CLINICAL REVIEW

| NDA 208255 Priority |
|--|
| September 13, 2016 September 13, 2016 March 13, 2017 Division of Antiviral Products / Office of Antimicrobial Products |
| Kirk M. Chan-Tack, MD Wen Zeng, PhD |
| February 9, 2017 |
| Efavirenz 400 mg/Lamivudine 300 mg/Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate 300 mg Fixed Dose Combination |
| |
| Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor/Nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor/Nucleotide analog HIV-1 reverse transcriptase inhibitor |
| Mylan |
| |

| Formulation(s) | Tablet |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Dosing Regimen | Once daily |
| Indication(s) | Treatment of HIV-1 |
| Intended Population(s) | Adults and pediatric patients at |
| | least 12 years old and |
| | weighing at least 35 kg |

Table of Contents

| 1 | RE | COMMENDATIONS/RISK BENEFIT ASSESSMENT | 7 |
|---|--|---|--|
| | 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 | Recommendation on Regulatory Action Risk Benefit Assessment Recommendations for Postmarket Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies Recommendations for Postmarket Requirements and Commitments | 7 7 7 7 |
| 2 | INT | RODUCTION AND REGULATORY BACKGROUND | 8 |
| | 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 | Product Information Tables of Currently Available Treatments for Proposed Indications Availability of Proposed Active Ingredient in the United States Important Safety Issues With Consideration to Related Drugs Summary of Presubmission Regulatory Activity Related to Submission Other Relevant Background Information | 8 9 9 10 10 |
| 3 | ETI | HICS AND GOOD CLINICAL PRACTICES | 10 |
| | 3.1 3.2 3.3 | Submission Quality and Integrity Compliance with Good Clinical Practices Financial Disclosures | 10 11 11 |
| 4 | SIG | INIFICANT EFFICACY/SAFETY ISSUES RELATED TO OTHER REVIEW | 40 |
| | 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 | Chemistry Manufacturing and Controls Clinical Microbiology Preclinical Pharmacology/Toxicology Clinical Pharmacology 1 Mechanism of Action 2 Pharmacodynamics 3 Pharmacokinetics | 12 12 15 15 15 15 |
| 5 | SO | URCES OF CLINICAL DATA | 16 |
| | 5.1 5.2 5.3 | Tables of Studies/Clinical TrialsReview StrategyDiscussion of Individual Studies/Clinical Trials | 16 16 17 |
| 6 | RE | VIEW OF EFFICACY | 18 |
| | Effica 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 | acy Summary Indication | 18 18 19 19 21 22 23 |

| | 6.1.6 6.1.7 6.1.8 6.1.9 6.1.10 | Other Endpoints Subpopulations Analysis of Clinical Information Relevant to Dosing Recommendations Discussion of Persistence of Efficacy and/or Tolerance Effects Additional Efficacy Issues/Analyses | .24 .25 .29 .29 .29 |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------|
| 7 | REVIE | N OF SAFETY | .29 |
| | Safety Su | ımmary | .29 |
| | 7.1 Me | thods | . 30 |
| | 7.1.1 | Studies/Clinical Trials Used to Evaluate Safety | .30 |
| | 7.1.2 | Categorization of Adverse Events | .30 |
| | 7.1.3 | Pooling of Data Across Studies/Clinical Trials to Estimate and Compare | 20 |
| | 72 Ada | Incluence | . 30 . 30 |
| | 7.2 Aut | Overall Exposure at Appropriate Doses/Durations and Demographics of | .50 |
| | 1.2.1 | Target Populations | 30 |
| | 722 | Explorations for Dose Response | .00 |
| | 723 | Special Animal and/or In Vitro Testing | 31 |
| | 724 | Routine Clinical Testing | 31 |
| | 7.2.5 | Metabolic. Clearance. and Interaction Workup | .32 |
| | 7.2.6 | Evaluation for Potential Adverse Events for Similar Drugs in Drug Class. | .32 |
| | 7.3 Ma | or Safety Results | .32 |
| | 7.3.1 | Deaths | .32 |
| | 7.3.2 | Nonfatal Serious Adverse Events | .33 |
| | 7.3.3 | Dropouts and/or Discontinuations | .35 |
| | 7.3.4 | Significant Adverse Events | .35 |
| | 7.3.5 | Submission Specific Primary Safety Concerns | .36 |
| | 7.4 Sup | oportive Safety Results | .41 |
| | 7.4.1 | Common Adverse Events | .41 |
| | 7.4.2 | Laboratory Findings | .42 |
| | 7.4.3 | Vital Signs | .43 |
| | 7.4.4 | Electrocardiograms (ECGs) | .44 |
| | 7.4.5 | Special Safety Studies/Clinical Trials | .44 |
| | 7.4.6 | Immunogenicity | .44 |
| | 7.5 Oth | er Safety Explorations | .44 |
| | 7.5.1 | Dose Dependency for Adverse Events | .44 |
| | 7.5.2 | Time Dependency for Adverse Events | .44 |
| | 7.5.3 | Drug-Demographic Interactions | .44 |
| | 1.5.4 | Drug-Disease Interactions | .44 |
| | 7.5.5 | Urug-Drug Interactions | .44 |
| | 1.0 Add | Jilional Salety Evaluations | .45 |
| | 1.6.1 7.0.0 | Human Carcinogenicity | .45 |
| | 1.0.Z | Dedictrice and Accessment of Effects on Crowth | .45 |
| | 1.0.3 | | .40 |

| | 7.6 7.7 | 6.4 Overdose, Drug Abuse Potential, Withdrawal and Rebound Additional Submissions / Safety Issues | 45 45 |
|---|------------|--|----------|
| 8 | PO | STMARKET EXPERIENCE | 45 |
| 9 | AP | PENDICES | 45 |
| | 9.1 | Literature Review/References | 45 |
| | 9.2 | Labeling Recommendations | 46 |
| | 9.3 | Advisory Committee Meeting | 46 |
| | 9.4 | Financial Disclosure | 46 |
| | 9.5 | Efficacy Analysis Memorandum for the difference in FDA efficacy analys | ses and |
| | ENC | ORE1 Clinical Study Report efficacy results | 46 |
| | 9.6 | Subgroup analyses of primary and secondary efficacy endpoints by site. | 50 |

Table of Tables

| Table 1: Principle Investigators and Sites Inspected by DSI | 11 |
|--|----|
| Table 2: Summary of Week 48 Virologic Failures | 14 |
| Table 3: Baseline Demographics | 19 |
| Table 4: Subject disposition | 22 |
| Table 5: Primary efficacy analysis | 22 |
| Table 6: Primary efficacy analysis to be displayed in labeling | 23 |
| Table 7: Secondary efficacy analysis | 23 |
| Table 8: Summary of Mean Changes in CD4+ from Baseline to Week 48 | 24 |
| Table 9: Subgroup analyses of primary efficacy endpoint by baseline viral load | 25 |
| Table 10: Subgroup analyses of secondary efficacy endpoint by baseline viral load | 25 |
| Table 11: Subgroup analyses of primary efficacy endpoint by baseline demographics | 26 |
| Table 12: Subgroup analyses of secondary efficacy endpoint by baseline demographics | 27 |
| Table 13: Reasons for discontinuing blinded efavirenz | 31 |
| Table 14: Serious Adverse Events | 33 |
| Table 15: Adverse events leading to discontinuing blinded efavirenz | 35 |
| Table 16: Summary of new AIDS-defining events | 36 |
| Table 17: Grade 1-4 rash observed in ≥ 1% in either treatment group at Week 48 | 36 |
| Table 18: Grade 2-4 rash observed in either treatment group at Week 48 | 37 |
| Table 19: Grade 3-4 rash observed in either treatment group at Week 48 | 37 |
| Table 20: Grade 1-4 nervous system AEs observed in ≥ 1% in either treatment group at Week 48 | 38 |
| Table 21: Grade 2-4 nervous system AEs observed in either treatment group at Week 48 | 38 |
| Table 22: Grade 3-4 nervous system AEs observed in either treatment group at Week 48 | 39 |
| Table 23: Grade 1-4 psychiatric AEs observed in ≥ 1% in either treatment group at Week 48 | 39 |
| Table 24: Grade 2-4 psychiatric AEs observed in either treatment group at Week 48 | 40 |
| Table 25: Grade 3-4 psychiatric AEs observed in either treatment group at Week 48 | 40 |
| Table 26: Summary of Common Adverse Events | 41 |
| Table 27: Summary of Grade 2-4 Adverse Events | 42 |
| Table 28: Grade 3/4 Laboratory Abnormalities | 42 |
| Table 29: Subgroup analyses of primary efficacy endpoint by site | 50 |
| Table 30: Subgroup analyses of secondary efficacy endpoint by site | 51 |

1 Recommendations/Risk Benefit Assessment

1.1 Recommendation on Regulatory Action

These reviewers recommend approval of Efavirenz 400 mg/Lamivudine 300 mg/Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate 300 mg once daily (EFV 400/3TC 300/TDF 300) fixeddose combination (FDC) for use in treatment naïve adults and pediatric patients at least 12 years old and weighing at least 35 kg. This recommendation is based on data contained in this NDA submission 208255 as well as data from the innovator labels.

The application includes one trial in treatment-naïve adults to support long-term efficacy and safety data in this patient population. The 48-week efficacy and safety results from the ENCORE1 study are presented in support of an alternative dosing regimen, EFV 400 mg once daily (QD), for treatment-naïve adults. Extrapolation of ENCORE1 efficacy data for pediatric patients at least 12 years old and weighing at least 35 kg is reasonable based on the linear pharmacokinetics of EFV and the similarity in the disease course of HIV-1 infection in children compared to adults.

In the ENCORE1 study, EFV 400 mg was non-inferior to EFV 600 mg in treatmentnaïve HIV-infected adults. Review of the safety data submitted in this application did not identify any new or unexpected toxicities for EFV 400 mg compared to EFV 600 mg. A higher incidence of rash and dizziness was observed for EFV 600 mg; the incidence and distribution of psychiatric disorders was overall comparable between both doses. The safety profile of EFV 400 mg in adults with creatinine clearance equal to or greater than 50 mL/min was acceptable with no deficiencies to preclude approval. Extrapolation of safety data for the EFV 400 mg dose in pediatric patients at least 12 years old and weighing at least 35 kg is reasonable based on the pediatric safety data in the approved Sustiva® USPI.

1.2 Risk Benefit Assessment

Benefits

Single tablet, once daily regimens offer patient convenience, the potential for increased compliance and fewer patient related dosing errors. Fixed dose combination (FDC) tablets are available using the EFV 600 mg dose. This NDA supports the first FDC tablet with a lower dose (400 mg) of EFV than the currently approved 600 mg dose. The antiviral efficacy of EFV 400 mg has been demonstrated to be non-inferior to that of EFV 600 mg for treatment-naïve adults. The safety profile of EFV 400 mg appears slightly more favorable than EFV 600 mg for EFV toxicities such as rash and dizziness.

<u>Risks</u>

In the approved Sustiva® USPI, the recommended EFV dose for pediatric patients at least 12 years old and weighing at least 40 kg is 600 mg QD. No pediatric patients were enrolled in ENCORE1.

1.3 Recommendations for Postmarket Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies

Not applicable for this PEPFAR NDA submission.

1.4 Recommendations for Postmarket Requirements and Commitments

Not applicable for this PEPFAR NDA submission.

2 Introduction and Regulatory Background

2.1 Product Information

| Generic (trade) name: | EFV 400/3TC 300/TDF 300 |
|------------------------|--|
| Pharmacological class: | Efavirenz (EFV), a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI); lamivudine (3TC), a nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI); tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), a nucleotide analog HIV-1 reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NtRTI) |
| Proposed indication: | Treatment of HIV-1 infection in adults and pediatric patients at least 12 years old and weighing at least 40 kg |
| Dosing regimens: | Efavirenz 400 mg, Lamivudine 300 mg, Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate 300 mg once daily |
| Dosage form: | Fixed Dose Combination (FDC) tablet |

EFV 400/3TC 300/TDF 300 is a three drug FDC tablet which is intended to provide a complete HIV-1 treatment regimen for patients with susceptible virus. Lamivudine (3TC) and tenofovir (TDF) are approved at the doses in this FDC. This NDA is submitted to support a lower dose (400 mg) of EFV than the approved 600 mg dose.

2.2 Tables of Currently Available Treatments for Proposed Indications

Although many antiretroviral drug (ARV) product versions of previously approved ARVs cannot be currently marketed in the US because of patent and exclusivity restrictions,

FDA is able to review these products for quality, safety, and efficacy and potentially grant a tentative approval. The President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) will consider procurement of products reviewed by FDA that have been granted approval or tentative approval. Such products may be distributed outside the US, depending on regulatory requirements in other countries.

2.3 Availability of Proposed Active Ingredient in the United States

Efavirenz (EFV) was first approved for treatment of HIV-1 in the US on 17 September 1999 and is currently available for use.

Lamivudine (3TC) was first approved for treatment of HIV-1 in the US on 17 November 1995 and is currently available for use.

Tenofovir (TDF) was first approved for treatment of HIV-1 in the US on 26 October 2001 and is currently available for use.

2.4 Important Safety Issues With Consideration to Related Drugs

EFV 400/3TC 300/TDF 300 is a three drug FDC. It differs from the approved products in the use of a lower dose of EFV. Important safety issues for the approved individual products include the following:

In the licensing trials for EFV 600 mg, the most common adverse reactions are impaired concentration, abnormal dreams, rash, dizziness, nausea, headache, fatigue, insomnia, and vomiting. Laboratory abnormalities include ALT, AST and cholesterol elevations; these laboratory parameters should be assessed before initiating treatment with EFV and periodically during treatment.

In the licensing trials for 3TC 300 mg, the most common adverse reactions are headache, nausea, malaise and fatigue, nasal signs and symptoms, diarrhea, and cough.

In the licensing trials for TDF 300 mg, the most common adverse reactions are rash, diarrhea, headache, pain, depression, asthenia, and nausea. There have been postmarketing reports of renal laboratory abnormalities (renal failure, Fanconi's syndrome, and increased blood creatinine) leading to TDF discontinuation. In patients at risk for renal dysfunction, estimated creatinine clearance, serum phosphorus, urine glucose and urine protein should be assessed before initiating treatment with TDF and periodically during treatment.

