CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

APPLICATION NUMBER:

215446Orig1s000

CLINICAL REVIEW(S)

CLINICAL REVIEW

| Application Type | 505(b)(1) |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Application Number(s) | NDA 215446 |
| Priority or Standard | Standard |
| Submit Date(s) | November 12, 2021 |
| Received Date(s) | November 12, 2021 |
| PDUFA Goal Date | May 12, 2022 (priority) |
| Division/Office | Office of Neuroscience/Division of Neuroscience 1 (DN1) |
| Reviewer Name(s) | John S. Troiani, MD, PhD |
| Review Completion Date | 05/06/2022 |
| Established/Proper Name | Edaravone (oral) |
| (Proposed) Trade Name | RADICAVA ORS |
| Applicant | Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation |
| Dosage Form(s) | Oral suspension |
| Applicant Proposed Dosing | 105 mg (5 mL) orally or by feeding tube once daily in cyclic |
| Regimen(s) | fashion: |
| | - Initial treatment cycle: daily dosing for 14 days followed by a |
| | 14-day drug-free period |
| | - Subsequent treatment cycles: daily dosing for 10 days out of |
| | 14-day periods, followed by 14-day drug-free periods |
| Applicant Proposed | Treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) |
| Indication(s)/Population(s) | |
| Recommendation on | Approval |
| Regulatory Action | |
| Recommended | Treatment of ALS |
| Indication(s)/Population(s) | |
| (if applicable) | |

Table of Contents

| Glossary | / | .6 |
|-----------------|--|----|
| 1. Exe | cutive Summary | .9 |
| 1.1. | Product Introduction | .9 |
| 1.2. | Conclusions on the Substantial Evidence of Effectiveness | .9 |
| 1.3. | Benefit-Risk Assessment | .9 |
| 2. The | erapeutic Context1 | 0 |
| 2.1. | Analysis of Condition1 | 0 |
| 2.2. | Analysis of Current Treatment Options1 | 0 |
| 3. Reg | julatory Background1 | 0 |
| 3.1. | U.S. Regulatory Actions and Marketing History1 | 0 |
| 3.2. | Foreign Regulatory Actions and Marketing History1 | 1 |
| 4. Sigr Effi | nificant Issues from Other Review Disciplines Pertinent to Clinical Conclusions on cacy and Safety1 | 1 |
| 4.1. | Office of Scientific Investigations (OSI)1 | 1 |
| 4.2. | Product Quality1 | 2 |
| 4.3. | Clinical Microbiology1 | 2 |
| 4.4. | Nonclinical Pharmacology/Toxicology1 | 2 |
| 4.5. | Clinical Pharmacology1 | 2 |
| 4.6. | Devices and Companion Diagnostic Issues1 | 2 |
| 4.7. | Consumer Study Reviews1 | 2 |
| 5. Sou | rces of Clinical Data and Review Strategy1 | 2 |
| 5.1. | Table of Clinical Studies1 | 2 |
| 5.2. | Review Strategy1 | 5 |
| 6. Rev | view of Relevant Individual Trials Used to Support Efficacy1 | 5 |
| 7. Inte | egrated Review of Effectiveness1 | 5 |
| 8. Rev | view of Safety1 | 5 |
| 8.1. | Safety Review Approach1 | 5 |

| 8.2. | Review of the Safety Database | 15 |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| | 8.2.1. Overall Exposure | 16 |
| | 8.2.2. Relevant characteristics of the safety population | 16 |
| | 8.2.3. Adequacy of the safety database | 17 |
| 8.3. | Adequacy of Applicant's Clinical Safety Assessments | 17 |
| | 8.3.1. Issues Regarding Data Integrity and Submission Quality | 17 |
| | 8.3.2. Categorization of Adverse Events | 17 |
| | 8.3.3. Scheduled Clinical Testing | 18 |
| 8.4. | Safety Results | 18 |
| | 8.4.1. Deaths | 21 |
| | 8.4.2. Serious Adverse Events | 21 |
| | 8.4.3. Dropouts and/or Discontinuations Due to Adverse Effects | 22 |
| | 8.4.4. Adverse Events of Note | 22 |
| | 8.4.5. Treatment Emergent Adverse Events and Adverse Reactions | 23 |
| | 8.4.6. Laboratory Findings | 25 |
| | | 05 |
| | 8.4.7. Vital Signs | 25 |
| | 8.4.7. Vital Signs 8.4.8. Electrocardiograms (ECGs) | 25 25 |
| | 8.4.7. Vital Signs8.4.8. Electrocardiograms (ECGs)8.4.9. QT | 25 25 25 |
| | 8.4.7. Vital Signs | 25 25 25 25 |
| 8.5. | 8.4.7. Vital Signs | 25 25 25 25 25 |
| 8.5. 8.6. | 8.4.7. Vital Signs | 25 25 25 25 25 25 26 |
| 8.5. 8.6. 8.7. | 8.4.7. Vital Signs | 25 25 25 25 25 26 26 |
| 8.5. 8.6. 8.7. | 8.4.7. Vital Signs | 25 25 25 25 25 26 27 27 |
| 8.5. 8.6. 8.7. | 8.4.7. Vital Signs | 25 25 25 25 25 26 27 27 27 |
| 8.5. 8.6. 8.7. | 8.4.7. Vital Signs | 25 25 25 25 26 27 27 27 27 27 |
| 8.5. 8.6. 8.7. | 8.4.7. Vital Signs | 25 25 25 25 26 27 27 27 27 28 28 |
| 8.5. 8.6. 8.7. 8.8. | 8.4.7. Vital Signs | 25 25 25 25 25 26 27 27 27 27 28 28 28 |
| 8.5. 8.6. 8.7. 8.8. | 8.4.7. Vital Signs | 25 25 25 25 25 26 27 27 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 |
| 8.5. 8.6. 8.7. 8.8. | 8.4.7. Vital Signs | 25 25 25 25 25 25 26 27 27 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 |
| 8.5. 8.6. 8.7. 8.8. | 8.4.7. Vital Signs | 25 25 25 25 25 26 27 27 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 |

| 9. Advis | sory Committee Meeting and Other External Consultations | 28 |
|----------|---|----|
| 10. Labe | ling Recommendations | |
| 10.1. | Prescription Drug Labeling | 28 |
| 10.2. | Nonprescription Drug Labeling | 29 |
| 11. Risk | Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies (REMS) | 29 |
| 12. Post | marketing Requirements and Commitments | 29 |
| 13. Appe | ndices | 29 |
| 13.1. | References | 29 |
| 13.2. | Financial Disclosures | 29 |

