# CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH 

APPLICATION NUMBER:

## 213312Orig1s000

## RECOMMENDATION

| $\boxtimes$ Approval |
| :--- |
| $\square$ Approval with Post-Marketing Commitment |
| $\square$ Complete Response |

NDA 213312 Assessment 1

| Drug Product Name | Fyarro [Sirolimus protein-bound particles for injectable <br> suspension (albumin-bound)] |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dosage Form | Injection, suspension |
| Strength | 100 mg of sirolimus in a |
| Route of Administration | IV |
| Rx/OTC Dispensed | Rx |
| Applicant vial |  |
| US agent, if applicable | NADI BIOSCIENCE INC |


| Submission(s) <br> Assessed | Document Date | Discipline(s) Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Original NDA | $05 / 28 / 2021$ | All CMC |
| Quality Amendment | $08 / 10 / 2021$ | DP |
| Quality Amendment | $08 / 19 / 2021$ | Microbiology |
| Quality Amendment | $09 / 01 / 2021$ | DP, OPMA |
| Quality Amendment | $09 / 08 / 2021$ | OPMA |
| Labeling | $09 / 10 / 2021$ | DP |
| Quality Amendment | $09 / 13 / 2021$ | OPMA |
| Quality Amendment | $09 / 15 / 2021$ | OPMA |
| Quality Amendment | $09 / 16 / 2021$ | DP |
| Quality Amendment | $09 / 22 / 2021$ | OPMA |
| Quality Amendment | $10 / 07 / 2021$ | DP |
| Quality Amendment | $10 / 29 / 2021$ | DP |


| Discipline | Primary Assessment | Secondary Assessment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drug Substance | Soumya Mitra | Paresma Patel |  |
| Drug Product | Hailin Wang | Anamitro Banerjee |  |
| Manufacturing | Quamrul Majumder | Rakhi Shah |  |
| Microbiology | Shannon Heine | Erika Pfeiler |  |
| Biopharmaceutics | Mei Ou | Banu Zolnik |  |
| Regulatory Business <br> Process Manager | Rabiya Haider |  |  |
| Application Technical <br> Lead | Xing Wang |  |  |
| Environmental | Hailin Wang | Anamitro Banerjee |  |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION ON APPROVABILITY

The applicant of NDA 213312 provided sufficient information to assure the identity, strength, purity, quality, and bioavailability of the proposed drug product. The labels and labeling include adequate quality information as required. All associated manufacturing, testing, packaging facilities were deemed acceptable.

OPQ recommends APPROVAL of NDA 213312 for sirolimus proteinbound particles for injectable suspension (albumin-bound). OPQ grants a 24-month expiration dating period for the drug product, stored at 2 to $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the proposed container closure system. Protect from light.

The drug product review team determined that the following postmarketing commitment is necessary. The applicant has agreed with the Post-Marketing Commitment for Quality and the associated timelines (SN 0036, 11/01/2021).

PMC:
In Trial PEC-001, adverse reactions of hypersensitivity have occurred with infusion of FYARRO. Because
of the risk of immunogenicity and hypersensitivity with therapeutic proteins, further information is required to characterize the risk of immunogenicity and hypersensitivity in FYARRO. Submit side by side test results of albumin dimer/oligomer levels for FYARRO and any approved products containing albumin as an excipient with an established safety profile (e.g. Abraxane®) to support the proposed limit of albumin dimer/oligomer levels in FYARRO. A CBE-30 supplement will be submitted to propose an update to the drug product specifications if justified based on the results of this study.

| Final Protocol Submission: | $03 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Study Completion: | $03 / 2023$ |
| Final Report Submission: | $06 / 2023$ |

## II. SUMMARY OF QUALITY ASSESSMENTS

## A. Product Overview

An injectable IV formulation of sirolimus was desired to achieve higher dose delivery as compared to the poorly bioavailable oral formulation of the reference listed drug. FYARRO is a white to off-white lyophilized
powder of 100 mg of sirolimus and approximately 850 mg of human albumin in a single-dose $\quad{ }^{\text {(b) (4) }}$ glass vial. Each vial of the drug product will be reconstituted using 20 mL of $0.9 \%$ sodium chloride injection, USP, to get a 5 mg sirolimus $/ \mathrm{mL}$ solution before being administered by IV infusion over 30 minutes without further dilution. RLDs are Rapamune (sirolimus) Tablets (NDA 21110) and Rapamune Oral Solution (NDA 21083).

Drug substance sirolimus (rapamycin), a macrocyclic lactone produce by Streptomyces hygroscopicus, is an antineoplastic and a selective immunosuppressant agent, an mTOR inhibitor. Sirolimus drug substance is white to off-white powder, insoluble in water.

DMF ${ }^{\text {(b) (4) }}$ is referenced for sirolimus drug substance, LOA provided. A retest period of $\quad{ }^{(b)(4)}$ is found acceptable.

The excipient, human albumin (HSA), is an approved product added to the formulation $\quad{ }^{\text {(b) (4) }}$ sirolimus.
LOA to ${ }^{(b)(4)}$ is provided. $\square^{(b)(4)}$ . Extensive physical characterizations of the product, e.g., the amorphous state of sirolimus, $\square{ }^{(0)(4)}$ , supports the intended design outcome of the sirolimus IV formulation.

The druq product manufacturing steps include

The drug product specification includes tests to ensure identify, strength, purity, and quality/performance of the product. Particle size distribution and albumin content and species are considered critical quality attributes specific/unique to the proposed drug product. Two complimentary methods $\quad{ }^{(0)(4)}$ are used to control the particle size distribution. Human albumin to sirolimus ratio is added in the DP specification (b) and the labeled ratio of $8.5: 1$ is met. Additional data/justification is needed to support the upper limit of the albumin dimer + oligomer content which will be followed up by PMC.

