## CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

**APPLICATION NUMBER:** 

# 204958Orig1s000

# **CHEMISTRY REVIEW(S)**





# NDA 204958 Resubmission

Cangrelor Powder For <sup>(b) (4)</sup>, Lyophilized

The Medicines Company

## Office of Pharmaceutical Quality for Division of Cardiology and Renal Products

Wendy I. Wilson-Lee, Ph.D. – Application Technical Lead Okpo Eradiri, Ph.D. – Biopharmaceutics Reviewer Michael Shanks – Facilities Reviewer





## **Table of Contents**

Ta	able of Contents	2
C	hemistry Review Data Sheet	3
Cl	hemistry Review for NDA 204958	5
T	he Executive Summary	5
I.	Recommendations	
	A. Recommendation and Conclusion on Approvability	5
	B. Recommendation on Phase 4 (Post-Marketing) Commitments, Agreements, and/or Risk Management Steps, if Approvable	5
II.	Summary of Chemistry Assessments	
	A. Description of the Drug Product(s) and Drug Substance(s)	5
	B. Description of How the Drug Product is Intended to be Used	6
	C. Basis for Approvability or Not-Approval Recommendation	6
III	Administrative	
	A. Reviewer's Signature	6
C	hemistry Assessment	7
I.	Review Of Common Technical Document-Quality (Ctd-Q) Module 3:	
	S DRUG SUBSTANCE [Cangrelor, <sup>(b) (4)</sup> ]	7
	P DRUG PRODUCT <sup>(b) (4)</sup> (cangrelor); Powder, For <sup>(b) (4)</sup> , Lyophilized]	7
	A APPENDICES	9
	R REGIONAL INFORMATION	10
II.	Review Of Common Technical Document-Quality (Ctd-Q) Module 1 10	
	A. Labeling	10
	B. Environmental Assessment Or Claim Of Categorical Exclusion	10
	C. Establishment Inspection	10
III	List Of Deficiencies To Be Communicated	





Executive Summary Section

#### **Chemistry Review Data Sheet**

- 1. NDA: 204958
- 2. REVIEW: #2
- 3. REVIEW DATE: 15-JUN-2015
- 4. REVIEWER: Wendy I. Wilson-Lee; Okpo Eradiri; Michael Shanks
- 5. PREVIOUS DOCUMENTS:

Previous Documents

CMC Review #1

Document Date

Memorandum to File - Overall CMC Recommendation 15-APR-2014 12-DEC-2013

Document Date

23-DEC-2014

6. SUBMISSION(S) BEING REVIEWED:

Submission(s) Reviewed Resubmission

7. NAME & ADDRESS OF APPLICANT:

Name:

Address:

Representative:

Telephone:

8. DRUG PRODUCT NAME/CODE/TYPE:

<ul> <li>a) Proprietary Name:</li> <li>b) Non-Proprietary Name (USAN):</li> <li>c) Code Name/# (ONDQA only):</li> <li>d) Chem. Type/Submission Priority (ONDQA only):</li> </ul>	(b) (4) Cangrelor tetrasodium (b) (4); AR-C69931MX
• Chem. Type:	1
<ul> <li>Submission Priority:</li> </ul>	S
9. LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBMISSION:	505(b)(1)
10. PHARMACOL. CATEGORY:	Antiplatelet
11. DOSAGE FORM:	Powder For <sup>(b) (4)</sup> , Lyophilized
12. STRENGTH/POTENCY:	50 mg
13. ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION:	Intravenous

8 Sylvan Way Parsippany, NJ 07054 Andrew F. Friedman, PharmD VP, Regulatory Affairs 973-290-6027

The Medicines Company





Executive Summary Section

14. Rx/OTC DISPENSED: X Rx OTC

15. SPOTS (SPECIAL PRODUCTS ON-LINE TRACKING SYSTEM): SPOTS product - Form Completed Х \_\_Not a SPOTS product

#### 16. CHEMICAL NAME, STRUCTURAL FORMULA, MOLECULAR FORMULA, MOLECULAR WEIGHT:



Chemical Name: dichloro(((((2R,3R,4S,5R)-3,4-dihydroxy-2-(6-(2-(methylthio)ethylamino)-2-(3,3,3-trifluoropropylthio)-purin-9-l)tetrahydrofuran-5-yl)methoxy)(hydroxy)phosphoryloxy)(hydroxy) phosphoryl)methylphosphonic acid, tetrasodium salt

Mol. Formula:  $C_{17}H_{21}N_5Cl_2F_3Na_4O_{12}P_3S_2\\$ 

Mol. Weight: 864.3

#### 17. RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

#### A. DMFs:

	ТҮРЕ	HOLDER	ITEM REFERENCED	CODE1	STATUS <sup>2</sup>	REVIEW DATE	COMMENTS
(b) (4)	III		(D) (4	7	Adequate	12-DEC-2013	No changes, acceptable first cycle
	II			7	Adequate	12-DEC-2013	See above
	III			7	Adequate	12-DEC-2013	See above

<sup>1</sup>Action codes for DMF Table:

1 - DMF Reviewed.

Other codes indicate why the DMF was not reviewed, as follows:

2-Type 1 DMF

3 - Reviewed previously and no revision since last review

4 - Sufficient information in application

5 - Authority to reference not granted

6 - DMF not available

7 - Other (explain under "Comments")

<sup>2</sup>Adequate, Inadequate, or N/A (There is enough data in the application, therefore the DMF did not need to be reviewed)

#### **B. Other Documents:**

DOCUMENT	APPLICATION NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
IND	56812	Cangrelor for injection for percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty
		with or w/o intracoronary stent placement
NDA	22433	For BE study for over-encapsulated clopidogrel used as comparator

#### 18. STATUS:

CONSULTS	RECOMMENDATION	DATE	REVIEWER
Biometrics	N/A	-	-
EES	Approval	14-JUN-2015	M. Shanks
Pharm/Tox	N/A	-	-
Biopharm	Acceptable		O. Eradiri
LNC	N/A	-	-
Methods Validation	Acceptable	07-NOV-2013	M. Trehy
DMEPA	N/A	-	-
EA	Categorical exclusion granted	12-DEC-2013	D. Claffey
Microbiology	Approvable pending labeling of in-use conditions	06-JAN-2014	S. Donald





Executive Summary Section

## Chemistry Review for NDA 204958

### The Executive Summary

#### I. Recommendations

#### A. Recommendation and Conclusion on Approvability

We recommend approval, from a product quality perspective, of Cangrelor Powder for to the stored in 10 mL USP (b) (4) glass vials sealed with (b) (4) grey (b) (4) stoppers and capped with flip-off aluminum overseals.

We grant a 24 month drug product shelf-life when stored at controlled room temperature in the intended commercial packaging configuration. We grant a <u>24 hour in-use period</u> for the drug product solution when reconstituted with 5 mL of Sterile Water for Injection and diluted with <u>0.9%</u> Sodium Chloride <u>Injection</u> and stored at room temperature. We grant a <u>12 hour in-use period</u> for the drug product solution when reconstituted with 5 mL of Sterile Water for Injection and diluted with <u>5%</u> Dextrose Injection and stored at room temperature.

# B. Recommendation on Phase 4 (Post-Marketing) Commitments, Agreements, and/or Risk Management Steps, if Approvable

None.

#### II. Summary of Chemistry Assessments

#### A. Description of the Drug Product(s) and Drug Substance(s)

**Drug substance:** The drug substance is a water-soluble white amorphous tetrasodium salt. It is an ATP analogue with a dichloromethylene group between the second and third phosphate and two different thioethyl groups on the base. It is designed as a P2Y12 platelet receptor antagonist to block ADP-induced platelet activation and aggregation. It is stated to provide fast-onset, potent, and consistent P2Y12 inhibition, with reversible binding and a half-life of 3 to 6 minutes. The proposed indications are for the reduction of thrombotic events (including stent thrombosis) in patients with coronary artery disease undergoing PCI and for maintaining P2Y12 inhibition in ACS patients or patients with stents who are at increased risk for thrombotic events (such as stent thrombosis) when oral P2Y12 therapy is interrupted <sup>(b) (4)</sup> This was found due to surgery. Details of its manufacture and control are cross referenced to DMF adequate to support this application (David Claffey, 10 DEC 2013). Manufacturing involves several <sup>(b) (4)</sup> and the bulk of the synthetic steps. Two genotoxic impurities are controlled at intermediate remaining impurities and residual reagents and solvents are controlled at drug substance release. The drug  $^{(b)}$  (4). The drug substance is stored at  $^{(b)}$  (4) substance release specification was adequately justified in DMF <sup>(b) (4)</sup>. Data were provided to support the proposed retest date.

