CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

APPLICATION NUMBER:

761278Orig1s000

PROPRIETARY NAME REVIEW(S)

PROPRIETARY NAME REVIEW

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis 2 (DMEPA 2) Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM) Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE) Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

*** This document contains proprietary information that cannot be released to the public***

Date of This Review:	September 7, 2022
Application Type and Number:	BLA 761278
	IND 113186
Product Name and Strength:	Lamzede (velmanase alfa-tycv) for injection, 10 mg/vial
Product Type:	Single Ingredient Product
Rx or OTC:	Prescription (Rx)
Applicant/Sponsor Name:	Chiesi USA, Inc. (Chiesi)
PNR ID #:	2022-1044724637 (BLA)
	2022-1044724425 (IND)
DMEPA 2 Safety Evaluator:	Sali Mahmoud, PharmD, BCPS
DMEPA 2 Team Leader:	Ashleigh Lowery, PharmD, BCCCP
DMEPA 2 Associate Director for Nomenclature and Labeling:	Chi-Ming (Alice) Tu, PharmD, BCPS

Contents

1 INTROD	DUCTION	1
1.1 Regu	ulatory History	1
1.2 Prod	luct Information	1
2 RESULT	<u>`S</u>	1
2.1 Misb	pranding Assessment	1
2.2 Safet	ty Assessment	2
3 CONCLU	USION	5
3.1 Com	ments to the Applicant/Sponsor	5
4 REFERE	INCES	7
APPENDICE	S	8

1 INTRODUCTION

This review evaluates the proposed proprietary name, Lamzede, from a safety and misbranding perspective. The sources and methods used to evaluate the proposed proprietary name are outlined in the reference section and Appendix A, respectively. Chiesi did not submit an external name study for this proposed proprietary name.

1.1 REGULATORY HISTORY

Chiesi previously submitted a Request for Proprietary Name Review for Lamzede to IND 113186 on February 7, 2022.

1.2 PRODUCT INFORMATION

The following product information is provided in the BLA proprietary name submission received on June 17, 2022.

- Intended Pronunciation: lam zeed'
- Nonproprietary Name: velmanase alfa-tycv
- Indication of Use: Enzyme Replacement Therapy for Alpha-mannosidosis
- Route of Administration: Intravenous
- Dosage Form: for injection
- Strength: 10 mg/vial
- Dose and Frequency: 1 mg/kg (actual body weight) infused intravenously once weekly
- How Supplied: 10 mg of velmanase alfa-tycv as a lyophilized powder in a single-dose vial for reconstitution.
- Storage: Store refrigerated at 2°C to 8°C (36°F to 46°F) in the original package. Protect from light.

2 RESULTS

The following sections provide information obtained and considered in the overall evaluation of the proposed proprietary name, Lamzede.

2.1 MISBRANDING ASSESSMENT

The Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP) determined that Lamzede would not misbrand the proposed product. The Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis 2 (DMEPA 2) concurred with the findings of OPDP's assessment for Lamzede. The Division of Rare Diseases and Medical Genetics (DRDMG) concurred with the findings of OPDP's assessment for Lamzede.

2.2 SAFETY ASSESSMENT

The following aspects were considered in the safety evaluation of the proposed proprietary name, Lamzede.

2.2.1 United States Adopted Names (USAN) Search

There is no USAN stem present in the proposed proprietary name^a.

2.2.2 Components of the Proposed Proprietary Name

Chiesi did not provide a derivation or intended meaning for the proposed proprietary name, Lamzede, in their submission. This proprietary name is comprised of a single word that does not contain any components (i.e. a modifier, route of administration, dosage form, etc.) that can contribute to medication error.

2.2.3 Comments from Other Review Disciplines at Initial Review

On July 24, 2022, the Division of Rare Diseases and Medical Genetics (DRDMG) did not forward any comments or concerns relating to Lamzede at the initial phase of the review.

2.2.4 FDA Name Simulation Studies

One-hundred and one (n=101) practitioners participated in DMEPA's prescription studies for Lamzede. In the Computerized Physician Order Entry (CPOE) study, one respondent entered the sequence of letters "nexav" for another study name "Nexavar***" in the study. As a result, the CPOE generated a pick list that did not contain Lamzede as a choice. The respondent picked "Nexavar***" in the CPOE study. In this case, it appears the participant was erroneously responding to another study name instead of the correct study name "Lamzede," thus we determined the risk for a medication error between this name pair is adequately minimized.

