# CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

**APPLICATION NUMBER:** 

209661Orig1s000

**OTHER REVIEW(S)** 

#### **MEMORANDUM**

#### **REVIEW OF REVISED LABEL AND LABELING**

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (DMEPA)

Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM)

Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE)

Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

**Date of This Memorandum:** November 4, 2016

**Requesting Office or Division:** Bone, Reproductive, and Urologic Products (DBRUP)

**Application Type and Number:** NDA 209661

**Product Name and Strength:** Bonjesta (doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine

hydrochloride) Extended-release Tablets 20 mg/20 mg

**Submission Date:** November 4, 2016

**Applicant/Sponsor Name:** Duchesnay

**OSE RCM #:** 2015-2525-1

**DMEPA Primary Reviewer:** Walter Fava, RPh., MSEd.

**DMEPA Acting Associate** 

**Director:** 

Danielle Harris, PharmD. BCPS

#### 1 PURPOSE OF MEMO

The Division of Bone, Reproductive, and Urologic Products (DBRUP) requested that we review the revised container label for Bonjesta (Appendix A) to determine if it is acceptable from a medication error perspective. The revisions are in response to recommendations that we made during a previous label and labeling review.<sup>a</sup>

#### 2 **CONCLUSION**

The revised container label for Bonjesta is acceptable from a medication error perspective. We have no further recommendations at this time.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Fava, W. Label and Labeling Review for doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride (NDA 209661). Silver Spring (MD): Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology, Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (US); 2016 OCT 24. 11 p. OSE RCM No.: 2015-2525.

## APPENDIX A. LABEL AND LABELING SUBMITTED ON NOVEMBER 3, 2016



This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

WALTER L FAVA
11/04/2016

DANIELLE M HARRIS 11/04/2016

## **Department of Health and Human Services Public Health Service Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research** Office of Medical Policy

#### PATIENT LABELING REVIEW

Date: October 26, 2016

To: Hylton V. Joffe, MD

Director

Division of Bone, Reproductive and Urologic Products

(DBRUP)

Through: LaShawn Griffiths, MSHS-PH, BSN, RN

Associate Director for Patient Labeling

**Division of Medical Policy Programs (DMPP)** 

Marcia Williams, PhD

Team Leader, Patient Labeling

**Division of Medical Policy Programs (DMPP)** 

From: Nyedra W. Booker, PharmD, MPH

Patient Labeling Reviewer

**Division of Medical Policy Programs (DMPP)** 

Lynn Panholzer, PharmD Regulatory Review Officer

Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP)

Review of Patient Labeling: Patient Package Insert (PPI) Subject:

Drug Name (established

TRADENAME (doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine

name):

hydrochloride)

Dosage Form and Route: extended-release tablets, for oral use

**Application** 

NDA 209661

Type/Number:

Applicant: Duchesnay Inc.

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

On September 1, 2016, Duchesnay Inc. submitted for the Agency's review an Original New Drug Application (NDA) 209661 for TRADENAME (doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride), extended-release tablets, for oral use. The Applicant is submitting NDA 209661 to introduce a new 20 mg doxylamine succinate/20 mg pyridoxine hydrochloride multilayer, extended-release tablet formulation. DICLEGIS (doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride) under NDA 021876 was approved on April 8, 2013 for the treatment of nausea and vomiting of pregnancy in women who do not respond to conservative management.

This collaborative review is written by the Division of Medical Policy Programs (DMPP) and the Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP) in response to a request by the Division of Bone, Reproductive and Urologic Products (DBRUP) on October 14, 2016 for DMPP and OPDP to review the Applicant's proposed Patient Pacakge Insert (PPI) for TRADENAME (doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride).

#### 2 MATERIAL REVIEWED

- Draft TRADENAME (doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride) Patient Package Insert (PPI) received on September 1, 2016, revised by the review division throughout the review cycle, and received by DMPP on October 21, 2016.
- Draft TRADENAME (doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride) Patient Package Insert (PPI) received on September 1, 2016, revised by the Review Division throughout the review cycle, and received by OPDP on October 25, 2016.
- Draft TRADENAME (doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride) Prescribing Information (PI) received on September 1, 2016, revised by the Review Division throughout the review cycle, and received by DMPP and OPDP on October 21, 2016.

#### 3 REVIEW METHODS

To enhance patient comprehension, materials should be written at a 6<sup>th</sup> to 8<sup>th</sup> grade reading level, and have a reading ease score of at least 60%. A reading ease score of 60% corresponds to an 8<sup>th</sup> grade reading level.

Additionally, in 2008 the American Society of Consultant Pharmacists Foundation (ASCP) in collaboration with the American Foundation for the Blind (AFB) published *Guidelines for Prescription Labeling and Consumer Medication Information for People with Vision Loss*. The ASCP and AFB recommended using fonts such as Verdana, Arial or APHont to make medical information more accessible for patients with vision loss. We reformatted the PPI document using the Arial font, size 10.

In our collaborative review of the PPI we:

- simplified wording and clarified concepts where possible
- ensured that the PPI is consistent with the Prescribing Information (PI)
- removed unnecessary or redundant information
- ensured that the PPI is free of promotional language or suggested revisions to ensure that it is free of promotional language
- ensured that the PPI meets the criteria as specified in FDA's Guidance for Useful Written Consumer Medication Information (published July 2006)

#### 4 CONCLUSIONS

The PPI is acceptable with our recommended changes.

#### 5 RECOMMENDATIONS

- Please send these comments to the Applicant and copy DMPP and OPDP on the correspondence.
- Our collaborative review of the PPI is appended to this memorandum. Consult DMPP and OPDP regarding any additional revisions made to the PI to determine if corresponding revisions need to be made to the PPI.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

4 Page(s) of Draft Labeling have been Withheld in Full as b4 (CCI/TS) immediately following this page

-----

# This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

.....

/s/

-----

NYEDRA W BOOKER 10/26/2016

LYNN M PANHOLZER 10/26/2016

MARCIA B WILLIAMS 10/26/2016

LASHAWN M GRIFFITHS 10/27/2016

## FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Office of Prescription Drug Promotion

## \*\*\*\*Pre-decisional Agency Information\*\*\*\*

## Memorandum

**Date:** October 26, 2016

**To:** George Lyght, Pharm.D.

Regulatory Project Manager

Division of Bone, Reproductive and Urologic Products (DBRUP)

From: Lynn Panholzer, Pharm.D.

Regulatory Review Officer

Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP)

**Subject:** Doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride extended-

release tablets (20mg/20mg)

NDA 209661

Labeling Consult Review

#### **Background**

This consult review is in response to DBRUP's October 14, 2016, request for OPDP's review of the draft package insert (PI), patient package insert (PPI), and carton/container labeling for Doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride extended-release tablets (20mg/20mg). We also refer to DBRUP's December 15, 2015 consult request for NDA 021876, supplement 10. This supplement was subsequently assigned a new NDA number.

OPDP reviewed the substantially complete version of the draft PI sent from DBRUP via email on October 21, 2016. Our comments on the PI are included directly on the attached copy of the labeling. We reviewed the draft container label submitted by the applicant on September 1, 2016, obtained from the EDR. Our comments on the container label are included directly on the attached copy of the label. Our review of the PPI will be conducted jointly with the Division of Medical Policy Programs and filed under separate cover.

OPDP appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on these materials. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Lynn Panholzer at 301-796-0616 or lynn.panholzer@fda.hhs.gov.

21 Page(s) of Draft Labeling have been Withheld in Full as b4 (CCI/TS) immediately following this page

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.
/s/
LYNN M PANHOLZER 10/26/2016

#### **LABEL AND LABELING REVIEW**

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis (DMEPA)
Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM)
Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE)
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

### \*\*\* This document contains proprietary information that cannot be released to the public\*\*\*

Date of This Review: October 24, 2016

**Requesting Office or Division:** Division of Bone, Reproductive, and Urologic Products

(DBRUP)

**Application Type and Number:** NDA 209661

**Product Name and Strength:** proprietary name pending (doxylamine succinate and

pyridoxine hydrochloride) extended release tablets 20 mg/20

mg

**Product Type:** Multi-Ingredient Product

Rx or OTC:

**Applicant/Sponsor Name:** Duchesnay

**Submission Date:** October 7, 2015

**OSE RCM #:** 2015-2525

**DMEPA Primary Reviewer:** Walter Fava, RPh., MSEd.

**DMEPA Team Leader:** Lolita White, PharmD.

#### 1 REASON FOR REVIEW

As part of the approval process for doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride 20 mg/20 mg extended-release tablets (NDA 209661), the Division of Bone, Reproductive, and Urologic Products (DBRUP) requests DMEPA to review the proposed labels and labeling for vulnerability to medication errors. This NDA 209661 provides for a new strength and extended-release formulation of doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride to support the treatment of nausea and vomiting of pregnancy in women who do not respond to conservative management.

#### 1.1 BACKGROUND INFORMAITON

Diclegis (doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride) was approved on April, 8, 2013 as a 10 mg/10 mg delayed-release tablet. Duchesnay submitted an efficacy supplement for NDA 21876/S-10 on October 7, 2015 which proposes for doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride 20 mg/20 mg extended-release tablet formulation. Due to the change in product characteristics (e.g. new dosage form) of the proposed doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride product, the submission was assigned a new NDA number (NDA 209661).

#### 2 MATERIALS REVIEWED

We considered the materials listed in Table 1 for this review. The Appendices provide the methods and results for each material reviewed.

Table 1. Materials Considered for this Label and Labeling Review		
Material Reviewed	Appendix Section (for Methods and Results)	
Product Information/Prescribing Information	A	
Previous DMEPA Reviews	В	
Human Factors Study	C (N/A)	
ISMP Newsletters	D	
FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS)*	E	
Other	F (N/A)	
Labels and Labeling	G	

N/A=not applicable for this review

<sup>\*</sup>We do not typically search FAERS for label and labeling reviews unless we are aware of medication errors through our routine postmarket safety surveillance

Table 1. Materials Considered for this Label and Labeling Review	
Material Reviewed	Appendix Section (for Methods and Results)

#### 3 OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE MATERIALS REVIEWED

DMEPA performed a risk assessment of the full prescribing information (PI) and container labels and identified the following deficiencies which may contribute to medication errors:

- 1. The dosage and administration section (in both the highlights of prescribing information and Section 2 of the Full PI) of the insert labeling lacks clarity regarding the recommended dose and dosing interval.
- 2. The dosage form and strength section contains information about the imprint code of the tablet. This information is misplaced and may lead to confusion.
- 3. The proposed container labels use graphics, and font styles, which compromise the clarity of the written text.

We provide recommendations in section 4.1 and section 4.2. to help minimize the potential for medication errors to occur with the use of the product.

#### 4 CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS

DMEPA concludes the proposed PI and container label can be improved to promote the safe use of the product and decrease risk of medication error. We provide recommendations in sections 4.1 and 4.2 below and advise they are implemented prior to approval of this application.

#### 4.1 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE DIVISION

I. Highlights of prescribing information

Consider revising the Dosage and Administration section of the Highlights of Full Prescribing Information as follows to clarify dosage instructions to promote safe use of the product:

On day 1 (b) (4), take one tablet orally at bedtime. On day (b), if symptoms are not adequately controlled, the dose can be increased to one tablet in the morning and on tablet at bedtime. The maximum recommended dose is two tablets per day (b) (4) as described in the full prescribing information.

- II. Full prescribing information
  - A. Section 2 Dosage and Administration

1. Consider adding the following dosing table and revising the Dosage and Administration section the Full Prescribing Information as follows to clarify dosage instructions to promote safe use of the product:



- 2. Revise the next statement from 'The maximum recommended dose is two tablets (one in the morning and one at bedtime) daily' to The maximum recommended dose is two tablets per day in divided doses at least 12 hours apart.
- B. Section 3 Dosage form and Strength
  - 1. The statement describing the imprint code, pink image of a pregnant woman on one side and a 'D' on the other side', should be removed from section 3 Dosage Form and Strength, since it is already included in section 16 How Supplied.

#### 4.2 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE DUCHESNAY

We recommend the following are implemented prior to approval of this supplement:

- I. Container Label
  - a) As currently presented, the curve shaped graphic on the container label is

    the graphic may pose risk of error in product selection. Revise the presentation of the graphic to improve readability of the name of your product.
  - b) As currently presented, the bolded letter, 'I' appears throughout the label. The bolded letter decreases readability and distracts the reader away from important text. Revise the font style so that all the letters in all statements have consistent font type and style to improve readability.
  - c) Revise the dosage form statement to read, 'extended release tablets'.

d) The container label does not include a lot number or expiration date. Lot number and expiration date are required on the immediate container in accordance with CFR 201.10(i) and 211.137. We recommend that you add an identifying lot number and an expiration date to the container label. Ensure that the lot number is clearly differentiated from the expiration date.

#### APPENDICES: METHODS & RESULTS FOR EACH MATERIALS REVIEWED

#### APPENDIX A. PRODUCT INFORMATION/PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

Table 2 presents relevant product information for doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride (Tradename to be determined) that Duchesnay submitted on October 7, 2015, along with product information for the currently marketed Diclegis.