**Drug product:** The drug product will be supplied as a sterile lyophilized  ${}^{(b)(4)}$  in single-use glass vial. Each vial is designed to deliver 50 mg of cangrelor (based on free acid) in 10 ml  ${}^{(b)(4)}$  clear glass vials fitted with grey 20 mm  ${}^{(b)(4)}$  rubber stopper and sealed with 20 mm aluminum 'flip-off' overseal. The vial contains a target  ${}^{(b)(4)}$  mg of cangrelor tetrasodium (this amount includes both the sodium ion and a  ${}^{(b)}_{(4)}$  overage). Cangrelor is intended for intravenous administration after reconstitution with 5ml sterile water for injection. The only excipients are mannitol and sorbitol. The proposed commercial formulation





Executive Summary Section

and single 50 mg strength was used for all the Phase III studies. The clinical product was manufactured at two sites, (<sup>(b) (4)</sup>) from lots of drug substance manufactured at (<sup>(b) (4)</sup>). The commercial product will be manufactured at (<sup>(b) (4)</sup>). The manufacturing process is typical for a lyophilized IV-administered product. The lyophilization process was designed to product a which is can be stored at room temperature.

Studies to demonstrate the compatibility of cangrelor with the infusion solutions were found to be adequate after additional studies were conducted at Agency request. The in-use expiry periods are limited by the results of microbial stability studies which support a 12 hour expiry period in D5W and 24 hours in saline. Drug interaction studies found cangrelor formed a precipitate with 18 out of 101 drug solutions tested. These incompatible drugs are listed in the proposed label.

The drug product specification is typical for an intravenously administered product. Data were provided <sup>(b) (4)</sup> and two from the  $^{(b)}(4)$ , three from  $^{(b)}(4)$ , the from eight registration lots – three from proposed commercial site. Data up to 48 months were provided for the non-commercial sites and results through 24 months were provided for the commercial site. Accelerated data through six months were provided for each of the eight batches. All results remained within specified limits with no trends <sup>(b) (4)</sup> and content of specified degradants <sup>(b) (4)</sup> and apparent apart from the <sup>(b) (4)</sup>. Few differences were apparent between batches or manufacturing sites – except for the slower <sup>(b) (4)</sup> site. The proposed 24 months drug product reconstitution time from the lots originating from the expiration is supported by real time data from two batches manufactured at the commercial site (one pilot scale, one commercial scale) as well as six batches from non-commercial manufacturing sites.

## B. Description of How the Drug Product is Intended to be Used

The drug product is intended for IV administration over two hours or for the duration of the surgical procedure, whichever is longer, up to four hours. The drug product should be reconstituted with 5 mL of Sterile Water for Injection and further diluted with either 5% Dextrose Injection or 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection in IV bags prior to use. The reconstituted solution is stable for up to 24 hours at room temperature. The diluted solution is stable for up to 12 hours in 5% Dextrose Injection and up to 24 hours in 0.96% Sodium Chloride Injection. Any remaining solution in the bag and vial should be discarded after 24 hours.

## C. Basis for Approvability or Not-Approval Recommendation

We recommend approval from a product quality perspective. The resubmission contained updated CMC information regarding drug product stability (long-term and in-use), drug product specification (revised acceptance criterion for <sup>(b)(4)</sup> specified degradant, bioequivalence data to support the use of overencapsulated clopidogrel as the comparator in the pivotal clinical study, and a revised drug product shelf-life (24 months). All new CMC information provided in the resubmission was reviewed and found acceptable to support marketing of the drug product under NDA 204958. The drug product, biopharmaceutics, and facility reviewers all recommend approval. Therefore, the overall OPQ quality recommendation is approval.

#### III. Administrative

A. Reviewer's Signature



NDA 204958

**CHEMISTRY REVIEW TEMPLATE** 



Cangrelor Powder For (b) (4) Lyophilized

#### **Chemistry Assessment**

#### Summary of Biopharmaceutics Review

The Division of Pharmaceutics issued a CR comment regarding the "lack of documentation on bioequivalence of the over-encapsulated clopidogrel clinical supplies to the US approved clopidogrel product. The over-encapsulated clopidogrel served as the comparator during the pivotal clinical trials supporting approval of cangrelor. The applicant responded to the biopharmaceutics CR comment in the resubmission, providing right of reference to Astra-Zeneca's BE study comparing over-encapsulated and non-capsulated clopidogrel tablets (NDA 22433) and comparative dissolution data at pH 2.0, 4.5, and 6.8 for the over-encapsulated and non-capsulated clopidogrel tablets. The biopharm team found that the applicant adequately addressed the CR comment. <u>The Biopharmaceutics recommendation is approval.</u> Refer to the Biopharmaceutics Quality Assessment review (Okpo Eradiri, 26-MAY-2015) in Panorama.

### I. Review Of Common Technical Document-Quality (Ctd-Q) Module 3:

S DRUG SUBSTANCE [Cangrelor, (b) (4)]

No new drug substance information was provided in the NDA or in the referenced DMF.

## P DRUG PRODUCT [ (b) (4) (cangrelor); Powder, For (b) (4), Lyophilized]

The resubmission includes an update to the following CMC information:

- Additional long-term stability data (24 months) from the registration stability studies manufactured at the proposed commercial manufacturing site (b) (4)
- Revised compatibility information highlighting an observed increase in the content of specified degradants
   (b) (4) under in-use conditions
- Revised acceptance criterion for specified degradant <sup>(b) (4)</sup> in the drug product specification
- (b) (4) in the proposed drug product shelf-life to 24 months instead of the original (4) month proposal based on the additional stability data

Specification (copied from submission)



NDA 204958

Cangrelor Powder For (b) (4) Lyophilized

Table 1: Cangrelor for Injection Specifications for Release and Shelf-Life

8	• •	
Test	Acceptance Criteria	Method
Description	White to off white lyophilized solid	Visual
Description of Constituted	Clear, colorless to pale yellow solution essentially	Visual
Solution	free from particulate matter	
Reconstitution Time	(b) (4) seconds	Visual
рН	(b) (4)	USP <791>
	After reconstitution with 5ml Water	Ph Fur 2 2 3
		(b) (4)
Identification by HPLC <sup>a</sup>	Conform	HPLC
Identification by UV a	Conform	HPLC
Assay of Cangrelor	(b) (4)% of the theoretical content (b) (d) mg)	HPLC
Content Uniformity a	Meet USP <905> and Ph.Eur 2.9.40 requirements	HPLC
Particulate Matter <sup>b</sup>	≥ (b)µm NMT (b) (4) particles/vial	USP <788>
	≥ <sup>(4)</sup> µm NMT <sup>(b)</sup> particles/vial	Ph.Eur 2.9.19
Degradation products	(4)	HPLC
(b) (4)	$\leq (4)^{6}$	
	< <b>*</b> %	
	≤ %	
	≤ 6	
Unspecified	≤ % (b)	
Total Degradants	Release $\leq$ (4)%	
-	Shelf-life ≤ %	
Bacterial Endotoxin <sup>b</sup>	$\leq (4)$ EU/mg	USP <85>
		Ph.Eur 2.6.14
Sterility <sup>b</sup>	Sterile	USP <71>
-		Ph.Eur 2.6.1

<sup>a</sup> For release testing only

<sup>b</sup> Tests to be conducted annually for shelf-life

**Evaluation:** Adequate – The original submission included a proposed  ${}^{(b)(4)}$  acceptance criterion of  $\leq {}^{(b)(4)}_{(4)}$ %. Based on the  ${}^{(b)(4)}_{(4)}$  in  ${}^{(b)(4)}_{(4)}$  content observed, the applicant proposes  ${}^{(b)(4)}_{(4)}$  acceptance criterion of  $\leq {}^{(b)(4)}_{(4)}$ %. Based on the maximum daily dose of 50 mg, the revised acceptance criterion of  $\leq {}^{(b)(4)}_{(4)}$ % for specified degradant  ${}^{(b)(4)}_{(4)}$  is acceptable based on the ICH Q3B qualification limit ( $\leq 0.5\%$ ).