The remaining responses did not overlap with any currently marketed products nor did the responses sound or look similar to any currently marketed products or any products in the pipeline. Appendix B contains the results from the prescription simulation studies.

2.2.5 Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA) Search Results

Our POCA search^b identified 81 names with a combined phonetic and orthographic score of $\geq 55\%$ or an individual phonetic or orthographic score $\geq 70\%$. These names are included in Table 1 below.

2.2.6 Names Retrieved for Review Organized by Name Pair Similarity

Table 1 lists the number of names retrieved from our POCA search, and FDA prescription simulation study. These name pairs are organized as highly similar, moderately similar or low similarity for further evaluation.

^a USAN stem search conducted on June 28, 2022.

^b POCA search conducted on June 28, 2022 in version 4.4.

Table 1. Names Retrieved for Review Organized by Name Pair Similarity			
Similarity Category	Number of Names		
Highly similar name pair: combined match percentage score $\geq 70\%$	1		
Moderately similar name pair: combined match percentage score \geq 55% to \leq 69%	74		
Low similarity name pair: combined match percentage score $\leq 54\%$	7		

2.2.7 Safety Analysis of Names with Potential Orthographic, Spelling, and Phonetic Similarities

Our analysis of the 82 names contained in Table 1 determined none of the names will pose a risk for confusion with Lamzede as described in Appendices C through H.

2.2.8 Communication of DMEPA's Determination

On September 7, 2022 DMEPA 2 communicated our determination to the Division of Rare Diseases and Medical Genetics (DRDMG).

3 CONCLUSION

The proposed proprietary name, Lamzede, is acceptable.

If you have any questions or need clarifications, please contact Su-Lin Sun, OSE project manager, at 301-796-0036.

3.1 COMMENTS TO CHIESI USA, INC.

We have completed our review of the proposed proprietary name, Lamzede, and have concluded that this name is acceptable.

A request for proprietary name review for Lamzede should be submitted once your marketing application is submitted.

If any of the proposed product characteristics as stated in your submission, received on June 17, 2022, are altered prior to approval of the marketing application, the name must be resubmitted for review.

4 REFERENCES

1. USAN Stems (<u>https://www.ama-assn.org/about/united-states-adopted-names-approved-stems</u>)

USAN Stems List contains all the recognized USAN stems.

2. Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA)

POCA is a system that FDA designed. As part of the name similarity assessment, POCA is used to evaluate proposed names via a phonetic and orthographic algorithm. The proposed proprietary name is converted into its phonemic representation before it runs through the phonetic algorithm. Likewise, an orthographic algorithm exists that operates in a similar fashion. POCA is publicly accessible.

Drugs@FDA

Drugs@FDA is an FDA Web site that contains most of the drug products approved in the United States since 1939. The majority of labels, approval letters, reviews, and other information are available for drug products approved from 1998 to the present. Drugs@FDA contains official information about FDA-approved *brand name* and *generic drugs*; *therapeutic biological products, prescription* and *over-the-counter* human drugs; and *discontinued drugs* (see Drugs @ FDA Glossary of Terms, available at http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/InformationOnDrugs/ucm079436.htm#ther_biological).

RxNorm

RxNorm contains the names of prescription and many OTC drugs available in the United States. RxNorm includes generic and branded:

- Clinical drugs pharmaceutical products given to (or taken by) a patient with therapeutic or diagnostic intent
- Drug packs packs that contain multiple drugs, or drugs designed to be administered in a specified sequence

Radiopharmaceuticals, contrast media, food, dietary supplements, and medical devices, such as bandages and crutches, are all out of scope for RxNorm (http://www.nlm.nih.gov/research/umls/rxnorm/overview.html).

Division of Medication Errors Prevention and Analysis proprietary name consultation requests

This is a list of proposed and pending names that is generated by the Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis from the Access database/tracking system.

APPENDICES

Appendix A

FDA's Proprietary Name Risk Assessment evaluates proposed proprietary names for misbranding and safety concerns.