The prescribing information for nucleoside analogues includes a boxed warning for lactic acidosis and severe hepatomegaly with steatosis. Sudden discontinuation of

NRTIs that are active against hepatitis B Virus (HBV), including 3TC and TDF, may lead to HBV exacerbations in HIV/HBV co-infected patients.

2.5 Summary of Presubmission Regulatory Activity Related to Submission

At the Clinton Health Access Initiative's (CHAI) Supplier Buyer Summit held in Jaipur, India in November 2014, FDA agreed it would be sufficient to submit a single Phase 3 study (ENCORE1) for review in a NDA.

In March 2015, FDA agreed with the Applicant's 505(b)(2) NDA proposal consisting of the following sections:

- CMC: A reference and letters of access to the relevant DMFs for the APIs in TLE400 will be included. A full CMC drug product section containing all relevant TLE400 data will be submitted.
- Nonclinical: A reference to the innovator nonclinical data.
- Clinical: A reference to ENCORE1 data as obtained and granted from the data owners will be included. It is anticipated that the ENCORE1 study data owners will file the data in an IND and provide a letter of access to the Applicant for right of reference to the data. The results from the following pharmacokinetic (PK) bioequivalence study will also be included:
 - Open label, randomized, two treatment, two sequence, two period, crossover, single-dose comparative oral bioequivalence study under fasted conditions of TLE400 versus comparators.
 - o (a) Efavirenz bioequivalence: TLE400 versus 2 tablets of Efamat 200™ (ENCORE1 study supply)
 - (b) Lamivudine bioequivalence: TLE400 versus Epivir_®, 300 mg (Orange Book RLD)
 - o (c) TDF bioequivalence: TLE400 versus Viread®, 300 mg (Orange Book RLD)

FDA also recommended that the Applicant conduct a PK study to evaluate the effect of food on the proposed FDC. The results of this study can potentially support a more flexible labeling language where the FDC can be administered without regard to food if the effect of food on the FDC produces EFV exposures comparable to EFV exposures following the administration of 600 mg dose, which is deemed to be safe and effective.

2.6 Other Relevant Background Information

Fast Track Designation for EFV 400/3TC 300/TDF 300 was granted on 25 April 2016.

3 Ethics and Good Clinical Practices

3.1 Submission Quality and Integrity

A routine consult was submitted to the Office of Study Integrity and Surveillance (OSIS) on September 14, 2016 in response to this NDA submission. Please refer to the OSIS review by Dr. Antoine El-Hage for further details. In ENCORE1, the following sites were inspected (Table 1).

| Table [•] | 1: ENCORE1 | , Sites ins | pected |
|--------------------|------------|-------------|--------|
|--------------------|------------|-------------|--------|

| Site # | Number of Subjects |
|--|-----------------------|
| Site #1201 Thai Red Cross - AIDS Research Centre, Prof Praphan Phanuphak HIV-NAT (The HIV Netherlands Australia Thailand Research Collaboration) | 68 |
| Thai Red Cross AIDS Research Center 104 Ratchadamri Road Pathumwan, Bangkok 10330, Thailand | |
| Site #2003 Desmond Tutu HIV Foundation Dr Catherine Orrell University of Cape Town, Faculty of Health Sciences, Anzio Rd, Observatory, Cape Town, South Africa | 64 |
| Site #1301 Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore Dr Barnaby Young Tan Tock Seng Hospital Infectious Disease Research Centre (IDRC) Blk 804, Communicable Disease Centre (CDC 1) Moulmein Road, Singapore 308433 | 40 |

The data from these sites were deemed acceptable in support of this submission.

3.2 Compliance with Good Clinical Practices

ENCORE1 was conducted in accordance with the principles of Good Clinical Practices. ENCORE1 was written to conform to accepted ethical standards and were reviewed by Institutional Review Boards overseeing each investigative site. Inspections of selected clinical sites by OSIS found the data provided by the sites to be acceptable. A detailed discussion of the OSIS audit will be available in the Clinical Inspection Summary by Dr. Antoine EI-Hage.

3.3 Financial Disclosures

The Applicant has adequately disclosed financial arrangements with clinical investigators as recommended in the FDA guidance for industry on *Financial Disclosure by Clinical Investigators*. No investigators had any conflicts of interest. There are no financial issues that affect the integrity of the data.

4 Significant Efficacy/Safety Issues Related to Other Review Disciplines

4.1 Chemistry Manufacturing and Controls

Please refer to Dr. Sloan's CMC review for details.

4.2 Clinical Microbiology

Please refer to Dr. Mishra's Microbiology review for details. Rates of virologic failure were comparable between treatment groups. Novel amino acid substitutions were not detected in isolates. Key findings from ENCORE1 are summarized below:

Genotypic resistance data for baseline isolates were available from 286 subjects enrolled in the EFV 400 mg group and 282 subjects in the EFV 600 mg group. Genotypic analysis of on-therapy isolates was performed from a limited number of subjects. Phenotypic analyses of baseline and on-therapy isolates were not performed.

Amino acid substitutions A98G, L100I, K101E/Q/R, K103N/S, V106A/M, V108I, V179D/E, Y181C, Y188L, G190A/S/T, P225H, F227L and M230I/L confer resistance to EFV (Stanford database).

EFV 400 mg group

Baseline isolates from 19/286 (6.7%) subjects in the EFV 400 mg group harbored NNRTI resistance-associated substitutions V90I, A98S, L100V, K101E, K103N, V106I/M, V179D/E, Y181C/F and G190A. The K103N substitution was present in baseline isolates from 8/286 (2.8%) subjects. Of these, isolates from 2 subjects (#34148, #45899) also contained Y181C/F substitutions in addition to the substitution K103N and isolates from another subject (#881072) contained K103N plus V106M substitutions.

Three of the 19 subjects whose baseline isolates harbored either K103N plus Y181F, or K103N plus Y181F, or K101E plus G190A substitutions were virologic failures at Week 48.

The amino acid substitution V179D/E alone was present in baseline isolates from 6/286 (2.1%) subjects. None of these 6 subjects with baseline isolates containing V179D/E were virologic failures at Week 48.

Subjects whose baseline isolates harbored V90I, A98S, L100V, V06I alone achieved HIV-1 RNA levels <200 copies/mL at Week 48 and were defined as virologic success.

NNRTI resistance-associated substitutions K103N and E138A developed during Week 36 in on-therapy isolates from 1 subject (#38630).

It should be noted that baseline isolates from subject #34148 and #45899 harbored K103N substitution in combination with Y181C/F and the K103N substitution persisted during treatment at Week 60. Baseline isolates from subject #38630 harbored NNRTI-resistance-associated substitutions K101E and G190A and the substitution G190A persisted during treatment at Weeks 36 and 72. Subjects #34148 and #38630 were virologic failures at Week 48. The K103N substitution present in baseline isolates from subject #831013 persisted during treatment at Week 60 and subject #831013 was a virologic success at Week 48.

Baseline isolates from 4 subjects (#38630, # 60648, #135111 and # 931155) harbored NRTI resistance-associated substitutions M41L, A62V, D67G, T69N, K70R, M184V, T215II/C and K219Q. The substitution M184V is associated with a high level resistance to emtricitabine.

Baseline isolates from subject #38630 harbored NRTI-resistance substitutions T69N, K70R, M184V, T215I and K219E and these substitutions persisted during treatment; as mentioned before, subject # 38630 was a virologic failure at Week 48.

Nine subjects in the EFV 400 mg group were virologic failures at Week 48 (i.e. did not achieve the protocol defined primary efficacy endpoint of viral load <200 copies/mL at Week48, Table 2). Of these, on-therapy isolates from 1 subject (#38630) developed NNRTI-resistance associated substitutions during treatment at Week 36.

EFV 600 mg group

Baseline isolates from 15/282 (5.3%) subjects in the EFV 600 mg group harbored NNRTI resistance-associated substitutions V90I, K103N, V106I/M, V108I, E138A, V179D/E, P225H, and P236L. The K103N substitution alone or in combination with V90I, V106I/M, P225H and P236L was present in baseline isolates from 7/282 (2.5%) subjects. Of these 7 subjects, baseline isolates from 4 subjects (# 471788, # 705760, #756501, #879441) harbored substitutions, V90I plus P236L, V106I, P225H and V106M, respectively. Baseline isolates from 2 subjects (# 12739 and # 803122; 0.7%) harbored V106I/M substitutions alone. Additionally, baseline isolates from 6/282 (2.1%) subjects harbored amino acid substitutions V179D/E. Baseline isolates from two of these 6 subjects (#94315 and #816145) also harbored additional substitution V108I or E138A.

One of the 15 subjects (#809305) with baseline isolates harboring NNRTI-resistanceassociated substitutions was a virologic failure at Week 48.

On-therapy isolates from 3 subjects (#34169, #62553, #809305) developed NNRTI resistance-associated substitutions (K103N/R, V106M, V179D, P225H and F227L)

during treatment, ranging from Week 12 to Week 48. The amino acid substitution K103N present in baseline isolates from subject #809305 persisted in on-therapy isolates and additional substitution P225H developed in on-therapy isolates at Week 48.

Baseline isolates from 1 subject (#127399) harbored NRTI resistance-associated substitution T69N. On-therapy isolates from 3 subjects developed NRTI resistance-associated substitutions M41L, K65R, and M184 during treatment at Weeks 36 to 48. One subject (#62553) developed M41L, K65R, and M184 substitutions at Week 36 and isolates from 2 additional subjects (# 759641 and # 809305) developed M184V substitution at Week 48.

Although on therapy isolates from 4 subjects (#34169, #62553, #759641, #809305) developed treatment-emergent NNRTI and NRTI resistance-associated substitutions during Weeks 12 to 48, HIV-1 levels for 1 subject (#62553) were below 200 copies/mL at Week 48 and this subject was considered a virologic success. HIV-1 RNA levels for subject #34169 at Week 48 were not available. HIV-1 RNA levels for subject #759641 and #809305 were 30749 and 227983 copies/ml, respectively at Week 48 and these two subjects were virologic failures at Week 48.

Eight subjects in the EFV 600 mg group were virologic failures at Week 48 (Table 2). Baseline and on-therapy isolates from subject only 1 subject # 809305 contained NNRTI resistance associated substitutions K103N plus P225H. Additionally, on-therapy isolates from virologic failure subject # 759641 developed NRTI resistance-associated substitution M184V at Week 48.

| EFV 400 mg | | EFV | ' 600 mg |
|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|
| Subject ID | Viral Load at Week 48 (copies/mL) | Subject ID | Viral Load at Week 48 (copies/mL) |
| 28962 | 5556 | 34692 | 577 |
| 34148 | 232 | 272432 | 3295 |
| 38630 | 524 | 666826 | 8225 |
| 91764 | 42283 | 667936 | 209196 |
| 135025 | 967 | 705775 | 1860 |
| 383522 | 3365 | 759641 | 30749 |
| 452199 | 602266 | 809305 | 227893 |
| 662617 | 3452 | 865085 | 430 |
| 829989 | 8029 | | |

Table 2: Summary of Week 48 Virologic Failures

This product is approvable from a virology perspective.

4.3 Preclinical Pharmacology/Toxicology

This 505(b)(2) NDA relies on the FDA's previous determinations of the preclinical profiles of efavirenz, lamivudine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

4.4 Clinical Pharmacology

Please refer to Dr. Younis' Clinical Pharmacology review for details. Of note, under tentative approval NDA #022461,

4.4.1 Mechanism of Action

Efavirenz (EFV) is an NNRTI of HIV-1. Efavirenz activity is mediated predominantly by noncompetitive inhibition of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. HIV-2 reverse transcriptase and human cellular DNA polymerases α , β , γ , and $\overline{\delta}$ are not inhibited by efavirenz.

Lamivudine (3TC) is a synthetic nucleoside analogue. Intracellularly, lamivudine is phosphorylated to its active 5'-triphosphate metabolite, lamivudine triphosphate (3TC-TP). The principal mode of action of 3TC-TP is inhibition of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (RT) via DNA chain termination after incorporation of the nucleotide analogue.

Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) is an acyclic nucleoside phosphonate diester analog of adenosine monophosphate. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate requires initial diester hydrolysis for conversion to tenofovir and subsequent phosphorylations by cellular enzymes to form tenofovir diphosphate, an obligate chain terminator. Tenofovir diphosphate inhibits the activity of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase and HBV reverse transcriptase by competing with the natural substrate deoxyadenosine 5'-triphosphate and, after incorporation into DNA, by DNA chain termination. Tenofovir diphosphate is a weak inhibitor of mammalian DNA polymerases α , β , and mitochondrial DNA polymerase γ .

4.4.2 Pharmacodynamics

No pharmacodynamics data were provided or considered necessary for this submission.

4.4.3 Pharmacokinetics

In ENCORE1, sparse pharmacokinetic (PK) sampling was obtained from 311 subjects receiving EFV 400 mg and from 295 subjects receiving EFV 600 mg. Additionally, a separate sub-study used intensive sampling to derive PK models for both doses of EFV; the intensive PK sub-study was conducted on 28 subjects receiving EFV 400 mg and 18

subjects receiving EFV 600 mg. Comparing EFV 400 mg to EFV 600 mg, the AUC₀₋₂₄, C_{max} , C_{24} and C_{12} were significantly lower for EFV 400 mg (geometric mean ratio [GMR] 90% CI: 0.73 [0.68-0.78]) compared to EFV 600 mg. These lower exposures were not associated with a lesser virologic response between treatment groups.

5 Sources of Clinical Data

5.1 Tables of Studies/Clinical Trials

This review is based on data from the ENCORE1 study that was conducted by the Kirby Institute. The datasets are located in the folders:

\\CDSESUB1\evsprod\IND128512\0006

Some files which explain the information related to the datasets submitted in the SN0006 were submitted in SN0007 under IND 128,512 in the folders below:

\\CDSESUB1\evsprod\IND128512\0007

The subgroup analysis results required in the filing letter were submitted in SN0008 under the IND 128,512.

The Kirby Institute's responses for the statistical reviewer's query regarding the primary efficacy endpoints were submitted in SN0014 under the IND 128,512.

Reviewer comment:

The quality of the submitted clinical datasets was low. The "define" files did not clearly explain the meaning of variables even in the third submission. The reviewers had to read the submitted SAS programs to determine the meaning of some variables.