Table 2. Relevant Product Information for doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride and Diclegis			
Product Name	Doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride	Diclegis	
Initial Approval Date	N/A – currently under review	April, 8, 2013	
Active Ingredient	Doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride	Doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride	
Indication	Treatment of nausea and vomiting of pregnancy in women who do not respond to conservative management	Treatment of nausea and vomiting of pregnancy in women who do not respond to conservative management	
Route of Administration	oral	oral	
Dosage Form	Extended-release tablets formulated as follows:  Immediate Release/Delayed Release Tablets  Immediate release (10 mg doxylamine succinate/10 mg pyridoxine HCl) + Delayed release (10 mg doxylamine succinate/10 mg pyridoxine HCl) for a total of 20 mg doxylamine succinate and 20 mg pyridoxine HCl	Delayed-release tablets	
Strength	20 mg/20 mg	10 mg/10 mg	
Dose and Frequency	One tablet by mouth at bedtime on day 1 (b) (4). If	Take 2 tablets by mouth at bedtime. Dose may be	

	symptoms persist, dose may be increased on day (4) to one tablet in the morning and one tablet at bedtime (b) (4).	increased to 4 tablets daily (One tablet by mouth in the morning, one tablet at midday, and two tablets at bedtime).
How Supplied/Container Closure	Bottles of 100 tablets	Bottles of 100 tablets
Storage	20°C to 25°C (68°F to 77°F); excursions permitted between 15°C and 30°C (59°F to 86°F) [see USP Controlled Room Temperature]	20°C to 25°C (68°F to 77°F); excursions permitted between 15°C and 30°C (59°F to 86°F) [see USP Controlled Room Temperature]

#### APPENDIX B. PREVIOUS DMEPA REVIEWS

#### **B.1** Methods

On June 9, 2016, we searched the L:drive and AIMS using the terms, Diclegis reviews previously performed by DMEPA.

#### **B.2** Results

Our search identified no previous label and labeling reviews.

#### APPENDIX C. HUMAN FACTORS STUDY

N/A

#### APPENDIX D. ISMP NEWSLETTERS

#### D.1 Methods

On March 10, 2016, we searched the Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP) newsletters using the criteria below, and then individually reviewed each newsletter. We limited our analysis to newsletters that described medication errors or actions possibly associated with the label and labeling.

ISMP Newsletters Search Strategy		
ISMP Newletter(s)	Acute Care, Community, and Nursing	
Search Strategy and Terms	Match Exact Word or Phrase: Diclegis	

#### D.2 Results

No articles found.

#### APPENDIX E. FDA ADVERSE EVENT REPORTING SYSTEM (FAERS)

#### E.1 Methods

We searched the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) on June 9, 2016 using the criteria in Table 3, and then individually reviewed each case. We limited our analysis to cases that described errors possibly associated with the label and labeling. We used the NCC MERP Taxonomy of Medication Errors to code the type and factors contributing to the errors when sufficient information was provided by the reporter.<sup>1</sup>

Table 3: FAERS Search Strategy				
Date Range	No date range specified			
Product	Diclegis			
Event (MedDRA Terms)	DMEPA Official FBIS Search Terms Event List:			
	Contraindicated Drug Administered (PT)			
	Drug Administered to Patient of Inappropriate Age (PT)			
	Inadequate Aseptic Technique in Use of Product (PT)			
	Medication Errors (HLGT)			
	Overdose (PT)			
	Prescribed Overdose (PT)			
	Prescribed Underdose (PT)			
	Product Adhesion Issue (PT)			
	Product Compounding Quality Issue (PT)			
	Product Formulation Issue (PT)			
	Product Label Issues (HLT)			
	Product Packaging Issues (HLT)			
	Product Use Issue (PT)			
	Underdose (PT)			

#### E.2 Results

Our search identified seven cases, none of which described errors relevant for this review.

We excluded all seven cases because they described the wrong drug being dispensed (n=1), overdoses where patients took an additional tablet to manage symptoms (n=2), underdoses where a patients took two tablets at bedtime and one tablet during the day to manage side

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention (NCC MERP) Taxonomy of Medication Errors. Website http://www.nccmerp.org/pdf/taxo2001-07-31.pdf.

effects or for reasons not specified (n=3), and accidental exposure involving an 18 month old child who may have ingested one or more tablets (n=1).

#### **E.3** List of FAERS Case Numbers

N/A

#### **E.4** Description of FAERS

The FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) is a database that contains information on adverse event and medication error reports submitted to FDA. The database is designed to support the FDA's postmarket safety surveillance program for drug and therapeutic biologic products. The informatic structure of the FAERS database adheres to the international safety reporting guidance issued by the International Conference on Harmonisation. FDA's Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology codes adverse events and medication errors to terms in the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) terminology. Product names are coded using the FAERS Product Dictionary. More information about FAERS can be found at: <a href="http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Surveillance/AdverseDrugEffects/default.htm">http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Surveillance/AdverseDrugEffects/default.htm</a>.

**APPENDIX F. Other Sources** 

N/A

#### APPENDIX G. LABELS AND LABELING

#### G.1 List of Labels and Labeling Reviewed

Using the principles of human factors and Failure Mode and Effects Analysis,<sup>2</sup> along with postmarket medication error data, we reviewed the following doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride labels and labeling submitted by Duchesnay on October 7, 2015.

- Container label
- Prescriber Instructions –no image

### G.2 Label and Labeling Images



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI). Failure Modes and Effects Analysis. Boston. IHI:2004.

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

WALTER L FAVA
10/24/2016

LOLITA G WHITE
10/24/2016

## 505(b)(2) ASSESSMENT

Application Information					
NDA # 209661	NDA Supplement #:		Efficacy Supplement Type		
Proprietary Name: Trad	ename (Diclegis (b) (4))				
		and pyr	idoxine hydrochloride extended-release		
tablets	•		•		
Dosage Form: extended	-release tablets				
Strengths: 20 mg/20 mg	, ,				
Applicant: Duchesnay I	nc. c/o Mapi USA Inc.				
Date of Receipt: October 7, 2015					
PDUFA Goal Date: Extension date – Action Goal Date (if different):			Goal Date (if different):		
November 7, 2016					
RPM: George Lyght, Ph					
		vomiting	g of pregnancy in women who do not		
respond to conservative management					
GENERAL INFORMATION					
product <i>OR</i> is the ap		mbinant	erived product and/or protein or peptide or biologically-derived product and/or proposed product?  YES NO		
If "YES "contact th	he $(b)(2)$ review staff in	the Im	mediate Office, Office of New Drugs.		

Page 1 Version: *January 2015* 

## INFORMATION PROVIDED VIA RELIANCE (LISTED DRUG OR LITERATURE)

2) List the information essential to the approval of the proposed drug that is provided by reliance on our previous finding of safety and efficacy for a listed drug by reliance on published literature, or by reliance on a final OTC monograph. (If not clearly identified by the applicant, this information can usually be derived from annotated labeling.)

Source of information* (e.g., published literature, name of listed drug(s), OTC final drug monograph)	Information relied-upon (e.g., specific sections of the application or labeling)
NDA 10598 Bendectin tablets	Nonclinical Section

3) The bridge in a 505(b)(2) application is information to demonstrate sufficient similarity between the proposed product and the listed drug(s) or to justify reliance on information described in published literature for approval of the 505(b)(2) product. Describe in detail how the applicant bridged the proposed product to the listed drug(s) and/or published literature<sup>1</sup>. See also Guidance for Industry Providing Clinical Evidence of Effectiveness for Human Drug and Biological Products.

A bridge between the delayed release tablets formulation and Bendectin Tablets was established in Duchesnay's NDA 021876 (Diclegis Delayed Release Tablets). In Duchesnay's NDA 209661 (Diclegis (b) (4) Extended Release Tablets), an in vivo Bioequivalence (BE) study was conducted between the delayed release tablets formulation and the extended release tablets formulation. *BE was established*.

#### RELIANCE ON PUBLISHED LITERATURE

4)	(a) Regardless of whether the applicant has explicitly stated a reliance on published literature to support their application, is reliance on published literature necessary to support the approval of the proposed drug product (i.e., the application <i>cannot</i> be approved as labeled without the published literature)?
	YES NO 🗵
	<del></del>
	If "NO," proceed to question #5
	(b) Does any of the published literature necessary to support approval identify a specific (e.g. brand name) <i>listed</i> drug product?
	YES NO
	If "NO", proceed to question #5
	If "YES", list the listed drug(s) identified by name and answer question #4(c)
	Rendectin Tablet

Page 2

Version: January 2015

<sup>\*</sup>each source of information should be listed on separate rows, however individual literature articles should not be listed separately

(c) Are the drug product(s) listed in (b) identified by the applicant as the listed drug(s)?  YES NO				
RELIANCE ON L	ISTED DRUG(S)			
Reliance on published literature which identifies a specific approved (listed) drug constitutes reliance on that listed drug. Please answer questions #5-9 accordingly.				
5) Regardless of whether the applicant has explicitly cited reliance on listed drug(s), does the application <b>rely</b> on the finding of safety and effectiveness for one or more listed drugs (approved drugs) to support the approval of the proposed drug product (i.e., the application cannot be approved without this reliance)?				
	YES If " <b>NO</b> ," pro	$S \boxtimes NO \square$ oceed to question #10.		
6) Name of listed drug(s) relied upon, and the NDA #(s). Please indicate if the applicant explicitly identified the product as being relied upon (see note below):				
Name of Listed Drug	NDA#	Did applicant specify reliance on the product? (Y/N)		
Bendectin Tablets	NDA 010598	Y		
Applicants should specify reliance on the 356h, in the cover letter, and/or with their patent certification/statement. If you believe there is reliance on a listed product that has not been explicitly identified as such by the applicant, please contact the (b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office, Office of New Drugs.  7) If this is a (b)(2) supplement to an original (b)(2) application, does the supplement rely upon the same listed drug(s) as the original (b)(2) application?  N/A YES NO If this application is a (b)(2) supplement to an original (b)(1) application or not a supplemental application, answer "N/A".  If "NO", please contact the (b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office, Office of New Drugs.				
8) Were any of the listed drug(s) relied upon for a) Approved in a 505(b)(2) application?  Name of drug(s) approved in a 5	YES If " <b>YES</b> ", ple	S		
b) Approved by the DESI process?  Name of drug(s) approved via th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ase list which $drug(s)$ .		
c) Described in a final OTC drug monograp	•			

Page 3 Version: *January 2015*  Name of drug(s) described in a final OTC drug monograph:

d)	Discontinued from marketing?		
ĺ	YES 🖂	NO	
	If "YES", please list which drug(s) and answer question a	l) i. be	low.
	If "NO", proceed to qu	uestion	ı #9.

Name of drug(s) discontinued from marketing: Bendectin Tablets

- i) Were the products discontinued for reasons related to safety or effectiveness?

  YES NO

  (Information regarding whether a drug has been discontinued from marketing for reasons of safety or effectiveness may be available in the Orange Book. Refer to section 1.11 for an explanation, and section 6.1 for the list of discontinued drugs. If a determination of the reason for discontinuation has not been published in the Federal Register (and noted in the Orange Book), you will need to research the archive file and/or consult with the review team. Do not rely solely on any statements made by the sponsor.)
- 9) Describe the change from the listed drug(s) relied upon to support this (b)(2) application (for example, "This application provides for a new indication, otitis media" or "This application provides for a change in dosage form, from capsule to solution").

This application provides for:

- 1. New strength
- 2. New dosing regimen
- 3. New formulation

The purpose of the following two questions is to determine if there is an approved drug product that is equivalent or very similar to the product proposed for approval that should be referenced as a listed drug in the pending application.

The assessment of pharmaceutical equivalence for a recombinant or biologically-derived product and/or protein or peptide product is complex. If you answered **YES to question** #1, proceed to question #12; if you answered **NO to question** #1, proceed to question #10 below.

10) (a) Is there a pharmaceutical equivalent(s) to the product proposed in the 505(b)(2) application that is already approved (via an NDA or ANDA)?

(Pharmaceutical equivalents are drug products in identical dosage forms intended for the same route of administration that: (1) contain identical amounts of the identical active drug ingredient, i.e., the same salt or ester of the same therapeutic moiety, or, in the case of modified release dosage forms that require a reservoir or overage or such forms as prefilled syringes where residual volume may vary, that deliver identical amounts of the active drug ingredient over the identical dosing period; (2) do not necessarily contain the same inactive ingredients; and (3) meet the identical compendial or other applicable standard of identity, strength, quality, and purity, including potency and, where applicable, content uniformity, disintegration times, and/or dissolution rates. (21 CFR 320.1(c), FDA's "Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations" (the Orange Book)).

