

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND
RESEARCH**

APPLICATION NUMBER:

761115Orig1s000

**RISK ASSESSMENT and RISK MITIGATION
REVIEW(S)**

Division of Risk Management (DRM)
Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM)
Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE)
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

Application Type	BLA
Application Number	761115
PDUFA Goal Date	June 2, 2020
OSE RCM #	2018-939 & 958
Reviewer Name(s)	Mei-Yean Chen, Pharm.D.
Team Leader (Acting)	Elizabeth Everhart, MSN, RN,ACNP
Division Director	Cynthia LaCivita, Pharm.D.
Review Completion Date	March 30, 2020
Subject	Evaluation of Need for a REMS
Established Name	Sacituzumab govitecan-hziy
Trade Name	Trodelvy
Name of Applicant	Immunomedics
Therapeutic Class	An antibody-drug conjugate (ADC)
Formulation(s)	Lyophilized powder in single use vial containing 180 mg per vial
Dosing Regimen	10 mg/kg as intravenous infusion on days 1 and 8 of 21-day cycles

This is an addendum to the review by the Division of Risk Management (DRM) evaluated whether a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy (REMS) for the new molecular entity (NME) Trodelvy (Sacituzumab govitecan-hziy) was necessary to ensure the benefits outweigh its risks.^a Immunomedics submitted a Biologic Licensing Application (BLA) 761115 for Trodelvy with the proposed indication for the treatment of adult patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (mTNBC) who have received at least two prior therapies for metastatic disease. This indication is approved under accelerated approval based on tumor response rate and duration of response. Continued approval for this indication may be contingent upon verification and description of clinical benefit in confirmatory trials. The applicant did not submit a proposed REMS or risk management plan with this application.

Trodelvy (sacituzumab govitecan-hziy), is an antibody drug conjugate (ADC), composed of 3 components that are sacituzumab, SN-38 (the active metabolite of irinotecan), and a hydrolysable linker. Trodelvy is proposed to be given as an intravenous (IV) infusion at 10 mg/kg on days 1 and 8 of a 21-day cycle until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity.

The original BLA was submitted on 05/18/2018. The agency issued a complete response letter on 01/17/2019 due to multiple chemistry, manufacture, and control (CMC) deficiencies. The sponsor resubmitted the BLA application on 12/02/2019. The sponsor addressed the CMC deficiencies that were identified in the complete response letter issued on 1/17/2019. There were no new safety issues that arose with this resubmission. The clinical review team recommends approval based on the corrective action on the CMC issues, as well the efficacy and safety results analyzed in the original BLA submission on 5/18/2018.

DRM and the Division of Oncology 1 (DO1) agree that a REMS is not needed to ensure the benefits of Trodelvy outweigh its risks. Metastatic TNBC is a disease with a poor prognosis with no currently approved specific agent to treat it. There is an unmet medical need to manage patients with mTNBC who have received two or more prior therapies. The most concerning risks of Trodelvy are severe neutropenia and severe diarrhea. SN-38, one component of Trodelvy, is a topoisomerase I inhibitor which is the active metabolite of irinotecan. Irinotecan carries a boxed warning for diarrhea and myelosuppression. If approved, the prescribing information of Trodelvy will have a boxed warning communicating the risks of severe neutropenia and severe diarrhea. Product labeling will also include recommendations in the Dosage and Administration and Warnings and Precautions sections regarding management of these risks.

^a Chen M. Evaluation of the need for a REMS. January 10,2019. DARRTS ID# 4374149.

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically. Following this are manifestations of any and all electronic signatures for this electronic record.

/s/

MEI-YEAN T CHEN
03/30/2020 07:49:45 AM

ELIZABETH E EVERHART
03/30/2020 08:19:58 AM
I concur.

