

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND
RESEARCH**

APPLICATION NUMBER:

761365Orig1s000

PROPRIETARY NAME REVIEW(S)

PROPRIETARY NAME REVIEW

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis 2 (DMEPA 2)
Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM)
Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE)
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

*** This document contains proprietary information that cannot be released to the public***

Date of This Review:	August 9, 2024
Application Type and Number:	BLA 761365
Product Name, Dosage Form, and Strength:	Vyloy (zolbetuximab-clzb) for injection, 100 mg/vial
Product Type:	Single Ingredient Product
Rx or OTC:	Prescription (Rx)
Applicant/Sponsor Name:	Astellas Pharma Global Development, Inc. (Astellas)
PNR ID #:	2024-1044725795
DMEPA 2 Safety Evaluator:	Ngoc-Linh Do, PharmD
DMEPA 2 Acting Team Leader:	Tingting Gao, PharmD
DMEPA 2 Deputy Director:	Chi-Ming (Alice) Tu, PharmD, BCPS

Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	3
1.1	REGULATORY HISTORY	3
1.2	PRODUCT INFORMATION	3
2	DISCUSSION	4
2.1	MISBRANDING ASSESSMENT	4
2.2	SAFETY ASSESSMENT	4
2.2.1	United States Adopted Names (USAN) Search	4
2.2.2	Components of the Proposed Proprietary Name	4
2.2.3	Comments from Other Review Disciplines at Initial Review	5
2.2.4	FDA Prescription Simulation Studies	5
2.2.5	Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA) Search Results	5
2.2.6	Names Retrieved for Review Organized by Name Pair Similarity	5
2.2.7	Safety Analysis of Names with Potential Orthographic, Spelling, and Phonetic Similarities	6
2.2.8	Communication of DMEPA's Determination	6
3	CONCLUSION	6
3.1	Comments to Astellas Pharma Global Development, Inc.	6
4	REFERENCES	7
5	APPENDICES	8

1 INTRODUCTION

This review evaluates the proposed proprietary name, Vyloy, from a safety and misbranding perspective. The sources and methods used to evaluate the proposed proprietary name are outlined in the Reference section and Appendix A, respectively. Astellas did not submit an external name study for this proposed proprietary name.

1.1 REGULATORY HISTORY

Astellas previously submitted the proposed proprietary name, Vyloy, under IND 129598 and BLA 761365 on February 15, 2022 and April 14, 2023, respectively, and we found the name conditionally acceptable on March 16, 2023 and June 26, 2023, respectively.^{a,b} However, the application received a Complete Response letter on January 4, 2024 due to product quality issues.

Thus, Astellas submitted the name Vyloy, for review on May 20, 2024 as part of the Class 2 Resubmission for BLA 761365.

1.2 PRODUCT INFORMATION

The following product information is provided in the proprietary name submission received on May 20, 2024^c and the prescribing information received on June 6, 2024^d.

Table 1. Relevant Product Information for Vyloy	
Intended pronunciation:	vye-LOY
Nonproprietary name:	zolbetuximab-clzb
Indication:	in combination with fluoropyrimidine- and platinum-containing chemotherapy, is indicated for the first-line treatment of adults with locally advanced unresectable or metastatic human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-negative gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma whose tumors are claudin (CLDN) 18.2 positive as determined by an FDA-approved test

^a Do, N. Proprietary Name Review for Vyloy (IND 129598). Silver Spring (MD): FDA, CDER, OSE, DMEPA 2 (US); 2023 MAR 16. PNR ID No. 2022-1044724495.

^b Do, N. Proprietary Name Review for Vyloy (BLA 761365). Silver Spring (MD): FDA, CDER, OSE, DMEPA 2 (US); 2023 Jun 26. PNR ID No. 2023-1044725099.

^c Request for Proprietary Name Review. Northbrook (IL): Astellas Pharma Global Development, Inc.; 2024 MAY 20. Available from: [\\CDSESUB1\EVSPROD\bla761365\0049\m1\us\118-proprietary-names\proprietary-name-review-request.pdf](#).

^d Proposed prescribing information for Vyloy. Northbrook (IL): Astellas Pharma Global Development, Inc.; 2024 JUN 20. Available from: [\\CDSESUB1\EVSPROD\bla761365\0051\m1\us\114-labeling\draft\labeling\zolbe-uspi-clean.docx](#).

Table 1. Relevant Product Information for Vyloy	
Dosage Form:	for injection
Strength:	100 mg/vial
Route of administration:	Intravenous
Dose and frequency:	Administer in combination with fluoropyrimidine- and platinum-containing chemotherapy as follows: • First dose: 800 mg/m² intravenously • Subsequent doses: ○ 600 mg/m² intravenously every 3 weeks, or ○ 400 mg/m² intravenously every 2 weeks • Continue treatment until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity.
How Supplied:	Carton of one 100 mg single-dose vial (NDC 0469-3425-10)
Storage:	Stored at 2°C to 8°C (36°F to 46°F) in the original carton. Do not freeze. Do not shake.