5.2 Review Strategy

Efficacy and safety data were reviewed for ENCORE1. Safety data review included dataset analyses as well as review of case report narratives and case report forms when applicable. The Applicant's conclusions regarding safety and efficacy were confirmed by independent FDA analysis of the data. This MO reviewed study design, patient demographics, and performed safety analyses. FDA clinical and statistical reviewers collaborated extensively throughout the review process, and the efficacy analyses in this review were performed by the FDA statistical reviewer. Additionally, there was significant interaction with the FDA CMC, clinical pharmacology, and microbiology reviewers. Their assessments are summarized in this document, but complete details of their findings are available in the respective discipline reviews.

5.3 Discussion of Individual Studies/Clinical Trials

The clinical section of the NDA focuses on efficacy and safety data from ENCORE1 (summarized below):

ENCORE1 (randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical trial to compare the safety and efficacy of reduced dose EFV with standard dose EFV plus 2N(t)RTI in antiretroviral-naïve HIV-infected individuals over 96 weeks)

- Sponsor: Kirby Institute, Australia
- Design: 96-week double-blind, placebo-controlled, non-inferiority (NI) trial. The study randomization was stratified by the clinical sites and the screening visit plasma HIV RNA level, either <100,000 c/mL or ≥100,000 c/mL. A 10% NI margin was used in the study design. This 10% NI margin is a clinical margin cited from a paper without any justification provided in the protocol. Of note, this 10% NI margin is commonly used in HIV treatment trials and is also specified in FDA's guidance for HIV-1 treatment naïve trials (http://www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/guidancecomplianceregulatoryinformation/guidances/u cm355128.pdf).
- **Study locations:** 38 sites in Argentina, Australia, Chile, Germany, Hong Kong, Israel, Malaysia, Mexico, Nigeria, Singapore, South Africa, Thailand, United Kingdom
- Start date: August 2011
- End date: August 2014
- Sample size: 630
- Key inclusion criteria:
 - HIV-1 positive by licensed diagnostic test
 - Aged >16 years of age (or minimum age as determined by local regulations or as legal requirements dictate)
 - 50 < CD4 <500 cells/µL
 - No prior AIDS-defining illness, using the CDC 1993 case definition (except pulmonary tuberculosis)
 - HIV-1 RNA ≥1000 copies/mL
 - No prior exposure to ART (including short course ARVs for preventing MTCT)
 - Calculated creatinine clearance (CLCr) ≥50 mL/min (Cockcroft-Gault formula)
 - Written informed consent

• Key exclusion criteria:

- Pregnant women or nursing mothers
- Active opportuntistic or malignant disease not under adequate control
- The following laboratory parameters:
 - absolute neutrophil count (ANC) <500 cells/µL
 - hemoglobin <7.0 g/dL</p>
 - platelet count <50,000 cells/µL
 - AST and/or ALT >5 x ULN
- Use of immunomodulators within 30 days prior to screening
- Use of any prohibited medications
- Current alcohol or illicit substance use that might adversely affect study participation
- Primary efficacy endpoint: Proportion of subjects with HIV-1 RNA <200 copies/mL at Week 48
- Secondary endpoints:

- Proportion of subjects with plasma HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL and <400 copies/mL
- Time to achieve HIV-1 RNA <200 copies/mL
- Time to virological failure (HIV-1 RNA ≥200 copies/ml)
- Time to loss of virological response (TLOVR)
- Mean change from baseline in log₁₀ plasma HIV-1 RNA copies/mL
- Mean change from baseline in CD4+ cell count/µL

• Study arms:

- Active comparator: tenofovir (TDF) (300mg QD)/emtricitabine (FTC) (200mg QD) + EFV (600mg QD; 3 x 200mg QD)
- Experimental comparator: TDF (300mg QD)/FTC (200mg QD) + EFV (400mg QD; 2 x 200mg + 1 x 200mg placebo QD)

• Interim analyses:

Two interim analyses were conducted before the Week 48 analysis.

- At the first interim: a recommendation to stop the study at this first analysis would only be made in the event that the experimental arm was considered inferior (i.e. if the control arm (600mg) was found to have provided a ≥ 0.5 log₁₀ difference in virological suppression from baseline, using a one-sided significance level of 0.01).
- At the second interim: the proportions of participants in each study arm at weeks 36 and 48 were also to be compared. The trial could have stopped if one arm was statistically significantly inferior on the endpoint of percentage of participants with plasma HIV RNA < 200 copies/mL plasma at week 24 using a two-sided significance level of 0.001. A recommendation to stop could only be made if the finding for the week 24 data was consistent with the observations made on available week 36 and 48 data (in a directional sense).
- These two blinded interim analyses were conducted and no adjustment was made. Also no adjustments were made for multiple comparisons (reference: ENCORE1 clinical study report, CSR).

Reviewer Comment

A 10% NI margin is a clinical margin and is acceptable to the reviewers. Typically the proportion of subjects with plasma HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL is the primary efficacy endpoint and <200 copies/mL is the secondary efficacy endpoint. Even though the proportion of <200 copies/mL was the primary efficacy endpoint in the protocol, the reviewers will treat the proportion of <50 copies/mL as the primary efficacy endpoint and the proportion of <200 copies/mL will be one of secondary efficacy endpoints. The label will present the proportion of <50 copies/mL.

6 Review of Efficacy

Efficacy Summary

The efficacy analyses were conducted on the modified intended-to treat population (mITT). The mITT population included subjects who were randomized, received at least one dose of study medication, and had at least one follow-up visit.

6.1 Indication

The indication proposed by the Applicant is the following: (b) (4)

6.1.1 Methods

The ENCORE1 efficacy data were reviewed in support of the proposed indication. FDA statistical reviewer's analyses are presented throughout Section 6. If the applicant's results were presented in this section, they are cited.

6.1.2 Demographics

Baseline demographics were evenly matched between both treatment groups (Table 3).

| Table 3: Subject baseline Subgroup | EFV400 | EFV600 | Total | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| Treated (ITT) | | | | |
| N | 321 | 309 | 630 | |
| Gender | | | | |
| Female | 100(31.2%) | 103(33.3%) | 203(32.2%) | |
| Male | 221(68.8%) | 206(66.7%) | 427(67.8%) | |
| Ethnicity | | | | |
| African heritage | 118(36.8%) | 116(37.5%) | 234(37.1%) | |
| Asian | 106(33.0%) | 103(33.3%) | 209(33.2%) | |
| Caucasian | 46(14.3%) | 36(11.7%) | 82(13.0%) | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 51(15.9%) | 53(17.2%) | 104(16.5%) | |
| Australian Aborigin | al or Torres Str | ait or South Sea | Islander | |
| | 0 | 1(0.3%) | 1(0.2%) | |
| Age (Year) | | | | |
| Mean (SE) | 36.08 (0.559) | 35.82 (0.567) | 35.95 (0.398) | |
| Median | 34.38 | 34.89 | 34.54 | |
| Range | (18.89, 69.30) | (18.33, 66.59 |) (18.33, 69.30) | |

| STD | | 10.02 | 9.967 | 9.987 |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| Age Category <=35 | 1 (35yrs) 169 152 | (52.6%) (47.4%) | 157 (50.8%) | 326(51.7%) |
| Age Category <65 | 2 (65yrs) 320 | (99.7%) | 307 (99.4%) | 627 (99.5%) |
| >=65 Baseline HIV Mean (SE) | I RNA log10 (IU/r 36.08 | (0.3%) nL) 3 (0.559) | 2(0.6%) 35.82 (0.567) | 3(0.5%) 35.95 (0.398) |
| Median Range STD | (18.89, | 34.38 , 69.30) 10.02 | 34.89 (18.33, 66.59) 9.967 | 34.54 (18.33, 69.30) 9.987 |
| Screening HIV =<100,000 >100,000 | V RNA Category 197 124 | (copies/mL (61.4%) (38.6%) |) 197(63.8%) 112(36.2%) | 394(62.5%) 236(37.5%) |
| Baseline HIV Mean (SE) Median Range STD | RNA (copies/mL) 164000 (331, 3 | (21278) 57814 3990000) 381000 | 164000 (34398) 53295 (162, 10000000) 605000 | 164000 (20038) 56469 (162, 10000000) 503000 |
| Baseline HIV Mean (SE) Median Range STD | RNA log10 (cop: 4.71 (2.52, | ies/mL) (0.039) 4.76 6.60) (0.697 | 4.68 (0.041) 4.73 2.21, 7.00) (0.712 | 4.69 (0.028) 4.75 2.21, 7.00) 0.704 |
| Baseline HIV <1000 ≥1000, <10K ≥10K, <100K ≥100K | RNA Category 1 3(55(156(107(| (copies/m 0.9%) 17.1%) 48.6%) 33.3%) | L) 4(1.3%) 49(15.9%) 149(48.2%) 107(34.6%) | 7(1.1%) 104(16.5%) 305(48.4%) 214(34.0%) |
| Baseline BMI Mean (SE) Median Range STD | (kg/m^2) 24.05 (15.84) | 5 (0.261) 23.23 , 48.26) 4.666 | 24.31 (0.294) 23.39 (15.28, 50.09) 5.174 | 24.18 (0.196) 23.34 (15.28, 50.09) 4.920 |
| Baseline BMI <=25 25<=, <30 >=30 missing | Category 1 (kg, 215 75 30 1 | /m^2) (67.0%) (23.4%) (9.3%) (0.3%) | 204(66.0%) 72(23.3%) 33(10.7%) 0(0%) | 419(66.5%) 147(23.3%) 63(10.0%) 1(0.2%) |
| Baseline BMI | Category 2 (kg, | /m^2) | | |

| <=18.5 18.5<=,<25 25<=,<30 30<=,<35 >=35 missing | 21(6.5%) 194(60.4%) 75(23.4%) 24(7.5%) 6(1.9%) 1(0.3%) | 23(7.4%) 181(58.6%) 72(23.3%) 21(6.8%) 12(3.9%) 0(0%) | 44(7.0%) 375(59.5%) 147(23.3%) 45(7.1%) 18(2.9%) 1(0.2%) |
|--|--|--|--|
| CDC AIDS Category Category A Category B Category C | 264(82.2%) 46(14.3%) 11(3.4%) | 265(85.8%) 33(10.7%) 11(3.6%) | 529(84.0%) 79(12.5%) 22(3.5%) |
| Mode of Acquisition HIV Blood/blood product Heterosexual contact Homosexual/bisexual Injecting drug use Other | 1(0.3%) 156(48.6%) 138(43.0%) 2(0.6%) 24(7.5%) | 3(1.0%) 155(50.2%) 134(43.4%) 0(0%) 17(5.5%) | 4(0.6%) 311(49.4%) 272(43.2%) 2(0.3%) 41(6.5%) |
| HBV Surface Antigen Negative Positive | 203(93.1%) 15(6.9%) | 194(94.2%) 12(5.8%) | 397(93.6%) 27(6.4%) |
| HCV Antibody Negative Positive | 208(97.7%) 5(2.3%) | 194(98.5%) 3(1.5%) | 402(98.0%) 8(2.0%) |
| Country ARGENTINA AUSTRALIA CHILE GERMANY HONG KONG ISRAEL MALAYSIA MEXICO NIGERIA SINGAPORE SOUTH AFRICA THAILAND UNITED KINGDOM | 36(11.7%) $22(7.2%)$ $13(4.2%)$ $8(2.6%)$ $3(1.0%)$ $12(3.9%)$ $15(4.9%)$ $11(3.6%)$ $31(10.1%)$ $20(6.5%)$ $75(24.4%)$ $45(14.7%)$ $16(5.2%)$ | 37 (12.6%) 19 (6.5%) 11 (3.8%) 6 (2.0%) 3 (1.0%) 11 (3.8%) 11 (3.8%) 11 (3.8%) 28 (9.6%) 20 (6.8%) 77 (26.3%) 47 (16.0%) 12 (4.1%) | 73 (12.2%) 41 (6.8%) 24 (4.0%) 14 (2.3%) 6 (1.0%) 23 (3.8%) 26 (4.3%) 22 (3.7%) 59 (9.8%) 40 (6.7%) 152 (25.3%) 92 (15.3%) 28 (4.7%) |

Reviewer Comment

No pediatric patients were enrolled in ENCORE1. The ENCORE1 study provides good representation of women (203/630, 32%) as well as subjects of African (234/630, 37%) and Asian (210/630, 33%) ethnicity. The other baseline demographics, clinical, immunologic, and virologic characteristics are similar to other recent treatment-naïve studies.

6.1.3 Subject Disposition

Overall, 630 subjects (321 in EFV 400mg group; 309 in EFV 600 mg group) received at least one dose of study drug. Subject disposition at Week 48 is summarized in Table 4.

Table 4: Subject disposition at Week 48

| | EFV 400 mg | EFV 600 mg | Total |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Subjects treated, n | 321 | 309 | 630 |
| Completed Week 48, n (%) | 311 (96.9) | 295 (95.5) | 606 (96.2) |
| | | | |
| Discontinued before Week 48, n (%) | 10 (3.1) | 14 (4.5) | 24 (3.8) |
| Death | 2 (0.6) | 3 (1.0) | 5 (0.8) |
| Withdrew consent | 7 (2.2) | 4 (1.3) | 11 (1.7) |
| Lost to follow-up | 0 | 2 (0.6) | 2 (0.3) |
| Missing Week 48 assessment | 1 (0.3) | 5 (1.6) | 6 (1.0) |

Reviewer Comment

Overall, there was a high rate of subject retention in the ENCORE1 study, with 606 subjects (311 in the EFV 400mg group; 295 in the EFV 600 mg group) completing study through the first 48 weeks (primary endpoint).

Of note, FDA reviewer results in Table 4 are slightly different from the results in the CSR. Please see the appendix (Section 9.5) for a detailed explanation.

