NDA 208255 CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY REVIEW

NDA	208255
Submission Type	505(b)(2)
Submission Date	09/13/2016
Generic Name	Efavirenz (EFV), Lamivudine (3TC), Tenofovir DF (TDF)
Brand Name	N/A
Indication	Treatment of HIV
Dosage Form/ Strength	Tablet: EFV (400 mg) / 3TC (300 mg) / TDF(300 mg)
Applicant	Mylan
Review Team	Islam R. Younis, Ph.D.

Background

This 505(b)(2) application was submitted under the provisions of the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR). The applicant developed a fixed dose combination (FDC) tablet containing EFV, 3TC, and TDF. This is the first application to seek approval for an HIV regimen containing 400 mg EFV. The currently approved EFV therapeutic dose is 600 mg.

Basis for Approval

The applicant obtained right of reference to ENCORE1 clinical trial which established the efficacy and safety of the 400 mg dose of EFV. ENCORE1 was randomized, double-blind, active-controlled, two-arm, parallel groups multinational clinical trial which evaluated the safety and efficacy of EVF 400 mg dose relative to EVF 600 mg dose. In both treatment arms EFV was administered once daily in combination with Truvada[®], a FDC of emtricitabine (FTC, 200 mg) and TDF (300 mg). The proportion of patients with a viral load < 200 copies/mL in the mITT analysis was 302/321 (94.08%) in the EFV 400 mg treatment arm and 285/309 (92.23%) in the EFV 600 mg treatment arm (difference 1.85, 95% CI -2.1 to 5.79).

The applicant conducted a relative bioavailability study (Study C15275) to compare the exposures of EFV, 3TC, and TDF following the administration of the FDC tablet and the individual EFV (Efamat 200 mg), 3TC (Epivir[®] 300 mg), and TDF (Viread[®] 300 mg) agents administered in combination. This study bridges efficacy and safety information from ENCORE1 to the FDC tablet because Efamat is the EFV formulation used in ENCROE1. The exposure of EFV, 3TC, and TDF were similar following the administration of the FDC relative to the individual agents (Table 1).

Drug	Parameter	Geometric Mean Ratio (90% CI)
Efavirenz	AUC ₀₋₇₂	0.96 (0.92,1.0)
	Cmax	0.92(0.85,0.99)
Lamivudine	AUC	1.04 (0.99,1.08)
	Cmax	0.89(0.83,0.96)
Tenofovir	AUC	1.03(0.98,1.07)
	Cmax	0.96(0.90,1.02)

Recommendations

The application is recommended for approval from clinical pharmacology perspective. The indication can be extended to pediatrics 12 years of age and older and weighing at least 35 Kg. The pharmacokinetics of EFV is linear in the dose range 200 to 600 mg; therefore the administration of EFV 400 mg dose is expected to produce exposure in adolescents similar to those observed in adults in similar manner to what was observed with EFV 600 mg dose. The efficacy of the 400 mg dose of EFV was shown to be non-

NDA 208255 CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY REVIEW

inferior to EFV 600 mg dose in adults. Safety of EFV 600 mg dose in adolescents has been established and therefore there is no need to obtain additional safety information for EFV 400 mg dose in adolescents.

Labeling Recommendations

Labeling negotiations were ongoing at the time of this review.