2 DISCUSSION

The following sections provide information obtained and considered in the overall evaluation of the proposed proprietary name, Vyloy.

2.1 MISBRANDING ASSESSMENT

The Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP) determined that Vyloy would not misbrand the proposed product. The Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis 2 (DMEPA 2) concurred with the findings of OPDP's assessment for Vyloy. The Division of Oncology 3 (DO3) did not comment on the findings of OPDP's assessment for Vyloy.

2.2 SAFETY ASSESSMENT

The following aspects were considered in the safety evaluation of the proposed proprietary name, Vyloy.

2.2.1 UNITED STATES ADOPTED NAMES (USAN) SEARCH

There is no USAN stem present in the proposed proprietary name.^e

2.2.2 COMPONENTS OF THE PROPOSED PROPRIETARY NAME

Astellas did not provide a derivation or intended meaning for the proposed proprietary name, Vyloy, in their submission. This proprietary name is comprised of a single word that does not

^e USAN stem search conducted on July 14, 2024.

contain any components (i.e., a modifier, route of administration, dosage form, etc.) that can contribute to medication error.

2.2.3 COMMENTS FROM OTHER REVIEW DISCIPLINES AT INITIAL REVIEW

The Division of Oncology 3 (DO3) did not forward any comments or concerns relating to Vyloy at the initial phase of the review.

2.2.4 FDA PRESCRIPTION SIMULATION STUDIES

Eighty-one (81) practitioners participated in DMEPA’s prescription simulation studies for Vyloy. The responses did not overlap with any currently marketed products nor did the responses sound or look similar to any currently marketed products or any products in the pipeline. Appendix B contains the results from the prescription simulation studies.

2.2.5 PHONETIC AND ORTHOGRAPHIC COMPUTER ANALYSIS (POCA) SEARCH RESULTS

Our POCA search^f identified twenty-three (23) names with a combined score of ≥55% or an individual orthographic or phonetic score of ≥70%. We had identified and evaluated some of the names in our previous proprietary name review. We re-evaluated the previously identified names of concern considering any lessons learned from recent post-marketing experience, which may have altered our previous conclusion regarding the acceptability of the name. We note that none of the product characteristics have changed and we agree with the findings from our previous review for the names evaluated previously. Therefore, we identified six (6) names not previously analyzed. These names are included in Table 2 below.

2.2.6 NAMES RETRIEVED FOR REVIEW ORGANIZED BY NAME PAIR SIMILARITY

Table 2 provides the number of names retrieved from our POCA search, FDA Prescription Simulation Study. These name pairs are organized as highly similar, moderately similar, or low similarity for further evaluation.

Table 2. Names Retrieved for Review Organized by Name Pair Similarity	
Similarity Category	Number of Names
Highly similar name pair: combined match percentage score ≥70%	0
Moderately similar name pair: combined match percentage score ≥55% to ≤ 69%	5
Low similarity name pair: combined match percentage score ≤54%	1

^f POCA search conducted on July 14, 2024 in version 5.9.

2.2.7 SAFETY ANALYSIS OF NAMES WITH POTENTIAL ORTHOGRAPHIC, SPELLING, AND PHONETIC SIMILARITIES

Our analysis of the six (6) names contained in Table 2 determined none of the names will pose a risk for confusion with Vyloy as described in Appendices C through H.

2.2.8 COMMUNICATION OF DMEPA'S DETERMINATION

On August 9, 2024, DMEPA 2 communicated our determination to the Division of Oncology 3 (DO3).

3 CONCLUSION

The proposed proprietary name, Vyloy, is conditionally acceptable.

3.1 COMMENTS TO ASTELLAS PHARMA GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT, INC.

We have completed our review of the proposed proprietary name, Vyloy, and have concluded that this proprietary name is conditionally acceptable.

If any of the proposed product characteristics as stated in your submission, received on May 20, 2024, are altered prior to approval of the marketing application, the proprietary name must be resubmitted for review.

4 REFERENCES

1. *United States Adopted Names (USAN) Stems*

USAN Stems List contains all the recognized USAN stems, available at <https://www.ama-assn.org/about/united-states-adopted-names/united-states-adopted-names-approved-stems>.

2. *Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA)*

POCA is a system that FDA designed. As part of the name similarity assessment, POCA is used to evaluate proposed names via a phonetic and orthographic algorithm. The proposed proprietary name is converted into its phonemic representation before it runs through the phonetic algorithm. Likewise, an orthographic algorithm exists that operates in a similar fashion. POCA is publicly accessible.