Table of Tables

| Table 1 Regulatory history of oral edaravone | 11 |
|---|----|
| Table 2 Listing of clinical trials that appear in this review | 14 |
| Table 3 Summary Exposure of Total Treatment Cycles (No. of Cycles) | 16 |
| Table 4 Overview of TEAE counts in Study A01 among all TEAEs and TEAEs "Excluding Norma | ıl |
| ALS Progression" | 19 |
| Table 5 SOC subject counts for ALS Progression AEs in Study A01 | 19 |
| Table 6 Applicant's "Peripheral Neuropathy" TEAEs in Study A01 | 22 |
| Table 7 Most common TEAEs in Study A01 (oral) and in the IV label | 24 |
| Table 8 TESAEs by Age Group in Study A01 | 26 |
| Table 9 Summary of Safety Results for the Phase 1 studies of oral edaravone | 27 |

Glossary

| AC | advisory committee |
|---------|---|
| ADL | activities of daily living |
| AE | adverse event (in this review, AE is used for TEAE (below)) |
| Afib | atrial fibrillation |
| ALS | amyotrophic lateral sclerosis |
| A01 | Study MT-1186-A01 |
| AR | adverse reaction |
| BA | bioavailability |
| BE | bioequivalence |
| BLA | biologics license application |
| BPCA | Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act |
| BRF | Benefit Risk Framework |
| CBER | Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research |
| CDER | Center for Drug Evaluation and Research |
| CDRH | Center for Devices and Radiological Health |
| CDTL | Cross-Discipline Team Leader |
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| CMC | chemistry, manufacturing, and controls |
| COSTART | Coding Symbols for Thesaurus of Adverse Reaction Terms |
| CRF | case report form |
| CRO | contract research organization |
| CRT | clinical review template |
| CSR | clinical study report |
| CSS | Controlled Substance Staff |
| C-SSRS | Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale |
| DMC | data monitoring committee |
| ECG | electrocardiogram (12-lead) |
| eCTD | electronic common technical document |
| ETASU | elements to assure safe use |
| FDA | Food and Drug Administration |
| FDAAA | Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007 |
| FDASIA | Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act |
| FVC | Forced Vital Capacity |
| %FVC | Percent of predicted FVC |
| GCP | good clinical practice |
| GI | gastrointestinal |
| GRMP | good review management practice |

| ICH | International Council for Harmonization |
|------------|--|
| IND | Investigational New Drug Application |
| IR | information request |
| IV or I.V. | intravenous |
| ISE | integrated summary of effectiveness |
| ISS | integrated summary of safety |
| ITT | intent to treat |
| J03 | Study MT-1186-J03 |
| MCI-186 | IV edaravone |
| MedDRA | Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities |
| mITT | modified intent to treat |
| MT-1186 | oral edaravone |
| NCI-CTCAE | National Cancer Institute-Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Event |
| NDA | new drug application |
| NME | new molecular entity |
| OCS | Office of Computational Science |
| OPQ | Office of Pharmaceutical Quality |
| OSE | Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology |
| OSI | Office of Scientific Investigation |
| PBRER | Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report |
| PD | pharmacodynamics |
| PI | prescribing information or package insert |
| РК | pharmacokinetics |
| PMC | postmarketing commitment |
| PMR | postmarketing requirement |
| PN | peripheral neuropathy |
| PP | per protocol |
| PPI | patient package insert |
| PREA | Pediatric Research Equity Act |
| PRO | patient reported outcome |
| PSUR | Periodic Safety Update report |
| PT | preferred term (MedDRA) |
| REMS | risk evaluation and mitigation strategy |
| RRA | remote regulatory assessment |
| SAE | serious adverse event |
| SAP | statistical analysis plan |
| SGE | special government employee |
| SOC | system organ class (MedDRA) |
| TE | treatment emergent (after at least 1 dose of study medication was taken) |
| TEAE | treatment emergent adverse event (|
| TESAE | treatment emergent serious adverse event |
| | |

> APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL

1. Executive Summary

1.1. Product Introduction

RADICAVA (edaravone) is an intravenously infused, free-radical scavenger molecule approved by the FDA in 2017 (NDA 209176) for the treatment ALS. RADICAVA is administered as an intravenous infusion of 60 mg over 60 minutes in monthly cycles, as follows. The loading dose is infused once daily for 14 days, followed by a 14-day drug-free period, then followed by repeat maintenance cycles consisting of a once daily dose for 10 days (out of a 14-day block) then a 14day drug-free block (e.g., 10 days ON then 18 days OFF).

The potential for convenience and better compliance with dosing recommendations led to the development of RADICAVA ORS. RADICAVA ORS suspension is taken as an oral or G-tube (NGT or PEG) dose of 105 mg (5 mL). The 105 mg dose was determined to be bioequivalent to a 60 mg IV dose infused over 60 minutes in bioavailability (BA) Study MT-1186-J03.

This application is a 505 (b)(1) application based on a bioequivalence study to bridge the safety and efficacy of the proposed 105 mg RADICAVA oral formulation to the approved 60 mg RADICAVA intravenous formulation infused over 60 minutes.

1.2. Conclusions on the Substantial Evidence of Effectiveness

This is a 505 (b)(1) application based on a pivotal bioequivalence study (J01) to bridge the efficacy of the new oral RADICAVA ORS formulation to the approved intravenous RADICAVA formulation. The Office of Clinical Pharmacology team reviewed the results of the pivotal bioequivalence study (MT-1186-J03), and concluded RADICAVA ORS 105 mg oral suspension formulation is bioequivalent for AUC to the reference RADICAVA 60 mg intravenous formulation (see Clinical Pharmacology Review). However, Cmax was higher for the oral formulation than the IV, so additional long-term safety was required.

1.3. Benefit-Risk Assessment

The oral formulation overcomes the difficulties associated with repeated intravenous administration, such as the need for trained personnel, difficult I.V. access, pain, bleeding, bruising, infection, and inconvenience, among others. The safety profile of the new oral formulation is comparable to the approved RADICAVA intravenous formulation, and efficacy has been demonstrated by bioequivalence.

2. Therapeutic Context

2.1. Analysis of Condition

ALS is a rare (2 in 100,000 individuals per year), fatal neurodegenerative disease that affects both upper and lower motor neurons, leading to paralysis and eventually death by respiratory failure within 5 years in 90% of patients. Patients with ALS are typically diagnosed between 40 and 70 years of age. Over time, progressive muscle weakness leads to deficits in activities of daily living (ADL). The pathophysiology of ALS remains incompletely understood, although it involves inflammation. Since edaravone is a free-radical scavenger, the Applicant posits that it may mitigate signs and symptoms of ALS.

2.2. Analysis of Current Treatment Options

There are currently two drugs approved in the United States for treatment of ALS—riluzole and edaravone.