Long-term stability data and accelerated stability data support the proposed shelf-life of 24 months, when the product is stored at $2-8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the proposed commercial package.

| Proposed <br> Indication(s) <br> including Intended <br> Patient Population | FYARRO is an mTOR inhibitor indicated for the <br> treatment of advanced (metastatic or locally <br> advanced) malignant perivascular epithelioid cell <br> tumor (PEComa). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Duration of <br> Treatment | Until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity |
| Maximum Daily Dose | (b) (4) $^{\text {(4) }} \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Alternative Methods <br> of Administration | None |

## B. Quality Assessment Overview

Drug Substance: Adequate
The drug substance is Sirolimus, which is a fermentation product. ${ }^{(0)(4)}$
${ }^{(b)(4)}$. The Applicant has cross-referenced DMF\# $\quad{ }^{(b)(4)}$ for all information
pertaining to Sirolimus (Rapamycin). The DMF holder
has provided satisfactory risk assessment studies for this fermentation product, which was used by the Applicant (Aadi BioSciences, Inc.) to complete risk assessment of the drug product.
The latest DMF amendments (SDN 46, 47 and 48) were reviewed by this reviewer and was assessed to be Adequate in support of this NDA (Refer to DMF Review\#5 in Panorama, by Dr. Soumya Mitra and Dr. Paresma Patel, dated 07/22/2021). The structure of the API was unequivocally established by spectroscopic studies. The drug substance specification for Sirolimus (Rapamycin) were in-line with ICH guidances, with acceptable justification for limits of related substances. The Applicant has provided manufacturing schemes of APIs with satisfactory controls in their manufacturing process.

Risk assessments were provided for elemental impurities, related substances, $\quad$ (b) (4) impurity, and residual solvents, which were deemed to be acceptable. Analytical methods and method validation provided by the cross-referenced DMF $\quad{ }^{\text {(b) (4) }}$ were satisfactory. Batch analysis data are provided and well within the pre-set acceptance criteria, consistent and comparable. The container closure system was suitable for intended use. The stability data has been cross-referenced to the corresponding DMF\# $\quad{ }^{(b)}(4)$ from the drug substance manufacturer. The Applicant sets a retest period of $\quad$ (b) (4) for Sirolimus, which is acceptable based on the stability data under long-term conditions and accelerated stability conditions provided in the cross referenced DMF.

## Drug Product: Adequate

The strength of the product is based on entire sirolimus molecule (a macrolide) as active ingredient. The excipient, human albumin (HSA), is a CBER approved product added to the formulation ${ }^{(0)(4)}$
$\square{ }^{(b)(4)}$ is provided. $\square{ }^{\text {(b) (4) }}$. LOA $\square$ (b) (4) $_{\text {(b) }}$

The collected data from extensive physical characterizations of the nanoparticles, e.g. the amorphous state of API, $\square$
supports the intended design outcome of the sirolimus IV formulation prepared using the albumin-bound nanoparticles platform adopted from Abraxane.

Results from original in-use compatibility studies performed with ${ }^{\text {(b) (4) }}$ and the repeated study $\quad{ }^{\text {(b)(4) }}$ support the stability of ABI009 when reconstituted in the original vial and stored for 6 hours at 2 to 8 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ protected from light, followed by storage in polyolefin or PVC IV bags for 9 hours at 2 to $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then storage for 4 hours at controlled room temperature under ambient light conditions as described in the PI. No concern with leachables from the CCS and administration set.
The drug product specification includes tests to ensure identify, strength, purity and quality/performance of the product. The known impurity, secorapamycin A,
${ }^{(0)(4)}$ is (b) (4)
${ }^{(b)(4)}$ formed by ring opening of sirolimus. The control strategy for this impurity implemented during manufacturing and at final product testing is adequate. Particle size distribution and albumin content and species are considered critical quality attributes specific/unique to the proposed drug product formulation/ dosage. Two complimentary methods ${ }^{(b)(4)}$ ${ }^{(b)}(4)$ are used to control the particle size distribution. Human albumin to sirolimus ratio is added in the DP specification labeled ratio of $8.5: 1$ is met. However, additional data/justification is needed to support the upper limit of the albumin dimer + oligomer content which will be followed up as PMR/PMC.

When stored in $\square \quad{ }^{(b)}$ (4) glass vials closed with $\quad{ }^{\text {(b) (4) }}$ rubber and $\quad{ }^{\text {(b) (4) }}$ seal, ABI-009 exhibit good physicochemical stability. Satisfactory results from available of longterm stability data up to 48 months (at $5 \pm 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and 6 months of accelerated stability data at $25 \pm 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 60 \pm 5 \%$ RH for the five primary stability batches $\quad$ (b) (4) supports the proposed shelf-life of 24 months.

The applicant has submitted a claim of categorical exclusion including a statement of no extraordinary circumstances. The applicant provided the correct categorical exclusion: 21 CFR 25.31(b) in SD 17 on 09/01/2021 as requested in the quality IR on 08/04/2021. The EIC calculation is below 1 ppm for sirolimus. The claim of categorical exclusion is acceptable.

Labeling: Adequate
All CMC comments/edits have been conveyed to OND and the applicant.
Manufacturing: Adequate


Biopharmaceutics: Adequate
The Division of Biopharmaceutics review focuses on: (i) the need of an in vitro drug release method as a quality control (QC) test for the final drug product, (ii) bridging.

In Vitro Drug Release Method:

Based on the overall data submitted, the Division of Biopharmaceutics decided that in vitro drug release test is not considered a meaningful quality control (QC) test for the proposed drug product for the following reasons:

In vitro drug release of sirolimus from the sirolimus albumin-bound nanoparticles suspension is determined by the sirolimus solubility limit only, In vitro drug release of sirolimus is not governed by any formulation or manufacturing process attributes.

