

*Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

## **Draft Guidance on Albuterol Sulfate**

**February 2024**

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA, or the Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the Office of Generic Drugs.

In general, FDA’s guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency’s current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

---

<b>Active Ingredient:</b>	Albuterol sulfate
<b>Dosage Form:</b>	Powder, metered
<b>Route:</b>	Inhalation
<b>Strength:</b>	EQ 0.09 mg Base/inh
<b>Recommended Studies:</b>	Two in vitro bioequivalence studies, one in vivo bioequivalence study with pharmacokinetic endpoints, and one in vivo pharmacodynamic bioequivalence study

### **Two in vitro bioequivalence studies:**

FDA recommends that prospective applicants conduct the following in vitro bioequivalence studies using at least three batches each of the test (T) and reference standard (RS) products, with no fewer than 10 units from each batch. FDA recommends that three primary stability batches be also used to demonstrate in vitro bioequivalence. The three batches of T product should be manufactured from, at minimum, three different batches of drug substance(s), excipient(s), and device components. The T product should consist of the final device constituent part and final drug constituent formulation intended to be marketed.

1. Type of study: Single actuation content (SAC)  
Design: The SAC test should be performed at the beginning (B), middle (M), and end (E) lifestages<sup>1</sup> of the product, using a flow rate of 31.5 L/min, 63 L/min and 94.5 L/min.

---

<sup>1</sup> Based on the labeled number of actuations, the terms, B lifestage, M lifestage, and E lifestage represent the first actuation(s), the actuation(s) corresponding to 50 percent of the labeled number of actuations, and the actuation(s) corresponding to the labeled number of actuations, respectively.

U.S. Pharmacopoeia (USP) <601> Apparatus B or another appropriate apparatus may be used to determine the SAC using a validated assay. The number of actuations per determination should be one. The volume of air drawn through the delivery system should be 2L.

**Equivalence based on:** Population bioequivalence (PBE) analysis of SAC. Refer to the most recent version of the FDA product-specific guidance on *Budesonide Inhalation Suspension* (NDA 020929) for additional information regarding PBE analysis procedures.<sup>a</sup>

2. Type of study: Aerodynamic particle size distribution (APSD)  
Design: The APSD test should be performed at the B and E lifestages of the product using flow rates of 31.5 L/min, 63 L/min and 94.5 L/min. Cascade impaction devices for inhalation powders as per USP <601> Table 2 or another appropriate method may be used to determine APSD using a validated assay. The APSD determination of each unit should be performed with a minimum number of inhalations justified by the sensitivity of the validated assay. The volume of air drawn through the delivery system should be 4 L.

Additional comments: Drug deposition on individual sites, including the mouthpiece adapter, the induction port, the pre-separator, and each stage of the cascade impactor (CI) and the filter, is requested. Mass balance accountability should be reported based on the sum of all deposition sites. For electronic submission of the individual CI data for the T and RS products, provide a table using the format in the appendix, and send them as part of the abbreviated new drug application (ANDA) submission for bioequivalence evaluation.

**Equivalence based on:** PBE analysis of impactor-sized mass (ISM)<sup>2</sup>. The CI profiles representing drug deposition on the individual stages of the CI along with the mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD), geometric standard deviation (GSD) and fine particle mass (FPM) should be submitted as supportive evidence for equivalent APSD.

### **One in vivo bioequivalence study with pharmacokinetic endpoints:**

1. Type of study: Fasting  
Design: Single-dose, two-way crossover  
Dose: EQ 0.18 mg Base (two inhalations)  
Subjects: Adult males and non-pregnant females, general population  
Additional comments: Subjects enrolled for in vivo studies should be trained in the use of the inhalation powders in a standard fashion, prior to each treatment session, to assure a relatively consistent inspiratory flow rate and inspiratory duration.

**Analyte to measure:** Albuterol in plasma

---

<sup>2</sup> ISM is defined as a sum of the drug mass on all stages of the CI plus the terminal filter but excluding the top CI stage because of its lack of a specified upper cutoff size limit.

**Bioequivalence based on:** AUC and  $C_{\max}$  for albuterol. The 90% confidence intervals for the geometric mean T/R ratios of AUC and  $C_{\max}$  should fall within the limits of 80.00% - 125.00%.

**One in vivo pharmacodynamic bioequivalence study:**

A method using bronchoprovocation study is recommended for this part of the in vivo requirements.