- 1. **Misbranding Assessment**: For prescription drug products, OPDP assesses the name for misbranding concerns. For over-the-counter (OTC) drug products, the misbranding assessment of the proposed name is conducted by DNDP. OPDP or DNDP evaluates proposed proprietary names to determine if the name is false or misleading, such as by making misrepresentations with respect to safety or efficacy. For example, a fanciful proprietary name may misbrand a product by suggesting that it has some unique effectiveness or composition when it does not (21 CFR 201.10(c)(3)). OPDP or DNDP provides their opinion to DMEPA for consideration in the overall acceptability of the proposed proprietary name.
- 2. **Safety Assessment**: The safety assessment is conducted by DMEPA, and includes the following:
- a. Preliminary Assessment: We consider inclusion of USAN stems or other characteristics that when incorporated into a proprietary name may cause or contribute to medication errors (i.e., dosing interval, dosage form/route of administration, medical or product name abbreviations, names that include or suggest the composition of the drug product, etc.) See prescreening checklist below in Table 2*. DMEPA defines a medication error as any preventable event that may cause or lead to inappropriate medication use or patient harm while the medication is in the control of the health care professional, patient, or consumer. ^c

^c National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention. <u>https://www.nccmerp.org/about-medication-errors</u> Last accessed 10/05/2020.

*Table 1 Dresseries	Chaptelist for	Duon and Du	Nome
*Table 2- Prescreening	Checklist lor	Proposed Pro	oprietary Name

	Answer the questions in the checklist below. Affirmative answers to any of these questions indicate a potential area of concern that should be carefully evaluated as described in this guidance.
Y/N	Is the proposed name obviously similar in spelling and pronunciation to other names?
	Proprietary names should not be similar in spelling or pronunciation to proprietary names, established names, or ingredients of other products.
Y/N	Are there inert or inactive ingredients referenced in the proprietary name?
	Proprietary names should not incorporate any reference to an inert or inactive ingredient in a way that might create an impression that the ingredient's value is greater than its true functional role in the formulation $(21 \text{ CFR } 201.10(c)(4))$.
Y/N	Does the proprietary name include combinations of active ingredients?
	Proprietary names of fixed combination drug products should not include or suggest the name of one or more, but not all, of its active ingredients (see 21 CFR 201.6(b)).
Y/N	Is there a United States Adopted Name (USAN) stem in the proprietary name?
	Proprietary names should not incorporate a USAN stem in the position that USAN designates for the stem.
Y/N	Is this proprietary name used for another product that does not share at least one common active ingredient?
	Drug products that do not contain at least one common active ingredient should not use the same (root) proprietary name.
Y/N	Is this a proprietary name of a discontinued product?
	Proprietary names should not use the proprietary name of a discontinued product if that discontinued drug product does not contain the same active ingredients

- b. Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA): Following the preliminary screening of the proposed proprietary name, DMEPA staff evaluates the proposed name against potentially similar names. In order to identify names with potential similarity to the proposed proprietary name, DMEPA enters the proposed proprietary name in POCA and queries the name against the following drug reference databases, Drugs@fda, CernerRxNorm, and names in the review pipeline using a 55% threshold in POCA. DMEPA reviews the combined orthographic and phonetic matches and group the names into one of the following three categories:
 - Highly similar pair: combined match percentage score \geq 70%.
 - Moderately similar pair: combined match percentage score \geq 55% to \leq 69%.

• Low similarity: combined match percentage score $\leq 54\%$.

Using the criteria outlined in the check list (Table 3-5) that corresponds to each of the three categories (highly similar pair, moderately similar pair, and low similarity), DMEPA evaluates the name pairs to determine the acceptability or non-acceptability of a proposed proprietary name. The intent of these checklists is to increase the transparency and predictability of the safety determination of whether a proposed name is vulnerable to confusion from a look-alike or sound-alike perspective. Each bullet below corresponds to the name similarity category cross-references the respective table that addresses criteria that DMEPA uses to determine whether a name presents a safety concern from a look-alike or sound-alike perspective.