6.1.4 Analysis of Primary Endpoint(s)

The Division's recommendation of the primary analysis is the proportion of subjects with plasma HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL at Week 48 and FDA's efficacy analyses are summarized in Table 5.

| Treated Subjects Parameters analyzed | EFV400 (N=321) | EFV600 (N=309) | Rate Diff | Mantel-Haenszel Rate Diff adjusted by the HIV RNA viral load at Baseline ³ |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| <50 c/mL at Week 48 | 276/321 (86.0%) | 261/309 (84.4%) | 1.5% | 1.6% |
| 95% CI | (81.7%, 89.8%) ¹ | (79.9%, 88.3%) ¹ | (-4.0%, 7.1%) ² | (-3.9%, 7.2%) |

Table 5: Primary Efficacy Endpoint (<50 c/mL) Results at Week 48</th>

1: Exact CI was used; 2: Asymptotic CI was used;

³: HIV RNA viral load: <100k or ≥100k c/mL was used as the stratification factor

The lower bound of 95% confidence interval (CI) for rate difference between EFV 400 mg and EFV 600 mg was -4.0% and was -3.9% with adjustment of baseline HIV-1 RNA viral load, which are both greater than -10% NI margin. This demonstrated that EFV400 mg is non-inferior to EFV 600 mg with a NI margin of 10%. The proportions of subjects with plasma HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL in both arms were very similar, 86.0% for the EFV 400mg group and 84.4% for the EFV 600mg group.

The subjects not categorized as responders were classified into different categories (Table 6). These results will be presented in the label in the following format.

Table 6: Primary Efficacy Endpoint (<50 c/mL) Results at Week 48 for Labeling

| | At Week 48 | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Outcomes (<50 copies/mL) | EFV400 (N=321) | EFV600 (N=309) | |
| Responder ^a | 86% | 84% | |
| Virologic failure ^b | 11% | 11% | |
| Rebound | 9% | 8% | |
| Never suppressed | 2% | 3% | |
| Death | 1% | 1% | |
| Discontinued for other reasons ^c | 2% | 4% | |

^a: Subjects achieved confirmed HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL at Week 48.

^b: Includes confirmed viral rebound and failure to achieve confirmed <50 copies/mL through Week 48

^c: Includes discontinued due to Adverse Event, lost to follow-up, subject's withdrawal, noncompliance, protocol violation and other reasons.

6.1.5 Analysis of Secondary Endpoints(s)

The Division views the proportion of subjects with plasma HIV-1 RNA <200 copies/mL at Week 48 as the secondary efficacy endpoint even though it was stated as the primary efficacy endpoint in the protocol. Secondary efficacy analyses of HIV-1 RNA <200 copies/mL are summarized in Table 7.

| Table 7: Secondary | / Efficacy | Endpoint (| (<200 c/mL) | Results at Week | 48 |
|--------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|----|
|--------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|----|

| Treated Subjects Parameters analyzed | EFV400 (N=321) | EFV600 (N=309) | Rate Diff | Mantel-Haenszel Rate Diff adjusted by the HIV RNA viral load at Baseline ³ |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--|
| <200 c/mL at Week 48 | 303/321 (94.4%) | 287/309 (92.9%) | 1.5% | 1.5% |

| 05% CI | (91.3%, | (89.4%, | (-2.3%, | (-2.4%, 5.4%) |
|--------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------|
| 95% CI | 96.6%) ¹ | 95.7%) ¹ | 5.3%)² | |
| | | | | |

1: Exact CI was used; 2: Asymptotic CI was used;

³: HIV RNA viral load: <100k or ≥100k c/mL was used as the stratification factor

Reviewer Comment

The lower bound of 95% confidence interval (CI) for rate difference between EFV 400 mg and EFV 600 mg was -2.3% and was -2.4% with an adjustment of baseline HIV-1 RNA viral load, which are both greater than -10% NI margin. This demonstrated that EFV400 mg is non-inferior to EFV 600 mg with NI margin of 10%. The proportions of subjects with plasma HIV-1 RNA <200 copies/mL in both arms were overall similar, 94.4% for the EFV 400mg group and 92.9% for the EFV 600mg group.

6.1.6 Other Endpoints

In the mITT population, an increase in CD4 count from baseline was noted in the EFV 400 mg group compared to the EFV 600 mg at Week 48 (183 cells/ μ L vs. 158 cells/ μ L, 95% CL: 6.6 - 44.4). However, the magnitude of these changes between the treatment groups is not clinically meaningful since mean baseline CD4 counts was 273 cells/ μ L in both treatment groups.

| Treated Subjects | | CD4 count | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Parameters analyzed | EFV400 (N=321) | EFV600(N=309) | Mean Change Difference ² |
| CD4 count at Baseline | N=321 | N=309 | |
| (Mean (min, max)) | 272.8 (63.0, 526.5) | 272.4 (37.7, 679.0) | |
| CD4 count at Week 48 | N=311 | N=295 | |
| (Mean (min, max)) | 458.2 (79.0, 993.0) | 435.3 (66.0, 942.0) | |
| Mean Change at Week 48 from Baseline ¹ | 183.0 (-68.5, 660.5) | 157.5 (-121.5, 547.5) | 25.5 |
| 95% CI of Mean Change | (169.4, 196.5) | (144.3, 170.7) | (6.6, 44.4) |

Table 8: Summary of Mean Changes in CD4+ from Baseline to Week 48

¹: LOCF was used to calculate the mean change from baseline to Week 48 (total 24 subjects missed Week 48 CD4 count);

²: A T-test with Pooled method was used to generate mean change difference and its 95% CI

6.1.7 Subpopulations

Subgroup analyses for stratification factor, HIV-1 RNA viral load at baseline, are summarized for the primary efficacy endpoint of HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL (Table 9) and for the secondary efficacy endpoint of HIV-1 RNA <200 copies/mL (Table 10).

 Table 9: Primary Efficacy Endpoint (<50 c/mL) Results by Baseline HIV-1 RNA viral load</td>

 at Week 48

| Treated Subjects | <100,000 c/mL at Baseline | | ≥100,000 c/mL at Baseline | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Parameters analyzed | EFV400 (N=321) | EFV600 (N=309) | Rate Diff | EFV400 (N=321) | EFV600 (N=309) | Rate Diff |
| <50 c/mL at Week 48 | 174/197 (88.3%) | 170/197 (86.3%) | 2.0% | 102/124 (82.3%) | 91/112 (81.3%) | 1.0% |
| 95% CI | (83.0%, 92.5%) ¹ | (80.7%, 90.8%) ¹ | (-4.5%, 8.6%)² | (74.4%, 88.5%) ¹ | (72.8%, 88.0%) ¹ | (-8.9%, 10.9%) ² |

¹: Exact CI was used; ²: Asymptotic CI was used;

³: HIV RNA viral load: <100k or ≥100k c/mL was used as the stratification factor

Reviewer Comment

The primary efficacy endpoint results within two strata were similar to the overall results. The response rates in the strata of the HIV-1 RNA viral load \geq 100,000 c/mL at Baseline were slightly lower than the overall results and the lower bound of 95% CI of -8.9% was also lower than that in the overall analysis.

| Table 10: Secondary Efficacy Endpoint (<200 c/mL) Results by Baseline HIV-1 R | NA viral |
|---|----------|
| load at Week 48 | |

| Treated Subjects | <100,000 c/mL at Baseline | | | ≥100,000 c/mL at Baseline | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Boromotoro | EFV400 | EFV600 | Poto Diff | EFV400 | EFV600 | Poto Diff |
| analyzed | (N=321) | (N=309) | Rale Dill | (N=321) | (N=309) | Rale Dill |
| <200 c/mL | 187/197 | 183/197 | 2 0% | 116/124 | 104/112 | 0.7% |
| at Week 48 | (94.9%) | (92.9%) | 2.070 | (93.6%) | (92.9%) | 0.7 /0 |
| 95% CI | (90.9%, 97.5%) ¹ | (88.4%, 96.1%) ¹ | (-2.7%, 6.7%) ² | (87.7%, 97.2%) ¹ | (86.4%, 96.9%) ¹ | (-5.7%, 7.1%)² |

1: Exact CI was used; 2: Asymptotic CI was used;

³: HIV RNA viral load: <100k or ≥100k c/mL was used as the stratification factor

Reviewer Comment

The secondary efficacy endpoint results within two strata were similar to the overall results.

Subgroup analyses for some baseline demographic factors and the primary efficacy endpoint are summarized in Table 11.

| Table 11: Subgroup analyses of Primary Efficacy Endpoint (<50 c/mL) - Res | sults by |
|---|----------|
| Baseline Factors at Week 48 | |

| Efficacy Parameter | EFV4 | 00 | EFV6 | 00 | Tot | al |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Treated (ITT) N | 276/321 | (86.0) | 261/309 | (84.5) | 537/630 | (85.2) |
| Gender Female Male | 85 /100 191 /221 | (85.0) (86.4) | 87 /103 174 /206 | (84.5) (84.5) | 172 /203 365 /427 | (84.7) (85.5) |
| Ethnicity African heritage Asian Caucasian Hispanic or Latin Australian Aboriginal | 99 /118 92 /106 40 / 46 45 / 51 or Torres . / . | (83.9) (86.8) (87.0) (88.2) Strait (.) | 96 /116 91 /103 31 / 36 42 / 53 or South 1 / 1 | (82.8) (88.3) (86.1) (79.2) Sea Is: (100) | 195 /234 183 /209 71 / 82 87 /104 lander 1 / 1 | (83.3) (87.6) (86.6) (83.7) (100) |
| Age Group 1 (35 years) <=35 >35 | 147 /169 129 /152 | (87.0) (84.9) | 128 /157 133 /152 | (81.5) (87.5) | 275 /326 262 /304 | (84.4) (86.2) |
| Age Group 2 (65 years) <65 >=65 | 275 /320 1 / 1 | (85.9) (100) | 260 /307 1 / 2 | (84.7) (50.0) | 535 /627 2 / 3 | (85.3) (66.7) |
| Baseline BMI Category 1 <=25 25<=, <30 >=30 missing | (kg/m^2) 183 /215 66 / 75 26 / 30 1 / 1 | (85.1) (88.0) (86.7) (100) | 174 /204 58 / 72 29 / 33 . / . | (85.3) (80.6) (87.9) () | 357 /419 124 /147 55 / 63 1 / 1 | (85.2) (84.4) (87.3) (100) |
| Baseline BMI Category 2 <=18.5 18.5<=,<25 25<=,<30 30<=,<35 >=35 missing | (kg/m^2) 15 / 21 168 /194 66 / 75 20 / 24 6 / 6 1 / 1 | (71.4) (86.6) (88.0) (83.3) (100) (100) | 18 / 23 156 /181 58 / 72 18 / 21 11 / 12 . / . | (78.3) (86.2) (80.6) (85.7) (91.7) () | 33 / 44 324 /375 124 /147 38 / 45 17 / 18 1 / 1 | (75.0) (86.4) (84.4) (84.4) (94.4) (100) |
| Mode of Acquisition HIV Blood/blood product Heterosexual contact Homosexual/bisexual | . / 1 131 /156 122 /138 | (0.00) (84.0) (88.4) | 2 / 3 131 /155 115 /134 | (66.7) (84.5) (85.8) | 2 / 4 262 /311 237 /272 | (50.0) (84.2) (87.1) |

| Injecting drug use Other; | 2 / 2 21 / 24 | (100) (87.5) | . / . 13 / 17 | (.) (76.5) | 2 / 2 34 / 41 | (100) (82.9) |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| CDC AIDS Category Category A Category B Category C | 227 /264 41 / 46 8 / 11 | (86.0) (89.1) (72.7) | 224 /265 28 / 33 9 / 11 | (84.5) (84.8) (81.8) | 451 /529 69 / 79 17 / 22 | (85.3) (87.3) (77.3) |
| HBV Surface Antigen Negative Positive | 178 /203 13 / 15 | (87.7) (86.7) | 161 /194 12 / 12 | (83.0) (100) | 339 /397 25 / 27 | (85.4) (92.6) |
| HCV Antibody Negative Positive | 184 /208 2 / 5 | (88.5) (40.0) | 163 /194 2 / 3 | (84.0) (66.7) | 347 /402 4 / 8 | (86.3) (50.0) |
| Country ARGENTINA AUSTRALIA CHILE GERMANY HONG KONG ISRAEL MALAYSIA MEXICO NIGERIA SINGAPORE SOUTH AFRICA THAILAND UNITED KINGDOM | 32 / 36 19 / 22 12 / 13 6 / 8 3 / 3 8 / 12 13 / 15 10 / 11 26 / 31 18 / 20 65 / 75 39 / 45 14 / 16 | <pre>(88.9) (86.4) (92.3) (75.0) (100) (66.7) (86.7) (90.9) (83.9) (90.0) (86.7) (86.7) (86.7) (87.5)</pre> | 28 / 37 18 / 19 10 / 11 6 / 6 3 / 3 7 / 11 8 / 11 9 / 11 22 / 28 19 / 20 67 / 77 42 / 47 9 / 12 | <pre>(75.7) (94.7) (90.9) (100) (100) (63.6) (72.7) (81.8) (78.6) (95.0) (87.0) (89.4) (75.0)</pre> | 60 / 73 37 / 41 22 / 24 12 / 14 6 / 6 15 / 23 21 / 26 19 / 22 48 / 59 37 / 40 132 /152 81 / 92 23 / 28 | <pre>(82.2) (90.2) (91.7) (85.7) (100) (65.2) (80.8) (86.4) (81.4) (92.5) (86.8) (88.0) (82.1)</pre> |

Reviewer Comment

None of baseline factors analyzed had a clinically or statistically significant impact on the primary efficacy endpoint results.

Subgroup analyses for some baseline demographic factors and the secondary efficacy endpoint of HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL are summarized in Table 12.