There are multiple formulations of riluzole that have been approved since 1995 in the U.S. All have warnings for neutropenia, interstitial lung disease, and liver injury, and require following serum aminotransferases before and during treatment. Riluzole oral tablet (RILUTEK®) was approved in 1995 as a 50-mg tablet oral twice daily, after studies showed it extended survival (time to tracheostomy or death, p=0.05). Riluzole oral film (EXSERVAN®) was approved in 1995. Riluzole oral suspension (TIGLUTIK®) was approved in 2018 based on bioequivalence to RILUTEK®. The oral formulations of riluzole add oral hypoesthesia to the USPI as an adverse reaction.

Edaravone (RADICAVA®) was approved in 2017 for cyclic intravenous injections. The approval was based on slowing the decline of ALSFRS-R total score over 24 weeks (-5.0 points for edaravone versus -7.5 points for placebo; p=0.0013). The most common adverse reactions with RADICAVA are contusion, gait disturbance, and headache in about 1 in 7 to 10 patients.

3. Regulatory Background

3.1. U.S. Regulatory Actions and Marketing History

The following Table is from the Applicant's submission.

 Table 1 Regulatory history of oral edaravone

| Table 1 | .2.2-1: | Regulatory | History | Table |
|---------|---------|------------|---------|-------|
|---------|---------|------------|---------|-------|

| Date | Historical Item | Location in Module 1 |
|-------------------|--|----------------------|
| May 12, 2015 | Edaravone received orphan designation for the treatment of ALS | Module 1.12.17 |
| March 15, 2018 | The Sponsor received Pre-IND Meeting Preliminary Comments from the Division | Module 1.6.3 |
| April 4, 2019 | Type-C Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls (CMC) Meeting was held with the Division | Module 1.6.3 |
| July 17, 2019 | End of Phase 2 (EOP2) Meeting was held with the Division | Module 1.6.3 |
| October 17, 2019 | Fast Track Request was granted | Module 1.7.4 |
| September 2, 2021 | The Sponsor received Pre-NDA Meeting Preliminary Comments from the Division | Module 1.6.3 |
| September 8, 2021 | Pre-NDA Meeting Preliminary Comment clarifications | Module 1.6.3 |

Copied from reviewer-guide in this submission

3.2. Foreign Regulatory Actions and Marketing History

RADICAVA oral suspension is not approved in any other country.

Edaravone for intravenous administration (RADICUT) was approved Japan in 2001 for acute treatment of neurological symptoms and functional impairment due to ischemic stroke, and again in 2015 for use in treatment of ALS.

In 2019, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) declined to approve intravenous edaravone, based on concerns with the applicability of Japanese data to the EU population, especially on the survival-related endpoints such as time to tracheostomy and non-invasive ventilation (EMA Withdraw Assessment Report, 2019 May 24).

4. Significant Issues from Other Review Disciplines Pertinent to Clinical Conclusions on Efficacy and Safety

4.1. Office of Scientific Investigations (OSI)

OSIS conducted a remote regulatory assessment (RRA) of the P-One Clinic site of BA Study MT-1186-J03 in Tokyo, Japan from 02/27/2022 to 03/13/2022. The main observations were the discharge of a subject from the inpatient unit for 5 hours one day without a readmission reassessment and changes made to the ICF by the investigator without notifying the IRB. The assessment of the clinical pharmacology reviewer is that the discharge did not affect the bioequivalence assessment. An IR issued by the clinical review team to the Applicant revealed that the change in the ICF was to update the adverse event count from 48 to 53.

Reviewer Comment. These observations did not significantly impact these data.

4.2. Product Quality

Approval with PMC 4266-1: "Provide updated extractable/leachable studies to confirm that the container closure system does not adversely impact the drug product."

4.3. Clinical Microbiology

No issues

4.4. Nonclinical Pharmacology/Toxicology

Rat studies for carcinogenicity assessment were submitted to the RADICAVA NDA and are currently under review.

4.5. Clinical Pharmacology

RADICAVA ORS 105 mg oral suspension formulation is bioequivalent in AUC to the reference RADICAVA 60 mg intravenous formulation (see Clinical Pharmacology Review). However, Cmax was higher for the oral formulation than the IV. See clinical pharmacology review for details.

<u>Reviewer Comment</u>. Long-term safety is being evaluated, and will help assess the clinical impact of long-term exposure to higher Cmax.

4.6. Devices and Companion Diagnostic Issues

Not applicable

4.7. Consumer Study Reviews

Not applicable

- 5. Sources of Clinical Data and Review Strategy
 - 5.1. Table of Clinical Studies

The primary source of safety data for this review is Phase 3 Study MT-1186-A01, denoted Study A01 in this document. Study MT-1186-J03, referred to as Study J03, is the pivotal bioequivalence study. See Table 2 for the remaining Phase 1 studies. Not listed in Table 2 are two small, ongoing studies of 105 mg of the oral formulation—MT-1186-A02 that has enrolled 3 patients with ALS, and Study MT-1186-A03 that is an extension of Study A01 in patients with ALS who completed Study A01. These interim data are not reviewed.

Table 2 Listing of clinical trials that appear in this review

APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL

| Study | Design | Regimen/Schedule/Route | Endpoints | Treatment | No. of |
|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | | | Duration/Fol | patients |
| | | | | low Up | enrolled |
| MT-1186-A01 | Phase 3 Ongoing Open-label, | 105 mg once daily on the | safety/tolerability; | 24 weeks | 185 E |
| (ALS) | single-arm | ON-OFF regimen | (efficacy endpoints— | (interim) & | |
| | | | exploratory) | 48 weeks | |
| MCI186-16 | Phase 3 randomized (1:1), | I.V. edaravone 60 mg (or | ALSFRS-R total score | 24 weeks | 206 (102 E + |
| (ALS) | double-blind, placebo- | placebo) cycles | change from Baseline | | 104 P) |
| | controlled, | | to 24 weeks | | |
| MCI186-18 | Phase 3 randomized (1:1), | I.V. edaravone 60 mg (or | ALSFRS-R total score | 24 weeks | 25 (13 E + 12 |
| (ALS) | double-blind, placebo- | placebo) cycles | change from Baseline | | <i>P</i>) |
| | controlled | | to 24 weeks | | |
| MCI186-19 | Phase 3 randomized (1:1), | I.V. edaravone 60 mg (or | ALSFRS-R total score | 24 weeks | 137 in DB |
| (ALS) | double-blind, placebo- | placebo) cycles | change from Baseline | | phase—69 E |
| | controlled | | to 24 weeks | | + 68 P); |
| | | | | | 123 in "active |
| | | | | | phase" OLE |
| | | | | | (65 E-E + 58 |
| | | | | | P-E) |
| MT-1186-J01 | Phase 1 randomized, single- | Oral doses: single of 300 mg; | PK/safety/tolerability | Single- and | 74 (54 E + 20 |
| (non-ALS) | blind, placebo-controlled | multiple doses up to 200 mg | | multiple- | P) |
| | | | | dose (5 days | |
| | | | | once daily) | |
| MT-1186-J02 | Phase 1 Clin pharm study | Oral Single-dose of 90 to | PK/safety/tolerability | Single-dose | 83 E |
| (non-ALS) | including DDI (open-label | 120 mg | | | |
| | add-on) and food effect | | | | |
| | (randomized single-dose | | | | |
| | cross-over) | | | | |
| MT-1186-J03 | Phase 1 Bioequivalence study | Oral Single-dose: 105 mg | BE/safety/tolerability | Single dose | 42 E |
| (non-ALS) | (randomized, 2 arm, 2- | oral versus 60 mg IV | | | |
| | period, 2-seq crossover) | edaravone | | | |