The specification includes different acceptance criterion for total degradants at release ( $\leq \begin{pmatrix} (b) \\ (4) \end{pmatrix}$ %) and shelf-life ( $\leq \begin{pmatrix} (b) \\ (4) \end{pmatrix}$ %). During the first review cycle, we requested that the applicant revise this attribute to include one limit based on shelf-life with the proposed release limit used as an internal control strategy. The applicant requested that the dual criteria remain to allow for a harmonized specification with other global territories that require additional regulatory control at release. We accepted the applicant's justification during the first review cycle. No changes to align the total degradants criteria will be requested.

#### **Compatibility**

The applicant revised Section 3.2.P.2.6 Compatibility to indicate that changes in the content of the degradation products (b) (4) were observed during the in-use period. The original submission, as amended, only identified (b) (4) content during the in-use period. Despite the observed (b) (4), the content of both degradants complied with the proposed acceptance criterion for each. Based on these results, the applicant revised the overall recommendation, indicating that the diluted solution is stable for at least 24 hours in-use in contrast to the (b) (4) hours as noted in the original submission.

**Evaluation:** Adequate – The first cycle review noted that the content of  $(b)^{(4)}$  exceeded the specified limit after  $(b)^{(b)}_{(4)}$  hours in saline injection and that the content of  $(b)^{(4)}_{(4)}$  approached the specified limit after  $(b)^{(b)}_{(4)}$  hours is dextrose injection. Due to concerns regarding the microbial quality of the solution diluted with dextrose injection, a 12 hour in-use period was recommended for the dextrose dilution. The compatibility





NDA 204958 Cangrelor Powder For (b) (4), Lyophilized data was determined to support a 24 hour in-use expiry when diluted with saline injection. Based on the data provided in the resubmission and the chemical and microbiological quality assessments of the proposed in-use periods from the first cycle review, we grant a 24 hour in-use period for the drug product solution when reconstituted with 5 mL of Sterile Water for Injection and diluted with 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection and stored at room temperature. We grant a 12 hour in-use period for the drug product solution when reconstituted with 5 mL of Sterile Water for Injection and diluted with 5% Dextrose Injection and stored at room temperature.

### <u>Stability Summary</u>

### Long-Term Stability Data

The original submission included data through six months at long-term conditions for the two batches manufactured at the commercial drug product manufacturing site  $\binom{(b)}{4}$ ; Batch TT248,  $\binom{(b)}{4}$  scale; Batch 00001,  $\binom{(b)}{4}$  scale) and data through 24 months at long-term conditions for one batch manufactured at the registration stability site  $\binom{(b)}{4}$ , Batch 2070017,  $\binom{(b)}{4}$  scale). The resubmission included data through 24 months at long-term conditions for the commercial drug product site batches ( $\binom{(b)}{4}$  Batches TT248 and 00001) and through 36 months for the registration stability batch  $\binom{(b)}{4}$  Batch 2070017).

<sup>(b) (4)</sup> <u>Batch:</u> All results complied with the acceptance criteria. A slight increase in was observed at Month 36 ( $\binom{(b)}{(4)}$ %) compared to Month 24 ( $\binom{(b)}{(4)}$ %).

<sup>(b) (4)</sup> <u>Batches:</u> All results complied with the acceptance criteria. A slight decrease in reconstitution time was observed for both batches.

#### In-Use Stability Data

The applicant revised the proposed drug product shelf-life from  $\binom{(b)}{(4)}$  months to 24 months based on the available long-term and in-use stability data. Although the long-term stability data supports the original  $\binom{(b)}{(4)}$  month shelf-life proposal, the applicant found that batches containing the highest observed contents of the  $\binom{(b)}{(4)}$  degradants resulted in  $\binom{(b)}{(4)}$ 

degradant contents that approached the specification limits for each degradant during the <sup>(b) (4)</sup>. Based on these results, the

applicant

, 24 months for the batches manufactured at the intended

commercial site. The original review noted that due to microbial in-use stability concerns, the in-use period for the reconstituted solution diluted with 5% Dextrose Injection is capped at 12 hours.

**Evaluation:** Adequate – The updated stability data support the use of the drug product through the proposed shelf-life when stored at controlled room temperature. However, the applicant revised the proposed drug product shelf-life based on results from the in-use stability study. Based on the data provided and in accordance with ICH Q1E, we grant a 24 month drug product shelf-life when stored at controlled room temperature in the intended commercial packaging configuration.

## A APPENDICES

## A.1 Facilities and Equipment (biotech only)



NDA 204958

Cangrelor Powder For (b) (4), Lyophilized

Not applicable

## A.2 Adventitious Agents Safety Evaluation

Not applicable

A.3 Excipients

Not applicable

- R REGIONAL INFORMATION
- R1 Executed Batch Records

The original submission included executed batch records.

### R2 Comparability Protocols

None

### R3 Methods Validation Package

Methods validation by FDA Labs was acceptable during the first review cycle. The resubmission does not include changes to the proposed regulatory analytical procedures.

## II. Review Of Common Technical Document-Quality (Ctd-Q) Module 1

#### A. Labeling

The applicant incorporated all recommended changes from a product quality perspective in the prescribing information during the first cycle.

**Evaluation:** Adequate – The new proposed proprietary name is **(b)**<sup>(4)</sup> The information regarding storage and in-use period was incorporated into the label during the first review cycle. <u>The carton, container, and PI are acceptable from a product quality perspective.</u>

#### **B.** Environmental Assessment Or Claim Of Categorical Exclusion

The original submission included a claim for categorical exclusion in accordance with 21 CFR 25.31 based on an expected introduction concentration of < 1 ppb. In addition, in accordance with 21 CFR 25.15(d), the applicant indicated that no extraordinary circumstances exist.

**Evaluation:** Adequate – The claim for categorical exclusion is granted.

## C. Establishment Inspection

NDA 204958 Cangrelor Powder For (b) (4), Lyophilized The Division of Inspectional Assessment in the Office of Process and Facilities evaluated five facilities and found all to be acceptable from a cGMP perspective. Two facilities submitted as part of the original submission were withdrawn from the resubmission on 04/14/2014 in Quality Response Sequence 0058 (59) – (b) (4) and (b) (4)

Although these facilities appear in the Panorama Inspection Report, these facilities no longer support NDA 204958 and do not impact the overall compliance recommendation. <u>The overall</u> compliance recommendation is approval. Refer to the Facility Primary Quality Assessment (Michael Shanks, 14-JUN-2015) and Panorama Inspection Report.

overall Ma ask Summary	Task Details Upd	j Inspecti	on Recom	mendatio				
ask Summary	Task Details Upd				n			
ction Managemer		ates Issues	Inspection M	anagement Form	m   👻			
pection Manager	nt Form						As of 8:4	4 AM
peccasi	ment Form							
\ 204958-Orig1-R	tesubmission/Class	2(64)						
		(	b) (4) CTL CONT	ROL TESTING LA	BORATOR	Y   Approve Facilit	ty - 2015-06-23 •	
		(b)	) (4) SVL SMALL	VOLUME PAREN	ITERAL IN		rove Facility - 2015-06-2	3 -
		(b) (4)	SN NON-STERILE	API BY CHEMIC	CAL SYNTH	ESIS I Approve Fa	cility - 2015-06-23	
	(b) (4)	SVI SMALL VO	UME PARENTER			ve Facility - 2015-	06-23 -	
		OTE OF FILE TO	(b) (4)	NON-STEPTIE A			Approve Eacility -	
)15-06-23 •			Con	I NOR-STERLLE A	AFT DT CHE	HICAL SHITHESIS	Approve raciity -	
			(b) (4	) CSN NON-STEE	RILE API E	Y CHEMICAL SYNT	HESIS   - •	
		(b) (4) CTL C	ONTROL TESTING	LABORATORY	- •			
rerall Manufacturing	g Inspection Recom	nendation						
Approve Withhold								
and Application Re (	voluction Data							
23/15								

## III. List Of Deficiencies To Be Communicated

None

### Kengreal (cangrelor) for Injection

### NDA 204958

# Summary Basis for Recommended Action from Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls

Applicant: The Medicines Company 8 Sylvan Way Parsippany, NJ 07054

Proposed Indication: Reduction of thrombotic cardiovascular events (including stent thrombosis) in patients with coronary artery disease undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI); to maintain P2Y12inhibition in patients with acute coronary syndromes (ACS) or patients with stents who are at increased risk for thrombotic events (such as stent thrombosis) when oral P2Y12 inhibitor therapy is interrupted due to surgery.