Drugs@FDA

Drugs@FDA is an FDA Web site that contains most of the drug products approved in the United States since 1939. The majority of labels, approval letters, reviews, and other information are available for drug products approved from 1998 to the present. Drugs@FDA contains official information about FDA-approved brand name and generic drugs; therapeutic biological products, prescription and over-the-counter human drugs; and discontinued drugs (see Drugs@FDA Glossary of Terms, available at <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-approvals-and-databases/drugsfda-glossary-terms>).

RxNorm

RxNorm contains the names of prescription and many OTC drugs available in the United States. RxNorm includes generic and branded:

- Clinical drugs – pharmaceutical products given to (or taken by) a patient with therapeutic or diagnostic intent
- Drug packs – packs that contain multiple drugs, or drugs designed to be administered in a specified sequence

Radiopharmaceuticals, contrast media, food, dietary supplements, and medical devices, such as bandages and crutches, are all out of scope for RxNorm (<http://www.nlm.nih.gov/research/umls/rxnorm/overview.html>).

Purple Book

The Purple Book is an online database that contains information about biological products, including biosimilar and interchangeable biological products, licensed (approved) by the FDA under the Public Health Service (PHS) Act. See Purple Book: Lists of Licensed Biological Products with Reference Product Exclusivity and Biosimilarity or Interchangeability Evaluations, available at <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/therapeutic-biologics-applications-bla/purple-book-lists-licensed-biological-products-reference-product-exclusivity-and-biosimilarity-or>.

Division of Medication Errors Prevention and Analysis pending proprietary name requests

This is a list of proposed and pending names that is generated by the Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis.

5 APPENDICES

APPENDIX A. FDA'S PROPRIETARY NAME RISK ASSESSMENT EVALUATES PROPOSED PROPRIETARY NAMES FOR MISBRANDING AND SAFETY CONCERNS

1. **Misbranding Assessment:** For prescription drug products, the Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP) assesses the name for misbranding concerns. For over-the-counter (OTC) drug products, the misbranding assessment of the proposed name is conducted by the Office of Non-Prescription Drugs (ONPD). OPDP or ONPD evaluates proposed proprietary names to determine if the name is false or misleading, such as by making misrepresentations with respect to safety or efficacy. For example, a fanciful proprietary name may misbrand a product by suggesting that it has some unique effectiveness or composition when it does not (21 CFR 201.10(c)(3)). OPDP or ONPD provides their opinion to DMEPA for consideration in the overall acceptability of the proposed proprietary name.
2. **Safety Assessment:** The safety assessment is conducted by DMEPA, and includes the following:
 - a. **Preliminary Assessment:** We consider inclusion of USAN stems or other characteristics that when incorporated into a proprietary name may cause or contribute to medication errors (i.e., dosing interval, dosage form/route of administration, medical or product name abbreviations, names that include or suggest the composition of the drug product, etc.) See prescreening checklist below in Table 3*. DMEPA defines a medication error as any preventable event that may cause or lead to inappropriate medication use or patient harm while the medication is in the control of the health care professional, patient, or consumer.⁹

*Table 3. Prescreening Checklist for Proposed Proprietary Name	
Answer the questions in the checklist below. Affirmative answers to any of these questions indicate a potential area of concern that should be carefully evaluated as described in this guidance.	
Y/N	Is the proposed name obviously similar in spelling and pronunciation to other names?
	Proprietary names should not be similar in spelling or pronunciation to proprietary names, established names, or ingredients of other products.
Y/N	Are there inert or inactive ingredients referenced in the proprietary name?
	Proprietary names should not incorporate any reference to an inert or inactive ingredient in a way that might create an impression that the ingredient's value is greater than its true functional role in the formulation (21 CFR 201.10(c)(4)).
Y/N	Does the proprietary name include combinations of active ingredients?
	Proprietary names of fixed combination drug products should not include or suggest the name of one or more, but not all, of its active ingredients (see 21 CFR 201.6(b)).
Y/N	Is there a United States Adopted Name (USAN) stem in the proprietary name?
	Proprietary names should not incorporate a USAN stem in the position that USAN designates for the stem.

⁹ National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention. <https://www.nccmerp.org/about-medication-errors>. Last accessed 10/05/2020.

*Table 3. Prescreening Checklist for Proposed Proprietary Name	
Answer the questions in the checklist below. Affirmative answers to any of these questions indicate a potential area of concern that should be carefully evaluated as described in this guidance.	
Y/N	Is this proprietary name used for another product that does not share at least one common active ingredient?
	Drug products that do not contain at least one common active ingredient should not use the same (root) proprietary name.
Y/N	Is this a proprietary name of a discontinued product?
	Proprietary names should not use the proprietary name of a discontinued product if that discontinued drug product does not contain the same active ingredients.