Therefore, the Division of Biopharmaceutics agreed that the in vitro drug release method is not a meaningful QC test for the proposed drug product. Note that the in vitro drug release method is not one of the proposed drug product specifications in this NDA (e.g., M.3.2.P.5.1).

## In Vitro Formulation Bridging:

There are no composition or meaningful manufacturing process changes of the proposed drug product during the pharmaceutical development. Therefore, no additional bridging studies are needed.

## Microbiology: Adequate

The applicant has met regulatory expectations regarding the test method, acceptance criteria, and verification of the suitability of use of: the sterility test that will be used for in process testing; the container closure integrity test that will be used for release testing; the bacterial endotoxins test;

The applicant has met regulatory expectations for validating (b) (4) ${ }^{(b)}(4)$ the subject drug product. ${ }^{(b)}(4)$.

| vironmental monitoring program |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| validation/requalification studies | for vials |
| d during the commercial manufacture of the subus idation/requalification studies | subject drug product; the (b) (4) |
| used during the commercial manufa | facture of the subject drug |
| product; the validation/requalification studies |  |
| be used during the commercial mand | manufacture of the |
| poduct; the rece |  |
|  |  | the commercial manufacture of the subject drug product.

The validation of the $\quad$ was reviewed for sterility $_{\text {(b) (4) }}$ stoppers in DMF ${ }^{(\text {b) (4) }}$

```
found adequate in Microbiology Review of DMF
(b) (4) \({ }^{(\text {b) (4) }}\) M17R01.docx), dated 20 May 2021. Therefore, the applicant has met regulatory expectations for stopper
C. Risk Assessment
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline CQAs & \begin{tabular}{l}
Initial \\
Risk \\
Ranking
\end{tabular} & Comments & \begin{tabular}{l}
Updated \\
Risk \\
Ranking after \\
Assessment Cycle \#
\end{tabular} & Comments \\
\hline Sterility & 100 & & & (b) (4) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Endotoxin \\
Pyrogen
\end{tabular} & 32 & & & \\
\hline Assay (API), stability & 36 & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Uniformity of Dose \\
(Fill \\
Volume/deliverable \\
volume)
\end{tabular} & 36 & & & \\
\hline Appearance (Color/turbidity) & 9 & & & \\
\hline Particulate matter & 45 & & & \\
\hline Leachable extractables & 24 & & & \\
\hline pH- (b) (4) & 12 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
D. List of Deficiencies for Complete Response N/A

Application Technical Lead Name and Date:
Xing Wang, Ph.D.

\section*{QUALITY ASSESSMENT DATA SHEET}
1. RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS
A. DMFs:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DMF \# & Type & Holder & Item Referenced & Status & Date Assessment Completed & Comments \\
\hline & III & & & Adequate & 10/19/2021 & Per MAPP \\
\hline & III & & & Adequate & & (Rev. 1). \\
\hline & III & & & Adequate & & \\
\hline & V & & & Adequate & 08/24/2021 & Refer to
microbiology
review \\
\hline & II & & & Adequate & 08/27/2021 &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
B. OTHER DOCUMENTS: IND, RLD, RS, Approved NDA
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Document } & Application Number & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Description } \\
\hline BLA & (b) (4) \(^{\text {(b) }}\) (4) & Human Albumin \\
\hline IND & (b) \begin{tabular}{l} 
(b)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
2. CONSULTS N/A

Digitally signed by Xing Wang
Date: 11/02/2021 03:13:58PM
GUID: 525daca300039122a4daaad45e49c6fb

\section*{CHAPTER IV: LABELING}

NDA 213312

\subsection*{1.0 PRESCRIBING INFORMATION}

Assessment of Product Quality Related Aspects of the Prescribing Information:
1.1 HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION (as in SD31 on 10/20/21)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Item & Items in Proposed Labeling (choose "Adequate", "Inadequate", or "N/A") & \begin{tabular}{l}
Assessor's Comments \\
(If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Product Title in Highlights} \\
\hline Established name(s) \({ }^{1}\) & Adequate & \begin{tabular}{l}
Recommended revision conveyed to OND \\
OPPQ/PQL team (email on 09/26/2021) recommends the following established name and product title: \\
FYARRO (sirolimus protein-bound particles for injectable suspension)(albumin-bound), for intravenous use
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Route(s) of administration & Adequate & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Dosage Forms and Strengths Heading in Highlights} \\
\hline Summary of the dosage form(s) and strength(s) in metric system & Adequate & \begin{tabular}{l}
Recommended revision conveyed to OND \\
From (b) (4) to "powder", deleted \({ }^{\text {(b) (4) }}\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Assess if the tablet is scored. If product meets guidelines and criteria for a scored tablet, state "functionally scored". & N/A & \\
\hline For injectable drug products for parental administration, use appropriate package type term (e.g., single-dose, multiple-dose, single-patientuse). Other package terms include pharmacy bulk package and imaging bulk package. & Adequate & \begin{tabular}{l}
Recommended revision conveyed to OND \\
From \\
(b)(4)to "single-dose"
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Established name \(=\) [Drug] [Route of Administration] [Dosage Form]
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline If the drug product contains & N/A & \\
an active ingredient that is a & & \\
salt, clearly state whether the & & \\
strength is based on the & & \\
active moiety (e.g., Tablets: & & \\
10 mg of dryg-x. or active & & \\
ingredient (e..., Tablets: 10 & & \\
mg of drug-x hydrochloride). & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{1.2 FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION}

\subsection*{1.2.1 Section 2 (DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Item & Items in Proposed Labeling (choose "Adequate", "Inadequate", or "N/A") & \begin{tabular}{l}
Assessor's Comments \\
(If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION section} \\
\hline Special instructions for product preparation (e.g., reconstitution and resulting concentration, dilution, compatible diluents, storage conditions needed to maintain the stability of the reconstituted or diluted product) & Adequate & Recommended revisions conveyed to OND \\
\hline Important administration instructions supported by product quality information (e.g., do not crush or chew extended-release tablets, instructions for mixing with food) & Adequate & Refer to DP review for supporting in-use stability data \\