| MT-1186-J04 | Phase 1 open-label Clin | Oral Single-dose 105 mg oral | PK/safety/tolerability | Single dose | 9 E |
|---------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------|
| (ALS) | pharm study | | | | |
| MT-1186-J05 | Phase 1 open-label Clin | Oral Single-dose 105 mg oral | PK/safety/tolerability | Single dose | 6 E |
| (ALS) | pharm study in PEG delivery | | | | |
| MT-1186-J06 | Phase 1 open-label | Oral Single-dose 105 mg oral | PK/safety/tolerability | 5 single | 16 E |
| (non-ALS) | randomized crossover food | | | doses | |
| | effect study | | | | |
| MT-1186-Z- | Phase 1 Bioequivalence study | Oral Single-dose 105 mg oral | PK/safety/tolerability | Single dose | 36 E |
| 101 (non-ALS) | (randomized, 2 arm, 2- | | | | |
| | period, 2-seq crossover) in | | | | |
| | NGT vs oral delivery | | | | |

Abbreviations: E= edaravone group; P=placebo group

5.2. Review Strategy

This review focuses on assessment of the interim safety data from ongoing, Phase 3, open-label Study MT-1186-A01.

6. Review of Relevant Individual Trials Used to Support Efficacy

See clinical pharmacology review.

7. Integrated Review of Effectiveness

See clinical pharmacology review.

8. Review of Safety

8.1. Safety Review Approach

This safety review focuses on the 24-week interim safety data of ongoing, global, single-arm, open-label Study MT-1186-A01 in ALS. The safety assessments in this review are based on the set of all TEAEs (denoted "All Events" in the Applicant's updated safety analyses) in the single open-label arm of Study A01.¹ The placebo group in the IV legacy studies cannot serve as an external control group for Study A01, and a subsection of this review addresses this issue.

Also briefly reviewed are limited safety data summaries from the Phase 1 studies in healthy adults (studies MT-1186-J01, MT-1186-J02, MT-1186- J03, MT-1186-J06, and MT-1186-Z-101) and in patients with ALS (studies MT-1186- J04 and MT-1186-J05) and studies of drug administration by PEG (Study MT-1186-J05) or NGT (Study MT-1186-Z-101). These data are summarized in a single table in this review.

¹ The Applicant's original safety analyses were based on a subset of the TEAEs in Study A01, which were not felt to be from "Normal ALS Progression", contrary to the Division's request at the pre-NDA meeting. Shortly after the NDA submission, an IR was issued to the Applicant to add analyses of All Events to their comparator tables, which was done, and forms the main basis of this review.

8.2. Review of the Safety Database

8.2.1. Overall Exposure

In the oral formulation study MT-1186-A01 (24-week interim), 185 subjects received oral edaravone. Among these, 168 subjects received oral edaravone for at least 6 months (6 cycles). In the IV formulation studies, 184 subjects received IV edaravone (MCI-186), and 184 subjects received placebo. Of the subjects in the IV group, 174 subjects received IV edaravone for at least six months (six cycles).

| | Oral Study MT-1186-A01 IV Pooled Placebo-Controlled | | ontrolled Studies |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | MT-1186 105 mg Oral N=185 | MCI-186 60 mg IV N=184 | Placebo IV N=184 |
| No. of Cycles ^a | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) |
| 1 | 185 (100) | 184 (100.0) | 184 (100.0) |
| 2 | 183 (98.9) | 183 (99.5) | 182 (98.9) |
| 3 | 181 (97.8) | 182 (98.9) | 179 (97.3) |
| 4 | 175 (94.6) | 182 (98.9) | 174 (94.6) |
| 5 | 170 (91.9) | 178 (96.7) | 168 (91.3) |
| 6 | 168 (90.8) | 174 (94.6) | 167 (90.8) |

 Table 3
 Summary Exposure of Total Treatment Cycles (No. of Cycles)

Abbreviations: IV = intravenous.

^a Total number of cycles in which subjects received at least one treatment. Each cycle consisted of 28 days. Cycle 1: administration for 14 consecutive days, followed by a drug-free period of 2 weeks, Cycle 2 and after; administration for a total of 10 days per 2 weeks, followed by a drug-free period of 2 weeks.

Source: ISS Table 3.

Table 2.7.4.4-1 in SCS

<u>Reviewer</u> Comment. The oral edaravone exposure is adequate and as previously agreed between the Applicant and Division.

8.2.2. Relevant characteristics of the safety population

The study populations in Study A01 and the legacy IV studies were generally comparable in terms of ALS criteria and concomitant riluzole.

Specifically, in Study A01, subjects were enrolled who had Definite ALS, Probable ALS, Probable laboratory-supported ALS, or Possible ALS according to the El Escorial revised criteria for the diagnosis of ALS; baseline %FVC \geq 70%; were able to take oral medication; and had ALS symptom onset within 3 years of the time of informed consent. The enrolled population was 64% male with a median age of 61 years (range 22 to 75), and 87% of subjects were on riluzole. All patients were living and functioning independently.

In the IV studies, the USPI indicates that the enrolled ALS population consisted of Japanese

patients (59% male) with ALS and a median age of 60 years (range 29-75). Most (93%) but not all patients were living independently at the time of screening. In the IV (MCI-186) group, 91.3% of subjects (and 90.2% of the placebo group) were on riluzole.

The Applicant pointed out the following differences between these study populations:

- 1. Patients in Study A01 had a slightly longer disease duration than the IV group—1.6 years versus 1.3 years
- 2. Study A01 had more patients with FVC<80% than the IV group—25% versus 16%
- 3. Study A01 excluded patients who were unable to take oral medication, unlike the IV group

<u>*Reviewer Comment.*</u> These population differences are not significant in terms of this safety review.

8.2.3. Adequacy of the safety database

Adequate

<u>Reviewer Comment</u>. My analyses of the safety datasets of Study A01 are consistent with the Applicant's. The safety database is adequate for this review.