- For highly similar names, differences in product characteristics often cannot mitigate the risk of a medication error, including product differences such as strength and dose. Thus, proposed proprietary names that have a combined score of ≥ 70 percent are at risk for a look-alike sound-alike confusion which is an area of concern (See Table 3).
- Moderately similar names are further evaluated to identify the presence of attributes that are known to cause name confusion.
 - Name attributes: We note that the beginning of the drug name plays a significant role in contributing to confusion. Additionally, drug name pairs that start with the same first letter and contain a shared letter string of at least 3 letters in both names are major contributing factor in the confusion of drug names^d. We evaluate all moderately similar names retrieved from POCA to identify the above attributes. These names are further evaluated to identify overlapping or similar strengths or doses.
 - Product attributes: Moderately similar names of products that have overlapping or similar strengths or doses represent an area for concern for FDA. The dose and strength information is often located in close proximity to the drug name itself on prescriptions and medication orders, and the information can be an important factor that either increases or decreases the potential for confusion between similarly named drug pairs. The ability of other product characteristics to mitigate confusion (e.g., route, frequency, dosage form) may be limited when the strength or dose overlaps. DMEPA reviews such names further, to determine whether sufficient differences exist to prevent confusion. (See Table 4).
- Names with low similarity that have no overlap or similarity in strength and dose are generally acceptable (See Table 5) unless there are data to suggest that the name might be vulnerable to confusion (e.g., prescription simulation study suggests that the name is likely to be misinterpreted as a marketed product). In these instances, we would reassign

^d Shah, M, Merchant, L, Characteristics That May Help in the Identification of Potentially Confusing Proprietary Drug Names. Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science, September 2016

a low similarity name to the moderate similarity category and review according to the moderately similar name pair checklist.

c. FDA Prescription Simulation Studies: DMEPA staff also conducts a prescription simulation studies using FDA health care professionals.

Four separate studies are conducted within the Centers of the FDA for the proposed proprietary name to determine the degree of confusion of the proposed proprietary name with marketed U.S. drug names (proprietary and established) due to similarity in visual appearance with handwritten prescriptions, verbal pronunciation of the drug name or during computerized provider order entry. The studies employ healthcare professionals (pharmacists, physicians, and nurses), and attempts to simulate the prescription ordering process. The primary Safety Evaluator uses the results to identify vulnerability of the proposed name to be misinterpreted by healthcare practitioners during written, verbal, or electronic prescribing.

In order to evaluate the potential for misinterpretation of the proposed proprietary name during written, verbal, or electronic prescribing of the name, written inpatient medication orders, written outpatient prescriptions, verbal orders, and electronic orders are simulated, each consisting of a combination of marketed and unapproved drug products, including the proposed name.

d. Comments from Other Review Disciplines: DMEPA requests the Office of New Drugs (OND) and/or Office of Generic Drugs (OGD), ONDQA or OBP for their comments or concerns with the proposed proprietary name, ask for any clinical issues that may impact the DMEPA review during the initial phase of the name review. Additionally, when applicable, at the same time DMEPA requests concurrence/non-concurrence with OPDP's decision on the name. The primary Safety Evaluator addresses any comments or concerns in the safety evaluator's assessment.

The OND/OGD Regulatory Division is contacted a second time following our analysis of the proposed proprietary name. At this point, DMEPA conveys their decision to accept or reject the name.

Additionally, other review disciplines opinions such as ONDQA or OBP may be considered depending on the proposed proprietary name.

When provided, DMEPA considers external proprietary name studies conducted by or for the Applicant/Sponsor and incorporates the findings of these studies into the overall risk assessment.

The DMEPA primary reviewer assigned to evaluate the proposed proprietary name is responsible for considering the collective findings, and provides an overall risk assessment of the proposed proprietary name.

Table 3. Highly Similar Name Pair Checklist (i.e., combined Orthographic and Phonetic score is \geq 70%).

Answer the questions in the checklist below. Affirmative answers to some of these questions suggest that the pattern of orthographic or phonetic differences in the names may render the names less likely to confusion, provided that the pair does not share a common strength or dose.

