

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND
RESEARCH**

APPLICATION NUMBER:

220482Orig1s000

PRODUCT QUALITY REVIEW(S)

Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	3
Drug Substance.....	7
Drug Product.....	74
Environmental.....	117
Labeling.....	119
Process/Manufacturing.....	139
Biopharmaceutics.....	N/A
Microbiology.....	201



Title:	NDA IQA Template Title Page- EXEC SUMMARY		
Document ID:	OPQ-TEM-0016		
Effective Date:	26 Sep 2023	Revision:	00
Total Pages:	4		



Template Revision: 03

Office of Pharmaceutical Quality

New Drug Application (NDA) Integrated Quality Assessment Template

NDA Executive Summary

1. Application/Product Information

NDA Number	220482		
Applicant Name	Haisco-USA Pharmaceuticals, Inc		
Drug Product Name	cipepofol		
Dosage Form	Injection		
Proposed Strength(s)	2.5 mg/mL		
NDA Classification	Type 1 - NME		
Route of Administration	Intravenous		
Maximum Daily Dose	36 mg		
Rx/OTC Dispensed	Rx		
Proposed Indication	Induction of general anesthesia in adults undergoing surgery		
Drug Product Description	White to off-white emulsion		
Co-packaged product information	N/A		
Device information	N/A		
Storage Temperature/ Conditions	2 to 25 °C		
Review Team	Discipline	Primary	Secondary
	<i>Drug Substance</i>	Zhixing Shan	Donna Christner
	<i>Drug Product/ Labeling</i>	Grace Chiou	Valerie Amspacher/ Julia Pinto
	<i>Manufacturing</i>	Miral Patel	Shu-Wei Yang
	<i>Biopharmaceutics</i>	N/A	N/A
	<i>Microbiology</i>	Koushik Paul	Jesse Wells

	<i>Other (specify)</i>	N/A	N/A
	<i>RBPM</i>	Teicher Agosto	
	<i>ATL</i>	Valerie Amspacher	
Consults	N/A		

2. Final Overall Recommendation - Approval

3. Action Letter Information

a. Expiration Dating: Based on the data provided, the proposed shelf life of 36 months is granted when stored at 2 to 25 °C.

b. Additional Comments for Action

4. Basis for Recommendation:

a. Summary of Rationale for Recommendation:

The CMC recommendation is approval for this NDA based on reviews by drug substance, drug product, process/facilities and microbiology.

b. Is the overall recommendation in agreement with the individual discipline recommendations? Yes

Recommendation by Subdiscipline:

Drug Substance	-	Adequate
Drug Product	-	Adequate
Quality Labeling	-	Adequate
Manufacturing	-	Adequate
Biopharmaceutics	-	N/A
Microbiology	-	Adequate

Environmental Assessment: Review & EI Statement - Adequate

QPA for EA(s): No

5. Life-Cycle Considerations

Established Conditions per ICH Q12: No

Comments:

Comparability Protocols (PACMP): No

Comments:

Additional Lifecycle Comments:

Submission(s) Assessed	Document Date	Discipline(s) Affected
Supporting document 1 (eCTD 0001)	30 May 2025	All, original submission
Supporting document 6 (eCTD 0006)	27 Aug 2025	Process
Supporting document 10 (eCTD 0010)	12 Sep 2025	Microbiology
Supporting document 14 (eCTD 0014)	8 Oct 2025	Drug product
Supporting document 22 (eCTD 0022)	24 Nov 2025	Process
Supporting document 24 (eCTD 0024)	17 Dec 2025	Drug product



Valerie
Amspacher

Digitally signed by Valerie Amspacher

Date: 3/26/2026 10:17:25AM

GUID: 5714dbd10078d2d3d9b60a0ceb819fc3

118 Page(s) has been Withheld in Full as b4 (CCI/TS) immediately following
this page



Title:	NDA IQA Template CHAPTER IV-LABELING		
Document ID:	OPQ-ALL-TEM-0045		
Effective Date:	18 Sep 2023	Revision:	00
Total Pages:	19		



Template Revision: 03

CHAPTER IV: LABELING

For more details about the items in this template, please see [Chapter IV \(Labeling\) of the NDA IQA Guide \(OPQ-ALL-WI-0006\)](#)

NDA Number	220482
Assessment Cycle Number	<u>1</u>
Drug Product Name	Cypsedo (cipepofol injectable emulsion)

Assessment Recommendation: Choose an item.