Page 4 Version: *January 2015* 

equivalent must also be a combination of the same drug		арргочес	arugs,	a pnarmac	сеинсан	
			YES		NO	$\boxtimes$
If " <b>YES</b> " to (a), answe				eed to qu eed to qu		
(b) Is the pharmaceutical equivalent approved to	for the s	same ind	lication	for which	h the	
505(b)(2) application is seeking approval?			YES		NO	
(c) Is the listed drug(s) referenced by the appli	cation a	pharma	aceutica YES	l equival	ent? NO	
If this application relies only on non product-specific If "YES" to (c) and there are no additional pharmac question #12.  If "NO" or if there are additional pharmaceutical equipolication, list the NDA pharmaceutical equivalent of the products approved as ANDAs, but please note listed in the Orange Book. Please also contact the (b) Office of New Drugs.	eutical uivalen (s); you below i	equivale ts that a do <u>not</u> h f approv	ents lister are not it ave to be appropriate	ed, proce reference individua roved ger	ed to d by th elly list nerics d	all ire
Pharmaceutical equivalent(s):						
11) (a) Is there a pharmaceutical alternative(s) already	approve	ed (via a	n NDA	or AND	A)?	
(Pharmaceutical alternatives are drug products that co precursor, but not necessarily in the same amount or do such drug product individually meets either the identica applicable standard of identity, strength, quality, and pre content uniformity, disintegration times and/or dissoluti forms and strengths within a product line by a single manalternatives, as are extended-release products when con- formulations of the same active ingredient.)	sage for l or its o urity, inc on rates unufactu	m or as t wn respe luding po . (21 CF rer are th	he same ective co otency a TR 320.1 nus phar	salt or es mpendial nd, where (d)) Diffe maceutica	ter. Eac or other applica rent dos il	ch r uble, sage
<b>Note</b> that for proposed combinations of one or more pre alternative must also be a combination of the same drug		approved	l drugs,	a pharmae	ceutical	
		If "NO	YES O", proc	eed to qu	NO uestion	#12.
(b) Is the pharmaceutical alternative approved for	the sam	e indica	tion for	which th	ie	
505(b)(2) application is seeking approval?			YES	$\boxtimes$	NO	
(c) Is the approved pharmaceutical alternative(s) re	eference N/A	ed as the	listed o	drug(s)?	NO	
If this application relies only on non product-specific	publish	hed liter	ature, a	nswer "I	V/A"	

Page 5 Version: *January 2015*  If "YES"  $\underline{and}$  there are no additional pharmaceutical alternatives listed, proceed to question #12.

If "NO" <u>or</u> if there are additional pharmaceutical alternatives that are not referenced by the application, list the NDA pharmaceutical alternative(s); you do <u>not</u> have to individually list all of the products approved as ANDAs, but please note below if approved generics are listed in the Orange Book. Please also contact the (b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office, Office of New Drugs.

Pharmaceutical alternative(s): Bendectin Tablets

#### PATENT CERTIFICATION/STATEMENTS

12) List the patent numbers of all unexpired patents listed in the Orange Book for the listed drug(s) for which our finding of safety and effectiveness is relied upon to support approval of the (b)(2) product.
Listed drug/Patent number(s):  No patents listed  proceed to question #14
13) Did the applicant address (with an appropriate certification or statement) all of the unexpired patents listed in the Orange Book for the listed drug(s) relied upon to support approval of the (b)(2) product?
YES $\square$ NO $\square$ If "NO", list which patents (and which listed drugs) were not addressed by the applicant
Listed drug/Patent number(s):
14) Which of the following patent certifications does the application contain? (Check all that apply <u>and</u> identify the patents to which each type of certification was made, as appropriate.)
No patent certifications are required (e.g., because application is based solely on published literature that does not cite a specific innovator product)
21 CFR 314.50(i)(1)(i)(A)(1): The patent information has not been submitted to FDA. (Paragraph I certification)
Patent number(s):
21 CFR 314.50(i)(1)(i)(A)(3): The date on which the patent will expire. (Paragraph III certification)
Patent number(s): Expiry date(s):
21 CFR 314.50(i)(1)(i)(A)(4): The patent is invalid, unenforceable, or will not be infringed by the manufacture, use, or sale of the drug product for which the application is submitted. (Paragraph IV certification). <i>If Paragraph IV certification was submitted, proceed to question #15.</i>

Page 6

Version: January 2015

□ 21 CFR 314.50(i)(1)(iii): No relevant patents.  □ 21 CFR 314.50(i)(1)(iii): The patent on the listed drug is a method of use patent and the labeling for the drug product for which the applicant is seeking approval does not include any indications that are covered by the use patent as described in the corresponding use code in the Orange Book. Applicant must provide a statement that the method of use patent does not claim any of the proposed indications. (Section viii statement)  Patent number(s):  Method(s) of Use/Code(s):  15) Complete the following checklist ONLY for applications containing Paragraph IV certification and/or applications in which the applicant and patent holder have a licensing agreement:  (a) Patent number(s):  (b) Did the applicant submit a signed certification stating that the NDA holder and patent owner(s) were notified that this b(2) application was filed [21 CFR 314.52(b)]?  YES □ NO □  If "NO", please contact the applicant and request the signed certification.  (c) Did the applicant submit documentation showing that the NDA holder and patent owner(s) received the notification [21 CFR 314.52(e)]? This is generally provided in the form of a registered mail receipt.  YES □ NO □  If "NO", please contact the applicant and request the documentation.  (d) What is/are the date(s) on the registered mail receipt(s) (i.e., the date(s) the NDA holder and patent owner(s) received notification):  Date(s):  Note, the date(s) entered should be the date the notification occurred (i.e., delivery date(s)), not the date of the submission in which proof of notification was provided  (e) Has the applicant been sued for patent infringement within 45-days of receipt of the notification is information UNLESS the applicant provided a written statement from the notified patent owner(s) that it consents to an immediate effective date of approval.  YES □ NO □ Patent owner(s) consent(s) to an immediate effective date of approval.		21 CFR 314.50(i)(3): Statement that applicant has a licensing agreement with the NDA holder/patent owner (must also submit certification under 21 CFR 314.50(i)(1)(i)(A)(4) above). If the applicant has a licensing agreement with the NDA holder/patent owner, proceed to question #15.
and the labeling for the drug product for which the applicant is seeking approval does not include any indications that are covered by the use patent as described in the corresponding use code in the Orange Book. Applicant must provide a statement that the method of use patent does not claim any of the proposed indications. (Section viii statement)  Patent number(s):  Method(s) of Use/Code(s):  15) Complete the following checklist <i>ONLY</i> for applications containing Paragraph IV certification and/or applications in which the applicant and patent holder have a licensing agreement:  (a) Patent number(s):  (b) Did the applicant submit a signed certification stating that the NDA holder and patent owner(s) were notified that this b(2) application was filed [21 CFR 314.52(b)]?  YES  NO  NO  If "NO", please contact the applicant and request the signed certification.  (c) Did the applicant submit documentation showing that the NDA holder and patent owner(s) received the notification [21 CFR 314.52(e)]? This is generally provided in the form of a registered mail receipt.  YES  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO  N		21 CFR 314.50(i)(1)(ii): No relevant patents.
Method(s) of Use/Code(s):  15) Complete the following checklist <i>ONLY</i> for applications containing Paragraph IV certification and/or applications in which the applicant and patent holder have a licensing agreement:  (a) Patent number(s):  (b) Did the applicant submit a signed certification stating that the NDA holder and patent owner(s) were notified that this b(2) application was filed [21 CFR 314,52(b)]?  YES		and the labeling for the drug product for which the applicant is seeking approval does not include any indications that are covered by the use patent as described in the corresponding use code in the Orange Book. Applicant must provide a statement that the method of use patent does not claim any of the proposed
certification and/or applications in which the applicant and patent holder have a licensing agreement:  (a) Patent number(s): (b) Did the applicant submit a signed certification stating that the NDA holder and patent owner(s) were notified that this b(2) application was filed [21 CFR 314.52(b)]?  YES NO SES N		
(b) Did the applicant submit a signed certification stating that the NDA holder and patent owner(s) were notified that this b(2) application was filed [21 CFR 314.52(b)]?  YES NO  If "NO", please contact the applicant and request the signed certification.  (c) Did the applicant submit documentation showing that the NDA holder and patent owner(s) received the notification [21 CFR 314.52(e)]? This is generally provided in the form of a registered mail receipt.  YES NO  If "NO", please contact the applicant and request the documentation.  (d) What is/are the date(s) on the registered mail receipt(s) (i.e., the date(s) the NDA holder and patent owner(s) received notification):  Date(s):  Note, the date(s) entered should be the date the notification occurred (i.e., delivery date(s)), not the date of the submission in which proof of notification was provided  (e) Has the applicant been sued for patent infringement within 45-days of receipt of the notification listed above?  Note that you may need to call the applicant (after 45 days of receipt of the notification) to verify this information UNLESS the applicant provided a written statement from the notified patent owner(s) that it consents to an immediate effective date of approval.  YES NO Patent owner(s) consent(s) to an immediate effective date of	cert	ification and/or applications in which the applicant and patent holder have a licensing
(c) Did the applicant submit documentation showing that the NDA holder and patent owner(s) received the notification [21 CFR 314.52(e)]? This is generally provided in the form of a registered mail receipt.  YES NO If "NO", please contact the applicant and request the documentation.  (d) What is/are the date(s) on the registered mail receipt(s) (i.e., the date(s) the NDA holder and patent owner(s) received notification):  Date(s):  Note, the date(s) entered should be the date the notification occurred (i.e., delivery date(s)), not the date of the submission in which proof of notification was provided  (e) Has the applicant been sued for patent infringement within 45-days of receipt of the notification listed above?  Note that you may need to call the applicant (after 45 days of receipt of the notification) to verify this information UNLESS the applicant provided a written statement from the notified patent owner(s) that it consents to an immediate effective date of approval.  YES NO Patent owner(s) consent(s) to an immediate effective date of	` '	Did the applicant submit a signed certification stating that the NDA holder and patent owner(s) were notified that this b(2) application was filed [21 CFR 314.52(b)]?  YES NO
If "NO", please contact the applicant and request the documentation.  (d) What is/are the date(s) on the registered mail receipt(s) (i.e., the date(s) the NDA holder and patent owner(s) received notification):  Date(s):  Note, the date(s) entered should be the date the notification occurred (i.e., delivery date(s)), not the date of the submission in which proof of notification was provided  (e) Has the applicant been sued for patent infringement within 45-days of receipt of the notification listed above?  Note that you may need to call the applicant (after 45 days of receipt of the notification) to verify this information UNLESS the applicant provided a written statement from the notified patent owner(s) that it consents to an immediate effective date of approval.  YES NO Patent owner(s) consent(s) to an immediate effective date of	(c)	Did the applicant submit documentation showing that the NDA holder and patent owner(s) received the notification [21 CFR 314.52(e)]? This is generally provided in the form of a registered mail receipt.
Date(s):  Note, the date(s) entered should be the date the notification occurred (i.e., delivery date(s)), not the date of the submission in which proof of notification was provided  (e) Has the applicant been sued for patent infringement within 45-days of receipt of the notification listed above?  Note that you may need to call the applicant (after 45 days of receipt of the notification) to verify this information UNLESS the applicant provided a written statement from the notified patent owner(s) that it consents to an immediate effective date of approval.  YES \[ \Boxedow{\text{NO}} \] Patent owner(s) consent(s) to an immediate effective date of		If "NO", please contact the applicant and request the documentation.
Note, the date(s) entered should be the date the notification occurred (i.e., delivery date(s)), not the date of the submission in which proof of notification was provided  (e) Has the applicant been sued for patent infringement within 45-days of receipt of the notification listed above?  Note that you may need to call the applicant (after 45 days of receipt of the notification) to verify this information UNLESS the applicant provided a written statement from the notified patent owner(s) that it consents to an immediate effective date of approval.  YES NO Patent owner(s) consent(s) to an immediate effective date of	(d)	
Note that you may need to call the applicant (after 45 days of receipt of the notification) to verify this information UNLESS the applicant provided a written statement from the notified patent owner(s) that it consents to an immediate effective date of approval.  YES NO Patent owner(s) consent(s) to an immediate effective date of		Note, the date(s) entered should be the date the notification occurred (i.e., delivery
to verify this information <b>UNLESS</b> the applicant provided a written statement from the notified patent owner(s) that it consents to an immediate effective date of approval.  YES NO Patent owner(s) consent(s) to an immediate effective date of	(e)	
		to verify this information UNLESS the applicant provided a written statement from the

Page 7 Version: *January 2015* 

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.
/s/
GEORGE A LYGHT 10/19/2016

## **RPM FILING REVIEW**

(Including Memo of Filing Meeting)

To be completed for all new NDAs, BLAs, and Efficacy Supplements [except SE8 (labeling change with clinical data) and SE9 (manufacturing change with clinical data]

