

Draft Guidance on Dihydroergotamine Mesylate

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA, or the Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the Office of Generic Drugs.

Active Ingredient:	Dihydroergotamine mesylate
Dosage Form; Route:	Spray, metered; nasal
Strength:	0.5 mg/spray
Recommended Studies:	Two options: in vitro or in vivo studies

FDA recommends the following in vitro or in vivo studies to establish bioequivalence (BE) of the test (T) to the reference (R) nasal sprays containing dihydroergotamine mesylate.

In Vitro Option

If the T formulation is qualitatively (Q1)¹ and quantitatively (Q2)² the same as the R formulation, and the nasal spray device (e.g., pump and actuator design) of the T product is appropriate for approval in an abbreviated new drug application (ANDA) (as demonstrated by comparative analyses further described below), BE of the T dihydroergotamine mesylate metered nasal spray product to the R dihydroergotamine mesylate metered nasal spray product can be established solely through in vitro performance tests in lieu of a pharmacokinetic (PK) BE study. FDA recommends that prospective applicants conduct the following in vitro BE studies on samples from each of three or more batches of the T product and three or more batches of the R product, with no fewer than 10 units from each batch. FDA recommends that three primary stability batches be also used to demonstrate in vitro BE. The three batches of the T product should be manufactured from, at minimum, three different batches of the drug substance, three different batches of critical excipients, and three different batches of the device components (e.g., pump and actuator) proposed for the final device configuration of the commercial product. The T product should consist of the final device constituent part and final drug constituent formulation intended to be marketed. The following in vitro BE tests are recommended:

1. Single actuation content
2. Droplet size distribution by laser diffraction
3. Drug in small particles/droplets
4. Spray pattern
5. Plume geometry

¹ Q1 (qualitative sameness) means that the T product uses the same inactive ingredient(s) as the R product.

² Q2 (quantitative sameness) means that concentrations of the inactive ingredient(s) used in the T product are within $\pm 5\%$ of those used in the R product.

6. Priming

Additional Comments: Refer to the product-specific guidance for *Fluticasone Propionate Nasal Spray Metered* for recommendations on design and equivalence criteria for the aforementioned in vitro BE studies, and general recommendations on the conduct of the in vitro BE studies and data submission.

In Vivo Option

If the T formulation is not Q1 and Q2 the same as the R formulation and the nasal spray device (e.g., pump and actuator design) of the T product is appropriate for approval in an ANDA (as demonstrated by comparative analyses further described below), the following PK study is recommended to establish BE between the T and R product:

Type of Study: Fasting

Design: Single-dose, two-way crossover

Strength: 0.5 mg/spray

Dose: 1 mg (0.5 mg/spray x 2 sprays, 1 spray in each nostril)

Subjects: Adult males and non-pregnant, non-lactating females, general population

Additional comments: Subjects should adhere to the R drug product labeling for administration.

Analyte(s) to measure (in appropriate biological fluid): Dihydroergotamine in plasma

Equivalence based on: AUC and C_{max} for dihydroergotamine. The 90% confidence intervals for the geometric mean T/R ratios of AUC and C_{max} should fall within the limits of 80.00 - 125.00%

Additional Information

Device:

Prospective applicants should refer to the FDA guidance for industry entitled, *Comparative Analyses and Related Comparative Use Human Factors Studies for a Drug-Device Combination Product Submitted in an ANDA*, which, when finalized, will provide the Agency's current thinking on the identification and assessment of any differences in the design of the user interface for a proposed generic drug-device combination product when compared to its RLD.

FDA recommends that prospective applicants consider the following characteristics of the R product in designing the T product:

- Single-use, metered dose design
- External operating principles and external critical design attributes of the R product
- Size and shape of the R product

- Number of doses in the R product