8.3. Adequacy of Applicant's Clinical Safety Assessments

8.3.1. Issues Regarding Data Integrity and Submission Quality

None

8.3.2. Categorization of Adverse Events

In Study A01, the definitions of AEs by seriousness, severity, and relationship to investigational drug are adequate.

Categorization of AEs by seriousness, severity, and relationship to ALS progression

The definition of a serious adverse event (SAE) is consistent with 21 CFR 312.32.

The severity of an AE was defined as follows:

a. Mild: The event is transient and easily tolerated by the subject

b. Moderate: The event causes discomfort and interferes with the subject's general condition.

c. Severe: The event causes considerable interference with the subject's general condition and may be incapacitating.

The relationship to drug was either 'no reasonable possibility' (unlikely related to drug; and other factors provide a sufficient explanation) or 'reasonable possibility' (possible relationship to drug; and other factors do not provide a sufficient explanation).

Categorization of AEs by relationship to ALS progression

In Study A01, the AE CRF has an optional checkbox—"Is this event considered part of normal ALS progression?"—with values of Yes (Y), No (N), or Unknown (U), which is captured in the variable AERELALS in the ADAE.xpt dataset. In contrast, the legacy IV studies did not require AEs from normal ALS progression to be recorded.

Consequently, to use the IV placebo group as an external control group for Study A01, the Applicant excludes ALS Progression TEAEs from Study A01 (e.g., excluded TEAEs with AERELALS='Y'). The Applicant submits tables comparing counts and rates of TEAEs "Excluding Normal ALS Progression" in Study A01 to counts/rates of TEAEs in the IV studies. Shortly after the submission, the Division issued an IR to the Applicant to add a column for All Events of Study A01, regardless of attribution to ALS progression, as requested in the pre-NDA meeting.

<u>Reviewer Comment</u>. The IV placebo group is inadequate to serve as a control group for safety evaluation of Study A01, as follows. The AERELALS checkbox used in Study A01 was optional and therefore unreliable. Although a value of Y is probably a fairly accurate indicator of ALS Progression, values of N and U are not, and U could also mean 'not completed'. Also, the IV legacy studies were conducted a decade earlier, when the standard of care for ALS was different.

8.3.3. Scheduled Clinical Testing

In Study A01, the scheduled clinical testing (Schedule of Procedures) included ECG, hematology, chemistry, urine analysis, %FVC, and C-SSRS, and were scheduled to be collected at all onsite visits (0, 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months). Other safety assessment procedures included AE collection, physical exam and vital signs, bodyweight, and others as needed (e.g., pregnancy test). Assessments by telephone were also scheduled between onsite visits, such that safety assessments (onsite or telephonic) occurred every month.

8.4. Safety Results

<u>Quality of Attributions of Adverse Events to Normal ALS Progression</u>. As discussed in 8.1, the attribution of TEAEs to normal ALS progression in Study A01 to establish comparability to the IV placebo group is inadequate. This subsection investigates the quality of these attributions in the data.

Table 4 shows that in Study A01, 68.1% subjects on oral edaravone experienced a non-ALS TEAE (e.g., not attributed to "Normal ALS Progression") compared to 87.5% on IV edaravone, a 20% absolute difference.

Table 4 Overview of TEAE counts in Study A01 among all TEAEs and TEAEs "Excluding Normal ALS Progression"

| | | Oral Study | MT-1186-A01 | | IV Pooled Placebo-Controlled Studies | | | | |
|--|------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---------------------|--|
| | | MT-1186 105 mg Oral N=185 | | | | MCI-186 60 mg IV N=184 | | Placebo IV N=184 | |
| | All E | All Events | | Excluding Normal ALS Progression | | All Events | | All Events | |
| TEAE Categoin | n (9%) | No. of | n (0%) | No. of | n (0%) | No. of | n (0%) | No. of | |
| Any TEAE | 146 (78.9) | 573 | 126 (68.1) | 369 | 161 (87.5) | 487 | 160 (87.0) | 501 | |
| Any TEAE related to IMP | 36 (19.5) | 60 | 30 (16.2) | 47 | 19 (10.3) | 23 | 26 (14.1) | 30 | |
| Any severe TEAE | 17 (9.2) | 30 | 10 (5.4) | 21 | 22 (12.0) | 31 | 28 (15.2) | 36 | |
| Any TESAE | 21 (11.4) | 24 | 9 (4.9) | 11 | 32 (17.4) | 46 | 41 (22.3) | 60 | |
| Any TEAE leading to study treatment discontinuation | 11 (5.9) | 17 | 2 (1.1) | 6 | 4 (2.2) | 4 | 10 (5.4) | 10 | |
| Any TEAE leading to death | 6 (3.2) | 6 | 3 (1.6) | 3 | 4 (2.2) | 4 | 2 (1.1) | 2 | |

Table 2.7.4.6-1A Overview of TEAEs – Safety Analysis Population

 Any TEAE leading to death
 6 (3.2)
 6
 3 (1.6)
 3
 4 (2.2)
 4
 2 (1)

 Abbreviations: ALS = anyotrophic lateral sclerosis; IMP = investigational medicinal product; IV = intravenous; TEAE = treatment-emergent adverse event;
 TESAE = treatment-emergent science adverse event.

TEAEs related to study treatment based on investigator assessment.

Applicant's Table 2.7.4.6-1A in Response to IR

<u>Reviewer Comment</u>. The 20% difference in rates is large for group sizes of 185, and alone demonstrates that the the non-ALS progression subset of Study A01 is not comparable to the IV (MCI-186) group. This difference alone supports the inadequacy of the IV placebo group to serve as an external control group for Study A01.

The 204 TEAEs attributed to ALS progression fell into the 13 unique SOCs in Table 5. These SOCs covered 60 unique PT terms (conditions captured by the underlying PT terms appear in parentheses).