Table 12: Subgroup analyses of One of the Secondary Efficacy Endpoints (<200 c/mL) - Results by Baseline Factors at Week 48

| Efficacy Parameter | EFV400 | EFV600 | Total |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Treated (ITT) N | 303/321(94.4) | 287/309(92.9) | 590/630(93.7) |
| Gender Female Male | 91 /100 (91.0) 212 /221 (95.9) | 94 /103 (91.3) 193 /206 (93.7) | 185 /203 (91.1) 405 /427 (94.8) |
| Ethnicity African heritage Asian | 107 /118 (90.7) 103 /106 (97.2) | 104 /116 (89.7) 100 /103 (97.1) | 211 /234 (90.2) 203 /209 (97.1) |

| Caucasian Hispanic or Latin Australian Aboriginal | 44 / 46 49 / 51 or Torres . / . | (95.7) (96.1) Strait (.) | 33 / 36 49 / 53 or South 1 / 1 | (91.7) 7 (92.5) 9 Sea Island (100) | 7 / 82 8 /104 der 1 / 1 | (93.9) (94.2) (100) |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Age Group 1 (35 years) <=35 >35 | 158 /169 145 /152 | (93.5) (95.4) | 142 /157 145 /152 | (90.4) 30 (95.4) 29 | 0 /326 | (92.0) (95.4) |
| Age Group 2 (65 years) <65 >=65 | 302 /320 1 / 1 | (94.4) (100) | 285 /307 2 / 2 | (92.8) 58 (100) | 7 /627 3 / 3 | (93.6) (100) |
| Baseline BMI Category 1 <=25 25<=, <30 >=30 missing | (kg/m^2) 203 /215 70 / 75 29 / 30 1 / 1 | (94.4) (93.3) (96.7) (100) | 187 /204 68 / 72 32 / 33 . / . | (91.7) 39 (94.4) 13 (97.0) 6 () | 0 /419 8 /147 1 / 63 1 / 1 | (93.1) (93.9) (96.8) (100) |
| Baseline BMI Category 2 <=18.5 18.5<=,<25 25<=,<30 30<=,<35 >=35 missing | (kg/m^2) 20 / 21 183 /194 70 / 75 23 / 24 6 / 6 1 / 1 | (95.2) (94.3) (93.3) (95.8) (100) (100) | 19 / 23 168 /181 68 / 72 20 / 21 12 / 12 . / . | (82.6) 3 (92.8) 35 (94.4) 13 (95.2) 4 (100) 1 (.) | 9 / 44 1 /375 8 /147 3 / 45 8 / 18 1 / 1 | (88.6) (93.6) (93.9) (95.6) (100) (100) |
| Mode of Acquisition HIV Blood/blood product Heterosexual contract Homosexual/bisexual Injecting drug use Other; | . / 1 145 /156 135 /138 2 / 2 21 / 24 | (0.00) (92.9) (97.8) (100) (87.5) | 3 / 3 144 /155 126 /134 . / . 14 / 17 | (100) (92.9) 28 (94.0) 26 (.) (82.4) 3 | 3 / 4 9 /311 1 /272 2 / 2 5 / 41 | (75.0) (92.9) (96.0) (100) (85.4) |
| CDC AIDS Category Category A Category B Category C | 252 /264 42 / 46 9 / 11 | (95.5) (91.3) (81.8) | 247 /265 30 / 33 10 / 11 | (93.2) 49 (90.9) 7 (90.9) 1 | 9 /529 2 / 79 9 / 22 | (94.3) (91.1) (86.4) |
| HBV Surface Antigen Negative Positive | 193 /203 14 / 15 | (95.1) (93.3) | 180 /194 12 / 12 | (92.8) 37 (100) 2 | 3 /397 6 / 27 | (94.0) (96.3) |
| HCV Antibody Negative Positive | 200 /208 2 / 5 | (96.2) (40.0) | 182 /194 2 / 3 | (93.8) 38 (66.7) | 2 /402 4 / 8 | (95.0) (50.0) |
| Country ARGENTINA AUSTRALIA CHILE GERMANY HONG KONG ISRAEL | 35 / 36 20 / 22 13 / 13 8 / 8 3 / 3 10 / 12 | (97.2) (90.9) (100) (100) (100) (83.3) | 33 / 37 18 / 19 11 / 11 6 / 6 3 / 3 7 / 11 | (89.2) 6 (94.7) 3 (100) 2 (100) 1 (100) (63.6) 1 | 8 / 73 8 / 41 4 / 24 4 / 14 6 / 6 7 / 23 | (93.2) (92.7) (100) (100) (100) (73.9) |

| MALAYSIA | 15 / 15 (100) | 9 / 11 (81.8) | 24 / 26 (92 | .3) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|-----|
| MEXICO | 10 / 11 (90.9) | 10 / 11 (90.9) | 20 / 22 (90 | .9) |
| NIGERIA | 27 / 31 (87.1) | 25 / 28 (89.3) | 52 / 59 (88 | .1) |
| SINGAPORE | 20 / 20 (100) | 20 / 20 (100) | 40 / 40 (1 | 00) |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 70 / 75 (93.3) | 72 / 77 (93.5) | 142 /152 (93 | .4) |
| THAILAND | 44 / 45 (97.8) | 47 / 47 (100) | 91 / 92 (98 | .9) |
| UNITED KINGDOM | 15 / 16 (93.8) | 11 / 12 (91.7) | 26 / 28 (92 | .9) |

Reviewer Comment

None of baseline factors analyzed had significant impact on the secondary efficacy endpoint results.

The subgroup analyses by sites for the primary and secondary efficacy endpoints are listed in the appendix (Section 9.6).

6.1.8 Analysis of Clinical Information Relevant to Dosing Recommendations

The Applicant used the ENCORE1 data to support the dosage recommendation for the proposed 400 mg QD dosing of EFV. Please see Section 6.1.4

6.1.9 Discussion of Persistence of Efficacy and/or Tolerance Effects



6.1.10 Additional Efficacy Issues/Analyses

None.

7 Review of Safety

Safety Summary

The safety results from ENCORE1 are consistent with the findings from prior clinical trials with EFV 600 mg, TDF, and FTC, as well as post-marketing experience with these approved products. Through Week 48, EFV 400 mg QD was not associated with an

increase in SAEs, Grade 3 or 4 AEs, or laboratory abnormalities compared to EFV 600 mg QD.

7.1 Methods

7.1.1 Studies/Clinical Trials Used to Evaluate Safety

The safety review is based upon data from the ENCORE1 study. Week 48 results were included in the submitted datasets.

. Therefore, the labeling

(b) (4)

7.1.2 Categorization of Adverse Events

The Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA), version 15.0 was used for AE coding. Adverse events were summarized by MedDRA System Organ Class and Preferred Term. A treatment-emergent AE was defined as any AE that began on or after the treatment start date up to 30 days after the treatment stop date.

A serious adverse event (SAE) is any event that results in any one of the following outcomes: death; life-threatening AE; persistent or significant disability/incapacity; required in-patient hospitalization or prolonged hospitalization; congenital anomaly or birth defect; other important medical events that may jeopardize the subject and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the above outcomes.

7.1.3 Pooling of Data Across Studies/Clinical Trials to Estimate and Compare Incidence

Only data from ENCORE1 are presented in this review.

- 7.2 Adequacy of Safety Assessments
- 7.2.1 Overall Exposure at Appropriate Doses/Durations and Demographics of Target Populations

The dose and formulation selected for marketing is the EFV/3TC/TDF (400/300/300 mg) tablet.

The EFV 400 mg dose was compared with the EFV 600 mg dose in the ENCORE1 study. Overall, 630 subjects (321 in the EFV 400mg group; 309 in the EFV 600 mg group) received at least one dose of study drug. Through Week 48, 570 subjects (295 in

the EFV 400 mg group; 275 in the EFV 600 mg group) were still receiving study drug. The reasons for stopping blinded EFV are summarized in Table 13.

| | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | N (%) | N (%) | | |
| # of subjects who discontinued EFV, n (%) | 26 (8.1) | 34 (11.0) | | |
| Adverse events (AEs) | 13 (4.0) | 17 (5.5) | | |
| Subject decision | 4 (1.2) | 3 (1.0) | | |
| Death | 2 (0.6) | 3 (1.0) | | |
| Pregnancy | 2 (0.6) | 3 (1.0) | | |
| Withdrew from study | 2 (0.6) | 2 (0.6) | | |
| Virologic failure | 1 (0.3) | 2 (0.6) | | |
| Physician decision | 1 (0.3) | 2 (0.6) | | |
| Missed doses > 30 days | 0 | 2 (0.6) | | |
| Lost to follow-up | 1 (0.3) | 0 | | |

Table 13: Reasons for discontinuing blinded EFV

Reviewer Comment

Through Week 48, a lower proportion of subjects (8.1%) in the EFV 400 mg group discontinued study drug compared to the EFV 600 mg group (11%). The difference between treatment groups was not statistically significant.

Please refer to Section 6.1.2 for demographic information. Additional discussion about deaths (Section 7.3.1), AEs (Section 7.3.3), and pregnancies are provided in other sections of the review.

7.2.2 Explorations for Dose Response

Please see Section 6.1.4

7.2.3 Special Animal and/or In Vitro Testing

Not applicable. New nonclinical studies were not performed.

7.2.4 Routine Clinical Testing

Subjects underwent clinic visits at Weeks 0, 4, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84 and 96 for physical examination, adverse event reporting, biochemistry, hematology, immunology, and local viral load (VL) quantification for clinical management. Plasma VL was also measured at one central reference laboratory with the Abbott m2000 Real Time HIV-1 Test (

7.2.5 Metabolic, Clearance, and Interaction Workup

Not applicable.

7.2.6 Evaluation for Potential Adverse Events for Similar Drugs in Drug Class

The known safety profiles of the ARVs used in this study were taken into consideration during the safety review.

7.3 Major Safety Results

7.3.1 Deaths

There were five deaths through Week 48, two in the EFV 400mg group, and three in the EFV 600 mg group. These cases are summarized below:

- Subject 0111101 was a 58-year old Caucasian male randomized to the EFV 400 mg group. Study treatment started on 19-Sep-2011. On 30-Nov-2011, he presented with diffuse high grade B-cell lymphoma which was HIV-associated. The event was coded as Grade 4 non-Hodgkin's lymphoma with onset date on 06-Dec-2011. He was hospitalized for chemotherapy on ^{(b) (6)}. He died of multi-organ failure on ^{(b) (6)}. Primary cause of death was documented as non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Death was assessed by the study investigator as probably not related to study drug.
- 2. Subject 1201218 was a 42-year old Asian male randomized to the EFV 400 mg group. Study treatment started on 15-Feb-2012. He presented with persistent serious Grade 3 dizziness on 16-Feb-2012 that changed to Grade 2 on 18-Feb-2012. The events of dizziness were assessed as probably related to study treatment. Following hospitalization, brain masses were observed and CNS lymphoma or infiltrative glioma was suspected. Brain biopsy could not be performed because of the location of the masses. Therapy with XRT 40Gy/20F was planned but only SRT 22Gy could be administered due to thrombocytopenia. Worsening of clinical status was observed after radiation therapy. On 19-Apr-2012, he presented with dyspnea and productive cough and patchy infiltration of both lungs was observed. Pneumonia and septicemia was suspected. No antibiotics were prescribed. He developed septic shock and died on suspected was assessed by the study investigator as probably not related to study drug.
- 3. Subject 0901104 was a 31-year old Asian male randomized to the EFV 600 mg group. Study treatment started on 10-Feb-2012. He was hospitalized on ^{(b) (6)} following a week of fever, sore throat and diarrhea. On admission, he was unconscious, hypotensive, and showing signs of sepsis and renal and hepatic impairment. He died on ^{(b) (6)} following cardiac arrest. The primary cause of death was septic shock. Death was assessed by the study investigator as probably not related to study drug.
- 4. Subject 1401103 was a 30-year old African male randomized to the EFV 600 mg group. Study treatment started on 18-Jan-2012. This subject had a protocol violation of having had twice daily treatments of TDF/FTC for 43 days instead of the prescribed dose of once daily. He presented with serious Grade 4 disseminated TB on 05-Feb-2012 which was downgrade

to non-serious Grade 2 on 04-Apr-2012. Both AEs of TB were related to study treatment. He also presented with serious Grade 3 subdural hematoma from 16- to 17-May-2012. This recurred as serious Grade 4 subdural hematoma on 04-Jun-2012 which was downgraded to non-serious Grade 2 subdural hematoma on 06-Jun-2012. All AEs of subdural hematoma were assessed as not related to study drug. He was hospitalized due to CNS toxoplasmosis and respiratory dysfunction on $(0)^{(6)}$. Blood culture tested positive for *Acinetobacter*. Diagnosis was pneumonia due to *Acinetobacter* that resulted in death on 07-Sep-2012. Death was assessed by the study investigator as probably not related to study drug.

5. Subject 2003034 was a 23-year old African male randomized to the EFV 600 mg group. Study treatment started on 09-Nov-2011. He died on ^{(b) (6)} after ingestion of rat poison and his death was assessed as suicide. Toxicology report was not available. Death was assessed by the study investigator as possibly related to study treatment.

Reviewer comment

- For cases 1-4, this reviewer agrees with the investigator assessments that these deaths were probably not related to study drug.
- For case 5, although insufficient data was provided to conclusively determine causality, this reviewer agrees with the investigator assessment that the study drug could possibly have contributed to suicide.