\hline For parenteral products: include statement: "Parenteral drug products must be inspected visually for particulate matter and discoloration prior to administration, whenever solution and container permit" & Adequate & Recommended revision conveyed to OND \\
\hline If there is a USP monograph for the drug product and it contains a labeling requirement, ensure the labeling & N/A & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{CDED QUALITY ASSESSMENT}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
requirement is fulfilled. \\
Note the labeling \\
requirement may be \\
applicable to another \\
section of the PI (e.g.,
\end{tabular} & & \\
Section 11). & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
For radioactive products, \\
include radiation dosimetry \\
for the patient and \\
healthcare practitioner(s) \\
who administer the drug
\end{tabular} & N/A & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
For hazardous products, \\
include the statement \\
"DRUG X is a hazardous \\
drug. Follow applicable
\end{tabular} & Adequate & Recommended addition conveyed to OND \\
special handling and \\
disposal procedures." with \\
x numerical citation to \\
"OSHA Hazardous Drugs".
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{QUALITY ASSESSMENT}
1.2.2 Section 3 (DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Item & Items in Proposed Labeling (choose "Adequate", "Inadequate", or "N/A") & \begin{tabular}{l}
Assessor's Comments \\
(If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS section} \\
\hline Available dosage form(s) & Adequate & \begin{tabular}{l}
Recommended revision conveyed to OND \\
From \({ }^{(b)(4)}\) to "powder", delete \({ }^{\text {(b)(4) }}\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Strength(s) in metric system & Adequate & \\
\hline If the active ingredient is a salt, apply the USP Salt Policy per FDA Guidance. Clearly state whether the strength is based on the active moiety (e.g., Tablets: 10 mg of drug-x) or active ingredient (Tablets: 10 mg of drug-x hydrochloride). & N/A & \\
\hline A description of the identifying characteristics of the dosage forms, including shape, color, coating, scoring, imprinting, and color and clarity of the solution, when applicable & Adequate & \\
\hline Assess if the tablet is scored. If product meets guidelines and criteria for a scored tablet, state "functionally scored" & N/A & \\
\hline For injectable drug products for parental administration, use appropriate package type term (e.g., single-dose, multiple-dose, single-patient-use). Other package type terms include pharmacy bulk package and imaging bulk package. & Adequate & \begin{tabular}{l}
Recommended revision conveyed to OND \\
From (b) (4) to "single-dose"
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Section 11 (DESCRIPTION)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Item & Items in Proposed Labeling (choose "Adequate", "Inadequate", or "N/A") & \begin{tabular}{l}
Assessor's Comments \\
(If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{DESCRIPTION section} \\
\hline Proprietary and established name(s) & Adequate & \begin{tabular}{l}
Recommended revision conveyed to OND \\
Established name revised to be consistent with product title
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Dosage form(s) and route(s) of administration & Adequate & \\
\hline If the active ingredient is a salt, apply the USP Salt Policy and include the equivalency statement per Salt Guidance and MAPP. For example: "TRADENAME contains 100 mg of drug-x (equivalent to 123.7 mg of drug-x hydrochloride)" & N/A & \\
\hline List names of all inactive ingredients. Use USP/NF names in alphabetical order. Avoid brand names. & Adequate & \\
\hline For parenteral injectable dosage forms, include the name and quantities of all inactive ingredients. For ingredients added to adjust the pH or make isotonic, include the name and statement of effect. & Adequate & \\
\hline If alcohol is present, must provide the amount of alcohol in terms of percent volume of absolute alcohol & N/A & \\
\hline Sterility statement (if applicable) & Adequate & \\
\hline Pharmacological/Therapeutic class & Adequate & Recommended revision conveyed to OND \\
\hline Chemical name, structural formula, molecular weight & Adequate & \\
\hline If radioactive, statement of important nuclear characteristics. & N/A & \\
\hline Other important chemical or physical properties (such as pKa or pH ) & Adequate & DS solubility properties is consistent with information provided in section 3.2.S.1. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Section 11 (DESCRIPTION) Continued}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Item } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Items in Proposed \\
Labeling \\
(choose "Adequate", \\
"Inadequate", or "N/A")
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Assessor's Comments \\
(If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on \\
the issues, as appropriate)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
For oral prescription drug \\
products, include gluten \\
statement (if applicable)
\end{tabular} & N/A & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Remove statements that may \\
be misleading or promotional \\
(e.g., "synthesized and \\
developed by Drug Company \\
X," "structurally unique \\
molecular entity")
\end{tabular} & Adequate & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Recommended deletion conveyed to \\
OND \\
Delete \\
necessary for the prescriber or the patient.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
If there is a USP monograph \\
for the drug product and it \\
contains a labeling \\
requirement, ensure the \\
labeling requirement is \\
fulfilled. Note the labeling \\
requirement may be \\
applicable to another section \\
of the PI (e.g., Section 2).
\end{tabular} & N/A & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{1.2.4 Section 16 (HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING)}

\section*{APPEARS THIS WAY IN ORIGINAL}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Item & Items in Proposed Labeling (choose "Adequate", "Inadequate", or "N/A") & Assessor's Comments (If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING section} \\
\hline Available dosage form(s) & Adequate & \begin{tabular}{l}
Recommended addition/revisions conveyed to OND \\
Added "for injectable suspension", and revised \(\qquad\) "protein-bound particles for injectable suspension) (albumin-bound)".
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Strength(s) in metric system & Adequate & \\
\hline Available units (e.g., bottles of 100 tablets) & Adequate & \\
\hline Identification of dosage forms (e.g., shape, color, coating, scoring, imprinting, and color and clarity of the solution, when applicable); Include NDC(s) & Adequate & \begin{tabular}{l}