	Orthographic Checklist	Phonetic Checklist	
Y/N	Do the names begin with different first letters?	Y/N	Do the names have different number of syllables?
	Note that even when names begin with different first letters, certain letters may be confused with each other when scripted.		
Y/N	Are the lengths of the names dissimilar* when scripted?	Y/N	Do the names have different syllabic stresses?
	*FDA considers the length of names different if the names differ by two or more letters.		
Y/N	Considering variations in scripting of some letters (such as z and f), is there a different number or placement of upstroke/downstroke letters present in the names?	Y/N	Do the syllables have different phonologic processes, such vowel reduction, assimilation, or deletion?
Y/N	Is there different number or placement of cross-stroke or dotted letters present in the names?	Y/N	Across a range of dialects, are the names consistently pronounced differently?
Y/N	Do the infixes of the name appear dissimilar when scripted?		
Y/N	Do the suffixes of the names appear dissimilar when scripted?		

Table 4: Moderately Similar Name Pair Checklist (i.e., combined score is $\geq 55\%$ to $\leq 69\%$).

Step 1	Review the DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION and HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING sections of the prescribing information (or for OTC drugs refer to the Drug Facts label) to determine if strengths and doses of the name pair overlap or are very similar. Different strengths and doses for products whose names are moderately similar may decrease the risk of confusion between the moderately similar name pairs. Name pairs that have overlapping or similar strengths or doses have a higher potential for confusion and should be evaluated further (see Step 2). Because the strength or dose could be used to express an order or prescription for a particular drug product, overlap in one or both of these components would be reason for further evaluation.
	For single strength products, also consider circumstances where the strength may not be expressed.
	For any i.e. drug products comprised of more than one active ingredient, consider whether the strength or dose may be expressed using only one of the components.
	To determine whether the strengths or doses are similar to your proposed product, consider the following list of factors that may increase confusion:
	• Alternative expressions of dose: 5 mL may be listed in the prescribing information, but the dose may be expressed in metric weight (e.g., 500 mg) or in non-metric units (e.g., 1 tsp, 1 tablet/capsule). Similarly, a strength or dose of 1000 mg may be expressed, in practice, as 1 g, or vice versa.
	• Trailing or deleting zeros: 10 mg is similar in appearance to 100 mg which may potentiate confusion between a name pair with moderate similarity.
	• Similar sounding doses: 15 mg is similar in sound to 50 mg
Step 2	Answer the questions in the checklist below. Affirmative answers to some of these questions suggest that the pattern of orthographic or phonetic differences in the names may reduce the likelihood of confusion for moderately similar names with overlapping or similar strengths or doses.

Orthographic Checklist (Y/N to each question)	Phonetic Checklist (Y/N to each question)
 Do the names begin with different first letters? Note that even when names begin with different first letters, certain letters may be confused with each other when scripted. Are the lengths of the names dissimilar* when scripted? *FDA considers the length of names different if the names differ by two or more letters. Considering variations in scripting of some letters (such as z and f), is there a different number or placement of upstroke/downstroke letters present in the names? Is there different number or placement of cross-stroke or dotted letters present in the names? Do the infixes of the name appear dissimilar when scripted? Do the suffixes of the names appear dissimilar when scripted? 	 Do the names have different number of syllables? Do the names have different syllabic stresses? Do the syllables have different phonologic processes, such vowel reduction, assimilation, or deletion? Across a range of dialects, are the names consistently pronounced differently?

Table 5: Low Similarity Name Pair Checklist (i.e., combined score is ≤54%).

Names with low similarity are generally acceptable unless there are data to suggest that the name might be vulnerable to confusion (e.g., prescription simulation study suggests that the name is likely to be misinterpreted as a marketed product). In these instances, we would reassign a low similarity name to the moderate similarity category and review according to the moderately similar name pair checklist.

<u>Appendix B:</u> Prescription Simulation Samples and Results

Figure 1. Lamzede Study (Conducted on July 8, 2022)

Handwritten Medication Order/Prescription	Verbal Prescription
Medication Order:	Lamzede
LAMPORE Give SOME IN ONCE	Bring to clinic
Outpetient Preservintion	#5
B Langede burg + clinic # 5	
CPOE Study Sample (displayed as sans-serif, 12-point, bold font)	
Lamzede	

FDA Prescription Simulation Responses (<u>Aggregate Report</u>) 262 People Received Study 101 People