Table 5 SOC subject counts for ALS Progression AEs in Study A01

Source: ISS Table 4.1.1, ISS Table 4.1.2.

| SOC (PT-level conditions) | Subject | | |
|---|----------|--|--|
| | Count | | |
| | (N=90) | | |
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders (pain, muscle weakness or spasms, and | | | |
| fatigue) | 11 (12%) | | |
| Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders (respiratory decompensation) | 9 (10%) | | |
| Nervous system disorders (ALS signs and symptoms) | 8 (9%) | | |
| Injury, poisoning and procedural complications (falls, contusions, sprains, and other injuries) | 7 (8%) | | |
| Gastrointestinal disorders (dysphagia, salivary hypersecretion, and constipation) | 5 (6%) | | |
| General disorders and administration site conditions (asthenia, fatigue, gait disturbance) | 5 (6%) | | |
| Investigations (decreased bodyweight or respiratory function) | 5 (6%) | | |
| Psychiatric disorders (anxiety, depression, insomnia) | 4 (4%) | | |
| Vascular disorders (hematoma, hypertension) | 2 (2%) | | |
| Eye disorders (eye hematoma) | 1 (1%) | | |
| Infections and infestations (oral candidiasis) | 1 (1%) | | |
| Metabolism and nutrition disorders (decreased appetite) | | | |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders (erythema) | 1 (1%) | | |

Source: Reviewer's analysis of the 204 TEAEs in Study A01 with AERELALS='Y'

The 204 ALS Progression TEAEs were individually reviewed, and were consistent with ALS progression. However, many of the TEAEs with AERELALS='N' were also consistent with ALS progression. TEAEs with AERELALS='U' were a mixture of AEs, some consistent and some not consistent with ALS progression. Many patients had TEAEs with any of the three attribution types (Y, N, U)

<u>Reviewer Comment</u>. The TEAE group "Excluding Normal ALS Progression" included many AEs that appeared to be ALS progression events. This is another reason the IV placebo group cannot serve as a control group for Study A01.

<u>Riluzole</u>. Since 90% of patients were on riluzole in Study A01 and in the pooled legacy IV studies, some of the AEs in Study A01 may have been from riluzole rather than oral edaravone. For reference, the label for RILUTEK shows the following adverse reactions and their rates (underlined AEs were also observed in Study A01):

- 1. WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS neutropenia, <u>interstitial lung disease</u>, and elevated serum transaminases
- 2. ADVERSE REACTIONS in at least 5% of patients <u>asthenia</u> (19%), <u>nausea</u> (16%), decreased lung function (10%), <u>hypertension</u> (5%), and <u>abdominal pain</u> (5%)

<u>Reviewer Comment</u>. Riluzole may have explained a number of AEs in Study A01 rather than edaravone.

8.4.1. Deaths

In ongoing Study A01, there have been 6 deaths (3.2%) among 185 subjects, all convincingly attributable to ALS progression. This rate is comparable to the death rates in the pooled IV legacy studies—edaravone (4/184, or 2.2%) and placebo (2/184, or 1.1%).

Three deaths (subjects ^{(b) (6)}, ^{(b) (6)}, and ^{(b) (6)}) had the following antecedent SAEs:

- 1. Pneumonia (Day 121) leading to respiratory failure and death (Day 132)
- 2. Rapid weight loss (Day 73) and respiratory decline leading to PEG tube placement (Day 106) with postoperative cardiac arrest (Day 106)
- 3. Completed suicide (Day 149)

These 3 cases were attributed by the investigator to normal ALS progression.

The remaining 3 of the 6 deaths (subjects ^{(b) (6)}, ^{(b) (6)}, and ^{(b) (6)}), though not flagged as ALS progression events, had antecedent SAEs of respiratory failure or other temporally adjacent AEs consistent with ALS progression.

<u>Reviewer Comment</u>. The 6 deaths in Study A01 appear unrelated to drug.

8.4.2. Serious Adverse Events

In the current RADICAVA label, SAEs are not described (other than hypersensitivity and sulfite allergy in contraindications and postmarket events). In ongoing Study A01, the SAEs likewise do not suggest a significant safety issue at this time.

There were a total of 21 (11.4%) subjects in Study A01 with at least 1 of 24 SAEs (see SAE counts in Table 4), and none were considered drug-related. These SAEs can be summarized as follows:

- 13 subjects with 13 SAEs, all from <u>ALS progression</u> (5 ALS, 3 respiratory failure, 3 dyspnea, 1 weight decreased, 1 gait disturbance)
- 9 subjects with 11 <u>No or Unknown ALS progression</u> SAEs -- 1 atrial fibrillation; 1 pleural effusion; 1 URI; 1 pneumonia (death); 1 restrictive lung disease; 1 resp failure (death); 1 pain, 1 fall, 1 hyponatremia in a patient with autoimmune disease and unknown as to ALS etiology; 1 COVID-19; 1 suicide

• (1 subject was in both ALS groups above, and some SAEs were on the same day)

<u>Reviewer comment</u>. There are no new significant safety issues for oral edaravone suggested by the SAEs in Study A01.

8.4.3. Dropouts and/or Discontinuations Due to Adverse Effects

In Study A01, 13 (7%) subjects in Study A01 experienced an AE that led to study discontinuation—6 deaths and 7 non-fatal TEAEs. Four of the 7 non-fatal TEAE discontinuations were associated with an SAE. Two of the 7 non-fatal TEAEs were "reasonably related" to therapy, and neither was an SAE—tremor and weakness in extremities.

8.4.4. Adverse Events of Note

<u>Peripheral Neuropathy (PN)</u>. The Applicant created this category. As shown in Table 6, it includes the following PTs (spanning 3 SOCs) – hypoesthesia, neuralgia, peripheral neuropathy, paresthesia, muscular weakness, muscle atrophy, and gait disturbance.

Table 6 Applicant's "Peripheral Neuropathy" TEAEs in Study A01

| | Oral Study | y MT-1186-A01 | IV Pooled Placebo-Controlled Studies | | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | MT-1186 | 105 mg Ora 1 %=185 | MCI186 60 mg IV N=184 | Placebo IV N=184 All Events | |
| System Organ Class Preferred Term | All Events | Excluding Normal ALS Progression | All Events | | |
| Any TEAE | 34 (18.4) | 5 (2.7) | 30 (16.3) | 28 (15.2) | |
| Nervous system disorders | 4 (2.2) | 3 (1.6) | 1 (0.5) | 3 (1.6) | |
| Hypoaesthesia | 1 (0.5) | 1 (0.5) | 1 (0.5) | 0 (0) | |
| Neuralgia | 1 (0.5) | 1 (0.5) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | |
| Neuropathy peripheral | 1 (0.5) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | |
| Paraesthesia | 1 (0.5) | 1 (0.5) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | |
| Decreased vibratory sense | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 2 (1.1) | |
| Sensory disturbance | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (0.5) | |
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders Muscular weakness | 30 (16.2) 30 (16.2) | 2 (1.1) 2 (1.1) | 8 (4.3) 8 (4.3) | 10 (5.4) 10 (5.4) | |
| Muscle atrophy | 1 (0.5) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | |
| General disorders and administration site conditions | 2 (1.1) | 0 (0) | 23 (12.5) | 17 (9.2) | |
| Gait disturbance | 2(1.1) | 0 (0) | 23 (12.5) | 17 (9.2) | |

Table 2.7.4.6-23A Summary of Peripheral Neuropathy TEAEs by SOC and PT- Safety Analysis Population

Abbreviations: ALS = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; IV = intravenous; PT = Preferred Term; SMQ = Standardised MedDRA Query; SOC = System Organ Class; TEAE = treatmentemergent adverse event. MedDRA version 23.0. Includes AEs in the Peripheral neuropathy SMQ (narrow and broad). A subject reporting more than 1 TEAE for a particular PT or SOC is counted only once

for that PT or SOC. Source: ISS Table 4.17; ISS A-Table 4.17.