Recommended addition conveyed to OND \\
Added "white to yellow, sterile lyophilized powder"
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Assess if the tablet is scored. If product meets guidelines and criteria for a scored tablet, state "functionally scored" & N/A & \\
\hline For injectable drug products for parental administration, use appropriate package type term (e.g., single-dose, multiple-dose, single-patientuse). Other package terms include pharmacy bulk package and imaging bulk package. & Adequate & \begin{tabular}{l}
Recommended revision conveyed to OND \\
From \\
(b)(4) to "single-dose"
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline Special handling about the & Adequate & Protect from light statement is provided \\
supplied product (e.g., & & \\
protect from light, & \\
refrigerate). If there is a & & \\
statement to "Dispense in & & \\
original container,") provide & & \\
reason why (e.g., to protect & & \\
from light or moisture, to & & \\
maintain stability, etc.). For & & \\
hazardous drugs, state & & \\
"DRUG X is a hazardous & & \\
drug. Follow aplicable & \\
special handling and disposal \\
procedures.x" with x & & \\
numerical citation to "OSHA & & \\
Hazardous Drugs." & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Section 16 (HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING) (Continued)
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Item } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Items in Proposed \\
Labeling \\
(choose "Adequate", \\
"Inadequate", or "N/A")
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Assessor's Comments \\
(If an item is Inatequate, \\
provide more details on the \\
issues, as appropriate)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Storage conditions. Where applicable, \\
use USP storage range rather than \\
storage at a single temperature.
\end{tabular} & Adequate & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Proposed storage \\
condition is supported by \\
primary batch stability \\
data.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Latex: If product does not contain latex \\
and manufacturing of product and \\
container did not include use of natural \\
rubber latex or synthetic derivatives of \\
natural rubber latex, state: "Not made \\
with natural rubber latex. Avoid \\
statements such as "latex-free."
\end{tabular} & N/A & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Include information about child- \\
resistant packaging
\end{tabular} & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{1.2.5 Other Sections of Labeling}

There may be other sections of labeling that contain product-quality related information. For example, there are specific required/recommended warnings for certain inactive ingredients [e.g., aspartame, aluminum in large and small volume parenterals, sulfites, FD\&C Yellow Number 5 (tartrazine), and benzyl alcohol]. Please notify the prescription drug review division if the product contains any of these inactive ingredients.

Please include your comments about other sections of labeling if they contain product quality information.

\subsection*{1.2.6 Manufacturing Information After Section 17 (for drug products)}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Item } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Items in Proposed \\
Labeling \\
(choose "Adequate", \\
"Inadequate", or "N/A")
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Assessor's Comments \\
(If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on \\
the issues, as appropriate)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Manufacturing Information After Section 17 \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Name and location of \\
business (street address, \\
city, state, and zip code) of \\
the manufacturer, distributor, \\
and/or packer
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{c} 
Adequate
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{2.0 PATIENT LABELING}

Assessment of Product Quality Related Aspects of Patient Labeling (e.g., Medication Guides, Instructions for Use, Patient Information):
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Item } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Items in Proposed \\
Labeling \\
(choose "Adequate", \\
"Inadequate", or "N/A")
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Assessor's Comments about \\
Carton Labeling \\
(If an item is Inadequate, provide more \\
details on the issues, as appropriate)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Established name" & Adequate & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Recommended revisions \\
conveyed to OND
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Special preparation instructions \\
(if applicable)
\end{tabular} & Adequate & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Storage and handling information \\
(if applicable)
\end{tabular} & Adequate & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
If the product contains a desiccant, \\
ensure the desiccant has a warning \\
(e.g., "Do not eat.") and the size and \\
shape of the desiccant differs from the \\
dosage form.
\end{tabular} & N/A & \\
\hline Active ingredient(s) (if applicable) & Adequate & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Alphabetical listing of inactive \\
ingredients (if applicable)
\end{tabular} & Adequate & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Name and location of business (street \\
address, city, state, and zip code) of \\
manufacturer, distributor, and/or packer
\end{tabular} & Adequate & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) Established name \(=[\) Drug] [Route of Administration] [Dosage Form]
}
CDSD QUALITY ASSESSMENT CDSD

\section*{Any deficiencies should be listed at the end in the "ITEMS FOR ADDITIONAL ASSESSMENT."}

\subsection*{3.0 CONTAINER AND CARTON LABELING}
3.1 Container Labels (taken from SD19 on 09/10/2021)

1 Page of Draft Labeling has been Withheld in Full as \(\mathrm{B} 4(\mathrm{CCI} / \mathrm{TS})\) Immediately Following this Page

\section*{QUALITY ASSESSMENT}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Item & \begin{tabular}{l}
Items in Proposed Labeling \\
(choose "Adequate", \\
"Inadequate", or "N/A")
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Assessor's Comments about Carton Labeling \\
(If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Established name \({ }^{3}\), (font size and prominence (21 CFR
\[
201.10(\mathrm{~g})(2)
\] & Adequate & \begin{tabular}{l}
The font of the established name does not appear to be at least half as large as the letters comprising the proprietary name. The propriety name and established name do not appear to have same prominence. \\