- b. Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis (POCA): Following the preliminary screening of the proposed proprietary name, DMEPA staff evaluates the proposed name against potentially similar names. In order to identify names with potential similarity to the proposed proprietary name, DMEPA enters the proposed proprietary name in POCA and queries the name against the following drug reference databases, Drugs@FDA, Cerner RxNorm, Purple Book, and names in the review pipeline using a 55% threshold in POCA. DMEPA reviews the combined orthographic and phonetic matches and group the names into one of the following three categories:

- Highly similar pair: combined match percentage score $\geq 70\%$.
- Moderately similar pair: combined match percentage score $\geq 55\%$ to $\leq 69\%$.
- Low similarity: combined match percentage score $\leq 54\%$.

Using the criteria outlined in the check list (Table 4-6) that corresponds to each of the three categories (highly similar pair, moderately similar pair, and low similarity), DMEPA evaluates the name pairs to determine the acceptability or non-acceptability of a proposed proprietary name. The intent of these checklists is to increase the transparency and predictability of the safety determination of whether a proposed name is vulnerable to confusion from a look-alike or sound-alike perspective. Each bullet below corresponds to the name similarity category cross-references the respective table that addresses criteria that DMEPA uses to determine whether a name presents a safety concern from a look-alike or sound-alike perspective.

- For highly similar names, differences in product characteristics often cannot mitigate the risk of a medication error, including product differences such as strength and dose. Thus, proposed proprietary names that have a combined score of ≥ 70 percent are at risk for a look-alike sound-alike confusion which is an area of concern (See Table 4).
- Moderately similar names are further evaluated to identify the presence of attributes that are known to cause name confusion.
 - o Name attributes: We note that the beginning of the drug name plays a significant role in contributing to confusion. Additionally, drug name pairs that start with the same first letter and contain a shared letter string of at least 3 letters in both names are major contributing factor in

the confusion of drug names^h. We evaluate all moderately similar names retrieved from POCA to identify the above attributes. These names are further evaluated to identify overlapping or similar strengths or doses.

- o Product attributes: Moderately similar names of products that have overlapping or similar strengths or doses represent an area for concern for FDA. The dose and strength information is often located in close proximity to the drug name itself on prescriptions and medication orders, and the information can be an important factor that either increases or decreases the potential for confusion between similarly named drug pairs. The ability of other product characteristics to mitigate confusion (e.g., route, frequency, dosage form) may be limited when the strength or dose overlaps. DMEPA reviews such names further, to determine whether sufficient differences exist to prevent confusion. (See Table 5).
 - Names with low similarity that have no overlap or similarity in strength and dose are generally acceptable (See Table 6) unless there are data to suggest that the name might be vulnerable to confusion (e.g., prescription simulation study suggests that the name is likely to be misinterpreted as a marketed product). In these instances, we would reassign a low similarity name to the moderate similarity category and review according to the moderately similar name pair checklist.
- c. FDA Prescription Simulation Studies: DMEPA also conducts prescription simulation studies using FDA health care professionals.

Four separate studies are conducted within the Centers of the FDA for the proposed proprietary name to determine the degree of confusion of the proposed proprietary name with marketed U.S. drug names (proprietary and established) due to similarity in visual appearance with handwritten prescriptions, verbal pronunciation of the drug name or during computerized provider order entry. The studies employ healthcare professionals (pharmacists, physicians, and nurses), and attempts to simulate the prescription ordering process. The primary Safety Evaluator uses the results to identify vulnerability of the proposed name to be misinterpreted by healthcare practitioners during written, verbal, or electronic prescribing.

In order to evaluate the potential for misinterpretation of the proposed proprietary name during written, verbal, or electronic prescribing of the name, written inpatient medication orders, written outpatient prescriptions, verbal orders, and electronic orders are simulated, each consisting of a combination of marketed and unapproved drug products, including the proposed name.
- d. Comments from Other Review Disciplines: DMEPA requests the Office of New Drugs (OND), Office of Generic Drugs (OGD), and/or Office of Pharmaceutical Quality (OPQ) for their comments or concerns with the proposed proprietary name, ask for any clinical issues that may impact the DMEPA review during the initial phase of the name review.

^h Shah, M, Merchant, L, Characteristics That May Help in the Identification of Potentially Confusing Proprietary Drug Names. Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science, September 2016

Additionally, when applicable, at the same time DMEPA requests concurrence/non-concurrence with OPDP's decision on the name. The primary Safety Evaluator addresses any comments or concerns in the Safety Evaluator's assessment.

The OND/OGD Regulatory Division is contacted a second time following our analysis of the proposed proprietary name. At this point, DMEPA conveys their decision to accept or reject the name.

Additionally, other review disciplines opinions such as OPQ may be considered depending on the proposed proprietary name.

When provided, DMEPA considers external proprietary name studies conducted by or for the Applicant/Sponsor and incorporates the findings of these studies into the overall risk assessment.

The DMEPA primary Safety Evaluator assigned to evaluate the proposed proprietary name is responsible for considering the collective findings, and provides an overall risk assessment of the proposed proprietary name.