Item	Assessment Conclusion	SDN # where labeling is adequate ("N/A" otherwise)
Prescribing Information Labeling	Choose an item.	0001
Patient Information	Choose an item.	NA
Instruction for Use (IFU)	Choose an item.	NA
Container Labels	Choose an item.	0001
Carton Labeling	Choose an item.	0001

Brief Description of Outstanding Issues:

Submissions being reviewed:

Document Reviewed (eCTD #, SDN #)	Date Received	Information Provided
0001	05/30/2025	Prescribing Information, Carton/Container labels
0029	02/26/2026	Carton/Container labels

1.0 PRESCRIBING INFORMATION¹

Assessment of Product Quality Related Aspects of the Prescribing Information:

¹ [Labeling Review Tool \(LRT\) \(March 2022\)](#), including use of consistent terminology for dosage form and unit of measure for strength in the product title and DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS heading in Highlights, in the DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION, DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS, DESCRIPTION, and HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING sections (see page 2 of LRT)

(b) (4)

Item	Item in Proposed Labeling (choose “Adequate”, “Inadequate”, or “N/A”)	Assessor’s Comments (If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
Product Title in Highlights ² [21 CFR 201.57(a)(2)]		
Established name(s) ³	Adequate	Adequate
Route(s) of administration	Adequate	
Controlled drug substance symbol (if applicable)	N/A	
Initial U.S. Approval [§201.57(a)(3)]	Adequate	
Dosage Forms and Strengths Heading in Highlights [§ 201.57(a)(8)]		

² Draft guidance: [Product Title and Initial U.S. Approval in the Highlights of Prescribing Information for Human Prescription Drug and Biological Products — Content and Format \(January 2018\)](#)

³ Established name = [Drug] [Route of Administration] [Dosage Form]. Do use not “USP” descriptor in the product title or within the Highlights (see page 3 of LRT).

Item	Item in Proposed Labeling (choose "Adequate", "Inadequate", or "N/A")	Assessor's Comments (If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
Dosage form(s) ⁴ and strength(s) in metric system ⁵	Adequate	Adequate
If the drug product contains an active ingredient that is a salt, clearly state whether the strength is based on the active moiety (e.g., Tablets: 10 mg of drug-x) or active ingredient (e.g., Tablets: 10 mg of drug-x hydrochloride). ⁶	N/A	Not salt
Assess if the tablet is scored. If product meets guidelines and criteria for a scored tablet, state "functionally scored." ⁷	N/A	N/A
For injectable drug products for parenteral administration, use appropriate package type term (e.g., single-dose, multiple-dose, single-patient-use). Other package terms include pharmacy bulk package and imaging bulk package. ⁸	Inadequate	The Applicant intends to market the product as "single-patient-use." "Single-patient-use" should be revised to "single-dose."

Assessment: Adequate

⁴ Draft guidance: [Product Title and Initial U.S. Approval in the Highlights of Prescribing Information for Human Prescription Drug and Biological Products — Content and Format](#) (January 2018); USP <1151>; USP Nomenclature Guideline

⁵ [Labeling Review Tool](#) (March 2022, page 13), include limited packaging information; USP <7>

⁶ Guidance: [Naming of Drug Products Containing Salt Drug Substances](#) (June 2015); MAPP 5021.1

⁷ Guidance: [Tablet Scoring: Nomenclature, Labeling, and Data for Evaluation](#) (March 2013)

⁸ Guidance: [Selection of the Appropriate Package Type Terms and Recommendations for Labeling Injectable Medical Products Packaged in Multiple-Dose, Single-Dose, and Single-Patient-Use Containers for Human Use](#) (October 2018); USP <659>

1.2 FULL PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

1.2.1 Section 2 (DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION)⁹

(b) (4)

Item	Item in Proposed Labeling (choose "Adequate", "Inadequate", or "N/A")	Assessor's Comments (If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION section		
Special instructions for product preparation (e.g., reconstitution and resulting concentration, dilution, compatible diluents and/or soft food ¹⁰ , storage conditions needed to maintain the stability of the reconstituted or diluted product).	Adequate	The Applicant states it should not be diluted.
Important administration instructions supported by product quality information (e.g., do not crush or chew extended-release tablets, instructions for mixing with food).	N/A	N/A

⁹ See § 201.57(c)(3); draft guidance: [Dosage and Administration Section of Labeling for Human Prescription Drug and Biological Products — Content and Format](#) (January 2023); Labeling Review Tool (March 2022, page 25)

¹⁰ Draft Guidance: [Use of Liquids and/or Soft Foods as Vehicles for Drug Administration: General Considerations for Selection and In Vitro Methods for Product Quality Assessments](#)