- **Presentation:** The product is supplied as a 50 mg sterile lyophilized powder in a single use, 10 ml glass vial.
- EER Status: Overall recommendation is "Acceptable" as of 15-Apr-2014
- **Consults:** ONDQA Biopharmaceutics Review not needed.

Microbiology- Acceptable with labeling change for in-use period (Steven P. Donald, 6-Jan-2014)

Methods Validation – Acceptable (7-Nov-2013, Michael L. Trehy)

EA – Categorical exclusion granted.

### Post-Approval Agreements: None

## **Drug Substance:**

The drug substance, cangrelor tetrasodium, is a new molecular entity. It is a white to offwhite, amorphous solid which contains four chiral centers. The drug substance is synthesized by a third party vendor and information is provided in a Drug Master File (DMF). The DMF was found to be "adequate" to support this application. The synthesis involves a multistep process.

The drug substance quality is ensured through in-process controls throughout the manufacturing process and the appropriate final drug substance specification. The drug substance acceptance specification includes tests and acceptance criteria for drug substance critical quality attributes, e.g., physical description, identification, counter ion assay, assay, specific rotation, impurities, residual solvents, heavy metals, microbial limits, water contents and endotoxin limits. The analytical procedures have been adequately described and validated to control the quality of the drug substance. The stability of the drug substance has been demonstrated through appropriate stability studies to support an assigned <sup>(b) (4)</sup> month retest period for the drug substance when stored at

## **Drug product:**

Kengreal (cangrelor) for injection is a lyophilized product which is supplied in a single use glass vial. Each vial contains <sup>(b) (4)</sup> mg of cangrelor tetrasodium salt which will deliver 50 mg of free acid after reconstitution. The product is intended for intravenous administration after reconstitution with WFI. The drug product formulation contains mannitol and sorbitol as excipients. The manufacturing process includes <sup>(b) (4)</sup>

The manufacturing process has appropriate in-process controls to ensure the quality of the drug product. The product quality is further ensured through end product testing. The end product specification includes testing for description, identification, assay, content uniformity, reconstitution time, degradation products, sterility, and endotoxin contents. All analytical procedures for the drug product are adequately described and validated. The provided stability data support the proposed <sup>(b) (4)</sup> month expiration period for this product. The in-use shelf-life of the diluted solution after initial reconstitution is limited to 12-hours in D5W and 24-hours in saline.

The drug product is stored at  $^{(b)(4)}$  with excursions permitted 15-30°C (59-86°F).

Conclusion: Adequate from CMC perspective.

## **Additional Items:**

All associated Drug Master Files are acceptable or the pertinent information has been adequately provided in the application.

**Overall Conclusion:** The application is recommended for "**Approval**" from CMC perspective. The Microbiology reviewer has recommended that the in-use period for D5W diluted product (b) (4) 12 hours in the labeling during labeling negotiations.

Ramesh K. Sood, Ph.D. Acting Director, DPA I/ONDQA

## This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

/s/

\_\_\_\_\_

RAMESH K SOOD 04/16/2014

**MEMORANDUM** 

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

DATE:15 APR 2014FROM:David J. Claffey, Ph.D., ONDQARE:NDA 204958SUBJECT:Approval Recommendation from a CMC perspective

CMC Review #1 recommended approval on receipt of an overall acceptable recommendation from CDER Office of Compliance (OC). The 14 APR 2014 amendment provided the requested updated 356(h) form which removed the shuttered application and clarified the responsibilities of the rather than a commercial drug product manufacturer. CDER OC issued an overall acceptable recommendation on 15 APR 2014. Therefore an overall approval recommendation can now be made from a CMC perspective.

Note that although the (b) (	<sup>9</sup> site manufactured t	hree registration stability bat	tches, the data from
batches manufactured at th	e (b) (4	sites support the proposed	<sup>1) (4)</sup> month expiry
period.			

## This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

/s/

\_\_\_\_\_

DAVID J CLAFFEY 04/15/2014





## NDA 204958

## **Cangrelor for Injection**

The Medicines Company

David J. Claffey, PhD

**ONDQA** 

Reference ID: 3421417





# **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents	2
Chemistry Review Data Sheet	3
The Executive Summary	7
I. Recommendations	7
A. Recommendation and Conclusion on Approvability	7
B. Recommendation on Phase 4 (Post-Marketing) Commitments, Agreements, and/or Risk Management Steps, if Approvable	7
II. Summary of Chemistry Assessments	7
A. Description of the Drug Product(s) and Drug Substance(s)	7
B. Description of How the Drug Product is Intended to be Used	8
C. Basis for Approvability or Not-Approval Recommendation	8
Chemistry Assessment	9
S DRUG SUBSTANCE	9
P DRUG PRODUCT	14





Chemistry Review Data Sheet

# **Chemistry Review Data Sheet**

- 1. NDA 204958
- 2. REVIEW #:1
- 3. REVIEW DATE: 12 DEC 2013
- 4. REVIEWER: David J Claffey, PhD
- 5. PREVIOUS DOCUMENTS:

Previous Documents

Document Date

## 6. SUBMISSION(S) BEING REVIEWED:

Submission(s) Reviewed	Document Date
Initial	30 APR 2013
Amendment N-0025 Response to IR	25 OCT 2013
Amendment N-0027 Response to IR	8 NOV 2013
Amendment N-0029 Response to IR	15 NOV 2013
Amendment N-0032 Response to IR	5 DEC 2013

## 7. NAME & ADDRESS OF APPLICANT:

Name:

Address:

Representative:

The Medicines Company Parsippany, NJ Stephen Sharman



Chemistry Review Data Sheet

Telephone:

973-290-6300

## 8. DRUG PRODUCT NAME/CODE/TYPE:

- a) Proprietary Name:
- b) Non-Proprietary Name (USAN): Cangrelor tetrasodium

(b) (4)

- c) Code Name/# (ONDC only): (b) (4) AR-C69931MX
- d) Chem. Type/Submission Priority (ONDC only):
  - Chem. Type: 1
  - Submission Priority: S

## 9. LEGAL BASIS FOR SUBMISSION: 505 (b) (1)

- 10. PHARMACOL. CATEGORY: Platelet inhibitor
- 11. DOSAGE FORM: Injection
- 12. STRENGTH/POTENCY: 50 mg
- 13. ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION: IV infusion
- 14. Rx/OTC DISPENSED: \_\_x\_Rx \_\_OTC
- 15. <u>SPOTS (SPECIAL PRODUCTS ON-LINE TRACKING SYSTEM):</u> \_\_\_\_\_SPOTS product – Form Completed

<u>x</u> Not a SPOTS product

# 16. CHEMICAL NAME, STRUCTURAL FORMULA, MOLECULAR FORMULA, MOLECULAR WEIGHT:

dichloro(((((2R,3R,4S,5R)-3,4-dihydroxy-2-(6-(2-(methylthio)ethylamino)-2-(3,3,3-trifluoropropylthio)-purin-9-yl)tetrahydrofuran-5-yl)methoxy)(hydroxy)





Chemistry Review Data Sheet

phosphoryloxy)(hydroxy)phosphoryl)methylphosphonic acid, tetrasodium salt

N6-[2-[(methylthio)ethyl]-2-[(3,3,3-trifluoropropyl)thio]-5'-adenylic acid, monoanhydride with (dichloromethylene)bis[phosphonic acid], tetrasodium salt



• 4Na

C17H21N5Cl2F3Na4O12P3S2 864.3 g/mol

## 17. RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

## A. DMFs:

DMF #	TYPE	HOLDER	ITEM REFERENCED	CODE <sup>1</sup>	STATUS <sup>2</sup>	DATE REVIEW COMPLETED	COMMENTS
(b) (4	I		(D) (4	3	Adequate	24 JUL 2008	
	Π			1	Adequate	12 DEC 2013	
	I			3	Adequate	30 NOV 2005	

<sup>1</sup>Action codes for DMF Table:

1-DMF Reviewed.