CYNTHIA L LACIVITA
03/30/2020 10:48:51 AM

Division of Risk Management (DRISK)
Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM)
Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE)
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

Application Type	BLA
Application Number	761115
PDUFA Goal Date	January 18, 2019
OSE RCM #	2018-939 & 958
Reviewer Name(s)	Mei-Yean Chen, Pharm.D.
Team Leader	Elizabeth Everhart, MSN, RN, ACNP
Division Director	Cynthia LaCivita, Pharm.D.
Review Completion Date	January 10, 2019
Subject	Evaluation of Need for a REMS
Established Name	Sacitumzumab govitecan-hziy
Trade Name	Trodelyv
Name of Applicant	Immunomedics
Therapeutic Class	An antibody-drug conjugate (ADC)
Formulation(s)	Lyophilized powder in single use vial containing 180 mg per vial
Dosing Regimen	10 mg/kg as intravenous infusion on days 1 and 8 of 21-day cycles

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
1 Introduction	3
2 Background	3
2.1 Product Information	3
2.2 Regulatory History.....	4
3 Therapeutic Context and Treatment Options	4
3.1 Description of the Medical Condition	4
3.2 Description of Current Treatment Options	5
4 Benefit Assessment	5
5 Risk Assessment & Safe-Use Conditions	6
6 Expected Postmarket Use.....	7
7 Risk Management Activities Proposed by the Applicant.....	8
8 Discussion of Need for a REMS.....	8
9 Conclusion & Recommendations.....	8
10 Appendices	9
10.1 References.....	9

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2 This review by the Division of Risk Management (DRISK) evaluates whether a risk evaluation and
3 mitigation strategy (REMS) for the new molecular entity Trodelvy (Sacituzumab govitecan-hziy) is
4 necessary to ensure the benefits outweigh its risks. Immunomedics submitted a Biologic Licensing
5 Application (BLA) 761115 for Trodelvy with the proposed indication for the treatment of adult patients
6 with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (mTNBC) who have received at least two prior therapies
7 for metastatic disease. The applicant did not submit a proposed REMS or risk management plan with this
8 application.

9 DRISK and the Division of Oncology Product 1 (DOP1) agree that a REMS is not needed to ensure the
10 benefits of Trodelvy outweigh its risks. Metastatic TNBC is a disease with a poor prognosis and no
11 currently approved specific agent to treat it; there is an unmet medical need to manage patients with
12 mTNBC who have received two or more prior therapies. The most concerning risks of Trodelvy are
13 potentially life-threatening diarrhea and neutropenia. SN-38, one component of Trodelvy, is a
14 topoisomerase I inhibitor which is the active metabolite of irinotecan. Irinotecan carries a boxed
15 warning for diarrhea and myelosuppression. If approved, the prescribing information of Trodelvy will
16 have a boxed warning communicating the risks of diarrhea and neutropenia. Product labeling will also
17 include recommendations in the Dosage and Administration and Warnings and Precautions sections
18 regarding management of these risks.

19 1 Introduction

20 This review by DRISK evaluates whether a REMS for the new molecular entity (NME) Trodelvy
21 (sacituzumab govitecan-hziy) is necessary to ensure the benefits outweigh its risks. Immunomedics
22 submitted BLA 761115 for Trodelvy with the proposed indication for the treatment of adult patients
23 with mTNBC who have received at least two prior therapies for metastatic disease.

24 2 Background

25 2.1 PRODUCT INFORMATION

26 Trodelvy (sacituzumab govitecan-hziy), an NME,^a is an antibody drug conjugate (ADC), composed of the
27 following 3 components:

- 28 1. The humanized monoclonal antibody, hRS7 IgG1k (also called sacituzumab), which binds to
29 Trop-2 (trophoblast cell-surface antigen-2).
- 30 2. The drug SN-38, a topoisomerase I inhibitor that is the active metabolite of irinotecan.
- 31 3. A hydrolysable linker (called CL2A), which links sacituzumab to SN-38.