7.3.2 Nonfatal Serious Adverse Events

Serious adverse events (SAEs) were overall comparable for both groups. Through Week 48, SAEs occurred in 19 subjects (5.9%) in the EFV 400 mg group and 17 subjects (5.5%) in the EFV 600 mg group. Overall, the SOC categories were balanced between treatment groups. Most SAEs were assessed by investigators as not related to study drug. These SAEs are summarized in Table 14.

| | EFV 400 mg (N=321) | EFV 600 mg (N=309) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | N (%) | N (%) |
| Total # of subjects with SAE, n (%) | 19 (5.9) | 17 (5.5) |
| Infections and infestations | 7 (2.2) | 10 (3.2) |
| Vascular disorders | 3 (0.9) | 2 (0.6) |
| Gastrointestinal disorders | 2 (0.6) | 1 (0.3) |
| Blood and lymphatic disorders | 2 (0.6) | 0 |
| Reproductive disorders | 2 (0.6) | 1 (0.3) |
| General system disorders | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Immune system disorders | 1 (0.3) | 1 (0.3) |
| Injury, poisoning and procedural | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Metabolism and nutritional disorders | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Neoplastic disorders | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Psychiatric disorders | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Eye disorders | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Hepatobiliary disorders | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Nervous system disorders | 0 | 1 (0.3) |

Table 14: Non-Fatal Serious Adverse Events through Week 48

| Pregnancy, puerperium and perinatal | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
|--|---|---------|
| Renal and urinary disorders | 0 | 2 (0.6) |
| Respiratory disorders | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Surgical and medical procedures | 0 | 1 (0.3) |

The number of SAEs considered by investigators as related to study drug (two in EFV 400 mg recipients, and four in EFV 600 mg recipients) was overall comparable for both groups. These cases are summarized below:

- 1. Subject 1201218 was a 42-year old Asian male randomized to EFV 400 mg. This subject is discussed in Section 7.3.1; Grade 3 dizziness was assessed by investigators as definitely related to study treatment.
- Subject 2003049 was a 45-year-old African male randomized to EFV 400 mg. Study treatment started on 02-Feb-2012. On 03-Feb-2012, he presented with serious Grade 4 Stevens-Johnson syndrome that was assessed by investigators as definitely related to study treatment. The event resolved on 10-Feb-2012. This subject completed Week 48.
- 3. Subject 0201103 was a 52-year-old Hispanic male randomized to EFV 600 mg. Study treatment started on 7-Mar-2012. On 11-Jan-2013, he presented with an SAE of Grade 3 nephrotic syndrome that was assessed by investigators as probably related to study treatment. The SAE was reported as ongoing. Other AEs that were reported included serious Grade 3 pneumonia, serious Grade 3 pleural effusions, and non-serious Grade 2 herpes zoster which were all assessed as not related to study treatment. This subject completed Week 48.
- 4. Subject 0208002 was a 26-year-old Caucasian male randomized to EFV 600 mg. Study treatment started on 12-Mar-2012. On 19-Mar-2012, he presented with non-serious Grade 2 diffuse maculopapular rash. On 22-Mar-2012, he presented with serious Grade 3 lip edema and the rash worsened to Grade 3 SAE on the same day. These two SAEs were assessed by investigators as definitely related to study treatment and resulted in change in ART. The lip edema resolved on 23-Mar-2012 and the maculo-papular rash on 30-Mar-2012. Other AEs reported were non-serious Grade 2 rash macular on 01-May-2012 assessed as definitely related and non-serious Grade 2 rash on 20-May-2012, both assessed as probably related to study treatment. Both AEs resolved. This subject completed Week 48.
- 5. Subject 2002015 was a 35-year-old male African male randomized to EFV 600 mg. Study treatment started on 17-Nov-2011. On 15-Dec-2011, he presented with an SAE of Grade 4 renal failure (serum creatinine of 6.99 mg/dL) that resulted in a change in ART. (Of note, baseline creatinine was 0.71 mg/dL). The SAE was assessed by investigators as probably related to study treatment. He completed Week 48 with a serum creatinine of 0.77 mg/dL.
- Subject 2003035 was a 33-year-old African female randomized to EFV 600 mg. Study treatment started on 09-Nov-2011. Pregnancy was documented on Week 4. Spontaneous abortion occurred on
 ^{(b) (6)}
 . The SAE was assessed by investigators as possibly related to study treatment.

Reviewer comment

This reviewer agrees with the investigator assessments that these SAEs could be related to study drug. Of note, the renal SAEs were considered as possibly related to TDF.

7.3.3 Dropouts and/or Discontinuations

Through Week 48, AEs leading to discontinuation of blinded EFV were reported in 13 subjects (4%) in the EFV 400 mg group and 17 subjects (5.5%) in the EFV 600 mg group.

| Preferred Term | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | N (%) | N (%) |
| # of subjects with AEs that led to | 13 (4.0) | 17 (5.5) |
| discontinuation of EFV, n (%) | | |
| Rash | 1 (0.3) | 9 (2.9) |
| Stevens-Johnson syndrome | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Dermatitis | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Dermatitis allergic | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Dizziness | 1 (0.3) | 1 (0.3) |
| Insomnia | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Depression | 1 (0.3) | 1 (0.3) |
| Transaminases increased | 3 (0.9) | 1 (0.3) |
| Unspecified | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Pulmonary tuberculosis ¹ | 2 (0.6) | 0 |
| Neutropenia ² | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Nephrotic syndrome ² | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Renal failure ² | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Gynecomastia | 0 | 2 (0.6) |

Table 15: AEs leading to discontinuation of blinded EFV through Week 48

¹Subjects were switched to open-label EFV (600 mg/day) while TB treatment was given. Blinded EFV was resumed after completing TB treatment.

²Blinded EFV was temporarily held (when AE was initially identified), then resumed. Of note, TDF/FTC was discontinued due to AEs in a total of 6 subjects: one subject (with SJS) in the EFV 400 mg group vs. 6 subjects (2 with rash; 1 with nephrotic syndrome; 1 with renal failure; 1 with urosepsis; 1 with elevated transaminases) in the EFV 600 mg group

Reviewer Comment

Discontinuations due to AEs occurred more frequently at EFV 600 mg vs. EFV 400 mg (5.5% vs. 4%); the main difference between treatment groups was more discontinuations due to rash AEs at EFV 600 mg.

7.3.4 Significant Adverse Events

HIV-related opportunistic infections and other AIDS-defining illnesses

Over 48 weeks, new AIDS-defining events were reported in 11 subjects (3.4%) in the EFV 400 mg group and 5 subjects (1.6%) in the EFV 600 mg group. These AIDS-defining events are shown in Table 16.

| Table 16: New | CDC Class C AIDS-Defining | Events (all grades, | regardless of causality) |
|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| through Week | 48 | | - |

| System Organ Class | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Preferred Term | N (%) | N (%) |
| Total # of subjects with AE, n (%) | 11 (3.4) | 5 (1.6) |
| Infections and Infestations | 4 (1.2) | 5 (1.6) |
| Pulmonary tuberculosis | 3 (0.9) | 3 (1.0) |
| Extra-pulmonary tuberculosis | 0 | 2 (0.6) |
| Cerebral toxoplasmosis | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| | | |
| Neoplasms, benign, malignant, and | 7 (2.2) | 0 |
| unspecified | | |
| Kaposi's sarcoma | 3 (0.9) | 0 |
| Non-Hodgkins lymphoma | 3 (0.9) | 0 |
| Primary brain lymphoma | 1 (0.3) | 0 |

The incidence of AIDS-defining events was not statistically different between groups. Overall, no new safety issues regarding development of AIDS-defining events were observed through 48 weeks in ENCORE1.

7.3.5 Submission Specific Primary Safety Concerns

The safety profile of EFV was taken into consideration for this detailed review of specific safety concerns such as rash, nervous system disorders, and psychiatric disorders. The majority of these AEs were mild (Grade 1) to moderate (Grade 2). For Grade 2-4 AEs, rash and dizziness were the only two AEs with more than a 2% difference between treatment groups. Overall, no new safety signals or unexpected toxicities were observed.

Rash

Through Week 48, rash AEs occurred in 84 subjects (26.2%) in the EFV 400 mg group and 99 subjects (32%) in the EFV 600 mg group. Table 17 summarizes rash (all grades) that occurred in at least 1% of subjects (by preferred term) in either group regardless of causality.

Table 17: Grade 1-4 rash observed in ≥ 1% in either treatment group at Week 48

| Preferred Term | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| | N (%) | N (%) |
| # of subjects with Grade 1-4 AE, n (%) | 84 (26.2) | 99 (32.0) |
| Rash | 41 (12.8) | 57 (18.4) |
| Pruritus | 6 (1.9) | 8 (2.6) |
| Eczema | 7 (2.2) | 6 (1.9) |
| Dermatitis allergic | 5 (1.6) | 6 (1.9) |
| Rash papular | 5 (1.6) | 7 (2.3) |
| Rash maculo-papular | 4 (1.2) | 7 (2.3) |
| Rash pruritic | 3 (0.9) | 4 (1.3) |
| Rash macular | 1 (0.3) | 5 (1.6) |

| Rash generalized | 4 (1.2) | 1 (0.3) | |
|------------------|---------|---------|--|

Reviewer Comment

As illustrated in Table 17, the main difference (by preferred term) between treatment groups was that rash (18.4% vs. 12.8%) occurred more frequently at EFV 600 mg vs. EFV 400 mg. By preferred term, other rash AEs were generally similar in both treatment groups or were slightly higher at EFV 600 mg.

As summarized in Table 18, Grade 2-4 rash occurred in 29 subjects (9%) in the EFV 400 mg group and 8 subjects (12.9%) in the EFV 600 mg group through Week 48. **Table 18: Grade 2-4 rash observed in either treatment group at Week 48**.

| Preferred Term | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| | N (%) | N (%) |
| # of subjects with Grade 2-4 AE, n (%) | 29 (9.0) | 40 (12.9) |
| Rash | 16 (5.0) | 26 (8.4) |
| Rash maculo-papular | 4 (1.2) | 5 (1.6) |
| Rash generalized | 2 (0.6) | 1 (0.3) |
| Pruritus | 2 (0.6) | 1 (0.3) |
| Generalized erythema | 2 (0.6) | 0 |
| Photosensitivity reaction | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Rash morbilliform | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Rash papular | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Skin lesion | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Rash erythematous | 0 | 2 (0.6) |
| Rash macular | 0 | 2 (0.6) |
| Rash pruritic | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Rash vesicular | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Dermatitis | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Drug eruption | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Dry skin | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Eczema | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Dermatitis allergic | 3 (0.9) | 2 (0.6) |
| Stevens-Johnson syndrome | 1 (0.3) | 1 (0.3) |
| Urticaria | 0 | 2 (0.6) |

As summarized in Table 19, Grade 3-4 rash occurred in 3 subjects (0.9%) in the EFV 400 mg group and 8 subjects (2.6%) in the EFV 600 mg group through Week 48.

Table 19: Grade 3-4 rash observed in either treatment group at Week 48

| Preferred Term | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| | N (%) | N (%) |
| # of subjects with Grade 3-4 AE, n (%) | 3 (0.9) | 8 (2.6) |
| Rash | 0 | 2 (0.6) |
| Rash maculo-papular | 1 (0.3) | 3 (1.0) |
| Rash morbilliform | 1 (0.3) | 0 |

| Drug eruption | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| Dry skin | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Dermatitis allergic | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Stevens-Johnson syndrome | 1 (0.3) | 0 |

Reviewer Comment

The majority of rash AEs were mild (Grade 1) to moderate (Grade 2). Overall, no new safety signals were identified through 48 weeks in ENCORE1.

Through Week 48, discontinuations due to rash occurred in 3 subjects (0.9%) in the EFV 400 mg group and 10 subjects (3.2%) in the EFV 600 mg group. Please see Section 7.3.3 for additional information on discontinuations due to AEs.

In summary, rash AEs were more common at EFV 600 mg compared to EFV 400 mg.

Nervous system disorders

FDA analyses differ from the Applicant's analyses because the Applicant did not include dizziness in its analyses of nervous system disorders.

Through Week 48, nervous system AEs occurred in 127 subjects (39.6%) in the EFV 400 mg group and 149 subjects (48.2%) in the EFV 600 mg group. Table 20 summarizes nervous system AEs (all grades) that occurred in at least 1% of subjects (by preferred term) in either group regardless of causality.

Table 20: Grade 1-4 nervous system AEs observed in ≥1% in either treatment group atWeek 48

| Preferred Term | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| | N (%) | N (%) |
| # of subjects with Grade 1-4 AE, n (%) | 127 (39.6) | 149 (48.2) |
| Dizziness | 85 (26.5) | 108 (34.9) |
| Headache | 35 (10.9) | 34 (11.0) |
| Paresthesia | 2 (0.6) | 4 (1.3) |
| Hypoesthesia | 2 (0.6) | 3 (1.0) |

Reviewer Comment

Dizziness occurred more frequently at EFV 600 mg vs. EFV 400 mg (34.9% vs. 26.5%); otherwise, the distribution of nervous system AEs was generally similar in both treatment groups.

As summarized in Table 21, the main difference between treatment groups was that Grade 2-4 dizziness occurred more frequently at EFV 600 mg vs. EFV 400 mg (9.1% vs. 5.6%).

Table 21: Grade 2-4 nervous system AEs in either treatment group at Week 48

| Preferred Term | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| | N (%) | N (%) |
| # of subjects with Grade 2-4 AE, n (%) | 23 (7.2) | 35 (11.3) |
| Dizziness | 18 (5.6) | 28 (9.1) |
| Headache | 4 (1.2) | 9 (2.9) |

| Convulsion | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
|------------------|---------|---------|
| Balance disorder | 0 | 1 (0.3) |

As summarized in Table 22, Grade 3-4 nervous system AEs were relatively uncommon, occurring in 4 subjects (1.2%) in the EFV 400 mg group and 8 subjects (2.6%) in the EFV 600 mg group through Week 48.

| Table 22. Grade J-4 nervous system ALS in enner heatment group at week 40 |
|---|
|---|

| Preferred Term | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| | N (%) | N (%) |
| # of subjects with Grade 3-4 AE, n (%) | 4 (1.2) | 8 (2.6) |
| Dizziness | 3 (0.9) | 5 (1.6) |
| Headache | 0 | 3 (1.0) |
| Convulsion | 1 (0.3) | 0 |

Reviewer Comment

The majority of nervous system AEs were mild (Grade 1) to moderate (Grade 2). Overall, no new safety signals were identified through 48 weeks in ENCORE1.

Through Week 48, discontinuations due to nervous system AEs occurred in 2 subjects (0.6%) in the EFV 400 mg group and 1 subject (0.3%) in the EFV 600 mg group. Please see Section 7.3.3 for additional information on discontinuations due to AEs.

In summary, dizziness was more common at EFV 600 mg compared to EFV 400 mg.

Psychiatric disorders

Through Week 48, psychiatric AEs occurred in 79 subjects (24.6%) in the EFV 400 mg group and 76 subjects (24.6%) in the EFV 600 mg group. Table 23 summarizes psychiatric AEs (all grades) that occurred in at least 1% of subjects (by preferred term) in either group regardless of causality.

| Table 23: Grade 1-4 p | ychiatric AEs observed in ≥ 1% in either treatment group at Week 48 |
|-----------------------|---|
|-----------------------|---|

| Preferred Term | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| | N (%) | N (%) |
| # of subjects with Grade 1-4 AE, n (%) | 79 (24.6) | 76 (24.6) |
| Abnormal dreams | 28 (8.7) | 35 (11.3) |
| Insomnia | 20 (6.2) | 20 (6.5) |
| Depression | 10 (3.1) | 5 (1.6) |
| Somnolence | 10 (3.1) | 12 (3.8) |
| Sleep disorder | 7 (2.2) | 4 (1.3) |
| Nightmare | 6 (1.9) | 8 (2.6) |
| Anxiety | 4 (1.2) | 4 (1.3) |

Reviewer Comment

Abnormal dreams occurred more frequently at EFV 600 mg vs. EFV 400 mg (11.3% vs. 8.7%); otherwise, the distribution of psychiatric AEs was generally similar in both treatment groups.