Table 4. Highly Similar Name Pair Checklist (i.e., combined Orthographic and Phonetic score is $\geq$ 70%).			
Orthographic Checklist		Phonetic Checklist	
Y/N	Do the names begin with different first letters? <i>Note that even when names begin with different first letters, certain letters may be confused with each other when scripted.</i>	Y/N	Do the names have different number of syllables?
Y/N	Are the lengths of the names dissimilar* when scripted? <i>*FDA considers the length of names different if the names differ by two or more letters.</i>	Y/N	Do the names have different syllabic stresses?
Y/N	Considering variations in scripting of some letters (such as z and f), is there a different number or placement of upstroke/downstroke letters present in the names?	Y/N	Do the syllables have different phonologic processes, such vowel reduction, assimilation, or deletion?
Y/N	Is there different number or placement of cross-stroke or dotted letters present in the names?	Y/N	Across a range of dialects, are the names consistently pronounced differently?
Y/N	Do the infixes of the name appear dissimilar when scripted?		

Y/N	Do the suffixes of the names appear dissimilar when scripted?		
-----	---	--	--

Table 5: Moderately Similar Name Pair Checklist (i.e., combined score is $\geq 55\%$ to $\leq 69\%$).		
Step 1	Review the DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION and HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING sections of the prescribing information (or for OTC drugs refer to the Drug Facts label) to determine if strengths and doses of the name pair overlap or are very similar. Different strengths and doses for products whose names are moderately similar may decrease the risk of confusion between the moderately similar name pairs. Name pairs that have overlapping or similar strengths or doses have a higher potential for confusion and should be evaluated further (see Step 2). Because the strength or dose could be used to express an order or prescription for a particular drug product, overlap in one or both of these components would be reason for further evaluation. For single strength products, also consider circumstances where the strength may not be expressed. For any i.e., drug products comprised of more than one active ingredient, consider whether the strength or dose may be expressed using only one of the components. To determine whether the strengths or doses are similar to your proposed product, consider the following list of factors that may increase confusion: - Alternative expressions of dose: 5 mL may be listed in the prescribing information, but the dose may be expressed in metric weight (e.g., 500 mg) or in non-metric units (e.g., 1 tsp, 1 tablet/capsule). Similarly, a strength or dose of 1000 mg may be expressed, in practice, as 1 g, or vice versa. - Trailing or deleting zeros: 10 mg is similar in appearance to 100 mg which may potentiate confusion between a name pair with moderate similarity. - Similar sounding doses: 15 mg is similar in sound to 50 mg	
Step 2	Answer the questions in the checklist below. Affirmative answers to some of these questions suggest that the pattern of orthographic or phonetic differences in the names may reduce the likelihood of confusion for moderately similar names with overlapping or similar strengths or doses.	
	Orthographic Checklist (Y/N to each question) - Do the names begin with different first letters? - Note that even when names begin with different first letters, certain letters may be confused with each other when scripted. - Are the lengths of the names dissimilar* when scripted? *FDA considers the length of names different if the names differ by two or more letters. - Considering variations in scripting of some letters (such as z and f), is	Phonetic Checklist (Y/N to each question) - Do the names have different number of syllables? - Do the names have different syllabic stresses? - Do the syllables have different phonologic processes, such as vowel reduction, assimilation, or deletion? - Across a range of dialects, are the names consistently pronounced differently?

Table 5: Moderately Similar Name Pair Checklist (i.e., combined score is $\geq 55\%$ to $\leq 69\%$).

	there a different number or placement of upstroke/downstroke letters present in the names? • Is there different number or placement of cross-stroke or dotted letters present in the names? • Do the infixes of the name appear dissimilar when scripted? • Do the suffixes of the names appear dissimilar when scripted?	
--	--	--

Table 6. Low Similarity Name Pair Checklist (i.e., combined score is $\leq 54\%$).

Names with low similarity are generally acceptable unless there are data to suggest that the name might be vulnerable to confusion (e.g., prescription simulation study suggests that the name is likely to be misinterpreted as a marketed product). In these instances, we would reassign a low similarity name to the moderate similarity category and review according to the moderately similar name pair checklist.