32 Trop-2 is expressed on normal tissues including the epithelial/lining of epidermis, breast, cervix,
33 epithelial secretory tissue of the endocrine and exocrine glands, esophagus, heart, kidneys, larynx, lung,

^a Section 505-1 (a) of the FD&C Act: *FDAAA factor (F): Whether the drug is a new molecular entity.*

34 liver, pancreas, prostate, salivary gland, skin, thymus, tonsils, trachea, trophoblast cells, urothelium
35 and uterus.¹

36 Trop-2 is overexpressed in many epithelial cancers including metastases. It is a potential oncogene and
37 is often found in aggressive tumors. Trodelvy binds to Trop-2 expressing cancer cells and is internalized
38 into the cancer cells. After hydrolysis of the linker, SN-38 is released to interact with topoisomerase I
39 and prevents single strand breaks. This results in DNA damage that leads to apoptosis and cell death.
40 Trodelvy decreased tumor growth in mouse xenograft models of TNBC. Trodelvy is administered as
41 intravenous (IV) infusion at 10 mg/kg on days 1 and 8 of a 21-day cycle until disease progression or
42 unacceptable toxicity.^b Trodelvy is not currently approved in any jurisdiction.

43 **2.2 REGULATORY HISTORY**

44 The following is a summary of the regulatory history for BLA761115 relevant to this review:

- 45 • 12/22/2014: Fast track designation granted for the treatment of patients with TNBC who have
46 failed no more than two prior therapies for metastatic disease.
- 47 • 2/4/2016: Breakthrough therapy designation granted.
- 48 • 9/25/2018: A Post Mid-cycle meeting was held between the Agency and the Applicant via
49 teleconference. The Agency informed the Applicant that based on the currently available data,
50 there was no plans for a REMS. The sponsor was also informed that their response to the 483
51 claiming “attorney client privilege” was unacceptable. The sponsor agreed to amend their
52 response within a few days. Additional chemistry, manufacture, and control (CMC) deficiencies
53 were to be discussed at a CMC specific conference.
- 54 • 11/2/2018: A type A meeting was held with the sponsor to discuss ongoing clinical deficiencies,
55 incomplete response to a 483, data integrity and falsification issues at the Morris Plains
56 manufacturing facility and the cancellation of Oncology Drug Advisory Committee meeting
57 (ODAC). During the meeting, the sponsor agreed to a third-party audit report is due to the FDA
58 by 12/14/2018. The sponsor was informed that a new inspection would need to occur and that
59 depending on the volume of information submitted on 12/14/2018, a major amendment may
60 need to be issued.
- 61 • 11/26/2018: A late cycle meeting was held with the sponsor. The internal labeling discussions
62 and CMC and facilities reviews are ongoing. FDA planned to request additional request for
63 information related to hSR7 antibody intermediate, drug substance, drug product process
64 control strategies, drug product method validation, lot release, and stability specifications.

65 **3 Therapeutic Context and Treatment Options**

66 **3.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE MEDICAL CONDITION**

^b Section 505-1 (a) of the FD&C Act: *FDAAA factor (D): The expected or actual duration of treatment with the drug.*

67 TNBC has been applied to cancers that are low in expression of the estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone
68 receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2). TNBC is heterogenous and has
69 different molecular subtypes that have differing natural histories and possibly different responses to
70 therapy. Patients with TNBC have a poorer prognosis compared with other breast cancer subtypes.
71 TNBC behaves more aggressively with rapid growth compared to other types of breast cancer.²
72 Metastatic triple negative breast cancer is a disease with a particularly poor prognosis. The median age
73 at diagnosis is approximately 50 years and median survival is about 13.3 months.^{3, c}

74 TNBC accounts for about 15%-20% of breast cancers diagnosed worldwide. In 2018, it is estimated that
75 there are over 150,000 patients in the United States (US) with metastatic breast cancer. This amounts to
76 about 25,000 cases each year in US.^{4, d} TNBC is more commonly diagnosed in women younger than 40
77 years compared with hormone-positive breast cancer. TNBC appears to be more common among black
78 women compared with white women.