	Applica	tion Informat	ion
NDA # 021876	NDA Supplement		Efficacy Supplement Category:
BLA#	BLA Supplement #		New Indication (SE1)
			New Dosing Regimen (SE2)
			New Route Of Administration (SE3)
			Comparative Efficacy Claim (SE4)
			New Patient Population (SE5)
			Rx To OTC Switch (SE6)
			Accelerated Approval Confirmatory Study
			(SE7)
			Labeling Change With Clinical Data (SE8)
			Manufacturing Change With Clinical Data
			(SE9)
			Animal Rule Confirmatory Study (SE10)
Proprietary Name: DICLE			
Established/Proper Name:	doxylamine succinat	te and pyridoxine	e hydrochloride
Dosage Form:	(5) (4)		
Strengths: 20 mg/20 mg			
Applicant: Duchesnay Inc.		T	
Agent for Applicant (if app		Inc.	
Date of Application: Octob			
Date of Receipt: October 7			
Date clock started after UN		A -4: C1 D	-t- (:C 1:::: 2016
PDUFA/BsUFA Goal Date Filing Date: December 6, 2			ate (if different): August 5, 2016  Meeting: November 20, 2015
Chemical Classification (or		Date of Filling	wieeting. November 20, 2015
Type 1- New Molecular E		d Novy Combinatio	on.
1 <u> </u>	- 1		Oosage Form; New Active Ingredient and New
Combination	dient, New Active ing	redient and New 1	Josage Polin, New Active Ingredient and New
Type 3- New Dosage Form	n· New Dosage Form a	and New Combina	ntion
Type 4- New Combination		and ivew comonic	MIOII
Type 5- New Formulation			
Type 7- Drug Already Ma			
Type 8- Partial Rx to OTO			
		atment of nausea	a and vomiting in patients who do not
respond to conservative ma			
1			
Type of Original NDA:			505(b)(1)
AND (if applicable	e)		505(b)(2)
Type of NDA Supplement:			∑ 505(b)(1)
			505(b)(2)
If 505(b)(2): Draft the "505(l	b)(2) Assessment" revi	ew found at:	
http://inside.fda.gov:9003/CDER/Of	jiceojNewDrugs/Immediate	<u>UJJICE/UUM02/499.</u>	

Version: 7/10/2015

Type of BLA			_	51(a)	
If 351(k), notify the OND Therapeutic Biolog	ics and Biosimilars Te	eam	🗀 35	51(k)	
Review Classification:			$\boxtimes$ S	tandarc	l
			□ P	riority	
The application will be a priority review if: <ul> <li>A complete response to a pediatric W</li> </ul>	vittan Raguast (WR) v	vac	 	1	WD
included (a partial response to a WR				ediatrio IDP	CWK
the labeling should also be a priority					Disease Priority
The product is a Qualified Infectious	\-	,		w Vou	
<ul> <li>A Tropical Disease Priority Review V</li> <li>A Pediatric Rare Disease Priority Re</li> </ul>					Rare Disease Priority
<u> </u>				w Vou	
Resubmission after withdrawal?		nission a		tuse to	file?
Part 3 Combination Product?	Convenience kit/Co Pre-filled drug deliv			em (cv	ringe natch etc.)
If yes, contact the Office of					(syringe, patch, etc.)
Combination Products (OCP) and copy	Device coated/impr	-		-	
them on all Inter-Center consults	Device coated/impr	_			_
	Separate products re	equiring	cross-l	abeling	
	Drug/Biologic	لممحمط س		. a . 1 a la a 1	in a of assessed
nro	Possible combination ducts	n based	on cros	ss-iadei	ing of separate
	Other (drug/device/	biologic	al prod	uct)	
			•		
Fast Track Designation	PMC response				
Breakthrough Therapy Designation (set the submission property in DARRTS and	PMR response:	(05(a))]			
notify the CDER Breakthrough Therapy		` / -	liatric s	tudies (	FDCA Section
Program Manager)	505B)	aroa pou		(	T D CI I D C CIO
Rolling Review Orphan Designation				firmato	ry studies (21 CFR
Cipitan Designation	314.510/21 CF		,		
Rx-to-OTC switch, Full					s to verify clinical
Rx-to-OTC switch, Partial	benefit and sai	ety (21 <b>(</b>	CFK 31	4.010/2	21 CFR 601.42)
☐ Direct-to-OTC					
Other:					
Collaborative Review Division ( <i>if OTC pr.</i>	oduct):				
(0 1	<i></i>				
List referenced IND Number(s): 072300		I ~			
Goal Dates/Product Names/Classifica		YES	NO	NA	Comment
PDUFA/BsUFA and Action Goal dates co system?	rrect in tracking		🖳		
system:					
If no, ask the document room staff to correct					
These are the dates used for calculating inspe					
Are the established/proper and applicant natracking system?	ames correct in		🖳		
tracking system:					
If no, ask the document room staff to make th	e corrections. Also,				
ask the document room staff to add the establ					I

					T
to the supporting IND(s) if not already entered into track system.	king				
Is the review priority (S or P) and all appropriate classifications/properties entered into tracking system chemical classification, combination product classification.	` •				
orphan drug)? Check the New Application and New Sup Notification Checklists for a list of all classifications/pro					
at: <a href="http://inside.fda.gov:9003/CDER/OfficeofBusinessProcessSupport/ucmm">http://inside.fda.gov:9003/CDER/OfficeofBusinessProcessSupport/ucmm</a> <a href="mailto:moreofbusinessProcessSupport/ucmm">m</a>	n163969.ht				
If no, ask the document room staff to make the appropria	ate				
Application Integrity Policy		YES	NO	NA	Comment
Is the application affected by the Application Integrit (AIP)? Check the AIP list at: <a href="http://www.fda.gov/ICECI/EnforcementActions/ApplicationIntegrityPotents">http://www.fda.gov/ICECI/EnforcementActions/ApplicationIntegrityPotents</a>					
<u>.htm</u> <b>If yes</b> , explain in comment column.					
If offeeted by AID has OC have notified of the subm					
If affected by AIP, has OC been notified of the subn If yes, date notified:	nission?				
User Fees		YES	NO	NA	Comment
Is Form 3397 (User Fee Cover Sheet)/Form 3792 (Bit				1112	
User Fee Cover Sheet) included with authorized sign	ature?				
<u>User Fee Status</u>	Payment UserFee				heck daily email from
If a user fee is required and it has not been paid (and it	OSCIT CCI	m(w)uu.	ms.gov)	<b>,</b> .	
is not exempted or waived), the application is	Paid				
unacceptable for filing following a 5-day grace period. Review stops. Send Unacceptable for Filing (UN) letter		npt (orpl			,
and contact user fee staff.			, sman	busines	ss, public health)
	Paymen		r user f	èes:	
If the firm is in arrears for other fees (regardless of	Not i	in arrear	C		
whether a user fee has been paid for this application),	In ar		3		
the application is unacceptable for filing (5-day grace period does not apply). Review stops. Send UN letter and contact the user fee staff.					
<b>User Fee Bundling Policy</b>				<b>-</b> 1	ey been appropriately
Refer to the guidance for industry, Submitting Separate	applied?	•	r you ar	e not su	re, consult the User
Marketing Applications and Clinical Data for Purposes	Tee Sugj				
of Assessing User Fees at: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulator					
yInformation/Guidances/UCM079320.pdf	Yes No				
505(1)(2)		VEC	NO	NIA	C
505(b)(2) (NDAs/NDA Efficacy Supplements only)		YES	NO	NA	Comment
Is the application a 505(b)(2) NDA? (Check the 356h f	form,				