APPENDIX B. PRESCRIPTION SIMULATION SAMPLES AND RESULTS

Figure 1. Vyloy Study (Conducted on 7/30/2024)

Handwritten Medication Order Prescription	Verbal Prescription
Medication Order: Vyloy (b) (4)	Vyloy (b) (4)
Outpatient Prescription: Patient _____ Date _____ Address _____ R Vyloy (b) (4) MEDWATCH 1-800-FDA-1088 Refill(s): _____ Dr. _____ DEA No. _____ Address _____ Telephone _____	
CPOE Study Sample (displayed as sans-serif, 12-point, bold font)	
Vyloy	

FDA Prescription Simulation Responses (Aggregate Report)					
Study Name: Vyloy					
People Received Study: 192					
People Responded: 81					
Total	18	25	15	21	79
INTERPRETATION	INPATIENT	OUTPATIENT	VOICE	CPOE	TOTAL
THYLOIN	0	0	1	0	1
VILOY	0	0	3	0	3
VYBOY	0	1	0	0	1
VYLOG	4	1	0	0	5
VYLOI	0	0	1	0	1
VYLOY	14	23	11	21	69
ZYLOY	0	0	1	0	1

APPENDIX C. HIGHLY SIMILAR NAMES (e.g., combined POCA score is ≥70%)

No.	Proposed name: Vyloy Pronunciation: vye-LOY Established name: zolbetuximab-clzb Dosage form: for injection Strength(s): 100 mg/vial Dosage: Loading dose of 800 mg/m ² , followed by 600mg/m ² intravenously every three weeks (b) (4) in combination with chemotherapy, followed by 600 mg/m ² intravenously every three weeks until progression	POCA Score (%)	Orthographic and/or phonetic differences in the names sufficient to prevent confusion Other prevention of failure mode expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names.
	N/A		

APPENDIX E. MODERATELY SIMILAR NAMES (e.g., combined POCA score is ≥55% to ≤69%) with overlap or numerical similarity in Strength and/or Dose

No.	Proposed name: Vyloy Pronunciation: vye-LOY Established name: zolbetuximab-clzb Dosage form: for injection Strength(s): 100 mg/vial Dosage: Loading dose of 800 mg/m ² , followed by 600mg/m ² intravenously every three weeks (b) (4) in combination with chemotherapy, followed by 600 mg/m ² intravenously every three weeks until progression	POCA Score (%)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
1.	(b) (4) ***	61	(b) (4)

No.	Proposed name: Vyloy Pronunciation: vye-LOY Established name: zolbetuximab-clzb Dosage form: for injection Strength(s): 100 mg/vial Dosage: Loading dose of 800 mg/m ² , followed by 600mg/m ² intravenously every three weeks (b) (4) in combination with chemotherapy, followed by 600 mg/m ² intravenously every three weeks until progression	POCA Score (%)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
			(b) (4)

No.	Proposed name: Vyloy Pronunciation: vye-LOY Established name: zolbetuximab-clzb Dosage form: for injection Strength(s): 100 mg/vial Dosage: Loading dose of 800 mg/m ² , followed by 600mg/m ² intravenously every three weeks (b) (4) in combination with chemotherapy, followed by 600 mg/m ² intravenously every three weeks until progression	POCA Score (%)	Prevention of Failure Mode In the conditions outlined below, the following combination of factors, are expected to minimize the risk of confusion between these two names
2.	(b) (4) ***	58	(b) (4)

APPENDIX F: LOW SIMILARITY NAMES (e.g., combined POCA score is **≤54%**)

No.	Name	POCA Score (%)
1.	(b) (4) ***	49

APPENDIX G. Names not likely to be confused or not used in usual practice settings for the reasons described.

No.	Name	POCA Score (%)	Failure preventions
1.	(b) (4) ***	59	(b) (4)

APPENDIX H. Names not likely to be confused due to absence of attributes that are known to cause name confusionⁱ.

No.	Name	POCA Score (%)
1.	(b) (4) ***	56
2.	***	55

ⁱ Shah, M, Merchant, L, Chan, I, and Taylor, K. Characteristics That May Help in the Identification of Potentially Confusing Proprietary Drug Names. Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science, September 2016

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically. Following this are manifestations of any and all electronic signatures for this electronic record.

/s/

NGOC - LINH DO
08/09/2024 11:51:48 AM

TINGTING GAO
08/09/2024 12:15:24 PM

CHI-MING TU
08/09/2024 04:53:09 PM

SUFFIX REVIEW FOR NONPROPRIETARY NAME

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis 2 (DMEPA 2)

Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM)

Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE)

Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

***** This document contains proprietary information that cannot be released to the public*****

Date of This Review:	8/6/2024
Responsible OND Division:	Division of Oncology 3 (DO3)
Application Type and Number:	BLA 761365
Product Name and Strength:	Vyloy (zolbetuximab-clzb) for injection 100 mg/vial (b) (4)
Product Type:	Single Ingredient Product
Applicant/Sponsor Name:	Astellas Pharma US, Inc. (Astellas)
Nexus NPNS ID #:	2024-251
DMEPA 2 Biologics Suffix Specialist:	Carlos M Mena-Grillasca, BS Pharm
DMEPA 2 Deputy Director:	Chi-Ming (Alice) Tu, PharmD

1 PURPOSE OF REVIEW

This review is to reassess the proposed suffix, -clzb, for BLA 761365, which was found conditionally acceptable on October 27, 2023^a, for inclusion in the nonproprietary name and communicates our recommendation for the nonproprietary name for BLA 761365.