As summarized in Table 24, Grade 2-4 psychiatric AEs were overall comparable between treatment groups.

Table 24: Grade 2-4 psychiatric AEs observed in either treatment group at Week 48

| Preferred Term | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| | N (%) | N (%) |
| # of subjects with Grade 2-4 AE, n (%) | 25 (7.8) | 24 (7.8) |
| Insomnia | 8 (2.5) | 11 (3.6) |
| Abnormal dreams | 5 (1.6) | 6 (1.9) |
| Depression | 6 (1.9) | 4 (1.3) |
| Somnolence | 2 (0.6) | 3 (1.0) |
| Anxiety | 4 (1.2) | 0 |
| Sleep disorder | 3 (0.9) | 0 |
| Poor quality sleep | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Nightmare | 0 | 2 (0.6) |
| Confusional state | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Hallucination | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Depressed mood | 1 (0.3) | 1 (0.3) |
| Lethargy | 1 (0.3) | 1 (0.3) |
| Mood swings | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Intentional self-injury | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Libido decreased | 1 (0.3) | 0 |
| Stress | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Suicidal ideation | 1 (0.3) | 0 |

As summarized in Table 25, Grade 3-4 psychiatric AEs were relatively uncommon, occurring in 4 subjects (1.2%) in the EFV 400 mg group and 3 subjects (1%) in the EFV 600 mg group through Week 48.

Table 25: Grade 3-4 psychiatric AEs observed in either treatment group at Week 48

| Preferred Term | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| | N (%) | N (%) |
| # of subjects with Grade 3-4 AE, n (%) | 4 (1.2) | 3 (1.0) |
| Depression | 3 (0.9) | 1* (0.3) |
| Insomnia | 1 (0.3) | 1 (0.3) |
| Lethargy | 0 | 1 (0.3) |
| Intentional self-injury | 0 | 1* (0.3) |

*Occurred in the same subject

Reviewer Comment

The majority of psychiatric AEs were mild (Grade 1) to moderate (Grade 2). Overall, no new safety signals were identified through 48 weeks in ENCORE1.

Through Week 48, discontinuations due to psychiatric AEs occurred in 1 subject (0.3%) in the EFV 400 mg group and 1 subject (0.3%) in the EFV 600 mg group. Please see Section 7.3.3 for additional information on discontinuations due to AEs.

7.4 Supportive Safety Results

7.4.1 Common Adverse Events

Through Week 48, a total of 2355 AEs (all grades, regardless of causality) were reported in 559 subjects. The number of AEs was overall similar between treatment groups:

- 1173 AEs in 286 (89.1%) subjects in the EFV 400 mg group
- 1182 AEs in 273 (88.3%) subjects in the EFV 600 mg group

Table 26 summarizes all AEs that occurred in at least 4% of subjects (by preferred term) in either group regardless of causality. Multiple AEs were counted only once per subject for each preferred term.

| System Organ Class | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| Preferred Term | N (%) | N (%) |
| # of subjects experiencing any AE, n (%) | 286 (89.1) | 273 (88.3) |
| Gastrointestinal AEs | 92 (28.7) | 98 (31.7) |
| Diarrhea | 33 (10.3) | 36 (11.7) |
| Nausea | 14 (4.4) | 22 (7.1) |
| Vomiting | 9 (2.8) | 15 (4.9) |
| General disorders | 44 (13.7) | 47 (15.2) |
| Pyrexia | 13 (4.0) | 14 (4.5) |
| Fatigue | 10 (3.1) | 14 (4.5) |
| Infections and Infestations | 180 (56.1) | 153 (49.5) |
| Upper respiratory tract infection | 57 (17.8) | 36 (11.7) |
| Nasopharyngitis | 26 (8.1) | 18 (5.8) |
| Influenza | 18 (5.6) | 17 (5.5) |
| Gastroenteritis | 17 (5.3) | 13 (4.2) |
| Nervous system disorders | 127 (39.6) | 154 (49.8) |
| Dizziness | 85 (26.5) | 107 (34.6) |
| Headache | 35 (10.9) | 34 (11.0) |
| Psychiatric disorders | 79 (24.6) | 76 (24.6) |
| Abnormal dreams | 28 (8.7) | 35 (11.3) |
| Insomnia | 20 (6.2) | 20 (6.5) |
| Respiratory system | 37 (11.5) | 31 (10.0) |
| Cough | 19 (5.9) | 19 (6.1) |
| Skin and Subcutaneous tissue | 84 (26.2) | 99 (32.0) |
| Rash | 41 (12.8) | 57 (18.4) |

Table 26: Common AEs (all grades) in \ge 4% in either treatment group through Week 48

The majority of AEs were mild (Grade 1). Selected treatment-emergent moderate to severe adverse reactions are summarized in Table 27.

| group infough week 40 | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| System Organ Class | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) |
| Preferred Term | N (%) | N (%) |
| # of subjects experiencing any Grade 2-4 AE, n (%) | 148 (46.1) | 145 (45.2) |
| Gastrointestinal AEs | 25 (7.8) | 28 (8.7) |
| Diarrhea | 7 (2.2) | 8 (2.5) |
| Vomiting | 2 (0.6) | 6 (1.9) |
| General disorders | 18 (5.6) | 8 (2.5) |
| Pyrexia | 6 (1.9) | 2 (0.6) |
| Infections and Infestations | 60 (18.7) | 51 (15.9) |
| Upper respiratory tract infection | 9 (2.8) | 4 (1.2) |
| Nasopharyngitis | 8 (2.5) | 6 (1.9) |
| Herpes zoster | 8 (2.5) | 4 (1.2) |
| Gastroenteritis | 6 (1.9) | 7 (2.2) |
| Nervous system disorders | 23 (7.2) | 35 (11.3) |
| Dizziness | 18 (5.6) | 28 (9.1) |
| Headache | 4 (1.2) | 9 (2.9) |
| Psychiatric disorders | 25 (7.8) | 24 (7.8) |
| Abnormal dreams | 5 (1.6) | 6 (1.9) |
| Insomnia | 8 (2.5) | 11 (3.6) |
| Respiratory system | 4 (1.2) | 2 (0.6) |
| Skin and Subcutaneous tissue | 29 (9.0) | 40 (12.9) |
| Rash | 29 (9.0) | 40 (12.9) |

| Table 27: Selected treatment-emergent | AEs (Grades 2 to | o 4) in ≥ 2% in | either treatment |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| group through Week 48 | - | - | |

Reviewer Comment

Dizziness and rash were the only two Grade 2-4 AEs with more than a 2% difference between treatment groups. Please see Section 7.3.5 for additional analyses. Overall, no new safety signals were identified through 48 weeks in ENCORE1.

7.4.2 Laboratory Findings

Laboratory results were reviewed for each subject to identify abnormal values which met the definitions for Grade 3 and 4 events based on the DAIDS Toxicity Grading Scale.

| Table 28: Grade 3-4 Laboratory Abnormalities in ≥ 2% in eith | her treatment group through |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Week 48 | |

| Laboratory parameter | EFV 400 mg (n=321) | EFV 600 mg (n=309) |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | N (%) | N (%) |
| ALT | 16 (5.0) | 9 (2.9) |
| AST | 7 (2.2) | 6 (1.9) |
| Total bilirubin | 1 (0.3) | 9 (2.9) |
| | | |
| Cholesterol | 7 (2.2) | 14 (4.5) |
| Neutrophils | 5 (1.6) | 9 (2.9) |
| Phosphorus | 7 (2.2) | 9 (2.9) |

Reviewer comment

Overall, few Grade 3-4 laboratory abnormalities were reported through Week 48. In addition, the overall number of subjects reporting Grade 3-4 laboratory abnormalities at Week 48 was low (i.e. <2%) in both treatment groups for renal parameters, hematologic parameters, and glucose.

Lipids

Through Week 48, lipid changes were overall comparable between treatment groups:

- Of 624 subjects with median baseline total cholesterol of 159 mg/dL, mean total cholesterol increased by 17 mg/dL in the EFV 400 mg group and by 20 mg/dL in the EFV 600 mg group.
- Of 604 subjects with median baseline LDL cholesterol of 159 mg/dL, mean LDL cholesterol increased by 7 mg/dL in the EFV 400 mg group and by 9 mg/dL in the EFV 600 mg group.
- Of 609 subjects with median HDL cholesterol of 39 mg/dL, mean HDL cholesterol increased by 9 mg/dL in the EFV 400 mg group and by 10 mg/dL in the EFV 600 mg group.
- Of 624 subjects with median baseline triglycerides of 94 mg/dL, mean triglycerides increased by 7 mg/dL in the EFV 400 mg group and by 7 mg/dL in the EFV 600 mg group.

Renal

Through Week 48, changes in creatinine were overall comparable between treatment groups. Of 630 subjects with a median baseline creatinine of 0.8 mg/dL, mean serum creatinine decreased by 0.004 mg/dL in the EFV 400 mg group and increased by 0.01 mg/dL in the EFV 600 mg group. These changes were not clinically meaningful. - Only one subject (in the EFV 600 mg group) reported a Grade 3/4 creatinine abnormality.

Reviewer comment

Overall, no new pattern of laboratory abnormalities appears evident from review of the datasets and supporting documents. FDA analysis of the laboratory data concurs with the Applicant's overall analysis.

7.4.3 Vital Signs

Vital signs (blood pressure, pulse, temperature) and weight were measured at all visits. Clinically significant changes from baseline (Screening visit) were recorded as AEs. No clinically significant changes were noted.

7.4.4 Electrocardiograms (ECGs)

ECGs were not obtained during the study.

7.4.5 Special Safety Studies/Clinical Trials

Not applicable.

7.4.6 Immunogenicity

Not applicable.

7.5 Other Safety Explorations

7.5.1 Dose Dependency for Adverse Events

The safety findings for EFV 400 mg compared to EFV 600 mg are described in the above sections of the safety review. EFV 400 mg QD dosing was not associated with an increase in SAEs, discontinuations due to AEs, Grade 3 or 4 AEs, or laboratory abnormalities compared to EFV 600 mg QD dosing.

7.5.2 Time Dependency for Adverse Events

Through Week 48, a lower proportion of subjects (8.1%) in the EFV 400 mg group discontinued study drug compared to the EFV 600 mg group (11.0%). The difference between treatment groups was not statistically significant.

7.5.3 Drug-Demographic Interactions

No significant drug-demographic interactions were appreciated in this study. The occurrence of AEs was similar between age groups and gender.

7.5.4 Drug-Disease Interactions

Treatment of HIV-1 infection with combination ART reduces viral load and maintains viral suppression.

7.5.5 Drug-Drug Interactions

Formal drug-drug interaction studies were not conducted. Please refer to the Clinical Pharmacology Review for a full discussion of the PK of this product.

7.6 Additional Safety Evaluations

7.6.1 Human Carcinogenicity

New studies have not been performed and are not needed.

7.6.2 Human Reproduction and Pregnancy Data

Pregnancy and breastfeeding were exclusion criteria in ENCORE1. There were a total of six pregnancies through Week 48:

- Among EFV 400 mg recipients, there were two pregnancies: one resulted in a live male with no birth defects; one resulted in a live female with no birth defects.
- Among EFV 600 mg recipients, there were four pregnancies: one resulted in a spontaneous abortion; one resulted in an induced abortion; one resulted in a live female with no birth defects; and one resulted in a live infant but no other data was available.

7.6.3 Pediatrics and Assessment of Effects on Growth

New studies have not been performed and are not needed.

7.6.4 Overdose, Drug Abuse Potential, Withdrawal and Rebound

Abrupt cessation/withdrawal of 3TC and/or TDF could cause a hepatic flare in patients co-infected with hepatitis B.

7.7 Additional Submissions / Safety Issues

No additional concerns.

8 Postmarket Experience

This FDC has not yet been approved for marketing in any country and there is no postmarketing experience at this time.

9 Appendices

9.1 Literature Review/References

No literature references are attached to this review.

9.2 Labeling Recommendations

The proposed Package Insert (PI or label) is being reviewed by all disciplines. Labeling discussions are ongoing and the recommendations have not been finalized at the time of this review. Below are general clinical recommendations for proposed labeling:

1) The indication statement should be revised as follows:

| | (b) (4) | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|--|
| | | | |
| 2) | (b) (4) | | |
| Therefore, the labeling was revised | | (b) (4) | |

3) In Section 5.8 (nervous system disorders), FDA analyses differ from the Applicant's proposed information because the ENCORE1 analyses did not include as a component of nervous system disorders.

4) The labeling was also revised to display the ENCORE1 safety and efficacy results in a similar format consistent with other HIV labeling. Additionally, for consistency with FDA guidance for development of antiretroviral drugs for treatment of HIV-1 infection (http://www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/guidancecomplianceregulatoryinformation/guidances/ucm355128.pdf), efficacy results are evaluated using the primary endpoint of HIV-RNA < 50 copies/mL.

Please refer to the Cross DisciplineTeam Leader/Division Director Memo by Dr. Jeffrey Murray for detailed labeling recommendations.

9.3 Advisory Committee Meeting

No advisory Committee Meeting was held for this application.

9.4 Financial Disclosure

The ENCORE1 clinical investigators have no financial interests/arrangements with the Applicant.

| Was a list of clinical investigators provided: | Yes √ | No 🗌 (Request list from applicant) | | |
|--|--------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Total number of investigators identified: <u>37</u> | | | | |
| Number of investigators who are sponsor er part-time employees): <u>None</u> | nployees (| including both full-time and | | |
| Number of investigators with disclosable fina | ancial inter | rests/arrangements (Form FDA | | |
| 3455): <u>None</u> (note: The clinical study was not performed under a US IND and thus Forms | | | | |
| FDA 3455 were not collected from clinical invest | tigators.) | | | |
| If there are investigators with disclosable fin | ancial inte | rests/arrangements, identify | | |

| the number of investigators with interests/arrangements in each category (as defined in 21 CFR 54.2(a), (b), (c) and (f)): | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Compensation to the investigator for conducting the study where the value could be influenced by the outcome of the study: <u>N/A</u> | | | | | | |
| Significant payments of other sorts: | <u>N/A</u> | | | | | |
| Proprietary interest in the product tes | ted held by | y investigator: <u>N/A</u> | | | | |
| Significant equity interest held by investigator in sponsor of covered study: <u>N/A</u> | | | | | | |
| Is an attachment provided with details of the disclosable financial interests/arrangements: Yes √ No □ (Request details from applicant) | | | | | | |
| Is a description of the steps taken to minimize potential bias provided: | Is a description of the steps taken to Yes √ No □ (Request information from applicant) | | | | | |
| Number of investigators with certification of due diligence (Form FDA 3454, box 3) <u>1</u> (note: One Form 3454 was submitted by the University of New South Wales on behalf of the ENCORE1 clinical investigators.) | | | | | | |
| Is an attachment provided with the reason: Yes $$ No \square (Request explanation from applicant) | | | | | | |

There are no financial issues that affect the approvability of this application.