Recommended revision conveyed to OND: \\
Increase the font size of the established name to be at least half as large as the letters comprising the proprietary name so that they have to same prominence. \\
Revise the established name to be consistent with the PI.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Strength(s) in metric system & Adequate & \\
\hline Route(s) of administration & Adequate & \\
\hline If the active ingredient is a salt, include the equivalency statement per Salt Guidance and MAPP. & N/A & \\
\hline Net contents ( 21 CFR 201.51(a) e.g., tablet count, volume of liquid) & Adequate & \\
\hline "Rx only" displayed on the principal display & Adequate & \\
\hline NDC & Adequate & \\
\hline Lot number and expiration date & Adequate & \\
\hline Storage conditions. If applicable, include a space on the carton labeling for the user to write the new beyond-use-date (BUD). & Adequate & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{3}\) Established name \(=\) [Drug] [Route of Administration] [Dosage Form]
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline For injectable drug products for parental administration, use appropriate package type term (e.g., single-dose, multiple-dose, single-patient-use). Other package terms include pharmacy bulk package and imaging bulk package, and these products require a "Not for direct infusion" statement. & Adequate & \begin{tabular}{l}
Recommended revision conveyed to OND: \\
From \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline For parenteral injectable dosage forms, include the name and quantities of all active and inactive ingredients in alphabetical order. For ingredients added to adjust the pH or make isotonic, include the name and statement of effect. & Adequate & \\
\hline If alcohol is present, must provide the amount of alcohol in terms of percent volume of absolute alcohol & N/A & \\
\hline Linear Bar code & Adequate & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Item } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Items in Proposed \\
Labeling \\
(choose "Adequate", \\
"Inadequate", or "N/A")
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Assessor's Comments about \\
Carton Labeling \\
(If an item is Inadequate, provide more \\
details on the issues, as appropriate)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Name of manufacturer/distributor \\
/packer
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Adequate
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
If there is a Medication Guide, must \\
include a statement about dispensing \\
a Medication Guide to each patient.
\end{tabular} & N/A & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
No text on Ferrule and Cap overseal, \\
unless a cautionary statement is \\
required.
\end{tabular} & N/A & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
If there is a USP monograph for the \\
drug product and it contains a labeling \\
requirement, ensure the labeling \\
requirement is fulfilled.
\end{tabular} & N/A & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
When a drug product differs from the \\
relevant USP standard of strength, \\
quality, or purity, as determined by the \\
application of the tests, procedures, \\
and acceptance criteria set forth in the \\
relevant compendium, its difference \\
shall be plainly stated on its label.
\end{tabular} & N/A & \\
\hline And others, if space is available. & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
QDSD QUALITY ASSESSMENT \(C D D\)

\section*{Assessment of Carton and Container Labeling: \{Adequate\}}

The following comments has been conveyed to OND:
Regarding Container and Carton Labels:
1. Revise the established name to be consistent with the recommended product title for the PI.
2. Increase the font size of the established name to be at least half as large as the letters comprising the proprietary name so that they have to same prominence.
3. The package term should be changed from (b) (4) to "single-dose"

\section*{ITEMS FOR ADDITIONAL ASSESSMENT}

None
Overall Assessment and Recommendation: Adequate

Primary Labeling Assessor Name and Date: 10/21/2021
Secondary Assessor Name and Date (and Secondary Summary, as needed): Anamitro Banerjee 10/25/2021

Digitally signed by Sheena Hailin Wang Date: 10/26/2021 10:31:47AM
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Anamitro Digitally signed by Anamitro Banerjee
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\section*{CHAPTER VI: BIOPHARMACEUTICS}

\author{
NDA: 213312-ORIG-1 [505(b)(2)] \\ Drug Product Name/Strength: FYARRO \({ }^{\text {TM }}\) (Sirolimus albumin-bound nanoparticles for injectable suspension), 100 mg per vial \\ Route of Administration: Intravenous injection \\ Proposed Indication: Advanced (metastatic or locally advnaced) Malignant \\ Perivascular Epithelioid Cell Tumor (PEComa) \\ Applicant Name: Aadi Bioscience, Inc. \\ Submission Date: 05/28/2021 \\ Primary Reviewer: Mei Ou, Ph.D. \\ Secondary Reviewer: Banu Zolnik, Ph.D.
}

\section*{EXECUTIVE SUMMARY}

The proposed drug product, FYARRO \({ }^{\text {TM }}\) (ABI-009, Sirolimus albumin-bound nanoparticles for injectable suspension), 100 mg per vial, is indicated for the treatment of patients with advanced (metastatic or locally advanced) malignant perivascular epithelioid cell carcinoma (PEComa). The active ingredient is sirolimus. The proposed drug product is a sterile lyophilized powder of albumin-bound sirolimus nanoparticles with a mean particle size of less than \({ }^{(b)}(4) \mathrm{nm}\). The drug product is supplied as a white to off-white lyophilized powder of 100 mg of sirolimus and approximately 850 mg of human albumin in a single-dose \({ }^{(b)(4)}\) glass vial. Each vial of the drug product will be reconstituted using 20 mL of \(0.9 \%\) sodium chloride injection, USP, to get a 5 mg sirolimus \(/ \mathrm{mL}\) solution before being administered by IV infusion over 30 minutes without further dilution. The recommended dose is \(100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\) administered as an IV infusion over 30 minutes on days 1 and 8 of a 21 -day cycle.

This 505(b)(2) application relies on FDA's previous findings of safety and efficacy, nonclinical data and literature data of the listed drug (LD) products, RAPAMUNE \({ }^{\circledR}\) (sirolimus tablets, NDA 021110) and RAPAMUNE \({ }^{\circledR}\) (sirolimus oral solution, NDA 021083). The Applicant conducted nonclinical studies and four oncology clinical studies, [Study PEC-001 (a Phase 2 safety and efficacy study), Study CA401 (a Phase 1 dosefinding study), Study GBM-007 (a Phase 2 safety and efficacy study), Study COLO-007 (a Phase \(1 / 2\) safety and efficacy study), and one non-oncology clinical study, Study PAH001 (a Phase 1 safety and efficacy study)] to support approval of this application.