1. Type of study: Bronchoprovocation study  
Design: Single-dose, double-blind, double-dummy, randomized, crossover study. FDA recommends that the study consist of, at a minimum:
  - a. Zero dose: One actuation each from two different placebo RS inhalation powders and one actuation each from two different placebo T inhalation powders
  - b. EQ 0.090 mg Base dose of RS: One actuation each from the RS inhalation powder and the placebo RS inhalation powder, and one actuation each from two different placebo T inhalation powders
  - c. EQ 0.18 mg Base dose of RS: One actuation each from two different RS inhalation powders and one actuation each from two different placebo T inhalation powders
  - d. EQ 0.090 mg Base dose of T: One actuation each from the T inhalation powder and the placebo T inhalation powder, and one actuation each from two different placebo RS inhalation powders

Subjects: Adult male and non-pregnant females with asthma

Inclusion criteria should, at minimum, include:

- a. Adult male or female subjects of non-childbearing potential, or of childbearing potential committing to consistent and correct use of an acceptable method of birth control.
- b. Stable mild asthmatics based on National Asthma Education and Prevent Program (NAEPP) guidelines.
- c.  $FEV_1 \geq 80\%$  of predicted.
- d. Airway responsiveness to methacholine, demonstrated by a pre-albuterol dose (baseline)  $PC20 \leq 8$  mg/mL.
- e. Nonsmokers for at least six months prior to the study and a minimum smoking history of five pack-years (the equivalent of one pack per day for five years).
- f. Written informed consent.

Exclusion criteria should, at minimum, include:

- a. Evidence of upper or lower respiratory tract infection (e.g., pneumonia, bronchitis, sinusitis) within 6 weeks prior to the study.
- b. If a history of seasonal asthma exacerbations, the subject should be studied outside of the relevant allergen season.
- c. History of cystic fibrosis, bronchiectasis, or other respiratory disease
- d. History of cardiovascular, renal, neurologic, liver, or endocrine dysfunction, including ECG with evidence of ischemic heart disease.

- e. Treatment in an emergency room or hospitalization for acute asthmatic symptoms or need for daily oral corticosteroids within past three months.
- f. Known intolerance or hypersensitivity to any component of the albuterol dry powder inhaler (DPI).

Additional comments:

- a. No less than a 24-hour washout period should be allotted between treatments.
- b. The study-day evaluation should take into consideration the following:
  - Drug administration should begin within two weeks following screening for admission.
  - Baseline FEV<sub>1</sub> should not be less than 70% of predicted normal value and within 88 - 112% of qualifying day FEV<sub>1</sub> value. If either occurs, the study should be rescheduled.
  - FEV<sub>1</sub> due to the saline control should fall no more than 10% from the baseline FEV<sub>1</sub>, or the study should be postponed. This limits the drop in FEV<sub>1</sub> shown by some patients due to the saline control vehicle in which the challenge agent is dissolved.
  - A subject failing three consecutive visits should be dropped from the study.
- c. A Bio-IND is required prior to conduct of the pharmacodynamic study, as the concentration of methacholine chloride solution may exceed the labeled 25.0 mg/mL concentration, particularly at the higher albuterol dose (e.g., 0.18 mg) where 25.0 mg/mL methacholine chloride may not lead to a 20% reduction in FEV<sub>1</sub>.
- d. Prospective applicants are encouraged to consider the conduct of a pilot study to refine the study design (e.g., inclusion and exclusion criteria) and estimate the study power based on intra- and inter-subject variability and slope of the E<sub>max</sub> dose-response curve. The method of blinding should be described.
- e. The pharmacodynamic bioequivalence study may enroll all asthma patients who meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria or may be enriched by using a sub-population of patients predicted to respond well to the study treatment (appropriate justification should be included for the population chosen for the study).
- f. All spirometry should be conducted in accordance with the American Thoracic Society (ATS) standards.
- g. The study protocol should include pre-specified definitions of asthma exacerbation, as well as pre-specified and appropriate escape criteria with consideration to patient safety.
- h. It is the prospective applicant's responsibility to enroll a sufficient number of subjects for the study to demonstrate bioequivalence of the T product to the RS product.
- i. The start and stop date of concomitant medication use during the study should be provided in the data set in addition to the reason for the medication use. The prospective applicant should clearly explain whether the medication was used prior to baseline visit, during the study or both.