Other codes indicate why the DMF was not reviewed, as follows:

- 2-Type 1 DMF
- 3 Reviewed previously and no revision since last review
- 4 Sufficient information in application
- 5 Authority to reference not granted
- 6 DMF not available
- 7 Other (explain under "Comments")





## Chemistry Review Data Sheet

 $^2$  Adequate, Inadequate, or N/A (There is enough data in the application, therefore the DMF did not need to be reviewed)

#### **B. Other Documents:**

DOCUMENT	APPLICATION NUMBER	DESCRIPTION

## 18. STATUS:

ONDC:			
CONSULTS/ CMC RELATED	RECOMMENDATION	DATE	REVIEWER
REVIEWS		DITL	
Biometrics	Pending		
EES	Pending		
Pharm/Tox	Pending		
Biopharm	Review not needed	11 JUN 2013	Kareen Riviere
Methods Validation	Acceptable	7 NOV 2013	Michael L Trehy
EA	N/A		
Microbiology	Pending		

## 19. ORDER OF REVIEW (OGD Only)

The application submission(s) covered by this review was taken in the date order of receipt. \_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_ No If no, explain reason(s) below:





Executive Summary Section

## The Chemistry Review for NDA 204958

## The Executive Summary

## I. Recommendations

- **A. Recommendation and Conclusion on Approvability** Recommend approval from CMC perspective on receipt of an overall acceptable recommendation from CDER Office of Compliance.
- B. Recommendation on Phase 4 (Post-Marketing) Commitments, Agreements, and/or Risk Management Steps, if Approvable N/A

## **II. Summary of Chemistry Assessments**

## A. Description of the Drug Product(s) and Drug Substance(s)

**Drug substance:** The drug substance is a water-soluble white amorphous tetrasodium salt. It is an ATP analogue with a dichloromethylene group between the second and third phosphate and two different thioethyl groups on the base. It is designed as a P2Y<sub>12</sub> platelet receptor antagonist to block ADP-induced platelet activation and aggregation. It is stated to provide fast-onset, potent, and consistent P2Y<sub>12</sub> inhibition, with reversible binding and a half-life of 3 to 6 minutes. The proposed indications are for the reduction of thrombotic events (including stent thrombosis) in patients with coronary artery disease undergoing PCI and for maintaining P2Y<sub>12</sub> inhibition in ACS patients or patients with stents who are at increased risk for thrombotic events (such as stent thrombosis) when oral P2Y12 therapy is interrupted due to surgery. Details of its <sup>(b) (4)</sup>. This was found adequate manufacture and control are cross referenced to DMF to support this application (David Claffey, 10 DEC 2013). Manufacturing involves (b) (4) several synthetic steps. Two genotoxic impurities are controlled at intermediate and the bulk of the remaining impurities and residual reagents and solvents are controlled at drug substance release. The drug substance release specification was <sup>(b) (4)</sup> The drug substance is stored at <sup>(b) (4)</sup>. Data were adequately justified in DMF provided to support the proposed retest date.

**Drug product:** The drug product will be supplied as a sterile lyophilized <sup>(b) (4)</sup> in singleuse glass vial. Each vial is designed to deliver 50 mg of cangrelor (based on free acid) in 10 ml <sup>(b) (4)</sup> clear glass vials fitted with grey 20 mm <sup>(b) (4)</sup> rubber stopper and sealed with 20 mm aluminum 'flip-off' overseal. The vial contains a target <sup>(b) (4)</sup> mg of cangrelor tetrasodium (this amount includes both the sodium ion and a <sup>(b) (4)</sup> overage). Cangrelor is intended for intravenous administration after reconstitution with 5ml sterile





### **Executive Summary Section**

water for injection. The only excipients are mannitol and sorbitol. The proposed commercial formulation and single 50 mg strength was used for all the Phase III studies. The clinical product was manufactured at two sites, <sup>(b) (4)</sup>. The commercial product will be from lots of drug substance manufactured at <sup>(b) (4)</sup> The manufacturing process is typical for a manufactured at lyophilized IV-administered product. The lyophilization process was designed to <sup>(b) (4)</sup> which is can be stored at room product a stable temperature. Studies to demonstrate the compatibility of cangrelor with the infusion solutions were found to be adequate after additional studies were conducted at Agency request. The in-use expiry periods are limited by the results of microbial stability studies which support a 12 hour expiry period in D5W and 24 hours in saline. Drug interaction studies found cangrelor formed a precipitate with 18 out of 101 drug solutions tested. These incompatible drugs are listed in the proposed label. The drug product specification is typical for an intravenously administered product.

Data were provided from eight registration lots – three from <sup>(b)(4)</sup>, three from <sup>(b)(4)</sup>, there from <sup>(b)(4)</sup>, the proposed commercial site. Data up to 48 months were provided for the non-commercial sites and results through six months were provided for the commercial site. Accelerated data through six months were provided for each of the eight batches. All results remained within specified limits with no trends apparent apart from the <sup>(b)(4)</sup> and minor increase in total impurities. Few differences were apparent between batches or manufacturing sites – except for the slower reconstitution time from the lots originating from the <sup>(b)(4)</sup> site. The proposed <sup>(b)</sup> (months data is supported by real time data from four lots (two from each of the initial sites). Each of these were pilot scale batches. Although only six months data were provided from the proposed commercial site, the applicant states that the manufacturing process were identical

## B. Description of How the Drug Product is Intended to be Used

The drug product is intended for IV administration over two hours for PCI and up to 24 hours for bridging after reconstitution in 5.0 ml SWFI then dilution in 250 ml saline or D5W. Reconstituted drug can be stored up to 12 hours in D5W and 24 hours in saline.

## C. Basis for Approvability or Not-Approval Recommendation

The data provided in this application and the referenced DMFs were found acceptable from a CMC perspective. An approval recommendation is contingent upon an acceptable recommendation from CDER OC - which is pending at time of completion of this review.

44 Page(s) has been Withheld in Full as b4 (CCI/TS) immediately following this page

## This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

DAVID J CLAFFEY 12/12/2013

/s/

OLEN M STEPHENS 12/12/2013

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research

## METHODS VALIDATION REPORT SUMMARY

TO: David Claffey, CMC Reviewer Office of New Drug Quality Assessment (ONDQA) E-mail Address: david.claffey@fda.hhs.gov Phone: (301)-796 1343 Fax: (301)-796- 9747

#### FROM: FDA

Division of Pharmaceutical Analysis Michael Trehy, MVP Coordinator 645 S Newstead Avenue St. Louis, MO 63110 Phone: (314) 539-3815

Through: John Kauffman, Deputy Director Phone: (314) 539-2168

SUBJECT: Methods Validation Report Summary

Application Number: 204958

Name of Product: (b) (4) (cangrelor) for injection, 50 mg/10mL vial

Applicant: The Medicines Company

Applicant's Contact Person: Stephen Sherman, Sr. Director of Global Regulatory Affairs

Address: Stephen Sherman, Sr. Director of Global Regulatory Affairs

Telephone: (973) 290-6300 Fax:

Date Methods Validation Consult Request Form Received by DPA: 6/19/13

Date Methods Validation Package Received by DPA: 6/19/13

Date Samples Received by DPA: 9/12/13

Date Analytical Completed by DPA: 11/5/13

Laboratory Classification: **1.** Methods are acceptable for control and regulatory purposes.

2. Methods are acceptable with modifications (as stated in accompanying report).

**3.** Methods are unacceptable for regulatory purposes.

Comments: Summary of results is attached. Analyst's work sheets and chromatograms are available at <a href="http://ecmsweb.fda.gov:8080/webtop/drl/objectId/090026f88054a6f0">http://ecmsweb.fda.gov:8080/webtop/drl/objectId/090026f88054a6f0</a>



Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Division of Pharmaceutical Analysis St. Louis, MO 63101 Tel. (314) 539-3815

Date:	November 6, 2013
То:	David Claffey, CMC Reviewer
Through:	John Kauffman, Deputy Director, Division of Pharmaceutical Analysis
From:	Michael Trehy, Analyst
Subject:	Method Validation for NDA 204958 (cangrelor) for injection, 50 mg/10 mL vial

The following methods were evaluated and are acceptable for quality control and regulatory purposes:

1. Assay for Degradation Products in Cangrelor for Injection (HPLC)

The following methods were evaluated and are acceptable for quality control and regulatory purposes with modification:

1. Identification, Assay and Content Uniformity for Cangrelor in Cangrelor for Injection by HPLC

The applicant determines the assay percent using the <sup>(b) (4)</sup> 10 mL vial. DPA agrees that the calculation for assay and content uniformity is correct for time of release analysis. DPA suggests that for shelf-life analysis the measured mg/10 mL vial should be divided by the label claim which is 50 mg/10 mL vial rather than by <sup>(b)</sup> (4) mg/10 mL vial as shown in the calculation. This would result in <sup>(b) (4)</sup>% label claim at the time of release, which is within the specification limits.

