

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND  
RESEARCH**

*APPLICATION NUMBER:*  
**022370Orig1s000**

**STATISTICAL REVIEW(S)**



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION  
CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH  
OFFICE OF TRANSLATIONAL SCIENCE  
OFFICE OF BIostatISTICS

## Statistical Review and Evaluation

NDA: 22-370

Name of drug: Tramadol ER 100, 200 and 300 mg

Indication: Management of moderate to moderately severe chronic pain  
in adults

Applicant: Cipher Pharmaceuticals Limited

Dates: Received April 14, 2008

Review priority: Standard

Biometrics division: Division of Biometrics II

Statistical reviewer: Joan Buenconsejo, Ph.D.

Concurring reviewers: Dionne Price, Ph.D.  
Thomas Permutt, Ph.D.

Medical division: Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Rheumatology  
Products

Clinical team: Keith Burkhart, M.D.  
Mwango Kashoki, M.D.

Project manager: Kathleen Davies

Keywords: NDA review

Cipher Pharmaceuticals Inc has submitted a new NDA (N 22-370) for their extended-release tramadol capsule that references their previous NDA (b) (4). As a background, the Division sent the Applicant an approvable letter for Cip-Tramadol ER Capsules, NDA (b) (4) on May 2, 2007. The original submission comprised a 505(b) (2) NDA, and the reference labeled drug (RLD) was Ultram (immediate-release tramadol tablets). (b) (4)

(b) (4)

(b) (4), the Applicant has opted to conduct two bioequivalence studies against an alternate approved RLD, Ultram ER (extended-release tramadol) tablets. The Applicant considers their product a “pharmaceutical alternative” dosage form to Ultram ER, and is citing the Agency’s previous determination of safety and efficacy of Ultram ER as support of the safety and efficacy of the Cip-Tramadol ER capsule. Refer to the review by Dr. Lei Zhang (Clinical Pharmacologist), for details regarding the interactions between the Division and the Applicant over the NDA amendment, as well as FDA’s assessment of these new clinical pharmacology studies. Of note, Dr. Zhang did not find any issues requiring statistical evaluation. Therefore, there is no statistical review for this new application.

(b) (4)

-----  
**This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and  
this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.**  
-----

/s/

-----  
Joan Buenconsejo  
12/18/2008 01:59:26 PM  
BIOMETRICS

Thomas Permutt  
12/18/2008 02:14:33 PM  
BIOMETRICS

I concur. Dionne Price, team leader, who would otherwise  
be asked to concur, is on leave.



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION  
CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH  
OFFICE OF TRANSLATIONAL SCIENCES  
OFFICE OF BIostatISTICS

# Statistical Review and Evaluation

## CLINICAL STUDIES

NDA: (b) (4)

Name of drug: Tramadol ER 100, 200 and 300 mg

Indication: Management of moderate to moderately severe chronic pain  
in adults

Applicant: Cipher Pharmaceuticals Limited

Dates: Received 07/05/06; PDUFA 05/03/07

Review priority: Standard

Biometrics Division: Division of Biometrics II

Statistical reviewer: Joan Buenconsejo, Ph.D.

Concurring reviewers: Dionne Price, Ph.D.

Thomas Permutt, Ph.D.

Medical Division: Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Rheumatology  
Products

Clinical team: Keith Burkhart, M.D.

Mwango Kashoki, M.D.

Project manager: Kathleen Davies

Keywords: NDA review, clinical studies, missing data, multiple  
comparisons

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 Executive Summary	5
1.1 Conclusions and recommendations	5
1.2 Brief overview of clinical studies	5
1.3 Statistical issues and findings	5
2 Introduction	7
2.1 Overview	7
2.2 Data sources	9



3.2 Evaluation of safety	42
--------------------------	----



5 Summary and Conclusions	48
5.1 Statistical issues and collective evidence	48
5.2 Conclusions and recommendations	50
6 Labeling	53
7 Appendix	55


---

## 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

---


### 1.1 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

After careful evaluation of the submission, I have not found sufficient evidence to support the use of CIP-Tramadol ER 100 mg, CIP-Tramadol ER 200 mg, or CIP-Tramadol ER 300 mg for the desired indication. (b) (4)