79 **3.2 DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT TREATMENT OPTIONS**

80 There is no agent approved specifically for mTNBC. The goals of treating mTNBC are palliative in nature
81 with the aim of prolonging survival and reducing cancer-related symptoms. Treatment of mTNBC is
82 typically with cytotoxic chemotherapy.⁵ Multiple chemotherapies have been used to treat mTNBC
83 including; taxanes, platinum, anthracyclines, gemcitabine, capecitabine, vinorelbine, ixabepiline, and
84 eribulin. For the approximately 20% of patients with mTNBC who have a germline BRCA 1 or 2 mutation,
85 the poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitors, olaparib⁶ and talozaparib⁷, have been approved for
86 patients who have been previously treated with chemotherapy.

87 There is an unmet medical need to manage patients with mTNBC who have received two or more prior
88 therapies.

89 **4 Benefit Assessment⁸**

90 The efficacy of Trodelvy was evaluated in study IMMU-132-01 (NCT 01631552). IMMU-132-01 was a
91 multicenter, single-arm trial that enrolled 108 patients with mTNBC who had received at least 2 prior
92 therapies. The median age of patients was 55 years (range: 31-80 years) with 87% of patients being
93 younger than 65 years. All patients had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance
94 status of 0 (29%) or 1 (71%). Seventy-six percent had visceral disease, 42% had hepatic metastases, 56%
95 had lung/pleura metastases, and 2% had brain metastases. The median number of prior systemic
96 therapies received in the metastatic setting was 3 (range: 2-10). Ninety-eight percent of patients had

^c Section 505-1 (a) of the FD&C Act: FDAAA factor (B): *The seriousness of the disease or condition that is to be treated with the drug.*

^d Section 505-1 (a) of the FD&C Act: FDAAA factor (A): *The estimated size of the population likely to use the drug involved.*

97 received prior taxanes and 86% had received prior anthracyclines either in the neoadjuvant or
98 metastatic setting.

99 Patients received Trodelvy 10 mg/kg IV on days 1 and 8 of a 21-day cycle. Patients were treated with
100 Trodelvy until disease progression or intolerance to the therapy. Table 1 summarizes the efficacy results.
101 Efficacy results based blinded, independent, central review audit were consistent with investigator
102 assessment.

103 **Table 1 Efficacy results for patients with mTNBC in IMMU-132-01**

	Investigator Assessment	Blinded Independent Central Review (BICR)
Overall Response Rate (ORR) (95% confidence interval)	33.3% (24.6, 43.1)	34.3% (25.4, 44.0)
Complete response	2.8%	6.5%
Partial response	30.6%	27.8%
Response duration		
Number of responders	36	37
Median, month (95% CI)	7.7 (4.9, 10.8)	9.1 (4.6, 11.3)
Range, months	1.9+, 30.4+	3, 28.6+
% with duration \geq 6 months	55.6%	51.4%
% with duration \geq 12 months	16.7%	16.2%

104

105 The clinical reviewer concluded that Trodelvy is considered an improvement over available therapy
106 given the improved ORR. Eribulin was approved in 2010 for treatment of patients with metastatic breast
107 cancer with an ORR 11% and duration of response 4.2 months. Ixabepilone was approved in 2007 for
108 treatment of patient with metastatic or locally advanced breast cancer with an ORR by central
109 assessment of 12.4%; investigator assessment 18.3%; and duration of response 6 month by central
110 assessment.⁹

111 **5 Risk Assessment & Safe-Use Conditions**

112 The safety of Trodelvy was evaluated in a total of 408 patients. The safety data included study IMMU-
113 132-01 and data from studies in other malignancies who had received prior systemic therapeutic

114 regimen. All patients received Trodelvy as an IV infusion once weekly on days 1 and 8 of 21-day
115 treatment cycles at doses up to 10 mg/kg until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity.

116 **Deaths:**

117 In study IMMU-132-01, there were 20 deaths within 30 days of discontinuing study therapy at the time
118 of the 90-day safety update (December 1, 2017) in the overall safety population. The medical reviewer
119 concluded that there was one death in the safety population due to neutropenic typhilitis and agreed
120 that the other deaths were due to disease progression. It is not likely that Trodelvy contributed to the
121 deaths.¹⁰

122 With one death due to neutropenic typhilitis, along with the incidence of neutropenia and diarrhea, the
123 medical reviewer concluded that a boxed warning for diarrhea and neutropenia is warranted.

