

*Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

**Draft Guidance on Zanamivir**

**February 2024**

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA, or the Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the Office of Generic Drugs.

In general, FDA’s guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency’s current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

---

<b>Active Ingredient:</b>	Zanamivir
<b>Dosage Form:</b>	Powder
<b>Route:</b>	Inhalation
<b>Strength:</b>	5 mg
<b>Recommended Studies:</b>	Three in vitro bioequivalence studies and two comparative characterization studies

**Three in vitro bioequivalence studies and two comparative characterization studies:**

The test (T) product should contain no difference in inactive ingredients or in other aspects of the formulation relative to the reference standard (RS) product that may significantly affect the local or systemic availability of the active ingredient. For example, the T product can be qualitatively (Q1)<sup>1</sup> and quantitatively (Q2)<sup>2</sup> the same as the RS product to satisfy no difference in inactive ingredients.

**Three in vitro bioequivalence studies:**

FDA recommends that prospective applicants conduct the following in vitro bioequivalence studies on samples from each of three or more batches of the T product and three or more batches of the RS product, with no fewer than 10 units from each batch. FDA recommends that three primary stability batches be also used to demonstrate in vitro bioequivalence. The three

---

<sup>1</sup> Q1 (qualitative sameness) means that the T product uses the same inactive ingredient(s) as the R product.

<sup>2</sup> Q2 (quantitative sameness) means that concentrations of the inactive ingredient(s) used in the T product are within ± 5% of those used in the R product.

batches of T product should be manufactured from, at a minimum, three different batches of drug substance(s), excipient(s), and device constituent part components. The T product should consist of the final device constituent part and final drug constituent formulation intended to be marketed.

1. Type of study: Single actuation content (SAC)

Design: The SAC test should be performed at the beginning (B), middle (M), and end (E) lifestages<sup>3,4</sup> of the product, using a flow rate of 30 L/min, 60 L/min, and 90 L/min. U.S. Pharmacopeia (USP) <601> Apparatus B or another appropriate apparatus may be used to determine the SAC using a validated assay. The number of actuations used per determination should be one. The volume of air drawn through the delivery system should be 2 L.

**Bioequivalence based on:** Population bioequivalence (PBE) analysis of SAC. For additional information on PBE statistical analysis, refer to the most recent version of the FDA product-specific guidance on *Budesonide Inhalation Suspension* (NDA 020929)<sup>a</sup> for additional information regarding PBE analysis procedures.

2. Type of study: Aerodynamic particle size distribution (APSD)

Design: The APSD test should be performed at the B and E lifestages of the product using flow rates of 30 L/min,<sup>5</sup> 60 L/min, and 90 L/min. Cascade impaction devices for inhalation powders as per USP <601> Table 2 or another appropriate method may be used to determine APSD using a validated assay. The APSD determination of each unit should be performed with a minimum number of blisters justified by the sensitivity of the validated assay. The volume of air drawn through the delivery system should be 4 L.

Additional comments: Drug deposition on individual sites, including the mouthpiece adapter, the induction port, the pre-separator, and each stage of the cascade impactor (CI) and the filter, is requested. Mass balance accountability should be reported based on the sum of all deposition sites. For electronic submission of the individual CI data for the T and RS products, provide a table using the format in the appendix, and send them as part of the abbreviated new drug application (ANDA) submission.

---

<sup>3</sup> Based on the labeled number of actuations, the terms B lifestage, M lifestage, and E lifestage represent the first actuation(s), the actuation(s) corresponding to 50 percent of the labeled number of actuations, and the actuation(s) corresponding to the labeled number of actuations, respectively. In vitro lifestage testing should be conducted on the to be marketed packaging configuration with the highest number of doses. For example, the B, M, and E lifestage for a 20 blister packaging configuration may correspond to actuations 1, 10, and 20. Prospective applicants intending to market additional packaging configurations with a lower number of doses than the configuration used in the recommended in vitro bioequivalence studies may establish their bioequivalence based on (1) acceptable bioequivalence studies on the configuration with the highest number of doses, (2) same formulation composition across all configurations, and (3) same container/closure system components critical to the product performance across all configurations.

<sup>4</sup> When conducting in vitro studies at different lifestages, doses between those tested at each lifestage should be actuated using the device. For example, prospective applicants testing at the E lifestage should actuate all doses leading up to the dose used to test the E lifestage.

<sup>5</sup> Depending on the apparatus selected, an applicant can use a flow rate of 28.3 L/min in lieu of the 30 L/min flow rate.

**Bioequivalence based on:** PBE analysis of impactor-sized mass (ISM).<sup>6</sup> The CI profiles representing drug deposition on the individual stages of the CI along with the mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD), geometric standard deviation (GSD), and fine particle mass (FPM) should be submitted as supportive evidence for equivalent APSD.

3. Type of study: Realistic APSD

**Design:** The realistic APSD test should be performed at the B lifestage of the product using mouth-throat models of different sizes (e.g., small and large) and breathing profiles (e.g., weak and strong) that are representative of the entire patient population. CI devices for inhalation powders as per USP <601> Table 2 or another appropriate method may be used to determine APSD using a validated assay. The APSD determination of each unit should be performed with a minimum number of blisters justified by the sensitivity of the validated assay.