### 1.2 BRIEF OVERVIEW OF CLINICAL STUDIES

The Applicant, Cipher Pharmaceuticals Limited, seeks to market CIP-Tramadol extended-release (ER) capsules for the management of moderate to moderately severe chronic pain in adults. The development plan for CIP-Tramadol ER was previously discussed during several meetings (February 22, 2001 through June 14, 2006) between the Applicant and the Division. (b) (4)



(b) (4)

(b) (4)

(b) (4)


(b) (4)

(b) (4)



### 1.3 STATISTICAL ISSUES AND FINDINGS

During my review of the submission, I identified several issues that warranted further consideration. (b) (4)



(b) (4)

---

## 2 INTRODUCTION

---

### 2.1 OVERVIEW

Ultram (immediate release tramadol) was introduced in 1995 in the United States and is currently marketed in a 50 mg oral tablet. The Applicant, Cipher Pharmaceuticals Limited, seeks to market CIP-Tramadol extended release (ER) capsules for the management of moderate to moderately severe chronic pain in adults. CIP-Tramadol ER incorporates a combination of immediate and extended-release drug delivery properties, which according to the Applicant, may provide rapid and prolonged analgesia. The capsules are multi-particulate dosage forms comprised of extended release beads together with an immediate release component.

The development plan for CIP-Tramadol was introduced to the Division of Anti-inflammatory, Analgesic, and Ophthalmic Drug Products under IND (b) (4). Following the reorganization of the therapeutic areas in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, CIP-Tramadol fell under the purview of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Rheumatology Products. The key milestones in the clinical development program are highlighted in Dr. Burkhardt's review. Statistical issues were discussed during several of the meetings and key issues are summarized below:

(

(b) (4)





(b) (4)

The submission includes (b) (4) one long-term safety study, Study 03.



(b) (4)

(b) (4)  
Information on safety  
was also obtained in study Study 05 and in the open-label, uncontrolled long-term study (Study 03)  
conducted in the United States, Canada and Mexico.

## 2.2 DATA SOURCES

This statistical review is based on data submitted in Studies 01, 02 and 04.

The electronic datasets for this NDA can be found at:

(b) (4)

(b) (4)

### 3.2 EVALUATION OF SAFETY

Dr. Burkhart reviewed the safety of CIP-Tramadol ER in detail. The reader is referred to Dr. Burkhart's review for information regarding the adverse event profile

---

**6 LABELING**

---



(b) (4)

1 Page has been Withheld in Full as b4  
(Draft Labeling) immediately following this  
page

---

**7 APPENDIX**

---



(b) (4)

Appendix 7.2: Patient Demographics and Baseline Characteristics – Safety Population

Table 7.2.1: Patient Demographics and Baseline Characteristics – Study TRAMCT02.01

	<b>Tramadol ER 100 mg N=106</b>	<b>Tramadol ER 200 mg N=104</b>	<b>Tramadol ER 300 mg N=112</b>	<b>Placebo N=108</b>	<b>Total N=430</b>
<b>Gender</b>					
Male	38 (35.8%)	42 (40.4%)	35 (31.3%)	47 (43.5%)	162 (37.7%)
Female	68 (64.2%)	62 (59.6%)	77 (68.8%)	61 (56.5%)	268 (62.3%)
<b>Ethnic Group</b>					
Caucasian	80 (75.5%)	75 (72.1%)	80 (71.4%)	78 (72.2%)	313 (72.8%)
Oriental	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.2%)
Hispanic	18 (17.0%)	21 (20.2%)	20 (17.9%)	20 (18.5%)	79 (18.4%)
African	6 (5.7%)	7 (6.7%)	9 (8.0%)	10 (9.3%)	32 (7.4%)
Asian	2 (1.9%)	1 (1.0%)	1 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (0.9%)
Other	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.2%)
<b>Age (Years)</b>					
Mean ± SD	62.7 ± 10.18	64.3 ± 9.84	61.9 ± 9.58	62.6 ± 9.93	62.9 ± 9.89
Range	45.0 – 85.0	45.0 – 84.0	45.0 – 83.0	45.0 – 84.0	45.0 – 85.0
<b>Weight (kg)</b>					
Mean ± SD	86.58 ± 19.618	87.10 ± 21.896	89.84 ± 20.939	86.90 ± 21.704	87.63 ± 21.024
Range	49.9 – 181.4	52.0 – 165.6	47.0 – 158.8	49.4 – 181.9	47.0 – 181.9
<b>Height (cm)</b>					
Mean ± SD	165.44 ± 10.521	166.62 ± 10.320	164.73 ± 10.624	166.44 ± 11.583	165.79 ± 10.767
Range	142.0 – 189.0	145.0 – 193.0	138.0 – 193.0	137.0 – 190.5	137.0 – 193.0
<b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>					
Mean ± SD	31.66 ± 6.586	31.34 ± 7.293	33.05 ± 6.934	31.20 ± 6.466	31.83 ± 6.841
Range	18.2 – 57.4	20.6 – 58.9	22.4 – 58.2	20.0 – 56.7	18.2 – 58.9
<b>Study Joint</b>					
Hip	32 (30.2%)	28 (26.9%)	32 (28.6%)	33 (30.5%)	125 (29.1%)
Knee	74 (69.9%)	76 (73.1%)	80 (71.5%)	75 (69.4%)	305 (70.9%)