Item	Item in Proposed Labeling (choose "Adequate", "Inadequate", or "N/A")	Assessor's Comments (If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
For parenteral products: include statement: <i>"Parenteral drug products should be inspected visually for particulate matter and discoloration prior to administration, whenever solution and container permit."</i> ¹¹	Adequate	Statement present
If there is a USP monograph for the drug product and it contains a labeling requirement, ensure the labeling requirement is fulfilled. ¹² Note the labeling requirement may be applicable to another section of the PI (e.g., Section 11).	N/A	No USP monograph.
For radioactive products, include radiation dosimetry for the patient and healthcare practitioner(s) who administer the drug	N/A	N/A
For hazardous products, include the statement <i>"DRUG X is a hazardous drug. Follow applicable special handling and disposal procedures."</i> ^x with x numerical citation to "OSHA Hazardous Drugs."	N/A	N/A

Assessment: Adequate

1.2.2 Section 3 (DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS)¹³

(b) (4)

¹¹ §201.57(c)(3)(iv)

¹² USP General Notices 2.30 Legal Recognition

¹³ See § 201.57(c)(4); [Labeling Review Tool \(March 2022, page 29\)](#)

Item	Item in Proposed Labeling (choose "Adequate", "Inadequate", or "N/A")	Assessor's Comments (If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS section		
Available dosage form(s)	Adequate	Adequate
Strength(s) in metric system	Adequate	
If the active ingredient is a salt, apply the USP Salt Policy per FDA Guidance . Clearly state whether the strength is based on the active moiety (e.g., Tablets: 10 mg of drug-x) or active ingredient (e.g., Tablets: 10 mg of drug-x hydrochloride). No equivalency statement.	N/A	N/A
A description of the identifying characteristics of the dosage forms, including shape, color, coating, scoring, imprinting, and color and clarity of the solution, when applicable.	Inadequate	Revise to include identifying characteristic. (e.g., "white or off-white emulsion")
Assess if the tablet is scored. If product meets guidelines and criteria for a scored tablet, state "functionally scored."	N/A	N/A
For injectable drug products for parenteral administration, use appropriate package type term (e.g., single-dose, multiple-dose, single-patient-use). Other package type terms include pharmacy bulk package and imaging bulk package (see USP <659>).	Inadequate	"Single-patient-use" should be revised to "single-dose."

Assessment: Adequate

Adequate, pending revisions highlighted in red font.

1.2.3 Section 11 (DESCRIPTION)¹⁴

(b) (4)

Item	Item in Proposed Labeling (choose “Adequate”, “Inadequate”, or “N/A”)	Assessor’s Comments (If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
DESCRIPTION section		
Proprietary and established name(s) ¹⁵ [§ 201.57(c)(12)(i)(A)].	Inadequate	Revise to “CYPSEDO (cipepofol injectable emulsion)” as only proprietary name is included and dosage form is missing.
Dosage form(s) and route(s) of administration [§ 201.57(c)(12)(i)(B)].	Inadequate	
If the active ingredient is a salt, apply the USP Salt Policy and include the equivalency statement per Salt Guidance and MAPP . For example: “TRADENAME contains 100 mg of drug-x (equivalent to 123.7 mg of drug-x hydrochloride)” [§ 201.57(c)(12)(i)(C)].	N/A	N/A
List inactive ingredients (not required for oral use, except for colorant) by the USP/NF names	Inadequate	Revise to include list of inactive ingredients in alphabetical order and include quantities.

¹⁴ See § 201.57(c)(12); [Labeling Review Tool \(March 2022, page 56\)](#)

¹⁵ Use of “USP” descriptor is not required to be included next to the established name throughout Prescribing Information (PI) labeling. If an applicant wants to use the “USP” descriptor next to the established name in the PI, recommend limiting its use to the product quality sections of the Full Prescribing Information (FPI) (i.e., DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS, DESCRIPTION, HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING) (see page 3 of LRT).

Item	Item in Proposed Labeling (choose “Adequate”, “Inadequate”, or “N/A”)	Assessor’s Comments (If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
in alphabetical order. ¹⁶ Avoid brand names. [§ 201.57(c)(12)(i)(C)].		
For parenteral injectable dosage forms, include the name and quantities of all inactive ingredients. For ingredients added to adjust the pH or make isotonic, include the name and statement of effect. [§ 201.100(b)(5)(iii)].	Inadequate	
If alcohol is present, must provide the amount of alcohol in terms of percent volume of absolute alcohol at 60 °F. (15.56 °C) [§ 201.10(d)(2)].	N/A	N/A
Sterility statement (if applicable) [§ 201.57(c)(12)(i)(D)].	Adequate	Adequate
Pharmacological/Therapeutic class ¹⁷ [§ 201.57(c)(12)(i)(E)].	Adequate	Adequate
Chemical name ¹⁸ , structural formula, molecular weight [§ 201.57(c)(12)(i)(F)].	Adequate	Revise to include chemical name. Structural formula and molecular weight are correct.
If radioactive, statement of important nuclear characteristics [§ 201.57(c)(12)(i)(G)].	N/A	N/A
Other important chemical or physical properties (such as pKa or pH) [201.57(c)(12)(ii)].	Inadequate	Revise to include physical properties (i.e., solubility, pKa, etc.)