1.1 Regulatory History

We found the proposed four-letter suffix, -clzb, acceptable for BLA 761365 on October 27, 2023^a. However, BLA 761365 received a Complete Response (CR) letter on January 4, 2024^b. Thus, Astellas submitted a Class 2 Resubmission on May 9, 2024.

2 ASSESSMENT OF THE NONPROPRIETARY NAME

We reassessed the previously proposed four-letter suffix, -clzb, using the principles described in the applicable guidance^c.

We determined that the proposed suffix -clzb, is not too similar to any other products' suffix designation, does not look similar to the names of other currently marketed products, that the suffix is devoid of meaning, does not include any abbreviations that could be misinterpreted, and does not make any misrepresentations with respect to safety or efficacy of this product.

3 COMMUNICATION OF DMEPA 2 ANALYSIS

These findings were shared with OPDP. On August 1, 2024, OPDP did not identify any concerns that would render this suffix unacceptable. DMEPA 2 also communicated our findings to the Division of Oncology 3 (DO3) on August 6, 2024.

^a Mena-Grillasca, C. Nonproprietary Name Suffix Review for zolbetuximab-clzb (BLA 761365). Silver Spring (MD): FDA, CDER, OSE, DMEPA (US); 2023 Oct 27. RCM No.: 2023-190.

^b Kluetz, P.G. Complete Response (BLA 761365). Silver Spring (MD): FDA, CDER, OND, DO3 (US); 2024 Jan 04.

^c Guidance for Industry: Nonproprietary Naming of Biological Products. 2017. Available from:

<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM459987.pdf>

4 CONCLUSION

We find the suffix -clzb acceptable and recommend the nonproprietary name zolbetuximab-clzb be used throughout the draft labels and labeling.

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically. Following this are manifestations of any and all electronic signatures for this electronic record.

/s/

CARLOS M MENA-GRILLASCA
08/06/2024 03:16:31 PM

CHI-MING TU
08/06/2024 08:11:21 PM

SUFFIX REVIEW FOR NONPROPRIETARY NAME

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis 2 (DMEPA 2)
Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM)
Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE)
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

***** This document contains proprietary information that cannot be released to the public*****

Date of This Review:	10/27/2023
Responsible OND Division:	select Division
Application Type and Number:	BLA 761365
Product Name and Strength:	Vyloy (zolbetuximab-clzb) for injection, 100 mg/vial (b) (4)
Product Type:	Single Ingredient Product
Applicant/Sponsor Name:	Astellas Pharma US, Inc. (Astellas)
FDA Received Date:	April 14, 2023
Nexus NPNS ID #:	2023-190
DMAMES Biologics Suffix Specialist:	Carlos M Mena-Grillasca, BS Pharm
DMEPA 2 Deputy Director:	Chi-Ming (Alice) Tu, PharmD

1 PURPOSE OF REVIEW

This review summarizes our evaluation of the four-letter suffixes proposed by Astellas for inclusion in the nonproprietary name and communicates our recommendation for the nonproprietary name for BLA 761365.

2 ASSESSMENT OF THE NONPROPRIETARY NAME

On April 14, 2023, Astellas submitted a list of 10 suffixes, in their order of preference, to be used in the nonproprietary name of their product^a. Astellas also provided findings from their own evaluation of the proposed four-letter suffixes in conjunction with the nonproprietary name, for our consideration.

Table 1 presents a list of suffixes submitted by Astellas:

Table 1. Suffixes submitted by Astellas***	
1.	clzb
2.	(b) (4)
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	

We reviewed Astellas's proposed suffixes in the order of preference listed by Astellas, along with the supporting data they submitted, using the principles described in the applicable guidance.^b

^a Request for Suffix Review BLA 761365. Northbrook (IL): Astellas Pharma US, Inc.; 2023 Apr 14. Available from: <\\CDSESUB1\EVSPROD\bla761365\0001\m1\us\118-proprietary-names\request-for-suffix-review.pdf>

^b Guidance for Industry: Nonproprietary Naming of Biological Products. 2017. Available from: <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM459987.pdf>

2.1 zolbetuximab-clzb

Astellas's first proposed suffix, -clzb, is comprised of 4 distinct letters.

We determined that the proposed suffix -clzb, is not too similar to any other products' suffix designation, does not look similar to the names of other currently marketed products, that the suffix is devoid of meaning, does not include any abbreviations that could be misinterpreted, and does not make any misrepresentations with respect to safety or efficacy of this product.

3 COMMUNICATION OF DMEPA 2 ANALYSIS

These findings were shared with OPDP. On October 26, 2023, OPDP did not identify any concerns that would render this proposed suffix unacceptable. DMEPA 2 also communicated our findings to the select Division on October 27, 2023.