Patient population base: Safety: All randomized patients who received at least one dose of study drug.

SD: Standard deviation.

Source: Table 14.1.3

Source: Study Report (02 01) Module 5 Vol 48, page 73

Table 7.2.2: Patient Demographics and Baseline Characteristics – Study TRAMCT02.02

	Tramadol ER 100 mg N=110	Tramadol ER 200 mg N=113	Tramadol ER 300 mg N=110	Placebo N=112	Total N=445
<b>Gender</b>					
Male	32 (29.1%)	24 (21.2%)	36 (32.7%)	30 (26.8%)	122 (27.4%)
Female	78 (70.9%)	89 (78.8%)	74 (67.3%)	82 (73.2%)	323 (72.6%)
<b>Ethnic Group</b>					
Caucasian	101 (91.8%)	101 (89.4%)	101 (91.8%)	102 (91.1%)	405 (91.0%)
Oriental	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Hispanic	9 (8.2%)	10 (8.8%)	6 (5.5%)	9 (8.0%)	34 (7.6%)
African	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	1 (0.9%)	2 (0.4%)
Asian	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Other	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.8%)	2 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (0.9%)
<b>Age (Years)</b>					
Mean ± SD	65.5 ± 9.62	64.2 ± 10.04	67.6 ± 9.41	65.6 ± 9.85	65.7 ± 9.78
Range	45.0 – 88.0	42.0 – 87.0	46.0 – 84.0	45.0 – 89.0	42.0 – 89.0
<b>Weight (kg)</b>					
Mean ± SD	83.66 ± 20.839	82.13 ± 17.246	81.42 ± 17.984	79.97 ± 20.667	81.79 ± 19.225
Range	52.2 – 176.9	51.0 – 157.0	51.0 – 137.9	42.6 – 138.0	42.6 – 176.9
<b>Height (cm)</b>					
Mean ± SD	162.40 ± 9.854	161.93 ± 9.919	163.10 ± 10.871	162.03 ± 10.591	162.36 ± 10.291
Range	146.0 – 191.8	139.7 – 195.6	143.0 – 190.5	140.0 – 188.0	139.7 – 195.6
<b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>					
Mean ± SD	31.60 ± 6.834	31.42 ± 6.702	30.49 ± 5.421	30.48 ± 6.958	31.00 ± 6.507
Range	20.1 – 54.0	21.4 – 63.7	20.7 – 46.2	13.0 – 54.4	13.0 – 63.7
<b>Study Joint</b>					
Hip	38 (34.5%)	33 (29.2%)	31 (28.2%)	35 (31.3%)	137 (30.8%)
Knee	72 (65.5%)	80 (70.8%)	79 (71.8%)	77 (68.7%)	308 (69.2%)

Patient population base: Safety: All randomized patients who received at least one dose of study drug.

SD: Standard deviation.