¹⁶ Per § 201.100(b)(5)(i) and (ii), flavoring and colorants may be designated as such without naming their components except for FD&C Yellow No 5 and FD&C Yellow No 6, which must be listed per § 201.20. Per § 201.100(b)(5)(iii), trace amounts of harmless substances added solely for individual product identification need not be named. If an applicant wants to use the National Formulary (NF) descriptor next to excipients, recommend limiting its use to the product quality sections of the FPI (see page 3 of LRT). Do not list brand names, e.g., Opadry, Eudragit, Polistirex, etc.

¹⁷ Listed before “indicated for” in INDICATIONS AND USAGE of Highlights section [§ 201.57(a)(6)]; can also search the term “FDA EPC Text Phrases” in [FDA’s Labeling Resources for Human Prescription Drugs](#) for the most recent EPC list.

¹⁸ Chemical names do not need to be capitalized unless it appears at the beginning of a sentence (see *Preferred IUPAC Names Provisional Recommendation*, September 2004; Chapter 1, par. 16 Name writing, p.80-90).

Item	Item in Proposed Labeling (choose "Adequate", "Inadequate", or "N/A")	Assessor's Comments (If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
For oral prescription drug products, include gluten statement ¹⁹ (if applicable).	N/A	N/A
Remove statements that may be misleading or promotional (e.g., "synthesized and developed by Drug Company X," "structurally unique molecular entity").	N/A	
If there is a USP monograph for the drug product and it contains a labeling requirement, ensure the labeling requirement is fulfilled. Note the labeling requirement may be applicable to another section of the PI (e.g., Section 2).	N/A	

Assessment: *Adequate*

Revise to include all pending revisions in red.

1.2.4 Section 16 (HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING)²⁰

16 HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING

(b) (4)

¹⁹ Draft guidance: [Gluten in Drug Products and Associated Labeling Recommendations \(December 2017\)](#)

²⁰ See § 201.57(c)(17); [Labeling Review Tool \(March 2022, page 70\)](#). Consider including proprietary name and established name.

Item	Item in Proposed Labeling (choose “Adequate”, “Inadequate”, or “N/A”)	Assessor’s Comments (If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING section		
Available dosage form(s) [§ 201.57(c)(17)].	Inadequate	Revise to include nonproprietary name and dosage form.
Strength(s) in metric system. [§ 201.57(c)(17)(i)] If the active ingredient is a salt, apply the USP Salt Policy per FDA Guidance . Clearly state whether the strength is based on the active moiety. No equivalency statement.	Adequate	
Available units (e.g., bottles of 100 tablets) [§ 201.57(c)(17)(ii)].	Adequate	
Identification of dosage forms (e.g., shape, color, coating, scoring, imprinting, and color and clarity of the solution, when applicable); Include NDC(s) [§ 201.57(c)(17)(iii)].	Choose an item.	Revise to include NDC and identification of dosage form.
Assess if the tablet is scored. If product meets guidelines and criteria for a scored tablet, state “functionally scored.”	N/A	
For injectable drug products for parenteral administration, use appropriate package type term (e.g., single-dose, multiple-dose, single-patient-use). Other package terms include pharmacy bulk package and imaging bulk package (see USP <659>).	Inadequate	Revise to “single-dose” per previous comment.

Item	Item in Proposed Labeling (choose "Adequate", "Inadequate", or "N/A")	Assessor's Comments (If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
Special handling about the supplied product (e.g., protect from light, refrigerate). If there is a statement to "Dispense in original container," provide reason why (e.g., to protect from light or moisture, to maintain stability, etc.). For hazardous drugs, state "DRUG X is a hazardous drug. Follow applicable special handling and disposal procedures. ^x " with x numerical citation to "OSHA Hazardous Drugs." [§ 201.57(c)(17)(iv)]	Adequate	Adequate
Storage conditions. Where applicable, use USP storage range rather than storage at a single temperature. (see USP <659>).	Adequate	Adequate
Latex: If product does not contain latex and manufacturing of product and container did not include use of natural rubber latex or synthetic derivatives of natural rubber latex, state: " <i>Not made with natural rubber latex. Avoid statements such as "latex-free."</i> " ²¹	N/A	N/A
Include information about child-resistant packaging ²² (if chosen by manufacturer).	N/A	

Assessment: Adequate

Adequate, pending revisions highlighted in red.