**Additional comments:** Drug deposition on individual sites, including the mouthpiece adapter, the mouth-throat model, the mixing inlet, and each stage of the CI and the filter, is requested. Mass balance accountability should be reported based on the sum of all deposition sites. For electronic submission of the individual CI data for the T and RS products, provide a table using the format in the appendix, and send them as part of the ANDA submission.

**Bioequivalence based on:** PBE analysis or other appropriate statistical analysis of ISM for each mouth-throat model-breathing profile combination. The CI profiles representing drug deposition on the individual stages of the CI along with the MMAD, GSD and FPM should be submitted as supportive evidence for equivalent APSD. If another statistical analysis is used, it should be adequately and scientifically justified considering the purpose of the study. Prospective applicants are encouraged to discuss other statistical analysis designs with FDA via a pre-ANDA meeting request. For additional information, refer to the most recent version of the FDA guidance for industry on *Formal Meetings Between FDA and ANDA Applicants of Complex Products Under GDUFA*.<sup>b</sup>

**Two comparative characterization studies:**

Comparative physicochemical characterization studies of the T product and the RS product should be performed on a minimum of three exhibit batches of the T product and three batches of the RS product. These comparative characterization studies should include:

1. Polymorphic form of the drug substance
2. Particle morphology of the emitted dose
  - a. Imaging comparisons of the deposited particles at the B lifestage should be determined to assess particle morphology and agglomeration. Description for the sample collection method should be provided. Where applicable, chemical classification of the individual components in agglomerate particles should be provided using an optimized and validated analytical method (e.g., morphologically-directed Raman spectroscopy).

---

<sup>6</sup> ISM is defined as a sum of the drug mass on all stages of the CI plus the terminal filter but excluding the top CI stage because of its lack of a specified upper cut-off size limit.

## **Additional information:**

An optional computational modeling study may be used to support bioequivalence of the T and RS products. Refer to the most recent version of the FDA product-specific guidance on *Formoterol Fumarate; Glycopyrrolate Inhalation Metered Aerosol* (NDA 208294)<sup>a</sup> for additional information regarding the development and conduct for any optional computational modeling study.

In order to clarify the FDA's expectations for prospective applicants early in product development, and to assist applicants to submit an ANDA as complete as possible, FDA strongly encourages applicants to discuss their development program for any optional computational modeling study with the FDA via the pre-ANDA meeting pathway. For additional information on pre-ANDA meetings, refer to the most recent version of the FDA guidance for industry on *Formal Meetings Between FDA and ANDA Applicants of Complex Products Under GDUFA*.<sup>b</sup>

Device:

The reference listed drug (RLD) is presented as dry powder in drug blisters co-packaged with a dry powder inhaler (DPI). The DPI is the device constituent.

FDA recommends that prospective applicants examine the size and shape, external critical design attributes, and external operating principles of the RLD device when designing the T device including:

- Passive (breath-actuated), pre-metered, multi-dose format
- Number of doses
- Device airflow resistance

User interface assessment:

An ANDA for this product should include complete comparative analyses so FDA can determine whether any differences in design for the user interface of the proposed generic product, as compared to the RLD, are acceptable and whether the product can be expected to have the same clinical effect and safety profile as the RLD when administered to patients under the conditions specified in the labeling. For additional information, refer to the most recent version of the FDA guidance for industry on *Comparative Analyses and Related Comparative Use Human Factors Studies for a Drug-Device Combination Product Submitted in an ANDA*.<sup>b</sup>

---

**Document History:** Recommended February 2024

**Unique Agency Identifier:** PSG\_021036

---

<sup>a</sup> For the most recent version of a product-specific guidance, check the FDA product-specific guidance website at <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/psg/index.cfm>.

<sup>b</sup> For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance website at <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents>.

## APPENDIX

Variable Name	Variable Type	Content	Notes
Product Name	Character	TEST or REF	Identifier for product
LOT Number	Alphanumeric/Numeric	Alphanumeric/Numeric	Identifier for product lot
UNIT Number	Numeric	Numeric values	Identifier for unit must be unique for each product (e.g., #1-30 for test and #31-60 for ref).
Stage 1	Numeric	Numeric Values	S1
Stage 2	Numeric	Numeric Values	S2
Stage 3	Numeric	Numeric Values	S3
Stage 4	Numeric	Numeric Values	S4
Stage 5	Numeric	Numeric Values	S5
Stage 6	Numeric	Numeric Values	S6
Stage 7	Numeric	Numeric Values	S7
Stage 8 or Filter	Numeric	Numeric Values	S8
ISM	Numeric	Numeric Values	ISM
MMAD	Numeric	Numeric Values	MMAD
GSD	Numeric	Numeric Values	GSD
FPM	Numeric	Numeric Values	FRM

Example:

PRODUCT	LOT	Unit	S 1	S 2	S 3	S 4	S 5	S 6	S 7	S8 or Filter	ISM	MMAD	GSD	FPM
TEST	1234	1												
		2												
		3												
		4												
		5												
		6												
		7												
		8												
		9												
		10												