4 CONCLUSION

We find Astellas's proposed suffix -clzb acceptable and recommend the nonproprietary name be revised throughout the draft labels and labeling to zolbetuximab-clzb. DMEPA 2 will communicate our findings to the Applicant via letter.

4.1 Recommendations for Astellas Pharma US, Inc.

We find the nonproprietary name, zolbetuximab-clzb, conditionally acceptable for your proposed product. Should your 351(a) BLA be approved during this review cycle, zolbetuximab-clzb will be the proper name designated in the license. You should revise your proposed labels and labeling accordingly and submit the revised labels and labeling to your BLA for our review. However, please be advised that if your application receives a complete response, the acceptability of your proposed suffix will be re-evaluated when you respond to the deficiencies. If we find your suffix unacceptable upon our re-evaluation, we will inform you of our findings.

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically. Following this are manifestations of any and all electronic signatures for this electronic record.

/s/

CARLOS M MENA-GRILLASCA
10/27/2023 08:07:15 AM

CHI-MING TU
10/27/2023 08:50:18 AM

PROPRIETARY NAME MEMORANDUM

Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis 2 (DMEPA 2)
Office of Medication Error Prevention and Risk Management (OMEPRM)
Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology (OSE)
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

***** This document contains proprietary information that cannot be released to the public*****

Date of This Review:	June 26, 2023
Application Type and Number:	BLA 761365
Product Name and Strength:	Vyloy (zolbetuximab), Injection, 100 mg/vial
Product Type:	Single Ingredient Product
Rx or OTC:	Prescription (Rx)
Applicant/Sponsor Name:	Astellas Pharma US, Inc. (Astellas)
PNR ID #:	2023-1044725099
DMEPA 2 Safety Evaluator:	Ngoc-Linh Do, PharmD
DMEPA 2 Team Leader:	Ashleigh Lowery, PharmD
DMEPA 2 Deputy Director:	Chi-Ming (Alice) Tu, PharmD, BCPS

1 INTRODUCTION

This memorandum is to reassess the proposed proprietary name, Vyloy, which was found conditionally acceptable under IND 129598 on March 16, 2023.^a

Thus, Astellas submitted the name, Vyloy, under BLA 761365 for re-review on April 14, 2023. We note that there is a change in strength from 100 mg/vial (b) (4) to only 100 mg/vial. All other product characteristics remain the same.

2 METHODS AND DISCUSSION

2.1 MISBRANDING ASSESSMENT

The Office of Prescription Drug Promotion (OPDP) determined that Vyloy would not misbrand the proposed product. The Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis 2 (DMEPA 2) concurred with the findings of OPDP's assessment for Vyloy. The Division of Oncology 3 (DO3) did not comment on the findings of OPDP's assessment for Vyloy.

2.2 SAFETY ASSESSMENT

For re-assessment of the proposed proprietary name, we evaluated the previously identified names of concern considering any lessons learned from recent post-marketing experience, which may have altered our previous conclusion regarding the acceptability of the proposed proprietary name. We also evaluated previously identified names taking into account the change in the strength from 100 mg/vial (b) (4) to a single strength product (100 mg/vial). Our evaluation has not altered our previous conclusion regarding the acceptability of the proposed proprietary name, Vyloy. Additionally, we searched the USAN stem list to determine if the proposed proprietary name contains any USAN stems as of the last USAN updates. The April 18, 2023 search of USAN stems did not find any USAN stems in the proposed proprietary name, Vyloy.

2.3 COMMUNICATION OF DMEPA'S DETERMINATION

On June 26, 2023, we communicated our determination to the Division of Oncology 3 (DO3).

3 CONCLUSION

Our re-assessment did not identify any names that represent a potential source of drug name confusion. Therefore, we maintain that the proposed proprietary name, Vyloy, is conditionally acceptable.

If you have any questions or need clarifications, please contact Latonia Ford, OSE project manager, at 301-796-4901.

3.1 COMMENTS TO ASTELLAS PHARMA US, INC.

We have completed our review of the proposed proprietary name, Vyloy, and have concluded that this name is conditionally acceptable.

^a Do, N. Proprietary Name Review for Vyloy (IND 129598). Silver Spring (MD): FDA, CDER, OSE, DMEPA 2 (US); 2023 MAR 16. PNR ID No. 2022-1044724495.

If any of the proposed product characteristics as stated in your submission, received on April 14, 2023, are altered prior to approval of the marketing application, the name must be resubmitted for review.

4 REFERENCE

1. **USAN Stems** (<https://www.ama-assn.org/about/united-states-adopted-names-approved-stems>)

USAN Stems List contains all the recognized USAN stems.

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically. Following this are manifestations of any and all electronic signatures for this electronic record.

/s/

NGOC - LINH DO
06/26/2023 10:38:54 AM

ASHLEIGH V LOWERY
06/26/2023 11:18:22 AM

CHI-MING TU
06/26/2023 07:10:36 PM