²¹ Guidance: [Recommendations for Labeling Medical Products to Inform Users that the Product or Product Container is not Made with Natural Rubber Latex](#) (December 2014)

²² Guidance: [Child-Resistant Packaging Statements in Drug Product Labeling](#) (August 2019)

1.2.5 Other Sections of Labeling

There may be other sections of labeling that contain product-quality related information. For example, there are specific required/recommended warnings for certain inactive ingredients [e.g., aspartame, aluminum in large and small volume parenterals, sulfites, FD&C Yellow Number 5 (tartrazine), and benzyl alcohol]. Please notify the prescription drug review division if the product contains any of these inactive ingredients.

Please include your comments about other sections of labeling if they contain product quality information.

Manufactured for:

Haisco-USA Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

1200 US Highway 22E

Suite 2000

Bridgewater, New Jersey 08807

Made in Italy

1.2.6 Manufacturing Information After Section 17 (for drug products)²³

Item	Item in Proposed Labeling (choose "Adequate" or "Inadequate")	Assessor's Comments (If an item is Inadequate, provide more details on the issues, as appropriate)
Manufacturing Information After Section 17		
Name and location of business (street address, city, state, and zip code) of the manufacturer, distributor, and/or packer.	Adequate	Adequate

Assessment: Adequate

3.0 CONTAINER AND CARTON LABELING²⁴

3 Page(s) of Draft Labeling have been Withheld in Full as b4 (CCI/TS) immediately following this page

²³ § 201.1(h)(5) and 201.1(i); [Labeling Review Tool \(March 2022, page 74\)](#)

²⁴ [Carton and Container Labeling Resources](#)

Item	Item in Proposed Carton Labeling (choose “Adequate”, “Inadequate”, or “N/A”)	Is item in Container Labels same as that of Carton Labeling?	Assessor’s Comments about Container Labels and Carton Labeling (If an item is Inadequate or different, provide more details, as appropriate)
Proprietary name and established name ²⁶ , (font size and prominence) [§ 201.10(g)(2)].	Adequate	Yes	N/A
Strength(s) in metric system [§ 201.100(b)(4) & 201.100(d)]. ²⁷	Adequate	Yes	
Route(s) of administration, not required for oral use [§ 201.100(b)(3)].	Adequate	Yes	
If the active ingredient is a salt, include the equivalency statement per Salt Guidance and MAPP [§ 201.10(d)(1) & 201.100(b)(4), USP <1121>].	N/A	Yes	
Net contents (e.g., tablet count, volume of liquid) [§ 201.51(a)]. ²⁸	Inadequate	Yes	Revise “Each vial contains: CYPSEDO 50 mg/20 mL” to “Each vial contains: 50 mg of cipepofol (2.5 mg/mL).”
“Rx only” displayed on the principal display [§ 201.100(b)(1)].	Adequate	Yes	N/A
NDC (requested, but not required for all labels or labeling) [§ 201.2 & 207.35].	Adequate	Yes	
Lot number and expiration date [§ 201.18 & 201.17].	Inadequate	Yes	Revise to “YYYY-MMM” instead of “MMM-YYYY” per FDA Guidance “Safety Considerations for Container Labels and Carton Labeling Design to

²⁶ Established name = [Drug] [Route of Administration] [Dosage Form]

²⁷ Express as “XX mg per tablet” or “XX mg per capsule” for strength of professional samples of solid oral dosage form with small net quantities per container (e.g., 5 or less) or blister pack/carton. See Guidance: [Safety Considerations for Container Labels and Carton Labeling Design to Minimize Medication Errors \(May 2022\)](#)

²⁸ § 201.51(h): A drug shall be exempt from compliance with the net quantity declaration required by this section if it is an ointment labeled “sample”, “physician’s sample”, or a substantially similar statement and the contents of the package do not exceed 8 grams.