Responded

Study Name: Lamzede

Total	22	29	26	24	
INTERPRETATION	INPATIENT	CPOE	VOICE	OUTPATIENT	TOTAL
LAAMZEDE	1	0	0	0	1
LAINZEDE	1	0	0	0	1
LAMAGEDE	0	0	0	1	1
LAMCID	0	0	1	0	1
LAMGEDI	0	0	0	1	1
LAMYDA	0	0	0	1	1
LAMZEDA	0	0	0	2	2
LAMZEDE	20	28	1	10	59
LAMZEDI	0	0	0	1	1
LAMZEED	0	0	18	0	18
LAMZID	0	0	2	0	2
LAMZIDE	0	0	0	6	6
LANZEDE	0	0	1	0	1
LANZEED	0	0	1	0	1
LANZEID	0	0	1	0	1
LANZID	0	0	1	0	1
LAZEDA	0	0	0	1	1
LOMGEDE	0	0	0	1	1
NEXAVAR	0	1	0	0	1

No.	Proposed name: Lamzede	POCA	Orthographic and/or phonetic		
	Established name: velmanase	Score (%)	differences in the names sufficient to		
	alfa-tycv		prevent confusion		
	Dosage form: for injection				
	Strength(s): 10 mg/vial		Other prevention of failure mode		
	Usual Dose: 1 mg/kg		expected to minimize the risk of		
	intravenous infusion weekly		confusion between these two names.		
1.	Lamzede	100	Proposed proprietary name that is		
			subject of this review.		

Appendix C: Highly Similar Names (e.g., combined POCA score is \geq 70%)

<u>Appendix D:</u> Moderately Similar Names (e.g., combined POCA score is \geq 55% to \leq 69%) with no overlap or numerical similarity in Strength and/or Dose

No.	Name	POCA
		Score (%)
1.	Lidazone	63
2.	Lamisil	58
3.	Lomanate	58
4.	Lazanda	56
5.	Linzess	56
6.	L-Lactate	56

<u>Appendix E:</u> Moderately Similar Names (e.g., combined POCA score is \geq 55% to \leq 69%) with overlap or numerical similarity in Strength and/or Dose

No.	Proposed name: Lamzede	POCA	Prevention of Failure Mode
	Established name: velmanase alfa-tycv Dosage form: for injection Strength(s): 10 mg/vial Usual Dose: 1 mg/kg intravenous infusion weekly	Score (%)	In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
1.	Lamprene	68	This name pair has sufficient orthographic and phonetic differences. The product characteristic differences between Lamprene and Lamzede include route of administration (oral vs. intravenous), dosage forms (capsule vs. powder for injection), strengths (50 mg vs. 10 mg/vials), and dosing (100 mg to 200 mg vs. weight based 1 mg/kg), which further distinguish this name pair when included on a prescription.

No.	Proposed name: Lamzede	POCA	Prevention of Failure Mode
	Established name: velmanase	Score (%)	
	alfa-tycv		In the conditions outlined below, the
	Dosage form: for injection		following combination of factors, are
	Strength(s): 10 mg/vial		expected to minimize the risk of
	Usual Dose: 1 mg/kg		confusion between these two names
	intravenous infusion weekly		
2.	Lindane	68	This name pair has sufficient
			orthographic and phonetic differences.
3.	Amzeeq	64	This name pair has sufficient
	-		orthographic and phonetic differences.
4.	Flamrase	60	This name pair has sufficient
			orthographic and phonetic differences.
5.	Lampit	60	This name pair has sufficient
			orthographic and phonetic differences.
6.	Lac-Dose	60	This name pair has sufficient
			orthographic and phonetic differences.
7.	Laniazid	60	This name pair has sufficient
			orthographic and phonetic differences.
			Discontinued branded generic for
			Isoniazid tablets and oral syrup.
8.	Malmorede	58	This name pair has sufficient
			orthographic and phonetic differences.
9.	Zenzedi	58	This name pair has sufficient
			orthographic and phonetic differences.
10.	Lemtrada	58	This name pair has sufficient
			orthographic and phonetic differences.
			Lemtrada and Lamzede differ in dose
			(fixed 12 mg vs. 1 mg/kg) and
			irequency of administration (5 or 5
11	Lidonan	59	This name pair has sufficient
11.	Lidozen	58	arthographic and phonotic differences
12	La Malmarada	57	This name pair has sufficient
12.	Lo-Mannorede	57	orthographic and phonotic differences
13	Calazem	56	This name pair has sufficient
13.	Calazelli	50	orthographic and phonetic differences
14	Lamiyudine	56	This name pair has sufficient
14.		50	orthographic and phonetic differences
15	Lanacane	56	This name nair has sufficient
13.		50	orthographic and phonetic differences
16	Lax-Fase	56	This name pair has sufficient
10.			orthographic and phonetic differences
1		1	i oranographic and phonetic differences.