From Table 2.7.4.6-23A in Applicant's Response to IR (01/13/2022)

<u>Reviewer Comment</u>. Most of these TEAEs involved expected motor manifestations of ALS. However, a few were sensory (1 hypoesthesia, 2 paresthesia). One of the sensory cases was foot hypoesthesia in a patient with Type 2 diabetes mellitus. Another was tingling lips in a patient with dysphagia. Another was foot paresthesia in a patient with multiple falls and

injuries. As these few sensory cases have alternative explanations other than ALS or edaravone, there appears to be no causal relationship between edaravone and sensory neuropathy.

Muscular weakness AEs in Study A01 and gait disturbance AEs in the IV studies likely overlap. For example, a fall could be coded as fall, gait disturbance, muscular weakness, or even contusion by different investigators.

<u>Cardiac</u>. In Study A01, 8 (4.3%) subjects experienced at least one AE under the SOC of "Cardiac Disorders", evenly distributed among tachyarrhythmia, sinus, supraventricular, PVCs, Afib, and 1 case of congestive heart failure (CHF). The patient with the CHF event was 71 years of age, and the CHF event was of mild severity; this patient also had TEAEs of Afib and respiratory infections. Eight of the 9 cardiac AEs were identified by a scheduled rather than as-needed ECG. The Afib case was the only SAE among the cardiac events.

In the IV studies, only 2 (1.1%) subjects had a cardiac event, and this is likely related to the IV studies not having had scheduled ECGs.

<u>Reviewer Comment</u>. These data show no new human cardiac safety issue for oral edaravone.

<u>Gastrointestinal Issues (GI) possibly unique to oral administration</u>. These AEs in Study A01 were as follows:

- 24 (13%) subjects had 28 gastrointestinal (GI) AEs (no SAEs)
- Most were not related directly to ALS progression, such as dehydration, decreased appetite, dysphagia, constipation, and others
- 4 subjects had 2 GI TEAEs, and 20 subjects only had 1—suggests no GI issue with the oral product
- Abdominal discomfort/pain in 8 subjects
- Dyspepsia in 2 subjects
- Choking in 1 subject
- Oral issues (# subjects): dry mouth (2), oral discomfort/pain (2), throat irritation (1), oral paresthesia (1)

1

- Diarrhea in 4 subjects
- Oral candidiasis in 1 subject
- GERD in 2 subjects

<u>Reviewer Comment</u>. There are no safety issues in these data specific to an oral compared to an IV product, and riluzole may be associated with a number of these AEs. The GI AEs are relatively few and heterogeneous in Study A01, and do not suggest an oral irritation effect of edaravone.

8.4.5. Treatment Emergent Adverse Events and Adverse Reactions

<u>Most Common TEAEs</u>. Table 7 shows counts/rates of the most common TEAEs in Study A01 and the IV studies (and therefore the current USPI).

| Safety Analysis Population | | Study | / A01 | Legacy IV Studies | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| | | MT-1186 1 | 05 mg oral | MCI-186 60 mg IV | Placebo IV | | |
| | | N=185 | N=185 | N=184 | N=184 | | |
| TEAE PT | | All Events | Non-ALS | Non-ALS | Non-ALS | | |
| Oralı Mact | Muscular weakness | 30 (16.2%) | 2 (1.1%) | 8 (4.3%) | 10 (5.4%) | | |
| Common | Fall | 29 (15.7%) | 3 (1.6%) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | | |
| Common | Fatigue | 14 (7.6%) | 9 (4.9%) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | | |
| I.V. Label: | Contusion | 9 (4.9%) | <mark>6 (3.2%)</mark> | 27 (14.7%) | 16 (8.7%) | | |
| Most | Gait Disturbance | 2 (1.1%) | 0 (0) | 23 (12.5%) | 17 <mark>(</mark> 9.2%) | | |
| Common | Headache | 11 (5.9%) | 11 (5.9%) | 14 (7.6%) | 9 (4.9%) | | |

Table 7 Most common TEAEs in Study A01 (oral) and in the IV label

Figures were compiled from multiple tables in Applicant's Response to IR (01/13/2022)

Table 7 shows that the top 2 most common PTs in the oral and IV studies are closely related muscular weakness and fall in Study A01 compared to Contusion and Gait disturbance in the IV studies.

<u>Reviewer Comment</u>. Counts of 0 for Fall (and Fatigue), as well as the considerably higher rate of Gait Disturbance in the IV legacy studies in Japan, suggest between-country differences in coding preferences of these otherwise substantially similar AEs. A fall may result from a gait disturbance or fatigue, or vice-versa. Similarly, fatigue in Study A01 may have been captured as muscular weakness in the legacy IV studies in Japan.

Headache is in the top 3 TEAEs in the IV studies (7.6%), and is already labeled (10%).

Fatigue (7.6%) is in the top 3 TEAEs for Study A01, but is not yet labeled.

<u>Reviewer Comment</u>. The seeming discrepancy in headache rate between the USPI (10%), which was based on the IV studies, and the rate (7.6%) in the IV studies reported in the current submission is not significant. The rates are similar, none of the headaches is an SAE, and headache is still in the top 3 TEAEs for the IV studies. Although it is likely that fatigue was coded as muscular weakness in the IV studies, it is new and therefore should be added to the label. Also, the rate of 7.6% in single-arm Study A01 is hard to interpret absent a concurrent control group, but patients with fatigue issues may benefit from knowing the general magnitude of this rate.

<u>Respiratory, Thoracic, and Mediastinal TEAEs</u>. In Study A01, 32 (17.3%) subjects experienced 42 respiratory events under this SOC. The most frequent were dyspnea (5.4%), cough (3.2%), and respiratory failure (2.2%). The remainder were equally distributed among 19 other upper and lower respiratory events. A total of 9 respiratory events (representing 9 subjects) were SAEs. Respiratory events had a rate of 6%, likely related to lack of reporting of ALS related events in the IV studies.

8.4.6. Laboratory Findings

No new safety concerns were identified.

8.4.7. Vital Signs

No new safety concerns were identified.

8.4.8. Electrocardiograms (ECGs)

No new safety concerns were identified. Eight of the 9 cardiac AEs were identified by scheduled ECG assessment, which were mostly tachyarrhythmias. The IV studies did not have scheduled ECGs.