Item	Item in Proposed Carton Labeling (choose “Adequate”, “Inadequate”, or “N/A”)	Is item in Container Labels same as that of Carton Labeling?	Assessor’s Comments about Container Labels and Carton Labeling (If an item is Inadequate or different, provide more details, as appropriate)
			Minimize Medication Errors”
Storage conditions. If applicable, include a space on the carton labeling for the user to write the beyond-use-date (BUD).	Adequate	Yes	Says “Store between 2°C to 25 °C (35.6 °F to 77 °F).
For injectable drug products for parenteral administration, use appropriate package type term (e.g., single-dose, multiple-dose, single-patient-use). Other package terms include pharmacy bulk package and imaging bulk package, and these products require a “Not for direct infusion” statement. (See USP <659>).	Inadequate	Yes	Revise to “single-dose”
Name of all inactive ingredients, in alphabetical order [§ 201.10(a)] [except for oral drug per § 201.100(b)(5) or limited space per § 201.10(i)(2)].	Inadequate	Yes	Revise to put in alphabetical order
For parenteral injectable dosage forms, include quantities of all inactive ingredients. For ingredients added to adjust the pH or make isotonic, include the name and statement of effect. [§ 201.100(b)(5)(iii)].	Adequate	No	Inactive ingredients only listed on carton, not on container. This is acceptable because there is no room on the vial label.
If alcohol is present, must provide the amount of alcohol in terms of percent volume of absolute alcohol at 60 °F. (15.56 °C) [§ 201.10(d)(2)].	N/A	Yes	

Item	Item in Proposed Carton Labeling (choose "Adequate", "Inadequate", or "N/A")	Is item in Container Labels same as that of Carton Labeling?	Assessor's Comments about Container Labels and Carton Labeling (If an item is Inadequate or different, provide more details, as appropriate)
Linear Bar code [§ 201.25(c)(2)]. ²⁹	Adequate	Yes	
Adequate directions for use: "Recommended Dosage: See Prescribing Information" [§ 201.5 & 201.55].	Adequate	Yes	
Name of manufacturer/distributor /packer [§ 201.1(a), 201.1(h)(5)].	Adequate	Yes	
"Keep out of reach of children" statement, optional for Rx, required for OTC [§ 201.66(c)(5)(x)].	N/A	Yes	There is no statement.
If there is a Medication Guide, must include a statement about dispensing a Medication Guide to each patient.	N/A	Yes	No medication guide.
No text on Ferrule and Cap overseal of a vial of injectable products unless a cautionary statement is required. (USP <7>).	N/A	Yes	N/A
If there is a USP monograph for the drug product and it contains a labeling requirement, ensure the labeling requirement is fulfilled.	N/A	Yes	No USP monograph.
When a drug product differs from the relevant USP standard of strength, quality, or purity, as determined by the application of the tests, procedures, and acceptance	N/A	Yes	

²⁹ See § 201.25(b)(1)(i) for a list where bar code is not required, e.g., prescription drug samples, medical gases, radiopharmaceuticals, etc.

Item	Item in Proposed Carton Labeling (choose "Adequate", "Inadequate", or "N/A")	Is item in Container Labels same as that of Carton Labeling?	Assessor's Comments about Container Labels and Carton Labeling (If an item is Inadequate or different, provide more details, as appropriate)
criteria set forth in the relevant compendium, its difference shall be plainly stated on its label. ³⁰			
And others if space is available.	N/A	Yes	N/A

Assessment of Carton Labels and Container Labeling: *Adequate*
Adequate, pending revisions in red.

4. OUTSTANDING ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

None

Primary Labeling Assessor Name and Date: Grace Chiou, Ph.D.

Secondary Assessor Name and Date (and Secondary Summary, as needed): Julia Pinto, Ph.D.

³⁰ USP General Notices 3.20 Indicating Conformance



Grace
Chiou

Digitally signed by Grace Chiou
Date: 3/06/2026 10:35:18AM
GUID: 5c5df155002b1863abe42e6c00c2780f



Julia
Pinto

Digitally signed by Julia Pinto
Date: 3/06/2026 10:56:20AM
GUID: 5050dbcb00001294a888a4bdc20a3a58

62 Page(s) has been Withheld in Full as b4 (CCI/TS) immediately following this page

CHAPTER VII: MICROBIOLOGY

[IQA ANDA Assessment Guide Reference](#)

Product Information	Induction of general anesthesia in adults undergoing surgery.
NDA Number	220482
Assessment Cycle Number	MR01
Drug Product Name / Strength	Cipropofol (50 mg per 20 mL); single dose.
Route of Administration	Intravenous.
Applicant Name	Haisco-USA Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Manufacturing Site	(b) (4)
Method of Sterilization	(b) (4)

Assessment Recommendation: Adequate

Theme:

☐ N/A	☐ Depyrogenation Validation Data
☒ Product Sterility Assurance	☐ Product Release and/or Stability Specifications
☐ Media Fill Data	☐ Validation for Product Release and/or Stability Test Method
☐ Validation of Product Test	☐ Other (Requires Division Director Approval)
☐ Due to Consult	

Justification: view justification statements found at: **Justification Statements**

N/A
Other (Requires Division Director Approval) – Assessor writes-in justification here if “other” selected as theme.