No.	Proposed name: Lamzede	POCA	Prevention of Failure Mode
	Established name: velmanase	Score (%)	
	alfa-tycv		In the conditions outlined below, the
	Dosage form: for injection		following combination of factors, are
	Strength(s): 10 mg/vial		expected to minimize the risk of
	Usual Dose: 1 mg/kg		confusion between these two names
	intravenous infusion weekly		
17.	Levbid	56	This name pair has sufficient
			orthographic and phonetic differences.
18.	Lumizyme	56	This name pair has sufficient
			orthographic and phonetic differences.
19.	Lenvima	55	This name pair has sufficient
			orthographic and phonetic differences.

<u>Appendix F:</u> Low Similarity Names (e.g., combined POCA score is ≤54%)

No.	Name	POCA Score (%)
1.	Loradamed	54
2.	Sulfamed	54
3.	Amend	52
4.	Tolazamide	51
5.	(b) (4) ***	50
6.	Medex-La	40
7.	Nexavar	22

<u>Appendix G:</u> Names not likely to be confused or not used in usual practice settings for the reasons described.

No.	Name	POCA	Failure preventions
		Score (%)	
1.	Alenaze-D	68	Name identified in RxNorm database. Product is
			deactivated and no generic equivalents are
			available.
2.	Xylamed	62	Veterinary product.
3.	Flamazine	62	International product marketed in Australia and
			Canada.
4.	Lentard	62	International product marketed in India.
5.	Lodrane	60	Name identified in RxNorm database. Per
			Redbook, drug product is deactivated with no
			generic equivalents available.
6.	Lodrane 24	60	Name identified in RxNorm database. Per
			Redbook, drug product is deactivated with no
			generic equivalents available.
7.	Limonene	59	Product is not a drug. It is a component in citrus
			fruit peels.

No.	Name	POCA	Failure preventions
	T : 0	Score (%)	
8.	Limonene, ()-	59	Product is not a drug. It is a component in citrus
	T ' ()	50	Truit peels.
9.	Limonene, (-)-	59	Product is not a drug. It is a component in citrus
10	(b) (4) ***	50	b) (4)
10.		59	
11.	Blade	58	Name identified in RxNorm database. Unable to find product characteristics in commonly used
			drug databases.
12.	Colazide	58	International product marketed in Australia and
			UK.
13.	Lanozin	58	Name identified in RxNorm database. Unable to
			find product characteristics in commonly used
	(b) (4)		drug databases.
14.	(D) (4)***	58	(0) (4)
15	Lumiev***	58	Proposed proprietary name for IND 127210 found
15.	Lungev	50	unacceptable by DMEPA (OSE# 2018-23255914.
			2018-23257053, and 2018-23257497 dated
			11/15/2018). BLA 761109 approved under the
			proprietary name Lyumjev.
16.	Phenzene	56	Name identified in RxNorm database. Unable to
			find product characteristics in commonly used
			drug databases.
17.	Lactate	56	Product is not a drug. It is a product of
			metabolism.
18.	Lodrane D	56	Name identified in RxNorm database. Per
			Redbook, drug product is deactivated with no
10	(b) (4)		generic equivalents available.
19.	***	56	(0) (4

No.	Name	POCA Score (%)
1.	Allanderm	63
2.	Flumezide	62
3.	Allanzyme	61
4.	Amidate	61
5.	Clindamed	61
6.	Alomide	60
7.	Anzemet	60
8.	Remsed	60
9.	Almazine	59
10.	Ambifed	59
11.	Amosene	58
12.	Angeze	58
13.	Benzene	58
14.	Dermazene	58
15.	Flanders	58
16.	Gladase	58
17.	Onzeald	58
18.	Diamode	57
19.	Advate	56
20.	Aleve-D	56
21.	Azdone	56
22.	Camphene	56
23.	Dalmane	56
24.	Demazin	56
25.	Emeside	56
26.	Gamene	56
27.	Zamicet	56
28.	Amabelz	55
29.	Rezamid	55
30.	Zonisade	55

Appendix H: Names not likely to be confused due to absence of attributes that are known to cause name confusion^e.