Source: Table 14.1.3

Source: Study Report (02.02) Module 5 Vol 67, page 72

Table 7.2.3: Patient Demographics and Baseline Characteristics – Study TRAMCT02.04 (Primary Analysis Set)

	<b>Tramadol ER 300 mg N=431</b>	<b>Placebo N=140</b>	<b>Total N=571</b>
<b>Gender</b>			
Male	175 (40.6%)	69 (49.3%)	244 (42.7%)
Female	256 (59.4%)	71 (50.7%)	327 (57.3%)
<b>Ethnic Group</b>			
Caucasian	331 (76.8%)	111 (79.3%)	442 (77.4%)
Oriental	4 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (0.7%)
Hispanic	29 (6.7%)	6 (4.3%)	35 (6.1%)
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.2%)
African	55 (12.8%)	19 (13.6%)	74 (13.0%)
Asian	4 (0.9%)	1 (0.7%)	5 (0.9%)
Other	7 (1.6%)	3 (2.1%)	10 (1.8%)
<b>Age (Years)</b>			
Mean ± SD	62.3 ± 9.33	62.8 ± 9.93	62.4 ± 9.48
Range	41 – 86	44 – 90	41 – 90
<b>Weight (kg)</b>			
Mean ± SD	91.94 ± 21.156	91.80 ± 21.356	91.90 ± 21.187
Range	51.7 – 170.1	47.6 – 158.8	47.6 – 170.1
<b>Height (cm)</b>			
Mean ± SD	168.13 ± 10.360	169.57 ± 9.979	168.49 ± 10.277
Range	129.5 – 198.1	147.3 – 193.0	129.5 – 198.1
<b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>			
Mean ± SD	32.58 ± 7.345	31.89 ± 6.956	32.41 ± 7.251
Range	20.0 – 66.4	19.7 – 54.4	19.7 – 66.4
<b>Study Joint</b>			
Hip	104 (24.1%)	39 (27.9%)	143 (25.0%)
Knee	327 (75.9%)	101 (72.1%)	428 (75.0%)

Patient population base: Safety Population from which the PAS was drawn: The subset of the first 581 patients randomized into the study who received at least one dose of study drug

SD: Standard deviation.

Source: Table 14.1.3P

Source: Study Report (02.04) Module 5 Vol 111, page 93



Table 7.2.4: Patient Demographics and Baseline Characteristics – Study TRAMCT02.04 (All patients who received at least one dose of study medication)

	<b>Tramadol ER 300 mg N=627</b>	<b>Placebo N=210</b>	<b>Total N=837</b>
<b>Gender</b>			
Male	253 (40.4%)	102 (48.6%)	355 (42.4%)
Female	374 (59.6%)	108 (51.4%)	482 (57.6%)
<b>Ethnic Group</b>			
Caucasian	458 (73.0%)	155 (73.8%)	613 (73.2%)
Oriental	5 (0.8%)	1 (0.5%)	6 (0.7%)
Hispanic	50 (8.0%)	13 (6.2%)	63 (7.5%)
American Indian/Alaskan Native	2 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.2%)
African	93 (14.8%)	34 (16.2%)	127 (15.2%)
Asian	8 (1.3%)	1 (0.5%)	9 (1.1%)
Other	11 (1.8%)	6 (2.9%)	17 (2.0%)
<b>Age (Years)</b>			
Mean ± SD	62.0 ± 9.31	61.9 ± 9.84	62.0 ± 9.44
Range	41 – 86	44 – 90	41 – 90
<b>Weight (kg)</b>			
Mean ± SD	92.17 ± 21.524	92.45 ± 22.353	92.24 ± 21.721
Range	51.7 – 170.1	47.6 – 179.6	47.6 – 179.6
<b>Height (cm)</b>			
Mean ± SD	167.90 ± 10.324	168.85 ± 10.200	168.13 ± 10.295
Range	129.5 – 201.9	137.2 – 193.0	129.5 – 201.9
<b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>			
Mean ± SD	32.72 ± 7.287	32.30 ± 7.440	32.61 ± 7.323
Range	18.8 – 66.4	19.7 – 67.6	18.8 – 67.6
<b>Study Joint</b>			
Hip	158 (25.2%)	56 (26.7%)	214 (25.6%)
Knee	469 (74.8%)	154 (73.3%)	623 (74.4%)

Patient population base: Safety Population; All patients randomized into the study who received at least one dose of study drug

SD: Standard deviation.

Source: Table 14.1.3F

Source: Study Report (02 04) Module 5 Vol 111, page 95

-----  
**This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and  
this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.**  
-----

/s/

-----  
Joan Buenconsejo  
3/22/2007 03:59:53 PM  
BIOMETRICS

Dionne Price  
3/22/2007 04:06:55 PM  
BIOMETRICS  
Concur

Thomas Permutt  
3/22/2007 05:08:35 PM  
BIOMETRICS  
concur