124 **5.1 THE SERIOUS RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH TRODELVY TREATMENT ARE LISTED IN THE** 125 **SECTIONS BELOW. NEUTROPENIA**

126 In clinical trials, Trodelvy caused severe or life-threatening neutropenia. SN-38, one component of
127 Trodelvy, is a topoisomerase I inhibitor that is the active metabolite of irinotecan. Irinotecan carries a
128 boxed warning for myelosuppression. Similar to irinotecan, if Trodelvy is approved, the risk of
129 neutropenia will be communicated in a boxed warning in the product label.

130 The incidence of grade 1-4 neutropenia was 54% in all patients treated with Trodelvy, with 13% patients
131 having grade 4 neutropenia. Febrile neutropenia occurred in 6% of patients treated with Trodelvy,
132 including 9% patients with mTNBC after at least 2 prior therapies.

133 If approved, healthcare providers (HCPs) will be advised to monitor blood cell counts during therapy
134 regularly and consider the growth factor, filgrastim, for secondary prophylaxis. Dose modification for
135 neutropenia will also be communicated in the Dosage and Administration section of the label.

136 **5.2 DIARRHEA**

137 In clinical trials, Trodelvy caused severe or life-threatening diarrhea because of the SN-38 component.
138 Similar to irinotecan, which carries a boxed warning for diarrhea, if approved, diarrhea will be
139 communicated in a boxed warning.

140 Diarrhea occurred in 62% of patients with mTNBC and 63% of all patients treated with Trodelvy. Grade
141 3-4 diarrhea occurred in 8% of mTNBC patients and 9% of all patients treated with Trodelvy. Three out
142 of 408 patients (<1%) discontinued treatment because of diarrhea. Neutropenic colitis was reported in
143 4% of patients in the mTNBC patients and 1% of all patients treated with Trodelvy.

144 If approved, HCPs will be advised to hold Trodelvy for grade 3-4 diarrhea and resume when diarrhea
145 resolved to \leq grade 1. Additionally, they will be advised to evaluate for infectious causes and promptly
146 start loperamide at the onset of diarrhea. The use of an appropriate premedication (e.g., atropine) will
147 be advised for patients with an excessive cholinergic response for future therapies. Dosage modification
148 recommendations for diarrhea will also be communicated in the Dosage and Administration section of
149 product labeling.

150 **5.3 HYPERSENSITIVITY**

151 Hypersensitivity reactions, including anaphylaxis, occurred in 35% of patients treated with Trodelvy,
152 with grade 3-4 occurred in 1% of patients. Hypersensitivity reactions leading to permanent
153 discontinuation of Trodelvy was seen in 1% of patients in clinical trials.

154 If approved, the Warnings and Precautions section of labeling will advise HCPs to premedicate patients
155 prior to infusion. Labeling will also advise HCPs to observe patients closely during each infusion and for
156 at least 30 minutes after completion of the infusion.

157 **5.4 NAUSEA AND VOMITING**

158 Trodelvy is moderately emetogenic. Nausea occurred in 67% of patients with mTNBC and 67% of all
159 patients treated with Trodelvy. Grade 3 nausea reported in 6% and 5% of these treated patients,
160 respectively. Forty-nine percent of patients with mTNBC and 44% of all patients treated with Trodelvy
161 reported to have vomiting; grade 3-4 vomiting occurred in 6% and 4% of these treated patients,
162 respectively.

163 If approved, the Warnings and Precautions section of the product label will advise HCPs to give
164 premedications with a 2 or 3 drug combination. Dosage modification for nausea/vomiting will also be
165 communicated in Dosage and Administration (section 2.3).

166 **5.5 USE IN PATIENTS WITH REDUCED UGT1A1 ACTIVITY**

167 Based on genotyping done in clinical trials of Trodelvy, patients who were homozygous for the uridine
168 diphosphate-glucuronosyl transferase 1A1 (UGT1A1)*28 allele were at increased risk for neutropenia,
169 and may be at increased risk for other adverse events. In the clinical trials, the incidence of grade 4
170 neutropenia was 27% in patients homozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele, 6% in patients heterozygous for
171 the UGT1A1*28 allele, and 5% in patients homozygous for the wild-type allele.