^e Shah, M, Merchant, L, Chan, I, and Taylor, K. Characteristics That May Help in the Identification of Potentially Confusing Proprietary Drug Names. Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science, September 2016

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically. Following this are manifestations of any and all electronic signatures for this electronic record.

/s/

SALI MAHMOUD 09/07/2022 06:10:02 PM

ASHLEIGH V LOWERY 09/09/2022 09:51:01 AM

CHI-MING TU 09/12/2022 09:17:14 AM

SUFFIX REVIEW FOR NONPROPRIETARY NAME

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis 2 (DMEPA 2) Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM) Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE) Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

*** This document contains proprietary information that cannot be released to the public***

Date of This Review:	8/17/2022
Responsible OND Division:	Division of Rare Diseases and Medical Genetics (DRDMG)
Application Type and Number:	BLA 761278
Product Name and Strength:	Lamzede ^a (velmanase alfa-tycv) for injection, 10 mg/vial
Product Type:	Single Ingredient Product
Applicant/Sponsor Name:	Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A. (Chiesi)
Nexus NPNS ID #:	2022-111
DMAMES Biologics Suffix Specialist:	Carlos M Mena-Grillasca, BS Pharm
DMEPA 2 Division Director:	Danielle Harris, PharmD

^a Proposed proprietary name currently under review (Nexus ID 2022- 1044724637).

1 PURPOSE OF REVIEW

This review summarizes our evaluation of the FDA-designated four-letter suffix for inclusion in the nonproprietary name and communicates our recommendation for the nonproprietary name for BLA 761278.

1.1 Regulatory History

Chiesi was notified of the Agency's intention to designate a nonproprietary name that includes a four-letter distinguishing suffix that is devoid of meaning for their product in an Advice Letter^a.

2 ASSESSMENT OF THE NONPROPRIETARY NAME

velmanase alfa-tycv

FDA generated a four-letter suffix, -tycv. This suffix was evaluated using the principles described in the applicable guidance^b.

We determined that the FDA-generated suffix -tycv, is not too similar to any other products' suffix designation, does not look similar to the names of other currently marketed products, that the suffix is devoid of meaning, does not include any abbreviations that could be misinterpreted, and does not make any misrepresentations with respect to safety or efficacy of this product.

3 COMMUNICATION OF DMEPA 2 ANALYSIS

These findings were shared with OPDP. On August 16, 2022, OPDP did not identify any concerns that would render this suffix unacceptable. DMEPA 2 also communicated our findings to the Division of Rare Diseases and Medical Genetics (DRDMG) on August 17, 2022.

 ^a Harris, D. General Advice Letter for BLA 761278. Silver Spring (MD): FDA, CDER, OSE, DMEPA 2 (US) 2022 Jun 29.
 ^b See Section VI which describes that any suffixes should be devoid of meaning in Guidance for Industry: Nonproprietary Naming of Biological Products. 2017. Available from:

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM459987.pdf

4 CONCLUSION

We find the suffix -tycv acceptable and recommend the nonproprietary name be revised throughout the draft labels and labeling to velmanase alfa-tycv. DMEPA 2 will communicate our findings to the Applicant via letter.

4.1 Recommendation for Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A.

We find the nonproprietary name, velmanase alfa-tycv, conditionally acceptable for your proposed product. Should your 351(a) BLA be approved during this review cycle, velmanase alfa-tycv will be the proper name designated in the license. You should revise your proposed labels and labeling accordingly and submit the revised labels and labeling to your BLA for our review. However, please be advised that if your application receives a complete response, the acceptability of this suffix will be re-evaluated when you respond to the deficiencies. If we find the suffix unacceptable upon our re-evaluation, we will inform you of our findings.

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically. Following this are manifestations of any and all electronic signatures for this electronic record.

/s/

CARLOS M MENA-GRILLASCA 08/17/2022 08:19:28 PM

DANIELLE M HARRIS 08/18/2022 08:05:06 AM