Version: 7/10/2015

cover letter, and annotated labeling). <b>If yes</b> , answer the bull questions below:	eted				
Is the application for a duplicate of a listed drug and eligible for approval under section 505(j) as an ANDA	Δ?				
<ul> <li>Is the application for a duplicate of a listed drug whos</li> </ul>					
only difference is that the extent to which the active					
ingredient(s) is absorbed or otherwise made available	to				
the site of action is less than that of the reference liste	I				
drug (RLD)? [see 21 CFR 314.54(b)(1)].					
Is the application for a duplicate of a listed drug whose	se 🗆				
only difference is that the rate at which the proposed					
product's active ingredient(s) is absorbed or made					
available to the site of action is unintentionally less th	nan				
that of the listed drug [see 21 CFR 314.54(b)(2)]?					
If you answered yes to any of the above bulleted questions, the	e				
application may be refused for filing under 21 CFR					
314.101(d)(9). Contact the 505(b)(2) review staff in the Immed	diate				
Office of New Drugs for advice.		+			
• Is there unexpired exclusivity on another listed drug		$  \sqcup  $			
product containing the same active moiety (e.g., 5-year	ar,				
3-year, orphan, or pediatric exclusivity)?					
Check the Electronic Orange Book at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/ob/default.cfm					
mtp.//www.uccessuutu.juu.gov/scrtpts/cue//ob/ue/juuti.c/m					
If yes, please list below:					
11 yes, preuse list below.					
Application No. Drug Name Exclusiv	rity Code	Exc	lusivity [	Expiration	
	rity Code	Exc	lusivity	Expiration	
	rity Code	Exc	lusivity	Expiration	
Application No. Drug Name Exclusiv					
Application No. Drug Name Exclusive  If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another lie	isted drug pro	oduct con	taining t	he same activ	
Application No. Drug Name Exclusive If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another la a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of	isted drug pro	oduct con	taining t	he same activ	vides
Application No. Drug Name Exclusive If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another la a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be so	isted drug pro exclusivity ex ubmitted four	oduct con cpires (un	taining to less the d	he same activ applicant provate of approva	vides ıl.)
Application No. Drug Name Exclusive If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another la a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be so Pediatric exclusivity will extend both of the timeframes in this p	isted drug pro exclusivity ex ubmitted four provision by (	oduct con pires (un years afi months.	taining to less the de er the do 21 CFR	he same activ applicant prova ate of approva 314.108(b)(2)	vides ıl.)
Application No. Drug Name Exclusive If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another late a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be supported as Pediatric exclusivity will extend both of the timeframes in this punchastic Unexpired, 3-year exclusivity may block the approval but not the	isted drug pro exclusivity ex ubmitted four provision by ( he submission	oduct con pires (un years afi months. of a 505	taining the less the defender the defender the defender the less than th	he same activ applicant prov ate of approva 314.108(b)(2) pplication.	vides ul.) ).
Application No. Drug Name Exclusive If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another la a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be so Pediatric exclusivity will extend both of the timeframes in this punexpired, 3-year exclusivity may block the approval but not the Exclusivity	isted drug pro exclusivity ex ubmitted four provision by (	oduct conspires (un ryears aft months. of a 505	taining to less the de er the do 21 CFR	he same activ applicant prova ate of approva 314.108(b)(2)	vides ul.) ).
Application No. Drug Name Exclusive If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another lateral a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted exclusivity will extend both of the timeframes in this punexpired, 3-year exclusivity may block the approval but not the Exclusivity  Does another product (same active moiety) have orphan	isted drug pro exclusivity ex ubmitted four provision by 6 the submission  YES	oduct con pires (un years afi months. of a 505	taining the less the defender the defender the defender the less than th	he same activ applicant prov ate of approva 314.108(b)(2) pplication.	vides ul.) ).
Application No. Drug Name Exclusive If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another la a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be so Pediatric exclusivity will extend both of the timeframes in this punexpired, 3-year exclusivity may block the approval but not the Exclusivity	isted drug pro exclusivity ex ubmitted four provision by 6 the submission  YES	oduct conspires (un ryears aft months. of a 505	taining the less the defender the defender the defender the less than th	he same activ applicant prov ate of approva 314.108(b)(2) pplication.	vides ul.) ).
If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another lia a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be so Pediatric exclusivity will extend both of the timeframes in this punexpired, 3-year exclusivity may block the approval but not the Exclusivity  Does another product (same active moiety) have orphan exclusivity for the same indication? Check the Orphan Drugesignations and Approvals list at:  http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/opdlisting/oopd/index.cfm	isted drug profesclusivity exubmitted four provision by the submission we submission with the submission w	oduct conspires (un ryears aft months. of a 505	taining ta less the de er the de 21 CFR (b)(2) ap <b>NA</b>	he same activ applicant prov ate of approva 314.108(b)(2) pplication.	vides ul.) ).
Application No. Drug Name Exclusive If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another late a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted exclusivity will extend both of the timeframes in this punexpired, 3-year exclusivity may block the approval but not the Exclusivity  Does another product (same active moiety) have orphan exclusivity for the same indication? Check the Orphan Drug Designations and Approvals list at:  http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/opdlisting/oopd/index.cfm  If another product has orphan exclusivity, is the product	isted drug profescularity exulusivity exulusivity exulusivity exulusion by the submission YES	oduct conspires (un ryears aft months. of a 505	taining the less the defender the defender the defender the less than th	he same activ applicant prov ate of approva 314.108(b)(2) pplication.	vides ul.) ).
If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another la a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted exclusivity will extend both of the timeframes in this punexpired, 3-year exclusivity may block the approval but not the Exclusivity  Does another product (same active moiety) have orphan exclusivity for the same indication? Check the Orphan Drudesignations and Approvals list at:  http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/opdlisting/oopd/index.cfm  If another product has orphan exclusivity, is the productions of the orphan product to be the same product according to the orphan	isted drug profescularity exulusivity exulusivity exulusivity exulusion by the submission YES	oduct conspires (un ryears aft months. of a 505	taining ta less the de er the de 21 CFR (b)(2) ap <b>NA</b>	he same activ applicant prov ate of approva 314.108(b)(2) pplication.	vides ul.) ).
Application No. Drug Name Exclusive If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another late a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted exclusivity will extend both of the timeframes in this punexpired, 3-year exclusivity may block the approval but not the Exclusivity  Does another product (same active moiety) have orphan exclusivity for the same indication? Check the Orphan Drug Designations and Approvals list at:  http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/opdlisting/oopd/index.cfm  If another product has orphan exclusivity, is the product	isted drug profescularity exulusivity exulusivity exulusivity exulusion by the submission YES	oduct conspires (un ryears aft months. of a 505	taining ta less the de er the de 21 CFR (b)(2) ap <b>NA</b>	he same activ applicant prov ate of approva 314.108(b)(2) pplication.	vides ul.) ).
If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another la a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be so Pediatric exclusivity will extend both of the timeframes in this punexpired, 3-year exclusivity may block the approval but not the Exclusivity  Does another product (same active moiety) have orphan exclusivity for the same indication? Check the Orphan Drudesignations and Approvals list at:  http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/opdlisting/oopd/index.cfm  If another product has orphan exclusivity, is the product onsidered to be the same product according to the orphan drug definition of sameness [see 21 CFR 316.3(b)(13)]?  If yes, consult the Director, Division of Regulatory Policy II,	isted drug profescularity exulusivity exulusivity exulusivity exulusion by the submission YES	oduct conspires (un ryears aft months. of a 505	taining ta less the de er the de 21 CFR (b)(2) ap <b>NA</b>	he same activ applicant prov ate of approva 314.108(b)(2) pplication.	vides ul.) ).
Application No. Drug Name Exclusive If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another lateral a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted exclusivity will extend both of the timeframes in this punexpired, 3-year exclusivity may block the approval but not the Exclusivity  Does another product (same active moiety) have orphan exclusivity for the same indication? Check the Orphan Drug Designations and Approvals list at:  http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/opdlisting/oopd/index.cfm  If another product has orphan exclusivity, is the product onsidered to be the same product according to the orphan drug definition of sameness [see 21 CFR 316.3(b)(13)]?  If yes, consult the Director, Division of Regulatory Policy II, Office of Regulatory Policy	isted drug profesculativity exulusivity exulusivity exulusivity exulusion by the submission YES	oduct conspires (un years afit months.) of a 505	taining ta less the de er the de 21 CFR (b)(2) ap <b>NA</b>	he same activ applicant prov ate of approva 314.108(b)(2) pplication.	vides ul.) ).
If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another la a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted until the period of the timeframes in this punch under the Exclusivity  Does another product (same active moiety) have orphan exclusivity for the same indication? Check the Orphan Drubesignations and Approvals list at:  http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/opdlisting/oopd/index.cfm  If another product has orphan exclusivity, is the product considered to be the same product according to the orphan drug definition of sameness [see 21 CFR 316.3(b)(13)]?  If yes, consult the Director, Division of Regulatory Policy II, Office of Regulatory Policy  NDAs/NDA efficacy supplements only: Has the applications and the product according to the orphan drug definition of Sameness [see 21 CFR 316.3(b)(13)]?	isted drug profesculativity exulusivity exulusivity exulusivity exulusion by the submission YES	oduct conspires (un ryears aft months. of a 505	taining ta less the de er the de 21 CFR (b)(2) ap <b>NA</b>	he same activ applicant prov ate of approva 314.108(b)(2) pplication.	vides ul.) ).
Application No. Drug Name Exclusive If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another lateral a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted exclusivity will extend both of the timeframes in this punexpired, 3-year exclusivity may block the approval but not the Exclusivity  Does another product (same active moiety) have orphan exclusivity for the same indication? Check the Orphan Drug Designations and Approvals list at:  http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/opdlisting/oopd/index.cfm  If another product has orphan exclusivity, is the product onsidered to be the same product according to the orphan drug definition of sameness [see 21 CFR 316.3(b)(13)]?  If yes, consult the Director, Division of Regulatory Policy II, Office of Regulatory Policy	isted drug profesculativity exulusivity exulusivity exulusivity exulusion by the submission YES	oduct conspires (un years afit months.) of a 505	taining ta less the de er the de 21 CFR (b)(2) ap <b>NA</b>	he same activ applicant prov ate of approva 314.108(b)(2) pplication.	vides ul.) ).
If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another la a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted until the period of the sum application and be submitted until the period be the approval but not the submitted until the period but not the submitted until the period of period until the	isted drug profesculativity exulusivity exulusivity exulusivity exulusion by the submission YES	oduct conspires (un years afit months.) of a 505	taining ta less the de er the de 21 CFR (b)(2) ap <b>NA</b>	he same activ applicant prov ate of approva 314.108(b)(2) pplication.	vides ul.) ).
If there is unexpired, 5-year exclusivity remaining on another la a 505(b)(2) application cannot be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted until the period of paragraph IV patent certification; then an application can be submitted until the period of the timeframes in this punch under the Exclusivity  Does another product (same active moiety) have orphan exclusivity for the same indication? Check the Orphan Drubesignations and Approvals list at:  http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/opdlisting/oopd/index.cfm  If another product has orphan exclusivity, is the product considered to be the same product according to the orphan drug definition of sameness [see 21 CFR 316.3(b)(13)]?  If yes, consult the Director, Division of Regulatory Policy II, Office of Regulatory Policy  NDAs/NDA efficacy supplements only: Has the applications and the product according to the orphan drug definition of Sameness [see 21 CFR 316.3(b)(13)]?	isted drug profesculativity exulusivity exulusivity exulusivity exulusion by the submission YES	oduct conspires (un years afit months.) of a 505	taining ta less the de er the de 21 CFR (b)(2) ap <b>NA</b>	he same activ applicant prov ate of approva 314.108(b)(2) pplication.	vides ul.) ).

therefore, requesting exclusivity is not required.						
<b>NDAs only</b> : Is the proposed product a single enantiomer of a						
racemic drug previously approved for a different therapeutic						
use?						
If yes, did the applicant: (a) elect to have the single						
enantiomer (contained as an active ingredient) not be						
considered the same active ingredient as that contained in an						
already approved racemic drug, and/or (b): request						
exclusivity pursuant to section 505(u) of the Act (per						
FDAAA Section 1113)?						
If yes, contact the Orange Book Staff (CDER-Orange Book Staff).						
<b>BLAs only:</b> Has the applicant requested 12-year exclusivity						
under section 351(k)(7) of the PHS Act?						
If yes, notify Marlene Schultz-DePalo, CDER Purple Book Manager						
Note: Exclusivity requests may be made for an original BLA						
submitted under Section 351(a) of the PHS Act (i.e., a biological						
reference product). A request may be located in Module 1.3.5.3						
and/or other sections of the BLA and may be included in a						
supplement (or other correspondence) if exclusivity has not been						
previously requested in the original 351(a) BLA. An applicant can						
receive exclusivity without requesting it; therefore, requesting exclusivity is not required.						
coordinately is not required.						
Format and Con						
		aper (ex		t for (	COL)	
		lectroni				
Do not check mixed submission if the only electronic component is the content of labeling (COL).	☐ Mixe	d (pape	r/ele	ectron	ic)	
component is the content of tubeting (COL).						
	CTD	CED				
	Non-		. /	OTI	2)	
If wived (non-on/alcotyonic) submission which nexts of	Mixe	a (CIL	/noi	1-C 1 1	J)	
<b>If mixed (paper/electronic) submission</b> , which parts of the application are submitted in electronic format?						
Overall Format/Content	VEC		ī	NIA	Commont	
	$\underline{\boxtimes}$		<u>1</u>	NA	Comment	
<b>If electronic submission,</b> does it follow the eCTD guidance? <sup>1</sup>	$\triangle$	_	J			
					1	
•						
If not, explain (e.g., waiver granted).	$\square$		7			
If not, explain (e.g., waiver granted).  Index: Does the submission contain an accurate			]			
If not, explain (e.g., waiver granted).  Index: Does the submission contain an accurate comprehensive index?			]			
If not, explain (e.g., waiver granted).  Index: Does the submission contain an accurate comprehensive index?  Is the submission complete as required under 21 CFR						
If not, explain (e.g., waiver granted).  Index: Does the submission contain an accurate comprehensive index?						

 $\underline{http://www\ fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm072349.}\\ \underline{pdf}$ 

☐ legible ☐ English (or translated into English) ☐ pagination ☐ navigable hyperlinks (electronic submissions only)  If no, explain.  BLAs only: Companion application received if a shared or divided manufacturing arrangement?				
If you DI A #				
If yes, BLA #				
Forms and Certifications				
Electronic forms and certifications with electronic signatures (scales) are acceptable. Otherwise, paper forms and certifications with Forms include: user fee cover sheet (3397/3792), application form disclosure (3454/3455), and clinical trials (3674); Certifications acceptification(s), field copy certification, and pediatric certification	n hand-written s n (356h), patent include: debarn	ignature tinforma	s must b tion (35	pe included. 142a), financial
Application Form	YES	NO	NA	Comment
Is form FDA 356h included with authorized signature per 21 CFR 314.50(a)?				
21 CFR 314.50(a)?  If foreign applicant, a U.S. agent must sign the form [see 21]				
21 CFR 314.50(a)?				
21 CFR 314.50(a)?  If foreign applicant, a U.S. agent must sign the form [see 21 CFR 314.50(a)(5)].  Are all establishments and their registration numbers listed		□ NO	□ NA	Comment
21 CFR 314.50(a)?  If foreign applicant, a U.S. agent must sign the form [see 21 CFR 314.50(a)(5)].  Are all establishments and their registration numbers listed on the form/attached to the form?  Patent Information		NO	NA	Comment
21 CFR 314.50(a)?  If foreign applicant, a U.S. agent must sign the form [see 21 CFR 314.50(a)(5)].  Are all establishments and their registration numbers listed on the form/attached to the form?  Patent Information (NDAs/NDA efficacy supplements only)  Is patent information submitted on form FDA 3542a per 21	YES	NO NO	NA NA	Comment
21 CFR 314.50(a)?  If foreign applicant, a U.S. agent must sign the form [see 21 CFR 314.50(a)(5)].  Are all establishments and their registration numbers listed on the form/attached to the form?  Patent Information (NDAs/NDA efficacy supplements only)  Is patent information submitted on form FDA 3542a per 21 CFR 314.53(c)?	YES			
21 CFR 314.50(a)?  If foreign applicant, a U.S. agent must sign the form [see 21 CFR 314.50(a)(5)].  Are all establishments and their registration numbers listed on the form/attached to the form?  Patent Information (NDAs/NDA efficacy supplements only)  Is patent information submitted on form FDA 3542a per 21 CFR 314.53(c)?  Financial Disclosure  Are financial disclosure forms FDA 3454 and/or 3455 included with authorized signature per 21 CFR 54.4(a)(1) and (3)?  Forms must be signed by the APPLICANT, not an Agent [see 21 CFR 54.2(g)].	YES  YES			
21 CFR 314.50(a)?  If foreign applicant, a U.S. agent must sign the form [see 21 CFR 314.50(a)(5)].  Are all establishments and their registration numbers listed on the form/attached to the form?  Patent Information (NDAs/NDA efficacy supplements only)  Is patent information submitted on form FDA 3542a per 21 CFR 314.53(c)?  Financial Disclosure  Are financial disclosure forms FDA 3454 and/or 3455 included with authorized signature per 21 CFR 54.4(a)(1) and (3)?  Forms must be signed by the APPLICANT, not an Agent [see 21 CFR 54.2(g)].  Note: Financial disclosure is required for bioequivalence studies	YES  YES			
21 CFR 314.50(a)?  If foreign applicant, a U.S. agent must sign the form [see 21 CFR 314.50(a)(5)].  Are all establishments and their registration numbers listed on the form/attached to the form?  Patent Information (NDAs/NDA efficacy supplements only)  Is patent information submitted on form FDA 3542a per 21 CFR 314.53(c)?  Financial Disclosure  Are financial disclosure forms FDA 3454 and/or 3455 included with authorized signature per 21 CFR 54.4(a)(1) and (3)?  Forms must be signed by the APPLICANT, not an Agent [see 21 CFR 54.2(g)].  Note: Financial disclosure is required for bioequivalence studies that are the basis for approval.	YES  YES	NO D	NA NA	Comment
21 CFR 314.50(a)?  If foreign applicant, a U.S. agent must sign the form [see 21 CFR 314.50(a)(5)].  Are all establishments and their registration numbers listed on the form/attached to the form?  Patent Information (NDAs/NDA efficacy supplements only)  Is patent information submitted on form FDA 3542a per 21 CFR 314.53(c)?  Financial Disclosure  Are financial disclosure forms FDA 3454 and/or 3455 included with authorized signature per 21 CFR 54.4(a)(1) and (3)?  Forms must be signed by the APPLICANT, not an Agent [see 21 CFR 54.2(g)].  Note: Financial disclosure is required for bioequivalence studies	YES  YES			