Relative Bioavailability Study						
Study #	C15275	C15275 Study Period 11/20/2015-12/23/2015 EDR Link				
Study #C13275Study Feriod11/20/2015-12/25/2015TitleA randomized, open-label, balanced, two-treatment, two-period, two-sequence, single-dose, crossover oral bioequivalence study of Test product Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, Lamivudine and Efavirenz film-coated tablets 300 mg / 300 mg/ 400 mg of Mylan Laboratories Limited, India with Reference product (R= R1 + R2 + R3) (R1: VIREAD® Tablets (Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate) 300 mg manufactured and distributed by Gilead Sciences, Inc. Foster City, CA 94404, R2: EPIVIR® Tablets (Lamivudine) 300 mg Manufactured by GlaxoSmithKline Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, R3: Two tablets of Efamat (Efavirenz) 200 mg manufactured by Mylan Laboratories Ltd, India), in normal healthy adult human subjects under fasting conditions.						
STUDY D Randomize	ESIGN d. open lab	al balanced tw	a treatment two period two segue	ance single dose	crossover study	
Washout pe	eriod was 2^4	4 davs.	o-treatment, two-period, two-seque	ence, single-dose,	crossover study.	
Population		I Healthy Vo	olunteers Patients			
Study Ratio	onale	To evaluate the relative bioavailability of EFV, 3TC, and TDF following the administration of film-coated fixed dose combination (Mylan FDC) relative to the individual agents administered in combination				
Treatments		Arm Test Reference	API(Trade Name)EVF, 3TC, TDF (FDC Tablet)TDF 300 mg(Viread®)3TC 300 mg (Epivir®)EFV 200 mg (Efamat): 2 tablets	Batch No., Expiry d 2009057/ April 2017 002181/July 2018 3ZP8520/July 2016 8036093/March 201	ate78	
Dose Selec	tion	EFV dose is t	he dose evaluated in ENCORE1 cl	inical efficacy and	safety trial. 3TC and	
Rationale		TDF doses are the approved therapeutic doses and the reference listed formulation was used in the study				
Administra	tion	☑ Fasted □ I	Fed			
Interfering		Caffeine and	kanthine-containing foods or bever	ages (i.e. coffee, t	ea, chocolate, and	
Substances	Substances Excluded caffeine-containing sodas, colas,etc.), any Grapefruit juice or related products, tobacco containing products.				ed products, tobacco	
Sampling T	Times	Pre-dose, 0.167, 0.333, 0.5, 0.667, 0.833, 1, 1.25, 1.50, 1.75, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 hours post-dose.				
PK Parame	ters	s Primary: AUCt (AUC ₀₋₇₂ for EFV), C _{max} Secondary: T _{max} , t _{1/2} , Kel (All) AUC _{0-inf} and AUC _{0-t} /AUC _{0-inf} *100 (
PK Analys	sis Non-compartment analysis using linear trapezoidal method					
Statistical A	Analysis	nalysis ANOVA including sequence, formulation and period as fixed effects and subject (sequence) as a random effect. Sequence effect was tested using subject (sequence) as an error term.				
Is the study design acceptable? ☑ Yes □ No						
STUDY CONDUCT						
Bioanalyti	cal Method	!:				
Analyte			EFV	3TC	Tenfovir	
Method	Туре	100 4000 m	LC/MS-MS	LC/MS-MS	LC/MS-MS	
Matrix		100-4000 h	Blasma	Plasma	24 - 400 lig/iiiL Plasma	
Matrix Plasma Plasma Plasma					1 1451114	
Validatio	Validation • Method validated prior to use					

Appendix Individual Study Review

		 Method validation acce 	ntable			
	Study	Somplos analyzed with	noriod			
	Samples Samples analyzed within the established stability period			period	⊻ Yes ⊔ No	
Analysis		Quanty control samples Chromoto smooto anotid	s range acceptable		⊻ Yes ⊔ No	
		 Chromatograms provid A supervisition 			\bowtie Yes \square No	
	• Accuracy and precision of the calibration curve acceptable					
		 Accuracy and precision 	of the quality control san	nples acceptable		
		 Incurred samples analy Occurred samples analy 	sis is acceptable			
	r /·	• Overall performance ac				
	Inspection Will the bioanalytical site be inspected				☑ Yes □ No	
Pro	tocol Devia	ations		_		
•	Are there	any protocol deviations l	isted in the study repo	ort? 🗹 Yes 🗆 No		
•	Do any of	the listed deviations affe	ect the integrity of the	study? □ Yes ☑ No □] NA	
Not	es:					
For	some subje	ects, plasma samples in p	eriod I and Period II	were collected beyond th	e scheduled sampling	
time	e. There wa	s no impact on the study	outcome as actual tin	ne points of sample collec	ction was used for	
pha	rmacokinet	ic analysis.			-	
STU	UDY RESU	JLTS				
Stu	dy Populat	ion				
	v I					
	Enr	olled		,	76	
	Tre	ated		,	70	
	Completed				64	
Discontinued Due to AE				1		
	PK	Population/Safety Popul	ation	64 (62 fc	64 (62 for EFV)/65	
Age [Mean (SD)]			32	32 (5.8)		
	Male/Female			Not A	Not Available	
	Race (Caucasian/Black/Asian/Hispanic)			Indian A	Indian Asian (All)	
Pha	rmacokine	etics (Geometric Mean R	atio & 90% CI)			
		<u>(</u>	<u> </u>			
		Drug	Parameter	GMR (90% CI)		
		Efavirenz	AUC ₀₋₇₂	0.96 (0.92,1.0)		
			Cmax	0.91 0.85,0.99)		
		Lamivudine	AUC	1.04 (0.99,1.08)		
			Cmax	0.89 0.83,0.96)		
		Tenofovir	AUC	1.03 0.98,1.07)		
		Cmax	0.96 0.90,1.02)			
• Were there any outliers or excluded data from analysis? \square Yes \square No \square NA						
Sub	Subjects 27 and 71 were excluded from pharmacokinetic and statistical data analysis of EFV as these subjects					
have	have predose concentrations of EFV for both periods greater than 5% of Cmax. The exclusion of these subjects					
does	does not affect trial outcome because the trial had sufficient power (post hoc estimate of $\sim 100\%$) to evaluate					

similarity in exposure. Including these subjects in the analysis did not change study outcomes.

• Are the study results acceptable? \square Yes \square No

Safety

Was there any death or serious adverse events? \Box Yes \blacksquare No

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

ISLAM R YOUNIS 02/17/2017