Assessment Summary: (b) (4)

List Submissions Being Assessed (table):

Document(s) Assessed	Date Received
eCTD Seq #0001	05/30/2025
eCTD Seq #0010	09/12/2025

Highlight Key Issues from Last Cycle and Their Resolution: N/A

Remarks: The submission is **recommended** for approval on the basis of sterility assurance.

Concise Description of Outstanding Issues: None.

Supporting Documents: A211574MR01.doc, dated 06/27/2019, A 212857MR01.doc, dated 12/11/2019 and N213407MR01_3.25.20.docx, dated 3/25/2020 and D10953M64R01.docx, dated 08/08/2024.

Select Number of Approved Comparability Protocols: 0

S Drug Substance- Not Applicable.

P.1 Description of the Composition of the Drug Product

- **Description of the Composition of the Drug Product**
(*description-and-composition.pdf and container-closure-system-1.pdf*)

The Cipepofol injectable emulsion drug product (DP) is presented as a small volume injection at 2.5 mg/mL, with a 20 mL fill volume in each 20mL vial. The DP is non-preserved and administered via intravenous (IV) route. The overall formulations used for the drug product are summarized below:

Table 1: Composition of Cipepofol Injectable Emulsion

Formulation Components	Quantity (per 20 mL Fill Volume)	Concentration % (w/v)	Function	Quality Grade
Cipepofol ¹	50 mg	0.25 (2.5 mg/mL)	Active pharmaceutical ingredient	In-house
Soybean oil ²	1000 mg	5	(b) (4)	USP
Medium-chain triglycerides	1000 mg	5		NF
Egg phospholipids ³	240 mg	1.2		NF
Glycerin ⁴	450 mg	2.25		USP
Sodium oleate	6 mg	0.03		In-house
Edetate disodium ⁵	1.1 mg	0.0055 ⁶		USP
(b) (4)				NF
Water for injection	q.s. to 20 mL	q.s. to target DS concentration		USP
(b) (4)				NF

DS = drug substance; NF = National Formulary; q.s.= quantity sufficient; USP = United States Pharmacopeia; w/v = weight/volume

¹Also known as HSK3486, HPF, or ciprofol (formerly approved drug name in China).

(b) (4)

- **Description of container closure system –**
The following container-closure systems are used for the production:

Table 1: Primary Packaging Components for Cipepofol DP

(b) (4)

Reviewer's Assessment: Based on the above information the reviewer has concluded that applicant has provided adequate description of the drug product composition and the container closure system designed to maintain product sterility.

Acceptable

P.2 Pharmaceutical Development

(b) (4)

Acceptable**P.7 Container Closure**

Reviewer's Assessment: Please refer to Section P.1 above.

P.8 Stability**P. 8.1 Stability Summary and Conclusion**

(postapproval-stability.pdf)

Stability Protocol:

Stability Test*	Initial	3M	6M	12M	24M	36M	48M
Bacterial Endotoxin	X	-	-	X	X	X	X
Sterility	X	-	-	-	-	-	-
CCIT	X	-	-	X	X	X	X

*: The above table captures stability schedule at 25°C ± (b) (4) C/60% RH ± 5% RH (long-term) storage condition.

Proposed Expiry: Appears to be (b) (4) months.

Reviewer's Assessment: Based on the above information the reviewer concluded that the applicant has provided satisfactory stability protocol information to support the (b) (4) manufacturing process for the drug product.

Acceptable**P. 8.2 Post-Approval Stability Protocol and Stability Commitment****Post Approval Stability Commitment:**

The applicant commits to placing the first three full-scale commercial lots of the subject drug product into their long-term stability program. Thereafter on an annual basis, one production lot will be added to the ongoing stability program. The updated stability data will be shared with the agency in annual reports.

Reviewer's Assessment: The applicant confirms the sensitivity of the CCIT via IR.

Acceptable**P.8.3 Stability Data**

[stability-data.pdf]

Stability testing have been performed for three batches [V002B20, V004B20, and V005B20]. At least 36 months of data were provided at $25^{\circ}\text{C} \pm \text{(b) (4)}^{\circ}\text{C}/60\% \text{ RH} \pm 5\% \text{ RH}$ (long-term storage condition) for bacterial endotoxin and sterility and CCIT. Note that even though the CCIT appears to be performed *in lieu of sterility* test on stability, sterility test appears to be performed in the inverted position as well. The results from long-term stability were reviewed, and all the results met the minimum acceptance criteria for bacterial endotoxin and sterility and CCIT.