If no, ensure that language requesting submission of the form is included in the acknowledgement letter sent to the applicant				
<b>Debarment Certification</b>	YES	NO	NA	Comment
Is a correctly worded Debarment Certification included with authorized signature?			$\boxtimes$	
Certification is not required for supplements if submitted in the original application; If foreign applicant, <u>both</u> the applicant and the U.S. Agent must sign the certification [per Guidance for Industry: Submitting Debarment Certifications].				
<b>Note:</b> Debarment Certification should use wording in FD&C Act Section 306(k)(1) i.e., "[Name of applicant] hereby certifies that it did not and will not use in any capacity the services of any person debarred under section 306 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act in connection with this application." Applicant may not use wording such as, "To the best of my knowledge"				
Field Copy Certification (NDAs/NDA efficacy supplements only)	YES	NO	NA	Comment
For paper submissions only: Is a Field Copy Certification (that it is a true copy of the CMC technical section) included?				
Field Copy Certification is not needed if there is no CMC technical section or if this is an electronic submission (the Field Office has access to the EDR)				
If maroon field copy jackets from foreign applicants are received, return them to CDR for delivery to the appropriate field office.				
Controlled Substance/Product with Abuse Potential	YES	NO	NA	Comment
For NMEs: Is an Abuse Liability Assessment, including a proposal for scheduling, submitted per 21 CFR 314.50(d)(5)(vii)?				
If yes, date consult sent to the Controlled Substance Staff:				
For non-NMEs:				
Date of consult sent to Controlled Substance Staff:				
Pediatrics	YES	NO	NA	Comment
PREA				
Does the application trigger PREA?				
If yes, notify PeRC@fda.hhs.gov to schedule required PeRC meeting <sup>2</sup>				

http://inside.fda.gov:9003/CDER/OfficeofNewDrugs/ImmediateOffice/PediatricandMaternalHealthStaff/uc

Note: NDAs/BLAs/efficacy supplements for new active				
ingredients (including new fixed combinations), new indications,				
new dosage forms, new dosing regimens, or new routes of				
administration trigger PREA. All waiver & deferral requests,				
pediatric plans, and pediatric assessment studies must be				
reviewed by PeRC prior to approval of the application/supplement.				
If the application triggers PREA, is there an agreed Initial		$\vdash$		(b) (4)
Pediatric Study Plan (iPSP)?				
2 0000000000000000000000000000000000000				/Diclegis has
If no, may be an RTF issue - contact DPMH for advice.				a commitment study
				(2033-1)
If required by the agreed iPSP, are the pediatric studies				
outlined in the agreed iPSP completed and included in the				
application?				
If no, may be an RTF issue - contact DPMH for advice.				
BPCA:				
Is this submission a complete response to a pediatric				
Written Request?				
TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT				
If yes, notify Pediatric Exclusivity Board RPM (pediatric				
exclusivity determination is required) <sup>3</sup>		1		
- ·	VEC	NO	TAT A	Commont
Proprietary Name	YES	NO	NA	Comment
- ·	YES 🖂	NO	NA	Comment
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?		NO	NA	Comment
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the		NO	NA	Comment
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for		NO	NA	Comment
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."				
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS		NO	NA NA	Comment
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."				
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS  Is a REMS submitted?		NO		
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS		NO		
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS Is a REMS submitted?  If yes, send consult to OSE/DRISK and notify OC/OSI/DSC/PMSB via the CDER OSI RMP mailbox	YES	NO 🖂		
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS Is a REMS submitted?  If yes, send consult to OSE/DRISK and notify OC/OSI/DSC/PMSB via the CDER OSI RMP mailbox  Prescription Labeling	YES   Not app	NO 🖂	NA	
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS Is a REMS submitted?  If yes, send consult to OSE/DRISK and notify OC/OSI/DSC/PMSB via the CDER OSI RMP mailbox	YES  Not app  Package	NO	NA PI)	Comment
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS Is a REMS submitted?  If yes, send consult to OSE/DRISK and notify OC/OSI/DSC/PMSB via the CDER OSI RMP mailbox  Prescription Labeling	YES  Not app  Package Patient P	NO   Sicable   Insert (Package	NA PI) Insert (	Comment (PPI)
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS Is a REMS submitted?  If yes, send consult to OSE/DRISK and notify OC/OSI/DSC/PMSB via the CDER OSI RMP mailbox  Prescription Labeling	YES  Not app  Package Patient F Instruction	NO   Sicable   Insert (Package ons for	NA  PI) Insert ( Use (IF	Comment  (PPI) TU)
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS Is a REMS submitted?  If yes, send consult to OSE/DRISK and notify OC/OSI/DSC/PMSB via the CDER OSI RMP mailbox  Prescription Labeling	YES  Not app  Package Patient P Instruction Medicati	NO  Cackage ons for ion Guid	NA  PI) Insert ( Use (IF	Comment  (PPI) TU)
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS Is a REMS submitted?  If yes, send consult to OSE/DRISK and notify OC/OSI/DSC/PMSB via the CDER OSI RMP mailbox  Prescription Labeling	YES  Not app  Package Patient F Instruction Medicati Carton la	NO   Sicable   Insert (Package ons for Guidabels	NA PI) Insert ( Use (IF) de (Mee	Comment  (PPI) TU) dGuide)
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS Is a REMS submitted?  If yes, send consult to OSE/DRISK and notify OC/OSI/DSC/PMSB via the CDER OSI RMP mailbox  Prescription Labeling	YES  Not app  Package Patient F Instructic Medicati Carton la Immedia	NO   Sicable   Insert (Package ons for Guidabels	NA PI) Insert ( Use (IF) de (Mee	Comment  (PPI) TU) dGuide)
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS Is a REMS submitted?  If yes, send consult to OSE/DRISK and notify OC/OSI/DSC/PMSB via the CDER OSI RMP mailbox  Prescription Labeling	YES  Not app  Package Patient P Instruction Medicati Carton la Immedia Diluent	NO   Sackage ons for ion Guidabels atte contains the cont	NA PI) Insert ( Use (IF) de (Mee	Comment  (PPI) TU) dGuide)
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS Is a REMS submitted?  If yes, send consult to OSE/DRISK and notify OC/OSI/DSC/PMSB via the CDER OSI RMP mailbox  Prescription Labeling	YES  Not app  Package Patient F Instruction Medicati Carton la Immedia Diluent Other (sp	Insert (Package ons for Guidabels atte contage opecify)	NA PI) Insert ( Use (IF) de (Mediainer la	Comment  (PPI) TU) dGuide) bels
Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS  Is a REMS submitted?  If yes, send consult to OSE/DRISK and notify OC/OSI/DSC/PMSB via the CDER OSI RMP mailbox  Prescription Labeling  Check all types of labeling submitted.	YES  Not app  Package Patient F Instruction Medicati Carton la Immedia Diluent Other (sp	NO   Sackage ons for ion Guidabels atte contains the cont	NA PI) Insert ( Use (IF) de (Mee	Comment  (PPI) TU) dGuide)
Proprietary Name Is a proposed proprietary name submitted?  If yes, ensure that the application is also coded with the supporting document category, "Proprietary Name/Request for Review."  REMS Is a REMS submitted?  If yes, send consult to OSE/DRISK and notify OC/OSI/DSC/PMSB via the CDER OSI RMP mailbox  Prescription Labeling	YES  Not app  Package Patient F Instruction Medicati Carton la Immedia Diluent Other (sp	Insert (Package ons for Guidabels atte contage opecify)	NA PI) Insert ( Use (IF) de (Mediainer la	Comment  (PPI) TU) dGuide) bels

m027829 htm

 $\underline{http://inside\ fda.gov:9003/CDER/Officeof New Drugs/ImmediateOffice/Pediatric and Maternal Health Staff/uc}$ m027837 htm

	_			_
If no, request applicant to submit SPL before the filing date.				
Is the PI submitted in PLR format? <sup>4</sup>				
If PI not submitted in PLR format, was a waiver or				
deferral requested before the application was received or in				
the submission? If requested before application was				
<b>submitted</b> , what is the status of the request?				
If no waiver or deferral, request applicant to submit labeling in				
PLR format before the filing date.			<u> </u>	
For applications submitted on or after June 30, 2015:				
Is the PI submitted in PLLR format? <sup>5</sup>				
Has a review of the available pregnancy and lactation data				
been included?				
For applications submitted on or after June 30, 2015:				
If PI not submitted in PLLR format, was a waiver or				
deferral requested before the application was received or in				
the submission? If requested before application was				
<b>submitted</b> , what is the status of the request?				
If no waiver or deferral, request applicant to submit labeling in				
PLR/PLLR format before the filing date.				
All labeling (PI, PPI, MedGuide, IFU, carton and	$\boxtimes$			
immediate container labels) consulted to OPDP?		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
MedGuide, PPI, IFU (plus PI) consulted to OSE/DRISK?			$  \sqcup $	
(send WORD version if available)				
Carton and immediate container labels, PI, PPI sent to				1
OSE/DMEPA and appropriate CMC review office in OPQ				
(OBP or ONDP)?				
OTC Labeling				+
OTC Labeling Check all types of labeling submitted.	Not App	nlicahl	Ω	╁
check an types of labeling submitted.	Outer	JIICADI		
	carton label			
	Immediate			
	container			
	label			
	Blister			
	card			
	Blister			
	backing			╛

 $\underline{http://inside\ fda.gov:9003/CDER/OfficeofNewDrugs/ImmediateOffice/StudyEndpoints and LabelingDevelopmentTeam/ucm025576\ htm}$ 

 $\underline{http://inside\ fda.gov:9003/CDER/OfficeofNewDrugs/ImmediateOffice/StudyEndpoints and LabelingDevelopmentTeam/ucm025576\ htm}$ 

<sup>4</sup> 

	label  Consumer Information Leaflet (CIL) Physician sample Consumer sample Other (specify)			
Is electronic content of labeling (COL) submitted?	YES	NO	NA	Comment
If no, request in 74-day letter.				
Are annotated specifications submitted for all stock				
keeping units (SKUs)?				
If no, request in 74-day letter.				
If representative labeling is submitted, are all represented SKUs defined?				
If no, request in 74-day letter.				
All labeling/packaging sent to OSE/DMEPA?				
Other Consults				
Are additional consults needed? (e.g., IFU to CDRH; QT study report to QT Interdisciplinary Review Team)  If yes, specify consult(s) and date(s) sent:	YES	NO	NA	Comment
Meeting Minutes/SPAs		$\boxtimes$		
End-of Phase 2 meeting(s)?  Date(s):	YES	NO	NA	Comment
If yes, distribute minutes before filing meeting				
Pre-NDA/Pre-BLA/Pre-Supplement meeting(s)? <b>Date(s):</b> 12/10/13				
If yes, distribute minutes before filing meeting				
Any Special Protocol Assessments (SPAs)?  Date(s):				
If yes, distribute letter and/or relevant minutes before filing meeting				
· ·				

#### **ATTACHMENT**

#### MEMO OF FILING MEETING

DATE: November 20, 2015

**BACKGROUND**: Diclegis® (10 mg doxylamine succinate and 10 mg pyridoxine hydrochloride) delayed release Tablets, was approved April 8, 2013, for oral use, in the treatment of nausea and vomiting of pregnancy in patients who do not respond to conservative management.

Diclegis is a delayed release tablet containing 10 mg of doxylamine succinate (an antihistamine) and 10 mg of pyridoxine hydrochloride (vitamin B6). The current dosing regimen is for a maximum of 4 tablets daily given up to three times daily.

Supplement 10 is submitted, proposing to increase the tablet strength from 10-10 mg to 20-20 mg (**new dosing strength**) and a dosing regimen change from three times daily to twice daily dosing (**new dosing regimen**).

Two clinical pharmacology studies were conducted to support the approval of the supplement. (1) A Bioequivalence (BE) study to evaluate the BE between the new formulation and currently approved formulation. (2) A Bioavailability food effect study evaluating the food effect on the pharmacokinetics of the new formulation. Clinical Pharmacology's decision on filing - Refuse to File the application (see filing review dated 12/04/15).

The decision of all other Disciplines is that the application is fillable.