The final module of this rolling submission was submitted on \(05 / 28 / 2021\). The Division of Biopharmaceutics review focuses on: (i) the need of an in vitro drug release method as a quality control (QC) test for the final drug product, (ii) bridging.

\section*{In Vitro Drug Release Method:}

Based on the overall data submitted, the Division of Biopharmaceutics decided that in vitro drug release test is not considered a meaningful quality control (QC) test for the proposed drug product for the following reasons:
1) In vitro drug release of sirolimus from the sirolimus albumin-bound nanoparticles suspension is determined by the sirolimus solubility limit
2) In vitro drug release of sirolimus is not governed by any formulation or manufacturing process attributes.

Therefore, the Division of Biopharmaceutics agreed that the in vitro drug release method is not a meaningful QC test for the proposed drug product. Note that the in vitro drug release method is not one of the proposed drug product specifications in this NDA (e.g., M.3.2.P.5.1).

\section*{In Vitro Formulation Bridging:}

There are no composition or meaningful manufacturing process changes of the proposed drug product during the pharmaceutical development. Therefore, no additional bridging studies are needed.

\section*{RECOMMENDATION}

From the Biopharmaceutics perspective, NDA 213312-ORIG-1 for the proposed drug product, FYARRO \({ }^{\text {TM }}\) (ABI-009, Sirolimus albumin-bound nanoparticles for injectable suspension), 100 mg per vial, is recommended for APPROVAL.

\section*{BIOPHARMACEUTICS REVIEW}

\section*{1. In Vitro Drug Release Method}

In the IND 125669, Type B, pre-NDA meeting, held on \(02 / 25 / 2020\), the Applicant proposed that an in vitro drug release test is not necessary for the proposed drug product. In the meeting minutes, FDA recommended the Applicant to include all the supporting data in the NDA and stated that their justification will be reviewed in the NDA \({ }^{1}\).

\section*{(1) The Composition of the Proposed Drug Product}

The composition of the proposed drug product is presented in Table 1 below. Active ingredient, Sirolimus, is a potent mTOR (mammalian Target of Rapamycin) inhibitor, which is insoluble in water (solubility \(2.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}\) ). Human albumin is the single excipient in the finished product. Sirolimus binds to human albumin by noncovalent bonds with high affinity.

Table 1: The composition of ABI-009
(from Table 1 in M.3.2.P.2)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Component & Reference & Function & mg/vial \\
\hline Sirolimus & In-House & Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient & 100 \\
\hline Human Albumin & Ph. Eur./USP & & (b) (4) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{(2) Sirolimus/Human Albumin/Nanoparticle Composition Study}

Per the Applicant, the drug product has the following properties in solution: sirolimusalbumin nanoparticle,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) IND 125669 meeting minutes dated 03/24/2020:
https://darrts.fda.gov/darrts/faces/ViewDocument?documentId=090140af8054ea99\& afrRedirect=9194 73786653770
16 Page(s) have been Withheld in Full as b4 (CCI/TS) immediately following this page
}


Therefore, all these efforts as mentioned above have not been successfully develop an in vitro drug release method which would provide a profile of release and discriminate between batches of variations in manufacturing or storage conditions impacted the drug release rate.


Therefore, the Division of Biopharmaceutics agreed that the in vitro drug release method is not a meaningful QC test for the proposed drug product. Note that the in vitro drug release method is not one of the proposed drug product specifications in current submission (e.g., M.3.2.P.5.1).

\section*{2. Formulation Bridging}

There are no composition or meaningful manufacturing process changes of the proposed drug product during the pharmaceutical development. Therefore, no additional bridging studies are needed.
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\section*{CHAPTER VII: MICROBIOLOGY}

IQA ANDA Assessment Guide Reference
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline Product Information & 213312 \\
\hline NDA Number & 1 \\
\hline Assessment Cycle Number & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Sirolimus albumin-bound nanoparticles \\
for injectable suspension (Fyarro), \\
mg/vial
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Drug Product Name / Strength \\
\hline Route of Administration & Intravenous (IV) \\
\hline Applicant Name & \\
\hline Manufacturing Site & \\
& \\
\hline Method of Sterilization & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Assessment Recommendation: Adequate Theme:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline\(区\) N/A & \(\square\) Depyrogenation Validation Data \\
\hline & \(\square\) Product Release and/or Stability \\
Specifications
\end{tabular}

Justification: view justification statements found at: N/A

\section*{Assessment Summary:}

List Submissions Being Assessed (table):
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Document(s) Assessed } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ Date Received } \\
\hline Seq 0015 (15) & 19 August 2021 \\
\hline Seq 0008 (8) & 28 May 2021 \\
\hline Seq 0006 (6) & 24 February 2021 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Highlight Key Issues from Last Cycle and Their Resolution: N/A}

Remarks: The subject drug is a sterile, white to yellow lyophilized cake containing 100 mg of sirolimus formulated as albumin-bound nanoparticles in a \({ }^{(b)(4)}\) vial for reconstitution. The drug product is packaged in a glass vial with a (b)(4)
stopper and
\({ }^{(0)(4)}\) seal. The drug product is indicated for the treatment of Advanced Malignant Perivascular Epithelioid Cell Tumor. The submission was granted Priority review and Breakthrough Therapy designation on 12 December 2018 with Fast Track granted 24 October 2018. Deficiencies were conveyed to the applicant in a product quality microbiology Information Request, dated 2 August 2021.

The submission is recommended for approval from the standpoint of product quality microbiology.

\section*{Concise Description of Outstanding Issues: N/A}

\section*{Supporting Documents:}
- Type V DMF \({ }^{\text {(b)(4) }}\) for sterility assurance and process validation details for \(\quad\) (b) (4) manufacturing facility (b) (4)
- Microbiology Review of DMF \({ }^{\text {(b)(4) }}\) ( \({ }^{\text {(b) (4) }}\) mic1.doc), dated 16 April 2014, for building and facilities, commercial production
\({ }^{(0)}(4)\) process parameters, and the environmental monitoring program for manufacturing facility.