8.4.9. QT

The Interdisciplinary Review Team (IRT) for Cardiac Safety Studies agrees with the QT labeling proposed by the Applicant, "At exposures ^{(b) (4)} 5 times higher than that of the recommended doses of RADICAVA and RADICAVA ORS, edaravone does not prolong the QT interval to any clinically relevant extent."

8.4.10. Immunogenicity

Hypersensitivity and anaphylactic reactions are already in the USPI, based on postmarket case reports from outside of the U.S.

8.5. Safety Analyses by Demographic Subgroups

The Applicant analyzed the safety data from Study A01 by two age groups (<65 years and \geq 65 years of age).

Table 8 shows the TESAE counts and rates in Study A01 and the legacy IV studies broken out by age group, and shows an expected increase in ALS-related SAEs with age.

Table 8 TESAEs by Age Group in Study A01

| Table 2.7.4.6-19A | Summary of Treatment-emergent SAEs by SOC, PT, and Age Group - Safety Analysis Population |
|-------------------|---|
|-------------------|---|

| | <65 years-old | | | ≥65 years-old | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | Oral Study MT-1186-A01 | | IV Pooled Placebo- Controlled Studies | | Oral Study MT-1186-A01 | | IV Pooled Placebo- Controlled Studies | |
| | MT- 105 m N= | -1186 ig Oral :120 | MCI-186 60 mg IV N=131 | Placebo IV N=127 | MT 105 m N | -1186 ng Oral =65 | MCI-186 60 mg IV N=53 | Placebo IV N=57 |
| | | Excluding Normal | | | | Excluding Normal | | |
| System Organ Class | | ALS | | | | ALS | | |
| Preferred Term | All Events | Progression | All Events | All Events | All Events | Progression | All Events | All Events |
| Any TESAE | 12 (10.0) | 7 (5.8) | 19 (4.5) | 24 (18.9) | 9 (13.8) | 2 (3.1) | 13 (24.5) | 17 (29.8) |

Table 2.7.4.6-19A in-Applicant's Response to IR (01/13/2022)

Table 8 shows that 21 (11.4%) subjects (=12+9) in Study A01 had at least one TESAE, and that the TESAE rate was slightly higher (13.8%) in the \geq 65 year group than in the <65 year age group (10%).

There were no significant, unexpected, age-related safety issues with oral edaravone.

8.6. Specific Safety Studies/Clinical Trials

Table 9 summarizes the safety results from the individual Phase 1 studies in healthy subjects and patients with ALS, excluding Study MT-1186-A01.

| Study | Brief Description (N=# exposed) | Safety Findings |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| MT-1186-J01 (non-ALS) | Phase 1 SAD/MAD 100 mg to 200 mg. N=60 | No SAEs. 2 TEAEs—headache, conjunctivitis (led to D/C, unrelated to drug) |
| MT-1186-J02 (non-ALS) | Phase 1 Clin pharm study of 90 mg to 120 mg; including DDI (open-label add-on) and food effect. N=83 | No SAEs. No D/Cs. 5 (16%) had AEs when rosuvastatin added (4 diarrhea and 1 transaminase increase—also associated with rosuvastatin) |
| MT-1186-J03 (non-ALS) | Phase 1 Bioequivalence study. 60 mg IV over 1 hour. 105 mg oral single dose. N=42 | No SAEs, D/Cs, or TEAEs related to drug. Mild AST increase in 1 subject (unrelated) |
| MT-1186-J04 (ALS) | Phase 1 open-label Clin pharm study of single -dose 105 mg. N=9 | No SAEs, D/Cs, or TEAEs related to drug. 1 blood in urine (unrelated) |
| MT-1186-J05 (ALS) | Phase 1 open-label Clin pharm study of single-dose 105 mg in PEG delivery. N=6 | 5 TEAEs in 3 subjectsunrelated. 1 SAE/death of Worsening of ALS [respiratory depression] unrelated. |
| MT-1186-J06 (non-ALS) | Phase 1 open-label randomized crossover food effect study of 105 mg. N=16 | No SAEs, D/Cs, or TEAEs related to drug. |
| MT-1186-Z-101 (non-ALS) | Phase 1 Bioequivalence study of 105 mg. NGT vs oral delivery. N=36 | No SAEs, D/Cs, or TEAEs related to drug. |

Table 9 Summary of Safety Results for the Phase 1 studies of oral edaravone

No unexpected or new adverse events or general safety/tolerability issues were apparent from the Phase 1 studies as compared to Study A01.

8.7. Additional Safety Explorations

8.7.1. Human Carcinogenicity or Tumor Development

Not addressed in already approved or new proposed USPI.

8.7.2. Human Reproduction and Pregnancy

No change from current label. The proposed USPI states in Section 8.1 Pregnancy: "There are no adequate data on the developmental risk associated with the use of RADICAVA in pregnant

women."

8.7.3. Pediatrics and Assessment of Effects on Growth

Not applicable

8.7.4. Overdose, Drug Abuse Potential, Withdrawal, and Rebound

Not addressed in the existing USPI.

8.8. Safety in the Postmarket Setting

8.8.1. Safety Concerns Identified Through Postmarket Experience

There are no safety concerns raised by the postmarket experience with approved [intravenous] RADICAVA in the U.S. However, Section 6.2 (Postmarketing Experience) of the USPI for RADICAVA reports "hypersensitivity reactions and anaphylaxis" from post-approval use outside the U.S. Such reactions were not observed in Study A01 or the pre-market setting.

8.8.2. Expectations on Safety in the Postmarket Setting

No concerns

8.8.3. Additional Safety Issues From Other Disciplines

N/A

8.9. Integrated Assessment of Safety

Study A01 was used to assess safety. No new safety concerns were identified during this review, except for fatigue (7.6%), which does not appear in the current USPI.

9. Advisory Committee Meeting and Other External Consultations

Not applicable.

10. Labeling Recommendations

10.1. Prescription Drug Labeling

See final approved labeling.

10.2. Nonprescription Drug Labeling

Not applicable

11. Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies (REMS)

Not necessary

12. Postmarketing Requirements and Commitments

Not necessary

13. Appendices

13.1. References

None

13.2. Financial Disclosures

Covered Clinical Studies: Studies MT-1186-A01, MT-1186-J03, and MT-1186-J05

There are no clinical investigators with disclosable financial interests, including equity interests in the Sponsor as defined by 21 CFR 54.2(b) and significant payments of other sorts as defined by 21 CFR 54.2(f). There were 255 investigators (241 in A01; and 14 in J03 and J05), and none were employees of Applicant.

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically. Following this are manifestations of any and all electronic signatures for this electronic record.

/s/

JOHN S TROIANI 05/11/2022 12:09:07 PM

LAURA A JAWIDZIK 05/11/2022 02:15:31 PM