#### **REVIEW TEAM**:

Discipline/Organization		Names	Present at filing meeting? (Y or N)
Regulatory Project Management	RPM:	George Lyght, Pharm.D	Y
	CPMS/TL:	Margaret Kober, RPh., M.P.A./	Y
		Shelley Slaughter, M.D., PhD.,	Y
Cross-Discipline Team Leader (CDTL)	Shelley Slau	ighter	Y
Division Director/Deputy	Audrey Gassman, M.D.		Y
Office Director/Deputy			
Clinical	Reviewer:	Dr. Theresa van der Vlugt,	Y
		Dr. Nneka McNeal-Jackson	Y

		M.D.	
	TL:	Shelley Slaughter, M.D., PhD.	Y
Social Scientist Review (for OTC products)	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
OTC Labeling Review (for OTC products)	Reviewer:		
•	TL:		
Clinical Microbiology (for antimicrobial products)	Reviewer:		
•	TL:		
Clinical Pharmacology	Reviewer:	Li Li, PhD.	Y
	TL:	Myong Jin Kim, Pharm.D.	Y
• Genomics	Reviewer:		
• Pharmacometrics	Reviewer:		
Biostatistics	Reviewer:		
	TL:	Mahboob Sobhan, PhD.	N

Reviewer:	Kimberly Hatfield, PhD.	N
TL:	Lynnda Reid, PhD.	Y
Reviewer:		
TL:		
ATL:	Jean Salemme, PhD.	Y
RBPM:	Ryan Zettle	Y
Reviewer:		
Reviewer:		
TL:		
Reviewer:		
TL:		
Reviewer:	Shawnetta Jackson	N
TL:	Walter Fava	Y
Reviewer:		
TL:		
Reviewer:		
TL:		
	TL:  Reviewer:  TL:  ATL:  RBPM:  Reviewer:  Reviewer:  Reviewer:  Reviewer:  Reviewer:  Teviewer:  TL:  Reviewer:  TL:  Reviewer:  TL:  Reviewer:  TL:  Reviewer:	TL: Lynnda Reid, PhD.  Reviewer:  TL:  ATL: Jean Salemme, PhD.  RBPM: Ryan Zettle  Reviewer: Reviewer: Reviewer: Reviewer: Reviewer: Reviewer: Reviewer: Reviewer: TL:  Reviewer:  TL:  Reviewer:  TL:  Reviewer:  TL:  Reviewer:  TL:  Reviewer:  TL:  Reviewer:  TL:  Reviewer:  TL:  Reviewer:  TL:  Reviewer:  TL:  Reviewer:  TL:  Reviewer:

Bioresearch Monitoring (OSI)	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
Controlled Substance Staff (CSS)	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
Other reviewers/disciplines			
• Discipline	Reviewer:		
	TL:		
Other attendees			
FILING MEETING DISCUSSION:			
GENERAL			
• 505 b)(2) filing issues:		Not Applicable	
o Is the application for a dupli drug and eligible for approve 505(j) as an ANDA?		☐ YES ☐ NO	
<ul> <li>Did the applicant provide a s "bridge" demonstrating the s between the proposed produ referenced product(s)/publish</li> </ul>	elationship ct and the	☐ YES ☐ NO	
Describe the scientific bridge (e.g., i demonstrate sufficient similarity bet proposed product and the listed drug BA/BE studies or to justify reliance described in published literature):	ween the (s) such as		
Per reviewers, are all parts in English translation?	n or English	⊠ YES □ NO	
If no, explain:			
Electronic Submission comments		Not Applicable No comments	
List comments:			

CLINICAL	☐ Not Applicable
	FILE
	REFUSE TO FILE
Comments:	Review issues for 74-day letter
Clinical study site(s) inspections(s) needed?	YES
	⊠ NO
If no, explain:	
Advisory Committee Meeting needed?	YES
Travisory Committee Meeting needed.	Date if known:
Comments:	⊠ NO
	☐ To be determined
If no, for an NME NDA or original BLA, include the reason. For example:	Reason:
o this drug/biologic is not the first in its class	
<ul> <li>the clinical study design was acceptable</li> </ul>	
o the application did not raise significant safety	
or efficacy issues  o the application did not raise significant public	
health questions on the role of the	
drug/biologic in the diagnosis, cure,	
mitigation, treatment or prevention of a	
disease	
If the application is affected by the AIP, has the	Not Applicable     ■     Not Applicable     Not Applicable     Not Applicable
division made a recommendation regarding whether	YES
or not an exception to the AIP should be granted to	□ NO
permit review based on medical necessity or public	
health significance?	
Garage and the	
Comments:	
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE STAFF	
Abuse Liability/Potential	FILE
	☐ REFUSE TO FILE
	Davious issues for 74 day letter
Comments:	Review issues for 74-day letter
CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY	
CLINICAL MICRODIOLOGI	
	REFUSE TO FILE
Comments:	Review issues for 74-day letter

CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY	☐ Not Applicable
	☐ FILE
	REFUSE TO FILE
Comments: Decision to file made after Filing meeting at an ODE level meeting	Review issues for 74-day letter
Clinical pharmacology study site(s) inspections(s)	⊠ YES
needed?	□ NO
BIOSTATISTICS	☐ Not Applicable
	⊠ FILE
	REFUSE TO FILE
Comments:	Review issues for 74-day letter
Comments.	
NONCLINICAL	☐ Not Applicable
(PHARMACOLOGY/TOXICOLOGY)	FILE
,	REFUSE TO FILE
	Review issues for 74-day letter
Comments:	
PRODUCT QUALITY (CMC)	☐ Not Applicable
	⊠ FILE
	REFUSE TO FILE
<b>Comments</b> : Comments to be sent in 74 day letter	Review issues for 74-day letter
Now Molecular Entity (NDAs only)	
New Molecular Entity (NDAs only)	
Is the product an NME?	YES
is the product an INVIE!	
<b>Environmental Assessment</b>	
Categorical exclusion for environmental assessment	⊠ YES
(EA) requested?	□ NO
If no, was a complete EA submitted?	YES
	□ NO
Comments:	
	1

Facility Inspection	☐ Not Applicable
Establishment(s) ready for inspection?	
Comments:	
Facility/Microbiology Review (BLAs only)	<ul><li></li></ul>
Comments:	Review issues for 74-day letter
CMC Labeling Review (BLAs only)	
Comments:	Review issues for 74-day letter
APPLICATIONS IN THE PROGRAM (PDUFA V) (NME NDAs/Original BLAs)	⊠ N/A
Were there agreements made at the application's pre-submission meeting (and documented in the minutes) regarding certain late submission components that could be submitted within 30 days after receipt of the original application?	☐ YES ☐ NO
• If so, were the late submission components all submitted within 30 days?	☐ YES ☐ NO
What late submission components, if any, arrived after 30 days?	PLLR labeling
Was the application otherwise complete upon submission, including those applications where there were no agreements regarding late submission components?	⊠ YES □ NO
Is a comprehensive and readily located list of all clinical sites included or referenced in the application?	

Is a comprehensive and readily located list of all manufacturing facilities included or referenced in the application?	X YES   NO
--	------------

# APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGEMENT				
Signatory Authority: Deputy Director, Audrey Gassman, M.D., DBRUP				
<b>Date of Mid-Cycle Meeting</b> (for NME NDAs/BLAs in "the Program" PDUFA V): March 7, 2016				
21st Century Review Milestones (see attached) (listing review milestones in this document is optional):				
Comments:				
REGULATORY CONCLUSIONS/DEFICIENCIES				
	The application is unsuitable for filing. Explain why:			
	The application, on its face, appears to be suitable for filing.			
	Review Issues:			
	No review issues have been identified for the 74-day letter.  Review issues have been identified for the 74-day letter.			
	Review Classification:			
	<ul><li></li></ul>			
	ACTION ITEMS			
	Ensure that any updates to the review priority (S or P) and classifications/properties are entered into the electronic archive (e.g., chemical classification, combination product classification, orphan drug).			
	If RTF, notify everyone who already received a consult request, OSE PM, and RBPM			
	If filed, and the application is under AIP, prepare a letter either granting (for signature by Center Director) or denying (for signature by ODE Director) an exception for review.			
	If priority review, notify applicant in writing by day 60 (see CST for choices)			
	Send review issues/no review issues by day 74			
	Conduct a PLR format labeling review and include labeling issues in the 74-day letter			
	Update the PDUFA V DARRTS page (for applications in the Program)			
	Other			

Annual review of template by OND ADRAs completed: September 2014

# APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL

Version: 7/10/2015 20

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.
/s/
GEORGE A LYGHT 12/14/2015

# REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER PHYSICIAN LABELING RULE (PLR) FORMAT REVIEW OF THE PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

Complete for all new NDAs, BLAs, Efficacy Supplements, and PLR Conversion Labeling Supplements

Application: NDA 021876/S- 10

**Application Type:** Efficacy Supplement

**Drug Name(s)/Dosage Form(s):** doxylamine succinate and pyridoxine hydrochloride

(b) (4)

**Applicant:** Duchesnay Inc.

**Receipt Date:** October 7, 2015

Goal Date: August 7, 2016

# 1. Regulatory History and Applicant's Main Proposals

Diclegis® (10 mg doxylamine succinate and 10 mg pyridoxine hydrochloride) delayed release tablets, was approved April 8, 2013, for oral use, in the treatment of nausea and vomiting of pregnancy in patients who do not respond to conservative management.

Diclegis is a delayed release tablet containing 10 mg of doxylamine succinate (an antihistamine) and 10 mg of pyridoxine hydrochloride (vitamin B6). The current dosing regimen is for a maximum of 4 tablets daily given up to three times daily.

Supplement 10 is submitted, proposing to increase the tablet strength from 10-10 mg to 20-20 mg (new dosing strength) and a dosing regimen change from three times daily to twice daily dosing (new dosing regimen)

# 2. Review of the Prescribing Information

This review is based on the applicant's submitted Word format of the prescribing information (PI). The applicant's proposed PI was reviewed in accordance with the labeling format requirements listed in the "Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information (SRPI)" checklist (see Section 4 of this review).

# 3. Conclusions/Recommendations

No SRPI format deficiencies were identified in the review of this PI.

# 4. Selected Requirements of Prescribing Information

The Selected Requirement of Prescribing Information (SRPI) is a 41-item, drop-down checklist of important <u>format</u> elements of the prescribing information (PI) based on labeling regulations (21 CFR 201.56 and 201.57) and guidance.

# **Highlights**

See Appendix for a sample tool illustrating Highlights format.

#### HIGHLIGHTS GENERAL FORMAT

YES 1. Highlights (HL) must be in a minimum of 8-point font and should be in two-column format, with ½ inch margins on all sides and between columns.

#### Comment:

YES 2. The length of HL must be one-half page or less unless a waiver has been granted in a previous submission. The HL Boxed Warning does not count against the one-half page requirement.

<u>Instructions to complete this item</u>: If the length of the HL is one-half page or less, select "YES" in the drop-down menu because this item meets the requirement. However, if HL is longer than one-half page, select "NO" unless a waiver has been granted.

# **Comment:**

- **YES**
- 3. A horizontal line must separate:
  - HL from the Table of Contents (TOC), and
  - TOC from the Full Prescribing Information (FPI).

# Comment:

4. All headings in HL (from Recent Major Changes to Use in Specific Populations) must be **bolded** and presented in the center of a horizontal line. (Each horizontal line should extend over the entire width of the column.) The HL headings (from Recent Major Changes to Use in Specific Populations) should be in UPPER CASE letters. See Appendix for HL format.

# **Comment**:

YES 5. White space should be present before each major heading in HL. There must be no white space between the HL Heading and HL Limitation Statement. There must be no white space between the product title and Initial U.S. Approval. See Appendix for HL format.

## Comment:

YES 6. Each summarized statement or topic in HL must reference the section(s) or subsection(s) of the Full Prescribing Information (FPI) that contain more detailed information. The preferred format is the numerical identifier in parenthesis [e.g., (1.1)] at the end of each summarized statement or topic.

#### Comment:

**YES** 7. Headings in HL must be presented in the following order:

Heading	Required/Optional
Highlights Heading	Required

SRPI version 5: October 2015 Page 2 of 10

Highlights Limitation Statement	Required
Product Title	Required
Initial U.S. Approval	Required
Boxed Warning	Required if a BOXED WARNING is in the FPI
Recent Major Changes	Required for only certain changes to PI*
<ul> <li>Indications and Usage</li> </ul>	Required
Dosage and Administration	Required
Dosage Forms and Strengths	Required
Contraindications	Required (if no contraindications must state "None.")
Warnings and Precautions	Not required by regulation, but should be present
Adverse Reactions	Required
Drug Interactions	Optional
Use in Specific Populations	Optional
Patient Counseling Information Statement	Required
Revision Date	Required

<sup>\*</sup> RMC only applies to <u>five</u> labeling sections in the FPI: BOXED WARNING, INDICATIONS AND USAGE, DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION, CONTRAINDICATIONS, and WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS.

# Comment:

#### HIGHLIGHTS DETAILS

# **Highlights Heading**

YES 8. At the beginning of HL, the following heading, "HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION" must be **bolded** and should appear in all UPPER CASE letters. *Comment*:

# **Highlights Limitation Statement**

9. The **bolded** HL Limitation Statement must include the following verbatim statement: "These highlights do not include all the information needed to use (insert NAME OF DRUG PRODUCT) safely and effectively. See full prescribing information for (insert NAME OF DRUG PRODUCT)." The name of drug product should appear in UPPER CASE letters.