- j. All adverse events (AEs) should be reported, whether or not they are considered to be related to the treatment. The report of each AE should include the date of onset, description of AE, severity, relation to study medication, action taken, outcome, and date of resolution. The information will assist FDA in determining whether the incidence and severity of adverse reactions is different between the T and RS products.
- k. Subjects who discontinued from the study early should be identified, and the protocol should clearly, prospectively state how missing data will be handled in the statistical analysis and provide justification for the method chosen. The protocol should also include subject retention strategies and other plans to minimize missing data.
- l. If there are missing data, adequate justification should be provided that the missing data do not lead to biased F estimation.
- m. Detailed information for all subjects who are discontinued from the study should be provided.
- n. Log transformation of the pharmacodynamic data before fitting the Emax model is recommended for dose- scale analysis.

**Pharmacodynamic bioequivalence endpoint:** Post-dose PC20 or PD20, which are the provocative concentration or dose, respectively, of the methacholine challenge agent required to reduce the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV<sub>1</sub>) by 20% following administration of different doses of albuterol (or placebo) by inhalation. The 20% reduction in FEV<sub>1</sub> is determined relative to the saline FEV<sub>1</sub> measured before the placebo or albuterol administration.

**Bioequivalence based on:** Dose-scale analysis of the pharmacodynamic data. For details regarding the dose-scale analysis, refer to the most recent version of the FDA product-specific guidance on *Orlistat Oral Capsule* (NDA 020766, NDA 021887).<sup>a</sup> The 90% confidence intervals for the relative bioavailability (F) should fall within 67.00% - 150.00% to establish equivalence in the pharmacodynamic study.

#### **Additional information:**

##### Formulation:

To demonstrate bioequivalence, the T product should contain no difference in inactive ingredients or in other aspects of the formulation relative to the RS product that may significantly affect the local or systemic availability of the active ingredient. For example, the T product can be qualitatively (Q1)<sup>3</sup> and quantitatively (Q2)<sup>4</sup> the same as the RS product to satisfy no difference in inactive ingredients.

##### Device:

The reference listed drug (RLD) is presented as a reservoir-based DPI. The DPI is the device constituent part.

---

<sup>3</sup> Q1 (qualitative sameness) means that the T formulation uses the same inactive ingredient(s) as the RS formulation.

<sup>4</sup> Q2 (quantitative sameness) means that concentrations of the inactive ingredient(s) used in the T formulation are within ± 5% of those used in the RS formulation.

FDA recommends that prospective applicants examine the size and shape, the external critical design attributes, and the external operating principles of the RLD device when designing the T devices including:

- Passive (breath-actuated), device-metered, multi-dose format
- Number of doses
- Device airflow resistance
- Dose indicator/counter

User interface assessment:

An ANDA for this product should include complete comparative analyses so FDA can determine whether any differences in design for the user interface of the proposed generic product, as compared to the RLD, are acceptable and whether the product can be expected to have the same clinical effect and safety profile as the RLD when administered to patients under the conditions specified in the labeling. For additional information, refer to the most recent version of the FDA guidance for industry on *Comparative Analyses and Related Comparative Use Human Factors Studies for a Drug-Device Combination Product Submitted in an ANDA*.<sup>b</sup>

---

**Document History:** Recommended September 2018; Revised March 2020, February 2024

**Unique Agency Identifier:** PSG\_205636

---

<sup>a</sup> For the most recent version of a product-specific guidance, check the FDA product-specific guidance website at <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/psg/index.cfm>.

<sup>b</sup> For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance website at <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents>.

## APPENDIX

Variable Name	Variable Type	Content	Notes
Product Name	Character	TEST or REF	Identifier for product
LOT Number	Alphanumeric/Numeric	Alphanumeric/Numeric	Identifier for product lot
UNIT Number	Numeric	Numeric values	Identifier for unit must be unique for each product (e.g. #1-30 for test and #31-60 for ref).
Stage 1	Numeric	Numeric Values	S1
Stage 2	Numeric	Numeric Values	S2
Stage 3	Numeric	Numeric Values	S3
Stage 4	Numeric	Numeric Values	S4
Stage 5	Numeric	Numeric Values	S5
Stage 6	Numeric	Numeric Values	S6
Stage 7	Numeric	Numeric Values	S7
Stage 8 or Filter	Numeric	Numeric Values	S8
ISM	Numeric	Numeric Values	ISM
MMAD	Numeric	Numeric Values	MMAD
GSD	Numeric	Numeric Values	GSD
FPM	Numeric	Numeric Values	FRM

Example:

PRODUCT	LOT	Unit	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S8 or Filter	ISM	MMAD	GSD	FPM
TEST	1234	1												
		2												
		3												
		4												
		5												
		6												
		7												
		8												
		9												
		10												