Link to analyst's work sheets and chromatograms http://ecmsweb.fda.gov:8080/webtop/drl/objectId/090026f88054a6f0

Reference ID: 3403383

Page 3 of 3

# Summary of Results

## Identification, Assay and Content Uniformity for Cangrelor in Cangrelor for Injection by HPLC

## Identification

UV spectrum: Observed spectrum has two absorbance maxima at <sup>(b) (4)</sup> nm. Specification: The spectra from the sample preparation are comparable to the spectra from the reference standard with absorbance maxima at <sup>(b) (4)</sup> nm and <sup>(b) (4)</sup> nm. Passed

Retention time: <sup>(b)</sup> % difference in retention time from sample to standar	d
Limit $\overset{(b)}{(4)}\%$ . Passed	

Assay by HPLC $(b)$ $(4)$ Assay 1: $(b)$ $(4)$	<b>mg/10 mL vial)</b> Assay 2: (b) (4)	Average (2) (b) (4)	<sup>(b) (4)</sup> 0⁄0	Limit Passed
Content Uniformity	$\frac{1}{(4)} \frac{\text{HPLC}}{\%} \left( \frac{(5)}{(4)} \frac{\text{mg}}{1} \right)^{(4)} \frac{1}{\%} \operatorname{avg}(3) = (5)$	<b>10 mL vial)</b> <sup>(a) (4)</sup> % acceptance value	(b) (4)	Passed

## Assay for Degradation Products in Cangrelor for Injection (HPLC)

### **Degradation Products**

Average results for 3 samples tested						
area sum = sum of all peaks with area $\geq \frac{(b)}{3}$ % of of the area for cangrelor						
		area % = pe	eak area/area	sum * 100		
Peak ID	AVG-RT	RRT	area %	limit		
unknown				(b) (4	passed	
(b) (4)					passed	
unknown					passed	
(b) (4)	passed					
unknown	passed					
(b) (4)					passed	
unknown					passed	
(b) (4)					passed	
unknown					passed	
		area sum =	<sup>(b) (4)</sup> %	$\leq (b) (4) \%$	passed	

## NDA 204958

## This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

/s/

\_\_\_\_\_

MICHAEL L TREHY 11/07/2013

JOHN F KAUFFMAN 11/07/2013

## METHODS VALIDATION CONSULT REQUEST FORM

TO:	FDA Division of Pharmaceutical Analysis Attn: Michael Trehy Suite 1002 1114 Market Street
	St. Louis, MO 63101
FROM	: David Claffey, CMC Reviewer Kasturi Srinivasachar, CMC Lead Office of New Drug Quality Assessment (ONDQA) E-mail Address: david.claffey@fda.hhs.gov Phone: (301)-796 1343 Fax.: (301)-796 9747
Thr	ough: Ramesh Sood, Branch Chief
	Phone: (301)-796 1466
ar	ld
	ONDQA Methods Validation Project Manager
	Phone: (301)-796 1926

#### SUBJECT: Methods Validation Request

Application Number: NDA 204958

Name of Product: (b) (4) (cangrelor) for injection, 50 mg/10mL vial

Applicant: The Medicines Company

Applicant's Contact Person: Stephen Sherman, Sr. Director of Global Regulatory Affairs

Address: 8 Sylvan Way, Parsippany, NJ 07054

Telephone: 973-290 6300 Fax: Not available

Date NDA Received by CDER: 4-30-13	Submission Classification/Chemical Class: NME
Date of Amendment(s) containing the MVP: 4-30-13	Special Handling Required: No
DATE of Request: 6-18-13	DEA Class: N/A
Requested Completion Date: 9-18-13	Format of Methods Validation Package (MVP)
PDUFA User Fee Goal Date: 4-30-14	Paper X Electronic Mixed

We request suitability evaluation of the proposed manufacturing controls/analytical methods as described in the subject application. Please submit a letter to the applicant requesting the samples identified in the attached *Methods Validation Request*. Upon receipt of the samples, perform the tests indicated in Item 3 of the attached *Methods Validation Request* as described in the NDA. We request your report to be submitted in DARRTS promptly upon completion, but no later than 45 days from date of receipt of the required samples, laboratory safety information, equipment, components, etc. We request that you notify the ONDQA Methods Validation Requestor and the ONDQA Methods Validation Project Manager of the date that the validation process begins. If the requested completion date cannot be met, please promptly notify the ONDQA Methods Validation Requestor and the ONDQA Me

Upon completion of the requested evaluation, please assemble the necessary documentation (i.e., original work sheets, spectra, graphs, curves, calculations, conclusions, and accompanying *Methods Validation Report Summary*). The *Methods Validation Report Summary* should include a statement of your conclusions as to the suitability of the proposed methodology for control and regulatory purposes and be electronically signed by the laboratory director or by someone designated by the director via DARRTS. The ONDQA CMC Reviewer, ONDQA Methods Validation Project Manager, and ONDQA CMC Lead/Branch Chief should be included as cc: recipients for this document.

All information relative to this application is to be held confidential as required by 21 CFR 314.430.

MVP Refere	nce #	METHODS VALIDATION REQUEST					NDA # 204958	
$\Rightarrow$ ITEM	1: SAMP	LES AND ANY SPE	CIAL EQUIPM	ENT/REAGE	NTS BE	EING FOR	RWARDED	BY APPLICANT
ITEM			QUANTITY	C	CONTR	OL NO. C	OR OTHER I	DENTIFICATION
Reference			500 mg		<sup>(b) (4)</sup> .08	3.601		
Standard     150 vials       Cangrelor for     150 vials       Injection     (50mg/vial)								
(b) (4) ( (b) ( reference mate (b) (4)	4) erial		50 mg	) mg TBD				
(b)	(4) vrial		50 mg		IBD			
	2. Cont	ents of Attached M	ethods Valida	tion Packag	e			Volume/Page Number(s)
Statement	of Comp	osition of Finished	Dosage For	m(s)	•			3.2.P.1.
Specificatio	ons/Meth	nods for New Drug	Substance(s	)				2.3.8.4.1
Specificatio	ons/Meth	nods for Finished D	Dosage Form	(s)				3.2.P.5.1/3.2.P.5.2
Supporting	Data fo	r Accuracy, Specif	icity, etc.					3.2.P.5.3
Applicant's Test Results on NDS and Dosage Forms 3.2.R.2.1			3.2.R.2.1					
Other: MVP							3.2.R.2	
⇒ ITEM Perfor	3: <b>REQU</b> rm followi	ESTED DETERMIN ing tests as directed	ATIONS in applicant's	methods. Co	onduct A	ASSAY in	duplicate.	
Method ID	ID Method Title			Volume/Pag	ge <sup>M∨</sup> Ca att	Request ategory (see tached)		Comments
Drug Product	ID, Assay and Content Uniformity by HPLC		3.2.R.2.1/ Table 1		0	No method Validation F	number given Report in 3.2.P.5.3	
Drug Product	Assay of Degradation Products by HPLC		3.2.R.2.1/ Table 1		0	No method Validation F	number given Report in 3.2.P.5.3	

## **Methods Validation Request Criteria**

MV Request Category	Description
0	New Molecular Entity (NME) application, New Dosage Form or New Delivery System
1	Methods using new analytical technologies for pharmaceuticals which are not fully developed and/or accepted or in which the FDA laboratories lack adequate validation experience (e.g., NIR, Raman, imaging methods)
2	Critical analytical methods for certain drug delivery systems (e.g., liposomal and microemulsion parenteral drug products, transdermal and implanted drug products, aerosol, nasal, and dry powder inhalation systems, modified release oral dosage formulations with novel release mechanisms)
3	Methods for biological and biochemical attributes (e.g., peptide mapping, enzyme-based assay, bioassay)
4	Certain methods for physical attributes critical to the performance of a drug (e.g., particle size distribution for drug substance and/or drug product)
5	Novel or complex chromatographic methods (e.g., specialized columns/stationary phases, new detectors/instrument set-up, fingerprinting method(s) for a complex drug substance, uncommon chromatographic method