# **Comment:**

# **Product Title in Highlights**

**YES** 10. Product title must be **bolded**.

#### Comment:

#### **Initial U.S. Approval in Highlights**

YES 11. Initial U.S. Approval must be **bolded**, and include the verbatim statement "**Initial U.S. Approval:**" followed by the **4-digit year**.

#### Comment:

# **Boxed Warning (BW) in Highlights**

N/A 12. All text in the BW must be **bolded**.

#### Comment:

**N/A** 13. The BW must have a title in UPPER CASE, following the word "**WARNING**" and other words to identify the subject of the warning. Even if there is more than one warning, the term

SRPI version 5: October 2015 Page 3 of 10

"WARNING" and not "WARNINGS" should be used. For example: "WARNING: SERIOUS INFECTIONS and ACUTE HEPATIC FAILURE". If there is more than one warning in the BW title, the word "and" in lower case can separate the warnings. The BW title should be centered.

#### Comment:

N/A 14. The BW must always have the verbatim statement "See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning." This statement must be placed immediately beneath the BW title, and should be centered and appear in *italics*.

#### Comment:

N/A

15. The BW must be limited in length to 20 lines. (This includes white space but does not include the BW title and the statement "See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning.")

# **Comment**:

# Recent Major Changes (RMC) in Highlights

N/A

16. RMC pertains to only <u>five</u> sections of the FPI: BOXED WARNING, INDICATIONS AND USAGE, DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION, CONTRAINDICATIONS, and WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS. Labeling sections for RMC must be listed in the same order in HL as they appear in the FPI.

# Comment:

N/A

17. The RMC must include the section heading(s) and, if appropriate, subsection heading(s) affected by the recent major change, together with each section's identifying number and date (month/year format) on which the change was incorporated in the PI (supplement approval date). For example, "Warnings and Precautions, Acute Liver Failure (5.1) --- 8/2015."

## Comment:

N/A 18. A changed section must be listed under the RMC heading for at least one year after the date of the labeling change and must be removed at the first printing subsequent to the one year period. (No listing should be one year older than the revision date.)

#### Comment:

#### **Dosage Forms and Strengths in Highlights**

N/A 19. For a product that has more than one dosage form (e.g., capsules, tablets, injection), bulleted headings should be used.

# Comment:

## **Contraindications in Highlights**

YES 20. All contraindications listed in the FPI must also be listed in HL. If there is more than one contraindication, each contraindication should be bulleted. If no contraindications are known, must include the word "None."

#### Comment:

SRPI version 5: October 2015 Page 4 of 10

# **Adverse Reactions in Highlights**

**YES** 

21. For drug products other than vaccines, the verbatim **bolded** statement must be present: "To report SUSPECTED ADVERSE REACTIONS, contact (insert name of manufacturer) at (insert manufacturer's U.S. phone number which should be a toll-free number) or FDA at 1-800-FDA-1088 or www.fda.gov/medwatch."

Comment:

# **Patient Counseling Information Statement in Highlights**

**YES** 

22. The Patient Counseling Information statement must include one of the following three **bolded** verbatim statements that is most applicable:

If a product **does not** have FDA-approved patient labeling:

• See 17 for PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION

If a product has (or will have) FDA-approved patient labeling:

- See 17 for PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION and FDA-approved patient labeling
- See 17 for PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION and Medication Guide *Comment:*

# **Revision Date in Highlights**



23. The revision date must be at the end of HL, and should be **bolded** and right justified (e.g., "Revised: 8/2015").

# Comment:

SRPI version 5: October 2015 Page 5 of 10

# **Contents: Table of Contents (TOC)**

See Appendix for a sample tool illustrating Table of Contents format.

**YES** 24. The TOC should be in a two-column format.

#### Comment:

YES 25. The following heading must appear at the beginning of the TOC: "FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: CONTENTS." This heading should be in all UPPER CASE letters and bolded.

## Comment:

N/A 26. The same title for the BW that appears in HL and the FPI must also appear at the beginning of the TOC in UPPER CASE letters and **bolded**.

#### Comment:

**YES** 27. In the TOC, all section headings must be **bolded** and should be in UPPER CASE.

# Comment:

YES 28. In the TOC, all subsection headings must be indented and not bolded. The headings should be in title case [first letter of all words are capitalized except first letter of prepositions (for, of, to) and articles (a, an, the), or conjunctions (or, and)].

# Comment:

**YES** 29. The section and subsection headings in the TOC must match the section and subsection headings in the FPI.

#### Comment:

YES 30. If a section or subsection required by regulation [21 CFR 201.56(d)(1)] is omitted from the FPI, the numbering in the TOC must not change. The heading "FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: CONTENTS\*" must be followed by an asterisk and the following statement must appear at the end of the TOC: "\*Sections or subsections omitted from the full prescribing information are not listed."

# Comment:

SRPI version 5: October 2015 Page 6 of 10

# **Full Prescribing Information (FPI)**

# FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: GENERAL FORMAT

**YES** 

31. The **bolded** section and subsection headings in the FPI must be named and numbered in accordance with 21 CFR 201.56(d)(1) as noted below. (Section and subsection headings should be in UPPER CASE and title case, respectively.) If a section/subsection required by regulation is omitted, the numbering must not change. Additional subsection headings (i.e., those not named by regulation) must also be **bolded** and numbered.

BOXED WARNING
1 INDICATIONS AND USAGE
2 DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION
3 DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS
4 CONTRAINDICATIONS
5 WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS
6 ADVERSE REACTIONS
7 DRUG INTERACTIONS
8 USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS
8.1 Pregnancy
8.2 Lactation (if not required to be in Pregnancy and Lactation Labeling Rule (PLLR) format, use
"Labor and Delivery")
8.3 Females and Males of Reproductive Potential (if not required to be in PLLR format, use
"Nursing Mothers")
8.4 Pediatric Use
8.5 Geriatric Use
9 DRUG ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE
9.1 Controlled Substance
9.2 Abuse
9.3 Dependence
10 OVERDOSAGE
11 DESCRIPTION
12 CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY
12.1 Mechanism of Action
12.2 Pharmacodynamics
12.3 Pharmacokinetics
12.4 Microbiology (by guidance)
12.5 Pharmacogenomics (by guidance)
13 NONCLINICAL TOXICOLOGY
13.1 Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility
13.2 Animal Toxicology and/or Pharmacology
14 CLINICAL STUDIES
15 REFERENCES
16 HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING
17 PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION
Comments

# **Comment:**



32. The preferred presentation for cross-references in the FPI is the <u>section</u> (not subsection) heading followed by the numerical identifier. The entire cross-reference should be in *italics* and enclosed within brackets. For example, "*[see Warnings and Precautions (5.2)]*."

# Comment:

SRPI version 5: October 2015 Page 7 of 10

Reference ID: 3860422

N/A

33. For each RMC listed in HL, the corresponding new or modified text in the FPI must be marked with a vertical line on the left edge.

## **Comment:**

#### FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION DETAILS

## **FPI Heading**

**YES** 

34. The following heading "FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION" must be **bolded**, must appear at the beginning of the FPI, and should be in UPPER CASE.

## Comment:

#### **BOXED WARNING Section in the FPI**

N/A

35. All text in the BW should be **bolded**.

# Comment:

N/A

36. The BW must have a title in UPPER CASE, following the word "WARNING" and other words to identify the subject of the warning. (Even if there is more than one warning, the term, "WARNING" and not "WARNINGS" should be used.) For example: "WARNING: SERIOUS INFECTIONS and ACUTE HEPATIC FAILURE". If there is more than one warning in the BW title, the word "and" in lower case can separate the warnings.

#### Comment:

# **CONTRAINDICATIONS Section in the FPI**

YES

37. If no Contraindications are known, this section must state "None."

#### Comment:

#### ADVERSE REACTIONS Section in the FPI

**YES** 

38. When clinical trials adverse reactions data are included (typically in the "Clinical Trials Experience" subsection), the following verbatim statement (or appropriate modification) should precede the presentation of adverse reactions from clinical trials:

"Because clinical trials are conducted under widely varying conditions, adverse reaction rates observed in the clinical trials of a drug cannot be directly compared to rates in the clinical trials of another drug and may not reflect the rates observed in practice."

# Comment:

YES

39. When postmarketing adverse reaction data are included (typically in the "Postmarketing Experience" subsection), the following verbatim statement (or appropriate modification) should precede the presentation of adverse reactions:

"The following adverse reactions have been identified during post-approval use of (insert drug name). Because these reactions are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is not always possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish a causal relationship to drug exposure."

#### Comment:

SRPI version 5: October 2015 Page 8 of 10

#### PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION Section in the FPI



- 40. Must reference any FDA-approved patient labeling in Section 17 (PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION). The reference statement should appear at the beginning of Section 17 and include the type(s) of FDA-approved patient labeling (e.g., Patient Information, Instructions for Use, or Medication Guide). Recommended language for the reference statement should include one of the following five verbatim statements that is most applicable:
  - Advise the patient to read the FDA-approved patient labeling (Patient Information).
  - Advise the patient to read the FDA-approved patient labeling (Instructions for Use).
  - Advise the patient to read the FDA-approved patient labeling (Patient Information and Instructions for Use).
  - Advise the patient to read the FDA-approved patient labeling (Medication Guide).
  - Advise the patient to read the FDA-approved patient labeling (Medication Guide and Instructions for Use).

#### Comment:



41. FDA-approved patient labeling (e.g., Patient Information, Instructions for Use, or Medication Guide) must not be included as a subsection under Section 17 (PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION). All FDA-approved patient labeling must appear at the end of the PI upon approval.

## Comment:

SRPI version 5: October 2015 Page 9 of 10

# **Appendix: Highlights and Table of Contents Format**

#### HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

These highlights do not include all the information needed to use PROPRIETARY NAME safely and effectively. See full prescribing information for PROPRIETARY NAME.

PROPRIETARY NAME (non-proprietary name) dosage form, route of administration, controlled substance symbol Initial U.S. Approval: YYYY

#### WARNING: TITLE OF WARNING

See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning.

- Text (4)
- Text (5.x)

Section Title, Subsection Title (x.x) Section Title, Subsection Title (x.x)	M/201Y M/201Y		
PROPRIETARY NAME is a (insert FDA established pharmacologic class text phrase) indicated for (1)			
<u>Limitations of Use</u> : Text (1)			
DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION			

------DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION-----

- Text (2.x)
- Text (2.x)

-----DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS------Dosage form(s): strength(s) (3) -----CONTRAINDICATIONS------Text (4)

Text (4)

------WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS------

- Text (5.x)
- Text (5.x)

-----ADVERSE REACTIONS------

Most common adverse reactions (incidence > x%) are text (6.x)

To report SUSPECTED ADVERSE REACTIONS, contact name of manufacturer at toll-free phone # or FDA at 1-800-FDA-1088 or www.fda.gov/medwatch.

-----DRUG INTERACTIONS------

- Text (7.x)
- Text (7.x)

-----USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS-----

- Text (8.x)
- Text (8.x)

See 17 for PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION and FDA-approved patient labeling OR and Medication Guide.

Revised: M/201Y

#### **FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: CONTENTS\***

#### WARNING: TITLE OF WARNING

- 1 INDICATIONS AND USAGE
- 2 DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION
  - 2.1 Subsection Title
  - 2.2 Subsection Title
- 3 DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS
- 4 CONTRAINDICATIONS
- 5 WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS
  - 5.1 Subsection Title
  - 5.2 Subsection Title

#### 6 ADVERSE REACTIONS

- 6.1 Clinical Trials Experience
- 6.2 Immunogenicity
- 6.2 or 6.3 Postmarketing Experience

#### 7 DRUG INTERACTIONS

- 7.1 Subsection Title
- 7.2 Subsection Title

# 8 USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS

- 8.1 Pregnancy
- 8.2 Lactation (if not required to be in PLLR format use Labor and Delivery)
- 8.3 Females and Males of Reproductive Potential (if not required to be in PLLR format use Nursing Mothers)
- 8.4 Pediatric Use
- 8.5 Geriatric Use
- 8.6 Subpopulation X

#### 9 DRUG ABUSE AND DEPENDENCE

- 9.1 Controlled Substance
- 9.2 Abuse
- 9.3 Dependence

#### 10 OVERDOSAGE

11 DESCRIPTION

#### 12 CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY

- 12.1 Mechanism of Action
- 12.2 Pharmacodynamics
- 12.3 Pharmacokinetics
- 12.4 Microbiology
- 12.5 Pharmacogenomics

#### 13 NONCLINICAL TOXICOLOGY

- 13.1 Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility
- 13.2 Animal Toxicology and/or Pharmacology

#### 14 CLINICAL STUDIES

- 14.1 Subsection Title
- 14.2 Subsection Title

#### 15 REFERENCES

#### 16 HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING

#### 17 PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION

\* Sections or subsections omitted from the full prescribing information are not listed.

SRPI version 5: October 2015 Page 10 of 10

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.
/s/
GEORGE A LYGHT 12/14/2015