172 If approved, the Warnings and Precautions section of the product labeling will advise HCPs to monitor
173 patients with reduced UGT1A1 activity for severe neutropenia. Retrospective UGT1A1 genotype results
174 were available in 82% of patients who received Trodelvy.

175 **5.6 EMBRYO-FETAL TOXICITY**

176 SN-38, a component of Trodelvy, is genotoxic and targets rapidly dividing cells. Therefore, Trodelvy can
177 cause teratogenicity and/or embryo-fetal lethality when administered to a pregnant woman. If
178 approved, HCPs will be advised to communicate the potential risk to a fetus in the Warnings and
179 Precautions section of the product label.

180 **6 Expected Postmarket Use**

181 Trodelvy is likely to be prescribed and administered as an out-patient treatment or in the hospital
182 settings under the supervision of oncologists, who should have training and experience in managing the
183 toxicities associated with Trodelvy.

184 **7 Risk Management Activities Proposed by the Applicant**

185 The Applicant did not propose any risk management activities for Trodelvy beyond routine
186 pharmacovigilance and labeling.

187 **8 Discussion of Need for a REMS**

188 The clinical reviewer recommends approval of Trodelvy on the basis of the efficacy and safety
189 information currently available.¹¹

190 The most concerning risks of Trodelvy are severe and/or life-threatening neutropenia and diarrhea. To
191 mitigate these risks, if approved, the prescribing information of Trodelvy will likely have a boxed warning
192 to communicate risks of neutropenia and diarrhea. Additionally, the Dosage and Administration and
193 Warnings and Precautions sections of the label will convey these risks and advice for their mitigation.

194 If approved, the other risks of Trodelvy that will be communicated in the labeling in the Warnings and
195 Precautions section of the label are hypersensitivity, nausea and vomiting, use in patients with reduced
196 UGT1A1 activity, and embryo-fetal toxicity.

197 **9. Conclusion & Recommendations**

198 Based on the clinical review, the benefit-risk profile is favorable. If approved, a REMS is not necessary
199 for Trodelvy to ensure the benefits outweigh the risks. The risks associated with Trodelvy will be
200 communicated through product label. At the time of this review, evaluation of CMC, facilities, and
201 labeling were ongoing.

202 Please notify DRISK if new safety information becomes available that changes the benefit-risk profile;
203 this recommendation can be reevaluated.

204 **10 Appendices**

205 **10.1 REFERENCES**

¹ Howe, L Medical Reviewer, executive summary in multidisciplinary review, in DARRTS December 31, 2018

² Anders, C & Carey, L Epidemiology, risk factors and the clinical approach to Triple negative breast cancer, UpToDate accessed May 10, 2018

³ Howe, L Medical Reviewer, multidisciplinary review, in DARRTS December 31, 2018

⁴ Howe, L Medical Reviewer, multidisciplinary review, in DARRTS December 31, 2018

⁵ Burstein, H Adjuvant chemotherapy for HER-2 negative breast cancer, UpToDate accessed May 10, 2018

⁶ Olaparib (Lynparza) prescribing information, 12/2018

⁷ Talazoparib (Talzenna) prescribing information, 10/2018

⁸ Proposed Trodelvy Labeling, December 27, 2018

⁹ Howe, L Medical Reviewer, 8.2.4 safety results in multidisciplinary review, in DARRTS December 31, 2018

¹⁰ Howe, L Medical Reviewer, multidisciplinary review, in DARRTS December 31, 2018

¹¹ Howe, L Medical Reviewer, multidisciplinary review, in DARRTS December 31, 2018

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically. Following this are manifestations of any and all electronic signatures for this electronic record.

/s/

MEI-YEAN T CHEN
01/10/2019 11:19:48 AM

ELIZABETH E EVERHART
01/10/2019 02:07:03 PM
I concur.

CYNTHIA L LACIVITA
01/10/2019 02:22:12 PM