6	Methods for which there are concerns with their adequacy (e.g., capability of resolving closely eluting peaks, limits of detection and/or quantitation)
7	Methods that are subject to a "for cause" reason

## This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

\_\_\_\_\_

/s/

-----

KASTURI SRINIVASACHAR 06/18/2013

\_\_\_\_\_

RAMESH K SOOD 06/19/2013

YOUBANG LIU 06/19/2013

## Initial Quality Assessment Branch I

<b>OND Division:</b>	Division of Cardiovascular and Renal Products
NDA:	204958
Applicant:	The Medicines Co.
Letter Date:	30 April 2013
Status Date:	30 April 2013
<b>PDUFA Date:</b>	TBD
Tradename:	<sup>(b) (4)</sup> (proposed)
<b>Established Name:</b>	Cangrelor
Dosage Form:	Sterile lyophilized Powder for <sup>(b) (4)</sup> , 50 mg in 10 mL
Route of Administration:	IV
Indication:	Reduction of thrombotic cardiovascular events (including stent thrombosis) in patients with coronary artery disease undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention
Assessed by: ONDQA Fileability:	Kasturi Srinivasachar Yes



In the figure (R) or (S) denotes the configuration of each chiral center

#### Summary

This is a 505(b)(1) e-CTD NME NDA for cangrelor, a new direct acting  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor antagonist that blocks adenosine diphosphate induced platelet activation and aggregation. It is stated that cangrelor, administered intravenously provides fast-onset, potent and consistent  $P2Y_{12}$ inhibition, with reversible binding and a half-life of 3-6 minutes. Clinical development of cangrelor was carried out under IND 56812. There have been no CMC specific meetings with the Applicant and only one CMC issue was brought up in a multidisciplinary pre-NDA meeting held on 20 Nov. 2012. This concerned the extent of stability data that would be provided in the NDA both from registration batches at non-commercial sites and representative commercial scale batches from the proposed commercial manufacturing site. They were informed that their product stability proposal was reasonable and the shelf-life would be determined during NDA review. The tradename (<sup>(b)(4)</sup> has been proposed but not yet assessed by DMEPA.

#### **Drug Substance**

Cangrelor tetrasodium is a white to off-white solid which decomposes above 200°C. It is very water soluble but insoluble in ethanol and acetone at ambient temperature. It is a synthetic adenosine derivative with 4 chiral carbons which are derived from the starting material,  $\binom{(b)}{(4)}$ . Crude cangrelor tetrasodium is synthesized in 7 stages involving only  $\binom{(b)}{(4)}$ 

The drug substance

(b) (4)

is <sup>(b) (4)</sup>. The drug substance was first developed and manufactured by AstraZeneca and used in toxicology, Phase 1 and Phase 2 studies. <sup>(b) (4)</sup> was the manufacturer for Phase 3 studies and will also be the commercial supplier. The manufacturing process was

. Related substances are determined

by HPLC and ion chromatography methods. The specification includes tests for bacterial endotoxins, microbial limits and the absence of specified microorganisms. Data have been submitted for Phase 3 clinical and registration batches <sup>(b)(4)</sup>) as well as earlier batches manufactured by AstraZeneca for Phase 1 and 2 and toxicology studies. Stability data are provided in the DMF but summarized in the NDA. 36 months of long-term stability data are available under frozen storage conditions <sup>(b)(4)</sup> for 4 primary and 1 supportive batches of cangrelor tetrasodium. The batches were packaged A retest date of <sup>(b)</sup>(a) months is proposed. It is

stated that the drug substance

All CMC information for cangrelor tetrasodium is referenced to DMF <sup>(b) (4)</sup> held by the manufacturer, <sup>(b) (4)</sup>. However, a summary of the information is provided in Module 2, QOS. DMF <sup>(b) (4)</sup> was initially submitted in June 2005 and neither the original submission nor subsequent amendments have been reviewed.

## **Drug Product**

Cangrelor for injection is a 50 mg sterile lyophilized <sup>(b) (4)</sup> in a 10 mL single-use glass vial. It is intended for IV use after reconstitution with 5 mL sterile water for injection. Compendial grade mannitol, sorbitol, sodium hydroxide and water for injection are the excipients used in the (b) (4) and formulation. Mannitol <sup>(b) (4)</sup>. pH is adjusted with sodium hydroxide. In sorbitol addition, Phase 2 clinical trial formulations were manufactured in the pilot manufacturing area at AstraZeneca, UK. The drug product used in Phase 3 trials was <sup>(b) (4)</sup>. The manufactured at two sites, commercial manufacturing and primary packaging of Cangrelor for Injection will be performed at A lyophilized dosage form was chosen for this product in view of the long term instability of the (b) (4) drug substance in aqueous solution. The manufacturing process at the sites is <sup>(b) (4)</sup> commercial site except for an (b) (4) essentially the same as at the The proposed commercial (b) (4) manufacturing process starts with

The proposed specifications cover the standard tests for a lyophilized sterile dosage form i.e. reconstitution time and content uniformity in addition to assay, description, pH,

, identification, degradation products, particulate matter, endotoxins and sterility. Batch analysis data have been provided for 8 primary stability batches manufactured from 3 different sites including the proposed commercial manufacturing site. Stability data have been submitted for these batches under ICH long term and accelerated storage conditions. 48 months of long term data are provided for 4 registration batches and up to 36 months and 24 months of data, respectively, are available for 2 additional batches. A shelf-life of  $\binom{(b)}{(4)}$  months is proposed. In-use stability studies have been performed since this is a lyophilized product which is reconstituted with sterile water for injection and further diluted with either 5% dextrose or 0.9% sodium chloride in IV bags. It is proposed that the reconstituted solution in the vial and in the bag may be used up to  $\binom{(b)}{(4)}$  at room temperature.

## **Critical Review Issues**

## **Drug Substance**

- An in-depth review of DMF <sup>(b) (4)</sup> including the original submission and subsequent amendments, should be carried out paying particular attention to chirality issues (maintaining chirality during the manufacturing process), strategy for the control of potentially genotoxic impurities, purification procedures, including reprocessing, specifications for the starting materials and intermediate etc.
- It is stated that potentially genotoxic impurities are controlled at the intermediate (b) (4) and that the limits established at this stage would ensure that the levels in the drug substance do not exceed (b)  $\mu g / day$ . It should be noted that these impurities are all (b) (4) and presumably exert their toxicity by the same mechanism.

Consequently,  $\stackrel{(b)}{(4)}$  µg / day is the maximum permissible for the total of all potentially genotoxic impurities in the drug substance and not for individual impurities. Since these are not tested in the drug substance, are the acceptance criteria in  $\stackrel{(b)}{(4)}$  appropriate?

- Regarding Specifications
  - Are the limits for (b) (4) adequately justified? Is a Pharm/Tox consult needed?
  - o Is the (b)(4) level proposed ( $\leq (b)(4)$ %) acceptable?

## **Drug Product**

- Since this is a sterile lyophilized powder for <sup>(b) (4)</sup>, the major critical issues are the sterile manufacturing process, sterility assurance of the product after manufacture and maintenance of sterility over the shelf-life. These aspects are expected to be covered by the microbiology reviewer.
- Is  $a_{(4)}^{(b)}$ % overage of the drug substance in the formulation acceptable?
- Is a bulk hold time of <sup>(b)</sup>/<sub>(4)</sub>hrs acceptable given the solution instability of cangrelor?
- Is the manufacturing process, including the lyophilization cycle, described in adequate detail?
- It is stated that cangrelor for injection is compatible with either 5% dextrose injection or 0.9% sodium Chloride injection in IV bags at room temperature for up to <sup>(b)</sup><sub>(4)</sub> hrs in a concentration range of 0.1 mg/mL to 1.0 mg/mL. Were these studies done on aged samples which were close to the proposed expiration date?
- Regarding the UV ID test in the specification, shouldn't the maximum peak wavelengths be listed in the acceptance criteria instead of "conforms"?
- Can a <sup>(b)</sup><sub>(4)</sub>month expiration dating period be granted even without considering the data generated at <sup>(b) (4)</sup> which has continuing cGMP problems?
- The stability protocol for annual batches includes a footnote stating that 3, 6, 9 and 18 month time points may be eliminated after a significant body of data (i.e. 5 years of commercial experience) are available. Is this acceptable?