Reviewer's Assessment: The applicant provided adequate stability data to support the (b) (4) manufacturing process for the drug product. Note that the post approval stability protocol does not include sterility testing. Sterility testing only stated to be performed at the release and the CCIT is scheduled to be performed *in lieu of sterility* test on stability at the initial, 12-, 24-, 36- and 48-months' time point.

Acceptable

A Appendices

A.2 Adventitious Agents Safety Evaluation

Reviewer's Assessment: Not applicable

A.2.1 Materials of Biological Origin

Reviewer's Assessment: Not applicable

A.2.2 Testing at Appropriate Stages of Production

Reviewer's Assessment: Not applicable

A.2.3. Viral Testing of Unprocessed Bulk

Reviewer's Assessment: Not applicable

A. 2.4 Viral Clearance Studies

Reviewer's Assessment: Not applicable

R Regional Information

Executed Batch Records

The batch records confirm that validated (b) (4) manufacturing processes were used for the manufacture of all three batches. Batch records also comply with minimum bacterial endotoxin and sterility and CCIT specifications.

Reviewer's Assessment: Based on the information provided the reviewer has concluded that the applicant has provided adequate executed batch record data to support the (b) (4) manufacturing process for the drug product.

Acceptable

Comparability Protocols

Reviewer's Assessment: Not provided for this application.

2. REVIEW OF COMMON TECHNICAL DOCUMENT – QUALITY (CTD-Q) MODULE 1

2. A. Package Insert

Storage temperature: CYPSEDO vials are stored at 2-25°C (35.6-77°F). Do not freeze. Shake well before use.

Dilution: This product should be given as an undiluted intravenous injection.

In-use period and supporting hold-time study: Based on the information provided in the 2.3.P drug-product-cipepofol (b) (4).pdf, page 25/56; an *in-use period* for up to 12 hours is proposed during the dose administration. The proposed DP is administered directly through the drug delivery system without dilution. The drug delivery components for administration include syringe, needle, syringe cap (optional), extension set (optional, a tubing that connects delivery components to facilitate the dose administration), 3-way stopcock (optional), and IV catheter (see the Table 9 for details). Hence to simulate the clinical use and support the proposed 12 hours *in-use period*, microbial proliferation effect has been evaluated.

The proposed DP three separate batches (R001B22A, V002B20A and V005B20A) were inoculated with USP <51> compendial organism and incubated at 30-35°C protected from light. The final concentration of the inoculum size in the test product was $\geq 10^5$ CFU/mL. Microbial enumeration (*S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli*, *C. albicans*, and *A. brasiliensis*) was performed as per USP <61> (Pour plate method) at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14 hours respectively. Based on the data there was no increase in log₁₀ values (increased by <0.5 log₁₀ at 14-hours compared to 0 hour) for any of the organism tested at 14-hours compared to 0 hour. Hence, DP *in-use period* for up to 12 hours is supported by the study.

Reviewer's Assessment: Based on the information provided the reviewer has concluded that the applicant has provided adequate labelling information.

Acceptable***Post-Approval Commitments:*****Reviewer's Assessment:** Not applicable***Lifecycle Management Considerations*****Reviewer's Assessment:** Changes to the container closure system, component, and equipment sterilization/ depyrogenation process, and vial filling line could affect microbiological quality of the subject drug product.***List of Deficiencies:*** None.***Primary Microbiology Reviewer Name and Date:***

Koushik Paul, Ph.D. and 09/22/2025

Secondary Reviewer Name and Date (and Secondary Summary, as needed):

Jesse Wells, Ph.D. and 09/22/2025



Jesse
Wells

Digitally signed by Jesse Wells

Date: 9/22/2025 09:32:25AM

GUID: 508da70b00028ea901ac6652677f7d00



Koushik
Paul

Digitally signed by Koushik Paul

Date: 9/22/2025 08:30:42AM

GUID: 5600522e0069b02f59c7bd85b6b54742



Valerie
Amspacher

Digitally signed by Valerie Amspacher

Date: 3/26/2026 10:32:25AM

GUID: 5714dbd10078d2d3d9b60a0ceb819fc3

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically. Following this are manifestations of any and all electronic signatures for this electronic record.

/s/

VALERIE R AMSPACHER
03/26/2026 10:37:39 AM