- Microbiology Review of DMF \({ }^{\text {(0)(4) (4) }}\) ( \({ }^{\text {(0) (4) }}\) mic2.doc), dated 20 January 2015, for locations of critical equipment and the environmental monitoring program for (b)(4) manufacturing facility.
- Microbiology Review of DMF (b) (4) \(^{\text {( }}\) (b) (4) M08R02.docx), dated 13 June 2018 for
manufacturing facility.
- Microbiology Review of DMF \({ }^{\text {(b)(4) }}\) ( \({ }^{\text {(0)(4) M36R01.docx), dated } 18}\) August 2021 for manufacturing equipment, validation/requalification of the \(\quad\) (b) (4) product \(\quad\) (b)(4), validation/requalification validation/requalification of the
manufacturing facility.

Select Number of Approved Comparability Protocols: 0

\section*{S DRUG SUBSTANCE}

The drug substance is not provided sterile. Therefore, a product quality microbiology review of the drug substance was not performed \(\qquad\) (b) (4) (b) (4).

\section*{P. 1 DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPOSITION OF THE DRUG PRODUCT}
- Description of drug product
(See Section 3.2.P. 1 (Seq 0008), Description of Drug Product)
The subject drug product is a sterile, white to yellow lyophilized cake in a \({ }_{\text {(b) (4) }}\) vial for reconstitution. The drug product is packaged in a glass vial \({ }^{(0)(4)}\) seal. Once reconstituted, each vial contains a suspension of nanoparticles containing \(5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}\) of sirolimus bound to albumin for intravenous infusion.
- Drug product composition

- Description of container closure system
(See Section 3.2.P. 1 (Seq 0008), Description of Drug Product and Section 3.2.P. 7 (Seq 0008), pg. 3 of 3.2.P. 7 Container Closure System)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Component & Description & Manufacturer & (b) (4) \\
\hline Vial & Glass Vial & (b) (4) & \\
\hline Stopper & (b) (4) Rubber Stopper, & \\
& & (b) (4) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Assessment: Adequate}

The applicant provided an adequate description of the drug product composition and the container closure system.
P. 2 PHARMACEUTICAL DEVELOPMENT


\section*{R REGIONAL INFORMATION}

Executed Batch Records
(See Section 3.2.R, 3.2.R Regional Information and ABI-009 Batch Records)
Executed lot \#(s):
C345-001
C346-003
C348-003
C348-006
C349-001
The batch records confirm that validated (b)(4) procedures and (b) (4)
\({ }^{(0)(4)}\) processes were used for the manufacture of the exhibit batches.

\section*{Assessment: Adequate}

The executed batch records provide adequate support for (b) (4) manufacturing of the drug product.

Comparability Protocols
N/A

\title{
2. ASSESSMENT OF COMMON TECHNICAL DOCUMENT - QUALITY (CTDQ) MODULE 1
}
2.A. Prescribing Information

Post-dilution/constitution hold time
(Section 1.14.1.3 (Seq 0008), pg. 1 of Draft Labeling Text (MS Word) - Clean)
Storage temperature: Store the vials in the original cartons at \(2^{\circ}\) to \(8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) [USP Refrigerated Temperature] ( \(36^{\circ}\) to \(46^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\) ). Retain in the original package to protect from light
Maximum storage time: Unopened vials of FYARRO are stable until the date indicated on the package when stored between \(2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) to \(8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(36^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.\) to \(\left.46^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)\) in the original package. Neither freezing nor thawing adversely affects the stability of the product. Reconstituted FYARRO in the vial should be used immediately but may be refrigerated at \(2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) to \(8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(36^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.\) to \(\left.46^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)\) for a maximum of 6 hours if necessary. If not used immediately, each vial of reconstituted suspension should be replaced in the original carton to protect it from light. Discard any unused portion. The suspension for infusion when prepared as recommended in an infusion bag should be used immediately but may be refrigerated at \(2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) to \(8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) ( \(36^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\) to \(46^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\) ) and protected from light for a maximum of 9 hours.
The total combined refrigerated storage time of reconstituted FYARRO in the vial and in the infusion bag is 15 hours. This may be followed by storage in the infusion bag at ambient temperature (approximately \(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) ) and lighting conditions for a maximum of 4 hours. Discard any unused portion.
Route of administration: IV
Container: sterile lyophilized cake containing 100 mg of sirolimus formulated as albumin-bound nanoparticles in a (b) (4) vial for reconstitution

Reconstituted/Further Diluted Drug Product
Each vial is reconstituted by injecting 20 mL of \(0.9 \%\) Sodium Chloride Injection, USP aseptically.

\section*{Assessment: Adequate}

The proposed maximum combined holding period of 15 hours at refrigeration for the reconstituted subject drug product poses minimum risk to patient safety and is acceptable from a product quality microbiology standpoint. The applicant
has met regulatory expectations regarding product quality microbiology information provided in the package insert.

\section*{APPENDICES}

\section*{A. 2 ADVENTITIOUS AGENTS SAFETY EVALUATION}

The subject drug product contains Albumin (Human), (b) (4). An LOA (Section 1.4.2 (Seq 0006), LoA (b) (4)) was included to reference
\({ }^{(b)(4)}\) Chemistry, manufacturing, and controls information, including adventitious agents safety evaluation for the Albumin (Human), \(\quad\) (b) (4) \(u s e d\) as an excipient in the subject drug product are referenced. Additionally, a viral inactivation statement was provided \(\quad{ }^{\text {(b) (4) }}\) confirming that conditions used in the manufacturing process assure viral inactivation.

\section*{Assessment: Adequate}

The Albumin (Human) \(\quad\) (b)(4) used as an excipient in the subject drug product is an approved biological product. It is listed in the Purple Book Database of Licensed Biological Products, indicating that the BLA is in good standing. Therefore, no additional review is necessary.

MICROBIOLOGY LIST OF DEFICIENCIES
None.
Primary Microbiology Assessor Name and Date: Shannon Heine, PhD, 24 August 2021
Secondary Assessor Name and Date: Erika Pfeiler, PhD, 24 August 2021 "I concur."
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