## Labeling

• Although the free acid, cangrelor, is correctly used as the nonproprietary name (amendment dated 5-15-2013) and the strength is based on this, the equivalency

statement to the sodium salt is missing from the container labels. Refer to MAPP 5021.1.

• The NDC number is missing in the How Supplied section of the PI

**Comments and Recommendations:** The NDA is fileable – see attached filing check list. Facilities have been entered into EES. The reviewer should verify the completeness of the entries. Methods validation will be requested shortly since this is an NME -- Drug Product: Identification, Assay and Content Uniformity by HPLC and Degradation Products by HPLC. Other methods, e.g. for drug substance, may be requested by the reviewer at a later date, if warranted on the basis of the DMF review. A categorical exclusion from Environmental Assessment has been requested. A single CMC reviewer is recommended since a major portion of the drug product information will be reviewed by the microbiology reviewer.

Kasturi Srinivasachar	<u>May. 31, 2013</u>
CMC Lead	Date
Ramesh Sood	<u>May. 31, 2013</u>
Branch Chief	Date

## PRODUCT QUALITY -- CMC and BIOPHARMACEUTICS FILING REVIEW FOR NDA

NDA Number:	NDA Type: 1	Established/Proper Name:
204958	Original NDA, N-000	<sup>(b) (4)</sup> (cangrelor)
Applicant: The	Letter Date: Apr 30, 2013	
Medicines Company	Stamp Date: Apr 30, 2013	<b>PDUFA Goal: TBD</b>

### CMC Reviewer: David Claffey

The following parameters are necessary in order to initiate a full review, i.e., complete enough to review but may have deficiencies. On **<u>initial</u>** overview of the NDA application for filing:

	A. GENERAL					
	Parameter	Yes	No	Comment		
1.	Is the CMC section organized adequately?	X				
2.	Is the CMC section indexed and paginated (including all PDF files) adequately?	X				
3.	Are all the pages in the CMC section legible?	X				
4.	Has all information requested during the IND phase, and at the pre-NDA meetings been included?	X				

	B. FACILITIES*						
	Parameter	Yes	No	Comment			
5.	Is a single, comprehensive list of all involved facilities available in one location in the application?	x					
6.	For a naturally-derived API only, are the facilities responsible for critical intermediate or crude API manufacturing, or performing upstream steps, specified in the application? If not, has a justification been provided for this omission? <b>This question is</b> <b>not applicable for synthesized</b> <b>API.</b>			NA			

<b>F</b>			
	Are drug substance manufacturing sites identified on FDA Form 356h or associated continuation sheet? For each site, does the application list: • Name of facility,		
7.	<ul> <li>Full address of facility including street, city, state, country</li> <li>FEI number for facility (if previously registered with FDA)</li> <li>Full name and title, telephone, fax number and email for on-site contact person.</li> <li>Is the manufacturing responsibility and function identified for each facility?, and</li> <li>DMF number (if applicable)</li> </ul>	х	
8.	<ul> <li>Are drug product manufacturing sites are identified on FDA Form 356h or associated continuation sheet. For each site, does the application list:</li> <li>Name of facility,</li> <li>Full address of facility including street, city, state, country</li> <li>FEI number for facility (if previously registered with FDA)</li> <li>Full name and title, telephone, fax number and email for on-site contact person.</li> <li>Is the manufacturing responsibility and function identified for each facility?, and</li> <li>DMF number (if applicable)</li> </ul>	Х	
9.	<ul> <li>Are additional manufacturing, packaging and control/testing laboratory sites are identified on FDA Form 356h or associated continuation sheet. For each site, does the application list:</li> <li>Name of facility,</li> <li>Full address of facility including street, city, state, country</li> <li>FEI number for facility (if previously registered with FDA)</li> <li>Full name and title, telephone, fax number and email for on-site contact person.</li> <li>Is the manufacturing responsibility and function identified for each facility?, and</li> <li>DMF number (if applicable)</li> </ul>	X	

|--|

\* If any information regarding the facilities is omitted, this should be addressed ASAP with the applicant and can be a *potential* filing issue or a *potential* review issue.

	C. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESMENT						
	Parameter	Yes	No	Comment			
	Has an environmental assessment						
11.	report or categorical exclusion	Х		Categorical exclusion requested			
	occir providcu?						

	D. DRUG SUBSTANCE/ACTIVE PHARMACEUTICAL INGREDIENT (DS/API)							
	Parameter	Yes	No	Comment				
12.	Does the section contain a description of the DS manufacturing process?	X		Cross-reference to DMF <sup>(b) (4)</sup>				
13.	Does the section contain identification and controls of critical steps and intermediates of the DS?	X		Cross-reference to DMF <sup>(b) (4)</sup>				
14.	Does the section contain information regarding the characterization of the DS?	X		Cross-reference to DMF <sup>(b) (4)</sup>				
15.	Does the section contain controls for the DS?	X		Cross-reference to DMF <sup>(b) (4)</sup>				
16.	Has stability data and analysis been provided for the drug substance?	X		Cross-reference to DMF <sup>(b) (4)</sup>				
17.	Does the application contain Quality by Design (QbD) information regarding the DS?		x					
18.	Does the application contain Process Analytical Technology (PAT) information regarding the DS?		X					

	E. DRUG PRODUCT (DP)					
	Parameter	Yes	No	Comment		
19.	Is there a description of manufacturing process and methods for DP production through finishing, including formulation, filling, labeling and packaging?	X				
20.	Does the section contain identification and controls of critical steps and intermediates of the DP, including analytical procedures and method validation reports for assay and related substances if applicable?	X				
21.	Is there a batch production record and a proposed master batch record?	X		No master batch record		
22.	Has an investigational formulations section been provided? Is there adequate linkage between the investigational product and the proposed marketed product?	X				
23.	Have any Comparability Protocols been requested?		X			
24.	Does the section contain description of to-be-marketed container/closure system and presentations)?	x				
25.	Does the section contain controls of the final drug product?	Х				
26.	Has stability data and analysis been provided to support the requested expiration date?	х				
27.	Does the application contain Quality by Design (QbD) information regarding the DP?		X			
28.	Does the application contain Process Analytical Technology (PAT) information regarding the DP?		X			

F. METHODS VALIDATION (MV)					
	Parameter	Yes	No	Comment	
29.	Is there a methods validation package?	X		Drug Product methods only	

	G. MICROBIOLOGY					
	Parameter	Yes	No	Comment		
30.	If appropriate, is a separate microbiological section included assuring sterility of the drug product?	x				

	H. MASTER FILES (DMF/MAF)						
	Parameter	Yes	No	Comment			
31.	Is information for critical DMF references (i.e., for drug substance and important packaging components for non- solid-oral drug products) complete?	x		LoA to DMF (b) (4) for DS and DMFs for vial and stoppers			

	I. LABELING					
	Parameter	Yes	No	Comment		
32.	Has the draft package insert been provided?	х				
33.	Have the immediate container and carton labels been provided?	X				

J. FILING CONCLUSION				
	Parameter	Yes	No	Comment
34.	IS THE PRODUCT			Fileable for Product Quality
	QUALITY AND	х		Thease for Froduct Quality.
	BIOPHARMACEUTICS			See Biopharmaceutics Filing Review for fileability of the Biopharm Section
	SECTIONS OF THE			
	<b>APPLICATION FILEABLE?</b>			
35.	If the NDA is not fileable from			
	the product quality perspective,			NA
	state the reasons and provide			
	filing comments to be sent to the			
	Applicant.			
36.	If the NDA is not fileable from			
	the biopharmaceutics			See Biopharrm filing review
	perspective, state the reasons and			
	provide filing comments to be			
	sent to the Applicant.			
37.	Are there any <b>potential review</b>			
	issues to be forwarded to the		Х	
	Applicant for the 74-day letter?			

## This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

/s/

\_\_\_\_\_

KASTURI SRINIVASACHAR 05/31/2013

RAMESH K SOOD 05/31/2013