AP Letter

The AP letter includes the agreed upon final labeling and agreements on PREA requirements and one PMC for a reformulation including a fill line.

8.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

I believe that has submitted sufficient data to support the conclusion that paliperidone depot is effective and acceptably safe in the acute and maintenance efficacy treatment of schizophrenia, and we have reached final agreement with the sponsor on labeling. Thus, we will issue an approval letter with the agreed upon final label.
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