9.5 Efficacy Analysis Memorandum for the Discrepancy

Reviewers found discrepancies in terms of the efficacy endpoints. Comments for the sponsor were sent on December 23, 2016, and the sponsor responded on January 27, 2017. However, the sponsor's responses did not adequately answer the questions raised by the reviewer. For completeness, a summary of these discrepancies along with the reviewer's final decision regarding these discrepancies is listed here. The overall conclusions remain unchanged.

1. Efficacy endpoints:

The efficacy review was based on the datasets submitted in SN0006 on Jul. 11, 2016. There were two datasets, VLD and BOTH, under the analysis subfolder for the viral load, VLD contained viral load data for the efficacy analyses, and BOTH contained both local and central viral load data.

The sponsor confirmed that raw central viral load data was not submitted in SN0006. When the reviewer evaluated the datasets submitted in SN0005 on May 31, 2016, there was a dataset named **VLD** under the analysis subfolder. This dataset contained the

central viral load data even though the sample date variable is not available. The sponsor confirmed and stated that the Week 48 viral load data for some subjects who missed Week 48 visits were imputed by their Week 36 viral load data.

The reviewers found Week 48 visit viral load data for six subjects (34169, 91280, 94315, 125003, 135111, and 929981) in the **VLD** dataset under SN0005 as listed below:

| | SUBJECTI | RAND | VLD | week |
|---|----------|------|--------|------|
| 1 | 34169 | 2 | 240470 | 48 |
| 2 | 91280 | 2 | 39 | 48 |
| 3 | 94315 | 2 | 45 | 48 |
| 4 | 125003 | 2 | 39 | 48 |
| 5 | 135111 | 1 | 39 | 48 |
| 6 | 929981 | 1 | 94 | 48 |

- For the first four subjects (34169, 91280, 94315, and 125003), the VL values for Week 48 were the same as the VL values for Week 36, and their VL values for Week 60 were missing. The VL of Week 48 may have been carried over from Week 36. These four outcomes were counted as failures since there were no VL data within the analysis window.
- For subject 135111, the VL value at Week 48 was the same as the VL at Week 36 with a value of 39. However, the Week 60 VL data was available, and VL value was 40. The subject was counted as a success.
- For subject 929981, the VL at Week 48 was 94 and the VL at Week 36 was 39. These values contradict the applicant's explanation of carry-over values. This subject was counted as a failure.

Additionally, there were three subjects, 62553, 38630, and 351327, who were hardcoded to failure for the primary efficacy endpoint, in the SAS program named **VLD_SAS.txt**. The applicant responded that the hard coding was according to the protocol. However, the reviewer found the explanation to be inadequate as the protocol did not include any provision for hard coded efficacy results.

The VLs for these three subjects are:

- 62553 had VL=139 at Week 48 and VL=3291 at Week 60. The subject was classified as failure for <50 c/mL while Success for <200 c/mL;
- 38630 had VL=524 at Week 48 and VL=87 at Week 60. The subject was classified as failure for <50 c/mL while Success for <200 c/mL;
- 351327 had VL=50 at Week 48 (local VL=40) and VL=40 at Week 60. The subject was classified as success for <50 c/mL while Success for <200 c/mL;

As a result, for the efficacy endpoint of the proportion of subjects with plasma HIV RNA < 50 c/mL at Week 48 in the mITT population, there is difference with respect to one subject between the reviewer's and sponsor's primary efficacy results as shown below:

• Subject 351327, the VL at Week 48 was 50 and 40 at Week 60, will be counted as a success;

For Subject 751745, the VL at Week 48 was 50, which was counted as a success by the applicant. The reviewer did not have any concern with the applicant's conclusion for Subject 751745.

For the efficacy endpoint of the proportion of subjects with plasma HIV RNA < 200 c/mL at Week 48 in the mITT population, there were differences for three subjects between the reviewer's and sponsor's efficacy results. One subject was already listed above in addition to two more subjects listed below:

- Subject 929981, the VL at Week 48 was 94 and counted as a success;
- Subject 62553, the VL at Week 48 was 139and counted as a success for <200 c/mL;
- 2. Disposition:

There were 7 subjects who were hard-coded to lost to follow-up in the code submitted in disposition_sas.txt in the disposition analysis. However, 5 of these 7 subjects had discontinuation reason as "participate withdrew consent". The sponsor did not adequately answer questions regarding hard coding, and hence the reviewer's results are used in the review. The difference was that those five subjects were classified as "withdrew consent" instead of "lost-to-follow".

The sponsor's disposition table is provided below for comparison with the FDA reviewer's disposition table in the review (see Table 4, Section 6.1.3):

| Subgroup | EFV | 7400 EFV | | EFV600 | | Total | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| N Attended Wk48 Did not Attended Wk4 | 321 311(10(| 96.9%) 3.1%) | 309 295(14(| 95.5%) 4.5%) | 630 606(24(| 96.2%) 3.8%) | |
| Reasons of NOT completed | treatmen | t | | | | | |
| Died | 2 (| 0.6%) | 3 (| 1.0%) | 5 (| 0.8%) | |
| Lost to follow up | 4 (| 1.2%) | 3 (| 1.0%) | 7(| 1.1%) | |
| Missing week 48 | 1(| 0.3%) | 5 (| 1.6%) | 6 (| 1.0%) | |
| Withdrew | 3 (| 0.9%) | 3 (| 1.0%) | 6 (| 1.0%) | |

9.6 Subgroup Analyses of Primary/Secondary Efficacy Endpoint by Sites

Overall, no clinically meaningful discrepancies were identified by site (Tables 29 and 30 generated by the FDA reviewer).

Table 29: Primary Efficacy Endpoint (<50 c/mL) Results by Sites at Week 48

| EFV400 | EFV600 | Total |
|--|--|--|
| 276/321(86.0) | 261/309(84.5) | 537/630(85.2) |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 2 / 2 (100) 2 / 2 (100) 1 / 1 (100) 1 / 1 (100) 2 / 2 (100) 4 / 4 (100) 2 / 2 (100) 3 / 3 (100) 2 / 3 (66.7) 4 / 5 (80.0) 1 / 1 (100) 6 / 11 (54.5) 2 / 2 (100) 4 / 6 (66.7) 5 / 5 (100) 2 / 3 (66.7) 4 / 4 (100) 9 / 10 (90.0) 1 / 1 (100) 1 / 1 (100) 1 / 1 (100) 1 / 1 (100) 1 / 3 (33.3) 8 / 8 (100) 6 / 7 (85.7) 3 / 5 (60.0) 5 / 5 (100) 1 / 1 (100) 3 / 6 (50.0) 5 / 5 (100) 1 / 1 (100) 3 / 3 (100) 3 / 3 (91.7) 9 / 11 (81.8) 13 / 16 (81.3) 19 / 20 (95.0) 7 / 11 (63.6) 25 / 26 (96.2) 17 / 20 (85.0) 25 / 31 (80.6) 15 / 19 (78.9) | 3 / 3 (100) 4 / 4 (100) 4 / 5 (80.0) 2 / 2 (100) 2 / 2 (100) 8 / 9 (88.9) 3 / 3 (100) 5 / 6 (83.3) 6 / 7 (85.7) 6 / 7 (85.7) 3 / 3 (100) 15 / 22 (68.2) 2 / 2 (100) 9 / 12 (75.0) 12 / 12 (100) 4 / 6 (66.7) 9 / 9 (100) 19 / 20 (95.0) 3 / 4 (75.0) 4 / 6 (66.7) 15 / 16 (93.8) 14 / 17 (82.4) 9 / 11 (81.8) 10 / 12 (83.3) 2 / 2 (100) 11 / 16 (68.8) 10 / 10 (100) 6 / 6 (100) 6 / 6 (100) 6 / 6 (100) 6 / 6 (25) 15 / 23 (65.2) 48 / 50 (96.0) 36 / 40 (90.0) 48 / 62 (77.4) 31 / 39 (79.5) |
| 10 / 11 (90.9) | 7 / 9 (77.8) | 17 / 20 (85.0) |
| | EFV400 276/321(86.0) 1 / 1 (100) 2 / 2 (100) 2 / 3 (66.7) 1 / 1 (100) 2 / 2 (100) 4 / 5 (80.0) 1 / 1 (100) 2 / 2 (100) 4 / 5 (80.0) 1 / 1 (100) 2 / 2 (100) 2 / 2 (100) 2 / 2 (100) 2 / 2 (100) 9 / 11 (81.8) . / . (.) 5 / 6 (83.3) 7 / 7 (100) 2 / 3 (66.7) 5 / 6 (83.3) 7 / 7 (100) 2 / 3 (66.7) 5 / 5 (100) 10 / 10 (100) 2 / 3 (66.7) 3 / 3 (100) 7 / 8 (87.5) 8 / 10 (80.0) 6 / 6 (100) 5 / 7 (71.4) 1 / 1 (100) 8 / 10 (80.0) 5 / 5 (100) 3 / 3 (100) 7 / 7 (1.4) 1 / 1 (100) 8 / 10 (80.0) 5 / 5 (100) 3 / 3 (100) 5 / 5 (100) 3 / 3 (100) 9 / 33 (87.9) | $\begin{array}{c} \text{EFV400} & \text{EFV600} \\ \hline \\ $ |

| Table 30: One of SecondarEfficacy Parameter | ry Efficacy Endpoin EFV400 | t (<200 c/mL) Resul EFV600 | ts by Sites at Week 48 Total |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Treated (ITT) | | | |
| N | 303/321(94.4) | 287/309(92.9) | 590/630(93.7) |
| Site (0102) | 1 / 1 (100) | 2 / 2 (100) | 3 / 3 (100) |
| (0103) | 2 / 2 (100) | 2 / 2 (100) | 4 / 4 (100) |
| (0111) | 2 / 3 (66./) | 2 / 2 (100) | 4 / 5 (80.0) |
| (0122) | 1 / 1 (100) | | 2 / 2 (100) |
| (0121) | | $\cdot / \cdot (\cdot)$ | |
| (0123) | 4 / 3 (80.0) 1 / 1 (100) | 4 / 4 (100) 2 / 2 (100) | 3/3(100) |
| (0127) | 3/3(100) | 3/3(100) | 6 / 6 (100) |
| (0152) | 4 / 4 (100) | 2/3(66.7) | 6 / 7 (85.7) |
| (0201) | 2 / 2 (100) | 5 / 5 (100) | 7 / 7 (100) |
| (0206) | 2 / 2 (100) | 1 / 1 (100) | 3 / 3 (100) |
| (0207) | 11 / 11 (100) | 9 / 11 (81.8) | 20 / 22 (90.9) |
| (0208) | . / . (.) | 2 / 2 (100) | 2 / 2 (100) |
| (0209) | 5 / 6 (83.3) | 5 / 6 (83.3) | 10 / 12 (83.3) |
| (0210) | 7 / 7 (100) | 5 / 5 (100) | 12 / 12 (100) |
| (0215) | 3 / 3 (100) | 2 / 3 (66.7) | 5 / 6 (83.3) |
| (0217) | 5 / 5 (100) | 4 / 4 (100) | 9 / 9 (100) |
| (0301) | 10 / 10 (100) | 10 / 10 (100) | 20 / 20 (100) |
| (0302) | 3 / 3 (100) | 1 / 1 (100) | 4 / 4 (100) |
| (0401) | 3 / 3 (100) | 2 / 3 (66.7) | 5 / 6 (83.3) |
| (0402) | / / 8 (8/.5) | 8 / 8 (IUU) | 15 / 16 (93.8) |
| (0602) | 9 / 10 (90.0) | 6 / / (85.7) E / E (100) | 15 / 1/ (88.2) |
| (0802) | 7 / 7 (100) | 5 / 5 (100) 5 / 5 (100) | 12 / 12 (100) |
| (0803) | 1 / 1 (100) | 1 / 1 (100) | 2/2(100) |
| (0901) | 10 / 10 (100) | 4 / 6 (66.7) | 14 / 16 (87.5) |
| (0903) | 5 / 5 (100) | 5 / 5 (100) | 10 / 10 (100) |
| (1001) | 3 / 3 (100) | 3 / 3 (100) | 6 / 6 (100) |
| (1201) | 32 / 33 (97.0) | 36 / 36 (100) | 68 / 69 (98.6) |
| (1202) | 12 / 12 (100) | 11 / 11 (100) | 23 / 23 (100) |
| (1207) | 13 / 14 (92.9) | 15 / 16 (93.8) | 28 / 30 (93.3) |
| (1301) | 20 / 20 (100) | 20 / 20 (100) | 40 / 40 (100) |
| (1401) | 10 / 12 (83.3) | 7 / 11 (63.6) | 17 / 23 (73.9) |
| (2001) | 23 / 24 (95.8) | 25 / 26 (96.2) | 48 / 50 (96.0) |
| (2002) | 20 / 20 (100) | 18 / 20 (90.0) | 38 / 40 (95.0) |
| (2003) | 2//31 (87.1) | 29 / 31 (93.5) | 56 / 62 (90.3) |
| (2101) | 1/ / 20 (85.0) | 1//19(89.5) | 34 / 39 (87.2) |
| (2103) | TO / TT (90.9) | v / y (vv.y) | IQ / ZU (90.0) |

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

KIRK M CHAN-TACK 02/16/2017

WEN ZENG 02/16/2017

THAMBAN I VALAPPIL 02/16/2017

JEFFREY S MURRAY 02/16/2017